

1 **Clinical Data on Hospital Environmental Hygiene Monitoring and**
2 **Medical Staff Protection during the Coronavirus Disease 2019**
3 **Outbreak**

4
5 **Yanfang Jiang^{1*}, Haifeng Wang^{1*}, Yukun Chen², Jiaxue He¹, Ligu Chen¹, Yong**
6 **Liu¹, Xinyuan Hu¹, Ang Li¹, Siwen Liu¹, Peng Zhang¹, Hongyan Zou¹, Shucheng**
7 **Hua^{1,3#}**

8 1. Key Laboratory of Organ Regeneration & Transplantation of the Ministry of
9 Education, Genetic Diagnosis Center, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun,
10 China

11 2. Hospital-Acquired Infection Control Department, The First Hospital of Jilin
12 University, Changchun, China

13 3. Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Jilin
14 University, Changchun, Jilin, China

15
16 # Corresponding Author: Shucheng Hua,

17 Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Jilin
18 University, Changchun, Jilin, China

19 E-mail address: huashucheng2020@163.com

20 * Yanfang Jiang and Haifeng Wang made equal contributions to this study.

21

22

23 **NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**

24 Yanfang Jiang yanfangjiang@hotmail.com
25 Haifeng Wang wanghaifeng1994@163.com
26 Yukun Chen cyk0503@qq.com
27 Jiaxue He jiaxue-he@163.com
28 Ligu Chen 123043714@qq.com
29 Yong Liu 530440980@qq.com
30 Xinyuan Hu 1586878478@qq.com
31 Ang Li li987001500@qq.com
32 Siwen Liu 530229652@qq.com
33 Peng Zhang 123126672@qq.com
34 Hongyan Zou 2361044502@qq.com

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 **Abstract**

47 **Background:** The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has placed
48 unprecedented challenges on hospital environmental hygiene and medical staff
49 protection. It is crucial to assess hospital environmental hygiene to understand the
50 most important environmental issues for controlling the spread of COVID-19 in
51 hospitals.

52 **Objective:** To detect the presence of COVID-19 in the samples from the area at risk
53 of contamination in the First Hospital of Jilin University.

54 **Methods:** Viruses in the air were collected by natural sedimentation and air particle
55 sampler methods. Predetermined environmental surfaces were sampled using swabs at
56 seven o'clock in the morning before disinfection. The real-time reverse-transcription
57 PCR method was used to detect the existence of COVID-19 pathogens.

58 **Results:** Viruses could be detected on the surfaces of the nurse station in the isolation
59 area with suspected patients and in the air of the isolation ward with an intensive care
60 patient.

61 **Conclusion:** Comprehensive monitoring of hospital environmental hygiene during
62 pandemic outbreaks is conducive to the refinement of hospital infection control. It is
63 of great significance to ensure the safety of medical treatment and the quality of
64 hospital infection control through the monitoring of environmental hygiene.

65 **Key words** COVID-19; hospital environmental hygiene; hospital-associated infection,
66 medical staff protection

67

68 **1.Introduction**

69 The outbreak of COVID-19 in Wuhan in December 2019 has led to a serious public
70 health event ^[1-3]. Meanwhile, the outbreak of this novel virus has placed
71 unprecedented challenges on hospital environmental hygiene. The occurrence of
72 medical staff-associated infections is closely related to long-lived pathogens in the
73 hospital environment ^[4,5]. Thus, it is crucial to assess hospital environmental hygiene
74 to understand the most important environmental issues for controlling the spread of
75 COVID-19 in hospitals. The Chinese government quickly adopted quarantine
76 measures for confirmed and suspected patients to restrain the spread of the
77 pandemic^[6]. Comprehensive monitoring of hospital environmental hygiene during the
78 outbreak of the pandemic is conducive to the refinement of hospital infection control
79 ^[5,7]. It also increases understanding of the environmental challenges corresponding to
80 the reemergence of COVID-19 or similar viruses. Therefore, it is of great significance
81 to ensure the safety of medical treatment and the quality of hospital infection control
82 through the monitoring of environmental hygiene. According to a report from the
83 China Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, as of February 11, 2020 medical
84 staff members were infected with the new coronavirus (including confirmed cases,
85 suspected cases, clinically diagnosed cases, and asymptomatic infections, of which
86 1716 were confirmed cases), indicating that infection through no occupational
87 exposure may occur ^[8], but more evidence is needed to support this hypothesis.
88 Meanwhile, it is extremely important to ensure the safety of medical treatments and
89 the quality of hospital infection control by monitoring hospital environmental hygiene.

90 However, sensitive and effective methods for monitoring hospital-acquired infection
 91 control are still limited. Here, by monitoring COVID-19 and detecting nucleic acid,
 92 we reveal clinical data indicating hospital environmental hygiene levels and provide a
 93 way to monitor pathogenic microorganism contamination and nosocomial infections.

94 **2.Methods**

95 **2.1 Participant Characteristics**

96 Fifteen suspected patients and one confirmed intensive care patient (ORF1ab and N
 97 were positive according to RT-PCR) were hospitalized in two isolation areas at the
 98 First Hospital of Jilin University, a unit for COVID-19 detection. The hospital carries
 99 out district management for these two types of patients, and each area includes a
 100 nursing station. We detected 158 samples of COVID-19 from the area at risk of
 101 contamination. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First
 102 Hospital of Jilin University (Changchun, China).

103 Table 1: Collection of 158 samples from the area at risk of contamination

Risk level	Classification	Sample collection points	
High-risk area	CONTAMINATED AREA	Isolation ward area 1 (2F)	Isolation wards 1-15 door handle
			Isolation wards 1-15 general subject surface
			Isolation wards 1-15 indoor air
			Isolation wards 1-15 window frames
			Isolation wards 1-15 sides of a toilet handle
			Nurse station general subject surface
			Nurse station indoor air
			Corridor exit general subject surface
			General subject surface of the refuse storage area near the nurse station
		Isolation ward area 2 (B1)	Isolation ward 16 door handle
			Isolation ward 16 general subject surface
			Isolation ward 16 indoor air
			Isolation ward 16 window frames

			Isolation ward 16 sides of a toilet handle
			Nurse station indoor air
		Fever clinic 2 consulting rooms	Door handle (inside and outside)
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Computer keyboard
		Fever clinic observation room	Door handle (inside and outside)
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Computer keyboard
		Fever clinic laboratory	Door handle (inside and outside)
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Computer keyboard
		Fever clinic blood collection room	General subject surface
			Indoor air
Medium-risk area	SEMICONTAMINATED AREA	Isolation ward area buffer room	Door handle
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Doctor's office window frames
			Refuse storage area wall surface
			Disinfection bucket surface
			Dressing room ground
			Medical staff of infection department protective clothing
		Pre-check triages	General subject surface
			Infrared thermometer
Low-risk area	CLEAN AREA	Isolation ward area working area	Entrance corridor general subject surface
			Indoor air
			Entrance corridor window frames
			Physician's office door handle
			Physician's office general subject surface
			Director's office door handle
			Door handle

104

105 2.2 Sampling and Sample Processing

106 The environmental monitoring methods referenced the hospital sanitation standards

107 (GB15982-2012). All air was collected by two methods: natural sedimentation^[9] and

108 a microbial air sampler (MAS-100 ECO), for which the stream of air was set to
109 exactly 100 liters/minute (Merck, Germany) ^[10]. Environmental surfaces were
110 sampled using swabs.

111 **2.3 Primer and Probe Sequences**

112 Two sequence regions (ORF1ab and N) that are highly conserved among sarbecoviruses were
113 selected for primer and probe design. The primer and probe sequences for the ORF1ab gene assay
114 were 5'-TGGGGYTTTACRGGTAACCT-3' (forward; Y = C/T, R = A/G),
115 5'-AACRCGCTTAACAAAGCACTC-3' (reverse; R = A/G) and
116 5'-TAGTTGTGATGCWATCATGACTAG-3' (probe, in 5'-FAM/ZEN/3'-IBFQ format; W =
117 A/T), and the primer and probe sequences for the N gene assay were
118 5'-TAATCAGACAAGGAACTGATTA-3' (forward), 5'-CGAAGGTGTGACTTCCATG-3'
119 (reverse) and 5'-GCAAATTGTGCAATTTGCGG-3' (probe, in 5'-FAM/ZEN/3'-IBFQ format).
120 The expected amplicon sizes of the ORF1ab and N gene assays were 132 bp and 110 bp,
121 respectively^[11]. All primers and probes were purchased from a commercial source (Integrated
122 DNA Technologies). The primer and probe sequences were subsequently confirmed to have
123 perfect matches with other COVID-19 genome sequences available from the Global Initiative on
124 Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID; <https://www.gisaid.org/>; accession numbers:
125 EPI_ISL_402119, EPI_ISL_402120, EPI_ISL_402121, EPI_ISL_402123 and EPI_ISL_402124;
126 accessed 12 January 2020).

127 **2.4 RNA Extraction**

128 All the collected samples were inactivated by heating at 56 °C for 30 minutes. For
129 RNA extraction from 600 µL of 0.9% NaCl solution in a 2.0 mL tube, the samples

130 were centrifuged at 12000 g for 10 min and incubated for 30 min, the supernatant was
131 discarded, 50 μ L of RNA release agent was added to the tube, and the sample was
132 mixed and incubated for 10 min.

133 **2.5 Real-time Reverse-Transcription PCR**

134 A 50- μ L reaction contained 20 μ L of RNA and 30 μ L of 1 \times reaction buffer consisting
135 of 26 μ L of COVID-19 PCR mix (containing primers (4.62%), probes (1.15%),
136 dNTPs (3.85%), MgCl₂ (0.77%), RNasin (0.48%), and PCR buffer (89.13%)
137 (Shengxiang, Hunan, China)) and 4 μ L each of COVID-19 PCR enzyme mix
138 (containing RT enzyme (62.5%) and Taq enzyme (37.5%) (Shengxiang, Hunan,
139 China)). Thermal cycling was performed at 50 °C for 30 min for reverse transcription,
140 followed by 95 °C for 1 min and then 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 30 s.
141 Participating laboratories used a Hongshi SLAN 96 S instrument (Hongshi, Shanghai,
142 China). A sample was considered positive when the qPCR Ct value was \leq 40.

143

144 **3. Results**

145 A total of 158 samples were collected from the area at risk of contamination. The two
146 positive areas were the surfaces of the nurse station in the isolation area with
147 suspected patients and the air of the isolation ward with an intensive care patient
148 (Tables 2 and 3). We found that the virus was present both on surfaces and in the air.
149 The total positive rate was 1.26% (2/158). The positive rates of the air and surface
150 samples were 3.57% (1/28) and 0.77% (1/130), respectively.

151 Table 2: Air monitoring results for the different risk areas

Type		Negative	Positive	Positive rate
High-risk area	Isolation ward	19	1	5%
	Fever clinic consulting rooms	2		
	Fever clinic observation room	1		
	Fever clinic laboratory	1		
	Fever clinic blood collection room	1		
Middle-risk area	Isolation ward nurse station	1	0	0
	Isolation ward buffer room	1	0	0
Low-risk area	Isolation ward clean area	1	0	0
Total		27	1	3.57%

152

153

154

Table 3: Surface monitoring results for the different risk areas

Type		Negative	Positive	Positive rate
High-risk area	Isolation ward door handle, general surface	51	0	0%
	Isolation ward toilet handle	6	0	0
	Fever clinic consulting rooms	3	0	0
	Fever clinic observation room	3	0	0
	Fever clinic laboratory	6	0	0
	Fever clinic blood collection room	16	0	0
	Protective clothing for medical staff in the infection department	1	0	0
Middle-risk area	Pre-check triages	11	0	0
	Isolation ward nurse station (keyboard)	5	1	16.67%
	Isolation ward buffer room	3	0	0
Low-risk area	Isolation ward clean area	12	0	0
	Clean area keyboards	9	0	
Total		129	1	0.77%

155

156 Based on the original 24 hours of ultraviolet air filtering and 1000-2000 mg/L

157 chlorine-containing disinfectant for ambient air and floor disinfection, the frequencies

158 and duration times of air disinfections were extended. Key surfaces such as computer

159 keyboards that were easily overlooked were clearly noted and carefully disinfected.

160 The samples from the positive area and indoor air were collected 24 hours later, and

161 the test results were negative.

162 **4. Discussion**

163 Our positive results in nucleic acid tests indicated that viruses were present in the air
164 of an isolation ward with intensive care patients. The hermetic spaces of isolation
165 wards are weak in air flow, which may cause high contact with the virus. Additionally,
166 the confirmed patient underwent tracheal intubation the day before the samples were
167 collected, and this procedure produced large amounts of aerosols that spread in the
168 wards and seriously polluted the air. Siegel et al. reported that medical ventilators
169 might generate respiratory aerosols that have been associated with an increased risk of
170 occupationally acquired infections among healthcare personnel ^[12]. Therefore, it is
171 necessary to increase the intensity of disinfection for isolation wards with intensive
172 care patients. For example, we should enhance ventilator exhaust management by
173 adding ventilator exhaust port filters.

174 The suspected and confirmed patients stay a relatively long time in the high-risk area
175 and may influence the environment. The intrusive and other operations that can easily
176 produce aerosols may cause serious air pollution. The sites under observation without
177 patients and operations have less impact on the environment. The samples from the
178 same collection points were tested after a series of infection prevention and control
179 measures were taken, such as continuous use of an air disinfection machine for the
180 disinfection of ward air; extending the frequency of disinfection for ground and object
181 surfaces; changing gloves and cleaning hands after operations and leaving the ward;
182 and covering computer keyboards and changing the cover each day. Following the
183 implementation of these measures, the results were negative, showing the

184 effectiveness of disinfection. In the high-risk areas, such as hospital pre-check triages
185 and the fever clinic, due to the open environment and large amount of air flow, the
186 results were negative.

187 The previous research reports that the routine cleaning and disinfection procedures are
188 the most likely cause of the deficiency of disinfection in the hospital environment,
189 leading to the spread of pathogens ^[13]. In our study, viruses were detected on the
190 surfaces of the nurse station in the isolation area with suspected patients, suggesting
191 that we need to strengthen the surface disinfection of nurse stations, especially
192 focusing on computer keyboards, mice and types of equipment that are not easy to
193 disinfect. A large number of studies have shown that the hands or gloves of medical
194 staff members may be contaminated by contact with environmental surfaces
195 contaminated by pathogens^[13-15]. In the process of diagnosis and treatment, pathogens
196 may be transmitted to patients and cause hospital-associated infections. Therefore, it
197 is also very important to further strengthen the hand hygiene of the medical staff.

198 We need to formulate refinement measures based on environmental hygiene
199 monitoring data to improve the quality of hospital infection control. Due to fact that
200 “uncultivable” microbes widely exist, traditional methods used to measure the effect
201 of hospital infection control, such as sedimentation (exposing a microbial growth
202 plate to the environment) have shown limited effects and poor sensitivity. For
203 laboratories and testing organizations, high concentrations of nucleic acid may exist in
204 aerosols, which may influence the test results and the operator’s safety and may even
205 cause spread of the disease. Nucleic acid detection provides an effective method with

206 which to monitor the environment and to evaluate the effectiveness of disinfection,
207 especially for highly contagious diseases or pathogenic microorganisms with a
208 potential aerosol risk, such as COVID-19. These nucleic acid detection results are
209 very important for standardized hospital infection control. This study also increases
210 our understanding of the environmental challenges corresponding to the reemergence
211 of COVID-19 or similar viruses.

212 The data obtained in this study suggest that COVID-19 exists in the air of isolation
213 wards with intensive care patients and existed on the surfaces of nurse stations and
214 that medical staff encounter this virus in the air and on surfaces during patient care
215 activities. An effective disinfection procedure may reduce the biosafety risk.

216 For hospital infection control, we suggest:

- 217 1. Different people should be responsible for the isolation observation area and the
218 isolation ward area; crossing the areas should be strictly prohibited.
- 219 2. Objects in each area should be used exclusively; equipment that must be used for
220 special purposes should be sterilized before being used in other areas.
- 221 3. Hand hygiene rules should be observed strictly, thoroughly and at all times.
- 222 4. Additional gloves, disposable isolators, protective screens or hoods should be
223 worn when an operation may cause the spattering of blood, bodily fluid or
224 aerosol, and these items should be discarded immediately to avoid the pollution
225 of other areas.

226

227 **Acknowledgments**

228 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.
229 30972610 and 81273240), the Jilin Province Science and Technology Agency (Nos.
230 20160101037JC, 20170622009JC, 2017C021, and 2017J039), the Norman Bethune
231 Program of Jilin University (2012206), and Open Project Funding From the State Key
232 Laboratory of Kidney Diseases. We thank the patients and their families for
233 participating in this study. We would also like to acknowledge the professional
234 manuscript services of American Journal Experts.

235 **Disclosure Statement**

236 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249 **References**

- 250 1. Benvenuto, D., et al., The global spread of 2019-nCoV: a molecular evolutionary
251 analysis. *Pathog Glob Health*. 2020, 12: 1-4.
- 252 2. Huang, C., et al., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel
253 coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020, 395(10223): 497-506.
- 254 3. The, L., Emerging understandings of 2019-nCoV. *Lancet*. 2020, 395(10221): 311.
- 255 4. Anderson, D.J., et al., Enhanced terminal room disinfection and acquisition and
256 infection caused by multidrug-resistant organisms and *Clostridium difficile* (the
257 Benefits of Enhanced Terminal Room Disinfection study): a cluster-randomised,
258 multicentre, crossover study. *Lancet*. 2017, 389(10071): 805-814.
- 259 5. Beggs, C., et al., Environmental contamination and hospital-acquired infection:
260 factors that are easily overlooked. *Indoor air*. 2015, 25(5): 462-74.
- 261 6. Wang, D., et al., Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019
262 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020.
- 263 7. Phan, L.T., et al., Respiratory viruses in the patient environment. *Infect Control
264 Hosp Epidemiol*. 2020, 41(3):259-266.
- 265 8. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China.
266 Epidemiology group of new coronavirus pneumonia emergency response
267 mechanism, Chinese center for disease control and prevention, The
268 epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus
269 diseases (COVID-19) in China, *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi*. 2020,
270 41(2):145-151.

- 271 9. Odebode A, et al., Airborne fungi spores distribution in various locations in Lagos,
272 Nigeria. Environ Monit Assess. 2020, 192(2):87.
- 273 10. Irie K, et al., Investigation of the detection ability of an intrinsic
274 fluorescence-based bioaerosol detection system for heat-stressed bacteria. PDA
275 J Pharm Sci Technol. 2014, 68(5):478-93
- 276 11. Chu DKW, et al., Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV)
277 Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia. Clin Chem. 2020, pii: hvaa029. doi:
278 10.1093/clinchem/hvaa029.
- 279 12. Siegel, J.D., et al., 2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing
280 Transmission of Infectious Agents in Health Care Settings. Am J Infect Control.
281 2007, 35(10 Suppl 2): S65-164.
- 282 13. Pirincci, E. and B. Altun, An analysis of hospital cleaning staff's attitudes and
283 conduct regarding hand hygiene and cleaning. Int J Occup Saf Ergon. 2016, 22(2):
284 241-5.
- 285 14. Pittet, D., et al., Evidence-based model for hand transmission during patient care
286 and the role of improved practices. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006, 6(10): 641-52.
- 287 15. Christensen, T.E., J.S. Jorgensen, and H.J. Kolmos, [The importance of hygiene for
288 hospital infections]. Ugeskr Laeger. 2007, 169(49): 4249-51