Title: Household transmissions of SARS-CoV-2 in the time of unprecedented travel lockdown in China

Authors: Xiao-Ke Xu, PhD^{1,+}, Xiao-Fan Liu, PhD^{2,+}, Lin Wang, PhD^{3,4,+}, Sheikh Taslim Ali, PhD^{5,+}, Zhanwei Du, PhD^{6,+}, Paolo Bosetti, PhD³, Benjamin J. Cowling, PhD⁵, Ye Wu, PhD^{7,8}

Affiliations:

1. College of Information and Communication Engineering, Dalian Minzu University, Dalian 116600, China

2. Web Mining Lab, Department of Media and Communication, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

3. Department of Global Health, Institut Pasteur, UMR2000, CNRS, Paris 75015, France

4. Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EH, UK

5. WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Control, School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

6. Department of Integrative Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78705, USA

7. Computational Communication Research Center, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai, 519087, China

8. School of Journalism and Communication, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

Corresponding email: wuye@bnu.edu.cn and lin.wang@pasteur.fr

⁺ These authors are joint first authors with equal contribution.

Abstract (words limit: 335 / 350)

Main Text (words limit: 1,214 / 1200)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Key Points

Question: What epidemiological characteristics and risk factors are associated with household and non-household transmissions of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in China outside of Hubei Province?

Findings: In this epidemiological study analyzing 1,407 SARS-CoV-2 infection events reported between 20 January 2020 and 19 February 2020, 643 transmission clusters were reconstructed to demonstrate the non-negligible frequency of super-spreading events, short duration of serial intervals, and a higher risk of being infected outside of household for male people of age between 18 and 64 years.

Meaning: These findings provide epidemiological features and risk estimates for both household and non-household transmissions of SARS-CoV-2 in China outside of Hubei Province.

Abstract

Importance Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in the city of Wuhan, China, in December 2019 and then spread globally. Limited information is available for characterizing epidemiological features and transmission patterns in the regions outside of Hubei Province. Detailed data on transmission at the individual level could be an asset to understand the transmission mechanisms and respective patterns in different settings.

Objective To reconstruct infection events and transmission clusters of SARS-CoV-2 for estimating epidemiological characteristics at household and non-household settings, including super-spreading events, serial intervals, age- and gender-stratified risks of infection in China outside of Hubei Province.

Design, Setting, and Participants 9,120 confirmed cases reported online by 264 Chinese urban Health Commissions in 27 provinces from January 20 to February 19, 2020. A line-list database is established with detailed information on demographic, social and epidemiological characteristics. The infection events are categorized into the household and non-household settings.

Exposures Confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections.

Main Outcomes and Measures Information about demographic characteristics, social relationships, travel history, timelines of potential exposure, symptom onset, confirmation, and hospitalization were extracted from online public reports. 1,407 infection events formed 643 transmission clusters were reconstructed.

Results In total 34 primary cases were identified as super spreaders, and 5 household super-spreading events were observed. The mean serial interval is estimated to be 4.95 days (standard deviation: 5.24 days) and 5.19 days (standard deviation: 5.28 days) for households and non-household transmissions, respectively. The risk of being infected outside of households is higher for age groups between 18 and 64 years, whereas the hazard of being infected within households is higher for age groups of young (<18) and elderly (>65) people.

Conclusions and Relevance The identification of super-spreading events, short serial intervals, and a higher risk of being infected outside of households for male people of age between 18 and 64 indicate a significant barrier to the case identification and management, which calls for intensive non-pharmaceutical interventions (e.g. cancellation of public gathering, limited access of public services) as the potential mitigation strategies.

Introduction

In December 2019, a novel coronavirus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2) emerged in Wuhan city of Hubei Province in China. World Health Organization (WHO) announced a public health emergency of international significance on 30 January 2020¹ and classified the threat as a global pandemic on 11 March 2020². More than 118,326 confirmed cases and 4,292 deaths have been reported as of 11 March 2020. The majority of cases in China (67,773) were from Hubei Province³.

On 23 January 2020, China raised the national emergency response to the highest level, triggered an unprecedented travel ban starting from the lockdown of Wuhan on 23 January, and 14 cities nearby Wuhan on 24 January, and more than 30 provinces thereafter (Figure 1a). Although this countrywide travel lockdown was aimed to interrupt case exportations from the epicenter, we have estimated 130 (95% CrI: 190, 369) high-risk cities that have introduced SARS-CoV-2 cases prior to Wuhan's lockdown⁴. Recent studies^{5,6} report similar estimates for the rapid geographic expansion of SARS-CoV-2. These coincide with the frequent reporting of infection events in China outside of Hubei Province during the three weeks following Wuhan's lockdown (Figure 1c).

Since the last week of January 2020, more than 260 Chinese cities have implemented intensive non-pharmaceutical controls (Figure 1b), which brought the epidemic under control^{7,8,9}. However, as of 19 February 2020, this epidemic still has caused >10,000 cases in China outside of Hubei Province. To enhance public health preparedness and public awareness, Chinese health authorities have publicly reported detailed records of confirmed cases since 20 January 2020. This provides a unique resource and an opportunity for understanding the transmission patterns, routes, and risk factors of the COVID-19 epidemic.

Methods

Data Collection

In China, 27 provincial and 264 urban health commissions have publicly posted 9,120 confirmed case reports online since 20 January 2020, which comprises 72.7% of all cases confirmed in China outside of Hubei Province by 19 February (Table S9). We compiled a line-list database from these reports with extracted demographic characteristics, social relationships, travel history, timelines of potential exposure, symptom onset, confirmation and hospitalization. We obtained the daily population

movement data in China between 1 January and 13 February 2020 from Baidu Qianxi Web Portal (<https://qianxi.baidu.com/>). We estimated the daily number of passengers leaving each province by using the migration scale index for moving out of that province each day. More details on our real-time mobility and line-list case data are provided in Supplemental Material and will be available at our GitHub.

Statistical Analysis

We identified 1,407 infection events with known social relationships. For each infection event, we term the infector the *primary* case and the infectee the *secondary* case. We also consider connected chains of confirmed cases; we term the original case the *index* and the entire chain of cases, including the index, the *transmission cluster* (Figure 2a).

We stratify infection events by household versus non-household transmissions, where household includes any infection event among members within the same family (e.g., between parents and children), and non-household include all others (e.g. colleagues, classmates). The numbers of household (662) and non-household (745) infection events are almost even.

For each infection event with known symptom onset timelines, we compute the serial interval, i.e., the number of days between the reported symptom onset date for the primary case and that for the secondary case. We estimate the distribution of serial intervals by fitting a normal distribution to 679 infection events with known serial intervals (Supplemental Material).

The age-stratified hazard of infection for household relative to non-household contacts is estimated by the ratio between the probability that a secondary case of age group b was infected by a primary case of age group a within the same household and the probability that a secondary case of age group b was infected by a primary case of age group a outside of households. Gender-specific hazard of infection is measured similarly (Supplemental Material).

Results

We reconstructed 643 transmission clusters from 1,407 infection events (Figure 2a). The sizes of 587 transmission clusters are smaller than 5, whereas the size of the largest cluster exceeds 20. We observed 34 primary cases acting as super spreaders. Stratification by household shows that 356, 92, and 34 primary cases infected only 1 member, 2 members, and at least 3 familial members within households, respectively; 276, 78, and 75 primary cases infected 1, 2, and at least 3 secondary cases outside households, respectively (Figure 2b). Only 5 household super-spreading events were observed.

The mean serial interval is estimated to be 4.95 days (standard deviation: 5.24 days) within households, and 5.19 days (standard deviation: 5.28 days) for non-household transmissions. Notably, 26 of 339 household- and 29 of 340 non-household infection events reported negative-valued serial intervals, implying pre-symptomatic transmission.

The hazard of being infected within households is higher for age groups of young (<18) and elderly (>65) people, whereas the hazard of being infected outside of households is higher for age groups between 18 and 64 years (Table 1a). Primary cases of elderly (>65) people are more prone to cause household infections. Hazard of infection between different genders is higher for households than non-household transmission (Table 1b).

Discussion

We reconstructed 1,407 infection events formed 643 transmission clusters from 9,120 COVID-19 cases confirmed in China outside of Hubei Province as of 19 February 2020. The entire database will be available at our GitHub.

Although super-spreading events have been reported by media reports and a recent study¹¹ which focuses on special settings (e.g., restaurant, chalet) before February 2020, systematic analyses with a sufficiently large sample size is lacking. Here, we identified 34 primary cases classified as super-spreader. Majority of the super-spreading events involve more non-household transmissions than household transmissions. This indicates the importance of non-pharmaceutical interventions (e.g. cancellation of public gatherings, limited access of public services) as the potential mitigation strategies (see Table S2).

Household study helps identify risk factors for certain demographic groups¹². The age-stratified and gender-specific hazard of infection suggests a higher risk of infection within households for age groups of young (<18) and elderly (>65) and female people. The higher risk of being infected outside of households for male people of age between 18 and 64 years indicates their role in driving household secondary infections in the households included in our data, perhaps because these were travelers from Wuhan of working age.

We identified 55 infection events (~4%) with the secondary case reporting symptom onset prior to the primary case, which is consistent with our preliminary analysis⁴ and recent clinical reports^{13,14}.

Negative-valued serial intervals indicate the potential occurrence of pre-symptomatic transmissions.

We estimate that the mean serial interval is ~5 days for both household and non-household infections,

which is considerably shorter than the mean serial interval estimated for SARS (e.g., 8.4 days¹⁵) and MERS (e.g. 7.6 days¹⁶). These evidences impose a significant barrier to case identification and management.

Our findings have several limitations. First, the size of each household and the primary cases without secondary infections are unknown from original disclosures. This may give biased estimates if we estimate the household reproduction number and secondary attack rate from raw data. Field surveys will be helpful to adjust biases. Second, the information on nosocomial infections is unknown from original disclosures, so that the observation of super-spreading events may be less common from our dataset.

References

1. Statement on the second meeting of the International Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). [https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-\(2005\)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-\(2019-ncov\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)). Accessed March 16, 2020.
2. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020>. Accessed March 16, 2020.
3. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) situation reports. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports>. Accessed March 16, 2020.
4. Du Z, Wang L, Cauchemez S, et al. Risk for Transportation of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Disease from Wuhan to Other Cities in China. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020;26(5). doi:10.3201/eid2605.200146
5. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10225):689-697. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9
6. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science*. March 2020. doi:10.1126/science.aba9757
7. Kraemer MUG, Yang C-H, Gutierrez B, et al. The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *medRxiv*. 2020. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.02.20026708v1.abstract>.
8. Tian H, Liu Y, Li Y, et al. The impact of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *medRxiv*. 2020. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.01.30.20019844v4>.
9. Lai S, Ruktanonchai NW, Zhou L, Prosper O, Luo W. Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions for containing the COVID-19 outbreak: an observational and modelling study. *medRxiv*. 2020. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.03.20029843v2.full.pdf+html>.
10. Liu Y, Eggo RM, Kucharski AJ. Secondary attack rate and superspreading events for SARS-CoV-2. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10227):e47. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30462-1
11. Cowling BJ, Leung GM. Epidemiological research priorities for public health control of the ongoing global novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak. *Euro Surveill*. 2020;25(6). doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.6.2000110
12. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of COVID-19. *JAMA*. February 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2565
13. Pan X, Chen D, Xia Y, et al. Asymptomatic cases in a family cluster with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Lancet Infect Dis*. February 2020. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30114-6
14. Lipsitch M. Transmission Dynamics and Control of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. *Science*. 2003;300(5627):1966-1970. doi:10.1126/science.1086616
15. Hospital Outbreak of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013;369(9):886-886. doi:10.1056/nejmx130042

248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272

Acknowledgements

We thank Simon Cauchemez, Lauren Ancel Meyers, Henrik Salje, Juliette Paireau, Dongsheng Luo, and Lanfang Hu for helpful discussions.

Funding/Support

We acknowledge the financial support from the National Institutes of Health (grant no. R01AI114703-01, U01 GM087719), the Open Fund of Key Laboratory of Urban Land Resources Monitoring and Simulation, Ministry of Land and Resources (grant no. KF-2019-04-034), the Investissement d’Avenir program, the Laboratoire d’Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases program (grant no. ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), European Union V.E.O project, European Research Council (grant no. 804744), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 61773091, 11875005, 61976025, 11975025), Major Project of The National Social Science Fund of China (grant no. 19ZDA324), and a Commissioned Grant from the Health and Medical Research Fund, Food and Health Bureau, Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region,

Conflict of Interest Disclosures:

BJC reports honoraria from Sanofi Pasteur and Roche. The authors report no other potential conflicts of interest.

Figure Legends and Tables

Figure 1: Changing patterns of population movements, non-pharmaceutical control measures, and epidemic curve of infection events in China outside of Hubei Province. (a) Relative change in the outbound travel volume (i.e., daily number of passengers) moving out of each province in China from 1 January to 13 February 2020. The baseline daily outbound travel volume of a given province is estimated by the mean daily number of passengers moving out of that province between 3 January 2020 and 9 January 2020 (i.e., the last week prior to the start of the Spring Festival travel season). The relative change in outbound travel volume of province i on a given date T_i is measured by the ratio between the estimated number of passengers moving out of province i on date T_i and the baseline daily outbound travel volume of province i . Each row denotes a province, with Hubei Province highlighted in red. Columns from left to right are ordered by calendar days. For the color bar, the dark red and blue indicate a significant decrease and increase in the outbound travel volume, respectively. The Spring Festival travel season officially started on 10 January 2020. The Lunar New Year holiday started on 25 January 2020 and was extended to 8 February 2020. The lockdown of Wuhan started on 23 January 2020. The end date of Spring Festival travel season is not clear because of the countrywide travel lockdown. (b) The timing of epidemiological events and implementation of non-pharmaceutical control measures in 263 cities in China. The size of circles is proportional to the number of cities. The vertical grey dashed line indicates the timing of Wuhan's lockdown. (c) The date of symptom onset for secondary cases caused by household (light pink) and non-household (light blue) primary infections.

295

296 **Figure 2: COVID-19 transmission clusters.** (a) 643 transmission clusters, stratified by the size of
 297 cluster n . Red, green and blue nodes denote primary cases, household secondary cases and non-
 298 household secondary cases, respectively. (b) Distribution of the number of secondary infections caused
 299 by each of the 809 primary cases. (c) Distribution of serial intervals, which is fitted to 679 infection
 300 events with known symptom onset timelines. For household transmissions, fitting with a normal
 301 distribution suggests a mean serial interval of 4.95 days (standard deviation: 5.24 days); for non-
 302 household transmissions, fitting with a normal distribution suggests a mean serial interval of 5.19 days
 303 (standard deviation: 5.28 days). Alternative distributions fitted for the serial interval can be found in
 304 Table S5.

305

306

Table 1: Hazard of infection stratified by age or gender. (a) Age-stratified hazard of infection for the household relative to non-household transmission. (b) Gender-specific hazard of infection for the household relative to non-household transmission. Red or blue shades indicate an increased or decreased hazard of infection within households relative to outside of households, respectively.

Table 1a		Secondary cases				
		0~17	18~49	50~64	65+	Total
Primary cases	0~17	0.0	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.7
	18~49	6.3	0.7	0.9	2.0	1.1
	50~64	1.7	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.8
	65+	2.3	1.4	0.6	2.1	1.3
	Total	3.5	0.8	0.8	1.4	1.0

Table 1b		Secondary cases		
		Male	Female	Total
Primary cases	Male	0.6	1.6	1.0
	Female	1.2	0.7	0.9
	Total	0.8	1.2	1.0

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.02.20029868>; this version posted March 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.