Running Head: ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Title Page

Alcohol Screening for Women in their Childbearing Years: What are Health Care Providers doing in Canada?

Authors:

*Christina Cantin, MScN¹; Tejal Patel, MD, CCFP²; Courtney R. Green, PhD, MSc³; Kyla Kaminsky, MA³; Nicole Roberts, MSc⁴; Jocelynn L. Cook, PhD, MBA³

¹ Champlain Maternal Newborn Regional Program, 2305 St. Laurent Blvd, Suite 300A, Ottawa, ON, K1G4J8

² Department of Family Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

³ Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, 2781, chemin Lancaster Road, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON K1B 1A7

⁴ Better Outcomes Registry & Network, Ottawa, ON

*Corresponding author

2305 St. Laurent Blvd, Suite 300A

Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8

Phone 613-737-2660 ext 3246

Fax 613-738-3633

ccantin@cmnnp.ca

Word Count= 2,793

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a Public Health Agency of Canada contribution agreement.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Abstract

Health care providers (HCPs) have an important role in screening for alcohol use across the lifespan, particularly during the childbearing years, and providing brief intervention to yield optimal outcomes and prevent the potential teratogenic effects of prenatal alcohol exposure.

Objective

The purpose of this study was to describe the current alcohol screening practices of Canadian HCPs who care for pregnant women and women of childbearing age.

Methods

An online survey was administered in 2017 with the aim to identify current knowledge, attitudes, practices and beliefs among Canadian HCPs on screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment (SBIRT) for alcohol use for this population. The bilingual survey was disseminated by 4 national professional associations. A total of 634 interprofessional clinicians completed the survey. Descriptive analysis was completed for the respondent's profession and their practices related to alcohol SBIRT. Cross-tabulation analyses explored the use of different screening questionnaires.

Results

Most respondents reported asking about alcohol use; however, there was a low overall use of screening questionnaires for both women of childbearing age and those who are pregnant. Low screening rates may equate to missed opportunities for intervention. Low rates of brief intervention and referral were noted even in circumstances where at-risk drinking was identified, with only 16.4% of respondents intervening when pregnant women reported at-risk alcohol consumption.

Conclusion

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Continued efforts are needed to improve alcohol screening practices among women's HCPs across Canada. Priority areas for training include: understanding validated alcohol screening questionnaires; incorporating brief intervention into routine care; and developing local referral pathways.

Key Words

Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders, prevention, pregnancy, women's health, counseling, alcohol use disorder, screening

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

60 **List of Abbreviations**

61 BI- Brief Interventions

62 SOGC- Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada

63 ACOG- American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology

64 T-ACE – Tolerance, Annoy, Cut down, Eye-opener

65 TWEAK – Tolerance, Worry, Eye-opener, Amnesia, Kut down

66 CAGE – Cut down, Annoy, Guilt, Eye-opener

67 CRAFFT- Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family, Trouble

68 AUDIT-C – Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Alcohol Consumption Questionnaire

69 SMAST – Shortened Michigan Alcohol Screening Test

70 RCT- Randomized Controlled Trial

71 SBIR- Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral

72 SBIRT- Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment

73 FASD- Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Introduction

Alcohol use during pregnancy continues to be a significant public health concern. Despite the strong evidence correlating alcohol consumption in pregnancy with adverse fetal outcomes, namely Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD), there is insufficient evidence to define a safe threshold (Carson et al., 2017). Therefore, abstinence continues to be the recommendation for women who are considering a pregnancy or who are pregnant.

Alcohol consumption is a common and socially acceptable behaviour in Canadian society. According to the Public Health Agency of Canada's Canadian maternity experiences survey, 62.4% of women of childbearing age (≥ 18 years) reported consuming alcohol three months before they were pregnant or before pregnancy recognition; 10.5% women reported consuming alcohol during pregnancy (PHAC, 2009). The unplanned pregnancy rate among *all* Canadian women is reportedly 40%, but as high as 70% in adolescents (Black et al., 2015; PHAC, 2009). This translates to a high potential rate of prenatal alcohol exposure during critical embryonic and fetal developmental stages. Therefore, health care providers (HCPs) are uniquely placed to screen for alcohol use across the lifespan, particularly during the childbearing years, and provide management strategies to mitigate harm.

Universal screening is one prevention measure that HCPs can easily incorporate into their routine practice, enabling them to identify patients who are consuming alcohol. This would allow HCPs to provide interventions and support in the preconception period (ACOG, 2011; WHO, 2014). Although some populations are at higher risk for substance use (Carson et al., 2017), the following characteristics have been identified as the most likely to be overlooked when screening

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

for alcohol use: over 35 years of age; social drinker; highly educated; history of sexual and/or emotional abuse; and high socioeconomic status (PHAC, 2002). Furthermore, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of 304 pregnant women and their partners identified the following factors associated with increased prenatal alcohol use: more years of education; extent of previous alcohol consumption (prior to pregnancy); and temptation to drink in social situations (Chang et al., 2005). Universal screening by definition means that *all* women receive screening for substance use regardless of their sociodemographic characteristics. Use of an appropriate and validated screening questionnaire allows for a better understanding of problematic alcohol use and can inform further referrals and treatment.

There is compelling evidence that screening for problematic alcohol use in pregnancy, followed by brief intervention (BI) for those identified to be at-risk, can decrease alcohol consumption during pregnancy (Carson et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2005; Chang, Wilkins-Haug, Berman, & Goetz, 1999; Floyd, Weber, Denny, & O'Connor, 2009), in the postnatal period (Chang et al., 2005), and during women's childbearing years (Wilton et al., 2013). A RCT of 205 women of childbearing age receiving primary care and community-based care by physicians found a significant treatment effect in reducing both 7 day alcohol use ($p < 0.005$) and binge drinking episodes ($p < 0.005$) over a 48 month follow-up period (Manwell, Fleming, Mundt, Stauffacher, & Barry, 2000). Women in the experimental group, who became pregnant during the follow-up period, had the most dramatic decreases in alcohol use suggesting that BI is effective in maintaining a reduction in alcohol use.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

119 In North America, both the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) and
 120 Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) recommend universal screening
 121 for alcohol use in pregnancy (ACOG, 2011; Carson et al., 2017). Screening can take many
 122 forms. Simply asking one or two questions to identify if the woman drinks alcohol, how often or
 123 how much has been shown to effectively open a dialogue about alcohol use in pregnant women
 124 (Burd et al., 2006). Alternatively, screening can be accomplished by the use of a structured and
 125 validated questionnaire. A number of questionnaires (Table 1) have been described in the
 126 literature for this purpose (Bush, Kivlahan, McDonell, Fihn, & Bradley, 1998; Knight et al.,
 127 1999; Mayfield, McLeod, & Hall, 1974; Pokorny, Miller, & Kaplan, 1972; Russell & Bigler,
 128 1979; Saunders, Aasland, Babor, de la Fuente, & Grant, 1993; Selzer, Vinokur, & van Rooijen,
 129 1975; Sokol, Martier, & Ager, 1989). Among these, the T-ACE and TWEAK (Tolerance, Worry,
 130 Eye-opener, Amnesia, Kut down) were designed and validated specifically for the obstetrical
 131 population (Burns, Gray, & Smith, 2010; Sokol et al., 1989) while others, such as the AUDIT-C
 132 (Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Alcohol Consumption Questionnaire) and CAGE
 133 (Cut down, Annoy, Guilt, Eye-opener), have been studied in pregnant women though they were
 134 originally developed for use in men. Currently, the evidence would suggest that the T-ACE,
 135 TWEAK and AUDIT-C screening questionnaires have the most applicability and promise for use
 136 in the pregnant population (Burns et al., 2010; Shogren, Harsell, & Heitkamp, 2017; Smith,
 137 Savory, Couves, & Burns, 2014). Accordingly, ACOG recommends use of the T-ACE
 138 questionnaire and discourages use of CAGE during pregnancy (ACOG, 2011), while the SOGC
 139 recommends using CRAFFT (Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family, Trouble) in adolescent
 140 pregnancies and either T-ACE or TWEAK with adult pregnant women (ACOG, 2011; Chudley
 141 et al., 2005). Premji and Semenik suggest the T-ACE scale can identify alcohol use among

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

diverse groups of pregnant women, due to its high sensitivity and specificity (Premji & Semenic, 2009).

Despite the recommendation, uptake of formal screening questionnaires among physicians and nurses is poor, with only 44% reporting consistent use (Davis, Carr, & La, 2008). Often cited barriers to screening include the time required to conduct the questionnaire; the need for a specific skill set and training to conduct sensitive and difficult conversations; and a perceived lack of confidence or competence among HCPs (Diekmann et al., 2000; Gassman, 2003; Poole, Schmidt, Green, & Hemsing, 2016; Spandorfer, Israel, & Turner, 1999; Wangberg, 2015). The purpose of this paper is to describe the current alcohol screening practices of Canadian HCPs who care for pregnant women and women of childbearing age, to explore differences among HCP groups, and to identify priority areas for training.

Methods

The SOGC conducted a national survey in 2017 that was based on the 2002 survey on the same topic (PHAC, 2002). An interprofessional panel was tasked with revising the 2002 survey, based on their expertise in the field and informed by a comprehensive literature review. Once the survey (consisting of 116 questions) was finalized, it was translated and administered using SurveyMonkey®. The survey was disseminated to Canadian HCPs in both English and French between October and November 2017 through the following professional association membership lists: SOGC, the College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC), the Canadian Association of Midwives (CAM), and the Canadian Association of Perinatal and Women's Health Nurses (CAPWHN). Two reminder emails were sent after the initial recruitment email.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

The study received ethics approval from the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board (REB #20170407-01H). Descriptive analysis was conducted using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) (SAS, 2019) version 9.4 reporting respondent's profession and their practices related to alcohol screening, provision of advice, brief intervention, harm reduction, and referral to treatment. Additionally, cross-tabulations were completed by HCP group (i.e. Family Physicians, Midwives, Obstetricians/Gynaecologists (Ob/Gyns), & Nurses) to explore any differences in the types of screening questionnaires used for both pregnant and women of childbearing age.

Results

A total of 634 HCPs completed the survey. The demographic characteristics of the respondents are summarized in Table 2. Participants from all disciplines surveyed were represented, with midwives making up a third (33.3%) of all respondents. Participants were mostly female (89.6%) and practicing in Ontario (51.5%). There was almost equal participation of HCPs by years in practice, with slightly higher rates for those in their first 5 years of practice (34.2%). One third of all respondents identified some personal experience with problematic alcohol use. Familiarity and use of the SOGC guidelines was modest.

The majority of HCPs stated that "no alcohol during pregnancy is recommended." Interestingly, more HCPs recommended this for pregnant women than for women of childbearing age (93.1% vs 88.1%). Nearly all participants (94.9%) reported asking pregnant women about alcohol use, while only 71.9% asked women of childbearing age (Table 3). More than one-third of

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

participants reported using an alcohol screening questionnaire (38% with pregnant women, and 42% with women of childbearing age). However, only 35% and 14% of the participants reported that they “frequently used” a screening questionnaire for pregnant women and women of childbearing age, respectively (Table 3). The majority of respondents felt that they had enough time to use a structured alcohol screening questionnaire for pregnant women (76.9%) and women of childbearing age (69.3%).

HCPs choice of alcohol screening questionnaires is summarized in Table 4. Overall, T-ACE and CAGE were the most commonly used structured alcohol screening questionnaires (38% and 41% respectively for pregnant women; and 25% and 79% respectively for women of childbearing age). Variations in choice of screening questionnaires were revealed among different HCPs; family physicians most often used CAGE whereas midwives most often used TWEAK; Ob/Gyns were equally likely to choose T-ACE and CAGE for pregnant women, however, CAGE was preferentially used for women of childbearing age.

In addition to understanding screening practices, it was important to determine how HCPs responded to positive screens. All respondents reported low rates of brief intervention when at-risk drinking was identified in pregnant women (16.4%), and women of childbearing age (39.9%). Family physicians were the most likely to provide brief intervention for both groups of women. The highest rates of referral to harm reduction and treatment programs were found for women of childbearing age when at-risk drinking was identified (50.6%) compared to pregnant women when at-risk drinking was identified (38.7%). Midwives and nurses reported the highest

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

referral rates for pregnant women, whereas family physicians reported the highest referrals rates for women of childbearing age.

Discussion

This study explored the alcohol screening practices of Canadian women's HCPs. Although most respondents reported asking about alcohol use, overall a smaller percentage actually reported using validated screening questionnaire. This is consistent with the findings from a descriptive study by Davis and colleagues exploring alcohol risk assessment practices of Canadian primary care professionals (Davis et al., 2008). The majority of respondents in their study reported that they either "rarely or never" used a standardized screening questionnaire *or* that they used a questionnaire that was less sensitive. Practices varied according to gender, length of time in practice, and practice location (Davis et al., 2008). Poole and colleagues reported that screening, BI, and referral to treatment (SBIRT) was not provided universally or systematically across Canada (Poole et al., 2016). Low screening rates may translate into missed opportunities for intervention, resulting in alcohol exposed-pregnancies and suboptimal maternal, neonatal, and child outcomes.

A review of the qualitative data collected in this survey revealed that HCPs, who do not use validated screening questionnaires, identified the following barriers to use: insufficient time; lack of education and/or awareness of screening questionnaires; lack of access to screening questionnaires; and the perception that screening was out of their scope of practice (data not shown). This is consistent with other studies that reported lack of knowledge (Poole et al., 2016)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

and perceived lack of time and competence in BI (Wangberg, 2015) as barriers to integrating effective screening into clinical practice.

A national survey of Swedish midwives (n=2106) revealed that although they had excellent or good knowledge concerning the risks associated with drinking alcohol during pregnancy, they lacked the skills to identify pregnant women with at-risk pre-pregnancy alcohol use. Resources related to alcohol use during pregnancy for parents, and strategies to manage at-risk drinking behaviours were identified as knowledge gaps (Holmqvist & Nilsen, 2010).

Strategies to Increase Alcohol Screening Practices

HCPs may benefit from education to improve their use of evidence-based screening questionnaires. It is important for HCPs to understand the evidence underlying efficacy of screening questionnaires and the low time investment, in most cases, needed to implement screening practices in routine care.

Embedding a validated alcohol screening questionnaire into prenatal records may help improve uptake by increasing awareness and ready access for busy HCPs (Premji & Semenik, 2009). Ontario, the province with the greatest representation in this survey, released the newest version of their prenatal record in 2017 and now includes the T-ACE questionnaire (PCMCH, 2017). It is anticipated that alcohol screening practices will improve, as HCPs use this evidence-based questionnaire as part of routine prenatal care provision. Additionally, utilizing standard drink resources can assist patients to quantify their alcohol consumption and improve accurate documentation (Butt 2011; Premji & Semenik, 2009).

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

When developing and delivering education programs on alcohol use in pregnancy, application-type modules and/or activities are preferable to a solely didactic approach. In one study, HCPs in the prenatal care setting screened 95% of pregnant women for alcohol use after receiving training on the use of screening questionnaires and BI (Kennedy, Finkelstein, Hutchins, & Mahoney, 2004). Important components of the training were: integrating skill building sessions and information delivered by physicians with expertise in this field; identifying community treatment resources and referral pathways; reviewing a BI protocol and clinical decision tree/protocol, as well as offering ongoing technical assistance and consultation (Kennedy et al., 2004). In another study, a one day training session combined with continuous expert support was sufficient for a group of Swedish midwives to implement systematic screening during regular prenatal care visits (Goransson, Magnusson, & Heilig, 2006).

The use of technology could also be further explored for novel mechanisms for the implementation or enhancement of SBIRT in clinical settings. This could be further explored as a cost-effective alternative to traditional counselling approaches (Wilton et al., 2013). Computerized alcohol screening and BI was implemented at a public health clinic to pregnant women with positive outcomes (Nayak, Korcha, Kaskutas, & Avalos, 2014). Eighty-seven percent of participants completed the online program and 91% completed the key screening and BI modules. The program identified alcohol use in 21% of pregnant patients, which was higher than the rates obtained after screening by clinic staff (13%, $p < 0.01$). The majority of program participants (97%) reported that the program was easy to use, they learned

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

something new (88%), and would share what they learned with others (83%) (Nayak et al., 2014).

Future Directions

Future efforts of Canadian HCP associations and societies should consider the gaps identified in this study and explore opportunities to meet the priority needs. Standardized curricula regarding substance use should be included in all undergraduate and postgraduate health professionals programs, or offered as specialized courses for continuing professional education credit.

At the community level, more referrals to treatment programs are required, especially when at-risk drinking is identified in pregnant women. It is unclear as to why, in the current study, there were fewer referrals to harm reduction and treatment program when women were pregnant. This could reflect a lack of knowledge about available treatment programs, or a lack of programs to which HCPs can refer women. A gap in treatment services has been previously identified in Canada (Poole et al., 2016), as well as, the United States (Diekman et al., 2000). Poole and colleagues acknowledged that while pregnant women are often given priority access to substance use treatment, there is a need for tailored, gender-responsive and pregnancy-focused substance use treatment programs (Poole et al., 2016). Access is another consideration, especially for women living in rural and remote communities. Furthermore, programs that support women's recovery, while remaining with their babies and children, are needed.

Access to SBIRT in the preconception and interconception period has also been cited as a key facilitator in reducing the risk of alcohol exposed pregnancies (Poole et al., 2016). Preconception

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

interventions have been documented to be effective in reducing at-risk drinking, increasing the use of contraception, or both (Scholin, 2016).

Limitations

This study has a number of limitations. A high number of respondents were midwives and there was over-representation from Ontario compared to other provinces and territories. While the number of midwives providing care across the country is growing (PHAC, 2012), a significant proportion of early prenatal care is provided by family physicians. Similarly, the low number of responses of HCPs who care for women of childbearing age may be a reflection of the underrepresentation of family physicians and Ob/Gyns who often see these women for other medical reasons.

Further research should explore the predictors of HCPs' use of alcohol screening questionnaires, in particular, years in practice, practice location, attitudes about screening, and attitudes about BI to address any gaps in knowledge and novel approaches to education and training. These findings would help to target future knowledge translation activities.

Conclusion

All HCPs caring for pregnant women or women of childbearing age have an essential role in identifying and mitigating problematic alcohol use. There are a number of evidence-based questionnaires that can be used to assess alcohol use. HCPs should strive to provide a safe space to have open, non-judgemental conversations that explore the contributing factors to a woman's

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

322 alcohol use and provide BI as indicated. In doing so, comprehensive assessments can be
323 completed and individualized care can be provided.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

References

- American College of Obstetrics & Gynecology. (2011). Committee opinion no. 496: At-risk drinking and alcohol dependence: obstetric and gynecologic implications. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 118(2 Pt 1), 383-388. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e31822c9906
- Black, A. Y., Guilbert, E., Hassan, F., Chatziheofilou, I., Lowin, J., Jeddi, M., . . . Trussell, J. (2015). The Cost of Unintended Pregnancies in Canada: Estimating Direct Cost, Role of Imperfect Adherence, and the Potential Impact of Increased Use of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada*, 37(12), 1086-1097. doi:10.1016/s1701-2163(16)30074-3
- Burd, L., Klug, M. G., Martsolf, J. T., Martsolf, C., Deal, E., & Kerbeshian, J. (2006). A staged screening strategy for prenatal alcohol exposure and maternal risk stratification. *Journal of the Royal Society for the Promotion of Health*, 126(2), 86-94. doi:10.1177/1466424006063184
- Burns, E., Gray, R., & Smith, L. A. (2010). Brief screening questionnaires to identify problem drinking during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Addiction*, 105(4), 601-614. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02842.x
- Bush, K., Kivlahan, D. R., McDonell, M. B., Fihn, S. D., & Bradley, K. A. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): an effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP). Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 158(16), 1789-1795. doi:10.1001/archinte.158.16.1789
- Butt, B., Glkisman, Paradis & Stockwell. (2011). *Alcohol and health in Canada: A summary of evidence and guidelines for low risk drinking*. Retrieved from Ottawa, ON: <http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/2011-Summary-of-Evidence-and-Guidelines-for-Low-Risk%20Drinking-en.pdf>
- Carson, G., Cox, L. V., Crane, J., Croteau, P., Graves, L., Kluka, S., . . . Wood, R. (2017). No. 245- Alcohol Use and Pregnancy Consensus Clinical Guidelines. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada*, 39(9), e220-e254. doi:10.1016/j.jogc.2017.06.005
- Chang, G., McNamara, T. K., Orav, E. J., Koby, D., Lavigne, A., Ludman, B., . . . Wilkins-Haug, L. (2005). Brief intervention for prenatal alcohol use: a randomized trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 105(5 Pt 1), 991-998. doi:10.1097/01.AOG.0000157109.05453.84
- Chang, G., Wilkins-Haug, L., Berman, S., & Goetz, M. A. (1999). Brief intervention for alcohol use in pregnancy: a randomized trial. *Addiction*, 94(10), 1499-1508. doi:10.1046/j.1360-0443.1999.941014996.x
- Chudley, A. E., Conry, J., Cook, J. L., Looock, C., Rosales, T., LeBlanc, N., & Public Health Agency of Canada's National Advisory Committee on Fetal Alcohol Spectrum, D. (2005). Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder: Canadian guidelines for diagnosis. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 172(5 Suppl), S1-S21. doi:10.1503/cmaj.1040302
- Davis, P. M., Carr, T. L., & La, C. B. (2008). Needs assessment and current practice of alcohol risk assessment of pregnant women and women of childbearing age by primary health care professionals. *Canadian Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 15(2), e214-222.
- Diekman, S. T., Floyd, R. L., Decoufle, P., Schulkin, J., Ebrahim, S. H., & Sokol, R. J. (2000). A survey of obstetrician-gynecologists on their patients' alcohol use during pregnancy. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 95(5), 756-763. doi:10.1016/s0029-7844(99)00616-x
- Floyd, R. L., Weber, M. K., Denny, C., & O'Connor, M. J. (2009). Prevention of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders. *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 15(3), 193-199. doi:10.1002/ddrr.75
- Gassman, R. A. (2003). Medical specialization, profession, and mediating beliefs that predict stated likelihood of alcohol screening and brief intervention: targeting educational interventions. *Substance Abuse*, 24(3), 141-156. doi:10.1080/08897070309511544
- Goransson, M., Magnusson, A., & Heilig, M. (2006). Identifying hazardous alcohol consumption during pregnancy: implementing a research-based model in real life. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 85(6), 657-662. doi:10.1080/00016340600589677

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

- Holmqvist, M., & Nilsen, P. (2010). Approaches to assessment of alcohol intake during pregnancy in Swedish maternity care--a national-based investigation into midwives' alcohol-related education, knowledge and practice. *Midwifery*, 26(4), 430-434. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2008.10.009
- Kennedy, C., Finkelstein, N., Hutchins, E., & Mahoney, J. (2004). Improving screening for alcohol use during pregnancy: the Massachusetts ASAP program. *Maternal Child Health Journal*, 8(3), 137-147. doi:10.1023/b:maci.0000037647.78420.e3
- Knight, J. R., Shrier, L. A., Bravender, T. D., Farrell, M., Vander Bilt, J., & Shaffer, H. J. (1999). A new brief screen for adolescent substance abuse. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 153(6), 591-596. doi:10.1001/archpedi.153.6.591
- Manwell, L. B., Fleming, M. F., Mundt, M. P., Stauffacher, E. A., & Barry, K. L. (2000). Treatment of problem alcohol use in women of childbearing age: results of a brief intervention trial. *Alcoholism Clinical and Experimental Research*, 24(10), 1517-1524.
- Mayfield, D., McLeod, G., & Hall, P. (1974). The CAGE questionnaire: validation of a new alcoholism screening instrument. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 131(10), 1121-1123. doi:10.1176/ajp.131.10.1121
- Nayak, M. B., Korcha, R. A., Kaskutas, L. A., & Avalos, L. A. (2014). Feasibility and acceptability of a novel, computerized screening and brief intervention (SBI) for alcohol and sweetened beverage use in pregnancy. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 14, 379. doi:10.1186/s12884-014-0379-x
- Provincial Council for Maternal & Child Health. (2017). *Ontario Prenatal Record*. Retrieved from <http://www.pcmch.on.ca/health-care-providers/maternity-care/pcmch-strategies-and-initiatives/ontario-perinatal-record-2017/>
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2002). *Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder. Knowledge and attitudes of health professionals about fetal alcohol syndrome: Results of a national survey*. Ottawa, ON.
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2009). *What mothers say: the Canadian maternity experiences survey*. Ottawa, ON. Retrieved from <https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/phac-aspc/migration/phac-aspc/rhs-ssg/pdf/survey-eng.pdf>
- Public Health Agency of Canada. (2012). *Canadian hospitals maternity policies and practices survey*. Ottawa, ON Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cheryl_Levitt/publication/277955358_Hanvey_L_Kaczorowski_J_Levitt_C_Bartholomew_S_Chalmers_B_Heaman_M_Public_Health_Agency_of_Canada_Canadian_Hospitals_Maternity_P
- Pokorny, A. D., Miller, B. A., & Kaplan, H. B. (1972). The brief MAST: a shortened version of the Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 129(3), 342-345. doi:10.1176/ajp.129.3.342
- Poole, N., Schmidt, R. A., Green, C., & Hemsing, N. (2016). Prevention of Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder: Current Canadian Efforts and Analysis of Gaps. *Substance Abuse*, 10(Suppl 1), 1-11. doi:10.4137/SART.S34545
- Premji, S. S., & Semenic, S. (2009). Do Canadian prenatal record forms integrate evidence-based guidelines for the diagnosis of a FASD? *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 100(4), 274-280.
- Russell, M., & Bigler, L. (1979). Screening for alcohol-related problems in an outpatient obstetric-gynecologic clinic. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 134(1), 4-12. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(79)90787-7
- Statistical Analysis Software. (2019). https://www.sas.com/en_ca/home.html
- Saunders, J. B., Aasland, O. G., Babor, T. F., de la Fuente, J. R., & Grant, M. (1993). Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption--II. *Addiction*, 88(6), 791-804. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.1993.tb02093.x
- Scholin, L. (2016). *Prevention of harm caused by alcohol exposure in pregnancy: Rapid review and case studies from Member States*. Retrieved from <http://www.euro.who.int/en/publications/abstracts/prevention-of-harm-caused-by-alcohol-exposure-in-pregnancy.-rapid-review-and-case-studies-from-member-states-2016>

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

- Selzer, M. L., Vinokur, A., & van Rooijen, L. (1975). A self-administered Short Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (SMAST). *Journal of Studies on Alcohol & Drugs*, 36(1), 117-126. doi:10.15288/jsa.1975.36.117
- Shogren, M. D., Harsell, C., & Heitkamp, T. (2017). Screening Women for At-Risk Alcohol Use: An Introduction to Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) in Women's Health. *Journal of Midwifery & Womens Health*, 62(6), 746-754. doi:10.1111/jmwh.12659
- Smith, L., Savory, J., Couves, J., & Burns, E. (2014). Alcohol consumption during pregnancy: cross-sectional survey. *Midwifery*, 30(12), 1173-1178. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2014.04.002
- Sokol, R. J., Martier, S. S., & Ager, J. W. (1989). The T-ACE questions: practical prenatal detection of risk-drinking. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 160(4), 863-868; discussion 868-870. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(89)90302-5
- Spandorfer, J. M., Israel, Y., & Turner, B. J. (1999). Primary care physicians' views on screening and management of alcohol abuse: inconsistencies with national guidelines. *Journal of Family Practice*, 48(11), 899-902.
- Wangberg, S. C. (2015). Norwegian midwives' use of screening for and brief interventions on alcohol use in pregnancy. *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare*, 6(3), 186-190. doi:10.1016/j.srhc.2015.03.001
- World Health Organization. (2014) *Guidelines for the Identification and Management of Substance Use and Substance Use Disorders in Pregnancy*. Geneva.
- Wilton, G., Moberg, D. P., Van Stelle, K. R., Dold, L. L., Obmascher, K., & Goodrich, J. (2013). A randomized trial comparing telephone versus in-person brief intervention to reduce the risk of an alcohol-exposed pregnancy. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 45(5), 389-394. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2013.06.006

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Tables

Table 1: Examples of questionnaires that can be used to screen for problematic alcohol use

Screening Questionnaire	Components	Strengths & Limitations
CRAFFT	<p>C: Have you ever ridden in a Car driven by someone (including yourself) that was high or had been using alcohol or drugs?</p> <p>R: Have you ever used alcohol or drugs to Relax, feel better about yourself, or fit in?</p> <p>A: Do you ever use alcohol or drugs while you are by yourself (Alone)?</p> <p>F: Do you ever Forget things you did while using alcohol or drugs?</p> <p>F: Do your Family or friends ever tell you that you should cut down on your drinking or drug use?</p> <p>T: Have you ever gotten into Trouble while you were using alcohol or drugs?</p>	<p>Developed for use in adolescent patients</p> <p>Can be clinician or self administered</p>
CAGE	<p>C: Have you ever felt you should cut down on your drinking?</p> <p>A: Have people annoyed you by criticizing your drinking?</p> <p>G: Have you ever felt bad or guilty about your drinking?</p> <p>E: eye-opener: Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?</p>	<p>Developed for use in Caucasian men</p> <p>Indirect questions</p> <p>Focuses on consequences of alcohol overuse</p> <p>Considered to be less accurate for use in women</p>
T-ACE	<p>T: Tolerance – How many drinks does it take to make you feel high? (>2 indicates tolerance)</p> <p>A: Have people annoyed you by criticizing your drinking?</p> <p>C: Have you ever felt you should cut down on your drinking?</p> <p>E: eye-opener: Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?</p>	<p>Modification of CAGE</p> <p>Indirect questions</p> <p>Developed and validated for use in pregnant women</p> <p>reliable in diverse ethnic/racial backgrounds</p>
TWEAK	<p>T: Tolerance – How many drinks can you hold? (>5 indicates tolerance) or How many drinks can you take before you begin to feel the effects (>2 indicates tolerance)</p> <p>W: have close friends or relatives worried or complained about your drinking in the past year?</p> <p>E: eye-opener: Do you sometimes take a drink in the morning when you first get up?</p> <p>A: amnesia: Has a friend or family member ever told you about things you said or did while you were drinking that you could not remember?</p> <p>K: kut down: Do you sometimes feel the need to cut down on your drinking?</p>	<p>Modification of CAGE</p> <p>Indirect questions</p> <p>Developed and validated for use in pregnant women</p> <p>Very sensitive in Caucasian women, less so for other ethnic groups</p>
AUDIT-C	<p>How often have you had a drink containing alcohol in the past year? Never, monthly or less, 2–4 times a month, 2 or 3 times a week, 4 times a week</p> <p>How many drinks did you have on a typical day when you were drinking in the past year? 1 or 2, 3 or 4, 5 or 6, 7–9, 10</p> <p>How often did you have six* or more drinks on one occasion during the past year? Never, < monthly, monthly, weekly, daily or almost daily</p>	<p>Shortened AUDIT questionnaire</p> <p>Direct questions</p> <p>Lack of cutoff point indicating harmful use</p>
SMAST	<p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do you feel you are a normal drinker? 2. Do your spouse, parents or other close relative worry or complain about your drinking? 3. Do you ever feel guilty about your drinking? 4. Do friends or relatives think you are a normal drinker? 5. Are you able to stop drinking when you want to? 6. Have you ever attended a meeting of Alcoholics Anonymous? 7. Has your drinking ever caused problem between you, a spouse, parents or close relative? 8. Have you ever got into trouble at work because of drinking? 9. Have you ever neglected your obligations your family or your work for 2 or more days in a row because you were drinking? 10. Have you ever gone to anyone for help about your drinking? 11. Have you ever been in a hospital because of drinking? 12. Have you ever been arrested for drunk driving or driving after drinking? 13. Have you ever been arrested, however short a time, because of drinking? 	<p>Shortened version of the MAST</p> <p>Developed for use in men</p> <p>Focuses on lifetime use rather than recent or current use</p>

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

454 Table 2. Study Participant Description

Characteristic	n (%)
<u>Profession</u>	
Family physician	107 (18.3)
Midwife	195 (33.3)
Obstetrician/gynaecologist	166 (28.3)
Nurse (incl. NP)	78 (13.3)
Other	40 (6.8)
No response	48
<u>Gender</u>	
Male	61 (10.4)
Female	524 (89.6)
No response	49
<u>Age (years)</u>	
<25 years	8 (1.4)
25-34 years	166 (28.3)
35-44 years	195 (33.2)
45-54 years	131 (22.3)
55-64 years	73 (12.4)
>65 years	14 (2.4)
No response	47
<u>Years in practice</u>	
<5	199 (34.2)
6-10	122 (21.0)
11-20	133 (22.8)
>20	128 (22.0)
No response	52
<u>Practice location</u>	
Alberta	63 (10.8)
British Columbia	93 (15.9)
Manitoba	17 (2.9)
New Brunswick	9 (1.5)
Newfoundland & Labrador	6 (1.0)
Northwest Territories	1 (0.2)
Nova Scotia	19 (3.2)
Nunavut	5 (0.9)
Ontario	302 (51.5)
Prince Edward Island	2 (0.3)
Quebec	41 (7.0)
Saskatchewan	21 (3.6)
Yukon	1 (0.2)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Other No response	6 (1.0) 48
<u>Lived experience with problematic alcohol use (self or a loved one)</u> Yes No No response	214 (36.5) 372 (63.5) 48
Very/somewhat familiar with SOGC guidelines	335 (52.0)
Use SOGC guidelines	328 (57.5)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Table 3. Use of alcohol screening questionnaire

Question	Pregnant Women		Childbearing Women	
	n	%	n	%
Do you ever use an alcohol screening questionnaire?				
Yes	216	38	151	42
No	355	62	209	58
On average, how often do you use an alcohol screening questionnaire?				
Frequently	76	35	21	14
Sometimes	60	28	70	47
Rarely	79	37	59	39
I have enough time to use a structured alcohol screening questionnaire				
Yes	166	77	104	69
No	50	23	46	31

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Table 4. Choice of alcohol screening questionnaire used by clinicians

Which structured alcohol screening do you most often use?*	All Professionals** n (%)	Family Physicians n (%)	Midwives n (%)	Obs/Gyns n (%)	Nurses n (%)
<u>Pregnant women***</u>					
T-ACE	82(38)	14(31)	24(35)	33(56)	9 (32)
TWEAK	56(26)	9(20)	34(50)	4(7)	7(25)
CAGE	88(41)	29(64)	4(6)	33(56)	11(39)
MAST	2(0.9)	1(2)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
AUDIT	5(2)	2(4)	1(1)	1 (2)	1 (4)
OTHER	15(7)	2(4)	8(12)	2 (3)	3(11)
<u>Women of childbearing age****</u>					
T-ACE	38(25)	8 (13)	1 (17)	25 (47)	3 (20)
TWEAK	12 (8)	3 (5)	3 (50)	3 (6)	3 (20)
CAGE	119 (79)	56 (90)	1 (17)	41 (77)	8 (53)
CAGE	2 (1)	2 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
MAST	7 (5)	2 (3)	1 (17)	2 (4)	2 (13)
AUDIT	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)
OTHER					

* participants were permitted to choose more than one answer

**the total professional variable includes other professionals. Therefore, this number will be greater than the sum of the family physicians, midwives, Ob/Gyns and Nurses combined.

*** The rates were calculated looking only at those who provide services to pregnant women and who use a screening questionnaire.

**** The rates were calculated looking only at those who provide services to childbearing women and who use a screening questionnaire.