1 **Change in outbreak epicenter and its impact on the** 2 **importation risks of COVID-19 progression: a modelling** 3 **study**

4
5 Oyelola A Adegboye^{1*}, Adeshina I Adekunle¹, Anton Pak¹, Ezra Gayawan², Denis HY Leung³,
6 Diana P Rojas⁴, Faiz Elfaki⁵, Emma S McBryde¹, Damon P Eisen¹

7
8 ¹Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, Townsville,
9 Australia

10 ²Biostatistics and Spatial Statistics Research Group, Department of Statistics, Federal
11 University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

12 ³School of Economics, Singapore Management University, Singapore, Singapore

13 ⁴College of Public Health. Medical and Veterinary Sciences, James Cook University,
14 Townsville, Australia

15 ⁵Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

16

17 *Corresponding author:

18 Oyelola A. Adegboye, Email: oyelola.adegboye@jcu.edu.au, Phone: +61 7 4781 5707.

19 Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, 1 James Cook
20 Drive, Douglas, Queensland, Australia, 4814.

21

22

23 **Abstract**

24 The outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) that
25 originated in the city of Wuhan, China has now spread to every inhabitable continent, but
26 now the attention has shifted from China to other epicenters, especially Italy. This study
27 explored the influence of spatial proximities and travel patterns from Italy on the further
28 spread of SARS-CoV-2 around the globe. We showed that as the epicenter changes, the
29 dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 spread change to reflect spatial proximities.

30

31

As at March 10th 2020, 109 countries and territories have confirmed at least one case of COVID-19 and a total number of 113,702 COVID-19 cases have been confirmed globally – 80,924 in China and 32,778 outside China [1]. Human population movement plays an important role in the spread of infectious diseases. Although China has served as the epicenter of the current SARS-CoV-2 outbreak, real-time phylogenetic analysis [2] suggests that the virus was introduced to most African countries and to Latin America from people traveling from Italy. Migration has remained the major source of concern for the current COVID-19 outbreak and most countries have focused on China as the likely source of any importation. The movement of people between China and sub-Saharan Africa has increased rapidly over the last decade [3, 4], the spread of COVID-19 to the African continent has been more related to the current COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. There has been much attention on the importation of infectious diseases such as Ebola, Tuberculosis, Malaria or viral hepatitis from Africa to Europe [5, 6]. Conversely, there are few reported cases of importing diseases from the European countries to Africa. This, perhaps, makes most African countries to focus their COVID-19 surveillance efforts on travelers from China without much attention paid to the possible importation from other countries.

In addition to the phylogenetic analysis, a number of other circumstantial factors support the introduction of the virus to other countries, as it appears more likely that the introduction of COVID-19 to South America occurred from Italy rather than from China. First, since January 23, 2020, China has increased their containment measures and decreased the number of outbound international flights. Second, early febrile airport screening mostly targeted travelers coming from Asia overlooking those from other parts of the world – including travelers from Europe; an approach that turned out to have limited effectiveness [7]. Third, as most of the infections by SARS-CoV-2 are asymptomatic and mild

[8-10], it is more likely that infected carriers could enter a country without being detected by the temperature screening at the airports. Lastly, Italian Brazilians are the largest group of foreigners with full or partial Italian ancestry outside Italy, with São Paulo being the most populous city with Italian ancestry in the world. In this paper, we investigated how the growing epidemic in Italy affects the spread of the epidemic around the world by using measurements of spatial proximity and travel volume between countries.

Methods

This study is based on the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in each country until March 10th, 2020 obtained from World Health Organisation (WHO) situation reports [1] and real-time online COVID-19 monitoring sites [11, 12]. The air travel data between countries were obtained from the Official Airline Guide (OAG) database (www.oag.com). Preliminary analysis includes Pearson's correlation analysis to examine the association between the number of COVID-19 cases and travel influx (and arrival time) from the source country. Spatial data reveal the degree of dependency among observations in geographical space [13, 14]; consequently, spatial dependence measures such semi-variogram and Local Indicator of Spatial Association (LISA) [15] were used to detect spatial patterns in COVID-19 data. For the spatial weights, we used Queen-style contiguity 1st order nearest neighbour (i.e., two countries are neighbouring if they share common borders or a point). Additionally, we developed a stochastic meta-population model of global spread using the 2018 IATA flight data for travel volumes between each country. The country-specific compartmental model is an adaptation of the classical SEIR (Susceptible-Exposed-Infectious-Recovered) model based on reported dynamics of the novel coronavirus [16, 17] for global spread of COVID-19 (see the appendix for model details and www.pandemic.org.au for deterministic version) [18]. We used the cases on WHO situation report [1] to initialise the number of

people infected in Italy and assuming same for China to determine global risk from these two epicenters under the same initial infection seed. Each country's risk of importation was classified into quartiles: slight risk (<25%), moderate risk (25%-50%), high risk (50%-75%) and extreme risk (>75%).

Results and Discussion

Up until the February 21st Italian outbreak, all reported European cases were imported from China (Figure 1) [19]. The disease has now (as at March 10th) spread to 46 (excluding Vatican City and Liechtenstein) out of the 53 countries within the WHO European region [1]. Italy has 9,172 confirmed cases and 463 deaths as of March 10th. The region alone accounted for 46% of the total cases outside of China. There are currently three COVID-19 epicenters –China, Italy, and Iran, which are mostly responsible for introducing the virus globally (Figure 1).

We present in Figure 2, the distribution of time elapsed since 21st February when the first imported case of COVID-19 from Italy was reported in each country against the geographical distance from Italy. The outbreak in Italy is highly sporadic in the last three weeks. While the shortest arrival time (after the Italy outbreak) was 5 days to Austria, Switzerland, Brazil and Algeria, the virus spread to 44 countries within 17 days (median, 11 days). The global arrival times for three epicenters from the first reported cases in Wuhan, China are shown in Figure S1.

The Pearson's correlation analysis ($r = -0.43$, $p = 0.004$) suggest negative association between disease arrival time and number of cases for cases imported from Italy. That is, countries with shorter introduction time have more number of cases. There was significant association between the number of cases and daily travel influx from Italy ($r = 0.39$, $p = 0.011$). The apparent spatial autocorrelation in the COVID cases within Europe (Moran's

Index=0.310, $p < 0.001$) is also significant (Figure S2). Notably, France and Italy are located in the right upper quadrant, while Switzerland, Austria and Slovakia are on the left upper quadrant, indicating a positive and negative spatial autocorrelation pattern respectively. Additionally, semi-variograms indicated spatial autocorrelation of the disease incidence exists up to a distance of 120 decimal degrees for Italy (Figure S3). Using bivariate LISA analysis, we found evidence of spatial interaction between COVID-19 cases and arrival time as well as travel influx (Moran's $I = 0.340$). This suggests that spatial variations within European region were non-random, exhibiting effects of neighbouring interactions and travel influx.

We simulated COVID-19 spread comparing two outbreak epicenters – Italy and China. Figure 3 shows the relative risk of importation from the two epicenters. The results show that whereas the Asia-Pacific is more at risk (high risk to extreme) from China epicenter, Europe, South-America and Africa are more at risk from the Italian epicenter. High population density and highly interconnected transportation networks connecting tourism hubs in Northern Italy with major European cities have made it extremely difficult to contain and reduce the number of infections. This aligns well with our prediction of extreme risks of case importations from Italy to other European, while Latin American and African countries have high risks (Figure 3). In the case of Italy, our modelling results could provide an argument for the Italian government instituting a national quarantine and travel restrictions on March 9th, 2020 to mitigate consequent spread of infection. Based on the evidence from the Chinese policies of containment and quarantine that showed considerable effectiveness [20], these interventions should slow the spread of the disease within Europe and abroad.

Although most African countries have focused their COVID-19 surveillance efforts on travelers from China, our analysis shows that this approach may need to be reconsidered as the number of cases in Europe soar, making the risk of importation to Africa higher from European countries than from China through stronger transportation connectivity and migration flows (especially, with North African countries). As at now, Italy has put itself under lockdown [21] and other countries such as Australia and the USA have restricted flights from Italy [22, 23]. As new epicenters are emerging, countries are required to adapt quickly and adjust their containment measures to reduce the spread of infection.

Conclusion

In summary, we estimated the risk of importation from two major epicenters to other countries and showed that spatial proximity and mobility are important factors that fuel disease importation. This analysis illustrates the potential development of the infection spread and may be useful in countries' epidemic preparedness including large-scale interventions such as travel restrictions and quarantine.

142 References

- 143 1. World Health Organization. *Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports*. 2020 March
144 2, 2020]; Available from: [https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports)
145 [2019/situation-reports](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports).
- 146 2. Nextstrain. *Genomic epidemiology of novel coronavirus (hCoV-19)*. 2020 March 4, 2020];
147 Available from: <https://nextstrain.org/ncov>.
- 148 3. Pigato, M. and W. Tang, *China and Africa: Expanding economic ties in an evolving global*
149 *context. Investing in Africa Forum*. World Bank Working Paper, 2015. **95161**.
- 150 4. Shen, X. *Chinese Manufacturers Moving to Africa—Who? What? Where? Does Africa*
151 *Benefit?* in conference “China in the World Economy: Building a New Partnership with
152 *Africa.” World Bank, Washington, DC, May. 2014.*
- 153 5. Castelli, F. and G. Sulis, *Migration and infectious diseases*. Clinical Microbiology and
154 Infection, 2017. **23**(5): p. 283-289.
- 155 6. Khyatti, M., et al., *Infectious diseases in North Africa and north African immigrants to*
156 *Europe*. The European Journal of Public Health, 2014. **24**(suppl_1): p. 47-56.
- 157 7. Quilty, B.J. and S. Clifford, *Effectiveness of airport screening at detecting travellers infected*
158 *with novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV)*. Eurosurveillance, 2020. **25**(5).
- 159 8. Zou, L., et al., *SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients*. New
160 England Journal of Medicine, 2020.
- 161 9. Rothe, C., et al., *Transmission of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in*
162 *Germany*. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020.
- 163 10. Wu, Z. and J.M. McGoogan, *Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus*
164 *disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the*
165 *Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention*. Jama, 2020.
- 166 11. Wu, T., X. Ge, and G. Yu, *An R package and a website with real-time data on the COVID-19*
167 *coronavirus outbreak*. medRxiv, 2020.
- 168 12. Worldometer. *COVID-19 CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK*. 2020 [cited 2020 March 5, 2020];
169 Available from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>.
- 170 13. Liu, W., et al., *Spatial and temporal analysis of human infection with avian influenza A*
171 *(H7N9) virus in China, 2013*. Eurosurveillance, 2013. **18**(47): p. 20640.
- 172 14. Adegboye, O.A., D.H. Leung, and Y.G. Wang, *Analysis of spatial data with a nested*
173 *correlation structure*. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics),
174 2018. **1**(67): p. 329-354.
- 175 15. Anselin, L., *A local indicator of multivariate spatial association: extending Geary's C*.
176 *Geographical Analysis*, 2019. **51**(2): p. 133-150.
- 177 16. Lin, Q., et al., *Modeling the spread of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in Saudi*
178 *Arabia*. Statistical methods in medical research, 2018. **27**(7): p. 1968-1978.
- 179 17. Funk, S. and R.M. Eggo, *Early dynamics of transmission and control of 2019-nCoV: a*
180 *mathematical modelling study*.
- 181 18. Bosco Ho, A.I.A., James Trauer, Emma McBryde *Global Pandemic Map*. 2019.
- 182 19. Stoecklin, S.B., et al., *First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in France:*
183 *surveillance, investigations and control measures, January 2020*. Eurosurveillance, 2020.
184 **25**(6): p. 2000094.
- 185 20. Chinazzi, M., et al., *The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel*
186 *coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak*. Science, 2020.
- 187 21. CNBC. *Italy expands its quarantine to the entire country as coronavirus cases and deaths*
188 *surge*. 2020 [cited 2020 11/03/2020]; Available from:
189 [https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/09/italy-extends-its-quarantine-to-the-entire-country-pm-](https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/09/italy-extends-its-quarantine-to-the-entire-country-pm-asks-residents-to-stay-at-home.html)
190 [asks-residents-to-stay-at-home.html](https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/09/italy-extends-its-quarantine-to-the-entire-country-pm-asks-residents-to-stay-at-home.html).

- 191 22. Times, T.N.Y. *U.S. to Suspend Most Travel From Europe; N.B.A. Pauses After Player Gets*
192 *Virus*. 2020 [cited 2020 12/03/2020]; Available from:
193 <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/11/world/coronavirus-news.html>.
194 23. VOA. *Australia Extends Virus Travel Ban to Italy*. 2020 [cited 2020 12/03/2020]; Available
195 from: [https://www.voanews.com/science-health/coronavirus-outbreak/australia-extends-](https://www.voanews.com/science-health/coronavirus-outbreak/australia-extends-virus-travel-ban-italy)
196 [virus-travel-ban-italy](https://www.voanews.com/science-health/coronavirus-outbreak/australia-extends-virus-travel-ban-italy).
197 24. Riou, J., et al., *Adjusted age-specific case fatality ratio during the COVID-19 epidemic in*
198 *Hubei, China, January and February 2020*. medRxiv, 2020.
199 25. Team, R.C., *R: a language and environment for statistical computing, version 3.0. 2*. Vienna,
200 *Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2013*. 2019.

201

202

203 Figures legend

204

205 Figure 1: Transmission routes of COVID-19 as at March 7th 2020. The lines represent
 206 transmission routes from the source of COVID-19 into a country. Inset 1: European cases
 207 originating from China (green lines) and Iran (orange lines). Inset 2: Cases originating from
 208 Italy.

209

210

211

212 Figure 2: Distribution of arrival times of COVID-19 cases against geographic distance from
 213 the source (Italy). The dots are proportional to the size of, (A) total cases, and (B) travel
 214 influx from the source country.
 215

216

217

218 Figure 3: Global risk of importation of COVID-19 from, (A) Italy (purple dot) and (B) China

219 (red dot) based on air travel influx from source country. The dots are proportional to the

220 cumulative relative risk that an infected individual will be arriving at a specific country for an

221 epicenter. Risk of importation: slight risk (<25%), moderate risk (25%-50%), high risk (50%-

222 75%) and extreme risk (>75%).

223

Appendix 1

Table S1: State variable and parameter descriptions

Variable	Description
S	Susceptible class
E_1	Latent stage not infectious
E_2	Latent stage infectious
I_1	First stage of symptomatic
I_2	Second stage of symptomatic
R	Recovered class

Parameter	Description	Values (references)
$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$	Transmission rates for E_2, I_1, I_2 classes	0.3125, 0.5, 0.176 ([17])
σ_1	First stage incubation rate	0.3125 ([17])
σ_2	Second stage incubation rate	0.5 ([17])
γ_1	First stage of recovery	0.5 ([17])
γ_2	second stage of recovery	0.176 ([17])
c_h	COVID-19 case fatality	0.018 ([24])
μ	Death rate	Not used

234

235 Figure S2. Moran's Index for COVID-19 cases in Europe

236
 237 Figure S3: Semi-variogram showing spatial autocorrelation for COVID-19 data up 0.000
 238 decimal degrees (~1.2km) for Italy and ...for China.

Figure S4: Schematic diagram of the transmission dynamics

Appendix 2: Mathematical Model details:

The infectious classes are late latency E_2 and the two stages of symptomatic infectiousness (I_1 and I_2). Patients either recover and moved to R class or die and are replaced to ensure constant population. The two-country dynamical model is as shown below:

$$\frac{dS^1}{dt} = -\frac{(\beta_1^1 E_2^1 + \beta_2^1 I_1^1 + \beta_3^1 I_2^1)S^1}{N_1} + c_d^1 \gamma_2^1 I_2^1 - \frac{m_{12}S^1}{N_1} + \frac{m_{21}S^2}{N_2} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dE_1^1}{dt} = \frac{(\beta_1^1 E_2^1 + \beta_2^1 I_1^1 + \beta_3^1 I_2^1)S^1}{N_1} - \sigma_1 E_1^1 - \frac{m_{12}E_1^1}{N_1} + \frac{m_{21}E_1^2}{N_2}$$

$$\frac{dE_2^1}{dt} = \sigma_1 E_1^1 - \sigma_2 E_2^1 - \frac{m_{12}E_2^1}{N_1} + \frac{m_{21}E_2^2}{N_2}$$

$$\frac{dI_1^1}{dt} = \sigma_1 E_2^1 - \gamma_1^1 I_1^1 - \frac{m_{12}I_1^1}{N_1} + \frac{m_{21}I_1^2}{N_2}$$

$$\frac{dI_2^1}{dt} = \gamma_1^1 I_1^1 - \gamma_2^1 I_2^1$$

$$\frac{dR^1}{dt} = (1 - c_d^1) \gamma_2^1 I_2^1 - \frac{m_{12}R^1}{N_1} + \frac{m_{21}R^2}{N_2},$$

where superscript indicates country.

This model is extended to all countries and coded in R [25] using the infectious disease node of the Australia Nectar Research cloud (www.nectar.org.au) as individual-based model with a binomial distribution of the number of people experience an event at a specific time step. The events are infection, migration, emigration, recovery and death due to COVID-19. We neglected natural death, as this does not affect our result. We further assumed that no countries except the epicenters are experiencing outbreak. Hence the basic reproduction number at the epicentres is $R_0 = 2.68$. Each country COVID-19 dynamics follows the schematic representation in Fig S4.