

1           **A Peptide-based Magnetic Chemiluminescence Enzyme Immunoassay for**  
2           **Serological Diagnosis of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)**

3  
4   Xue-fei Cai<sup>1,\*</sup>, Juan Chen<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jie-li Hu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Quan-xin Long<sup>1,\*</sup>, Hai-jun Deng<sup>1,\*</sup>,  
5   Kai Fan<sup>2</sup>, Pu Liao<sup>3</sup>, Bei-zhong Liu<sup>4</sup>, Gui-cheng Wu<sup>5</sup>, Yao-kai Chen<sup>6</sup>, Zhi-jie Li<sup>3</sup>,  
6   Kun Wang<sup>3</sup>, Xiao-li Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Wen-guang Tian<sup>4</sup>, Jiang-lin Xiang<sup>5</sup>, Hong-xin Du<sup>5</sup>,  
7   Jing Wang<sup>6</sup>, Yuan Hu<sup>1</sup>, Ni Tang<sup>1</sup>, Yong Lin<sup>1</sup>, Ji-hua Ren<sup>1</sup>, Lu-yi Huang<sup>1</sup>, Jie Wei<sup>1</sup>,  
8   Chun-yang Gan<sup>1</sup>, Yan-meng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Qing-zhu Gao<sup>1</sup>, A-mei Chen<sup>1</sup>, Chang-long He<sup>1</sup>,  
9   Dao-Xin Wang<sup>7</sup>, Peng Hu<sup>1</sup>, Fa-Chun Zhou<sup>8</sup>, Ai-long Huang<sup>1</sup>, Ping Liu<sup>9,#</sup>,  
10   De-qiang Wang<sup>1,#</sup>.

11

12   <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Molecular Biology on Infectious Diseases, Ministry of Education,  
13   Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China; <sup>2</sup> PEG-Bio Biopharm Co. LTD,  
14   Chongqing, China; <sup>3</sup> Laboratory department, Chongqing People's Hospital,  
15   Chongqing, China; <sup>4</sup> Yongchuan Hospital Affiliated to Chongqing Medical University,  
16   Chongqing, China; <sup>5</sup> Chongqing Three Gorges Central Hospital, Chongqing, China; <sup>6</sup>  
17   The Public Health Center, Chongqing, China; <sup>7</sup> Department of respiration, The  
18   Second Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China; <sup>8</sup>  
19   Department of Emergency, The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical  
20   University, Chongqing, China; <sup>9</sup> BioScience Co. LTD, Tianjin, China.

21

22   <sup>#</sup> Corresponding Authors

23 Contact Information:

24 De-qiang Wang, Prof., Room 604, College of Life Sciences Building, 1 YiXueYuan

25 Road, YuZhong District, Chongqing, 400016, China, Email: wangdq@cqmu.edu.cn.

26 Ping Liu, Dr., Building No.2, No.5 Gangcheng Donghuan Road, Jiangbei District,

27 Chongqing, 400026, China. Email: henry@bioscience-tj.com

28

29 \*These authors contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 **Abstract**

48 A respiratory illness has been spreading rapidly in China, since its outbreak in  
49 Wuhan city, Hubei province in December 2019. The illness was caused by a novel  
50 coronavirus, named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).  
51 Clinical manifestations related to SARS-CoV-2 infection ranged from no symptom to  
52 fatal pneumonia. World Health Organization (WHO) named the diseases associated  
53 with SARS-CoV-2 infection as COVID-19. Real time RT-PCR is the only laboratory  
54 test available till now to confirm the infection. However, the accuracy of real time  
55 RT-PCR depends on many factors, including sampling location and of methods,  
56 quality of RNA extraction and training of operators etc.. Variations in these factors  
57 might significantly lower the sensitivity of the detection. We developed a  
58 peptide-based luminescent immunoassay to detect IgG and IgM. Cut-off value of this  
59 assay was determined by the detection of 200 healthy sera and 167 sera from patients  
60 infected with other pathogens than SARS-CoV-2. To evaluate the performance of this  
61 assay, we detected IgG and IgM in the 276 sera from confirmed patients. The positive  
62 rate of IgG and IgM were 71.4% (197/276) and 57.2% (158/276) respectively. By  
63 combining with real time RT-PCR detection, this assay might help to enhance the  
64 accuracy of diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

65 **Key words:** SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, Immunoglobulin G, Immunoglobulin M,  
66 Detection, Chemiluminescence Immunoassay

67 **Introduction**

68

69 In December 2019, a novel coronavirus, labeled as severe acute respiratory  
70 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) the Coronavirus Study Group [1], has been  
71 identified as the causative agent of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [2]  
72 outbreak in Wuhan, Hubei province of China [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. This disease spread  
73 rapidly by human-to-human transmission [4] from Wuhan to other regions [8],  
74 resulting in more than 44,000 COVID-19 cases in mainland China based on the  
75 statistical data until Feb 12, 2020 [9]. Additionally, a total of 78 cases were identified  
76 in Hong Kong, Macao and Taiwan, and more than 400 cases were identified in  
77 Thailand, Japan, South Korea, United States, Vietnam, Singapore, Nepal, France,  
78 Australian and Canada [9]. So far, the number of infected people is still growing  
79 significantly.

80 Given that effective anti-viral therapeutics are unavailable currently, the first line  
81 of defense is to identify infected-patients as early as possible. Currently, laboratory  
82 diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 has been carried out by detecting viral RNA in throat swab  
83 samples based on real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assay  
84 (real-time RT-PCR assay). This real-time RT-PCR method is sensitive and does not  
85 require live virus present in the specimen. Despite these advantages, such method can  
86 give results that are falsely-negative or falsely-positive due to several limitations, such  
87 as quality of specimen collection, multi-steps of RNA preparation and virus mutation.  
88 Another most widely used method is a serological test for the presence of antibodies

89 against viral proteins. Like severe acute respiratory syndrome CoV (SARS-CoV) and  
90 Middle East respiratory syndrome CoV (MERS-CoV), SARS-CoV-2 are enveloped  
91 positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses [5, 10]. Genomic analysis of  
92 SARS-CoV-2 reveals four major structural proteins including Spike (S) protein,  
93 Nucleocapsid (N) protein, Envelope (E) protein, and Membrane (M) protein, as well  
94 as a number of accessory open reading frame (ORF) proteins [5, 10]. In this study, we  
95 developed a magnetic chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay (MCLIA) which has  
96 good specificity for immunological reaction and high sensitivity for detecting serum  
97 immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM against SARS-CoV-2.

## 98 **Materials and methods**

### 99 **Human sera**

100 A total of 276 sera were collected from 276 inpatients from three designated  
101 hospitals, Chongqing Three Gorges Central Hospital, Yongchuan Hospital Affiliated  
102 to Chongqing Medical University (CQMU), and The Public Health Center, in  
103 Chongqing, China. These patients were confirmed to be infected with 2019-nCoV by  
104 real time RT-PCR detection of virus RNA. Among these sera samples, 168 were  
105 taken from patients with fever symptom. The time points of sampling range from day  
106 2 to day 27 from the onset of fever. Ninety-nine of these patients reported exposure to  
107 persons with confirmed infection latter. The 200 normal human sera were collected  
108 from healthy people more than 1 year before 2019-nCoV outbreak. 167 sera from  
109 patients with infection with other pathogens were collected from the Second Hospital  
110 Affiliated to CQMU and Children's Hospital Affiliated to CQMU. These pathogens

111 include influenza A virus (25), respiratory syncytial virus (7), parainfluenza virus (8),  
112 influenza B virus (5), adenovirus (6), *Klebsiella pneumonia*  
113 (8), *Streptococcus pneumonia* (3), *Mycoplasma* (5), *Acinetobacter baumannii*  
114 (10), *Candida albicans* (2), *Staphylococcus aureus* (3), *Mycobacterium*  
115 *tuberculosis*(4), Hepatitis B virus (33), Hepatitis C virus (22), Syphilis (23) and  
116 *Saccharomycopsis* (3).

117 All sera samples were inactivated at 56 °C for 30 min. This study was approved  
118 by the Ethics Commission of Chongqing Medical University (CQMU-2020-01).  
119 Written informed consent was waived by the Ethics Commission of the designated  
120 hospital for emerging infectious diseases.

#### 121 **Synthetic peptide-based luminescent immunoassay.**

122 We developed a luminescent immunoassay for the detection of 2019-nCov  
123 antibody using synthetic peptide antigens as the immunosorbent. Twenty peptides,  
124 deduced from the genomic sequence from GenBank (NC\_045512.1), were  
125 synthesized as candidate antigens from the orf1a/b, spike (S), and nucleocapsid (N)  
126 proteins. Each kind of peptide was labelled with biotin and the biotinylated peptide  
127 was purified and used to bind to streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. For antibody  
128 assay, serum samples (100µl/each sample) were mixed with the beads carrying  
129 corresponding peptides for 10 min at 37°C. Beads were washed 5 times, reacted to the  
130 antibody conjugate, again washed 5 times, and reacted to substrate. Reactivity was  
131 determined by a luminescence reader (Peteck 96-I, Bioscience, China).

#### 132 **Evaluation of the luminescent immunoassay.**

133 Cut-off value of the test was determined as the mean luminescence value of the  
134 200 normal sera plus 5 folds of SD. Sera from 276 COVID-19 patients 167 patients  
135 with irrelevant pathogens were used to evaluate the performance of the assay. The  
136 luminescent immunoassay was performed as described above. Results were  
137 determined as positive if the signal/cutoff (S/C) ratio  $\geq 1$ .

138

## 139 **Result**

### 140 **Evaluation of Synthetic peptide-based MCLIA for SARS-CoV-2**

141 Twenty synthetic peptides, derived from the amino acid sequence of ORF1a/b,  
142 Spike (S) protein and Nucleocapsid (N) protein, were used to develop MCLIA for  
143 detecting IgG and IgM antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. To screen these peptides, 5  
144 sera from confirmed patients and 10 normal sera were used to react with these  
145 peptides respectively. Among these peptides tested, one from S protein showed the  
146 best performance. We used the assay based on this peptide for the following study. To  
147 determine the cut-off value of this assay, serum samples from 200 healthy blood  
148 donors who donated blood 1-2 years ago were first tested. The mean  
149 chemiluminescence (CL) values for IgG and IgM were  $0.152 \pm 0.109$  and  $0.151 \pm 0.107$ ,  
150 respectively (Fig.1). Cut-off value for IgG and IgM detection were determined as 0.7  
151 and 0.7 respectively.

152 To test the specificity of the assays, the serum samples from 167 people infected  
153 with other respiratory pathogens such as influenza A virus, influenza B virus,  
154 parainfluenza virus, adenovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, mycoplasma,

155 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Candida*  
156 *albicans*, *Staphylococcus aureus* were tested. The mean chemiluminescence (CL)  
157 values for IgG and IgM in non-SARS-CoV-2 infected people were  $0.121 \pm 0.062$  and  
158  $0.120 \pm 0.065$ , respectively (Fig.1A-B). These results showed that no cross-reactivity  
159 was observed for these 20 pathogens, indicating a very good specificity.

160 To test stability of this MCLIA based serological diagnosis method, serum  
161 samples with different concentrations were measured 10 times (Fig 2. A, B, C, D),  
162 coefficient of variation (CV) of IgG and IgM detection in different concentration  
163 samples were all below 6% (Fig 2. E, F), which meant a perfect stability of this assay  
164 in IgG/IgM detection. Furthermore, series dilutions for 6 serum samples(3 for IgG, 3  
165 for IgM) were performed and S/co values were collected, regression analysis revealed  
166 S/co value range from 1 to 200 linear reflected serum antibody concentration (IgG,  
167  $R^2 = -0.902$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , Fig 3A; IgM,  $R^2 = -0.946$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , Fig 3B), assured the  
168 rationality in further quantitative comparison based on S/co values.

### 169 **Serological Diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2 in patients with confirmed infection**

170 IgG and IgM were further examined by MCLIA in serum from 276 patients with  
171 confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. The median age of these patients was 48 years  
172 (IQR, 37-56; range, 0.66-84years), and 151/276 (54.71%) were men. The mean (CL)  
173 values for IgG were  $18.62 \pm 32.87$ , ranging from 0.05-194.56; IgM were  $5.50 \pm 22.60$ ,  
174 ranging from 0.04-318.16. Majority (197/276; 71.4%) of patients were positive for  
175 IgG antibody against the SARS-CoV-2, while 57.2% (158/276) patient were positive



176 for IgM antibody against the SARS-CoV-2. Overall, 225 patients showed positive for  
177 IgM or IgG test and the total positive rate reached 81.52% (225/276) (Table1).

178

## 179 **Discussion**

180 We developed a luminescent immunoassay for IgG and IgM against  
181 SARS-CoV-2, which, to our knowledge, was the first such assay allowed us to study  
182 the antibody response to the newly identified coronavirus. This assay was based on a  
183 peptide from S protein, screened out from 20 candidate peptides deduced from the  
184 genomic sequence. Using synthetic peptide as antigen helps to enhance the stability  
185 and repeatability of an assay, and theoretically would be more specific than using  
186 virus as antigen. Indeed, this peptide showed a very good specificity in our assay:  
187 none of the 167 sera from patients infected with other pathogens than SARS-CoV-2  
188 reacted with this peptide. This high specificity may be attributed to the relatively low  
189 homology of this region to other coronaviruses (data not shown).

190 Real time RT-PCR was the only test to confirm the infection of SARS-CoV-2 till  
191 now. We detected both IgM and IgG in the same sera from the 276  
192 infection-confirmed patients. IgG was detected in 71.4% (197/276) of all the sera,  
193 higher than the detection rate of IgM (57.2%, 158/276). A combination the two  
194 antibodies enhanced the detection rate to 81.5% (225/276). Different sensitivity of the  
195 detection of IgG and IgM had been reported in SARS [11]. IgG can be detected as  
196 early as 2 days after the onset of fever. IgM was not detected earlier than IgG, similar  
197 to the situation in MERS [12], which limits its diagnostic utility. It was reported that

198 20%-50% SARS patients cannot be confirmed by RT-PCR [13], and this elicited a  
199 speculation that there might be a comparable part of infection cannot be detected by  
200 real time RT-PCR. Failure of detection by real time RT-PCR can be caused by the  
201 problems from sampling, RNA extraction and PCR amplification, while detecting  
202 antibodies in serum sample avoid a large part of these problems.

203

204

### 205 **Funding**

206 This work was supported by the Emergency Project from the Science &  
207 Technology Commission of Chongqing; The Major National S&T program grant  
208 (2017ZX10202203 and 2017ZX10302201) from Science & Technology Commission  
209 of China; The grant (81871635, 81671997 and 81902060) from the National  
210 Natural Science Foundation of China, and the grant (20180141 and 20170413)  
211 from the Science & Technology Commission of Yuzhong district, Chongqing.

212

### 213 **Disclosure statement**

214 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223 **Reference**

- 224 1. Alexander E. Gorbalenya SCB, Ralph S. Baric, et al. Severe acute respiratory  
225 syndrome-related coronavirus: The species and its viruses – a statement of the  
226 Coronavirus Study Group. medRxiv. 2020. doi:  
227 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.07.937862>.
- 228 2. WHO. 2020. Available from:  
229 [https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020)  
230 [briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020)
- 231 3. Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the  
232 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family  
233 cluster. Lancet. 2020 Jan 24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9. PubMed PMID:  
234 31986261.
- 235 4. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel  
236 Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020 Jan 29. doi:  
237 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316. PubMed PMID: 31995857.
- 238 5. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new  
239 coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020 Feb 3. doi:  
240 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7. PubMed PMID: 32015507.
- 241 6. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel

- 242 coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020 Jan 24. doi:  
243 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5. PubMed PMID: 31986264.
- 244 7. Wei-jie Guan Z-yN, Yu Hu, et. al. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus  
245 infection in China. medRxiv. 2020. doi:  
246 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.06.20020974>.
- 247 8. Yang Yang Q-BL, Ming-Jin Liu, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of the 2019  
248 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. medRxiv. 2020. doi:  
249 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.10.20021675>.
- 250 9. WHO. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 23. Available from:  
251 [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200212-sitrep-23-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=41e9fb78\\_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200212-sitrep-23-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=41e9fb78_2)  
252
- 253 10. Chan JF, Kok KH, Zhu Z, et al. Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel  
254 human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after  
255 visiting Wuhan. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020 Dec;9(1):221-236. doi:  
256 10.1080/22221751.2020.1719902. PubMed PMID: 31987001.
- 257 11. Woo PC, Lau SK, Wong BH, et al. Differential sensitivities of severe acute respiratory  
258 syndrome (SARS) coronavirus spike polypeptide enzyme-linked immunosorbent  
259 assay (ELISA) and SARS coronavirus nucleocapsid protein ELISA for serodiagnosis  
260 of SARS coronavirus pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol. 2005 Jul;43(7):3054-8. doi:  
261 10.1128/JCM.43.7.3054-3058.2005. PubMed PMID: 16000415; PubMed Central  
262 PMCID: PMCPMC1169156.
- 263 12. Corman VM, Albarak AM, Omrani AS, et al. Viral Shedding and Antibody Response

264 in 37 Patients With Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. Clin  
265 Infect Dis. 2016 Feb 15;62(4):477-483. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ951. PubMed PMID:  
266 26565003.

267 13. Yam WC, Chan KH, Poon LL, et al. Evaluation of reverse transcription-PCR assays  
268 for rapid diagnosis of severe acute respiratory syndrome associated with a novel  
269 coronavirus. J Clin Microbiol. 2003 Oct;41(10):4521-4. doi:  
270 10.1128/jcm.41.10.4521-4524.2003. PubMed PMID: 14532176; PubMed Central  
271 PMCID: PMCPMC254368.

272

273

274 **Figure Legends**

275 Fig1. Evaluation of the synthetic peptide-based MCLIA for the detection of IgG and  
276 IgM against SARS-CoV-2. Serum samples were obtained from 200 healthy blood  
277 donors, 167 people infected with other respiratory pathogens and 276 patients with  
278 confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. The serum IgG (A) and IgM (B) were analyzed by  
279 MCLIA.

280 Fig 2. Assessment methodological quality of MCLIA. A. repeated detection analysis  
281 of high antibody concentration serum sample (time of replication=10). B. Detection  
282 analysis of middle antibody concentration serum sample (time of replication=10). C.  
283 Detection analysis of low antibody concentration serum sample (time of  
284 replication=10). D. Detection analysis of negative control(healthy) serum sample  
285 (time of replication=10). E. Percent coefficient of variation for different concentration  
286 serum samples. F. Mean percent coefficient of variation for the different concentration  
287 serum samples.

288

289 Fig 3. The correlation between the serial dilution ratio and calculated S/co values. A.  
290 correlation between serial dilution ratio and S/co values in IgG detection in 3 serum  
291 samples ( $n=3$  replicates in each dilution for each sample). B. correlation between  
292 serial dilution ratio and S/co values in IgM detection in 3 serum samples ( $n=3$   
293 replicates in each dilution for each sample).



294

Table 1. Positive rates of serum IgG or IgM in 276 patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection

	Positive No.	Negative No.	Positive rate (%)
IgG	197	79	71.37
IgM	158	118	57.24
IgG or IgM	225	51	81.52


SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

# Figure 1





# Figure 2



# Figure 3

