Evaluation of the causal effects of blood lipid levels on gout with summary level GWAS data: two-sample Mendelian randomization and mediation analysis

Xinghao Yu ^a, Haimiao Chen ^a, Shuiping Huang ^{a, b, *}, Ping Zeng ^{a, b *}

^a Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical
University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221004, China

^b Center for Medical Statistics and Data Analysis, School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical
University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221004, China

Correspondence to: Ping Zeng, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of
Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221004, China; Center for
Medical Statistics and Data Analysis, School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University,
Xuzhou, Jiangsu, 221004, China

Email address: zpstat@xzhmu.edu.cn; hsp@xzhmu.edu.cn

19 Abstract

20 **Objective:** Many observational studies have identified that gout patients are often comorbid
21 with dyslipidemia, which is typically characterized by a decrease in high-density lipoprotein
22 cholesterol (HDL) and an increase in triglycerides (TG). However, the relationship between
23 dyslipidemia and gout is still unclear.

24 **Methods:** We first performed a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) to evaluate the
25 causal effect of four lipid traits on gout and serum urate based on summary association
26 statistics available from large scale genome-wide association studies (up to ~100,000 for lipid,
27 69,374 for gout and 110,347 for serum urate). We adopted multivariable Mendelian
28 randomization to estimate the causal effect independently. We also assessed the mediated
29 effect by serum urate between lipids and gout with a mediation analysis. The MR results were
30 validated with extensive sensitive analyses.

31 **Results:** Genetically lower HDL was positively associated with the risk of gout and serum
32 urate concentration. Each standard deviation (SD) (~12.26 mg/dL) increase was genetically
33 associated with an odds ratio of gout of 0.75 (95% CI 0.62 ~ 0.91, $p = 3.31E-3$) and with a
34 0.09 mg/dL (95% CI: -0.12 ~ -0.05, $p = 7.00E-04$) decrease in serum urate concentration.
35 Genetically higher TG was positively associated with the serum urate concentration. Each SD
36 (~112.33 mg/dL) increase was genetically associated with a 0.10 mg/dL (95% CI: 0.06 ~ 0.14,
37 $p = 9.87E-05$) increase in serum urate concentration. Those results were robust against
38 various sensitive analyses. In addition, the multivariable Mendelian randomization confirmed
39 the independent effect of HDL and TG on the gout/serum urate after adjustment for the other
40 lipids. Finally, the mediation analysis showed that both HDL and TG could indirectly affect
41 gout morbidity via the pathway of serum urate. The mediation effect accounted for about
42 13.0% or 28.0% of the total effect of HDL and TG, respectively.

43 **Conclusion:** Our study confirmed the causal associations between HDL/TG and gout/ serum
44 urate. Furthermore, the effect of HDL or TG on gout could also be mediated by serum urate.

45 **Keywords:** Dyslipidemia; Gout; Serum urate concentration; Mediation analysis; Mendelian
46 randomization; Instrumental variable

47

Key Messages

- Epidemiological studies have identified an accompanying association between lipid and gout. However, whether the association is causal is unclear.
- Mendelian randomization with genetic variants as instrumental variables is a useful tool facilitate the validation of a causal relationship for modifiable risk factors.
- The direct and indirect effects of lipids on gout, controlling for the serum urate concentration, can be estimated by a mediation analysis with serum urate serving as a mediator.
- We confirmed that elevated HDL levels can directly and indirectly lead to the decreased risk of gout, whereas elevation of TG levels can directly and indirectly elevate the risk of gout.

60 Introduction

61 Gout is a common and complex form of arthritis with anyone at risk. It is characterized by
 62 sudden, severe attacks of pain, swelling, redness and tenderness in the joints, usually resulting
 63 from the chronic elevation of uric acid levels and the deposition of urate crystals in the body
 64 (e.g. joints and kidneys). Gout is manifested as rheumatism, gouty arthritis or gouty
 65 nephropathy, and is predominantly related to hyperuricemia due to metabolic disorders or
 66 reduced excretion of uric acid. Gout is typically an acute onset of single-joint synovitis in
 67 peripheral joints, causing extreme pain, whereas it is self-limiting and usually resolves within
 68 a few days (1-3). The global burden of gout is substantial, with the prevalence of gout ranging
 69 from 0.1% to 10% and the annual incidence from 30 to 600 cases per 100,000 individuals. In
 70 addition, gout is associated with several complex diseases (e.g. metabolic syndrome (4),
 71 cardiovascular pathology (5) and nephropathy (6)). Recently, gout per se was identified to be an
 72 independent risk factor in cardiovascular diseases (7, 8).

73 Beyond sustained hyperuricemia (9-11), many factors as genetic, environmental and social,
 74 e.g. diet, medication, comorbidities and exposure to heavy metals as cadmium and lead (12),
 75 are also involved in the pathogenesis of gout(13). In addition, some empirical studies have
 76 indicated a positive correlation between dyslipidemia and the risk of gout (14, 15), as
 77 evidenced in the increased prevalence and incidence of gout in people with dyslipidemia (16).
 78 Dyslipidemia is characteristic of aberrant blood lipids, including abnormalities of
 79 high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), total
 80 cholesterol (TC) and triglycerides (TG). The third National Health and Nutrition Examination
 81 Survey of the United States reported that in gout patients the risk of hypertriglyceridemia was
 82 doubled and the odds ratio (OR) of low HDL was 1.60 after adjustment for age and gender (4),
 83 implying elevated HDL may lead to the decreased risk of gout. A cross-sectional study in
 84 4,053 young adults revealed that the elevation of serum urate was associated with metabolic
 85 abnormalities (e.g. hyperinsulinemia, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and obesity) (17). In a study
 86 of the Japanese population, glucokinase regulatory protein was associated with decreased
 87 fasting blood glucose levels, elevated TG and serum urate concentrations, and was associated
 88 with dyslipidemia (18). On the contrary, some studies indicated that there was no significant
 89 difference in blood lipid levels between gout patients and normal controls (19).

These controversies over the relationship between dyslipidemia and gout may be partly attributable to uncontrolled/unmeasured confounders in observational studies. Additionally, doubt remains as to whether dyslipidemia is subsequent or consequent to gout. In case of limited observational evidence, Mendelian randomization with genetic variants as instrumental variables can facilitate the validation of a causal relationship for modifiable risk factors (20-22). In brief, Mendelian randomization holds that genetic variants associated with an exposure would also be associated with an outcome through the exposure route if the exposure is causally associated with the outcome.

Mendelian randomization employs genetic variants as instruments, and is often less susceptible to confounders compared with conventional observational studies in that genetic variants are randomly allocated at conception (23). This randomization process is analogous to the profile in a randomized controlled trial wherein participants are subjected to randomized classification and varied exposure. The substantial variation of outcome between groups provides evidence of the putative causal effect of the exposure on the outcome. In this sense, Mendelian randomization is at the interface of experimental and observational studies and is also referred to as the natural randomized trial (24, 25) to generate evidence in support of the potential causal effect of an exposure.

Indeed, Mendelian randomization has become a potent and effective statistical method for causal inference in observational studies, owing to recent achievements of genome-wide association studies (GWASs) (26-34). In the present study, we employed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with lipid traits as instrumental variables to determine their causal associations with gout. We conducted the largest and most comprehensive two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis to date with summary statistics from large-scale GWASs with ~180,000 individuals for lipid traits (i.e. HDL, LDL, TC and TG) (35), ~2,100 cases for gout (36) and ~110,000 individuals for serum urate (36).

Materials and Methods

GWAS data

The genetic data sets of the four lipid traits (i.e. HDL, LDL, TC and TG) were available from the Global Lipids Genetics consortium (GLGC) (35) (<http://csg.sph.umich.edu/>). After stringent quality control of genetic variants and individuals, ~2,250,000 SNPs remained including genotyped and imputed from 188,577 individuals of European ancestry. Each of the four lipids was adjusted for available covariates (e.g. age, age² and gender) and then the resultant residuals were quantile normalized to be a standard normal distribution. The association was finally performed for each SNP with a linear additive regression model. For each lipid trait we yielded its summary association statistics (e.g. effect allele, effect allele frequency, marginal effect size, standard error, p value and sample size).

We acquired genetic data sets for gout and serum urate concentration from the Global Urate Genetics Consortium (<http://metabolomics.helmholtz-muenchen.de/>) (36). After stringent quality control, a total of 69,374 (2,115 cases and 67,259 controls) European individuals and 2,538,056 genotyped and imputed SNPs remained for gout, and 110,347 individuals and 2,450,547 genotyped and imputed SNPs were reserved for serum urate. The association between each SNP and gout/serum urate was analyzed with an additive logistic/linear regression while adjusting for other available covariates (e.g. age and gender). Again, the summary association statistics of gout/serum urate (e.g. effect allele, marginal effect size, standard error, p value and sample size) were downloaded and refined. The GWAS genetic data sets used in our study are summarized in Table 1.

Selection of instrumental variables

Based on our prior studies (28, 37), we selected instrumental variables for lipids with the use of the clumping procedure of PLINK (version v1.90 b3.38) (38). When clumping, we set both the primary significance level and the secondary significance level for index SNPs to 5.00E-8, the linkage disequilibrium and the physical distance to 0.01 and 1,000 kb, respectively. We then selected the lipid-specific index SNPs as instrument variables and finally generated 103 SNPs for HDL, 50 SNPs for LDL, 60 SNPs for TC and 60 SNPs for TG. As per previous MR literature (28, 31, 39-48), we further examined pleiotropic association by removal of SNPs which may be potentially associated with gout or serum urate. Specifically, we excluded index

SNPs which had an adjusted p value of less than 0.05 after Bonferroni correction or residing within the gout- or serum-urate- associated loci (i.e. 1 Mb in the physical distance). Of note, the removal of SNPs with pleiotropic effects is an authenticated manner to ensure the validity of Mendelian randomization (37).

Estimation of causal effect and sensitivity analyses

We subsequently employed Mendelian randomization to determine the causal relationship between lipids and gout/serum urate. First, we calculated the proportion of phenotypic variance explained (PVE) by instruments and computed the F statistic for the four blood lipids to quantitatively verify whether the selected index SNPs were strong instruments (28, 37, 49, 50). For the four lipid traits, we initially conducted the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method to estimate their causal effects on gout/serum urate (51). We then conducted several sensitivity analyses to assess the validity of our IVW Mendelian randomization results: (1) weighted median-based method (52) and maximum likelihood method (53); (2) leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation analysis (37, 49) to validate whether there were instrumental outliers that can substantially impact the causal effect estimates; (3) MR-Egger regression to further evaluate horizontal pleiotropic effects of instrumental variables (54); (4) reverse causal analysis to assess whether gout/serum urate exhibited a causal effect on lipids using instrumental variables of gout or serum urate, since the determination of the causal direction is very important in Mendelian randomization (55); (5) multivariable Mendelian randomization analysis (28, 37, 56) to investigate the relationship between one lipid trait (e.g. HDL) and gout/serum urate while adjusting for the effects of other lipids (e.g. LDL, TC and TG).

Mediation analysis to explore the mediation effect of serum urate in the path from lipids to gout

Emerging evidence demonstrated that elevated serum urate is the most important single-risk factor and urate-lowering therapy is frequently recommended in the clinical management of gout patients (57). Nonetheless, on the grounds of the causal relationships between lipids and serum urate identified in our analysis, a natural and immediate problem arose as to whether serum urate can mediate the effect of lipids on gout (Fig. 1 C), or rather, do lipids have an indirect effect on gout via serum urate? To address this problem, we further performed a

mediation analysis with serum urate serving as a mediator and estimated the mediating effect of serum urate (i.e. the indirect effect of lipids) (58-62). The estimation and hypothesis testing of the mediation effect were also implemented within the framework of Mendelian randomization based on summary association statistics of lipids, serum urate and gout (Table 1 and Fig. 1 D). Briefly, we estimated the causal effect of lipids on serum urate with IVW methods, and estimated the causal effect of serum urate on gout by the multivariable Mendelian randomization analysis. Such an analysis is also referred to as network Mendelian randomization (63, 64). The mediation effect was evaluated with the product method and was tested with the Sobel test (65) or the Bootstrap test (66). The details of the mediation analysis were demonstrated in Additional file 1.

Our statistical analysis was mainly conducted within the R (version 3.5.2) software. As there were four exposures and two outcomes in our Mendelian randomization analysis, the statistical significance level was adjusted to $6.30E-03$ ($= 0.05/8$) to incorporate the issue of multiple hypothesis testing. In addition, since participants had provided written informed consent for data sharing as described in each of the original GWASs; ethical review was omitted in our study.

Result

Causal effect of lipids on gout

We screened out 92, 48, 58 and 53 lipid-specific instrumental variables for HDL, LDL, TC and TG when evaluating their associations with gout. Together, these instrumental variables accounted for a total of 5.7%, 3.1%, 2.6 % and 4.3% phenotypic variances for HDL, LDL, TC and TG, respectively. The F statistics for all these SNPs were greater than 10 (Additional file 2: [Tables S1-S4](#)), suggesting that weak instrument bias was potentially null in our analysis. The Cochran's Q test ([67](#), [68](#)) showed that there was little evidence of instrumental heterogeneity for HDL ($p = 0.945$), TC ($p = 0.179$) or TG ($p = 0.379$). However, an instrumental heterogeneity was observed for LDL at the marginal significance level of 0.05 ($p = 0.047$). Therefore, we employed the fixed-effects IVW method for HDL, TC and TG, and applied the random-effect IVW method for LDL when estimating the causal effects on gout.

Among the four lipids we identified that only HDL was associated with gout ([Fig. 2A-C](#) and [Fig. 3](#)). Specifically, the estimated odds ratio (OR) per standard deviation (SD) increase of HDL (~12.26 mg/dL) on gout was 0.75 (95% CI 0.62 ~ 0.91, $p = 3.31E-3$) and was statistically significant after Bonferroni correction. The OR per SD increase of LDL (~30.25 mg/dL), TC (~36.32 mg/dL) or TG (~112.33 mg/dL) for gout was 0.81 (95% CI 0.61 ~ 1.07, $p = 0.079$), 0.98 (95% CI 0.90 ~ 1.06, $p = 0.604$) and 1.16 (95% CI 0.93 ~ 1.45, $p = 0.186$) ([Fig. 3](#) and Additional file 3: [Table S5](#)), respectively.

Causal effect of lipids on serum urate

We generated 87, 45, 57 and 49 lipid-specific instrumental variables for HDL, LDL, TC and TG when evaluating their associations with serum urate. These instrumental variables explicated a total of 5.0%, 3.0%, 2.5 % and 3.7% phenotypic variances for HDL, LDL, TC and TG, respectively (Additional file 2: [Tables S6-S9](#)). Thereafter, we used the random-effects IVW method to estimate the causal effects due to the heterogeneity observed for all the four sets of instruments (the p values of the Cochran's Q test were 5.37E-10, 6.95E-04, 3.41E-06 and 2.67E-03 for HDL, LDL, TC and TG, respectively). HDL is negatively associated with serum urate after Bonferroni correction (estimated causal effect = -0.09, 95% CI -0.12 ~ -0.05, $p = 7.00E-04$) ([Fig. 2D-F](#) and [Fig. 3](#)). In addition, a positive association existed between TG and serum urate (estimated causal effect = 0.10, 95% CI 0.06 ~ 0.14, $p = 9.87E-05$) ([Fig. 2G-I](#)

and [Fig. 3](#)). However, no association was observed between LDL/TC and serum urate (Additional file 3: [Table S5](#) and [Fig. 3](#)).

Sensitivity analyses to validate the estimated causal effects

We subsequently performed sensitivity analyses to validate the causal association observed above. Herein, we relegated the results of serum urate to [Additional file 4](#) and focused only on gout in the following paragraphs. Due to the insignificant association between LDL/TC and gout/serum urate, we only summarized their results in [Fig. 3](#) and Additional file 3: [Figures S1-S3](#), but did not pursue any of these two sets of traits further.

With the maximum likelihood method, the estimated OR per SD increase of HDL on gout was 0.75 (95% CI: 0.62 ~ 0.91, $p = 3.31E-03$), in line with the IVW estimate; while the weighted median method identified a consistently inverse but insignificant association (OR = 0.81, 95% CI 0.59 ~ 1.11, $p = 0.197$). The LOO analysis showed that none of the instruments of HDL could individually affect the substantial causal effect estimates (Additional file 3: [Figure S4](#)). However, [Fig. 2A](#) delineated that two instrumental variables of HDL with large effect sizes on gout seemed to be potential outliers (i.e. rs2566091 in gene *ALDH1A2* and rs9989419 in gene *AC012181.1*). However, they did not exert any substantial impact on the estimated causal effect of HDL on gout. Specifically, after removal, the OR was 0.74 (95% CI 0.60 ~ 0.91, $p = 4.18E-03$), similar to that obtained with the instruments in total ([Fig. 2B](#)). Furthermore, the funnel plot of HDL for gout exhibited a symmetric pattern around the causal effect point estimates ([Fig. 2C](#)), offering little evidence for horizontal pleiotropy. The MR-Egger regression also precluded the possibility of pleiotropy of instrument variables (the intercept = -0.013, 95% CI -0.028 ~ 0.003, $p = 0.113$). We further performed a multivariable Mendelian randomization analysis to estimate the association between HDL and gout while adjusting for LDL, TC and TG. The result showed HDL a de facto inverse relationship with gout even after controlling for the residual three lipid traits (Additional file 3: [Table S10](#)), implying the independent causal role of HDL in the risk of gout.

In the reverse causal analysis, we kept one instrumental variable for gout in estimation of its causal effect on HDL, and 24 (or 22) instrumental variable of serum urate when estimating its effect on HDL (or TG) (Additional file 2: [Tables S11-S13](#)). With the fixed-effects IVW method, the causal effect of gout on HDL was estimated to be -0.019 (95% CI: -0.018 ~

3.20E-03, $p = 0.173$), and the causal effects of serum urate on HDL and TG were estimated to be -0.033 (95% CI -0.065 ~ -7.31E-04, $p = 0.045$) and 0.016 (95% CI: -0.016 ~ 0.048, $p = 0.330$), respectively. All of estimates were insignificant in case of multiple hypothesis testing. Therefore, this analysis excluded the probability of reverse causation from gout/serum urate to lipids, indicating that dyslipidemia (e.g. decreased HDL and/or increased TG) was a causal factor rather than a clinical manifestation of gout or serum urate.

Results of the mediation analysis

To estimate the possible mediation of serum urate in the progression from dyslipidemia and gout, we combined both the instrumental variables of lipids and serum urate, and reserved 116, 65, 76 and 73 lipid-specific instrumental variables for HDL, LDL, TC and TG in the mediation analysis (Additional file 2: [Tables S14-S18](#)). Notably, the following reported effects were corrected for the same scales (Additional file 1).

In the mediation analysis we observed that the summation of the mediation effect and the direct effect was approximately equal to the total effect ([Table 2](#)), indicating that our correction strategy used for those effects was justifiable. The total effect of HDL on gout was estimated to be -0.154 (95% CI: -0.256 ~ -0.051, $p = 3.31E-3$; [Fig. 3](#)) and the direct effect of HDL on gout was estimated to be -0.106 (95% CI: -0.189 ~ -0.022, $p = 1.35E-02$). More importantly, the mediation effect of HDL on gout was estimated at -0.020 (95% CI: -0.033 ~ -0.008, $p = 1.67E-03$), which accounted for about 13.0% ($= 0.020/0.154$) of the total effect, indicating that serum urate was a promising mediator between HDL and gout, and that HDL could indirectly affect the risk of gout via serum urate in addition to the direct impact. Likewise, the total effect of TG on gout was estimated at 0.082 (95% CI: -0.039 ~ 0.202, $p = 1.86E-01$) and the direct effect of TG on gout was estimated at 0.048 (95% CI: -0.057 ~ 0.152, $p = 3.71E-01$). Furthermore, the mediation effect of TG on gout was estimated at 0.023 (95% CI: 0.010 ~ 0.037, $p = 8.39E-04$), accounting for about 28.0% ($= 0.023/0.082$) of the total effect. TG also served as a mediator between TG and gout and could indirectly increase the risk of gout via serum urate. However, the mediation effects of serum urate between LDL/TC and gout were insignificant ([Table 2](#)).

Discussion

A summary of our study

Many observational studies have reported that gout patients are often comorbid with dyslipidemia (15, 69-72). However, the relationship between the two clinical disorders still remains masked. To explore whether the association between dyslipidemia and gout is causative, we performed a two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis in the present study. To our best knowledge, this is the first study that attempts to investigate the causal relationship between lipid/serum urate and gout by employment of summary association statistics obtained from large-scale GWASs with Mendelian randomization within the framework of instrumental variable approaches (20).

With the IVW method, we observed that HDL was negatively associated with gout and TG positively associated with serum urate. These inferred causal relationships were robust against the designation of statistical methods. A series of sensitivity analyses (e.g., MR-Egger regression) excluded the probability of instrumental pleiotropy that can contribute to bias in the causal effect estimation. We also removed the reverse causality and confirmed that the variations of HDL and TG levels were the premises of gout/serum urate rather than consequences. Furthermore, the multivariable Mendelian randomization confirmed the independent effect of HDL and TG on the gout/serum urate after adjustment for the other lipids. With a mediation method, we identified a mediation effect between HDL and gout mediated by serum urate, which indicated that elevated HDL could decrease the serum urate and, in addition to the direct influence, indirectly relieved the risk of gout. Moreover, a positive mediation effect was detected between TG and gout, i.e., though not a causal contributor of gout, TG could indirectly exacerbate the risk of gout by elevating serum urate. Additionally, we confirmed the positive association of serum urate with gout, which indicated that elevated serum urate concentrations can causally increase the risk of gout. Overall, our study affirmed that elevated HDL levels can directly and indirectly lead to the decreased risk of gout, whereas elevation of TG levels can directly and indirectly elevate the risk of gout.

Mechanism underlying the association between dyslipidemia and gout/serum urate

The association between dyslipidemia and gout/serum urate is very complicated, implicated in a number of mechanisms. Dyslipidemia may lead to an increase in ketone body and reduce

the acid-discharging ability of the kidneys, with the renal arteries and the micro-arteries of the glomeruli impaired. Consequently, stenosis and occlusion of the diseased blood vessels develop, with the excretion of uric acid from the kidneys is largely discounted (73). Furthermore, hyperuricemia is reportedly associated with metabolic syndrome, which is characteristic of hyperinsulinemia due to insulin resistance. An increase in TG or a decrease in HDL may result in insulin resistance, consequently leading to the increased serum urate during glycolysis and free fatty acid metabolism (74). Meanwhile, due to the increased reabsorption of uric acid by the kidneys, serum urate directly contribute to hyperuricemia (75).

The negative association between HDL and gout/serum urate may be attributable to the anti-atherosclerotic, anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory and anti-thrombotic effects of HDL (76-78). Our mediation analysis revealed that, beyond the direct effect, HDL can directly abate the risk of gout by decreasing serum urate concentration. The positive correlation between TG and serum urate may be exemplified by the finding that TG promotes the synthesis whereas decreases the normal excretion of serum urate. Indeed, TG can indirectly affect the gout via serum urate. Since excessive intake of TG-rich food (e.g. fructose and fat-rich food) may cause hyperthyroidism and further increase uric acid levels (79), overmuch fat metabolism-related products will inhibit the excretion of serum urate. Furthermore, the synthesis of triglycerides requires NADPH, which possibly increases the concentration of serum urate (80). Intriguingly, clinical studies have validated that patients with hyperuricemia or gout have a 19% reduction in serum urate concentration at end of a three-week regimen of oral lipid-lowering drugs, and serum urate concentration rebounded to its original level after discontinuation of the agents (81), the mechanism for which awaits further investigation.

Limitations

Like other Mendelian randomization methods, our research has certain limitations. First, as mentioned above, due to the small sample size of cases in gout GWAS, the statistical power in our Mendelian randomized analysis was limited. For example, in our study, the sample size of adult gout was 70,000 and the proportion of cases was only 3.1%; the power calculation results showed that we had a small to moderate power to detect the causal association between lipids and gout (Fig. 4)(82). The estimated statistical power was 28% or 15% to detect an OR of 0.70/1.30 or 0.80/1.20, respectively. Second, we hypothesized that the

relationship between blood lipid levels and gout was linear in our analysis, but this linear association might not be present in the clinical scenario, and thus we could not completely eliminate the non-linear effects of lipids on gout. Third, as individual-level datasets were not available; therefore, we could not evaluate the effects of extreme lipid levels on gout/serum urate or conduct a stratified analysis (e.g. gender). In addition, again due to the unavailability of individual-level data, we could not investigate the relationship between the TG-HDL ratio, a known indicator of insulin resistance (83), and gout. Fourth, we adopted the multivariable Mendelian randomization method to remove the effects of pleiotropic effects, but this method was not applicable to the unknown or unmeasured pleiotropy (56).

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study confirmed the causal associations between HDL/TG and gout/serum urate levels. Furthermore, serum urate can serve as a mediator for the effect of HDL or TG on gout.

Abbreviations

HDL: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GLGC: Global Lipids Genetics consortium; GWASs: genome-wide association studies; IVW: inverse variance weighted; LDL: Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LOO: leave-one-out; MR: Mendelian randomization; OR: odds ratio; PVE: phenotypic variance explained; SD: standard deviation; SNPs: single nucleotide polymorphisms; TC: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglyceride.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the GLGC and Global Urate Genetics Consortium studies for public availability in making the summary data and we are grateful to all the investigators and participants for their contributions to those studies. The data analyses in the present study were supported by the high-performance computing at Xuzhou Medical University.

Authors' Contributions

PZ and SH conceived the design of the study; PZ and XY obtained the data; PZ and XY cleared up the datasets; PZ and XY mainly performed the data analyses; HC, YG and JY helped clear and analyze the data; PZ, XY and FG interpreted the results of the data analyses; PZ and XY drafted the manuscript, and all authors approved the manuscript and provided relevant suggestions.

Disclosure

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by Youth Foundation of Humanity and Social Science funded by Ministry of Education of China (18YJC910002), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu (BK20181472), the General China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018M630607), the Special China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019T120465), the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of Xuzhou Medical University, QingLan Research Project of Jiangsu for Outstanding Young Teachers and Six-Talent Peaks Project in Jiangsu Province of China (WSN-087), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81402765), the Statistical Science Research Project from National Bureau of Statistics of China (2014LY112), the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX19_2250),

Social Development Project of Xuzhou, and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD) for Xuzhou Medical University.

Additional file

- Additional file 1:** Supplementary Methods;
- Additional file 2:** Supplement Tables;
- Additional file 3:** Supplement Tables and Figures;
- Additional file 4:** Supplementary Results.

Reference

1. Roddy E, Doherty M. Gout. *Epidemiology of gout. Arthritis research & therapy.* 2010;12(6):223.
2. Roddy E, Choi HK. Epidemiology of gout. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am.* 2014;40(2):155-75.
3. Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Zhang W, Doherty M. Global epidemiology of gout: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology.* 2015;11(11):649-62.
4. Choi HK, Ford ES, Li C, Curhan G. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in patients with gout: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arthritis Care & Research: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology.* 2007;57(1):109-15.
5. Choi HK, Atkinson K, Karlson EW, Curhan G. Obesity, weight change, hypertension, diuretic use, and risk of gout in men: the health professionals follow-up study. *Archives of internal medicine.* 2005;165(7):742-8.
6. Edwards NL. The role of hyperuricemia and gout in kidney and cardiovascular disease. *Cleveland Clinic journal of medicine.* 2008;75(5):S13.
7. De Vera MA, Rahman MM, Bhole V, Kopec JA, Choi HK. Independent impact of gout on the risk of acute myocardial infarction among elderly women: a population-based study. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases.* 2010;69(6):1162-4.
8. Krishnan E, Baker JF, Furst DE, Schumacher HR. Gout and the risk of acute myocardial infarction. *Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology.* 2006;54(8):2688-96.
9. Lin K-C, Lin H, Chou P. The interaction between uric acid level and other risk factors on the development of gout among asymptomatic hyperuricemic men in a prospective study. *The Journal of rheumatology.* 2000;27(6):1501-5.
10. Duskin-Bitan H, Cohen E, Goldberg E, Shochat T, Levi A, Garty M, et al. The degree of asymptomatic hyperuricemia and the risk of gout. A retrospective analysis of a large cohort. *Clinical rheumatology.* 2014;33(4):549-53.
11. Zalokar J, Lellouch J, Claude JR, Kuntz D. Serum uric acid in 23,923 men and gout in a subsample of 4257 men in France. *Journal of chronic diseases.* 1972;25(5):305-12.
12. Choi HK, Curhan G. Gout: epidemiology and lifestyle choices. *Current opinion in rheumatology.* 2005;17(3):341-5.
13. Kuo CF, Grainge MJ, Zhang W, Doherty M. Global epidemiology of gout: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. *Nature reviews Rheumatology.* 2015;11(11):649-62.
14. Berkowitz D. Blood lipid and uric acid interrelationships. *Jama.* 1964;190(9):856-8.
15. Matsubara K, Matsuzawa Y, Jiao S, Takama T, Kubo M, Tarui S. Relationship between hypertriglyceridemia and uric acid production in primary gout. *Metabolism: clinical and experimental.* 1989;38(7):698-701.
16. Rho YH, Choi SJ, Lee YH, Ji JD, Choi KM, Baik SH, et al. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in patients with gout: a multicenter study. *Journal of Korean medical science.* 2005;20(6):1029-33.
17. Rathmann W, Funkhouser E, Dyer AR, Roseman JM. Relations of hyperuricemia with the various components of the insulin resistance syndrome in young black and white adults: the CARDIA study. *Annals of epidemiology.* 1998;8(4):250-61.
18. Matsuo H, Yamamoto K, Nakaoka H, Nakayama A, Sakiyama M, Chiba T, et al. Genome-wide association study of clinically defined gout identifies multiple risk loci and its association with clinical subtypes. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2016;75(4):652-9.
19. Tinahones F, Vazquez F, Soriguer F, Collantes E. Lipoproteins in patients with isolated hyperuricemia. *Purine and Pyrimidine Metabolism in Man IX: Springer;* 1998. p. 61-7.

20. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *Br Med J*. 2018;362.
21. Au Yeung SL, Luo S, Schooling CM. The Impact of Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c) on Cardiovascular Disease Risk: A Mendelian Randomization Study Using UK Biobank. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(9):1991.
22. Au Yeung SL, Lin SL, Lam HSHS, Schooling CM. Effect of l-arginine, asymmetric dimethylarginine, and symmetric dimethylarginine on ischemic heart disease risk: A Mendelian randomization study. *Am Heart J*. 2016;182:54-61.
23. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol*. 2003;32(1):1-22.
24. Hingorani A, Humphries S. Nature's randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9501):1906-8.
25. Thanassoulis G, O'Donnell CJ. Mendelian randomization: nature's randomized trial in the post-genome era. *JAMA*. 2009;301(22):2386-8.
26. Mokry LE, Ross S, Ahmad OS, Forgetta V, Smith GD, Leong A, et al. Vitamin D and Risk of Multiple Sclerosis: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *Plos Medicine*. 2015;12(8).
27. Zeng P, Yu X, Xu H. Association between premorbid body mass index and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: causal inference through genetic approaches. *Frontiers in Neurology* (in press). 2019.
28. Zeng P, Zhou X. Causal effects of blood lipids on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a Mendelian randomization study. *Human molecular genetics*. 2019;28(4):688-97.
29. Holmes MV, Asselbergs FW, Palmer TM, Drenos F, Lanktree MB, Nelson CP, et al. Mendelian randomization of blood lipids for coronary heart disease. *European heart journal*. 2014;36(9):539-50.
30. Zeng P, Zhao Y, Qian C, Zhang L, Zhang R, Gou J, et al. Statistical analysis for genome-wide association study. *Journal of Biomedical Research*. 2015;29(4):285-97.
31. Zhao JV, Schooling CM. Effect of linoleic acid on ischemic heart disease and its risk factors: a Mendelian randomization study. *BMC Med*. 2019;17(1):61.
32. Disney-Hogg L, Cornish AJ, Sud A, Law PJ, Kinnersley B, Jacobs DI, et al. Impact of atopy on risk of glioma: a Mendelian randomisation study. *BMC Med*. 2018;16(1):42.
33. Bonilla C, Lewis SJ, Martin RM, Donovan JL, Hamdy FC, Neal DE, et al. Pubertal development and prostate cancer risk: Mendelian randomization study in a population-based cohort. *BMC Med*. 2016;14(1):66.
34. Larsson SC, Burgess S, Michaelsson K. Serum magnesium levels and risk of coronary artery disease: Mendelian randomisation study. *BMC Med*. 2018;16.
35. Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(11):1274-83.
36. Köttgen A, Albrecht E, Teumer A, Vitart V, Krumsiek J, Hundertmark C, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 18 new loci associated with serum urate concentrations. *Nature genetics*. 2013;45(2):145.
37. Zeng P, Zhou X. Causal Association Between Birth Weight and Adult Diseases: Evidence From a Mendelian Randomization Analysis. *Frontiers in Genetics*. 2019;10(618).
38. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559-75.
39. Tyrrell J, Jones SE, Beaumont R, Astley CM, Lovell R, Yaghootkar H, et al. Height, body mass index, and socioeconomic status: mendelian randomisation study in UK Biobank. *Br Med J*. 2016;352:i582-i.

40. Yeung CHC, Au Yeung SL, Fong SSM, Schooling CM. Lean mass, grip strength and risk of type 2 diabetes: a bi-directional Mendelian randomisation study. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(5):789-99.
41. Au Yeung SL, Schooling CM. Adiponectin and coronary artery disease risk: A bi-directional Mendelian randomization study. *Int J Cardiol*. 2018;268:222-6.
42. Larsson SC, Burgess S, Michaëlsson K. Association of Genetic Variants Related to Serum Calcium Levels With Coronary Artery Disease and Myocardial Infarction. *JAMA*. 2017;318(4):371-80.
43. Ahmad OS, Morris JA, Mujammami M, Forgetta V, Leong A, Li R, et al. A Mendelian randomization study of the effect of type-2 diabetes on coronary heart disease. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:7060.
44. Robinson PC, Choi HK, Do R, Merriman TR. Insight into rheumatological cause and effect through the use of Mendelian randomization. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2016;12(8):486-96.
45. Censin JC, Nowak C, Cooper N, Bergsten P, Todd JA, Fall T. Childhood adiposity and risk of type 1 diabetes: A Mendelian randomization study. *PLoS Med*. 2017;14(8):e1002362.
46. Østergaard SD, Mukherjee S, Sharp SJ, Proitsi P, Lotta LA, Day F, et al. Associations between Potentially Modifiable Risk Factors and Alzheimer Disease: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(6):e1001841.
47. Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Velayudhan L, Newhouse S, Fogh I, Tsolaki M, et al. Genetic Predisposition to Increased Blood Cholesterol and Triglyceride Lipid Levels and Risk of Alzheimer Disease: A Mendelian Randomization Analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(9):e1001713.
48. Byrne EM, Ferreira MAR, Xue A, Lindstrom S, Jiang X, Yang J, et al. Is Schizophrenia a Risk Factor for Breast Cancer?-Evidence From Genetic Data. *Schizophr Bull*. 2018.
49. Noyce AJ, Kia DA, Hemani G, Nicolas A, Price TR, De Pablo-Fernandez E, et al. Estimating the causal influence of body mass index on risk of Parkinson disease: A Mendelian randomisation study. *Plos Medicine*. 2017;14(6).
50. Zeng P, Yu X, Xu H. Association Between Premorbid Body Mass Index and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: Causal Inference Through Genetic Approaches. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2019;10(543).
51. Burgess S, Small DS, Thompson SG. A review of instrumental variable estimators for Mendelian randomization. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*. 2017;26(5):2333-55.
52. Bowden J, Smith GD, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genetic Epidemiology*. 2016;40(4):304-14.
53. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian Randomization Analysis With Multiple Genetic Variants Using Summarized Data. *Genetic Epidemiology*. 2013;37(7):658-65.
54. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method (vol 32, pg 377, 2017). *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2017;32(5):391-2.
55. Richmond RC, Davey Smith G. Commentary: Orienting causal relationships between two phenotypes using bidirectional Mendelian randomization. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2019.
56. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Multivariable Mendelian randomization: the use of pleiotropic genetic variants to estimate causal effects. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2015;181(4):251-60.
57. Drug and Therapeutics Bulletin Editorial Office. Latest guidance on the management of gout. *BMJ*. 2018;362:k2893.
58. MacKinnon DP, Fairchild AJ. Current directions in mediation analysis. *Current directions in psychological science*. 2009;18(1):16-20.
59. Imai K, Keele L, Tingley D. A general approach to causal mediation analysis. *Psychol*

Methods. 2010;15(4):309.

60. MacKinnon DP. Introduction to statistical mediation analysis: Routledge; 2008.

61. MacKinnon DP, Fairchild AJ, Fritz MS. Mediation analysis. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2007;58:593-614.

62. VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis: a practitioner's guide. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2016;37:17-32.

63. Zhan Y, Karlsson Ida K, Karlsson R, Tillander A, Reynolds Chandra A, Pedersen Nancy L, et al. Exploring the Causal Pathway From Telomere Length to Coronary Heart Disease: A Network Mendelian Randomization Study. *Circ Res.* 2017;121(3):214-9.

64. Burgess S, Daniel RM, Butterworth AS, Thompson SG. Network Mendelian randomization: using genetic variants as instrumental variables to investigate mediation in causal pathways. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2015;44:484-95.

65. Sobel ME. Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological methodology.* 1982;13:290-312.

66. Efron B, Tibshirani RJ. An introduction to the bootstrap: CRC press; 1994.

67. Greco M FD, Minelli C, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Detecting pleiotropy in Mendelian randomisation studies with summary data and a continuous outcome. *Statistics in medicine.* 2015;34(21):2926-40.

68. Brockwell SE, Gordon IR. A comparison of statistical methods for meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* 2001;20(6):825-40.

69. Emmerson B. Hyperlipidaemia in hyperuricaemia and gout. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases.* 1998;57(9):509-10.

70. Chen JH, Pan WH, Hsu CC, Yeh WT, Chuang SY, Chen PY, et al. Impact of obesity and hypertriglyceridemia on gout development with or without hyperuricemia: a prospective study. *Arthritis care & research.* 2013;65(1):133-40.

71. Pascart T, Norberciak L, Ea HK, Guggenbuhl P, Liote F. GOSPEL 4 - Patients with early onset gout develop earlier severe joint involvement and metabolic comorbid conditions. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2018.

72. Peng T-C, Wang C-C, Kao T-W, Chan JY-H, Yang Y-H, Chang Y-W, et al. Relationship between hyperuricemia and lipid profiles in US adults. *BioMed research international.* 2015;2015.

73. Karalis DG, editor Intensive lowering of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels for primary prevention of coronary artery disease. Mayo Clinic Proceedings; 2009: Elsevier.

74. Lorenzo C, Okoloise M, Williams K, Stern MP, Haffner SM. The metabolic syndrome as predictor of type 2 diabetes: the San Antonio heart study. *Diabetes care.* 2003;26(11):3153-9.

75. Iwani NAKZ, Jalaludin MY, Zin RMWM, Fuziah MZ, Hong JYH, Abqariyah Y, et al. Triglyceride to HDL-C ratio is associated with insulin resistance in overweight and obese children. *Scientific reports.* 2017;7:40055.

76. Vitali C, Khetarpal SA, Rader DJ. HDL cholesterol metabolism and the risk of CHD: new insights from human genetics. *Current cardiology reports.* 2017;19(12):132.

77. Rosenson RS, Brewer Jr HB, Barter PJ, Björkegren JL, Chapman MJ, Gaudet D, et al. HDL and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: genetic insights into complex biology. *Nature Reviews Cardiology.* 2018;15(1):9.

78. Loh TP, Poon M, Chan GHJ, Sethi SK, Wong A. Extremely low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) in a patient with diffuse B-cell lymphoma. *Pathology.* 2017;49(5):550-1.

79. Giordano N, Santacroce C, Mattii G, Geraci S, Amendola A, Gennari C. Hyperuricemia and gout in thyroid endocrine disorders. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2001;19(6):661-5.

80. Vuorinen-Markkola H, Yki-Järvinen H. Hyperuricemia and insulin resistance. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.* 1994;78(1):25-9.

81. Feher M, Hepburn A, Hogarth M, Ball S, Kaye S. Fenofibrate enhances urate reduction in men treated with allopurinol for hyperuricaemia and gout. *Rheumatology*. 2003;42(2):321-5.

82. Brion M-JA, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2012;42(5):1497-501.

83. Keenan T, Blaha MJ, Nasir K, Silverman MG, Tota-Maharaj R, Carvalho JA, et al. Relation of uric acid to serum levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and to hepatic steatosis. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2012;110(12):1787-92.

84. Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(11):1274-83.

599 **Table 1** Genetic data sets used in the present study

Exposure(outcome)	Sample size(case/control)	Number of SNPs	Reference
HDL	99,900	2,260,809	(84)
LDL	95,454	2,251,395	(84)
TC	100,184	2,259,768	(84)
TG	96,598	2,252,990	(84)
Gout	69,374 (2,115/67,259)	2,538,056	(36)
Serum urate	110,347	2,450,547	(36)
600 HDL, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol;			
601 TC, Total cholesterol; TG, Triglycerides.			

602 **Table 2** Mediation analysis of lipids on gout with serum urate concentrations

	Mediation effect (95% CI)			Direct effect (95% CI)	Total effect (95% CI)
	Sobel	Bootstrap	Proportion (%)		
HDL	-0.020 (-0.033 ~ -0.008)	-0.020 (-0.034 ~ -0.008)	13.0	-0.106 (-0.189 ~ -0.022)	-0.154 (-0.256 ~ -0.051)
LDL	-0.010 (-0.023 ~ 0.004)	-0.010 (-0.024 ~ 0.002)	8.56	-0.090 (-0.212 ~ 0.031)	-0.117 (-0.246 ~ 0.013)
TC	-0.007 (-0.021 ~ 0.007)	-0.007 (-0.022 ~ 0.007)	58.3	0.039 (-0.007 ~ 0.084)	-0.012 (-0.058 ~ 0.033)
TG	0.023 (0.010 ~ 0.037)	0.023 (0.011 ~ 0.037)	28.0	0.048 (-0.057 ~ 0.152)	0.082 (-0.039 ~ 0.202)

603 All the effects were corrected for the same scales.

604 HDL, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, Total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride