STABILITY ANALYSIS OF DISEASE FREE EQUILIBRIUM STATE (E_0) FOR AN ENDEMIC DETERMINISTIC MODEL FOR HIV/AIDS

Victor A. O.¹ and Oduwole, H. K.²

Abstract

This paper focused on determining the asymptotical stability of the new model which establishes that a disease-free equilibrium state exists and is locally asymptotically stable when the basic reproduction number $0 \leq R_0 < 1$ and the following threshold conditions ($0 \leq R_1 < 1, 0 \leq R_2 < 1, 0 \leq R_3 < 1, 0 \leq R_4 < 1$ and $0 \leq R_5 < 1, 0 \leq R_6 < 1, 0 \leq R_7 < 1, 0 \leq R_8 < 1$) are satisfied. Results from the model analysis shows that the proportion of infected juvenile and adult sub-population in the presence of High Active Anteritroviral Therapy (HAART) drastically reduce to a zero ($R_0 = 0$) as compared to the infected age-structured population when treatment rate is low and the net transmission rate is near zero.

Keyword: *Stability, Asymptotical, SEURUS, Disease-free equilibrium.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the stability theory is investigated and it addresses the stability of solutions of the ordinary differential equations describing the disease free equilibrium state of a deterministic endemic model for the control of the spread of endemic disease. Solutions to systems of differential equations which model disease transmission are of particular use and importance to

¹ Victor Alexander Okhuese, Department of Mathematics, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria
Corresponding Author's Email: alexandervictor16@yahoo.com.

² Department of Mathematics, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria

epidemiologists interested in studying effective means to slow and prevent spread of the disease (Garnett, 2002).

In dynamic systems, an orbit is called Lyapunov stable if the forward orbit of any point is in a small enough neighborhood or it stays in a small neighborhood. Various criteria has been developed to prove stability or instability of an orbit but under favorable circumstances, the question may be reduced to a well-studied problem involving eigenvalues of matrices. A more general method involves Lyapunov function and in practice, any one of a number of different stability criteria is applied to this study.

Many parts of the qualitative theory of differential equations and dynamical systems deal with asymptotic properties of solutions and the trajectories. Hence, the simplest type of behavior is exhibited by equilibrium points, or fixed points, and by periodic orbits. In this paper we model the age-structured population deterministic model with the various compartmental sub-population from the susceptible group, to the exposed class and from the exposed class to the infectious class and then to the removed (recovered) class and the undetectable class who are actively using the Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) which is a combination of more drug types as an advance therapy from Antiretroviral therapy (ART). The investigation of the deterministic endemic SEIRUS (Susceptible – Exposed – Infectious – Recovered – Undetectable=Untransmittable – Susceptible) model is a novel method in the scientific and empirical approach in controlling the spread of diseases in an endemic situation which is a build up from Mckendrick and Kermack (1927).

2. THE MODEL VARIABLES AND PARAMETERS

The model variables and parameters for the investigation of the stability analysis of the equilibrium state for the new deterministic endemic model which is a motivation from Oduwole and Kimbir (2018) is given by;

2.1 Variable and Parameters

Variable	Description
$S_1(t)$	Number of susceptible juveniles at time t
$S_2(t)$	Number of susceptible adult at time t
$E_1(t)$	Number of exposed juvenile at time t
$E_2(t)$	Number of exposed adults at time t
$I_1(t)$	Number of infected juveniles at time t
$I_2(t)$	Number of infected adults at time t
$R_1(t)$	Number of infected juveniles receiving HAART at time t
$R_2(t)$	Number of infected adults receiving HAART at time t
$U_1(t)$	Number of recovered juveniles satisfying U=U case at time t
$U_2(t)$	Number of recovered adults satisfying U=U case at time t
$A_1(t)$	Number of AIDS cases in the juvenile sub-population at time t
$A_2(t)$	Number of AIDS cases in the adult sub-population at time t
Parameter	Description
ν_1	The rate at which HIV infected juveniles becomes AIDS patient.
ν_2	The rate at which HIV infected adults becomes AIDS patient.
λ	Birth rate of the adult sub-population
μ_1	Natural death rate of the juvenile sub-population
μ_2	Natural death rate of the adult sub-population
α_0	Maximum death rate due to AIDS. ($\alpha_i \leq \alpha_0$), $i = 1, 2$
α_1	Death rate of infected juvenile sub-population due to AIDS
α_2	Death rate of infected adult sub-population due to AIDS
ϕ_1	Disease induced death rate of infected juveniles not receiving HAART
ϕ_2	Disease induced death rate of infected adults not receiving HAART
ϖ_1	Disease induced death rate of infected juveniles receiving HAART
ϖ_2	Disease induced death rate of infected adults receiving HAART
τ_1	Disease induced death rate of recovered juvenile not receiving HAART
τ_2	Disease induced death rate of removed adults not receiving HAART
T	Maximum lifespan after infection ($T = 10$ years)
k	Efficacy of HAART ($0 \leq k \leq 1$)
c	Average number of sexual partners of adult members of class I_2
c'	Average number of sexual partners of adult members of class R_2
β	Probability of transmission by adult members of class I_2
β'	Probability of transmission by adult members of class R_2
ρ_1	Probability of secondary infection by recovered juveniles population in U=U
ρ_2	Probability of secondary infection by recovered adults population in U=U

σ_1	Proportion of infected juveniles receiving HAART per unit time (Treatment rate)
σ_2	Proportion of infected adults receiving HAART per unit time (Treatment rate)
π_1	Proportion of juvenile population from susceptible to exposed/latent class
π_2	Proportion of adult population from susceptible to exposed/latent class
ε_1	Proportion of removed juveniles still receiving treatment and being moved to susceptible class
ε_2	Proportion of removed adults still receiving treatment and being moved to susceptible class
$1 - \xi$	Proportion of healthy newborns from infected mothers
ξ	Proportion of infected newborns from infected mothers
$B_1(t)$	Incidence rate in the juvenile sub-population. $B_1(t) = 0$ (no sexual contact)
$B_2(t)$	Incidence rate or force of infection in the adult sub-population
η_j	Maturation rate from Juveniles to adults (where $j = s$ denotes the susceptible population, $j = e$ denotes the exposed/latent population, $j = i$ denotes the infected population, $j = r$ denotes the removed population and $j = u$ denotes the undetectable=untransmittable population)
m	Fixed ratio of adults to juveniles, $m = \frac{N_2}{N_1}$

2.2 MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions would help in the derivation of the model:

1. There is no emigration from the total population and there is no immigration into the population. A negligible proportion of individuals move in and out of the population at a given time.
2. Maturation (or maturity) is interpreted as growth from Juvenile stage into adult.
3. The susceptible population are first exposed to a latent class where they can be infected or not.
4. Some infected individuals move to the removed class when counseled and are placed under highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART).
5. Newborns are not of the same class as their progenitor. A fraction $(1 - \xi)$ of newborns from infected mothers are healthy, while the remaining fractions ξ are born with the virus.
6. Only an adult can reproduce.
7. The rate of progression from HIV to AIDS is different for both juvenile and adult sub-populations.
8. The AIDS cases have full-blown symptoms and are therefore not sexually active.

9. The recruitment into the S -class is only through birth for the juvenile sub-population and through maturation for the adult sub-population.
10. The recruitment from the S -class into the E -class is through birth for newborns and through heterosexual activities for adults. This is done at a rate π_1 and π_2 for the juvenile and adult sub-population respectively.
11. The recruitment into the R -class from the I -class depends on the effectiveness of public campaign and counselling. This is done at a rate σ_1 and σ_2 for the juvenile and adult sub-populations, respectively.
12. The recruitment into the U -class from the R -class depends on the effectiveness of the HAART and the change in social behavior of the recovered population. This is done at a rate ρ_1 and ρ_2 for the recovered juvenile and adult sub-population respectively.
13. The recruitment into the S -class over again from the U -class depends on how long the population in the U -class remain in the class while actively receiving treatment. This stage it is assumed that the compartment is filled with fully removed population whose viral load is less than 1% and have 0% chance of secondary infection. This is done at a rate ε_1 and ε_2 for juvenile and adult sub-population respectively.
14. There is a chance of infection by the juvenile and adult population in the $U=U$ class at ρ_1 and ρ_2 probability if the administration of HAART is discontinued at any given time.
15. Death is implicit in the model and it occurs in all classes at constant rate μ_i , where $i = 1, 2$ represents the juvenile and adult sub-population respectively. However, there is an additional death rate in the I and R classes due to infection for both juvenile and adult sub-population denoted by φ_i and ϖ_i respectively, where $i = 1, 2$ represents the juvenile and adult sub-population respectively.

16. There is a maximum period of time, T after infection, which a member in class I who progresses to AIDS dies. The death rate in the R -class is given by $\varpi_i = \varphi_i e^{-kT}$, where φ_i is the death rate due to the infection, and k is the efficacy of the antiretroviral drugs. The higher the value of k , the smaller the value of ϖ_i and vice versa. Clearly $\varpi_i \leq \varphi_i$ and $\varpi_i = \varphi_i$ when $k = 0$ (i.e. no HAART). Also $\varphi_i \leq \alpha_0$ (the maximum death rate due to AIDS).
17. There is a fixed ratio of adults to juveniles given by $m = \frac{N_2}{N_1}$. This assumption allow for a suitable control of the population at a given time.

2.3 MODEL DESCRIPTION

The susceptible-exposed-infected-removed-undetectable=untransmissible-susceptible (SEIRUS) model that considers an open age-structured population of juvenile and heterosexual individuals is formulated based on the McKendrick-von-Foerster type two-age-structured SIR model as used by Oduwole and Kimbir (2018) in studying the effect of antiretroviral therapy. The population is subdivided based on demographic structure and epidemiological structure. Under a demographic structure, the population is divided into classes, the juvenile class (0 – 14 years) and the adult class (15 years and above), while under the epidemiological structure of this study is divided into six classes, namely; susceptible (S), exposed (E), infected (I), removed (R), Undetectable=Untransmittable ($U=U$) and those infected progressing to AIDS (A). A susceptible is an individual that is yet to be infected, but is open to infection as he or she interacts with members of the I -class. An infected individual is one who has contracted HIV and is at some stage of infection. A removed individual is one that is confirmed to be HIV positive, counseled, and is receiving treatment via highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) (Murray, *et. al.*, 1998). A member of the Undetectable=Untransmittable class is one that has been removed and has been

actively receiving treatment through HAART and has been satisfied by the UN-MDG 6's standard to be in the U=U class. A member of the A-class is an individual who is HIV positive, and has progressed to full blown AIDS (CDC, 2018).

The following algorithm describes the dynamic of SEIRUS framework, and will be useful in the formulation of model equations.

3 THE MODEL EQUATIONS

From the assumptions and the flow diagram above, the following model equations are derived.

For the Juvenile sub-populations:

$$\frac{dS_1(t)}{dt} = \lambda[S_2 + (1 - \xi)I_2] - (\mu_1 + \eta_s + \pi_1)S_1 \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{dE_1(t)}{dt} = \pi_1 S_1 - (\mu_1 + \eta_e + B_1)E_1 \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{dI_1(t)}{dt} = B_1 E_1 + \xi \lambda I_2 - (v_1 + \eta_i + \sigma_1 + \mu_1 + \varphi_1)I_1 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\frac{dR_1(t)}{dt} = \sigma_1 I_1 - (\eta_r + \rho_1 + \mu_1 + \varpi_1)R_1 \quad (3.4)$$

$$\frac{dU_1(t)}{dt} = \rho_1 R_1 - (\mu_1 + \tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)U_1 \quad (3.5)$$

For the Adult sub-populations:

$$\frac{dS_2(t)}{dt} = \eta_s S_1 - [\pi_2 + \mu_2]S_2 \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{dE_2(t)}{dt} = \pi_2 S_2 + \eta_e E_1 - (\mu_2 + B_2)E_2 \quad (3.7)$$

$$\frac{dI_2(t)}{dt} = B_2 E_2 + \eta_i I_1 - (v_2 + \sigma_2 + \mu_2 + \varphi_2)I_2 \quad (3.8)$$

$$\frac{dR_2(t)}{dt} = \sigma_2 I_2 + \eta_r R_1 - (\rho_2 + \mu_2 + \varpi_2)R_2 \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{dU_2(t)}{dt} = \rho_2 R_2 + \eta_u U_1 - (\mu_2 + \tau_2 + \varepsilon_2)U_2 \quad (3.10)$$

For those progressing to AIDS:

$$\frac{dA_1}{dt} = v_1 I_1 - (\mu_1 + \alpha_1)A_1 \quad (3.11)$$

$$\frac{dA_2}{dt} = v_2 I_2 - (\mu_2 + \alpha_2) A_2 \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(t) &= S_1(t) + E_1(t) + I_1(t) + R_1(t) + U_1(t) + A_1(t) \\ N_2(t) &= S_2(t) + E_2(t) + I_2(t) + R_2(t) + U_2(t) + A_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

$$N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t) \quad (3.14)$$

The incidence rate or force of infection at time t denoted by $B_2(t)$ in the adult population is given as

$$B_2(t) = \frac{c\beta I_2 + c'\beta' R_2 + \sigma_2 \rho_2 U_2}{N_2} \quad (3.15)$$

3.1 MODEL EQUATIONS IN PROPORTIONS

To simplify the model, it is reasonable to assume that infected juvenile and adult who progress to full blown AIDS are isolated and sexually inactive; hence they are not capable of producing children (vertical transmission) and they do not contribute to viral transmission horizontally (from adult to adult).

To achieve this, we normalize the model by transforming the model equations into proportions and eliminate the AIDS class $A(t)$, which invariably reduces the number of model equations from twelve to ten. The derive model equations in proportion of infected juveniles and adults define prevalence of infection, which has biological meaning.

The model equations are transformed into proportions as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} &= \lambda(S_2 + I_2) - \mu_1 N_1 - \eta_s S_1 - \eta_e E_1 - (\eta_i + \sigma_1) I_1 - (\eta_r + \varpi_1) R_1 - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) U_1 \\ &\quad - \alpha_1 A_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

$$\frac{dN_2(t)}{dt} = \eta_s S_1 - \mu_2 N_2 + \eta_e E_1 + (\eta_i - \varphi_2) I_2 + \eta_r R_1 - \varpi_2 R_2 - (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) U_2 - \alpha_2 A_2 \quad (3.17)$$

Let

$$s_1 = \frac{S_1}{N_1}, e_1 = \frac{E_1}{N_1}, i_1 = \frac{I_1}{N_1}, r_1 = \frac{R_1}{N_1}, u_1 = \frac{U_1}{N_1}, a_1 = \frac{A_1}{N_1} \quad (3.18)$$

similarly,

$$s_2 = \frac{S_2}{N_2}, e_2 = \frac{E_2}{N_2}, i_2 = \frac{I_2}{N_2}, r_2 = \frac{R_2}{N_2}, u_2 = \frac{U_2}{N_2}, a_2 = \frac{A_2}{N_2} \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$m = \frac{N_2}{N_1} = \frac{S_2(t) + E_2(t) + I_2(t) + R_2(t) + U_2(t) + A_2(t)}{S_1(t) + E_1(t) + I_1(t) + R_1(t) + U_1(t) + A_1(t)} \quad (3.20)$$

Then the normalized system can be calculated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_1}{dt} = & m\lambda s_2 + m\lambda(1 - \xi)i_2 - (\eta_s + \pi_1)s_1 - m\lambda(s_1 s_2 + s_1 i_2) + \eta_s s_1^2 + \eta_e s_1 e_1 + (\eta_i \\ & + \sigma_1)s_1 i_1 + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)s_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)s_1 u_1 + s_1 \alpha_1 a_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de_1}{dt} = & \pi_1 s_1 - (\eta_e + B_1)e_1 - m\lambda(e_1 s_2 + e_1 i_2) + \eta_s e_1 s_1 + \eta_e e_1^2 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)e_1 i_1 + (\eta_r \\ & + \varpi_1)e_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)e_1 u_1 + e_1 \alpha_1 a_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{di_1}{dt} = & B_1 e_1 + \xi \lambda i_2 - (\nu_1 + \eta_i + \sigma_1 + \varphi_1)i_1 - m\lambda(i_1 s_2 + i_1^2) + \eta_s i_1 s_1 + \eta_e i_1 e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)i_1^2 \\ & + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)i_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)i_1 u_1 + \alpha_1 i_1 a_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr_1}{dt} = & \sigma_1 i_1 - (\eta_r + \rho_1 + \varpi_1)r_1 - m\lambda(r_1 s_2 + r_1 i_2) + r_1 \eta_s s_1 + r_1 \eta_e e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)r_1 i_1 + (\eta_r \\ & + \varpi_1)r_1^2 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)r_1 u_1 + r_1 \alpha_1 a_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du_1}{dt} = & \rho_1 r_1 - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)u_1 - m\lambda(u_1 s_2 + u_1 I_2) + u_1 \eta_s s_1 + u_1 \eta_e e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)u_1 i_1 + (\eta_r \\ & + \varpi_1)u_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)u_1^2 + u_1 \alpha_1 a_1\end{aligned}\quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{da_1}{dt} = & v_1 i_1 - \alpha_1 a_1 - m\lambda(a_1 s_2 + a_1 I_2) + \eta_s a_1 s_1 + \eta_e a_1 e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)a_1 i_1 + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)a_1 r_1 \\ & + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)a_1 u_1 + \alpha_1 a_1^2\end{aligned}\quad (3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{ds_2}{dt} = & \frac{\eta_s s_1}{m} - \pi_2 s_2 - \frac{s_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{s_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)s_2 i_2 - \frac{s_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + s_2 \varpi_2 r_2 + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)s_2 u_2 \\ & + s_2 \alpha_2 a_2\end{aligned}\quad (3.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{de_2}{dt} = & \pi_2 s_2 + \frac{\eta_e e_1}{m} - B_2 e_2 - \frac{e_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{e_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)e_2 i_2 - \frac{e_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + e_2 \varpi_2 r_2 \\ & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)e_2 u_2 + e_2 \alpha_2 a_2\end{aligned}\quad (3.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{di_2}{dt} = & B_2 e_2 + \frac{\eta_i i_1}{m} - (v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2)i_2 - \frac{i_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{i_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)i_1^2 - \frac{i_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + i_2 \varpi_2 r_2 \\ & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)i_2 u_2 + i_2 \alpha_2 a_2\end{aligned}\quad (3.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dr_2}{dt} = & \sigma_2 i_2 + \frac{\eta_r r_1}{m} - (\rho_2 + \varpi_2)r_2 - \frac{r_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{r_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)r_2 i_2 - \frac{r_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + \varpi_2 r_2^2 \\ & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)r_2 u_2 + r_2 \alpha_2 a_2\end{aligned}\quad (3.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du_2}{dt} = & \rho_2 r_2 + \frac{\eta_u u_1}{m} - (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2)u_2 - \frac{u_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{u_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)u_2 i_2 - \frac{u_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + u_2 \varpi_2 r_2 \\ & + (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2)u_2^2 + u_2 \alpha_2 a_2\end{aligned}\quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{da_2}{dt} = & v_2 i_2 - \alpha_2 a_2 - \frac{a_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{a_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)a_2 i_2 - \frac{a_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + a_2 \varpi_2 r_2 + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)a_2 u_2 \\ & + \alpha_2 a_2^2\end{aligned}\quad (3.32)$$

From equation (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$\begin{cases} s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1 + a_1 = 1 \\ s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2 + a_2 = 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

Therefore substituting $a_1 = 1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1)$ and $a_2 = 1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2)$ in equations (3.21) – (3.32) respectively gives the following governing equations of the model below:

For the Juvenile sub-population:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_1}{dt} = & m\lambda s_2 + m\lambda(1 - \xi)i_2 - (\eta_s + \pi_1)s_1 - m\lambda(s_1s_2 + s_1i_2) + \eta_s s_1^2 + \eta_e s_1 e_1 + (\eta_i \\ & + \sigma_1)s_1 i_1 + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)s_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)s_1 u_1 \\ & + s_1 \alpha_1 (1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de_1}{dt} = & \pi_1 s_1 - (\eta_q + B_1)e_1 - m\lambda(e_1s_2 + e_1i_2) + \eta_s e_1 s_1 + \eta_e e_1^2 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)e_1 i_1 + (\eta_r \\ & + \varpi_1)e_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)e_1 u_1 + e_1 \alpha_1 (1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{di_1}{dt} = & B_1 e_1 + \xi \lambda i_2 - (\nu_1 + \eta_i + \sigma_1 + \varphi_1)i_1 - m\lambda(i_1s_2 + i_1^2) + \eta_s i_1 s_1 + \eta_e i_1 e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)i_1^2 \\ & + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)i_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)i_1 u_1 \\ & + \alpha_1 i_1 (1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr_1}{dt} = & \sigma_1 i_1 - (\eta_r + \rho_1 + \varpi_1)r_1 - m\lambda(r_1s_2 + r_1i_2) + r_1\eta_s s_1 + r_1\eta_e e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)r_1 i_1 + (\eta_r \\ & + \varpi_1)r_1^2 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)r_1 u_1 + r_1 \alpha_1 (1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du_1}{dt} = & \rho_1 r_1 - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)u_1 - m\lambda(u_1s_2 + u_1i_2) + u_1\eta_s s_1 + u_1\eta_e e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)u_1 i_1 + (\eta_r \\ & + \varpi_1)u_1 r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)u_1^2 + u_1 \alpha_1 (1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

For the Adult sub-population:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{ds_2}{dt} = & \frac{\eta_s s_1}{m} - \pi_2 s_2 - \frac{s_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{s_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) s_2 i_2 - \frac{s_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + s_2 \varpi_2 r_2 + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) s_2 u_2 \\ & + s_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))\end{aligned}\quad (3.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{de_2}{dt} = & \pi_2 s_2 + \frac{\eta_e e_1}{m} - B_2 e_2 - \frac{e_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{e_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) e_2 i_2 - \frac{e_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + e_2 \varpi_2 r_2 \\ & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) e_2 u_2 + e_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))\end{aligned}\quad (3.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{di_2}{dt} = & B_2 e_2 + \frac{\eta_i i_1}{m} - (v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2) i_2 - \frac{i_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{i_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) i_1^2 - \frac{i_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + i_2 \varpi_2 r_2 \\ & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) i_2 u_2 + i_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))\end{aligned}\quad (3.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dr_2}{dt} = & \sigma_2 i_2 + \frac{\eta_r r_1}{m} - (\rho_2 + \varpi_2) r_2 - \frac{r_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{r_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) r_2 i_2 - \frac{r_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + \varpi_2 r_2^2 \\ & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) r_2 u_2 + r_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))\end{aligned}\quad (3.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du_2}{dt} = & \rho_2 r_2 + \frac{\eta_u u_1}{m} - (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2) u_2 - \frac{u_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{u_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) u_2 i_2 - \frac{u_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + u_2 \varpi_2 r_2 \\ & + (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2) u_2^2 + u_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))\end{aligned}\quad (3.43)$$

Equations (3.34) to (3.43) are the model equations in proportions, which define prevalence of infection.

3.2 EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF DISEASE FREE EQUILIBRIUM STATE (E_0) OF THE SEIRUS MODEL

The disease-free equilibrium (DFE) state of the endemic SEIRUS model is obtained by setting the left hand sides of equations (3.34) – (3.43) to zero and setting the disease components $e_1 = 0, e_2 = 0, i_1 = 0, i_2 = 0, r_1 = 0, r_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = 0, u_2 = 0$ leading to equations (3.44) – (3.45) below

For the Juvenile sub-population:

$$0 = m\lambda s_2^* - \eta_s s_1^* - \pi_1 s_1^* - m\lambda s_2^* s_1^* + \eta_s s_1^{*2} + s_1^* \alpha_1 - s_1^{*2} \alpha_1 \quad (3.44)$$

For the Adult sub-population:

$$0 = \frac{\eta_s s_1^*}{m} - \pi_2 s_2^* - \frac{s_2^* \eta_s s_1^*}{m} + s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 \quad (3.45)$$

Factorizing s_1^* From Equation (3.45) and substituting into (3.44) gives;

$$\begin{aligned} m\lambda s_2^* - \eta_s m \left(\frac{s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*}{s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s} \right) - \pi_1 m \left(\frac{s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*}{s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s} \right) \\ - \lambda s_2^* m^2 \left(\frac{s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*}{s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s} \right) + \eta_s m^2 \left(\frac{s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*}{s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s} \right)^2 \\ + \alpha_1 m \left(\frac{s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*}{s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s} \right) - \alpha_1 m^2 \left(\frac{s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*}{s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s} \right)^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Multiplying through by $(s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s)^2$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} m\lambda s_2^* (s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s)^2 - \eta_s m (s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*) (s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s) \\ - \pi_1 m (s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*) (s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s) \\ - \lambda s_2^* m^2 (s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*) (s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s) + \eta_s m^2 (s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*)^2 \\ + \alpha_1 m (s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*) (s_2^* \eta_s - \eta_s) - \alpha_1 m^2 (s_2^* \alpha_2 - s_2^{*2} \alpha_2 - \pi_2 s_2^*)^2 \\ = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Simplifying further and collecting like terms in s_2^{*4} , s_2^{*3} , s_2^{*2} and s_2^* gives,

$$As_2^{*4} + Bs_2^{*3} + Cs_2^{*2} + Ds_2^* = 0 \quad (3.48)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A &= m\lambda\eta_s^2 + m^2\lambda\alpha_2\eta_s + m^2\alpha_2\eta_s - m\alpha_1\alpha_2 \\ B &= m\alpha_2\eta_s^2 - 2\lambda\eta_s^2 + m\pi_1\alpha_2\eta_s - 2m^2\lambda\alpha_2\eta_s + m^2\lambda\pi_2\eta_s - 2m^2\alpha_2^2\eta_s + 2m\alpha_2\pi_2\eta_s - m\alpha_1\alpha_2\eta_s + \\ &\quad 2m\alpha_1\alpha_2^2 - 2m\alpha_1\alpha_2\pi_2 \\ C &= m\lambda\eta_s^2 - 2m\alpha_2\eta_s^2 + 2m\pi_2\eta_s^2 - 2m\pi_1\alpha_2\eta_s + m\pi_1\pi_2\eta_s + m^2\lambda\alpha_2\eta_s - m^2\lambda\pi_2\eta_s + m^2\alpha_2^2\eta_s - \\ &\quad 2m^2\alpha_2\pi_2\eta_s + m^2\pi_2\eta_s + 2m\alpha_1\alpha_2\eta_s - m\alpha_1\pi_2\eta_s - m\alpha_1\alpha_2^2 - 2m\alpha_1\alpha_2\pi_2 - m\alpha_1\pi_2 \\ D &= m\alpha_2\eta_s^2 + m\pi_2\eta_s^2 + m\alpha_2\pi_1\eta_s + m\pi_1\pi_2\eta_s - m\alpha_1\alpha_2\eta_s + m\alpha_1\pi_2\eta_s \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.49)$$

Factorizing s_2^* in (3.48) gives;

$$(As_2^{*3} + Bs_2^{*2} + Cs_2^* + D)s_2^* = 0 \quad (3.50)$$

Therefore, the solution for the simultaneous equations (3.50) with (3.49) is given by

$$(s_1^*, s_2^*) = \left\{ (0,0), (1,1), \left(\frac{m\lambda}{(\eta_s - \alpha_1)}, \frac{(m\alpha_2 - \eta_s)}{m\alpha_2} \right), \left(\frac{(\pi_1 + \eta_s - \alpha_1)}{(\eta_s - \alpha_1)}, -\frac{\pi_2}{\alpha_2} \right) \right\} \quad (3.51)$$

Ignoring the native values of s_1^* and s_2^* and other stringent conditions, there exist a unique trivial and disease-free equilibrium states at (s_1^*, s_2^*) given by (0,0) and (1,1) respectively.

The solution (3.51) satisfies equations (3.48) and (3.47) identically.

4. STABILITY ANALYSIS OF DISEASE FREE EQUILIBRIUM STATE (E_0)

To study the behavior of the system (3.34) – (3.43) around the disease-free equilibrium state $E_0 = [1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0]$, we resort to the linearized stability approach.

Let f_{ji} , $i = 1,2,3,4,5$ be used to linearize the proportional governing equations for the juvenile sub-population and f_{ai} , $i = 1,2,3,4,5$ be used to linearized the proportional governing equations for the adult sub-population.

For the Juvenile sub-population:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{j1} = & m\lambda s_2 + m\lambda(1 - \xi)i_2 - (\eta_s + \pi_1)s_1 - m\lambda(s_1s_2 + s_1i_2) + \eta_ss_1^2 + \eta_es_1e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)s_1i_1 \\
 & + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)s_1r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)s_1u_1 \\
 & + s_1\alpha_1(1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{j2} = & \pi_1s_1 - (\eta_e + B_1)e_1 - m\lambda(e_1s_2 + e_1i_2) + \eta_se_1s_1 + \eta_e e_1^2 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)e_1i_1 + (\eta_r \\
 & + \varpi_1)e_1r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)e_1u_1 + e_1\alpha_1(1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{j3} = & B_1e_1 + \xi\lambda i_2 - (v_1 + \eta_i + \sigma_1 + \varphi_1)i_1 - m\lambda(i_1s_2 + i_1^2) + \eta_si_1s_1 + \eta_e i_1e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)i_1^2 \\
 & + (\eta_r + \varpi_1)i_1r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)i_1u_1 \\
 & + \alpha_1i_1(1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{j4} = & \sigma_1i_1 - (\eta_r + \rho_1 + \varpi_1)r_1 - m\lambda(r_1s_2 + r_1i_2) + r_1\eta_ss_1 + r_1\eta_e e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)r_1i_1 + (\eta_r \\
 & + \varpi_1)r_1^2 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)r_1u_1 + r_1\alpha_1(1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{j5} = & \rho_1r_1 - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)u_1 - m\lambda(u_1s_2 + u_1i_2) + u_1\eta_ss_1 + u_1\eta_e e_1 + (\eta_i + \sigma_1)u_1i_1 + (\eta_r \\
 & + \varpi_1)u_1r_1 + (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)u_1^2 + u_1\alpha_1(1 - (s_1 + e_1 + i_1 + r_1 + u_1))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

For the Adult sub-population:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{a1} = & \frac{\eta_ss_1}{m} - \pi_2s_2 - \frac{s_2\eta_ss_1}{m} - \frac{s_2\eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)s_2i_2 - \frac{s_2\eta_rr_1}{m} + s_2\varpi_2r_2 + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)s_2u_2 \\
 & + s_2\alpha_2(1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{a2} = & \pi_2s_2 + \frac{\eta_e e_1}{m} - B_2e_2 - \frac{e_2\eta_ss_1}{m} - \frac{e_2\eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)e_2i_2 - \frac{e_2\eta_rr_1}{m} + e_2\varpi_2r_2 \\
 & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)e_2u_2 + e_2\alpha_2(1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{a3} = & B_2e_2 + \frac{\eta_i i_1}{m} - (v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2)i_2 - \frac{i_2\eta_ss_1}{m} - \frac{i_2\eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2)i_1^2 - \frac{i_2\eta_rr_1}{m} + i_2\varpi_2r_2 \\
 & + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2)i_2u_2 + i_2\alpha_2(1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2))
 \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

$$f_{a4} = \sigma_2 i_2 + \frac{\eta_r r_1}{m} - (\rho_2 + \varpi_2) r_2 - \frac{r_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{r_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) r_2 i_2 - \frac{r_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + \varpi_2 r_2^2 + (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) r_2 u_2 + r_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2)) \quad (4.9)$$

$$f_{a5} = \rho_2 r_2 + \frac{\eta_u u_1}{m} - (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2) u_2 - \frac{u_2 \eta_s s_1}{m} - \frac{u_2 \eta_e e_1}{m} - (\eta_i - \varphi_2) u_2 i_2 - \frac{u_2 \eta_r r_1}{m} + u_2 \varpi_2 r_2 + (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2) u_2^2 + u_2 \alpha_2 (1 - (s_2 + e_2 + i_2 + r_2 + u_2)) \quad (4.10)$$

Then

For the Juvenile sub-population:

$$\frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial s_1} = -(m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1) + \eta_s, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial e_1} = \eta_e - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial i_1} = (\eta_i + \sigma_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial r_1} = (\eta_r + \varpi_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial u_1} = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial s_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial e_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial i_2} = -m\lambda\xi, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial r_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j1}}{\partial u_2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial s_1} = (\pi_1 + \eta_s) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial e_1} = (\eta_e - B_1) - m\lambda - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial i_1} = (\eta_i + \sigma_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial r_1} = (\eta_r + \varpi_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial u_1} = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial s_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial e_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial i_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial r_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j2}}{\partial u_2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial s_1} = \eta_s - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial e_1} = (B_1 + \eta_e) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial i_1} = -(\nu_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1) - 3m\lambda - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial r_1} = (\eta_r + \varpi_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial u_1} = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial s_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial e_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial i_2} = \xi\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial r_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j3}}{\partial u_2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial s_1} = \eta_s - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial e_1} = \eta_e - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial i_1} = (\eta_i + 2\sigma_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial r_1} = -(\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1) - m\lambda - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial u_1} = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial s_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial e_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial i_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial r_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j4}}{\partial u_2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial s_1} = \eta_s - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial e_1} = \eta_e - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial i_1} = (\eta_i + \sigma_1) - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial r_1} = (\rho_1 - \alpha_1) - (\eta_r + \varpi_1), \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial u_1} = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) - m\lambda - \alpha_1, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial s_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial e_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial i_2} = -m\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial r_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{j5}}{\partial u_2} = 0$$

For the Adult sub-population:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial s_1} &= 0, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial e_1} = -\frac{\eta_q}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial i_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial r_1} = -\frac{\eta_r}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial u_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial s_2} = -\pi_2 - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial e_2} = -\alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial i_2} = \\
 &-(\eta_i - \varphi_2) - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial r_2} = \varpi_2 - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a1}}{\partial u_2} = (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) - \alpha_2 \\
 \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial s_1} &= -\frac{\eta_s}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial e_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial i_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial r_1} = -\frac{\eta_r}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial u_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial s_2} = \pi_2 - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial e_2} = -B_2 - \frac{\eta_e}{m} - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \\
 \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial i_2} &= -(\eta_i - \varphi_2) - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial r_2} = \varpi_2 - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a2}}{\partial u_2} = (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) - \alpha_2 \\
 \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial s_1} &= -\frac{\eta_s}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial e_1} = -\frac{\eta_e}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial i_1} = \frac{\eta_i}{m} - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - 2(\eta_i - \varphi_2) - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial r_1} = -\frac{\eta_r}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial u_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial s_2} = -\alpha_2, \\
 \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial e_2} &= B_2 - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial i_2} = -(\nu_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2) - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial r_2} = \varpi_2 - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a3}}{\partial u_2} = (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) - \alpha_2 \\
 \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial s_1} &= -\frac{\eta_s}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial e_1} = -\frac{\eta_q}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial i_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial r_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial u_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial s_2} = -\alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial e_2} = -\alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial i_2} = \sigma_2 - \\
 &(\eta_i - \varphi_2) - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial r_2} = (\varpi_2 - \rho_2) - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \frac{\eta_r}{m} - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a4}}{\partial u_2} = (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) - \alpha_2 \\
 \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial s_1} &= -\frac{\eta_s}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial e_1} = -\frac{\eta_e}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial i_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial r_1} = -\frac{\eta_r}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial u_1} = \frac{\eta_u}{m}, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial s_2} = -\alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial e_2} = -\alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial i_2} = -(\eta_i - \\
 \varphi_2) - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial r_2} &= \rho_2 + \varpi_2 - \alpha_2, \frac{\partial f_{a5}}{\partial u_2} = (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2) - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \frac{\eta_r}{m} - \alpha_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian J_{E_0} is given by

$$J_{E_0} = \begin{bmatrix}
 -A + \eta_s & \eta_e - \alpha_1 & C - \alpha_1 & & & & & & & \\
 D - \alpha_1 & E - \alpha_1 & G - \alpha_1 & & & & & & & \\
 \eta_s - \alpha_1 & I - \alpha_1 & B - \alpha_1 & O - \alpha_1 & H - \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & -m\lambda\xi & 0 & 0 \\
 \eta_s - \alpha_1 & \eta_e - \alpha_1 & F - \alpha_1 & -P - \alpha_1 & R_1 - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & -m\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
 \eta_s - \alpha_1 & \eta_e - \alpha_1 & -J - \alpha_1 & Q_1 - \alpha_1 & N - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & \xi\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & -\frac{\eta_e}{m} & K - \alpha_1 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & S_1 - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & -m\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
 -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & 0 & L - \alpha_1 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & T - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & -m\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
 -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & -\frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & -U_1 - \alpha_2 & -\alpha_2 & -W_1 - \alpha_2 & \varpi_2 - \alpha_2 & U_2 - \alpha_2 \\
 -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & -\frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & \pi_2 - \alpha_2 & -V_1 - \alpha_2 & -X_1 - \alpha_2 & \varpi_2 - \alpha_2 & V_2 - \alpha_2 \\
 -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & -\frac{\eta_e}{m} & M - \alpha_2 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & -\alpha_2 & B_2 - \alpha_2 & -Y_1 - \alpha_2 & \varpi_2 - \alpha_2 & W_2 - \alpha_2 \\
 -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & -\frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_2 & -Z - \alpha_2 & R_2 - \alpha_2 & X_2 - \alpha_2 \\
 -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & -\frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & \frac{\eta_u}{m} & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_2 & -Q_2 - \alpha_2 & S_2 - \alpha_2 & Y_2 - \alpha_2
 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A &= (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1), B = (\eta_i + \sigma_1), C = (\eta_r + \varpi_1), D = (\pi_1 + \eta_s), E = (\eta_e - B_1) - m\lambda, F = (\eta_i + \sigma_1), \\ G &= (\eta_r + \varpi_1), H = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_p), I = (B_1 + \eta_e), J = (v_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1) - 3m\lambda, K = (\eta_i + 2\sigma_1), \\ L &= (\eta_i + \sigma_1), M = \frac{\eta_i}{m} - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - 2(\eta_i - \varphi_2), O = (\eta_r + \varpi_1), P = (\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1) - m\lambda, Q_1 = \rho_1 - (\eta_r + \varpi_1), \\ R_1 &= (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u), N = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_p), S_1 = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_p), T = (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) - m\lambda, U_1 = \left(\pi_2 + \frac{\eta_s}{m}\right), \\ V_1 &= \left(B_2 + \frac{\eta_e}{m} + \frac{\eta_s}{m}\right), W_1 = (\eta_i - \varphi_2), X_1 = (\eta_i - \varphi_2), Y_1 = (v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2), Z = \sigma_2 - (\eta_i - \varphi_2), Q_2 = (\eta_i - \varphi_2), \\ R_2 &= (\varpi_2 - \rho_2) - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \frac{\eta_r}{m}, S_2 = \rho_2 + \varpi_2, U_2 = V_2 = W_2 = X_2 = (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2), Y_2 = (\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2) - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \frac{\eta_r}{m} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.12)$$

According to Silvester (1999), the determinant of the Jacobian Matrix J_{E_0} is given by the recursive definition for a 10×10 matrix defined as;

$$Det(J_{E_0}) = a_{11}det(J_{E_011}) - a_{12}det(J_{E_012}) + a_{13}det(J_{E_013}) - \dots \pm a_{10}det(J_{E_010}) \quad (4.13)$$

Which is used to resolve the 10x10 matrix in (4.14) and hence we get;

$$\begin{aligned} Def(J_{E_0}) &= (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1) \left(\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2 - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \frac{\eta_r}{m} \right) (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) (\eta_i \\ &\quad + \sigma_1) \alpha_1 (R_8 - 1) (R_4 \\ &\quad - 1) \left[(R_1 - 1) (R_2 - 1) \left(\pi_2 (v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2) (\eta_e - m\lambda) (\eta_i + \sigma_1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + (\pi_1 + \eta_s) (v_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1 - 3m\lambda) \left(B_2 + \frac{\eta_e}{m} + \frac{\eta_s}{m} \right) \alpha_1 \\ &\quad - (\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1 - m\lambda) (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u - m\lambda) \bar{\omega} \Big) \\ &\quad + (\sigma_2 - \eta_i + \varphi_2) (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) B_2 \alpha_2 (R_3 - 1) \\ &\quad - (\bar{\omega} (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) (\eta_i + \sigma_1) + (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1) (\eta_i + \sigma_1) (\eta_i - \varphi_2) \eta_e) (R_4 \\ &\quad - 1) ((R_5 - 1)) \\ &\quad + (\eta_s (\eta_r + \varpi_1) (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) (\eta_i + 2\sigma_1) - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)^2 (\eta_r + \varpi_1) \pi_2) (R_6 \\ &\quad - 1) - ((\rho_2 + \varpi_2) (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) \alpha_1 + (\eta_r + \varpi_1) \eta_e \alpha_2) (R_7 - 1) \Big] \quad (4.15) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{\eta_s - \alpha_2}{\eta_r + \eta_e + \varpi_1 + m\lambda} & R_2 &= \frac{\eta_i + \varepsilon_1}{v_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1} & R_3 &= \frac{\eta_s + \bar{\omega}}{\varpi_2 - \rho_2 + \varepsilon_2 + \frac{\eta}{m}} & R_4 &= \frac{\eta_s}{\rho_1 - (\eta_r + \varpi_1) + m\lambda} \\ R_5 &= \frac{\eta_e}{m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1} & R_6 &= \frac{m\eta_r}{v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2 + \tau_2} & R_7 &= \frac{\eta_i m\lambda}{\pi_1 + \eta_s - \eta_e} & R_8 &= \frac{\varphi_2}{\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1 + \sigma_1} \end{aligned} \right\} 4.16)$$

Similarly, the Trace of the Jacobian Matrix J_{E_0} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(J_{E_0}) &= (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1)(R_1 - 1) - (\eta_e - m\lambda)(R_3 - 1) \\ &\quad + (v_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1 - 3m\lambda)(R_5 - 1) + (\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1 - m\lambda)(R_7 - 1) \\ &\quad - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u - m\lambda)(R_9 - 1) \\ &\quad - \left(\pi_2 + 2\frac{\eta_s}{m} + B_2 + \frac{\eta_e}{m} + v_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2 - \varpi_2 + \rho_2 + -\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$R_9 = \frac{\eta_s \alpha_1}{v_1 + \eta_i + \eta_r + \eta_e + \sigma_1 + \varphi_1 + m\lambda} \quad (4.18)$$

Theorem 1: The disease free equilibrium state $E_0 = [1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0]$ of the model (3.34) – (3.43) is locally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$ and the following conditions hold

(i). $R_1 < 1$ (ii). $R_2 < 1$ (iii). $R_3 < 1$ (iv). $R_4 < 1$ (v). $R_5 < 1$ (vi). $R_6 < 1$ (vii). $R_7 < 1$ (viii). $R_8 < 1$ (ix). $R_9 < 1$ otherwise E_0 is unstable.

Proof:

The Jacobian matrix of the system (3.34) – (3.48) is given by

$$J_{E_0} = \begin{bmatrix} -A + \eta_s & \eta_e - \alpha_1 & C - \alpha_1 & & & & & & & \\ D - \alpha_1 & E - \alpha_1 & G - \alpha_1 & & & & & & & \\ \eta_s - \alpha_1 & I - \alpha_1 & B - \alpha_1 & O - \alpha_1 & H - \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & -m\lambda\xi & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_s - \alpha_1 & \eta_e - \alpha_1 & F - \alpha_1 & -P - \alpha_1 & R_1 - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & -m\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \eta_s - \alpha_1 & \eta_e - \alpha_1 & -J - \alpha_1 & Q_1 - \alpha_1 & N - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & \xi\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & K - \alpha_1 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & S_1 - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & -m\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & 0 & L - \alpha_1 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & T - \alpha_1 & -m\lambda & 0 & -m\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & -U_1 - \alpha_2 & -\alpha_2 & -W_1 - \alpha_2 & \varpi_2 - \alpha_2 & U_2 - \alpha_2 \\ -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & \pi_2 - \alpha_2 & -V_1 - \alpha_2 & -X_1 - \alpha_2 & \varpi_2 - \alpha_2 & V_2 - \alpha_2 \\ \frac{m}{m} & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & M - \alpha_2 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & -\alpha_2 & B_2 - \alpha_2 & -Y_1 - \alpha_2 & \varpi_2 - \alpha_2 & W_2 - \alpha_2 \\ -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & 0 & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_2 & Z - \alpha_2 & R_2 - \alpha_2 & X_2 - \alpha_2 \\ \frac{m}{m} & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\eta_u}{m} & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_2 & -Q_2 - \alpha_2 & S_2 - \alpha_2 & Y_2 - \alpha_2 \\ -\frac{\eta_s}{m} & \frac{\eta_e}{m} & & -\frac{\eta_r}{m} & & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

If the Jacobian matrix is evaluated at the disease-free equilibrium state, then the required criteria for stable equilibrium (according to Silver (1999), Theorem 1 and Corollary 3.1) are that the Determinant of the Jacobian (J_{E_0}) is positive and the Trace of the Jacobian (J_{E_0}) is negative. From the determinant of the Jacobian matrix above given in equation (4.11) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Def(J_{E_0}) &= (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1) \left(\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2 - \frac{\eta_s}{m} - \frac{\eta_r}{m} \right) (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) (\eta_i \\ &\quad + \sigma_1) \alpha_1 (R_8 - 1) (R_4 \\ &\quad - 1) \left[(R_1 - 1) (R_2 - 1) \left(\pi_2 (\nu_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2) (\eta_e - m\lambda) (\eta_i + \sigma_1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + (\pi_1 + \eta_s) (\nu_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1 - 3m\lambda) \left(B_2 + \frac{\eta_e}{m} + \frac{\eta_s}{m} \right) \alpha_1 \\ &\quad - (\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1 - m\lambda) (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u - m\lambda) \bar{\omega} \Big) \\ &\quad + (\sigma_2 - \eta_i + \varphi_2) (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) B_2 \alpha_2 (R_3 - 1) \\ &\quad - (\bar{\omega} (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) (\eta_i + \sigma_1) + (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1) (\eta_i + \sigma_1) (\eta_i - \varphi_2) \eta_e) (R_4 \\ &\quad - 1) (R_5 - 1) \Big) \\ &\quad + (\eta_s (\eta_r + \varpi_1) (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u) (\eta_i + 2\sigma_1) - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u)^2 (\eta_r + \varpi_1) \pi_2) (R_6 \\ &\quad - 1) - \left((\rho_2 + \varpi_2) (\tau_2 - \varepsilon_2) \alpha_1 + (\eta_r + \varpi_1) \eta_e \alpha_2 \right) (R_7 - 1) \Big] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted however that the following conditions holds: $R_1 < 1$, $R_2 < 1$, $R_3 < 1$, $R_4 < 1$, $R_5 < 1$, $R_6 < 1$, $R_7 < 1$ and $R_8 < 1$, then $Def(J_{E_0}) > 0$, where R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 , R_6 , R_7 and R_8 are defined by equation (4.16).

Similarly from the Trace of the Jacobian matrix (J_{E_0}) given in equation (4.11) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Trace(J_{E_0}) &= (m\lambda + \alpha_1 + \pi_1)(R_1 - 1) - (\eta_e - m\lambda)(R_3 - 1) \\ &\quad + (\nu_1 + \eta_i - \sigma_1 + \varphi_1 - 3m\lambda)(R_5 - 1) + (\rho_1 - \eta_r - \varpi_1 - m\lambda)(R_7 - 1) \\ &\quad - (\tau_1 + \varepsilon_1 + \eta_u - m\lambda)(R_9 - 1) \\ &\quad - \left(\pi_2 + 2\frac{\eta_s}{m} + B_2 + \frac{\eta_e}{m} + \nu_2 + \sigma_2 + \varphi_2 - \varpi_2 + \rho_2 + -\tau_2 + \varepsilon_2 \right) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that when the following conditions holds $R_1 < 1$, $R_3 < 1$, $R_5 < 1$, $R_7 < 1$ and $R_9 < 1$, then $Trace(J_{E_0}) < 0$, where R_1 , R_3 , R_5 , R_7 and R_9 are defined in equation (4.16) and (4.18).

Since $Def(J_{E_0}) > 0$ and $Trace(J_{E_0}) < 0$ under the prescribed threshold conditions, then the disease free equilibrium (E_0) is locally asymptotically stable.

5. CONCLUSION

The investigation of the deterministic endemic SEIRUS model is a novel method in the scientific and empirical approach in controlling the spread of diseases in an endemic situation. This paper focused squarely on determining the asymptotical stability of the new model with the model parameters. We established that a disease-free equilibrium state exists and is locally asymptotically stable when the basic reproduction number $0 \leq R_0 < 1$ and the following threshold conditions ($0 \leq R_1 < 1$, $0 \leq R_2 < 1$, $0 \leq R_3 < 1$, $0 \leq R_4 < 1$ and $0 \leq R_5 < 1$, $0 \leq R_6 < 1$, $0 \leq R_7 < 1$, $0 \leq R_8 < 1$) are satisfied. Furthermore, numerical simulations were carried to complement the

analytical results in investigating the effect treatment rate and the net transmission rate (that is the probability of secondary transmission) on recovery for both juvenile and adult sub-population in an age-structured population. Results from the model analysis shows that the proportion of infected juvenile and adult sub-population in the presence of HAART drastically reduce to a zero ($R_0 = 0$) as compared to the infected age-structured population when treatment rate is low and the net transmission rate is near zero. It further shows that the rate of transmission in the adult sub-population from infected mothers to their babies through vertical transmission, contributes highly on the proportion of infections in the newborn babies. Result in this study further shows that high treatment rate with HAART should not be done in isolation, but rather combined with behavioral change to reduce the prevalence of the infection.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2018). Comprehensive Prevention Programs for Health Departments. www.cdc.gov/hiv/programresources/healthdepartments.
- Garnett, G. P. (2002). An introduction to Mathematical models in Sexually transmitted diseases epidemiology. *Sex Transm Inf*: 78 : 7-12
- Mckendrick, A. G. and Kermack, W. O. (1927): A contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics. *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London, Series A*. Vol. 115 pp 700-721
- Murray, J. M., Kaufmann, G., Kelleher, A. D. and Cooper, D. A. (1998). A Model of primary HIV-1 infection, *Mathematical Biosciences*, 154, 57-85
- Oduwole, H. K. and Kimbir, A. R. (2018). “Modelling vertical transmission and the effect of Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) on the dynamics of HIV/AIDS in an age-structured population in Nigeria. *Journal of Natural and Applied Science-Nasara Scientifique*, Vol. 7 No. 1 pp. 51 – 78.
- Silvester, J., R (1999). Determinants of Block Matrices. Departments of Mathematics. King’s College Strund, London WC2R 2LS.