

High occurrence of transportation and logistics occupations among vascular dementia patients: an observational study

A.C. van Loenhoud,^{1*} C. de Boer,¹ K. Wols, Y.A. Pijnenburg,¹ A.W. Lemstra,¹ F.H. Bouwman,¹ N.D. Prins,¹ P. Scheltens,¹ R. Ossenkoppele,^{1,3} W.M. van der Flier^{1,2}

¹Alzheimer Center Amsterdam, Department of Neurology, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

³Clinical Memory Research Unit, Lund University, 221 00, Lund, Sweden.

*corresponding author; address: room PK -1 Z 039, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, De Boelelaan 1118, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; email: a.vanloenhoud@vumc.nl; telephone: +31 20 4448527; fax: +31 20 4448529.

1 **Abstract**

2 Background: Growing evidence suggests a role of occupation in the emergence and
3 manifestation of dementia. Occupations are often defined by complexity level, although
4 working environments and activities differ in several other important ways. We aimed to
5 capture the multi-faceted nature of occupation through its measurement as a categorical
6 (instead of quantitative) variable and explored its relationship with different types of
7 dementia.

8 Methods: We collected occupational history data of 2,121 dementia patients with various
9 suspected etiologies from the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (age: 67±8, 57% male, MMSE:
10 21±5). Our final sample included individuals with Alzheimer's disease (AD) dementia
11 (n=1,467), frontotemporal dementia (n=281), vascular dementia (n=98), Lewy Body disease
12 (n=174) and progressive supranuclear palsy/corticobasal degeneration (n=101). Within the
13 AD group, we used neuropsychological data to further characterize patients by clinical
14 phenotypes. All participants were categorized into one of 11 occupational classes, across
15 which we evaluated the distribution of dementia (sub)types with Chi² analyses. We gained
16 further insight into occupation-dementia relationships through post-hoc logistic regressions
17 that included various demographic and health characteristics as explanatory variables.

18 Results: There were significant differences in the distribution of dementia types across
19 occupation groups (Chi²=85.87, p<.001). Vascular dementia was relatively common in the
20 Transportation/Logistics sector, and higher vascular risk factors partly explained this
21 relationship. Alzheimer's disease occurred less in Transportation/Logistics and more in
22 Health Care/Welfare occupations, which related to a higher/lower percentage of males. We
23 found no relationships between occupational classes and clinical phenotypes of AD
24 (Chi²=53.65, n.s.).

25 Conclusions: Relationships between occupation and dementia exist beyond complexity level,
26 which offers new opportunities for disease prevention and improvement of occupational
27 health policy.

28

29 Keywords: occupation, vascular dementia, Alzheimer's disease, vascular risk factors, sex

30

31 **Background**

32 Dementia currently affects 46.8 million people worldwide [1], and this number is expected to
33 increase as the aging population grows. Causes of dementia include Alzheimer's disease
34 (AD), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), vascular dementia (VaD) and movement disorders
35 such as Lewy Body disease (DLB), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) and corticobasal
36 degeneration (CBD). Apart from age and genetic influences, lifestyle factors play a role in the
37 emergence and manifestation of dementia. For example, a comprehensive body of research
38 points to the influence of education and occupation on dementia incidence [2-5]. Occupation
39 could be an especially strong contributing factor, as most individuals spend a major part of
40 their life at work.

41

42 Studies on the relationship between occupation and dementia have generally focused on the
43 role of occupational complexity (e.g. rated as "white versus blue collar" [6]). Results indicate
44 that older individuals who engaged in greater levels of occupational complexity have a better
45 current cognitive status [6-13], a lower risk to develop dementia [5,14-17] or a different rate
46 of clinical progression after the onset of dementia [4,11,18]. However, these studies mostly
47 investigated one particular form of dementia, or "dementia" in general without specifying its
48 type. Moreover, the common quantification of occupation by complexity level represents an
49 oversimplification of the multi-faceted quality of this lifestyle factor. Working environments
50 and activities differ in several important ways beyond complexity level, such as the level of
51 physical activity, stress, social demands and exposure to hazardous substances [19,20].
52 Although a broader evaluation of occupation could thus provide important new insights in the
53 context of dementia, this approach is relatively rare.

54

55 To overcome this scarcity in the literature, we took a different approach by i) measuring
56 occupation in a categorical rather than a quantitative manner, without making prior

57 assumptions about complexity or other potential protective or harmful factors, and ii) studying
58 the relationships between occupation and various forms of dementia (i.e. different suspected
59 etiologies and clinical phenotypes). Our sample included >2000 memory clinic patients with
60 dementia due to AD, FTD, VaD or movement disorders. Occupation was categorized into
61 several nominal groups (e.g. Pedagogical, Agricultural, Transportation/Logistics), across
62 which we compared the distribution of these dementia types [21]. Within the AD subsample,
63 we had sufficient data to additionally investigate relationships between occupation and
64 specific cognitive profiles. As this study was exploratory in nature, we did not formulate a
65 priori hypotheses. For relationships that emerged, we gained further insight through post-hoc
66 analyses with additional demographic and health characteristics (i.e. age, sex, education and
67 vascular risk factors [VRFs]).

68

69 **Methods**

70 Participants

71 Participants were selected from the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (ADC [22,23]). The sample
72 consists of individuals who visited the Alzheimer Center of the Amsterdam University Medical
73 Center between 2000 and 2017. They underwent a standardized screening process that
74 included the (patient and informant based) collection of demographic information, medical
75 history, neurological examination, neuropsychological assessment, standard laboratory tests,
76 brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and lumbar puncture in a subsample. Diagnosis
77 was established in a multidisciplinary meeting, based on common clinical and research
78 criteria [22]. Dementia diagnoses in the present study included AD, FTD, VaD, DLB and
79 PSP/CBD.

80

81 Assignment of occupational codes

82 Information on occupational history was collected by a physician during a semi-structured
83 interview with the participants and their informants. We subsequently coded this information
84 according to the Dutch ROA-CBS 2014 occupational categorization system (BRC 2014), a

85 derivative of the International Standard Classification of Occupations 2008 (ISCO 2008) [21].
86 The BRC 2014 consists of the following occupational classes: 1) Pedagogical, 2)
87 Creative/Linguistic, 3) Commercial, 4) Business/Administrative, 5) Management, 6)
88 Governmental/Law/Safety, 7) Technical, 8) Information and Communication Technology
89 (ICT), 9) Agricultural, 10) Health Care/Welfare, 11) Service, 12) Transportation/Logistics (see
90 Additional file 1). The BRC 2014 additionally includes a 13th “Other” category (mostly
91 applicable when occupational descriptions are absent or unclear), and makes further
92 divisions into segments and subgroups. For the present paper, we were only interested in the
93 occupational classes (i.e. classification at the segment level would result in 40 groups of
94 insufficient size). We excluded participants in the “Other” category and those classified as
95 “no occupation”. When multiple occupations were listed for a given participant, we used the
96 first job title for consistency reasons.

97

98 The assignment of BRC 2014 codes was performed by two raters (A.C.v.L and K.W.). To
99 promote interrater consistency, a subset of the sample was independently coded and
100 subsequently compared. Discordant cases were discussed with R.O. to reach consensus.
101 The remaining sample was divided among A.C.v.L. and K.W., and only ambiguous cases
102 were discussed and coded together. In rare occasions where this did not resolve
103 classification uncertainty, medical records were inspected for more details on occupational
104 history. If this did not provide useful information, the participant was coded as 13 (unclear),
105 hence excluded for further analysis.

106

107 Sample selection

108 We initially selected all ADC individuals with a dementia diagnosis at the baseline visit and
109 information on occupational history. Exclusion criteria were: 1) age below 40 years, 2)
110 presence of autosomal dominant mutations for a neurodegenerative disease. The original
111 selection consisted of 2,310 participants, of which we excluded 142 individuals with
112 occupational descriptions that were either non-informative, indicative of unemployment or not

113 detailed enough (even after inspection of medical records). Finally, we decided to omit the
114 category ICT due to the small sample size (n=12). ICT is a relatively “young” sector that did
115 not have a prominent position in the labor market during the period in which the majority of
116 our sample was employed. Similarly, 35 patients with relatively rare forms of dementia (e.g.
117 alcohol-related dementias, normal pressure hydrocephalus, Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease) were
118 excluded (Figure 1). The final sample included 2,121 individuals, with a diagnosis of AD
119 (n=1,467), FTD (n=281), VaD (n=98), DLB (n=174) or PSP/CBD (n=101).

120

121 Vascular risk factors

122 Complete data on VRFs were available for the majority of our sample (n=1,562/2,121), and
123 included body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use (units per day), and presence or
124 absence of a myocardial infarction, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and diabetes mellitus
125 in the medical history. We dichotomized BMI ($\leq 25=0$, $>25=1$), smoking (never smoked=0,
126 ever smoked=1) and alcohol (0-1 units per day=0, >1 units per day=1) in order to derive a
127 VRF score based on the sum of these seven risk factors [24].

128

129 Neuropsychological assessment

130 Nearly all individuals underwent an elaborate neuropsychological assessment within three
131 months of their diagnosis. For the AD dementia subsample, we therefore had a large dataset
132 available (n=1,071/1,467) that consisted of participants who were cognitively tested in each
133 of four cognitive domains: memory, attention/executive function, language and visuospatial
134 function [25]. The memory domain included the total immediate and delayed recall as well as
135 the recognition condition of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, and total recall in
136 condition A of the Visual Association Test. In the attention/executive function domain, we
137 used the Trail Making Test (part A and B), Stroop Test (condition I-III), 90-second Letter Digit
138 Substitution Test [26], Digits Forward and Backwards, Letter Fluency and total score on the
139 Frontal Assessment Battery. The language domain consisted of the Category Fluency, a
140 short version of the Boston Naming Test and the total number of correctly named items of

141 the Visual Association Test. Finally, the visuospatial function domain was assessed with the
142 Dot Counting, Number Location and Fragmented Letters subtests of the Visual Object and
143 Space Perception battery.

144

145 We carried out multiple imputation in SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) to
146 account for missing data (ranging from 1-45% per test). This procedure was performed using
147 the fully conditional specification method, an iterative Markov Chain Monte Carlo approach
148 suitable for arbitrary patterns of missing data. We imputed 25 datasets and included
149 demographic, clinical, neuropsychological variables, APOE status and cerebrospinal fluid
150 biomarkers as predictors in the model (i.e. occupational code was not used). In the pooled
151 dataset, we created standardized residuals (i.e. *W*-scores) for each neuropsychological test
152 score based on regressions with age, sex and educational level (measured on a seven-item
153 scale, [27]). We calculated average *W*-scores across tests measuring memory,
154 attention/executive function, language and visuospatial function, to obtain cognitive domain
155 scores.

156

157 These cognitive domain scores were subsequently used to divide AD participants into clinical
158 phenotypes, according to a previously validated method [28]. Specifically, we averaged
159 across cognitive domains to create a “global cognition” composite score for each participant.
160 Next, each cognitive domain score was dichotomized based on whether they were below a
161 certain threshold relative to the global cognition score. A score of 1 thus means that a given
162 cognitive domain “stands out” by being more affected than (the combination of) other
163 domains. Based on these dichotomized scores, we assigned each participant to one of the
164 following cognitive profiles: 1) memory-dominant, 2) attention/executive-dominant, 3)
165 language-dominant, 4) visuospatial-dominant, 5) multi-domain (i.e. either more than one or
166 no cognitive domain=1). As this profile categorization relies on which threshold is used to
167 dichotomize the cognitive domain scores, we systematically tested all values between .250
168 and .500, and selected .225 as the “optimal” value that yielded 1) the lowest number of

169 participants in the multi-domain profile, 2) the lowest sum of squared group sizes
170 (Supplementary Figure 1). Most individuals had a memory-dominant cognitive profile
171 (n=292), followed by a language-dominant (n=140), attention/executive-dominant (n=130)
172 and visuospatial-dominant subgroup (n=126). The remaining participants fell into the multi-
173 domain category (n=383).

174

175 Statistical analyses

176 First, we carried out a χ^2 analysis in the total sample to investigate if the distribution of
177 dementia types differed across occupational classes. In a second analysis, we reran the
178 same model after exclusion of 93 persons with comorbid dementias (e.g. persons with AD as
179 the primary diagnosis, but who also met NINDS-AIREN criteria for VaD) to create a sample
180 with less overlap between diagnostic groups.

181

182 When χ^2 results were significant, we post-hoc inspected the adjusted residuals of each
183 combination of occupation and dementia type. Adjusted residuals reflect the difference
184 between the expected and observed count (e.g. the number of AD participants in the
185 Pedagogical occupational class). An absolute value of ~ 2 (i.e. 1.96 or -1.96) is considered
186 significant at the $p < .05$ level. Since our contingency table was relatively large, we used a
187 more stringent adjusted residual value threshold of ~ 3 (i.e. 3.29 or -3.29 corresponds to
188 $p < .001$; [29]). In addition, to assess whether the main relationships observed in significant
189 χ^2 analyses could be explained by other demographic and health variables, we additionally
190 performed logistic regressions. Specifically, we predicted dementia type from occupational
191 class and evaluated age, sex, education and VRF score as potential explanatory variables.
192 We used a forward selection procedure with a change-in-estimate (CIE) criterion of 10%
193 [30,31] and ran these models in all participants with complete data (n=1,562/2,121).

194

195 Finally, we carried out χ^2 analyses to investigate whether occupation related to cognitive
196 profiles within the AD subsample, following the same approach as described above. In a

197 second analysis, we reran the same model using a different threshold for the dichotomization
198 of cognitive domain scores (and subsequent categorization of participants into cognitive
199 profiles; Supplementary Figure 1), and compared results to evaluate robustness of our
200 findings.

201

202 **Results**

203 Participants

204 The mean age across diagnostic groups was 67 ± 8 years (Table 1). Individuals with FTD
205 were younger compared to other groups (63 ± 7), and DLB participants were significantly older
206 than the AD group (69 ± 7). Overall, our sample included a somewhat larger proportion of
207 males (57%), presumably reflecting the historically higher prevalence of males in the labor
208 market (by comparison, the excluded “no occupation” sample was 82% female). The
209 proportion of males was even higher among DLB participants (82%) in comparison with most
210 other groups, while this was significantly lower for AD (52%) in contrast to all groups except
211 PSP/CBD. Educational level was similar across dementia types (except for a DLB>VaD
212 difference). Global cognitive impairment was most severe among AD participants (mean
213 MMSE= 20 ± 5). Finally, VaD participants had a higher VRF score than most other diagnostic
214 groups ($p<.05$; except FTD). Table 2 provides an overview of the number of participants in
215 each occupational class for the total sample and according to dementia type. The largest
216 occupation groups were Technical ($n=429$, 20%) and Business/Administrative ($n=388$, 18%),
217 while the Agricultural class had the lowest number of participants ($n=31$, 1%).

218

219 Relationship between occupation and dementia types

220 There were significant differences in the distribution of dementia types across occupation
221 groups ($\text{Chi}^2=85.87$, $p<.001$, Table 2). The adjusted residuals revealed three effects
222 significant at $p<.001$. First, individuals from the Transportation/Logistics sector were more
223 often diagnosed with VaD (adjusted residual: 4.0). Second, this occupational class had fewer
224 AD participants (adjusted residual: -3.7). Third, in the Health Care/Welfare group, AD was

225 relatively common (adjusted residual: 3.6). These results are displayed in Figure 2, along
226 with 11 other effects (e.g. a high proportion of PSP/CBD cases in the Agricultural sector) that
227 were significant at $p < .05$ (adjusted residuals > 2 or < -2). When we repeated the Chi^2 analysis
228 with exclusion of 93 individuals with comorbid dementias ($n=2,028$), results remained
229 essentially unchanged ($\text{Chi}^2=78.73$, $p < .001$; Supplementary Table 1).

230

231 Contribution of age, sex, education and vascular risk factors

232 In line with the previous results, uncorrected logistic regression models with either AD or VaD
233 as a dependent variable (AD/VaD=1 in separate models, other diagnosis=0) confirmed
234 Transportation/Logistics to be significantly related to both VaD ($\beta=1.23$, odds ratio [OR] =
235 3.41, $p=.004$) and AD ($\beta=-.85$, OR=.43, $p=.001$). Likewise, Health Care/Welfare was a
236 significant determinant of AD ($\beta=.55$, OR=1.74, $p=.003$; Supplementary Table 2). Forward
237 selection of explanatory variables in corrected models revealed that VRF score, but no other
238 factors (i.e. age, sex, education), was positively associated with a VaD diagnosis ($\beta=.43$,
239 OR=1.53, $p < .001$) and reduced the Transportation/Logistics effect by 15% (from $\beta=1.23$ to
240 1.04, OR=3.41 to 2.84). For AD, only the variable sex (range $\beta=.68-.69$, OR=1.96-1.98,
241 $p < .001$) significantly reduced the effects of Transportation/Logistics (from $\beta=-.85$ to $-.62$,
242 OR=.43 to .54; 27%) and Health Care/Welfare (from $\beta=.55$ to .31, OR=1.74 to 1.37; 43%).
243 Figure 3 gives an overview of the number of VRFs and percentage of males for all dementia
244 types and occupational classes.

245

246 Relationship between occupation and cognitive profiles of AD

247 We found only trend-significant differences between occupations in the distribution of
248 cognitive profiles ($\text{Chi}^2=53.65$, $p=.073$; Table 3). Supplementary Figure 2 provides an
249 overview of these findings. In a secondary analysis, we repeated the same analysis using
250 cognitive profiles that were calculated based on a different threshold of $-.442$ (Supplementary
251 Figure 1). Similar to the original findings, these results were not significant ($\text{Chi}^2=38.24$,
252 $p=.550$).

253

254 Discussion

255 In this exploratory study, we investigated the association between occupation and dementia
256 (sub)types in a large sample of memory-clinic patients. The main finding was that VaD was
257 relatively common in participants with Transportation/Logistics occupations. Post-hoc
258 analyses suggested that this relationship was partly explained by a higher number of VRFs
259 among these individuals. In addition, the number of AD participants was relatively low in the
260 Transportation/Logistics sector, and high among Health Care/Welfare occupations. We found
261 that these effects were mostly attributable to differences between groups in sex distribution.
262 There was no relationship between occupation types and AD-related cognitive profiles.

263

264 Our finding that VRFs are more prominent among VaD participants is in line with previous
265 studies [32,33], but of particular interest was our observation of a higher number of VRFs in
266 Transportation/Logistics occupations. Although we cannot make any inferences about
267 dementia risk (due to the absence of a healthy control group), it raises the possibility that
268 certain job-related aspects or occupational habits contribute to the development of VRFs and
269 the emergence of VaD. Our data at least warrant an increased awareness and monitoring of
270 VRFs by occupational physicians in the Transport/Logistics sector. Furthermore, the lower
271 presence of AD cases in Transpiration/Logistics groups (male-predominant) versus higher
272 AD occurrence Healthcare/Welfare (female-predominant), is in line with evidence that
273 women have a higher risk for AD than men [34,35]. Importantly, while VRFs and sex partially
274 explained our main occupation-dementia relationships, these factors did not account for all
275 variance. This suggests there might be other important ways in which occupations are
276 related to the emergence and manifestation of dementia.

277

278 In addition, it is worth mentioning that a relatively large proportion of the Agricultural sector
279 consisted of PSP/CBD cases (i.e. 13% versus 5% in the total sample). This effect was
280 significant at $p < .05$ (not at $p < .001$), but as the Agricultural group was rather small compared

281 to other occupational classes (n=31), this may be due to a lack of statistical power. Although
282 largely speculative, we propose that this effect could be related to exposure to pesticides
283 [36]. There has been increasing evidence that pesticides are a risk factor for Parkinson's
284 disease [37], and one study has also demonstrated a specific link between pesticide
285 exposure and PSP [38].

286

287 In contrast to our present study, most previous studies operationalized occupation by
288 complexity level. Apart from coarse ratings such as "white versus blue collar", more fine-
289 grained classifications have been made in which the complexity of multiple occupational
290 aspects was considered. An example is the use of questionnaires that differentiated between
291 working with "people, data or things" (e.g. [12]). However, although these approaches have
292 provided important insights, they did not consider other potential job-related risks or
293 protective factors. The few studies that did take a more qualitative approach towards the
294 measurement of occupation have used "job exposure matrices" or "occupational description
295 databases" to show, among other results, that dementia risk and late-life cognition were
296 influenced by the degree of work control (e.g. influence over work planning, variation in task
297 content) [39], level of human interaction and physical activity [40] and mental demands (e.g.
298 information processing, pattern detection, creativity) [41].

299

300 The novelty of the present work lies our "assumption-free" approach that did not involve any
301 additional characterization of occupations besides their categorization across 11
302 occupational classes. This enabled examining relationships between occupation and
303 dementia (sub)types in an exploratory manner. Importantly, there was no straightforward
304 relationship between occupational classes and complexity level. Most classes comprised
305 occupations that varied considerably with respect to complexity (e.g. the "Technical" group
306 both contained engineers and assembly workers, see Additional file 1). Another strength of
307 our study is the fact that our sample consisted of dementias with different suspected
308 etiologies. Most earlier studies have either focused on the occurrence of dementia as a

309 general syndrome or one particular form of dementia. As our dataset included over two
310 thousand carefully diagnosed participants, we were able to create several independent
311 diagnostic groups and AD-related cognitive profiles that were sufficiently sized for statistical
312 analysis. Finally, we consider use of the BRC 2014 classification system to be an important
313 advantage, because it improves the replicability of our findings across other populations on
314 an international scale.

315

316 Our study has several limitations. First, although we collected occupational data with a
317 refined method that involved a semi-structured interview with the patient and caregiver, we
318 did not have detailed enough information to capture a person's entire occupational timeline.
319 For individuals who had multiple occupations listed, we often could not retrieve their
320 sequence and respective durations. It is therefore possible that the first-mentioned job not
321 always reflect the person's foremost/primary occupation, but rather the most recent
322 occupation. This is non-optimal because of potential reverse causation: we cannot rule out
323 that some patients switched jobs as a consequence of their dementia diagnosis (e.g.
324 choosing less demanding work in a different sector). However, we have no reason to assume
325 that reverse causation is more prominent for some dementia (sub)types than others, and
326 thus the degree of bias seems limited. Second, we were unable to define occupation groups
327 beyond the highest hierarchical level of the BRC 2014, due to a lack of detail in occupational
328 descriptions and the fact that the resulting number of categories would be too large for our
329 sample size (i.e. ~40 occupation groups). More detailed distinctions between occupations
330 may have revealed additional relevant occupation-dementia relationships that were currently
331 missed. Third, the absence of a healthy control group restricted our ability to make
332 inferences about dementia risk or distinguish between protective and harmful effects of
333 occupation (Supplementary Figure 3), which limits the interpretability of our results.

334

335 **Conclusions**

336 To conclude, we demonstrated relationships between occupation and dementia types. Our

337 findings suggest that these relationships emerged beyond occupational complexity level.
338 Differences between occupational classes in the number of VRFs and sex distributions
339 partially explained our results, but other - currently unknown - factors likely play a role as
340 well. It is important to note that associations between occupation and dementia could exist
341 for multiple reasons. Whilst it seems plausible that some occupational characteristics
342 causally relate to the occurrence of specific dementia types, another possibility is that certain
343 (genetic or early environmental) factors both influence career choice and dementia
344 development later in life. This is consistent with theoretical frameworks that propose a
345 neurodevelopmental component in the etiology of dementia [42-44]. Ultimately, as most
346 people spend the majority of their life working, occupation could be an important lifestyle
347 factor to consider in relation to preventive strategies for dementia. A better understanding of
348 occupation-dementia relationships may improve occupational health policy through reduction
349 of job hazards and more targeted health monitoring by occupational physicians.

350

351 **List of abbreviations**

352 AD – Alzheimer’s disease, VaD – vascular dementia, FTD – frontotemporal dementia, DLB –
353 Lewy Body disease, PSP – progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD – corticobasal
354 degeneration, ADC – Amsterdam Dementia Cohort, MRI – magnetic resonance imaging,
355 BRC 2014 – Dutch ROA-CBS 2014 occupational categorization system, ISCO 2008 – the
356 International Standard Classification of Occupations 2008, ICT – Information and
357 Communication Technology, BMI – body mass index, VRF – vascular risk factor, CIE –
358 change-in-estimate, OR – odds ratio.

359

360 **Declarations**

361 Ethics approval and consent to participate

362 Participants gave written informed consent to use their medical data for scientific purposes.

363 This procedure was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee.

364

365 Consent for publication

366 Not applicable

367

368 Availability of data and material

369 The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the
370 corresponding author on reasonable request.

371

372 Competing interests

373 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

374

375 Funding

376 Research of Alzheimer Center Amsterdam is part of the Neurodegeneration program of
377 Amsterdam Neuroscience. The Alzheimer Center Amsterdam is supported by Alzheimer
378 Nederland, Het Genootschap and Stichting VUMC funds. W.M. van der Flier holds the
379 Pasman chair. This research was funded by the Internationale Stichting Alzheimer
380 Onderzoek (ISAO) (to R.O.).

381

382 Authors' contributions

383 A.C.v.L. was involved in the methodological design of the research project and assignment of
384 occupational codes; she furthermore conducted the statistical analyses, interpreted the data
385 and wrote the manuscript. C.d.B. was responsible for the selection of the participant data
386 from the ADC. K.W. took part in the methodological design and the assignment of
387 occupational codes. Y.A.P., A.W.L., F.H.B., N.D.P., and P.S. were involved in the
388 standardized screening process of participants and collection of occupational history data.
389 R.O. was involved in the methodological design of the research project, interpretation of the
390 data and writing the manuscript. W.M.v.d.F. is the coordinator of the ADC, and took part in
391 the interpretation of the data and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved
392 the final manuscript.

393

394 Acknowledgements

395 Not applicable

396

397

398

399

400

401

References

1. Prince M, Wilmo A, Guerchet M, Ali G, Wu Y, Prina M. World Alzheimer Report 2015. The Global Impact of Dementia: An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends. Alzheimer's Disease International. 2015.
2. Bonaiuto S, Rocca WA, Lippi A, Giannandrea E, Mele M, Cavarzeran F, Amaducci L. Education and Occupation as Risk Factors for Dementia: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Neuroepidemiology* 1995; 14:101-109.
3. Stern Y, Albert S, Tang MX, Tsai WY. Rate of memory decline in AD is related to education and occupation. *Neurology*. 1999;53(9):1942-7.
4. Hughes TF, Ganguli M. Modifiable Midlife Risk Factors for Late-Life Cognitive Impairment and Dementia. *Curr Psychiatry Rev*. 2009; 5(2): 73–92.
5. Dekhtyar S, Wang HX, Fratiglioni L, Herlitz A. Childhood school performance, education and occupational complexity: a life-course study of dementia in the Kungsholmen Project. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016; 45(4):1207-1215.
6. Ihle A, Ghisletta P, Ballhausen N, Fagot D, Vallet F, M Baeriswyl, et al. The role of cognitive reserve accumulated in midlife for the relation between chronic diseases and cognitive decline in old age: A longitudinal follow-up across six years. *Neuropsychologia* 2018, 121: 37-46.
7. Foubert-Samier A, Catheline G, Amieva H, Dilharreguy B, Helmer C, Allard M, Dartigues

- JF. Education, occupation, leisure activities, and brain reserve: a population-based study. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012; 33(2):423.e15-25.
8. Correa Ribeiro PC, Lopes CS, Lourenço RA. Complexity of lifetime occupation and cognitive performance in old age. *Occupational Medicine* 2013; 63(8): 556–562.
 9. Vemuri P, Lesnick TG, Przybelski SA, Knopman DS, Preboske GM, Kantarci K, et al. Vascular and amyloid pathologies are independent predictors of cognitive decline in normal elderly. *Brain* 2015; 138(3): 761–771.
 10. Placek K, Massimo L, Olm C, Ternes K, Firn K, Van Deerlin V, et al. Cognitive reserve in frontotemporal degeneration: Neuroanatomic and neuropsychological evidence. *Neurology* 2016; 87(17):1813-1819.
 11. Pool LR, Weuve J, Wilson RS, Bültmann U, Evans DA, Mendes de Leon CF. Occupational cognitive requirements and late-life cognitive aging. *Neurology* 2016; 86(15):1386-1392.
 12. Lane AP, Windsor TD, Andel R, Luszcz MA. Is Occupational Complexity Associated with Cognitive Performance or Decline? Results from the Australian Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Gerontology* 2017; 63(6):550-559.
 13. Kaup AR, Xia F, Launer LJ, Sidney S, Nasrallah I, Erus G, et al. Occupational cognitive complexity in earlier adulthood is associated with brain structure and cognitive health in midlife: The CARDIA study. *Neuropsychology* 2018; 32(8):895-905.
 14. Dekhtyar S, Wang HX, Scott K, Goodman A, Koupil I, Herlitz A. A Life-Course Study of Cognitive Reserve in Dementia--From Childhood to Old Age. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2015;23(9):885-96.
 15. Vuoksima E, Rinne JO, Lindgren N, Heikkilä K, Koskenvuo M, Kaprio J. Middle age self-report risk score predicts cognitive functioning and dementia in 20-40 years. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*. 2016;4:118-125.
 16. Rusmaully J, Dugravot A, Moatti JP, Marmot MG, Elbaz A, Kivimaki M, et al. Contribution of cognitive performance and cognitive decline to associations between socioeconomic factors and dementia: A cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2017;14(6):e1002334.

17. Wang HX, MacDonald SW, Dekhtyar S, Fratiglioni L. Association of lifelong exposure to cognitive reserve-enhancing factors with dementia risk: A community-based cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2017;14(3):e1002251.
18. Massimo L, Xie SX, Rennert L, Fick DM, Halpin A, Placet K, et al. McMillan, Occupational attainment influences longitudinal decline in behavioral variant frontotemporal degeneration. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2018. doi: 10.1007/s11682-018-9852-x
19. Santibáñez M, Bolumar F, García AM. Occupational risk factors in Alzheimer's disease: a review assessing the quality of published epidemiological studies. *Occup Environ Med.* 2007;64(11):723-32.
20. Killin LO, Starr JM, Shiue IJ, Russ TC. Environmental risk factors for dementia: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr.* 2016;16(1):175.
21. Fouarge D, Dijkman S. Beroepenindeling ROA-CBS 2014 (BRC 2014). (ROA Technical Reports; No. 005). Maastricht: Research Centre for Education and the Labour Market; 2015.
22. Van der Flier WM, Pijnenburg YA, Prins N, Lemstra AW, Bouwman FH, Teunissen CE, et al. Optimizing patient care and research: the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2014;41(1):313-327.
23. Van der Flier WM, Scheltens P. Amsterdam Dementia Cohort: Performing Research to Optimize Care. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2018;62(3):1091-1111.
24. Groot C, Sudre CH, Barkhof F, Teunissen CE, Van Berckel BNM, Seo SW, et al. Clinical phenotype, atrophy, and small vessel disease in APOE ϵ 2 carriers with Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2018;91(20):e1851-e1859 .
25. Groot C, Van Loenhoud AC, Barkhof F, Van Berckel BNM, Koene T, Teunissen CE, et al. Differential effects of cognitive reserve and brain reserve on cognition in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 2018; 90(2): e149-e156.
26. Van der Elst W, Van Boxtel MPJ, Van Breukelen GJP, Jolles J. The Letter Digit Substitution Test: Normative Data for 1,858 Healthy Participants Aged 24–81 from the

- Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS): Influence of Age, Education, and Sex, *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* 2006; 28(6):998-1009.
27. Verhage F. *Intelligence and age (in Dutch)*. Assen: Van Gorcum; 1964.
 28. Crane PK, Trittschuh E, Mukherjee S, Saykin AJ, Sanders RE, Larson EB, et al. Incidence of cognitively defined late-onset Alzheimer's dementia subgroups from a prospective cohort study. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2017;13(12):1307-1316.
 29. Sharpe D. *Your chi-square test is statistically significant: Now what? Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation* 2015.
 30. Mickey RM, Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1989;129:125–37.
 31. Maldonado G, Greenland S. Simulation study of confounder-selection strategies. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1993;138(11):923–36.
 32. Fillit H, Nash DT, Rundek T, Zuckerman A. Cardiovascular risk factors and dementia. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother*. 2008;6(2):100-118.
 33. Tariq S, Barber PA. Dementia risk and prevention by targeting modifiable vascular risk factors. *J. Neurochem*. 2018; 144:565-581.
 34. Viña J, Lloret A. Why women have more Alzheimer's disease than men: gender and mitochondrial toxicity of amyloid-beta peptide. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2010;20 Suppl 2:S527-33.
 35. Podcasy JL, Epperson CN. Considering sex and gender in Alzheimer disease and other dementias. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2016;18(4):437-446.
 36. Gangemi S, Miozzi E, Teodoro M, Briguglio G, De Luca A, Alibrando C, et al. Occupational exposure to pesticides as a possible risk factor for the development of chronic diseases in humans (Review). *Mol Med Rep*. 2016;14(5):4475-4488.
 37. Brouwer M, Huss A, Van der Mark M, Nijssen PCG, Mulleners WM, Sas AMG, et al. Environmental exposure to pesticides and the risk of Parkinson's disease in the Netherlands. *Environ Int*. 2017; 107: 100-110.
 38. Litvan I, Lees PS, Cunningham CR, Rai SN, Cambon AC, Standaert DG, et al.

- Environmental and occupational risk factors for progressive supranuclear palsy: Case-control study. *Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 2016, 31(5), 644-52.
39. Hasselgren C, Dellve L, Ekbrand H, Zettergren A, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, et al. Socioeconomic status, gender and dementia: The influence of work environment exposures and their interactions with APOE ϵ 4. *SSM Popul Health*. 2018;5:171-179.
40. Potter GG, Helms MJ, Plassman BL. Associations of job demands and intelligence with cognitive performance among men in late life. *Neurology*.2007;70(19 Pt 2):1803-8.
41. Then FS, Luck T, Hesser K, Ernst A, Posselt T, Wiese B, et al. Which types of mental work demands may be associated with reduced risk of dementia? *Alzheimers Dement*. 2017;13(4):431-440.
42. Miller ZA, Rosenberg L, Santos-Santos MA, Stephens M, Allen IE, Hubbard HI, et al. Prevalence of Mathematical and Visuospatial Learning Disabilities in Patients With Posterior Cortical Atrophy. *JAMA Neurol*. 2018;75(6):728-737.
43. Miller ZA, Mandelli ML, Rankin KP, Henry ML, Babiak MC, Frazier DT, et al. Handedness and language learning disability differentially distribute in progressive aphasia variants. *Brain*. 2013;136(11):3461-3473.
44. Geschwind DH, Robidoux J, Alarcón M, Miller BL, Wilhelmsen KC, Cummings JL, et al. Dementia and neurodevelopmental predisposition: cognitive dysfunction in presymptomatic subjects precedes dementia by decades in frontotemporal dementia. *Ann Neurol*. 2001;50(6):741-746.

Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study sample.

ADC=Amsterdam dementia cohort, BRC 2014= Dutch ROA-CBS 2014 occupational categorization system, a derivative of the Standard Classification of Occupations 2008 (ISCO 2008), ICT= Information and Communication Technology.

Figure 2. Proportions of dementia types for each occupational class.

AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. *Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -2 or ≥ 2 (corresponding to $p < .05$), ***Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -3 or ≥ 3 (corresponding to $p < .001$).

Figure 3. Mean number of vascular risk factors and male percentage for occupational classes and dementia types.

Error bars represent standard errors. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. VRF=vascular risk factors, including included body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use (units per day), and presence or absence of a myocardial infarction, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and diabetes mellitus in the medical history.

Supplementary Figure 1. Thresholds used for the dichotomization of cognitive domains and division of participants across cognitive profiles.

Within the AD subsample ($n=1,071$), we dichotomized each cognitive domain score (i.e. memory, attention/executive functions, language and visuospatial functions), based on whether or not a domain's *W*-score was considerably lower compared to an individual's global cognition score. We used an optimal threshold between .250 and .500 for this dichotomization, and defined "optimality" in two different ways: 1) the lowest number of participants in the multi-domain profile and the lowest sum of squared group sizes (threshold=.255), 2) the highest number of atypical variant AD cases ($n=85$) categorized into the language (i.e. for logopenic aphasia) or visuospatial cognitive profile (i.e. for PCA; $n=.442$). Note that neither thresholds (i.e. .255 or .442) resulted in all atypical variants being categorized into these two cognitive profiles, presumably because in advanced disease stages, several cognitive domains become affected. For many participants with an initial logopenic aphasia or PCA diagnosis, visuospatial/language may no longer have been

predominant at study inclusion, causing them to be assigned to the multi-domain instead.

Supplementary Figure 2. Proportions of AD-related cognitive profiles for each occupational class.

There were no significant differences between groups (Chi² n.s.).

Supplementary Figure 3. Schematic representation of protective and harmful effects and resulting cells in the contingency table.

Each color (red, blue, green) represents a different occupational class. In scenario A, the red occupational class is relatively protected against dementia type 1, while the distribution of the remaining dementia types across occupations is equal. When the healthy control group is included in the analysis, this protective effect will be reflected in a higher proportion of individuals in red in the healthy group ($4/6=67\%$ versus 50% for blue/green) and a lower percentage of red persons in the type 1 dementia group (0% versus $1/6=17\%$ for blue/green). All other proportions will be identical across occupational classes. However, when the healthy control group is not taken into account, the protective effect of the red occupation for dementia type 1 will create also an apparent “harmful” effect of the red occupation for dementia types 2 and 3 (i.e. $1/2=50\%$, compared to $1/3=33\%$ for blue/green). In scenario B, the green occupational class shows a harmful effect on the development of dementia type 3, while no other differences between groups exist. Again, when the healthy control group is included, this only results in a lower percentage of healthy green individuals ($1/6=17\%$ versus 50% for red/blue) and a greater proportion of persons with green occupations in dementia type 3 (i.e. 50% versus $1/6=17\%$ for red/blue). In the absence of a healthy control group, however, the green occupational class’ harmful effect for dementia type 3 leads to an apparent “protective” effect for dementia types 1 and 2 ($1/5=20\%$, compared to $1/3=33\%$ for red/blue).

2310 participants selected from the ADC cohort with dementia and occupational descriptions

140 participants excluded with occupational descriptions that were:

- non-informative
- indicative of unemployment

2170 participants eligible for assignment of BRC 2014 occupational codes

2 participants excluded with occupational descriptions that were not detailed enough to assign occupational codes

2168 participants eligible for statistical analysis


47 participants excluded because the size of their category was not sufficiently large:





- 12 cases with ICT occupations
- 35 cases with rare forms of dementia


2121 participants included in the final sample


Dementia type

- AD
- FTD
- VaD
- DLB
- PSP/CBD










A)



B)

