1 Setting Standards to Promote Artificial Intelligence in Colon

2 Mass Endoscopic Sampling

3 Yueping Zheng¹, Ruizhang Su¹, Wangyue Wang^{2,14}, Sijun Meng³, Hang Xiao⁴, Wen
 4 Zhang⁵, Haineng Xu⁶, Yemei Bu^{4,7}, Yuhuan Zhong¹¹, Yi Zhang⁸, Hesong Qiu⁹,
 5 Wenjuan Qin¹⁰, Yongxiu Zhang⁸, Wen Xu¹¹, Hong Chen³, Changhua Zhang³, Siqu
 6 Wu^{9,12}, Zhaofang Han^{9,13}, Xiaofang Zheng⁸, Huafen Zhu⁸, Shuisheng Wu^{11 *},
 7 Wensheng Pan^{2 *}, Yulong He^{3 *}, Yiqun Hu^{1 *}

8

9 1. Department of Gastroenterology, Zhongshan Hospital Xiamen University, 201 Hubin South Road,

10 Xiamen, Fujian Province, P. R. China, 361004.

11 2. Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, P. R. China, 310014.

12 3. Center for Digestive Disease, the Seventh Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University,

13 Shenzhen, Guangdong, Province, P. R. China, 518107.

14 4. Jiying (XiaMen) Technology Co., LTD, Xiamen, Fujian Province, P. R. China, 361004.

15 5. Department of Genetics and Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,

16 New York, NY, USA, 10029.

17 6. University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, USA.

18 7. The School of Pharmacy in Fujian Medical University, Fujian Province, P. R. China.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

19 8. Pucheng County Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Pucheng, Fujian Province, P. R.

20 China, 353400.

21 9. Xiamen Cingene Science and technology co., LTD, Xiamen, Fujian Province, P. R. China,

22 361004.

23 10. Department of Radiation Oncology. Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated Xiamen University, 201-209

24 hubinnan road, Xiamen, Fujian Province, P. R. China, 361001.

25 11. College of Pharmacy, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No.1 Qiuyang Road,

26 Shangjie University Town, Fuzhou, Fujian, P.R. China, 350122.

27 12. School of Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian Province, P. R. China, 36110.

28 13. State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences,

29 Xiamen University, Xiamen, P.R. China, 361102.

30 14. Tongxiang First People's Hospital, Tongxiang City, Zhejiang Province, P. R. China, 314500.

31 ***Correspondence to:**

32 Shuisheng Wu: College of Pharmacy, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese

33 Medicine, No.1 Qiuyang Road, Shangjie University Town, Fuzhou, Fujian, P.R. China,

34 350122. E-mail: Wushuishengwss@163.com. Tel: +86-591-22861135.

35 Wensheng Pan: Zhejiang provincial people's hospital, People's Hospital of Hangzhou
36 Medical College, No. 158 of Shangtang Road, XiaCheng District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang
37 Province, P. R. China, 310014. E-mail: wspan223@163.com. Tel: +86-571-87666666.
38 Yulong He: Center for Digestive Disease, the Seventh Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-
39 sen University, No. 628, Zhenyuan Rd, Guangming (New) Dist, Shenzhen, Guangdong
40 Province, P. R. China, 518107. E-mail: doctoryulong@126.com. Tel: +86-755-
41 81206101.
42 Yiqun Hu: Department of Gastroenterology, Zhongshan Hospital Xiamen University,
43 201 Hubin South Road, Xiamen, Fujian Province, P. R. China, 361004. E-mail:
44 hyq0826@xmu.edu.cn. Tel: +86-592-2590151.
45 Word count: 2,034
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **ABSTRACT**

54 **Objective:** Artificial intelligence (AI) has undeniable values in detection,
 55 characterization, and monitoring of tumors during cancer imaging. However, major AI
 56 explorations in digestive endoscopy have not been systematically planned, and more
 57 important, most AI productions are based on Single-center Studies (ScSs). ScSs result
 58 in data scarcity, redundancy as well as island effects, which leads to some limitations
 59 in applying it on endoscopy. We investigate the disadvantages of picture processing
 60 which may effect the AI detection, and make improvements in AI detection and image
 61 recognition accuracy.

62 **Design:** Current investigation aggregates a total of 2,500 gastroenteroscopy samples
 63 from various hospitals in multiple regions and carries out deep learning.

64 **Results:** It is found that factors inconducive to AI recognition are common such as: (a)
 65 the gastrointestinal tract is not cleaned up completely; (b) shooting angle (from left to
 66 right and the top of polyp are unexposed clearly), shooting distance (too close or too
 67 far to shoot causes the lump to be unclear), shooting light (insufficient light source or
 68 overexposed light source in mass) and unstable shooting lead to poor quality of pictures.

Conclusion: We set standards for a multicenter cooperation involving three-level medical institutions from the provincial, municipal and county to improve the recognition accuracy as well as the diagnosis and treatment efficiency meanwhile.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence (AI), colorectal cancer, colorectal polyp, deep learning.

INTRODUCTION

In the year of 2018, the Global Cancer Research Center (GCRC) estimated incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries, and it reported that incidence and mortality rates of colorectal cancer were 10.2% and 9.2%, respectively. Morbidity of colorectal cancer is the third highest and its mortality is ranked as the second¹. It is urgent for earlier screening, prevention and treatment of colorectal cancer. Previous investigations find that nearly a quarter of existing colonic adenomas could not been detected and diagnosed during a screening colonoscopy, while the percentage is up to 40% in recent studies². Missed adenomas are a consequence of multiple factors such like poor bowel preparation³, insufficient time for colonoscopy withdrawal⁴, lack of expertise⁵, multiple polyps. With the development of big data-based artificial intelligence (AI) technology, AI is undeniably valuable in tumor detection,

87 characterization, and monitoring in the diagnosis of lung cancer, brain cancer, breast
88 cancer and prostate cancer⁶. AI is being leveraged and applied for colorectal cancer as
89 well⁷⁻⁸. A hospital in Yokohama, Japan, has successfully developed a 500-fold
90 endoscope that integrates AI technology to identify cancerous polyps in 0.3 seconds.
91 The reference system makes a real-time judgment on whether the polyp is good or bad,
92 following which the clinician can make EMR or ESD on the spot. It is known that the
93 system uses more than 60,000 tumor cell images of more than 3,000 diagnosed cases
94 in various hospitals in Japan to established database. The automatic cancer recognition
95 function is formed through the deep learning analysis of tumor images in the image
96 database. AI detects abnormalities in the lesions by defining their shapes, volumes, and
97 surface texture. It can accurately measure the size of polyps, which will provide an
98 objective basis for the selection of polypectomy methods. It performs histopathology
99 to assess the disease stage, or analyze spectra to indicate suspected lesions as well as
100 monitor period, which determine the prognosis or response to treatments over time. It
101 greatly shortens the screening time and reduces the subjectivity of the artificial
102 judgment, which will highly improve the tumor diagnosis efficiency as well as
103 prognosis accuracy. Furthermore, it reduces the requirement threshold of the

endoscopist experience, which can decrease the misdiagnosis rate of junior doctors. It

is beneficial to popularize endoscopic technique in middle and lower level hospitals.

Although AI can help clinicians quickly identify and diagnose cancers, several

factors limit its broad applications. The premise of AI diagnosis is deep learning that

fit data but provide little insight into the process, so reliable and high-quality inputs are

mandatory. However, the accuracy of AI feature extraction is affected by the quality of

pictures owing to the subjectivity of doctors' diagnosis and the uneven distribution of

medical resources in various hospitals, especially the major AI researches of digestive

endoscopy have not been systematically planned, which are lack of standardised

methods for data collection and most of data are based on ScSs, resulting high data

heterogeneity. Above all, data scarcity and island effect is the main problem of AI

applications. Then, there is a lack of the robustness and the scalability in AI diagnosis.

It is urgent to set standards for specialized AI robots so as to improve the recognition

accuracy as well as the diagnosis and treatment efficiency.

RESULTS

Diagnosis Criteria

The current investigation collects a total of 2,500 gastroenteroscopy images from different hospitals in multiple regions. It is found that the factors inconducive to AI recognition are common, for instance, the gastrointestinal tract is not completely cleaned up, and the photography quality is low. It is troublesome to objectively and consistently evaluate the AI diagnostic efficiency in the same level. At present, a multicenter cooperation has been established among the three medical institutions from provincial, municipal and county levels. In order to take advantage of this opportunity, it is suggested that a set of standards should be taken.

The requirements of the angle of shooting

To take photos from multiple angles of the polyp, fully exposing the root of the polyp or lump, the base and top of the polyp, and to clear glandular ducts for more information. At least five pictures are mandatory, which include four from left to right and one from the top (Figure 1).

Clarity requirements for colonic polyps images

The polyp surface should be fully cleaned and rinsed. The process needs to avoid the influence and interference of fecal water, fecal residue and air bubble, and instruct patients to do preparation strictly following the guidelines: (a) firstly, carry out fluid diet one day before the operation; (b) then take polyethylene glycol isosmotic solution

139 2 L to 3 L, 250 ml per 10 min, within 2 hours, 4-6 hours before the operation in order
140 to make sure that Boston bowel preparation scale (BBPS)⁹ is above 6 points. (BBPS
141 is shown in Figure 2.)

142 In order to effectively remove the bubbles produced in the intestinal preparation process,
143 dimethyl silicone oil 120-240 mg (3-6 ml) or 30% solution 45 ml is taken with
144 polyethylene glycol during intestinal preparation. Illustrations of incomplete intestinal
145 preparation are provided in Figure 3.

146 **Requirements for shooting light**

147 Adjust the light of shooting, avoid reflection, insufficient light or overexposed light
148 from the observation. Examples are shown in Figure 4.

149 **Requirements for shooting distance**

150 Avoid partial or inadequate exposure. Examples are shown in Figure 5.

151 **Requirements about photographing machines and technology**

152 Use a colonoscopy with high definition to check up and the host to shot at first. Make
153 sure the shooting process is stable so as to prevent the image blur under the shakiness.
154 Examples are demonstrated in Figure 6.

We collect some pictures for AI to recognize according to the requirements mentioned above. It is demonstrated that a set of standards is necessary for AI recognition. Examples are shown in Figure 7.

Number of pictures

AI Recognition should be based on more quality and quantity of picture. As much as possible, usually at least 500 or more, we need machine to export the pictures taken excepting screen shots.

TRAINING STANDARD

The requirements of the angle of shooting

Take photos from multiple angles of the polyp, fully exposing the root of the polyp or lump, the base and top of the polyp, and clear glandular ducts for more information.

Clarity requirements for colonic polyps images.

Make sure the polyp surface is clean, which is rinsed completely, and avoid the influence and interference of fecal water, fecal residue and air bubble.

Requirements for shooting light

Adjust the light of shooting, avoid reflection, insufficient or overexposed light from the observation.

173 **Requirements for shooting distance**

174 Avoid partial or inadequate exposure.

175 **Number of pictures**

176 AI Recognition is should based on more quality and quantity of picture. As much as
177 possible, usually at least 500 or more, we need machine to export the pictures taken
178 excepting screen shots.

179 **Requirements about photographing machines and technology**

180 Use a colonoscopy with high definition to check up and the host to shot at first, make
181 sure process of shooting is stable to prevent the image blur under the shakiness.

182

183 **CONCLUSION**

184 The accuracy of AI recognition to polyps and adenomas has improved by established
185 standard including (1) shooting angle requires that left to right and the top of polyp
186 should be exposed completely at least five pictures; (2) intestinal preparation requires
187 that BBPS is above 6 points. (3) the light of shooting should avoid reflection,
188 insufficient light or overexposed light from the observation. (4) requirements for
189 shooting distance should avoid partial or inadequate exposure. (5) use a colonoscopy
190 with high definition to make sure process of shooting is stable to prevent the image blur

under the shakiness. It's necessary to set standards to promote the development of diagnosis for colon cancer. We are in the progress of calling on more institutions to establish a multicenter partnership in medical AI. We hope to have more and more experts to elucidate and discuss the application of AI in digestive endoscopy, so that the technology can be more standardized. To promote the development of this industry, AI can truly benefit both patients and doctors.

DISCUSSION

This result shows that AI recognition to polyps and adenomas can be more accurate by establishing standards to improve the quality of pictures. It requires at least five pictures from left to right and top to bottom to expose polyps completely, so as to make sure that no surrounding lesions are missing. The top of polyp is necessary owing to the canceration rate at the top of polyp is higher according to statistics. AI recognition to polyps would be defective owing to the cover of feces and foreign matter, so it's essential for good preparation of gastrointestinal tract and a detailed history taking. Image acquisition machines with high quality and experienced operators can improve pictures quality. In addition, the shooting light and distance is very important. Small and medium adenomas can be captured completely and recognized. While larger adenoma should

209 acquire different angles of image by adjusting the body position and shooting angle,
 210 because endoscope lens is limited by far image.

211 The study is among the first in China to set rules and regulations for AI recognition. It
 212 can be better utilized for identification and improve efficiency. It would provide the
 213 basis for digital pathology with AI and promote the development of pathologic
 214 diagnosis, gene detection, immunity diagnosis, microbial diagnosis, as well as drug
 215 efficacy test, which will benefit the precision oncology and result in personalised care
 216 plans for patients¹⁰.

217 The development of medical products needs to emphasize ethics and data security. we
 218 need to emphasize the data monitoring since errors would require experts to discuss the
 219 source. In case machine learning algorithms or robotic systems are designed to use these
 220 tools go awry, the end user (the physician or the hospital) is always responsible to
 221 determine the responsibilities. The fault is classified as product liability due to
 222 equipment failure, and there should be a clear requirement for instructions on how to
 223 use and share the data. We currently depend on the institutional review board (IRB) and
 224 the ethics committee in the institution to guide these process. Due to the fact that the
 225 checks and balances of the IRB are not available in all hospitals and around the world¹¹,
 226 we may need laws to standardize the usage of personal data in health care applications,

such like the General Data Protection, to ensure that companies and individuals are always aware of patient privacy¹².

METHODS

The medical ethics committee in Zhongshan Hospital Xiamen University approved this study (Serial Number: XMZSYKY_ER; Acceptance No. ky2019027).

We collected 1.6 million gastroenteroscopy images for AI recognition. It is found that 500 images didn't meet the standards by BBPS and visual observation in the random sample of 2,500 images from various hospitals in multiple regions. After strict screening, the collected images will be resized to the appropriate zoom size and RGB channel normalization, then we make segmentation and multi-labels classification of the image. Lastly, input them into the deep learning network.

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are the gold standard for image analysis. It takes advantage of the local structural relationships in the image and create progressively more complex abstract representations from layer to layer. In comparison with other algorithms, CNNs incorporate very little preprocessing and it learns the filters that were previously hand-engineered in more traditional algorithms. A CNN model was used in this study¹³. Overall workflow of feature extraction are shown in Figure 8.

245 **Acknowledgments**

246 We would like to thank: (1) Yonghe Zhou, Kunping Huang, Zi Chong Kuo and Xiang
247 Zhou for coordinating the data in the primary hospitals; (2) Dr. Yan Lichong of Yale
248 University in the United States providing valuable advice in the standard; and (3)
249 Volunteer Joey Yau for images collection work.

250

251 **Author contributions**

252 Yiqun Hu is general manager the of the project. Hesong Qiu is the project coordinator.
253 Wensheng Pan, Sijun Meng, Yongxiu Zhang, Yueping Zheng, Ruizhang Su, Yi Zhang,
254 Yuling Zhong, Hong Chen, Changhua Zhang, Huafen Zhu, and Yulong He have
255 contributed in providing clinical theory and setting standards. Shuisheng Wu and Wen
256 Xu are committed to setting standards. Shuisheng Wu and Wensheng Pan's contributed
257 equally in the project. Wen Zhang and Haineng Xu contribute to manuscript writing.
258 Yemei Bu and Wenjuan Qin have organized and labeled photos. Siqi Wu, Zhaofang
259 Han, Xiaofang Zheng have classified and integrated data.

260

261 **Competing interests**

262 The authors declare no competing interests.

263

264 **Funding**

265 2019 Medical Innovation Project in Fujian Province, China: Application of Deep
266 Learning Image Analysis Technology and Gene Association in Early Colorectal Cancer
267 (grant no. 2019-CXB-31)

268

269 **REFERENCES**

- 270 1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018:
271 GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in
272 185 countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* 2018;68(6):394-424.
- 273 2. Gkolfakis P, Tziatzios G, Dimitriadis GD, et al. New endoscopes and add-on
274 devices to improve colonoscopy performance. *World journal of*
275 *gastroenterology* 2017;23(21):3784-3796.
- 276 3. Rex DK, Imperiale TF, Latinovich DR, et al. Impact of bowel preparation on
277 efficiency and cost of colonoscopy. *The American journal of gastroenterology*
278 2002;97(7):1696-700.
- 279 4. Lim G, Viney SK, Chapman BA, et al. A prospective study of endoscopist-
280 blinded colonoscopy withdrawal times and polyp detection rates in a tertiary
281 hospital. *NZ Med J* 2012;125(1356):52-9.

282 5. Baxter NN, Warren JL, Barrett MJ, et al. Association between colonoscopy and
283 colorectal cancer mortality in a US cohort according to site of cancer and
284 colonoscopist specialty. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2012 20;30(21):2664-9.

285 6. Bi WL, Hosny A, Schabath MB, et al. Artificial intelligence in cancer imaging:
286 clinical challenges and applications. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*
287 2019 ;69(2):127-157.

288 7. Kudo SE, Misawa M, Mori Y. et al. Artificial Intelligence-assisted System
289 Improves Endoscopic Identification of Colorectal Neoplasms. *Clin*
290 *Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019; pii: S1542-3565(19)30997-8.

291 8. Byrne MF, Chapados N, Soudan F, et al. Real-time differentiation of
292 adenomatous and hyperplastic diminutive colorectal polyps during analysis of
293 unaltered videos of standard colonoscopy using a deep learning model. *Gut*.
294 2019;68(1):94-100.

295 9. Gao Y, Zhang HD, Lin MX, et al. Reliability of the Boston bowel preparation
296 scale. *Chinese Journal of Digestive Endoscopy* 2012;29:78-80.

297 10. Niazi MKK, Parwani AV, Gurcan MN. Digital pathology and artificial
298 intelligence. *The Lancet Oncology* 2019;20:e253-e261.

11. Prabhu SP. Ethical challenges of machine learning and deep learning algorithms.

The Lancet Oncology 2019;20:621-622.

12. Ngiam KY, Khor W. Big data and machine learning algorithms for health-care

delivery. *The Lancet Oncology* 2019;20:e262-e273.

13. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I & Hinton G. ImageNet classification with deep

convolutional neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing*

Systems 2012;25:1097-1105.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 The requirements of the angle of shooting. Each picture includes before

and after AI identification. **a**, The ducts of glands are clear. **b,c**, The top of lump is fully

exposed. **c**, The left and right rings are all exposed clearly. **d**, The ducts of glands are

not clear and the base is not clear enough owing to the interference of fecal water. **e**, To

tell if a polyp or early cancer from the side view. **f**, The top of lump is incompletely shown. But this six polyps is not exposed completely.

Figure 2 Clarity requirements based on the BBPS. The BBPS divides the colon into the left colon (including the sigmoid colon and rectum), the transverse colon (including hepatic flexure and splenic flexure of colon), the right half colon (including the cecum, the ascending colon) he cleanliness is into 4 grades: 0 There is solid stool that could not be removed in the colon, and the mucosa could not be seen. It was found in the people who were not prepared before operation.1 The part of the mucosa in the intestinal segment is clearly shown, and some of the mucous membrane is not clearly displayed due to feces and untransparent liquid. 2 There are a small amount of feces and untransparent liquid remaining in the colon, and the mucous membrane is shown to be cleared. 3 all the mucosa showed clear, there is no fecal or untransparent liquid residue in the colon. The score is the sum of the three segment colorectum scores.

Figure 3 Clarity requirements for colonic polyps images.Each picture includes before and after AI identification. **a,b,d**,Polyp is partially covered with untransparent liquid. **c,d**,Thepolyp is influenced by air bubble. **f**,There are still some feces covering in the mucus; I've got something in sight, so we the lump is deficient. BBPS for a/b/d/e are 1 point;c and f are 3 points.

Figure 4 Requirements for shooting light. Each picture includes before and after AI identification. **a,b**, Insufficient light source in mass. **c,d**, Overexposed light source in mass.

Figure 5 Requirements for shooting distance. **a**, It's too close that the lump to fully exposed. **b,c**, It's so far that information about the mass is hidden in the picture, we can't see the details of the mass.

Figure 6 Requirements about photographing machines and technology. **a-c**, The process of shooting is unstable, so there are some blurred image under the shakiness.

Figure 7 Pictures taken in accordance with this standard. There are six images taken by department of Gastroenterology, Zhongshan Hospital Xiamen University, which strictly accords with requirements above. It includes four images taken from the sides and one from the top. See the supplement for more examples.

Figure 8 AI feature extraction work flow.