Diagnosis in children with exercise-induced respiratory symptoms: a multi-centre study

Authors

Eva SL Pedersen, MSc.¹, Cristina Ardura-Garcia, MD PhD¹, Carmen CM de Jong, MD¹, Anja Jochmann, MD², Alexander Moeller, Prof³, Dominik Mueller-Suter, MD⁴, Nicolas Regamey, Prof.⁵, Florian Singer, MD PhD^{6,7}, Myrofora Goutaki, MD PhD^{1,6}, Claudia E Kuehni, MD Prof^{1,6}

Affiliations

¹ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland

² Department of Paediatrics, University Children's Hospital, University of Basel, Switzerland

³ Division of Paediatric Pulmonology, University Children's Hospital Zurich, Switzerland

⁴ Department of Paediatrics, Kantonsspital Aarau, Switzerland

⁵ Division of Paediatric Pulmonology, Children's Hospital Lucerne, Switzerland

⁶ Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, Children's University Hospital of Bern, University of Bern, Switzerland

⁷ PedNet, Children's University Hospital of Bern, University of Bern, Switzerland

Corresponding Author

Claudia E Kuehni

Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern

Mittelstrasse 43

3012 Bern

Tel: +41 31 631 35 07

E-mail: Claudia.kuehni@ispm.unibe.ch

Key words:

exercise-induced symptoms, diagnosis, paediatric, dysfunctional breathing, childhood asthma

Word count: 2499

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Mandatory statements for Archives of Disease in Childhood

What is already known on this topic (2-3 statements of max 25 words)

1. Exercise-induced symptoms are common in childhood but not easy to diagnose because different diagnoses share similar clinical presentations
2. Only few studies focused on children with exercise-induced symptoms and all have included selected groups of patients with difficult-to-diagnose problems

What this study adds (2-3 statements of max 25 words)

1. Exercise-induced respiratory symptoms was the main reason for referral in one fifth of the children referred to paediatric respiratory outpatient clinics.
2. Dysfunctional breathing is an under-recognised diagnosis; it was frequently diagnosed in the outpatient clinic (in 37%) but rarely suspected by the referring physician (6%)
3. Diagnostic evaluation, management, and follow-up were inconsistent between clinics highlighting the need for diagnostic guidelines in children seen for EIS.

Abstract (248/250 words)

Objective: Exercise-induced respiratory symptoms (EIS) are common in childhood and reflect different diseases that can be difficult to diagnose. In children referred to respiratory outpatient clinics for EIS, we compared the diagnosis proposed by the referring primary care physician with the final diagnosis from the outpatient clinic and described diagnostic tests performed and treatment prescribed after the diagnostic evaluation.

Design: Observational study nested in the Swiss Paediatric Airway Cohort (SPAC), which includes respiratory outpatients aged 0-16 years.

Patients: We included children with EIS as main reason for referral. Information about diagnostic investigations, final diagnosis, and treatment prescribed came from outpatient records.

Results: 214 were referred for EIS (mean age 12 years, 99 (46%) female). The final diagnosis was asthma in 115 (54%), extrathoracic dysfunctional breathing (DB) in 35 (16%), thoracic DB in 22 (10%), asthma plus DB in 23 (11%), insufficient fitness in 10 (5%), chronic cough in 6 (3%), and other diagnoses in 3 (1%). Final diagnosis differed from referral diagnosis in 115 (54%). Spirometry, body plethysmography and measurements of exhaled nitric oxide were performed in almost all; exercise-challenge tests in a third. 91% of the children with a final diagnosis of asthma were prescribed inhaled medication and 50% of children with DB were referred to physiotherapy.

Conclusions: Diagnosis given at the outpatient clinic often differed from the diagnosis suspected by the referring physician. Diagnostic evaluation, management and follow-up were inconsistent between clinics and diagnostic groups, highlighting the need for diagnostic guidelines in children seen for EIS.

Introduction

Exercise-induced respiratory symptoms (EIS) are common in childhood,(1-3) but are not easy to diagnose because different aetiologies share similar clinical presentations.(4-6) EIS are typically due to asthma or exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, but other diseases can cause EIS such as dysfunctional breathing disorders, insufficient fitness level, chronic cough, or rare aetiologies (**figure 1**). (7, 8) Dysfunctional breathing (DB) disorders are abnormal biomechanical patterns of breathing classified as either extrathoracic (e.g. inducible laryngeal obstruction (ILO)) or thoracic (e.g. pattern disordered breathing).(4, 8) Besides functional causes (e.g. ILO, pattern disordered breathing) dysfunctional breathing can result from structural abnormalities such as laryngomalacia.(9, 10) The diagnosis in children with EIS is complicated by possible coexistence of the different causes (11). When investigating children with EIS a thorough history, physical examination and additional diagnostic procedures are essential. Spirometry and measurement of exhaled nitric oxide are helpful to diagnose asthma, particularly combined with a bronchodilator test.(12) The exercise-challenge test is helpful to reproduce exercise-induced bronchoconstriction or other symptoms reported by the patient and to diagnose ILO.(13) Cardiopulmonary exercise testing monitors gas exchange during exercise and is typically used for proving hyperventilation or an insufficient fitness level, and invasive testing such as flexible laryngoscopy allows to directly visualise laryngeal function during exercise.(1)

Prolonged duration of EIS can lead to physical activity avoidance,(14, 15) reduced quality of life,(16) and overtreatment with inhaled corticosteroids if mistakenly diagnosed as asthma.(6, 17) Only few studies have investigated diagnostic practices and diagnoses given to children seen specifically for EIS(7, 17-21), and all have focused on selected groups of

patients excluding children with asthma. No studies have reported the prevalence of different diagnoses and diagnostic practices in representative samples of children with EIS of any cause. We analysed data from Swiss paediatric respiratory outpatient clinics to compare the diagnosis proposed by the referring primary care physician with the diagnosis received at the paediatric respiratory outpatient clinic, and describe diagnostic investigations and treatment prescribed before and at the outpatient clinic.

Methods

Study design

We used data from the Swiss Paediatric Airway Cohort (SPAC), an observational national multi-centre clinical cohort from Switzerland.(22) The study included children aged 0-16 years who were referred to the general paediatric respiratory outpatient clinic of participating hospitals for respiratory problems such as wheeze, cough, dyspnoea, sleep- or exercise-related symptoms and spoke sufficient German to participate. Recruitment for SPAC started in July 1, 2017 and is ongoing. By the time we extracted data for this analysis (October 22, 2019), SPAC recruited patients from five paediatric respiratory outpatient clinics in Switzerland. Among 2436 children invited, 1405 (58%) agreed to participate. The SPAC study was approved by the Bern Cantonal Ethics Committee (Kantonale Ethikkommission Bern 2016-02176). Written informed consent was obtained from parents and directly from patients older than 13 years. This paper is reported following the STROBE statement.(23)

SPAC study procedures and data sources

Eligible patients were recruited at their first clinical visit, where a physician explained the SPAC study. Parents filled in a questionnaire before or shortly after the visit including

information on symptoms, medication, environmental exposures and health behaviours.

After the visit, the SPAC study team collected referral letters with information on referral diagnosis, and outpatient clinic letters with information on symptoms history, previous treatments, physical examination, diagnostic tests done, and final diagnosis. Results from diagnostic tests were collected from the clinic records and all information was entered into a Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) database.(24)

Inclusion criteria

We included children who were referred for EIS as main referral reason. We considered EIS as main reason for referral if the referral letter or the first outpatient clinic letter described EIS as the only or main reason for referral (**supplementary file 1**). We excluded children with missing information on referral reason or missing final diagnosis.

Referral diagnosis

Referral diagnosis was the diagnosis described as suspected cause of EIS in the referral letter from the referring physician. Suspected referral diagnoses were categorised into three categories: asthma (including asthma, recurrent wheeze, or exercise-induced bronchoconstriction); DB (including extrathoracic or thoracic DB); or unknown aetiology if no suspected diagnosis was described.

Final diagnosis given at outpatient clinic

Final diagnosis was defined as the diagnosis described in the outpatient clinic letter that was sent back to the referring physician after completion of the diagnostic evaluation (which sometimes required more than one visit). Combinations of diagnoses were considered

where coexisting diagnoses were listed. We grouped diagnoses into seven categories suggested in previous publications (4, 8) (**figure 1**). Asthma, extrathoracic DB, thoracic DB, asthma plus any DB, chronic cough, insufficient fitness level, and other diagnoses. We grouped DB into extrathoracic DB (functional: induced laryngeal obstruction, and structural: laryngomalacia, subglottic stenosis) and thoracic DB (functional: pattern disordered breathing, hyperventilation, sighing). For some analyses, we merged rare diagnoses (insufficient fitness level, chronic cough other diagnoses) into one category (**supplementary file 1**). The final diagnosis was categorised as suspected if the diagnosis in the outpatient clinic letter included the word “suspected”.

Diagnostic tests performed at outpatient clinic

We extracted information on diagnostic testing from the outpatient clinic letter. Tests included: spirometry, body plethysmography, bronchodilator test, fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), allergy tests (skin prick test or specific IgE), chest x-ray, and bronchial challenge tests such as methacholine and exercise-challenge test. Diagnostic tests were performed according to published guidelines (25-27). Challenge tests were often performed at a follow-up visit and we therefore collected challenge tests also from follow-up visits. Children withheld short acting beta2-agonists (SABA) for 8 hours, inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), leukotriene antagonists, and long acting beta2-agonists (LABA) for 24 hours, and antihistamines and sodium cromoglycate for 72 hours before the outpatient clinic visit. All tests were performed by experienced lung function technicians who also assessed quality of the tests.

Prescribed treatments and other variables

We extracted information about treatment taken prior to the first outpatient clinic visit from the referral letter (described by referring physician) and the first outpatient clinic letter (described in clinical history). Treatment prescribed at the outpatient clinic was taken from the outpatient clinic letter with the latest data and summarised as: SABA, ICS, and LABA or combinations. Information on referral to physiotherapy or other specialty and any planned follow-up visits were taken from the outpatient clinic letter. Information about age, sex, height and weight was taken from the outpatient clinic letter. We calculated body mass index (BMI) as $\text{weight (kg)} / \text{height}^2 \text{ (cm)}$ and calculated age-adjusted BMI z-scores based on reference values from the World Health Organisation (28), defining overweight as BMI z-score > 1 and obesity as BMI z-score > 2 . We used information on parental education, environmental factors and physical activity from the standardised parental questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

We compared referral diagnosis with final diagnosis, and described asthma treatment prescribed before and at the outpatient clinic. We compared characteristics of children receiving the different diagnoses using chi-square, fisher's exact and ANOVA tests. Our dataset had few missing values of which the variables parental education (7%) and BMI (2%) had most, and we reported these variables only for children who had valid information. Our main factors of interest (diagnostic evaluations, diagnosis and treatment) had no missing values. We used STATA version 14 for statistical analysis.

Results

Of the 1065 children who had their first outpatient visit after June 1, 2017, 214 (20%) had EIS as main reason for referral (**supplementary file 2**). We included data from five clinics. The

largest clinic contributed 71 patients and the smallest 26 patients (**table 1**). On average, children were 12 years old (SD: 3) and 99 (46%) were female (**table 2**). The commonest referral diagnosis was asthma in 126 (59%); 12 (6%) were suspected to have DB and no diagnosis was proposed in 74 (35%). 89 (43%) had at least one follow-up visit. The average time between baseline and last visit was 3.7 months (range 0.4-16.8).

Final diagnoses from the outpatient clinic letter included asthma (n=115, 54%); extrathoracic DB (n=35, 16%); thoracic DB (n=22, 10%); asthma plus any DB (n=23, 11%), insufficient fitness level (n=10, 5%), chronic cough (n=6, 3%), and other (pleural effusion n=1, unknown aetiology n=2) (**table 3**). Of the 35 children diagnosed with extrathoracic DB, 32 had functional DB (ILO) and 3 had structural DB. Of the 21 with thoracic DB, all had functional DB (pattern disordered breathing n=16, hyperventilation n=2, sighing tics n=4). In the 23 with asthma plus DB, 19 had asthma plus ILO and 4 had asthma plus pattern disordered breathing. The relative frequency of diagnoses differed between clinics (**table 1, supplementary file 3**). Children diagnosed with DB or asthma plus DB were slightly older, more often female, and had a lower BMI z-score than children diagnosed exclusively with asthma or other diagnoses. The referral diagnosis often differed from the final diagnosis. Of the 126 referred for suspected asthma, 37 (29%) got another diagnosis at the outpatient clinic (**table 2, figure 2**). In most (10 of 12) children referred for suspected DB, the diagnosis was confirmed at the outpatient clinic. Of the 76 children with no suspected referral diagnosis, only 24 (32%) were diagnosed with asthma, the majority (n=41) were diagnosed with DB.

The diagnostic tests most often performed at the first outpatient clinic visit were spirometry in 208 (97%), body plethysmography in 171 (80%), and FeNO in 199 (93%) (**table 1, supplementary file 4**). A methacholine challenge test was performed in 50 (23%) and an exercise challenge in 80 (37%). Cardiopulmonary exercise tests or flexible laryngoscopy were not performed. Diagnostic procedures differed by clinic and diagnosis. Children diagnosed with thoracic DB performed exercise-challenge more often (68%) than children diagnosed with EIB (37%) (**table 2**).

Prior to referral, 65% of all children were on inhaled asthma therapy (30% SABA as needed, 2% ICS and 33% on SABA/ICS or LABA/ICS combinations (**table 3**). After evaluation at the outpatient clinic, ICS +/-SABA or ICS+LABA was prescribed almost exclusively to children with asthma or asthma plus any DB. SABA alone was mostly prescribed in children with asthma (30%) or asthma plus any DB (22%), but also in those with extrathoracic DB (17%), thoracic DB (9%), and other diagnoses (26%). 42 children (20%) were referred to physiotherapy for breathing/speech training and all of them were diagnosed with extrathoracic or thoracic DB or asthma plus any DB. Follow-up visits were planned in most children (78%) diagnosed with asthma, but only in 23% children diagnosed with extrathoracic DB and 9% with thoracic DB.

Discussion

This multicentre study of children referred for EIS found that in almost half of the children the diagnosis was revised at the clinic. The commonest final diagnoses apart from asthma were extrathoracic and thoracic DB. Relative frequency of final diagnoses and the set of diagnostic tests performed differed between clinics.

Strengths and limitations

This pragmatic study is the first to report diagnostic evaluation and management in a real-life clinical setting in children referred to respiratory outpatient clinics for any type of EIS. The broad inclusion criteria (children referred for any type of EIS as main reason for referral) ensured a wide clinical spectrum of children with EIS. Recruitment from five different outpatient clinics in Switzerland made it possible to report on clinical practices and to study variations between different tertiary clinics. A resulting weakness is that diagnostic evaluation and description of final diagnosis were not standardised between clinics, which may influence prevalence estimates. The final diagnosis described in the outpatient clinic letter was described as suspected in 97 (45%), indicating uncertainty in the final diagnosis. In these children, the final diagnosis could change after further diagnostic evaluations, which would influence the prevalence of the estimates.

Comparison with other studies and interpretation

We identified six previous studies reporting diagnoses given to children seen for exercise-induced symptoms. However all six studies included children with EIS unlikely to be caused by asthma (**supplementary file 5**). (7, 17-19, 21, 29) In our study we included all children with EIS without excluding those with suspected asthma, and for this reason a larger proportion was diagnosed with asthma (57%) compared with previous studies (8-22% asthma). We found that 33 (15%) were diagnosed with ILO, which in previous studies varied between 3-30%. Thoracic DB (e.g. hyperventilation syndrome, sigh dyspnoea, cough), accounted for 10% in our study. In previous studies it varied both in regard to prevalence (4-34%) and labelling of diagnoses, making comparisons difficult. In two previous studies, many patients (19-67%) were diagnosed as having no disease, because their symptoms represented a

normal physiological response to exercise with a normal fitness level.(7, 19) In our study, none were diagnosed with normal physiological response to exercise, but ten children were diagnosed with insufficient fitness level. The frequency of diagnoses in our study differed from previous studies, but also differed considerably between clinics (e.g. extrathoracic DB varied from 7% in clinic4 to 47% in clinic3). This suggests a lack of agreement on how to diagnose and define different diagnoses between clinics.

In most children referred for EIS, basic investigations for asthma were performed including measurement of FeNO, allergy tests and lung function testing (spirometry and body plethysmography). Further tests that are diagnostic for other diseases than asthma were done in a minority of children. Exercise challenge testing, recommended to reproduce symptoms in patients with EIS,(4, 26, 30) was only done in 37%. By the time of data collection, none of the clinics performed flexible laryngoscopy and cardiopulmonary exercise test, although laryngoscopy is considered the reference standard for diagnosing extrathoracic DB and cardiopulmonary exercise test is used to diagnose hyperventilation syndrome and insufficient fitness level.(12, 31-33) We found that diagnostic investigations differed between clinics, especially methacholine (0-65%) and exercise challenge tests (7-71%). This indicates little agreement on which diagnostic investigations should be done. Further studies should investigate the optimal algorithm for diagnosing children seen for EIS.

Asthma treatment depends on severity (34) and is therefore expected to differ between children. We would have expected that 100% of the children with asthma would have been prescribed some sort of bronchodilator but in our study, it was only in 93%. Apart from children with asthma, 20% of patients diagnosed with extrathoracic DB were prescribed

SABA, which was unexpected but could indicate diagnostic uncertainty. For DB, physiotherapy or speech therapy are recommended treatment.(4, 5) In our study, only half of the children diagnosed with isolated DB (extrathoracic or thoracic) were referred to physiotherapy/speech therapy. The reason for this could be that the pediatric pulmonologist considered the disease as mild and selected a wait-and-see policy after careful instructions about the benign aetiology of the symptoms. Most children diagnosed with asthma (78%) had a planned follow-up visit, but only 23% with extrathoracic DB and 9% with thoracic DB had a planned follow-up visit at the clinic.

In summary, we found that final diagnosis given at the outpatient clinic differed in half of the children from the suspected referral diagnosis. DB was a relatively common diagnosis but rarely suspected by the referring physician. Diagnostic evaluation, management and follow-up were inconsistent between clinics and diagnostic groups. This highlights the need for diagnostic guidelines in children seen for EIS.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the families who took part in the SPAC study. We would also like to thank the outpatient clinic assistants, nurses and doctors for recruiting patients.

Statement of Ethics

The SPAC study was approved by the Bern Cantonal Ethics Committee (Kantonale Ethikkommission Bern 2016-02176). Written informed consent was obtained from patients' parents or directly from patients at the age of 14 years and older.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF 32003B_162820) and the Swiss Lung Association. Further funding to develop the SPAC cohort came from the Allergiestiftung U. Müller-Gierok and the Lung league St. Gallen.

Author's contributions

EP, CA, CdJ, MG and CK made substantial contributions to the study conception and design. EP drafted the manuscript. EP and CdJ collected and prepared data from the SPAC study. EP, CdJ, CA, AJ, AM, DM, NR, FS, MG, and CK critically revised and approved the manuscript.

Availability of data and material

The SPAC dataset is available on reasonable request by contacting Claudia Kuehni by email:

Claudia.kuehni@ispm.unibe.ch.

References

1. Bhatia R, Abu-Hasan M, Weinberger M. Exercise-Induced Dyspnea in Children and Adolescents: Differential Diagnosis. *Pediatric annals*. 2019;48:e121-e7 doi: 10.3928/19382359-20190219-02 [published Online First: 2019/03/16].
2. Cichalewski L, Majak P, Jerzynska J, *et al*. Prevalence of exercise-induced cough in schoolchildren: a pilot study. *Allergy and asthma proceedings*. 2015;36:65-9 doi: 10.2500/aap.2015.36.3810 [published Online First: 2015/01/07].
3. Johansson H, Norlander K, Berglund L, *et al*. Prevalence of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction and exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction in a general adolescent population. *Thorax*. 2015;70:57-63 doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-205738 [published Online First: 2014/11/09].
4. Depiazzi J, Everard ML. Dysfunctional breathing and reaching one's physiological limit as causes of exercise-induced dyspnoea. *Breathe (Sheffield, England)*. 2016;12:120-9 doi: 10.1183/20734735.007216 [published Online First: 2016/07/14].
5. Balkissoon R, Kenn K. Asthma: vocal cord dysfunction (VCD) and other dysfunctional breathing disorders. *Seminars in respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2012;33:595-605 doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1326959 [published Online First: 2012/10/11].
6. Ullmann N, Mirra V, Di Marco A, *et al*. Asthma: Differential Diagnosis and Comorbidities. *Frontiers in pediatrics*. 2018;6 doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00276 [published Online].
7. Abu-Hasan M, Tannous B, Weinberger M. Exercise-induced dyspnea in children and adolescents: if not asthma then what? *Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 2005;94:366-71 doi: 10.1016/s1081-1206(10)60989-1 [published Online First: 2005/04/02].
8. Barker N, Everard ML. Getting to grips with 'dysfunctional breathing'. *Paediatric respiratory reviews*. 2015;16:53-61 doi: 10.1016/j.prrv.2014.10.001 [published Online First: 2014/12/17].
9. Davies SJC, Jackson PR, Ramsay LE. Dysfunctional breathing and asthma : Panic disorder needs to be considered. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2001;323:631 Online.
10. Gruber C, Lehmann C, Weiss C, Niggemann B. Somatoform respiratory disorders in children and adolescents-proposals for a practical approach to definition and classification. *Pediatric pulmonology*. 2012;47:199-205 doi: 10.1002/ppul.21533 [published Online First: 2011/09/10].
11. Connett GJ, Thomas M. Dysfunctional Breathing in Children and Adults With Asthma. *Frontiers in pediatrics*. 2018;6:406 doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00406 [published Online First: 2019/01/11].
12. Kenn K, Hess MM. Vocal Cord Dysfunction: An Important Differential Diagnosis of Bronchial Asthma. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International*. 2008;105:699-704 doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2008.0699 [published Online].
13. Aggarwal B, Mulgirigama A, Berend N. Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction: prevalence, pathophysiology, patient impact, diagnosis and management. *NPJ primary care respiratory medicine*. 2018;28:31 doi: 10.1038/s41533-018-0098-2 [published Online First: 2018/08/16].
14. Johansson H, Norlander K, Malinowski A. Increased prevalence of exercise-induced airway symptoms - A five-year follow-up from adolescence to young adulthood. *Respiratory medicine*. 2019;154:76-81 doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2019.06.011 [published Online First: 2019/06/22].

15. Lochte L, Nielsen KG, Petersen PE, Platts-Mills TA. Childhood asthma and physical activity: a systematic review with meta-analysis and Graphic Appraisal Tool for Epidemiology assessment. *BMC pediatrics*. 2016;16:50 doi: 10.1186/s12887-016-0571-4 [published Online First: 2016/04/20].
16. Johansson H, Norlander K, Janson C, Malinovschi A, Nordang L, Emtner M. The relationship between exercise induced bronchial obstruction and health related quality of life in female and male adolescents from a general population. *BMC pulmonary medicine*. 2016;16:63 doi: 10.1186/s12890-016-0226-0 [published Online First: 2016/04/28].
17. Hammo AH, Weinberger MM. Exercise-induced hyperventilation: a pseudoasthma syndrome. *Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 1999;82:574-8 doi: 10.1016/s1081-1206(10)63169-9 [published Online First: 1999/07/10].
18. Hseu A, Sandler M, Ericson D, Ayele N, Kawai K, Nuss R. Paradoxical vocal fold motion in children presenting with exercise induced dyspnea. *International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology*. 2016;90:165-9 doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2016.09.007 [published Online First: 2016/10/13].
19. Mahut B, Fuchs-Climent D, Plantier L, et al. Cross-sectional assessment of exertional dyspnea in otherwise healthy children. *Pediatric pulmonology*. 2014;49:772-81 doi: 10.1002/ppul.22905 [published Online First: 2013/10/25].
20. Sears MR. Predicting asthma outcomes. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2015;136:829-36; quiz 37 doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.04.048 [published Online First: 2015/10/10].
21. Buchvald F, Phillipsen LD, Hjuler T, Nielsen KG. Exercise-induced inspiratory symptoms in school children. *Pediatric pulmonology*. 2016;51:1200-5 doi: 10.1002/ppul.23530 [published Online First: 2016/10/18].
22. Pedersen ESL, de Jong CCM, Ardura-Garcia C, et al. The Swiss Paediatric Airway Cohort (SPAC). *ERJ open research*. 2018;4 doi: 10.1183/23120541.00050-2018 [published Online First: 2018/11/27].
23. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening of Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2008;61:344-9 doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008 [published Online First: 2008/03/04].
24. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *Journal of biomedical informatics*. 2009;42:377-81 doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010 [published Online First: 2008/10/22].
25. Standardization of Spirometry, 1994 Update. American Thoracic Society. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1995;152:1107-36 doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.152.3.7663792 [published Online First: 1995/09/01].
26. Crapo RO, Casaburi R, Coates AL, et al. Guidelines for methacholine and exercise challenge testing-1999. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the ATS Board of Directors, July 1999. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2000;161:309-29 doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.161.1.ats11-99 [published Online First: 2000/01/05].
27. Recommendations for standardized procedures for the on-line and off-line measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide in adults and children-1999. This official statement of the American Thoracic Society was adopted by the

- ATS Board of Directors, July 1999. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1999;160:2104-17 doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.160.6.ats8-99 [published Online First: 1999/12/10].
28. Van den Broeck J, Willie D, Younger N. The World Health Organization child growth standards: expected implications for clinical and epidemiological research. *European journal of pediatrics*. 2009;168:247-51 doi: 10.1007/s00431-008-0796-9 [published Online First: 2008/08/02].
29. Seear M, Wensley D, West N. How accurate is the diagnosis of exercise induced asthma among Vancouver schoolchildren? *Archives of disease in childhood*. 2005;90:898-902 doi: 10.1136/adc.2004.063974 [published Online First: 2005/04/28].
30. Bonini M, Palange P. Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction: new evidence in pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment. *Asthma research and practice*. 2015;1:2 doi: 10.1186/s40733-015-0004-4 [published Online First: 2015/07/02].
31. Dunn NM, Katial RK, Hoyte FCL. Vocal cord dysfunction: a review. *Asthma research and practice*. 2015;1 doi: 10.1186/s40733-015-0009-z [published Online].
32. Forman DE, Myers J, Lavie CJ, Guazzi M, Celli B, Arena R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing: relevant but underused. *Postgraduate medicine*. 2010;122:68-86 doi: 10.3810/pgm.2010.11.2225 [published Online First: 2010/11/19].
33. Martinez FJ, Stanopoulos I, Acero R, Becker FS, Pickering R, Beamis JF. Graded comprehensive cardiopulmonary exercise testing in the evaluation of dyspnea unexplained by routine evaluation. *Chest*. 1994;105:168-74 doi: 10.1378/chest.105.1.168 [published Online First: 1994/01/01].
34. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. . 2019.

Figure 1: Classification of causes of exercise-induced symptoms used in this study

Table 1: Suspected referral diagnosis, final diagnosis and diagnostic tests described in outpatient clinic letter, in total and by centre (N=214)

	Total N=214	Clinic1 N=71	Clinic2 N=56	Clinic3 N=33	Clinic4 N=26	Clinic5 N=28
Referral diagnosis						
Suspected asthma	126 (59)	42 (59)	37 (66)	14 (43)	20 (77)	13 (46)
Suspected DB	12 (6)	3 (4)	5 (9)	4 (12)	0	0
No suspected diagnosis	76 (35)	26 (37)	14 (25)	15 (45)	6 (23)	15 (54)
Final diagnosis from clinic						
Asthma	115 (54)	37 (52)	33 (59)	12 (36)	16 (62)	17 (61)
Extrathoracic DB	35 (16)	13 (18)	10 (18)	6 (18)	1 (4)	5 (18)
Thoracic DB	22 (10)	5 (7)	4 (7)	10 (30)	1 (4)	2 (7)
Asthma + DB	23 (11)	7 (10)	5 (9)	3 (9)	7 (27)	1 (4)
Insufficient fitness level	10 (5)	4 (6)	3 (9)	2 (6)	0	1 (4)
Chronic cough	6 (3)	4 (6)	0	0	1 (4)	1 (4)
Other ^a	3 (1)	1 (1)	1 (2)	0	0	1 (4)
Final diagnosis described as suspected in clinical record	97 (45)	35 (49)	21 (37)	12 (38)	8 (31)	21 (75)
Diagnostic tests done at 1st visit						
Spirometry	208 (97)	67 (94)	56 (100)	33 (100)	25 (96)	27 (96)
Body plethysmography	171 (80)	54 (76)	38 (68)	30 (94)	23 (88)	26 (93)
Bronchodilator test (n=207)	106 (51)	23 (34)	39 (70)	25 (78)	6 (24)	13 (48)
FeNO	199 (93)	61 (86)	53 (95)	33 (100)	25 (96)	27 (96)
Allergy test (skin prick, specific IgE)	124 (58)	51 (72)	32 (57)	4 (13)	20 (77)	17 (61)
Thorax x-ray	17 (8)	5 (7)	8 (14)	0	3 (12)	1 (4)
Diagnostic tests done at 1st or 2nd visit						
Bronchial challenge test (any)	121 (57)	45 (63)	25 (45)	24 (73)	21 (81)	6 (21)
Methacholine challenge	50 (23)	30 (42)	1 (2)	0	15 (58)	4 (14)
Exercise challenge	80 (37)	20 (28)	25 (45)	24 (73)	8 (31)	3 (11)

DB: Dysfunctional breathing, ILO: Inducible laryngeal obstruction, SABA: Short acting beta2 agonist ICS: Inhaled corticosteroids
 LABA: Long acting beta2; FeNO: Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide ^aEIS of unclear aetiology (n=6), laryngomalacia (n=1), Pleural effusion (n=1) ^bCardiology, Immunology, Endocrinology, Allergology, Sleep study, other

Table 2: Patient characteristics, referral reason, asthma treatment prior to first visit and diagnostic tests performed at outpatient clinic by final diagnosis

Characteristics	Total	Asthma	Extra-thoracic DB	Thoracic DB	Asthma + DB	Other	P-value ^a
	N=214	N=115	N=35	N=22	N=23	N=19	
Demographics							
Age (years), mean (SD)	12 (3)	11 (3)	12 (3)	13 (2)	13 (2)	10 (4)	<0.004
Sex (female)	99 (46)	44 (38)	23 (66)	12 (55)	16 (70)	4 (21)	0.001
BMI zscore, mean (SD)	0.4 (1.1)	0.6 (1.1)	0.3 (1.0)	0.3 (0.9)	-0.2 (1.0)	0.9 (1.4)	0.030
Sports apart from school (n=203)	172 (85)	95 (86)	29 (91)	18 (86)	18 (82)	12 (71)	0.415
Referral reason							
Asthma/EIB	126 (59)	89 (77)	12 (34)	3 (14)	14 (61)	8 (42)	<0.001
Dysfunctional breathing	12 (6)	2 (2)	7 (20)	3 (14)	0	0	
EIS with unknown aetiology	76 (35)	24 (21)	16 (46)	16 (73)	9 (39)	11 (58)	
Asthma treatment prior to first visit^b							
No previous treatment	75 (35)	28 (24)	18 (51)	14 (64)	6 (26)	9 (47)	c
SABA only	64 (30)	37 (32)	7 (20)	7 (32)	9 (39)	4 (21)	
ICS only	4 (2)	2 (2)	0	1 (5)	0	1 (5)	
ICS+LABA/SABA	70 (33)	48 (42)	10 (29)	0	8 (35)	4 (21)	
Any inhaler (SABA, ICS or LABA)	138 (65)	87 (76)	17 (49)	8 (38)	17 (74)	9 (47)	
Diagnostic tests done at 1st visit^e							
Spirometry	208 (98)	113 (99)	35 (100)	22 (100)	23 (100)	15 (79)	<0.001
Body plethysmography	171 (81)	96 (84)	26 (74)	18 (82)	20 (87)	11 (58)	0.095
Bronchodilator test	106 (51)	64 (57)	16 (46)	10 (45)	12 (52)	4 (27)	0.316
FeNO	199 (93)	111 (97)	32 (91)	21 (95)	20 (87)	15 (79)	0.014
Allergy test	124 (58)	72 (63)	18 (51)	8 (36)	15 (65)	11 (58)	0.241
Thorax x-ray	17 (8)	5 (4)	1 (3)	0	3 (13)	8 (42)	<0.001
Diagnostic tests done at 1st or 2nd visit							
Bronchial challenge test (any)	121 (57)	48 (42)	25 (71)	18 (82)	18 (78)	12 (63)	<0.001
Methacholine challenge	50 (23)	25 (22)	8 (23)	6 (29)	7 (30)	4 (21)	0.851
Exercise challenge	80 (37)	25 (22)	18 (51)	15 (68)	13 (57)	9 (47)	<0.001
Treatment prescribed at clinic							
No inhaled treatment prescribed	73 (34)	8 (7)	28 (80)	20 (91)	4 (17)	13 (68)	<0.001
SABA alone as needed/before exercise	52 (24)	34 (30)	6 (17)	2 (9)	5 (22)	5 (26)	0.332
SABA + ICS+/-LABA	54 (25)	47 (41)	0	0	7 (30)	0	<0.001
ICS+/-LABA alone	35 (16)	26 (23)	1 (3)	0	7 (30)	1 (5)	0.001
Referral to:							
Physiotherapy/speech therapy	42 (20)	0	20 (57)	11 (50)	11 (48)	0	<0.001
Other specialty ^d	18 (8)	11 (10)	2 (6)	3 (14)	0	2 (11)	0.437
Follow-up visit planned at clinic	116 (54)	90 (78)	8 (23)	2 (9)	13 (57)	3 (16)	<0.001

Abbreviations: DB: dysfunctional breathing; EIB: exercise-induced bronchoconstriction; SABA:Short acting beta2 agonist ICS:Inhaled corticosteroids LABA: Long acting beta2; FeNO: Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide; ^aP-value from overall tests performed for difference between diagnosis groups (Fisher's exact for all except age (ANOVA) and sex (Chi-square) ^bInformation extracted from referral letters and first outpatient clinic letter ^ctoo many degrees of freedom and too few observation in single cells ^dCardiology, endocrinology, allergology, other

Table 3: Diagnosis given at outpatient clinic (N=214)

Diagnosis	n(%)
Asthma	
Asthma/EIB	115 (54)
Extrathoracic DB	
Functional	
ILO	32 (15)
Structural	
Laryngomalacia	1 (0)
Tracheomalacia	1 (0)
Adenoid hyperplasia	1 (0)
Thoracic DB	
Functional	
PDB (n=16)	16 (7)
Hyperventilation (n=2)	2 (1)
Sighing tics (n=3)	4 (2)
Structural	0
Asthma + DB	
Asthma+extrathoracic functional DB (ILO)	19 (9)
Asthma+thoracic functional DB (PDB)	4 (2)
Insufficient fitness level	
Insufficient fitness level	10 (5)
Chronic cough	
Chronic cough unknown aetiology	4 (2)
Post-infectious chronic cough	2 (1)
Other	
Bilateral pleural effusion	1 (0)
Unknown aetiology	2 (1)

Abbreviations: DB dysfunctional breathing, ILO induced laryngeal obstruction, PDB pattern disordered breathing

Figure 2: Distribution of suspected referral diagnosis (suspected asthma, suspected dysfunctional breathing (DB), no suspected diagnosis) and final diagnosis (asthma, dysfunctional breathing (DB), asthma + DB, other) with proportions (white, grey, black) indicating relationship between suspected referral diagnosis and final diagnosis.

Supplementary file 1: Definitions of variables extracted from referral letters and outpatient clinic letter (terms translated from German)

Category	Definition
Referral diagnosis	If referral letter or first outpatient clinic letter described referral reason as:
Suspected asthma/EIB	Asthma, exercise-induced asthma, recurrent wheeze, or recurrent obstructive bronchitis
Suspected dysfunctional breathing	Any kind of dysfunctional breathing including terms such as vocal cord dysfunction, inspiratory laryngeal obstruction, hyperventilation syndrome, dysfunctional breathing, etc.
No suspected diagnosis	Exercise-related problems with no suggested aetiology
EIS as main reason for referral	If referral letter or first outpatient clinic letter described EIS as
	Single reason for referral
	As first reason for referral with no proceeding descriptions seeming more important than EIS
	Exhaustion-asthma, exercise-induced asthma, exercise-related asthma, exercise-related dyspnoea, exercise-related cough
Final diagnosis^a	If outpatient clinic letter described diagnosis as:
Asthma (obstructive airway disease)	Asthma, preschool-asthma, recurrent wheeze, recurrent obstructive bronchitis, bronchoconstriction
Extrathoracic DB	Vocal cord dysfunction, inspiratory laryngeal obstruction, paradoxical vocal fold motion disorder
Thoracic DB	Dysfunctional breathing with no specification of type of dysfunctional breathing, dysfunctional breathing of thoracic type with insufficient ventilation*. Hyperventilation syndrome, dysfunctional breathing with hyperventilation episodes. Sighing tics
Asthma + DB	Asthma, recurrent wheeze, preschool asthma plus any type of dysfunctional breathing
Insufficient fitness level	Low fitness level as reason for EIS
Chronic cough	Post-infectious chronic cough, chronic cough due to post nasal drip, chronic cough with unknown aetiology
Other	Laryngomalacia, Pleural effusion; unknown aetiology

Abbreviations: EIB: exercise-induced bronchoconstriction; EIS; exercise-induced symptoms; DB: dysfunctional breathing; ^aCategories of dysfunctional breathing disorders were defined based on two publications: Depiazzi, Breathe, 2016 and Grüber, Kinder- und Jugendmedizin, 2015 *In German: Insuffiziente Ventilation bei thorakaler Atmung (DATIV)

Supplementary file 2: Flow chart of patients included in analysis

Supplementary figure 3: Final diagnosis given to children referred for exercise-induced respiratory symptoms in total population and by clinic

Abbreviations: DB: Dysfunctional breathing, ILO: Inspiratory laryngeal obstruction.

Supplementary file 4: Diagnostic tests performed at outpatient clinic at first visit after referral (spirometry, bodyplethysmography, bronchodilator test, FeNO, allergy test, thorax x-ray) or first or second visit after referral (methacholine- or exercise-challenge test) in total population and by outpatient clinic.

Abbreviations: FeNO fraction of exhaled nitric oxide *Oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide production was not measured during exercise (no cardiopulmonary exercise test), only spirometry was performed before and after exercise by treadmill or ergometer.

Supplementary file 5: Studies describing diagnosis given to children referred to outpatient clinics for exercise-induced symptoms

Author, year, journal	Study design, country	Inclusion criteria, N, mean age (range/standard deviation (SD))	Procedure	Final diagnosis as described in paper
Studies including patients referred for EIS unlikely to be caused by asthma				
Abu-Hasan, 2005, Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol (7)	Retrospective chart review of patients referred to pediatric pulmonary and allergy clinic, USA. Month of recruitment = 84	Patients referred for exercise-induced dyspnoea who had no clinically apparent cause or who were treated for exercise-induced asthma without benefit. N=142, mean age (range): 14 (6-21)	Clinical history and physical examination. Pulmonary function, treadmill exercise challenge. Additional electrocardiography, pulse oximetry and breath-by-breath analysis of oxygen utilization and CO ₂ was measured. Flexible laryngoscopy was performed in patients who showed signs of upper airway obstruction.	11 (8%): asthma 13 (9%): vocal cord dysfunction 2 (1%): exercise-induced laryngomalacia 15 (11%): thoracic cage abnormalities (scoliosis and pectus deformities) 74 (52%): normal physiologic response to exercise 25 (18%): no symptoms reproduced, no diagnosis
Mahut, 2014, Pediatric Pulmonology (19)	Prospective cross-sectional study of consecutively referred patients, France. Month of recruitment = 18.	Children and adolescents (no athletes) with exertional dyspnea that lasted 4 weeks, normal baseline spirometry and chest radiography and no response to rapid beta-agonist preventive therapy. N=79, mean age (SD): 12 (2)	Clinical history. Cardiopulmonary exercise test with salbutamol administered 15 min before testing. Among others minute ventilation, oxygen uptake and CO ₂ was measured.	17 (22%): asthma 2 (3%): Vocal cord dysfunction 3 (4%): Alveolar hyperventilation syndrome 7 (9%): Poor conditioning 53 (67%): Normal physiological response to exercise
Seear, 2005, Arch Dis Child (29)	Prospective review of consecutively referred patients, Canada. Month of recruitment = 18	Patients referred to pediatric pulmonology clinic with a complaint of poorly controlled asthma. N=52, mean age (SD): 12 (3)	Clinical history and spirometry before and after exercise-challenge testing (at 5 and 15 minutes).	8 (15%): asthma 14 (27%): Vocal cord dysfunction /sigh dyspnoea 7 (14%): Habit cough 12 (23%): poor physical fitness 11 (21%) no diagnosis
Hammo, 1999, Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol (17)	Retrospective chart review, USA. Month of recruitment = 12	Patients referred to pediatric pulmonary division for exertional dyspnoea or chest tightness without a clear history of asthma and/or where the history suggested that symptoms were not controlled with an inhaled beta-2 agonist. N=32, mean age (age range): 13 (8-18)	Clinical history and treadmill exercise-challenge test and pulse oximeter to measure end-tidal O ₂ and CO ₂ . Running at 85% of aerobic capacity for 6 minutes. Spirometry performed before and after (at 2, 5, 10 and 15 minutes) Pulse oximetry and end-tidal CO ₂ were monitored after exercise until stable.	4 (13%): Asthma 11 (34%): hyperventilation 17 (53%): no reproducible symptoms; no diagnosis. .
Studies including patients referred for EIS suspected of having ILO				
Hseu, 2016, Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol (18)	Retrospective chart review of patients evaluated at pediatric hospital exercise clinic, USA. Month of recruitment = 96	Patients complaining of shortness of breath with exercise, suspected of having vocal cord dysfunction or paradoxical vocal cord dysfunction. N=294, mean age: 15	Pre and post- treadmill exercise pulmonary function tests (2-4 minutes heart rate at 85% of max, 4-6 minutes heart rate above 85% of max) and laryngoscopic examinations were performed during the visit.	30 (10%): asthma 86 (30%): vocal fold dysfunction 29 (10%): deconditioning. 54 (19%) (normal) physiologic dyspnoea 24 (8%): no clear diagnosis
Buchwald, 2016, Pediatr Pulmonol (21)	Prospective review of consecutively referred patients, Denmark. Month of recruitment = 54	Patients with exercise-induced inspiratory symptoms referred from asthma centres. N=54, mean age 14 (9-18)	Continuous laryngoscopy exercise (CLE) during maximum exercise on treadmill.	18 (33%) positive CLE test (diagnosis: ILO) 28 (52%) negative CLE (diagnosis: not described) 5 (9%) inconclusive CLE test (diagnosis: 2 unknown, 1 laryngeal nerve paralysis, 1 laryngomalacia, 1 subglottic stenosis)