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Abstract

Introduction: Lifestyle interventions have been shown to produce favorable changes in some health outcomes in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). However, few such studies, employing “real world” methods have been completed in patients with CKD.

Objective: This study tested the effectiveness of a comprehensive, multicomponent, lifestyle intervention, delivered through individualized counseling on a variety of health outcomes in pre-dialysis CKD patients.

Methods: Eligible patients were assigned randomly to the intervention (TR) or usual care group (UC). A six-month home-based program involving personalized counseling to increase physical activity to recommended levels among stage G3a to G4 CKD patients while exchanging plant proteins for animal proteins was implemented. Physical function, cardiovascular function, dietary intake, medication use, and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) were assessed at baseline and after 1-month, 3-months (M3) and 6-months (M6).

Results: Forty-two, patients (age 60.2 ± 9.2, BMI 34.5 ± 7.8) participated in this study (TR=27 UC=15). The intervention reduced (p<0.05) brachial (bSBP) and central systolic blood pressures (cSBP) at month 3 (M3) but both were attenuated at month 6 (M6). Scores on the effect of kidney disease subscale of the HRQOL measure improved in the intervention group at M3 and M6. There was no change in the other measures of HRQOL or in any physical function scores.

Conclusions: This personalized multi-component lifestyle intervention enabled CKD patients to self-report fewer concerns with how CKD affected their daily lives independent of changes in physical function.
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Introduction

Thirty million adults within the United States are estimated to have chronic kidney disease (CKD)(1),(2). Lifestyle interventions can improve health outcomes in chronic diseases(3)(4). Navaneethan et al(2) reported that a 1-year pilot program among predialysis participants led to a 6% reduction in weight that was associated with favorable changes in various biomarkers. They also showed a slower estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline in the intervention group(2). Howden et al.(3) examined the impact of a lifestyle intervention on cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and risk factors of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in stage 3-4 CKD participants. They found that CRF can be improved in CKD participants when appropriate lifestyle interventions are employed(3).

Among the studies that have incorporated lifestyle interventions involving CKD participants, apart from Howden et al.,(5) most, have focused on diet and exercise interventions only(3)(6). Few have utilized behavioral interventions, although some have also included behavioral counseling, delivered in a group setting Howden et al., 2013, (5) or individually via telephone (7). Few have reported using behavioral counseling delivered in person on an individual basis. Furthermore, many have used in-center programs which have the advantage of offering direct patient supervision, but may not be practical to implement in clinical practice. Providing participants with instructions to follow at home is seen as a pragmatic approach(8).

The aim of this 6-month randomized controlled trial was to determine the effectiveness of an individualized, comprehensive, lifestyle intervention program including dietary, physical activity, pharmaceutical, and behavioral counseling, on physical function, cardiovascular function, dietary intake, medication adherence, self-efficacy, and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in a sample of pre-dialysis CKD patients. We hypothesized that individuals who
participated in the intervention program (TR) would show greater improvements in all dependent
variables compared to individuals in the usual care (UC) group.

Materials & Methods

Participants were recruited from a nephrology practice in Western Massachusetts and
were cleared by their nephrologists prior to participation. Eligible (stage G3a-G4, eGFRs 15-59
ml/min/1.73m² 18-75 years, and currently inactive) participants signed a consent form that had
been approved by the Institutional Review Boards of both Springfield College and Western New
England University. Exclusionary criteria included contraindications to exercise as defined by
the American College of Sports Medicine (9), current use of patiromer, contraindication to
patiromer use, and current involvement in an exercise program.

Individuals were assigned randomly to either TR or UC in a 2:1 ratio. Serum potassium
(K⁺) levels were monitored on a monthly basis since participants were encouraged to adopt a
plant-based diet. For individuals in TR, if K⁺ was greater than 5.2 mEq/L, they were prescribed
patiromer according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. UC received the current standard
of care. They were allowed to take patiromer, if prescribed independently by their physician.

Testing: Participants were tested on four occasions; baseline (BL), month 1 (M1), month
3 (M3) and month 6 (M6). During the morning of each test day, participants were assessed for
anthropometrics, brachial (bBP), central blood pressures (cBP), augmentation index (AIx@75)
using the SphygmoCor Excel device (SphygmoCor, AtCor Medical, Sydney, Australia). Other
assessments included medication adherence, using the Morisky 8-point scale (MMAS-8), the
functional movement screen (FMS), the 6-minute walk test (6MWT), the short physical
performance battery (SPPB), and leg extension, leg curl strength, and power using a
computerized dynamometer (Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY). Participants also completed
the Kidney Disease and Quality of Life (KDQOL) - Short Form (10), the Self-Efficacy to
Regulate Exercise Habits Questionnaire (11), and the Self-Efficacy to Regulate Eating Habits
Questionnaire. (12) Identical procedures were followed at BL, M1 and M3. At BL and M6, when
blood samples were taken for (K⁺), some was also used for the assessment of other biomarkers.

**Blood parameter analysis:** The inflammatory biomarkers C-reactive protein (CRP) and
interleukin-6 (IL-6) were quantified by immunoassay using commercially available kits. Other
analytes (i.e., K⁺ and Phos) were analyzed using standard laboratory methods.

**Individual counseling:** Following testing sessions, individuals assigned to TR received a
counseling intervention. These participants were instructed as to how to prepare a plant-based
meal and subsequently ate a plant-based meal for lunch. Following lunch, participants received
one-on-one counseling for nutrition, physical activity, pharmacy, and behavior change. UC were
told to follow the normal instructions of their nephrologist.

**Nutrition:** Participants kept a 3-day food log prior to each visit. The logs were analyzed
and then individually reviewed with the participant during their nutritional counseling session.
Specific attention was paid to the amount and quality of protein, sodium (Na) and Phos in the
diet. Recommendations for dietary modifications were based on a vegan approach. Participants
in TR were encouraged to decrease the animal protein consumed and choose more plant-based
meals. Individual barriers to success in achieving the suggested dietary modifications were
addressed, and strategies to implement the changes were discussed based on the participant’s
individual circumstances. During the first session, participants watched a cooking demonstration
video in which a chef prepared a vegan meal. Strategies to enhance the flavor and appeal of
plant-based meals were presented. Participants in TR had an unrestricted K⁺ diet.
Physical fitness methods: Based upon the results of the FMS, individuals were advised as to the types of exercises they should perform. The recommended exercises were demonstrated for each participant along with a set of stretches. Participants in TR received a packet with instructions and illustrations of how to correctly perform the recommended movements. They were also instructed to walk for at least 30 minutes, 5 times per week at a moderate intensity to comply with standard physical activity recommendations (9). Participants were instructed to avoid prolonged periods in sedentary behavior (SB) by aiming to reduce sitting time (13).

The study coordinator attempted to contact the participants weekly during the intervention period to review the exercise program and to discuss any challenges that they might have encountered (14). Participants were encouraged to contact the study coordinator if they had questions or needed clarification regarding their home-based exercise program.

Pharmacy: Participants were specifically asked to bring all prescription (including those only taken as needed), and over-the-counter medications with them on test days (see Table 1). Participants provided their medications to the pharmacist who documented each medication including dosage directions and evaluated each medication for nephrotoxic effects, interactions, contraindications, and medication appropriateness in CKD. At BL, this was done for each patient without the pharmacist’s knowledge of patient group assignment. Any potentially nephrotoxic medication was addressed with the Medical Director of the study.

Once participants were randomized, those assigned to UC were excused without pharmacy associated changes as long as they were not taking medications identified as potentially harmful or nephrotoxic. Participants in TR met individually with the pharmacist. Based upon the information gathered, the pharmacist made evidence-based suggestions as part of the intervention. Medication schedule was based on pharmacokinetic and
pharmacodynamic considerations as well as therapeutic guideline recommendations. Participants were educated about medication indication, benefit of nocturnal dosing of at least one antihypertension medication, and over-the-counter and herbal medications to eliminate or avoid (e.g., NSAIDs). PharmD investigators utilized study blood pressures and lab results to recommend initiation or dosing changes for ACEI, ARBs, and Aldosterone blocking agents. These recommendations were provided to the participant’s nephrologist for approval.

Participants indicated for patiromer, per study protocol, received education about the drug. The administration of the patiromer complied with the package insert. The pharmacists designed medication schedules incorporating patiromer and allowing for appropriate spacing from other medications.

For participants in TR, the pharmacist utilized the following algorithm based on the 2012 KDIGO guidelines (15) and with medical provider approval:

1) If the participant was on a RAS blocker, the dose was titrated up to a maximal dose in order to achieve a BP <130/80.

2) If the patient had an elevated urinary albumin excretion, the RAS inhibitor dose was also titrated to the maximal dose.

3) If $K^+$ was $>5.2$ mEq/L, patiromer was provided and dosed according to the package insert.

**Behavior:** From a behavior change perspective, the key features of the intervention were: an assessment of current physical activity and dietary habits, an assessment of the perceived benefits, barriers, and costs of behavior change, and patient participation in goal setting and developing personalized strategies to overcome barriers and prevent relapse. Regular self-
assessment was used to empower participants to take responsibility for their own decisions and
to make informed choices.

The study coordinator aimed to make follow-up contact with the participants on a weekly
basis post-assessment, however she was unable to reach some participants as scheduled. This
contact focused on the extent to which participants had achieved their goals since last contact,
and offered reinforcement and assistance in problem-solving utilizing additional strategies as
appropriate. Participants also received written materials tailored to their current concerns.

Statistics

Data on the primary outcomes at 6 months (M6) were analyzed using a 2 (group: TR/UC)
x 2 (time: baseline/M6) mixed factor ANOVA, with a focus on the interaction between group
and time. Similar separate analyses were conducted at secondary endpoints of M1 and M3. All
data were screened for violations of assumptions for these statistical tests. The alpha level was
set at $p < 0.05$ for all analyses.

Results:

Patient characteristics

Forty-two patients consented and started the study (see Figure 1 consort diagram). The baseline
characteristics and demographic data of the participants are presented in Table 2. Following
randomization, 27 were assigned to TR and 15 to UC. Analyses were conducted on 37
participants since 5 were eliminated due to only having BL data. Over the course of the study
there were no changes in any anthropometric variables (see Table 3).
Physical function

The intervention had no effect on any of the functional measures or indices of muscle strength or power (see Table 3).

Sedentary time

The assessment of sedentary time was completed at BL, M3 and M6. Participants in both groups spent 67-69% of their waking day being sedentary. This did not change over time and was not different between the two groups (See Table 3).

Cardiovascular Function

There was a reduction in both brachial (bSBP) and central systolic blood pressures (cSBP) at M3 (p = .01) in TR, which was not apparent at M6. Central diastolic blood pressure (cDBP) was reduced by M3 while brachial diastolic blood pressure (bDBP) was not different between the two groups at M3. M6 readings were not different from BL or between groups. Central pulse pressure values did not differ over time or between groups during the duration of the study.

Augmentation index (AIx@75) did not change from BL to M6 in TR but dropped in UC (p < 0.05) (see Table 3).

Nutritional information

Total caloric intake (kcals) was reduced in TR at M6 (p = 0.03), but not in the UC group. Protein intake did not differ over time or between groups during the study. Sodium (mg) intake was different between groups at M1 with the UG group increasing their intake while TR had a modest reduction. Phosphorus (mg) intake trended downwards at M6 compared to BL in TR compared to the UC group (p=0.05) (See Table 3).
Blood parameters

Serum K+ (mmol/L) remained stable throughout the study in both groups. Though not reaching statistical significance, serum Phos (mg/dl) levels trended downward in TR by M6, while there was a tendency for it to rise in UC. Neither IL-6 nor CRP changed over the study (see Table 3).

Behavioral information

Neither self-efficacy to adhere to exercise nor self-efficacy to adhere to dietary recommendations changed over time or between groups over the course of the study. Of the five subscales of the KDQOL, the Effects of Kidney Disease on Daily Life showed significant findings (p=0.02). Changes in Effects of Kidney Disease on daily life for TR compared to UC did not show a significant difference in change from BL to M1 but did show a significant difference for the change from BL to M3 and M6. UC decreased from BL to M3 and M6, while TR increased. (See Table 3).

Medication use

Eleven participants in TR were administered patiromer, according to administration guidelines, and 2 stopped taking their ACE/ARB medication at 3 months. Of the 37 participants with data in the study, 5 had changes to their ACE/ARB medications across the 6-month time period. One participant in UC stopped taking their ACE/ARB medication between 3 and 6 months. Two participants who were in TR and were not taking patiromer, at any time during the study started taking ACE/ARB at the 3-month assessment and continued through to M6.

Discussion/Conclusion

The current study was primarily designed to determine the effect of an individualized, comprehensive, lifestyle intervention program including dietary, physical activity,
pharmaceutical, and behavioral counseling on physical function, cardiovascular function, diet, and HRQOL in a sample of pre-dialysis CKD patients. We found that within the timeframe of this study, our intervention had no measurable effect on physical function, modest effects on cardiovascular function and nutritional intake but a major impact upon HRQOL in our sample of patients with CKD.

The most notable finding in this study was the significant and sustained impact of the intervention on self-reported effects of CKD. Efforts to make health care more patient-centered require greater knowledge of patient-reported outcomes, such as quality of life (QOL), where information comes directly from a patient without clinician interpretation. Such information provides meaningful insight as to the impact of disease and therapeutic interventions on daily life. CKD patients place tremendous value on their HRQOL, even over survival, and reportedly use impact on QOL to guide their therapeutic choices (16).

The QOL of CKD patients is a frequently overlooked yet critical consideration when evaluating their overall medical care (17). People living with CKD experience a high symptom burden with progressively impaired physical function and physical activity levels which can negatively affect HRQOL (18). In this study we found that CKD patients randomized to TR experienced significant improvements in their ability to live with CKD in their daily life. Positive changes that are clinically meaningful were also found for other aspects of HRQOL, including mental functioning, symptoms and problems associated with CKD and the perceived burden of CKD. Interestingly, these improvements in HRQOL were reported even in the absence of significant changes in physical function.

Most lifestyle studies involving CKD participants have focused on supervised exercise in association with dietary modification (3)(6). Howden et al. (5) utilized a comprehensive
approach, involving frequent patient contact. They used a combination of supervised training (8 weeks) followed by a 10-month home-based program. This hybrid program produced improvement in the 6MWT distances in the intervention group. In contrast, our 6-month home-based program resulted in no improvements in any functional measures. However, despite this, we still observed meaningful changes in HRQOL.

Another notable finding in this study was the reduction in bSBP and cSBP that occurred at M3 in TR. Such a reduction in blood pressure is medically important due to the role that elevated blood pressure plays in the development of cardiovascular disease and progression of CKD. However, this reduction in blood pressure was not statistically significant at M6. We speculate that the gap in direct patient contact between M3 and M6 may have had an impact upon the attenuation of the BP reduction that was observed in TR by M6.

The pattern of change in blood pressure that was observed in this study is similar to what has been reported by Meuleman et al. (19) regarding Na restriction in a group of CKD participants. Similar to our study, Meuleman et al. (19) used a behavior change approach to get CKD participants to reduce their Na intake. As was seen in this study, the results of their intervention diminished between M3 to M6. In the current study, participants were contacted by the study coordinator over the phone or via email during the M3 to M6 period but there was no other direct in-person contact. Perhaps our findings suggest that there is need for study personnel to have direct contact with the participants to increase the probability of the latter adhering to the positive behavioral changes made. In contrast to the poor adherence to the home-based programming that we and others report, Van Craenenbroeck et al. (20) (21) reported excellent compliance to their 12-week, home-based exercise program. This could have been influenced by the fact that participants in the intervention group received an exercise bike (21).
One unusual finding in this study was a decrease in AIx@$75$ in UC at M6 with no change in TR. AIx@$75$ is a surrogate measure of arterial stiffness(3) which is a positive non-traditional risk factor for CVD (21). Researchers have reported mixed findings regarding the impact of exercise training on indices of arterial stiffness. In supervised studies lasting from 16 weeks to 12 months, researchers have found little evidence for exercise training affecting arterial stiffness as measured by either pulse wave velocity, or AIx75(3) (21). However, Mustata et al.(22) have reported a reduction in AIx in a sample of CKD participants after a 12-month hybrid supervised/home based program.

The intervention resulted in some important changes in nutritional intake. Participants randomized to TR decreased their intake of Na and by M6 they also consumed 300 mg less Phos than at BL. This change in Phos intake was reflected in their serum Phos levels which trended downwards at M6. Participants had been counseled to decrease their intake of animal protein but from the analyses performed it was impossible to decipher their protein source, (i.e., animal or plant). No restriction was placed upon their $K^+$ intake which we assumed would be higher if they transitioned to a more plant-based diet.

Another observation that was noted in those randomized to TR was evidenced by the fact that at M6 participants in TR consumed 346 kcals less than at BL. The change in kcals, however was not enough to translate into changes in body weight or body composition. This is in contrast to what Howden et al. (5)found for their intervention at the 6-month mark.

Over the course of the study we carefully monitored both the doses and types of medications used by the participants. We found a slight increase in the use of ACE/ARB in TR with a slight decrease in UC. These changes did not reach statistical significance but the
observation of a trend toward higher use of ACE/ARBs in TR, might suggest that the availability
of patiromer to this group had an influence.

A number of limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of this study.
The study duration was relatively short; a longer time period is needed to see changes in some of
the parameters examined. We opted to use a totally home-based approach, thereby attempting to
assess the effectiveness of our approach under ‘real-world’ (unsupervised) conditions. However,
this meant that our results were affected by patient compliance to the tailored recommendations
and though the study coordinator made a great effort, it was difficult to contact all patients as
frequently as we had intended(8).

In conclusion, we have shown that a comprehensive, individualized, multicomponent
program with behavioral counseling and close patient follow-up enables patients with CKD to
feel better about managing their disease even without substantial changes in physical function.
We believe this finding is unique; previously reported improvements in HRQOL following
lifestyle intervention programs are coupled with changes in physical function (23). We also
report modest changes in blood pressure and some aspects of nutritional intake, but patients
feeling less bothered by their disease was the most important finding of this short trial. The
importance of HRQOL is underscored by the association between QOL measures and mortality
and hospitalization rates in dialysis patients(24). Future long-term studies (at least 24 months)
are needed to explore the possibility that a comprehensive lifestyle intervention might result in
favorable changes to key physiological parameters that impact upon cardiovascular disease and
the progression of CKD, in addition to sustained improvements in the HRQOL of CKD patients.
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Figure 1. CONSORT DIAGRAM depicting the recruitment of participants for the study