1 Transmissibility of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Chinese cities with 2 different transmission dynamics of imported cases

3 Ka Chun Chong^{1,2}, Wei Cheng³, Shi Zhao^{1,2}, Feng Ling³, Kirran N. Mohammad¹, Maggie
4 Haitian Wang^{1,2}, Benny Chung Ying Zee^{1,2}, Lei Wei¹, Xi Xiong¹, Hengyan Liu¹,
5 Jingxuan Wang^{1*}, Enfu Chen^{3*}

6 Affiliations:

7 ^a The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

8 ^b Shenzhen Research Institute, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

9 ^c Zhejiang Province Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Hangzhou, Zhejiang
10 Province, China

11

12 *Address for correspondence

13 Jingxuan Wang, School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of
14 Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China; phone number: 852-22528716; email:
15 jxwang@link.cuhk.edu.hk; Enfu Chen, Zhejiang Province Centre for Disease Control and
16 Prevention, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China; phone number: 852-22528716 email:
17 enfchen@cdc.zj.cn

18

19 **Keywords:** COVID-19; Zhejiang; reproduction number; serial interval; travel restriction

20

21 Word count: 2455

22

Abstract

Background: Monitoring the time-varying reproduction number (R_t) of the disease is useful in determining whether there is sustained transmission in a population. In this study, we examined R_t of COVID-19 and compared its transmissibility between different intervention periods in Hangzhou and Shenzhen.

Methods: Daily aggregated counts of confirmed imported and local cases between January 1, 2020 and March 13, 2020 were analysed. A likelihood function was constructed to estimate R_t , accounting for imported cases.

Results: Although Hangzhou had fewer number of cases than Shenzhen, Shenzhen had higher proportion of imported cases than Hangzhou (83% vs 29%). Since the epidemic of COVID-19 in Shenzhen was dominated by imported cases, R_t was kept below unity through time. On the contrary, R_t was greater than unity in Hangzhou from 16 January to 7 February due to the surge in local cases. Credits to the Wuhan lockdown and outbreak response measures following the local lockdown, R_t decreased steadily and dropped below unity in mid-February.

Conclusion: The lockdown measures and local outbreak responses helped reduce the potential of local transmission in Hangzhou and Shenzhen. Meanwhile, cities with similar epidemic trend could have different transmission dynamics given the variation in imported cases.

Key words: Time-varying reproduction number; Markov chain Monte Carlo method; COVID-19; Hangzhou; Shenzhen

Backgrounds

Coronaviruses are a diverse group of enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that belongs to the family Coronaviridae, order Nidovirales [1]. The diseases caused by these viruses are zoonotic in nature, which can be transmitted between animals and human [2]. In human, coronaviruses mainly cause respiratory tract infections with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) being two notable examples. In December 2019, a novel strain of coronavirus that has not been previously identified in human emerged and caused an outbreak in Wuhan, Hubei province, China [3]. The disease responsible for the outbreak has been officially named by the World Health Organization as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Common clinical manifestation of COVID-19 includes fever, fatigue, dry cough, shortness of breath and muscle ache [4,5]. In severe cases, COVID-19 may progress to pneumonia or even death. Symptoms such as headache, dizziness, sputum production, haemoptysis, diarrhoea, nausea and vomiting are less common but occasionally reported. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, COVID-19 is mainly spread from person-to-person via respiratory droplets, but may also be spread from contact with infected surfaces or objects [6]. At the moment, there is no vaccine nor specific antiviral treatment approved for COVID-19.

The current global scale health crisis triggered by COVID-19 began on 31 December 2019, the day the World Health Organization was notified of several severe and unusual cases of pneumonia in Wuhan. Despite the suspected source of transmission (Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market) was shut down the next day, number of cases continued to expand at an alarming rate [7]. Health experts soon identified the cause of the disease as

being a novel coronavirus that can be spread via airborne droplets and announced the discovery on 7 January 2020. Two days later, the first related death was recorded in Wuhan; meanwhile, the disease spread outside Hubei as people travel around and outside the country, especially during "Chunyun", a 40-day period when Chinese people going home to celebrate the Lunar New Year holidays. On 13 January 2020, Thailand reported the first lab-confirmed case of COVID-19 outside mainland China and health authorities of 19 other countries confirmed cases over the following days [8]. By 31 January 2020, a total of 9,826 cases of COVID-19 were confirmed around the globe and the figure surpassed 10,000 the next day.

To halt the spread of the virus, the Chinese government imposed a complete lockdown in Wuhan and other cities in Hubei province on 23 January 2020 aiming to quarantine the epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak [9]. All public transportation, including buses, railways, flights, and ferry services, was suspended and residents were forbidden from leaving the city without permission from the authorities. Later, the government tightened its quarantine measures and imposed a mandatory 14-day quarantine on people returning to the capital from holidays. Meanwhile, a number of countries have imposed restrictions on entry by travellers from China in response to the epidemic. Several early investigations have shown that the shutdown of cities largely reduced the spread of infections to other cities in China and other countries around the world [10-13].

Time-varying reproduction number (R_t) is defined as the average number of secondary cases infected by a primary case at time t . R_t is a common measure for monitoring the disease evolution in a population especially when some control measures have been carried out to mitigate an epidemic. R_t below unity indicates a single case is unable to

produce more than one secondary case on average and the disease can unlikely be sustained in a population over time. In this case, the outbreak can be regarded as under control at time t [14]. In this study, we examined the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 during the first wave of epidemic and compared its transmissibility between different intervention periods in Hangzhou and Shenzhen, two Chinese cities with different transmission dynamics of imported cases.

Methods

Setting

Hangzhou and Shenzhen are two major Chinese cities in terms of economy, population and transportation. Hangzhou is the capital city of Zhejiang Province and one of the most populous cities in East China. In 2018, the city's resident population was 9.8 million with an estimation of 4 million floating population [15]. With 16,424 km length of highways, well-developed railway transportation and 286 civil aviation routes between 160 domestic cities, the passenger traffic of Hangzhou was over 20 million in 2018 [16]. Similar to Hangzhou, Shenzhen is also a new-growing city characterized by high-technology industry, large population of “migrant workers” and well-developed transportation network. Located in Guangdong Province, it covers an area of around 2,000 km² and is the most populous city in South China. In 2018, the number of people living in Shenzhen was estimated to be 25.7 million, among whom less than half were long-term residents [17].

Wuhan, the source city of COVID-19, was lockdown on 23 January 2020. On the same day, Hangzhou and Shenzhen declared first-level public health emergency in response to the outbreak. Measures including promotion of personal hygiene, cessation of crowd

gathering activities, 7-day home quarantine of people from Hubei, restrictions of public transports and mandatory quarantine for close contacts were adopted. On 4 February 2020, a lockdown was imposed in Hangzhou and only one person per household is allowed to leave their home every two days. Public gatherings such as funerals and weddings were banned; all public venues and work places were closed. On 7 February 2020, all living communities in Shenzhen were requested to lockdown in order to contain the local outbreak [18].

Data collection

We obtained the daily aggregated data of laboratory confirmed COVID-19 cases in Hangzhou and Shenzhen between January 1, 2020 and March 13, 2020 from the National Health Commission and other official websites [18-20]. The date of illness onset (defined by the first appearance of COVID-19 related symptoms) was used to construct the epidemic curves. Cases with travel history to other provinces (with ≥ 1 case reported) 14 days prior to symptoms onset are defined as import cases.

Estimation of reproduction numbers

Since the COVID-19 was seeded by the imported cases in many cities outside Hubei, the estimation equation from Thompson et al. [21], an alternative to Wallinga and Teunis [22], was used, accounting for the risk of imported cases [23]. The total number of reported cases ($I(t)$) on day t ($t = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is the sum of imported cases ($h_I(t)$) and local cases ($h_L(t)$). The total number of infections on day t can be computed as

$$\sum_{s=1}^t w_s I(t-s) = \sum_{s=1}^t w_s [h_L(t-s) + h_I(t-s)],$$

given w_s is a discretized probability distribution function of the serial interval of COVID-19. The expected number of local cases, $E[h_L(t)]$, is

$$E[h_L(t)] = R_t \sum_{s=1}^t w_s [h_L(t-s) + h_I(t-s)].$$

Assuming the number of local infections follows a Poisson distribution, a likelihood function, $L(\cdot)$, can be formed as follows

$$L(R_t) = \prod_i \left(\frac{\{E[h_L(i)]\}^{n_L(i)} e^{-E[h_L(i)]}}{n_L(i)!} \right),$$

where $n_L(t)$ is the observed numbers of local cases on day t .

We used Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to estimate the R_t series based on the observed epidemic curves. Using an early estimate of serial interval [13], we assumed the length of the serial interval follows a lognormal distribution with a mean of 4.4 days and a standard deviation (SD) of 3 days. The sensitivity of results to shorter serial interval of 2.0 days (SD: 2.8) [24] and longer serial interval of 7.5 days (SD: 3.4) [25] was tested. A random walk Metropolis algorithm was used to obtain the posterior distributions of R_t . Step sizes were selected to obtain acceptance proportions of 20 to 40%. Twenty thousand MCMC iterations were used as the burn-in period and subsequent 100,000 iterations were used to obtain the estimates. The median and 95% credible intervals (CIs) were calculated to summarize the estimates. The analysis in this study was carried out using software R (version 3.6.3).

Results

The temporal distribution of cases and R_t s in Shenzhen and Hangzhou were shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. Shenzhen had the first imported case with illness onset on 1 January, whereas Hangzhou had the first imported case with illness onset on

13 January. From 1 January to 13 March, there were a total of 169 and 417 confirmed cases in Hangzhou and Shenzhen respectively. Both cities had their peak incidence of cases in the week between 22 and 29 January and the epidemics died out in mid-February. In general, although Hangzhou had a fewer number of cases than Shenzhen, Hangzhou had a lower percentage of imported cases than Shenzhen (29% vs 83%). Due to the epidemic in Shenzhen was dominated by imported cases, local R_t was kept below unity through time. In the early phase of the epidemic, R_t was maintained to be around 0.2 to 0.4, indicating a low risk of local transmission despite the rapid increase in daily number of cases before the lockdown of Wuhan (i.e. on 23 January). After the lockdown of Wuhan and the initialization of measures in response to the outbreak, local transmission was well-controlled as indicated by a low estimated value of R_t (i.e. < 0.2). In contrast, R_t was larger than unity in Hangzhou from 16 January to 7 February. Before the lockdown of Wuhan, R_t obtained ranged from 1.9 to 3.8, indicating a high risk of local transmission. Credits to the Wuhan lockdown and outbreak response measures following the local lockdown, R_t dropped steadily and was 1.14 (95% CI: 1.04 to 1.25) on 4 February. After that, R_t kept decreasing slightly and dropped below unity on 10 February, indicating the outbreak was controlled in Hangzhou. Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the robustness of estimated R_t to different serial interval durations (Figure 3). While a variation in the length of serial interval did not affect the estimated R_t s in Shenzhen overall, a moderately increased R_t was observed for Hangzhou during the first week when a longer serial interval was assumed.

Discussion

Monitoring the transmission dynamics as well as the R_t of the disease is useful in 1.
determining whether there is sustained community transmission in a population and 2.
evaluating whether the control measures taken are adequate to control the local
transmission at a specific time [26-28]. In this study, we estimated the imported-cases-
adjusted R_t of COVID-19 in the first wave of epidemic in Hangzhou and Shenzhen, two
Chinese cities with different transmission dynamics of imported cases. According to our
results, the disease transmissibility in both cities gradually decreased over time especially
after the lockdown of Wuhan. Credits to the community lockdown, the transmission was
interrupted within a short period of time in Hangzhou. In line with Lai et al. [29], inter-
city travel restrictions and other social distancing interventions could slow down the
epidemics outside Wuhan.

We showed that local transmission of COVID-19 seeded by imported cases was not
sustained in Shenzhen and we speculated it was likely due to the decrease in number of
local susceptibles during Chunyun. Of the 13 million residents in Shenzhen, around 65%
of its population were migrants which ranked top in China, and most of the residents have
returned to their home towns starting from 10 January for celebration of Lunar New Year
[17,30]. Given the number of local susceptibles decreased as a large number of residents
left Shenzhen during Chunyun, fewer transmission chains could be established during
this period even though Shenzhen is highly connected to Wuhan for most of the times.

Tian et al. [11] indicated that Chinese cities that implemented control measures before
officials confirmed the first case were more likely to have fewer cases. Even though the
first case in Shenzhen was confirmed on 19 January (illness onset on 3 January), we
believe Shenzhen is an exception owing to its special population characteristics. In line

with Kucharski et al. [31], the case study of Shenzhen supported that introducing one to several cases to a new city may not necessarily lead to an outbreak.

Comparing with Shenzhen, Hangzhou is not just a highly populated city with fewer migrants, but is also geographically closer to Wuhan. By implementing strict control measures before cases emerged, local transmissions were comparatively easier to be seeded in Hangzhou. Compared to the transmission dynamics in Wuhan [31], R_t in Hangzhou displayed a consistently declining trend starting from mid-January. This may be due to the increased awareness on the use of personal protective measures against COVID-19 after noticing the unknown pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan in early January through social media. Similar transmission dynamics was also reported in Shaanxi province [32].

In this study, we showed that even though more cases were reported in Shenzhen when compared to Hangzhou, they exhibited different transmission dynamics of COVID-19 when the risk of imported cases was accounted in the estimation. With more local cases emerged during the initial phase of the epidemic, the disease sustained in Hangzhou before the lockdown of Wuhan and the initiation of outbreak response. For cities or provinces with a large proportion of imported cases, failing to differentiate them from local cases during R_t calculation may lead to overestimation of transmissibility in a local population [33], and thus, affect the planning of mitigation measures. The importance of accounting for imported cases has been demonstrated in another study that looked into Middle East Respiratory Syndrome in Saudi Arabia [21].

Our study has several major limitations. Recent studies have successively reported that some patients infected with COVID-19 might infect others before their symptom onset

[24,34]. Disease transmission during the pre-symptomatic stage implies the possibility of having a negative value of serial interval. This would affect the formulation of estimation equation since the distributional assumption did not give it a corresponding probability. Alternatively, a generation interval could be used but it is usually hard to be estimated due to unobserved infectiousness onset. Nevertheless, our sensitivity analysis demonstrated that the variation of serial interval within a reasonable range did not affect our main conclusion. Another limitation is the underreporting of confirmed cases due to the unavailability of virological testing in the early stage of epidemic. According to an early investigation [35], an estimate of 75 thousand individuals were found to be infected in Wuhan as of 25 January and we believe similar underreporting was likely to occur in our setting. With detailed data available on reporting rates and serological surveillance, our analytic frame can be extended to a more complex context that incorporates these factors. In addition, our estimates shall be refined if more updated knowledge of the pathogen is available.

In conclusion, we showed the lockdown measures and local outbreak responses helped reduce the potential of local transmission in Hangzhou and Shenzhen. The low transmission intensity of COVID-19 in Shenzhen in early January was likely due to the decrease in number of local susceptibles as residents left the city for Chunyun. We also highlighted that cities with similar epidemic trend could have different transmission dynamics given the variation in imported cases.

247 **Acknowledgments**

248 We thank the physicians and staffs at Hangzhou, Huzhou, Jiaxing Wenzhou, Shaoxing,
249 Ningbo, Quzhou, Jinhua, Zhoushan, Lishui, Taizhou Municipal Center for Disease
250 Control and Prevention for their support and assistance with this investigation.

251 **Funding**

252 The work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31871340,
253 71974165). The funder has no role in the study design, in the collection, analysis and
254 interpretation of data.

255 **Conflict of interest**

256 No conflict of interest to declare.

257 **Ethics**

258 All data were collected via official websites and publicly available so neither ethical
259 approval nor individual consent was not applicable.

Reference

1. Richman DD, Whitley RJ, Hayden FG, eds. Clinical virology, 4th edn. Washington: ASM Press, 2016.
2. World Health Organization (WHO). Coronavirus. 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus> [accessed 22 Feb 2020]
3. World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus – China. Jan 12, 2020. 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/csr/don/12-january-2020-novel-coronavirus-china/en/> [accessed 22 Feb 2020]
4. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395(10223):497-506.
5. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 2020;395(10223):507-513.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). About Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/about/index.html> [accessed 22 Feb 2020]
7. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Situation Report – 1. 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200121-sitrep-1-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=20a99c10_4 [accessed 22 Feb 2020]
8. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Situation Report – 11. 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200211-sitrep-11-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=20a99c10_4

- source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200131-sitrep-11-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=de7c0f7_4 [accessed 22 Feb 2020]
9. Zhao S, Stone L, Gao D, et al. Imitation dynamics in the mitigation of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak in Wuhan, China from 2019 to 2020. *Annals of Translational Medicine*. In press.
10. Anzai A, Kobayashi T, Linton NM, et al. Assessing the impact of reduced travel on exportation dynamics of novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19). *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2020 Feb;9(2):601.
11. Tian H, Liu Y, Li Y, et al. Early evaluation of transmission control measures in response to the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. *medRxiv* 2020 Jan.
12. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science* 2020 Mar.
13. Zhao S, Gao D, Zhuang Z, et al. Estimating the serial interval of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19): A statistical analysis using the public data in Hong Kong from January 16 to February 15, 2020. *medRxiv* 2020 Jan.
14. De Serres G, Gay NJ, Farrington CP. Epidemiology of transmissible diseases after elimination. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000;151(11):1039-48.
15. Municipality of Hangzhou. Bulletin on 2018 Hangzhou population. In: "China Hangzhou" Government Portal. 2019. Available from: http://www.hangzhou.gov.cn/art/2019/2/14/art_805865_30213801.html [accessed 9 March 2020]
16. Hangzhou Statistics Bureau. Transportation, post and telecommunication. In: *Hangzhou Statistical Yearbook 2018*. China Statistics Press. [accessed 9 March 2020]

- 305 17. Shenzhen Bureau of Statistics. Shenzhen statistical yearbook. 2019. Available from:
306 http://tjj.sz.gov.cn/zwgk/zfxzgkml/tjsj/tjnj/201912/t20191230_18956670.htm
307 [accessed 9 March 2020]
- 308 18. Shenzhen Municipal Health Commission [Internet]. COVID-19 News. Available
309 from: <http://wjw.sz.gov.cn/> [accessed 9 March 2020]
- 310 19. Hangzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Statistics. Available
311 from: <http://www.hzcdc.net/jbkz/jbkz01.htm> [accessed 15 March 2020]
- 312 20. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (NHC). COVID-19
313 prevention and Control. Available from:
314 http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/yqtb/list_gzbd.shtml [accessed 15 March 2020]
- 315 21. Thompson RN, Stockwin JE, van Gaalen RD, et al. Improved inference of time-
316 varying reproduction numbers during infectious disease outbreaks. *Epidemics*
317 2019;29:100356.
- 318 22. Wallinga J, Teunis P. Different epidemic curves for severe acute respiratory
319 syndrome reveal similar impacts of control measures. *Am J Epidemiol*
320 2004;160(6):509-16.
- 321 23. Chong KC, Cheng W, Zhao S, et al. Monitoring Disease Transmissibility of 2019
322 Novel Coronavirus Disease in Zhejiang, China. *medRxiv*. 2020 Mar 5.
323 (<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.02.20028704>)
- 324 24. Du Z, Xu X, Wu Y, Wang L, Cowling BJ, Meyers LA. The serial interval of COVID-
325 19 from publicly reported confirmed cases. *medRxiv* 2020 Mar 13.

- 326 25. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, Ren R, Leung KS, Lau EH, Wong
327 JY, Xing X. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–
328 infected pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Jan 29.
- 329 26. Nishiura H, Chowell G. The effective reproduction number as a prelude to statistical
330 estimation of time-dependent epidemic trends. In: Mathematical and statistical
331 estimation approaches in epidemiology 2009 (pp. 103-121). Springer, Dordrecht.
- 332 27. Chong KC, Zhang C, Zee BC, et al. Interpreting the transmissibility of measles in two
333 different post periods of supplementary immunization activities in Hubei, China.
334 Vaccine 2017;35(7):1024-9.
- 335 28. Chong KC, Hu P, Lau S, et al. Monitoring the age-specificity of measles
336 transmissions during 2009-2016 in Southern China. PloS one 2018;13(10):e0205339.
- 337 29. Lai S, Ruktanonchai NW, Zhou L, et al. Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions
338 for containing the COVID-19 outbreak: an observational and modelling study.
339 medRxiv. 2020 Mar 13.
- 340 30. CNN. 3 billion journeys: World's biggest human migration begins in China. 2020.
341 Available from: [https://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/chunyun-2020-lunar-new-year-](https://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/chunyun-2020-lunar-new-year-travel-rush-china/index.html)
342 [travel-rush-china/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/chunyun-2020-lunar-new-year-travel-rush-china/index.html) [accessed 15 March 2020]
- 343 31. Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, et al. Early dynamics of transmission and
344 control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020. In
345 press.
- 346 32. Tang S, Tang B, Bragazzi NL, et al. Stochastic discrete epidemic modeling of
347 COVID-19 transmission in the Province of Shaanxi incorporating public health
348 intervention and case importation. medRxiv 2020 Feb 29.

- 349 33. Xu L, Yuan J, Zhang Y, et al. Highland of COVID-19 outside Hubei: epidemic
350 characteristics, control and projections of Wenzhou, China. medRxiv 2020 Feb 29.
- 351 34. Nishiura H, Linton NM, Akhmetzhanov AR. Serial interval of novel coronavirus
352 (COVID-19) infections. Int J Infect Dis 2020 Mar.
- 353 35. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and
354 international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a
355 modelling study. Lancet 2020;395(10225):689-97.

356

357 **Figure Legends**

358 Figure 1. (A) Epidemic trend and (B) Estimate (solid line) and 95% credible intervals

359 (dotted lines) of the time-varying reproduction number (R_t) in Hangzhou

360 Figure 2. (A) Epidemic trend and (B) Estimate (solid line) and 95% credible intervals

361 (dotted lines) of the time-varying reproduction number (R_t) in Shenzhen

362 Figure 3. Time-varying reproduction number (R_t) assuming a shorter (A, C) and longer

363 serial interval (B, D) in Hangzhou (A, B) and Shenzhen (C, D) respectively.

A

B

A

B

A

B

C

D