# Maternal and child gluten intake and risk of type 1 diabetes: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study

Nicolai A Lund-Blix<sup>1,2</sup>, German Tapia<sup>1</sup>, Karl Mårild<sup>1,3</sup>, Anne Lise Brantsaeter<sup>4</sup>, Pål R Njølstad<sup>5,6</sup>, Geir Joner<sup>2,7</sup>, Torild Skrivarhaug<sup>2,7</sup>, Ketil Størdal<sup>1,8\*</sup>, Lars C Stene<sup>1\*</sup>
\*These authors contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chronic Diseases and Ageing, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway (NAL, KM: Postdoctoral fellows; GT, KS, LCS: Senior researchers). <sup>2</sup> Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway (NAL: Postdoctoral fellow; GJ, TS: Consultant Paediatricians). <sup>3</sup> Department of Paediatrics, The Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg and Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden (KM: Paediatrician). <sup>4</sup> Department of Environmental Exposure and Epidemiology, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway (ALB: Senior researcher). <sup>5</sup> Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway (PRN: Professor). <sup>6</sup> KG Jebsen Center for Diabetes Research, Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway (PRN: Professor). <sup>7</sup> Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (GJ: Professor; TS: Associate professor). <sup>8</sup> Department of Paediatrics, Østfold Hospital Trust, Grålum, Norway (KS: Consultant Paediatrician)

Correspondence: Nicolai A Lund-Blix, Department of Chronic Diseases and Ageing,
Norwegian Institute of Public Health, P.O. Box 222 Skøyen, NO-0213 Oslo, Norway. Phone:
+4798685347; E-mail: nicolai.andre.lund-blix@fhi.no

Word count: Abstract: 244; Main text: 2984; Figures: 1 (Supplementary Figures: 3); Tables: 2
(Supplementary Tables: 6); References: 38

**ABSTRACT** 

OBJECTIVE: To examine the association between maternal and child gluten intake and risk

of type 1 diabetes in children.

**DESIGN: Pregnancy cohort** 

SETTING: Population-based, nation-wide study in Norway

PARTICIPANTS: 86,306 children in The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study born

from 1999 through 2009, followed to April 15, 2018.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Clinical type 1 diabetes, ascertained in a nation-wide

childhood diabetes registry. Hazard ratios were estimated using Cox regression for the

exposures maternal gluten intake up to week 22 of pregnancy and child's gluten intake when

the child was 18 months old.

RESULTS: During a mean follow-up of 12.3 years (range 0.7-16.0), 346 children (0.4%)

developed type 1 diabetes (incidence rate 32.6 per 100,000 person-years). The average gluten

intake was 13.6 grams/day for mothers during pregnancy, and 8.8 grams/day for the child at

18 months of age. Maternal gluten intake in mid-pregnancy was not associated with the

development of type 1 diabetes in the child (adjusted hazard ratio 1.02 (95% confidence

interval 0.73 to 1.43) per 10 grams/day increase in gluten intake). However, the child's gluten

intake at 18 months of age was associated with an increased risk of later developing type 1

diabetes (adjusted hazard ratio 1.46 (95% confidence interval 1.06 to 2.01) per 10 grams/day

increase in gluten intake).

CONCLUSIONS: This study suggests that the child's gluten intake at 18 months of age, and

2

not the maternal intake during pregnancy, could increase the risk of type 1 diabetes in the

child.

## WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

A national prospective cohort study from Denmark found that a high maternal gluten intake during pregnancy could increase the risk of type 1 diabetes in the offspring (adjusted hazard ratio 1.31 (95% confidence interval 1.001 to 1.72) per 10 grams/day increase in gluten intake). No studies have investigated the relation between the amount of gluten intake by both the mother during pregnancy and the child in early life and risk of developing type 1 diabetes in childhood.

# WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

In this prospective population-based pregnancy cohort with 86,306 children of whom 346 developed type 1 diabetes we found that the child's gluten intake at 18 months of age was associated with the risk of type 1 diabetes (adjusted hazard ratio 1.46 (95% confidence interval 1.06 to 2.01) per 10 grams/day increase in gluten intake). This study suggests that the child's gluten intake at 18 months of age, and not the maternal intake during pregnancy, could increase the child's risk of type 1 diabetes.

#### INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes is a common disease in childhood, and the Nordic countries have some of the highest incidence rates in the world.(1) Type 1 diabetes results from an immune-mediated destruction of pancreatic beta cells eventually leading to complete and lifelong dependence on exogenous insulin.(2) Although genetic susceptibility variants play a role for the development of type 1 diabetes, increased incidence rates over the past decades strongly suggest an important role of non-genetic factors.(1)

Gluten has been hypothesised to be one of the environmental factors involved in the development of type 1 diabetes.(3) It has been found in animal models and in vitro studies that gluten could have an effect on the immune system by increasing the proinflammatory cytokine production, or lead to dysbiosis of the gut microbiota.(3)

Most of the prospective studies in humans that have examined aspects of gluten intake as a risk factor for type 1 diabetes focus on age at introduction of cereals or gluten containing foods in infancy from high-risk cohorts with inconsistent results.(4-11) Two small studies reported no association between maternal intake of gluten containing foods in pregnancy and development of islet autoimmunity,(12, 13) but a recent large cohort study from Denmark found that a high maternal gluten intake during pregnancy could increase the risk of type 1 diabetes in the offspring.(14) No studies have investigated the relation between gluten intake by both the mother during pregnancy and the child in early life, and the risk of type 1 diabetes in the child.

Our objective was to examine the association between the maternal gluten intake during pregnancy, child's gluten intake at age 18 months, and the risk of type 1 diabetes in the child.

## **METHODS**

Participants and study design

We included participants in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa).(15)

MoBa is a prospective nation-wide population-based pregnancy cohort of pregnancies in

Norway during 1999-2009. The women consented to participation in 41% of the pregnancies.

A total of 86,306 children of whom 346 developed type 1 diabetes were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

The current study is based on version VIII of the quality-assured data files. We used exposure information from questionnaires (available in English translation at https://www.fhi.no/en/studies/moba/for-forskere-artikler/questionnaires-from-moba) at week 22 of pregnancy and child age 18 months. Data was linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway and the Norwegian Patient Register using the personal identity number assigned to all Norwegian residents.

Outcome: type 1 diabetes

We used time to clinical diagnosis of type 1 diabetes in the child as the outcome. Data on the child's type 1 diabetes was obtained from the Norwegian Childhood Diabetes Registry (16) and the Norwegian Patient Registry. Nine cases of type 2- or monogenic diabetes were excluded from the study. A total of 346 children with type 1 diabetes with valid information on maternal gluten intake at week 22 of pregnancy and 271 with valid information on child's gluten intake at 18 months of age were identified during follow-up (Figure 1). Participants excluded due to missing exposure information had an incidence of type 1 diabetes that did not differ from the incidence among those included in the current analysis (Supplementary Figure 1).

Exposures: Gluten intake during pregnancy and in the child at age 18 months We derived the amount (g/day) of gluten intake from a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire at week 22 of pregnancy and from a questionnaire completed by the guardian when the child was 18 months old (Supplementary Figure 2). For the food frequency questionnaire at week 22 of pregnancy we derived the average protein intake (g/day) from gluten containing flour or grains from the MoBa food database. The food frequency questionnaire covered the period up to week 22 of pregnancy, and has been validated for food and nutrient intake.(17) To estimate the child's gluten intake we used a questionnaire completed at 18 months covering the frequency of wheat-, rye- and barley-containing food intake. Portion sizes were obtained from a published report (18) and product labels. We estimated the average protein intake (g/day) from gluten containing flour or grains by using recipes and nutritional contents of food items from the Norwegian Food Composition Table (19) in addition to traditional recipes and ingredient lists from product labels. The average gluten intake (grams/day) was estimated by using a conversion factor of 0.75 in accordance

with most studies.(20-22) The conversion factor of 0.75 is based on conversion factors of 0.80 for wheat, 0.65 for rye, and 0.50 for barley,(22-24) and wheat being the most widely used grain in the different food products assessed.

We excluded from the analyses children with an unlikely high intake of gluten at 18 months of age (>35 g/day, n=39, Figure 1). We categorised maternal gluten intake during pregnancy and child's intake at 18 months of age into percentiles (<10, 10-20, 20-50, 50-80, 80-90, ≥90) for comparison with the recently published study from Denmark.(14) Characteristics of participants are shown in Table 1 (Characteristics for participants with valid information on child's gluten intake at 18 months of age is shown Supplementary Table 1). Characteristics of excluded participants due to missing exposure data are presented in Supplementary Table 2.

## Other variables

From the Medical Birth Registry of Norway we obtained maternal age, parity, mode of delivery, child sex, birth weight and gestational age categorised as shown in Table 1. The MoBa recruitment questionnaire completed at week 18 of pregnancy provided information regarding maternal education, smoking, pre-pregnant body mass index (BMI), maternal coeliac disease diagnosis and maternal type 1 diabetes diagnosis. From MoBa questionnaires completed at child age 6 and 18 months we obtained information on breastfeeding duration and child age at the time of gluten introduction.(25) MoBa questionnaires completed at child age 7 or 8 years and register linkage to the Norwegian Patient Register (to the end of 2016) provided information on the child's coeliac disease diagnosis.(26) The Norwegian Patient Register also provided information on parents' medical codes indicative of coeliac disease and type 1 diabetes. We excluded children of mothers with type 1 diabetes or coeliac disease

due to the influence on the maternal gluten intake, and potentially the child's gluten intake (n=1682; Figure 1).

Statistical analysis

We used Cox regression analysis to estimate hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Follow-up time was counted from birth when analysing maternal gluten intake, and from child's age 18 months when analysing child's gluten intake, to type 1 diabetes diagnosis or end of follow-up (April 15, 2018). We found no evidence for violation of the proportional hazards assumption by visually assessing log-minus log plots or formally testing Schoenfeld residuals. We used robust cluster variance estimation to account for potential correlation among siblings in the cohort. We predefined statistical significance as p-values 0.05 or 95% confidence intervals for the hazard ratio not including 1.00. The primary analysis was further predefined to be the test for linear trend (per 10 grams increase in gluten intake per day) with covariates defined in model 2 (see below), and to be based on a dataset where we imputed missing covariates using multiple imputation with chained equations.(27) To test non-linearity we analysed gluten as a categorical variable using the same cut-offs as the Danish national prospective cohort study (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).(14)

In addition to unadjusted analyses, we decided a priori to adjust for variables that may be associated with the gluten intake and type 1 diabetes. Model 1 adjusted for covariates similar to the Danish national prospective cohort study (14): pre-pregnancy maternal body mass index, age, parity, smoking status, education, breastfeeding duration, caesarean section, energy intake and child's sex. Model 2, our primary model, additionally adjusted for age at gluten introduction, birthweight, prematurity, weight gain 0-12 months, maternal fibre intake during pregnancy, and mutually adjusted maternal and child's gluten intake.

In sensitivity analyses to assess the impact of imputing missing covariates we repeated the main analyses in those with complete covariate data. We also assessed the association of maternal fibre intake and gluten intake from refined grains during pregnancy and risk of type 1 diabetes in the child. Also, we assessed the impact of further adjusting our analyses for the child's coeliac disease. All analyses were done in Stata Release 15 (College Station, Tx, USA).

Patient and public involvement

We conducted the study on previously collected data without any patient involvement in development of the research question or outcome measures, nor in development of design, recruitment and conduct of the study. Patients were not asked to advice on interpretation or writing up of results. Results from MoBa is disseminated to study participants through a yearly newsletter sent to all participating families, press releases, and the study's and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health's web sites.

#### **RESULTS**

A total of 346 children (0.4%) developed type 1 diabetes after a mean of 12.3 years (range 0.7-16.0) of follow-up (Figure 1). The mean age at diagnosis was 7.5 years (range 0.7-15.0). We had information on islet autoantibodies (toward insulin, glutamic acid decarboxylase, and IA2) at diagnosis from 76% the children who developed type 1 diabetes, and 92% were positive for at least one islet autoantibody. The overall incidence rate of type 1 diabetes per 100,000 person-years was 32.6. Mean maternal gluten intake during pregnancy was 13.6 g/day (standard deviation 5.2, median 13.0 g/day) and the child's mean gluten intake at age 18 months was 8.8 g/day (standard deviation 3.6, median 8.2 g/day).

Gluten intake was lower in mothers who had a lower fibre and energy intake. Gluten intake was also lower in children of mothers who were younger, less educated and breastfed their child for a shorter duration (Supplementary Table 1). Children of mothers with a low gluten intake during pregnancy tended to have a lower gluten intake at 18 months, but the correlation was weak (Supplementary Figure 3).

Maternal gluten intake during pregnancy and risk of type 1 diabetes in the child Maternal gluten intake during pregnancy was not associated with risk of type 1 diabetes in the child (Table 2). For each 10 g/day increase of gluten intake the adjusted hazard ratio was 1.02 (95% confidence interval 0.73 - 1.43). While there was a tendency towards a non-linear association, with higher risk of type 1 diabetes in those with the next lowest and those with the next highest category of maternal gluten intake, the global likelihood ratio test did not support a significant non-linear association (p = 0.11).

Gluten intake by the child at age 18 months and subsequent risk of type 1 diabetes

The child's gluten intake at 18 months was significantly associated with increased risk of type

1 diabetes with a dose-response relationship (Table 2). For each 10 g/day increase of gluten

intake the adjusted hazard ratio was 1.46 (95% confidence interval 1.06 to 2.01).

While the child's gluten intake remained significantly associated with risk of type 1 diabetes

after adjustment for maternal gluten intake during pregnancy, the suggestive association with

maternal gluten intake in category 2 and 5 were blunted after adjustment for child's gluten

intake (Table 2).

Additional analyses

Analyses of those with complete covariate data showed similar results as in the main analyses

(Supplementary Table 3). We found no association between maternal dietary fibre intake or

gluten intake from refined grains during pregnancy and risk of type 1 diabetes in the child

(Supplementary table 4 and 5). In analyses with further adjustment for child coeliac disease

11

our results were essentially unchanged (Supplementary Table 6).

**DISCUSSION** 

In this first study with both maternal and child gluten intake as exposures, we found that the child's gluten intake at 18 months of age was associated with the risk of type 1 diabetes while maternal gluten intake during pregnancy was not.

Strengths and weaknesses

The main strengths of the study are the prospective design with recruitment in pregnancy, large sample size, and linkage to national registries with high level of ascertainment. Missing data on the child's gluten intake at 18 months of age was mainly due to loss of follow-up, which occurs in all cohorts based on voluntary participation and questionnaires. The similar risk of type 1 diabetes in those with or without complete data suggest that bias due to missing data was not a serious problem. Furthermore, multiple imputation analysis have further contributed to minimising any influence of bias due to missing data.(27)

The recently published Danish national prospective cohort study has been criticised for not including other dietary components related to gluten intake. (28) We included data on maternal dietary fibre intake and gluten intake from refined grains during pregnancy. We also adjusted for age at gluten introduction and infant weight gain. (29) We accounted for coeliac disease, both by excluding children of mothers with coeliac disease from the analyses and adjusting for child coeliac disease.

Our study is observational so we cannot exclude the possibility that unmeasured confounders have influenced the results. On the other hand, there are no established environmental aetiological factors that are obvious confounders.

We assessed gluten intake prospectively, but imprecisions in the estimations are likely, and we only had information regarding gluten intake for the mother in the first half of pregnancy and the child at 18 months age. Nevertheless, our estimated gluten amount was similar to that from other cohorts using similar or more detailed dietary assessment methods, both for adults (14) and children.(30-33) Uncertainty in assessment of gluten intake from questionnaires is unlikely to depend on future type 1 diabetes, and any bias is likely to be non-differential when we have accounted for coeliac disease in the child and in the mother. The large majority of type 1 diabetes cases were diagnosed long after 18 months, so the potential for reverse causation in our study is likely to be small.

Self-selection among pregnant women in recruitment and loss to follow-up could result in a non-representative analysis sample. MoBa participants and those who continue to participate differ from the background population by higher education and maternal age and lower frequency of daily smoking.(34) The selection has been shown to play a minor role in association studies in MoBa.(34) Adjusting for maternal education, age and smoking during pregnancy did not change our results substantially, suggesting that selection bias is unlikely to have had a major influence on our findings.

Comparison with previous studies

Our results on maternal gluten intake in pregnancy are not in line with those from the only previous study to assess this, (14) despite remarkable similarities in characteristics, maternal gluten intake and methodology. A possible explanation for the discrepancy could be that we were able to exclude participants based on maternal coeliac disease, while the other study did neither exclude nor adjust for this in their analyses. Two studies of high-risk children investigating maternal intake of gluten containing foods in pregnancy and development of

islet autoimmunity reported no significant association.(12, 13) Of note, both the exposure (intake of gluten containing cereals, rather than amount of gluten) and outcome was different from our study, and the number of children with outcome was small in these high-risk cohorts. A recent analysis of data on estimated amount of gluten intake in children from the high-risk cohort DAISY found no significant association between the child's gluten intake and progression from islet autoimmunity to type 1 diabetes.(35) Again, the apparent inconsistency with our result may have been due to studies investigating different outcomes (clinical type 1 diabetes vs progression) in a different population, or lack of power. Our results are probably generalizable to other industrialised countries, but may not be applicable to populations of non-European origin.

### Conclusions and policy implications

This study suggests that the child's gluten intake at 18 months of age, and not the maternal intake during pregnancy, could increase the risk of type 1 diabetes. The results from a similar Danish national prospective cohort study reporting that a high maternal gluten intake during pregnancy could increase the risk of type 1 diabetes in the child (14) was not replicated in our cohort, suggesting that there is no causality. This could also be the case for our finding of the child's gluten intake at 18 months as a possible risk factor for type 1 diabetes later in life, which needs to be replicated in other large cohorts or intervention studies.

The child's gluten intake at 18 months of age could possibly increase the risk of type 1 diabetes through several mechanisms related to the immune system.(3) It has been shown that increased gut permeability which facilitates for abnormal absorption of macromolecules is associated with type 1 diabetes, and detectable before clinical onset.(36) In a recently published study from The Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young (TEDDY)

cohort small changes in abundance of bacterial genera was found in cases with type 1 diabetes compared to controls, where controls had a higher abundance of bacterial genera that might be indicative of enhanced gut integrity.(37) The child's diet in early life is more important for microbiota development in the child than maternal diet during pregnancy, and our assessment of gluten intake at 18 months of age is in the transitional phase of the developing microbiome before the stable phase observed after 30 months of age.(37) The median age at seroconversion to islet autoimmunity preceding type 1 diabetes is around 24 months of age.(38)

Our observations may motivate future interventional studies with reduced gluten intake to establish whether there is a true causal association between amount of gluten intake in the child's early diet and type 1 diabetes in susceptible individuals. There is no current evidence for mothers to reduce gluten intake during pregnancy. However, ours and other currently available data should be interpreted with caution, given the few prospective studies in this field with conflicting results and a lack of randomised intervention studies.

In conclusion, we found that a higher intake of gluten in the child's diet at 18 months, but not in the maternal diet during pregnancy, was associated with an increased risk of type 1 diabetes in the child.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** 

We are grateful to all the participating families in Norway who take part in this on-going cohort study.

Contributors: LCS and KS conceptualised and designed the study, acquired pregnancy cohort data, and obtained funding. NAL, LCS and KS performed the literature search. GT, NAL, KS and LCS analysed the data and drafted the initial manuscript. ALB acquired dietary data from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study dietary database. NAL quantified gluten intake. TS, GJ and PRN acquired incident type 1 diabetes data. All authors interpreted the results, reviewed and revised the manuscript. LCS and KS are the guarantors and accepts full responsibility for the work and the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Copyright/license for publication: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above.

Funding: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research, NIH/NIEHS (contract no N01-ES-75558), NIH/NINDS (grant no.1 UO1 NS 047537-01 and grant no.2 UO1 NS 047537-06A1). The sub-study was funded by a research grant from the Research Council of Norway (grant 2210909/F20, to Lars C Stene). Nicolai A Lund-Blix was supported by a grant from Helse Sør-Øst, Norway. Ketil Størdal was supported by an unrestricted grant from Oak Foundation, Geneva, Switzerland. Pål R Njølstad was supported by grants from the Norwegian Research Council (#240413) and Helse Vest (Strategic Grant PERSON-MED-DIA, and #12270). The funders had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication. All researchers was independent from funders, and all authors had full access to the data and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Competing interests: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Ethical approval: The establishment and data collection in MoBa was previously based on a licence from the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and approval from The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, and it is now based on regulations related to the Norwegian Health Registry Act. All participants provided written informed consent. The present study was approved by The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics.

Data sharing: Codes for the statistical analyses are available upon request. Access to data can be obtained by sending an application to The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (E-mail: dataaccess@fhi.no, information website: https://www.fhi.no/en/op/data-access-from-health-registries-health-studies-and-biobanks/data-from-moba/moba-research-data-files/)

*Transparency declaration*: The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Patterson CC, Harjutsalo V, Rosenbauer J, Neu A, Cinek O, Skrivarhaug T, et al. Trends and cyclical variation in the incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes in 26 European centres in the 25 year period 1989-2013: a multicentre prospective registration study. Diabetologia. 2018.
- 2. Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS, Michels AW. Type 1 diabetes. Lancet. 2014;383(9911):69-82.
- 3. Antvorskov JC, Josefsen K, Engkilde K, Funda DP, Buschard K. Dietary gluten and the development of type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2014;57(9):1770-80.
- 4. Norris JM, Barriga K, Klingensmith G, Hoffman M, Eisenbarth GS, Erlich HA, et al. Timing of initial cereal exposure in infancy and risk of islet autoimmunity. JAMA. 2003;290(13):1713-20.
- 5. Ziegler AG, Schmid S, Huber D, Hummel M, Bonifacio E. Early infant feeding and risk of developing type 1 diabetes-associated autoantibodies. JAMA. 2003;290(13):1721-8.
- 6. Frederiksen B, Kroehl M, Lamb MM, Seifert J, Barriga K, Eisenbarth GS, et al. Infant exposures and development of type 1 diabetes mellitus: The Diabetes Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). JAMA Pediatr. 2013;167(9):808-15.
- 7. Lund-Blix NA, Stene LC, Rasmussen T, Torjesen PA, Andersen LF, Rønningen KS. Infant feeding in relation to islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes in genetically susceptible children: the MIDIA Study. Diabetes Care. 2015;38(2):257-63.
- 8. Chmiel R, Beyerlein A, Knopff A, Hummel S, Ziegler AG, Winkler C. Early infant feeding and risk of developing islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes. Acta Diabetol. 2015;52(3):621-4.
- 9. Hakola L, Takkinen HM, Niinistö S, Ahonen S, Nevalainen J, Veijola R, et al. Infant Feeding in Relation to the Risk of Advanced Islet Autoimmunity and Type 1 Diabetes in Children With Increased Genetic Susceptibility: A Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2018;187(1):34-44.
- 10. Uusitalo U, Lee HS, Andrén Aronsson C, Vehik K, Yang J, Hummel S, et al. Early Infant Diet and Islet Autoimmunity in the TEDDY Study. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(3):522-30.
- 11. Lund-Blix NA, Dydensborg Sander S, Størdal K, Nybo Andersen AM, Rønningen KS, Joner G, et al. Infant Feeding and Risk of Type 1 Diabetes in Two Large Scandinavian Birth Cohorts. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(7):920-7.
- 12. Lamb MM, Myers MA, Barriga K, Zimmet PZ, Rewers M, Norris JM. Maternal diet during pregnancy and islet autoimmunity in offspring. Pediatr Diabetes. 2008;9(2):135-41.
- 13. Virtanen SM, Uusitalo L, Kenward MG, Nevalainen J, Uusitalo U, Kronberg-Kippilä C, et al. Maternal food consumption during pregnancy and risk of advanced beta-cell autoimmunity in the offspring. Pediatr Diabetes. 2011;12(2):95-9.
- 14. Antvorskov JC, Halldorsson TI, Josefsen K, Svensson J, Granström C, Roep BO, et al. Association between maternal gluten intake and type 1 diabetes in offspring: national prospective cohort study in Denmark. BMJ. 2018;362:k3547.
- 15. Magnus P, Birke C, Vejrup K, Haugan A, Alsaker E, Daltveit AK, et al. Cohort Profile Update: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45(2):382-8.
- 16. Skrivarhaug T, Stene LC, Drivvoll AK, Strøm H, Joner G. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in Norway among children aged 0-14 years between 1989 and 2012: has the incidence stopped rising? Results from the Norwegian Childhood Diabetes Registry. Diabetologia. 2014;57(1):57-62.
- 17. Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M, Alexander J, Meltzer HM. Validity of a new food frequency questionnaire for pregnant women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Matern Child Nutr. 2008;4(1):28-43.
- 18. Norwegian Food Safety Authority, University of Oslo, Norwegian Directorate of Health. Weights, measures and portion sizes for foods. Oslo: Norwegian Food Safety Authority, University of Oslo and Norwegian Directorate of Health; 2015.
- 19. Norwegian Food Composition Database 2018 [Internet]. Norwegian Food Safety Authority. Available from: www.matvaretabellen.no.

- 20. Lebwohl B, Cao Y, Zong G, Hu FB, Green PHR, Neugut AI, et al. Long term gluten consumption in adults without celiac disease and risk of coronary heart disease: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2017;357:j1892.
- 21. Kasarda DD. Can an increase in celiac disease be attributed to an increase in the gluten content of wheat as a consequence of wheat breeding? J Agric Food Chem. 2013;61(6):1155-9.
- 22. van Overbeek FM, Uil-Dieterman IG, Mol IW, Köhler-Brands L, Heymans HS, Mulder CJ. The daily gluten intake in relatives of patients with coeliac disease compared with that of the general Dutch population. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 1997;9(11):1097-9.
- 23. Gellrich C, Schieberle P, Weiser H. Biochemical characterization and quantification of the storage protein (secalin) types in rye flour. Cereal Chem. 2003(80):102–9.
- 24. Ellis HJ, Freedman AR, Ciclitira PJ. Detection and estimation of the barley prolamin content of beer and malt to assess their suitability for patients with coeliac disease. Clin Chim Acta. 1990;189(2):123-30.
- 25. Mårild K, Kahrs CR, Tapia G, Stene LC, Størdal K. Infections and risk of celiac disease in childhood: a prospective nationwide cohort study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2015;110(10):1475-84.
- 26. Størdal K, Haugen M, Brantsæter AL, Lundin KE, Stene LC. Association between maternal iron supplementation during pregnancy and risk of celiac disease in children. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;12(4):624-31.e1-2.
- 27. Cummings P. Missing data and multiple imputation. JAMA Pediatr. 2013;167(7):656-61.
- 28. Reynolds AN, Mann J. Re: Association between maternal gluten intake and type 1 diabetes in offspring: national prospective cohort study in Denmark. BMJ [Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/362/bmj.k3547/rr-0.
- 29. Magnus MC, Olsen SF, Granström C, Joner G, Skrivarhaug T, Svensson J, et al. Infant Growth and Risk of Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes in Children From 2 Scandinavian Birth Cohorts. JAMA Pediatr. 2015;169(12):e153759.
- 30. Crespo-Escobar P, Mearin ML, Hervás D, Auricchio R, Castillejo G, Gyimesi J, et al. The role of gluten consumption at an early age in celiac disease development: a further analysis of the prospective PreventCD cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2017;105(4):890-6.
- 31. Vriezinga SL, Auricchio R, Bravi E, Castillejo G, Chmielewska A, Crespo Escobar P, et al. Randomized feeding intervention in infants at high risk for celiac disease. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(14):1304-15.
- 32. Aronsson CA, Lee HS, Koletzko S, Uusitalo U, Yang J, Virtanen SM, et al. Effects of Gluten Intake on Risk of Celiac Disease: A Case-Control Study on a Swedish Birth Cohort. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015.
- 33. Hoppe C, Trolle E, Gondolf UH, Husby S. Gluten intake in 6-36-month-old Danish infants and children based on a national survey. J Nutr Sci. 2013;2:e7.
- 34. Nilsen RM, Vollset SE, Gjessing HK, Skjærven R, Melve KK, Schreuder P, et al. Self-selection and bias in a large prospective pregnancy cohort in Norway. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2009;23(6):597-608.
- 35. Lund-Blix NA, Dong F, Mårild K, Barón AE, Seifert J, Waugh KC, et al. Gluten Intake and Risk of Islet Autoimmunity and Progression to Type 1 Diabetes in Children at Increased Risk of the Disease: The Diabetes Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). Diabetes Care. 2019;42(5):789-96.
- 36. Bosi E, Molteni L, Radaelli MG, Folini L, Fermo I, Bazzigaluppi E, et al. Increased intestinal permeability precedes clinical onset of type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2006;49(12):2824-7.
- 37. Stewart CJ, Ajami NJ, O'Brien JL, Hutchinson DS, Smith DP, Wong MC, et al. Temporal development of the gut microbiome in early childhood from the TEDDY study. Nature. 2018;562(7728):583-8.
- 38. Krischer JP, Lynch KF, Schatz DA, Ilonen J, Lernmark Å, Hagopian WA, et al. The 6 year incidence of diabetes-associated autoantibodies in genetically at-risk children: the TEDDY study. Diabetologia. 2015;58(5):980-7.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants included in the analysis of maternal gluten intake during pregnancy and risk of type 1 diabetes in the child

Gluten intake by percentile	All participants	<10%	10-20%	20-50%	50-80%	80-90%	>90%
median(range) in g/day	13.0 (0-62.5)	5.9 (0-7.6)	8.7 (7.6-9.5)	11.4 (9.5-13.0)	14.8 (13.0-17.3)	18.4 (17.3-20.1)	23.0 (20.1-62.5)
Maternal characteristics	n = 86306	n = 8518	n = 8616	n = 25962	n = 25928	n = 8653	n = 8629
Age in years, mean (SD) <25	30.2 (4.6) 9165 (10.6)	29.7 (4.9) 1293 (15.2)	, ,	30.4 (4.5) 2422 (9.3)	30.5 (4.4) 2267 (8.7)	30.2 (4.5) 924 (10.7)	29.6 (4.9) 1294 (15.0)
25-34	61 812 (71.6)	5818 (68.3)		18 797 (72.4)	18 844 (72.7)	6254 (72.3)	6008 (69.6)
≥35	15 329 (17.8)	1407 (16.5)		4743 (18.3)	4817 (18.6)	1475 (17.0)	1327 (15.4)
Pre-pregnancy BMI, mean (SD)	24.1 (4.3)	24.3 (4.5)	( . ,	24.2 (4.3)	24.0 (4.2)	23.7 (4.1)	23.9 (4.4)
<20	10 522 (12.2)	1068 (12.5)		2954 (11.4)	3133 (12.1)	1208 (14.0)	1214 (14.1)
20-25 25-29.9	47 032 (54.5)	4307 (50.6)		14 182 (54.6)	14 388 (55.5)	4838 (55.9)	4645 (53.8)
23-29.9 ≥30	18 475 (21.4) 8055 (9.3)	1936 (22.7) 916 (10.8)		5671 (21.8) 2500 (9.6)	5496 (21.2) 2289 (8.8)	1757 (20.3) 646 (7.5)	1710 (19.8) 808 (9.4)
Missing data	2222 (2.6)	291 (3.4)		655 (2.5)	622 (2.4)	204 (2.4)	252 (2.9)
Prematurity	5391 (6.2)	567 (6.7)		1587 (6.1)	1540 (5.9)	550 (6.4)	596 (6.9)
Missing data	61 (0.1)	6 (0.1)	7 (0.1)	22 (0.1)	16 (0.1)	3 (0.0)	7 (0.1)
Parity	20.540.(45.0)	15-1 (50.5)	4405 (40 5)		11 201 (12 2)	2070 (11.5)	2000 (45.0)
0	39 548 (45.8)	4561 (53.5)		11 744 (45.2)	11 201 (43.2)	3858 (44.6)	3988 (46.2)
1 >2	30 447 (35.3) 16 311 (18.9)	2667 (31.3) 1290 (15.1)		9339 (36.0) 4879 (18.8)	9561 (36.9) 5166 (19.9)	3085 (35.7) 1710 (19.8)	2910 (33.7) 1731 (20.1)
Smoking during pregnancy	10 311 (10.2)	1250 (13.1)	1333 (17.8)	4077 (10.0)	3100 (17.7)	1710 (17.8)	1731 (20.1)
No	78 332 (90.8)	7570 (88.9)	7780 (90.3)	23 814 (91.7)	23 769 (91.7)	7858 (90.8)	7541 (87.4)
Occasionally	1465 (1.7)	154 (1.8)	, ,	427 (1.6)	404 (1.6)	159 (1.8)	158 (1.8)
Yes	6044 (7.0)	745 (8.7)		1586 (6.1)	1615 (6.2)	602 (7.0)	877 (10.2)
Missing data	465 (0.5)	49 (0.6)	54 (0.6)	135 (0.5)	140 (0.5)	34 (0.4)	53 (0.6)
Maternal Education <12 years	31 116 (36.1)	3864 (45.4)	3331 (38.7)	8858 (34.1)	8247 (31.8)	3006 (34.7)	3810 (44.2)
12-15 years	34 982 (40.5)	2909 (34.2)		10 854 (41.8)	11 128 (42.9)	3537 (40.9)	3146 (36.5)
≥16 years	19 789 (22.9)	1672 (19.6)	, ,	6141 (23.7)	6442 (24.8)	2069 (23.9)	1619 (18.8)
Missing data	419 (0.5)	73 (0.9)		109 (0.4)	111 (0.4)	41 (0.5)	54 (0.6)
Breastfeeding duration	9.9 (4.5)	9.4 (4.6)	, ,	9.9 (4.5)	10.1 (4.5)	10.2 (4.5)	9.6 (4.6)
<6.0 months	12 701 (14.7)	1424 (16.7)		3825 (14.7)	3525 (13.6)	1139 (13.2)	1393 (16.1)
6.0-11.9 months	26 226 (30.4)	2453 (28.8)	` /	8136 (31.3)	7969 (30.7)	2630 (30.4)	2442 (28.3)
≥12 months  Missing data	24 304 (28.2) 23 075 (26.7)	1981 (23.3) 2660 (31.2)		7433 (28.6) 6568 (25.3)	7802 (30.1) 6632 (25.6)	2580 (29.8) 2304 (26.6)	2238 (25.9) 2556 (29.6)
Fibre intake(g), mean (SD)	31.2 (11.9)	19.4 (8.1)		27.6 (7.1)	33.1 (7.9)	38.7 (9.0)	48.4 (16.7)
<20th centile	15 776 (18.3)	6003 (70.5)		4842 (18.7)	845 (3.3)	56 (0.6)	14 (0.2)
20-40th centile	16 719 (19.4)	1318 (15.5)		8432 (32.5)	4098 (15.8)	360 (4.2)	80 (0.9)
40-60th centile	17 418 (20.2)	624 (7.3)	1209 (14.0)	6709 (25.8)	7358 (28.4)	1235 (14.3)	283 (3.3)
60-80th centile	17 931 (20.8)	325 (3.8)		4201 (16.2)	8328 (32.1)	3099 (35.8)	1345 (15.6)
80-100th centile	18 461 (21.4)	248 (2.9)	327 (3.8)	1778 (6.8)	5299 (20.4)	3903 (45.1)	6906 (80.0)
Missing data Energy intake (MJ), mean (SD)	1 (0.0) 9.8 (3.2)	6.9 (2.0)	7.9 (1.8)	8.9 (1.9)	10.3 (2.2)	11.6 (2.5)	1 (0.0)
<20th centile	15 744 (18.2)	5699 (66.9)	, ,	5060 (19.5)	1205 (4.6)	70 (0.8)	9 (0.1)
20-40th centile	16 886 (19.6)	1527 (17.9)		7694 (29.6)	4408 (17.0)	517 (6.0)	108 (1.3)
40-60th centile	17 420 (20.2)	703 (8.3)		6636 (25.6)	6833 (26.4)	1468 (17.0)	471 (5.5)
60-80th centile	17 883 (20.7)	369 (4.3)		4541 (17.5)	7804 (30.1)	2828 (32.7)	1642 (19.0)
80-100th centile	18 372 (21.3)	220 (2.6)	275 (3.2)	2031 (7.8)	5678 (21.9)	3770 (43.6)	6398 (74.1)
Missing data Offenring characteristics	1 (0.0)	-	-	-	-	-	1 (0.0)
Offspring characteristics Caesarean section	13 001 (15.1)	1450 (17.0)	1401 (16.3)	3863 (14.9)	3730 (14.4)	1225 (14.2)	1332 (15.4)
Type 1 Diabetes	346 (0.4)	23 (0.3)		102 (0.4)	99 (0.4)	42 (0.5)	35 (0.4)
Female	42 179 (48.9)	4189 (49.2)		12 749 (49.1)	12 715 (49.0)	4211 (48.7)	4114 (47.7)
Birthweight (g), mean (SD)	3561 (587)	3514 (593)		3561 (585)	3580 (583)	3571 (585)	3557 (598)
<2500	3585 (4.2)	403 (4.7)	, ,	1108 (4.3)	965 (3.7)	341 (3.9)	390 (4.5)
2500-3499	33 185 (38.5)	3538 (41.5)		9934 (38.3)	9731 (37.5)	3267 (37.8)	3314 (38.4)
3500-4499 >4500	45 932 (53.2)	4261 (50.0)		13 860 (53.4)	14 067 (54.3)	4690 (54.2)	4525 (52.4)
≥4500 Missing data	3598 (4.2) 6 (0.0)	315 (3.7) 1 (0.0)		1058 (4.1) 2 (0.0)	1162 (4.5) 3 (0.0)	355 (4.1)	400 (4.6)
Age at gluten introduction	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	<u>-</u>	2 (0.0)	3 (0.0)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> _
<4.0 months	515 (0.6)	70 (0.8)	52 (0.6)	135 (0.5)	129 (0.5)	46 (0.5)	83 (1.0)
4.0-5.9 months	16 603 (19.2)	1575 (18.5)		4950 (19.1)	4974 (19.2)	1710 (19.8)	1737 (20.1)
≥6 months	59 347 (68.8)	5614 (65.9)		18 193 (70.1)	18 061 (69.7)	5935 (68.6)	5661 (65.6)
Missing data	9841 (11.4)	1259 (14.8)	1024 (11.9)	2684 (10.3)	2764 (10.7)	962 (11.1)	1148 (13.3)
Coeliac disease diagnosis	828 (1.0)	70 (0.8)	` /	253 (1.0)	269 (1.0)	83 (1.0)	69 (0.8)
Missing data Weight Gain (kg) 0-12 months,	153 (0.2) 6.4 (1.05)	16 (0.2) 6.4 (1.07)		55 (0.2) 6.4 (1.04)	33 (0.1) 6.4 (1.05)	12 (0.1) 6.3 (1.06)	15 (0.2) 6.4 (1.06)
mean (SD)	0.4 (1.03)	0.4 (1.07)	0.4 (1.07)	0.4 (1.04)	0.4 (1.03)	0.5 (1.00)	0.4 (1.00)
Missing data	28 829 (33.4)	3231 (37.9)	2923 (33.9)	8238 (31.7)	8298 (32.0)	2911 (33.6)	3228 (37.4)

Table 2. Association between maternal gluten intake during pregnancy ( $n = 86\,306$ ) or the child's intake at 18 months ( $n = 66\,725$ ) and the risk of type 1 diabetes in the child

Gluten intake	Cases	Incidence rate (per 100 000)	Hazard ratio (95% CI) of type 1 diabetes				
		_	Unadjusted	Adjusted model 1*	Adjusted model 2† (primary model)		
Maternal/ Pregnancy							
Continuous, per 10 g/day increase	346	36.6	1.04 (0.86 - 1.27)	1.02 (0.81 - 1.30)	1.02 (0.73 - 1.43)		
By category			<b>5</b> . 0	T. 0	- a		
<7.6 g/day	23	22.3	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.		
7.6-9.5 g/day	45	42.6	1.90 (1.13 - 3.18)	1.90 (1.13 - 3.20)	1.71 (0.94 - 3.09)		
9.5-13.0 g/day	102	32.0	1.42 (0.89 - 2.27)	1.44 (0.90 - 2.31)	1.37 (0.79 - 2.37)		
13.0-17.3 g/day	99	31.1	1.39 (0.87 - 2.22)	1.39 (0.84 - 2.29)	1.23 (0.67 - 2.26)		
17.3-20.1 g/day	42	39.5	1.76 (1.04 - 2.96)	1.81 (1.04 - 3.16)	1.50 (0.75 - 2.98)		
>20.1 g/day	55	32.7	1.45 (0.85 - 2.49)	1.44 (0.78 - 2.63)	1.60 (0.75 - 3.39)		
P <sub>trend</sub>			0.43	0.45	0.53		
Child/							
18 Months of life							
Continuous,	262	35.9	1.44 (1.05 - 1.98)	1.44 (1.05 - 1.98)	1.46 (1.06 - 2.01)		
per 10 g/day							
increase	٠						
By category							
<4.8 g/day	19	26.3	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.		
4.8-5.8 g/day	25	34.3	1.32 (0.72 - 2.44)	1.32 (0.72 - 2.43)	1.30 (0.71 - 2.40)		
5.8-8.2 g/day	77	34.9	1.35 (0.81 - 2.26)	1.34 (0.80 - 2.25)	1.33 (0.80 - 2.23)		
8.2-11.4 g/day	76	34.7	1.25 (0.74 - 2.10)	1.24 (0.74 - 2.10)	1.24 (0.74 - 2.09)		
11.4-13.5 g/day	30	41.2	1.61 (0.89 - 2.90)	1.60 (0.89 - 2.89)	1.61 (0.89 - 2.91)		
>13.5 g/day	35	48.2	1.84 (1.04 - 3.27)	1.85 (1.04 - 3.28)	1.86 (1.05 - 3.30)		
P <sub>trend</sub>		1 1/1/	0.05	0.05	0.04		

<sup>\*</sup> Model 1 (as in Antvorskov et al.(14)): Adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnant maternal body mass index, parity, smoking during pregnancy, education, caesarean section, breastfeeding, sex and energy intake.

<sup>†</sup> Model 2 (primary model): As model 1 with additional adjustment for birthweight, age at gluten introduction, prematurity, fibre intake, weight gain 0-12 months and child's or mothers gluten intake (mutually adjusted exposures).

# FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. Flow-chart of the study cohort.** \* The food frequency questionnaire was introduced in 2002 (response rate 92%). † In the analysis including both pregnancy and childhood gluten intake, there was 62 900 participants with valid data (255 of these were type 1 diabetes cases). ‡ Nine cases where excluded from the analyses due to having the outcome type 1 diabetes prior to the exposure measurement at 18 months.

