

1 **Deep learning-based model for detecting 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia on**

2 **high-resolution computed tomography: a prospective study**

3

4 Jun Chen[#], Lianlian Wu[#], Jun Zhang[#], Liang Zhang, Dexin Gong, Yilin Zhao, Shan Hu,

5 Yonggui Wang, Xiao Hu, Biqing Zheng, Kuo Zhang, Huiling Wu, Zehua Dong, Youming Xu,

6 Yijie Zhu, Xi Chen, Lilei Yu^{*}, Honggang Yu^{*}

7 Department of Internal Medicine, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China (L Wu

8 MD, J Zhang MD, D Gong MD, H Wu MD, Z Dong MD, Y Xu MD, Y Zhu MD, X Chen MM,

9 Prof L Yu MD, Prof H Yu MD)

10 Department of Radiology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China (J Chen MD, L

11 Zhang MM, Y Zhao MM)

12 Wuhan EndoAngel Medical Technology Company, Wuhan, China (S Hu PhD, X Hu BE, B Zheng

13 ME, Kuo Zhang ME)

14 Hubei Provincial Clinical Research Center for Digestive Disease Minimally Invasive Incision,

15 Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China (L Wu MD, J Zhang MD, D Gong MD, H

16 Wu MD, Z Dong MD, Y Xu MD, Y Zhu MD, X Chen MM, Prof H Yu MD)

17 Key Laboratory of Hubei Province for Digestive System Disease, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan

18 University, Wuhan, China (L Wu MD, J Zhang MD, D Gong MD, H Wu MD, Z Dong MD, Y Xu

19 MD, Y Zhu MD, X Chen MM, Prof H Yu MD)

20 Hubei Key Laboratory of Critical Zone Evolution, School of Geography and Information

21 Engineering, China University of Geosciences (Y Wang PhD)

22

23 *Contributed equally and are joint first authors.

24 Correspondence to:

25 Prof Honggang Yu, Department of Internal Medicine, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. 99

26 Zhangzhidong Road, Wuhan 430060, Hubei Province, China. Tel: +86 13871281899, Fax:

27 027-88042292, E-mail: yuhonggang1968@163.com.

28 Prof Lilei Yu, Department of Internal Medicine, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. 99

29 Zhangzhidong Road, Wuhan 430060, Hubei Province, China. Tel: +86 15827537180, Fax:

30 027-88042292, E-mail: lileiyu@whu.edu.cn.

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 **Abstract**

46 **Background:** Computed tomography (CT) is the preferred imaging method for diagnosing 2019
47 novel coronavirus (COVID19) pneumonia. Our research aimed to construct a system based on
48 deep learning for detecting COVID-19 pneumonia on high resolution CT, relieve working
49 pressure of radiologists and contribute to the control of the epidemic.

50 **Methods:** For model development and validation, 46,096 anonymous images from 106 admitted
51 patients, including 51 patients of laboratory confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia and 55 control
52 patients of other diseases in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University (Wuhan, Hubei province,
53 China) were retrospectively collected and processed. Twenty-seven consecutive patients
54 undergoing CT scans in Feb, 5, 2020 in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University were prospectively
55 collected to evaluate and compare the efficiency of radiologists against 2019-CoV pneumonia
56 with that of the model.

57 **Findings:** The model achieved a per-patient sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 93.55%, accuracy
58 of 95.24%, PPV of 84.62%, and NPV of 100%; a per-image sensitivity of 94.34%, specificity of
59 99.16%, accuracy of 98.85%, PPV of 88.37%, and NPV of 99.61% in retrospective dataset. For 27
60 prospective patients, the model achieved a comparable performance to that of expert radiologist.
61 With the assistance of the model, the reading time of radiologists was greatly decreased by 65%.

62 **Conclusion:** The deep learning model showed a comparable performance with expert radiologist,
63 and greatly improve the efficiency of radiologists in clinical practice. It holds great potential to
64 relieve the pressure of frontline radiologists, improve early diagnosis, isolation and treatment, and
65 thus contribute to the control of the epidemic.

66 **Keywords:** 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia, Deep learning, Computed tomography

67 **Introduction**

68 In December 2019, a new coronavirus infection disease (hereinafter referred to as COVID-19) was
69 first reported in Wuhan. Subsequently, the outbreak began to spread widely in China and even
70 abroad.[1-3]

71 The clinical manifestations of the COVID-19 pneumonia is complicated and could be
72 characterized as fever, cough, myalgia, headache, and gastrointestinal symptoms onset.[4]

73 Although the nucleic acid detection was considered determinant for identifying the COVID-19
74 infection and more rapid detection kit for the novel coronavirus has come into mass production,
75 computed tomography (CT) scan is still the most efficient modality for detecting and evaluating
76 the severity of pneumonia.[5] An update series demonstrate that CT findings were positive in all
77 140 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients, even in the early stage.[4,6] In the fifth version of
78 diagnostic manual of COVID-19 launched by the National Health and Health Commission of
79 China, the radiographic characteristics of pneumonia was included the clinical diagnostic standard
80 in Hubei Province.[7] Subsequently, 14,840 new cases of COVID-19 were reported within one
81 day on Feb 13, 2020 in Wuhan, including 13332 cases of clinical diagnoses.[8] This highlighted
82 the importance of CT in the diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia.

83 Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19, thousands of patients waited in line for CT
84 examination in the designated fever outpatient hospital at Wuhan and other cities. As of Feb 14,
85 there are 5,534 suspected cases, 38,107 confirmed patients receiving treatment in hospital, and
86 77,323 cases under medical observation in Hubei province.[9] Most of them need to undergo CT
87 examination, however, there are less than 4,500 radiologists in cities of Hubei according to the
88 China Health Statistical Yearbook (2018).[10] Meanwhile, because the lung infection foci are

89 small in the early stage of the COVID-19 infection, thinner layer (2.5mm, 1.25mm or even
90 0.625mm) scanning were usually needed instead of conventional CT scan (5 mm) for diagnosis,
91 which would be more time-consuming. All these made radiologists overloaded, delay the
92 diagnosis and isolation of patients, affect patient's treatment and prognosis, and ultimately, affect
93 the control of COVID-19 epidemic.

94 Deep learning, an important breakthrough in the domain of AI in the past decade, has huge
95 potential at extracting tiny features in image analysis.[11] Our group also succeeded in recruiting
96 this technique in minor lesion detection and real-time assistance to doctors in gastrointestinal
97 endoscopy.[12-16]

98 In the present research, we construct and validate a system based on deep learning for
99 identification of viral pneumonia on CT. Our model has comparable performance with expert
100 radiologist, but take much less time.

101

102 **Method**

103 **Patients**

104 We first retrospectively collected 46,096 anonymous images from 106 admitted patients, including
105 51 patients of laboratory confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia and 55 control patients of other
106 diseases in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University (Wuhan, Hubei province, China) for model
107 development. The patients' CT scan images and reports, history, clinical manifestations, physical
108 findings, and viral pathogen results were all collected. For prospective patients, 27 consecutive
109 patients undergoing CT scans were enrolled in the designated CT rooms in Feb 5, 2020 in Renmin
110 Hospital of Wuhan University.

111 This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University.
112 Written informed consent was provided by all prospective participants. Because of virus
113 contamination, the signed informed consents were carefully sealed and kept in the specific place
114 according to the Law of the People's Republic of China on Infectious Disease Prevention and
115 Control.[17] For patients whose CT scans were stored in the retrospective databases, informed
116 consent was waived by the Ethics Committee.

117

118 **Diagnostic testing for COVID-19**

119 Patient's respiratory secretions were collected and transferred to a sterile test tube with a virus
120 transport medium. Fluorescent RT-PCR analysis of samples was performed using the COVID-19
121 nucleic acid detection kit developed by Shanghai GeneoDx Biotechnology Co., Ltd. This detection
122 kit was approved by the US National Drug Administration (NMPA) on January 26, 2019 and
123 recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).[18] The rapid,
124 high-precision COVID-19 detection kit greatly accelerated the confirmation of human COVID-19
125 infection.

126

127 **Datasets**

128 As shown in **Figure 1**, a total of 46,096 CT scan images from 51 COVID-19 pneumonia patients
129 and 55 control patients of other disease were collected for developing the model to detect
130 COVID-19 pneumonia. After filtering those images without good lung fields, 35355 images were
131 selected and split into training and retrospectively testing datasets. Enrolled images in training
132 dataset covered almost all common CT features of COVID19 pneumonia, as presented in **Figure 2**.

133 Three radiologists with more than 5 years of clinical experience labelled infection lesions of
134 COVID-19 pneumonia patients in training dataset, and selected images containing COVID19
135 pneumonia lesions in testing set, and their labels were combined by consensus. For prospectively
136 testing the model, 13,911 images of 27 consecutive patients undergoing CT scans in Feb 5, 2020
137 in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University were further collected. All CT scans were obtained in
138 Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. The instruments used in this study included Optima CT680,
139 Revolution CT and Bright Speed CT scanner (all GE Healthcare).

140

141 **Training algorithm**

142 UNet++, a novel and powerful architecture for medical image segmentation was implemented to
143 develop the model.[19,20] We first trained UNet++ to extract valid areas in CT images using 289
144 randomly selected CT images and tested it in other 600 randomly selected CT images. The
145 training images were labelled with the smallest rectangle containing all valid areas by researchers.
146 The model successfully extracted valid areas in 600 images in testing set with an accuracy of
147 100%. For detecting suspicious lesions on CT scans, 691 images of COVID-19 pneumonia
148 infection lesions labelled by radiologists and 300 images randomly selected from patients of
149 non-COVID-19 pneumonia were used. Taking the raw CT scan images as input with a resolution
150 of 512×512, and the labelled map from the expert as output, UNet++ was used to train in Keras in
151 an image-to-image manner. The suspicious region was predicted under a confidence cutoff value
152 of 0.50, and a prediction box pixel of over 25. The training curves of UNet++ for extracting valid
153 areas and detecting suspicious lesions in CT images were shown in **Supplementary Figure 1** and
154 **Supplementary Figure 2**, respectively. The prediction schematic of the model was shown in

155 **Figure 3.** Raw images were firstly input into the model, and after processing of the model,
156 prediction boxes framing suspicious lesions were output. Valid areas were further extracted and
157 unnecessary fields were filter out to avoid possible false positives. To predict by case, a logic
158 linking the prediction results of consecutive images was added. CT images with the above
159 prediction results were divided into four quadrants, and results would be output only when three
160 consecutive images were predicted to have lesions in the same quadrant.

161

162 **Testing of the model in retrospective data**

163 To evaluate the performance of the model on CT scan images, five metrics including the accuracy,
164 sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were calculated as follows: accuracy = true predictions/total
165 number of cases, sensitivity = true positive/positive, specificity = true negative/negative, positive
166 prediction value (PPV) = true positive/(true positive + false positive), negative prediction value
167 (NPV) = true negative/(true negative + false negative). The “true positive” is the number of
168 correctly predicted COVID-19 pneumonia cases/images, “false positive” is the number of
169 mistakenly predicted COVID-19 pneumonia cases/images, “positive” is the number of
170 cases/images of COVID-19 pneumonia patients, “true negative” is the number of correctly
171 predicted non-COVID-19 pneumonia cases/images, “false negative” is the number of mistakenly
172 predicted non-COVID-19 pneumonia cases/images and ‘negative’ is the number of
173 non-COVID-19 pneumonia cases/images enrolled. For image-based metrics, 636 images
174 containing infection lesions identified by radiologists among 11 patients of COVID-19 pneumonia
175 were used as the positive sample, and 9369 CT scan images from 31 patients of non-COVID-19
176 pneumonia were used as the negative sample.

177 **Evaluating the efficiency of radiologist in traditional way**

178 To evaluate the performance and cost of time of radiologist against 2019-CoV pneumonia,
179 prospectively consecutive patients undergoing CT scans were enrolled in the designated CT rooms
180 in Feb 5, 2020 in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. An expert radiologist was required to
181 read all CT images of enrolled patients using the working computer, and determine if each patient
182 has viral pneumonia. The research assistant used a stopwatch to record the expert's reading time.
183 The expert radiologist was associate chief physician of the Radiology Department of Renmin
184 Hospital of Wuhan University, with clinical experience of 30 years, and independently diagnosed
185 about 300 viral pneumonia. Hospitalized viral pneumonia cases judged by radiologists were all
186 diagnosed using COVID-19 nucleic acid detection kit to confirm COVID-19 infection. The
187 computed radiography imaging system used by the radiologist was VisionPACS (Intechhosun,
188 Beijing, China).

189

190 **Comparison between the model and radiologist in prospective data**

191 The CT scan images of the prospective patients as above were collected and imported into the
192 model for prediction. The model's performance and cost of time were compared with that of the
193 expert radiologist. Inconsistent results between the expert and model were reviewed by three
194 radiologists, including the expert and other two radiologists, senior staff members of the
195 Radiology Department of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, with clinical experience about
196 10 years, and independently diagnosed about 150 viral pneumonia.

197

198 **Evaluating the efficiency of radiologist with the assistance of AI**

199 To evaluate the performance and cost of time of radiologist against 2019-CoV pneumonia with the
200 assistance of our model, the prediction results of the model (whether a patient has viral pneumonia,
201 and labels marking lesions) were copied to the working computer in the designated CT rooms.
202 After 10 days of wash out period (in Feb 16, 2020), the same expert radiologist was required to
203 re-read all CT images of 27 prospective patients using the working computer where results of the
204 model could be viewed, and determine if each patient has viral pneumonia. The research assistant
205 used a stopwatch to record the expert's reading time again. Hospitalized viral pneumonia cases
206 judged by radiologists were all diagnosed using COVID-19 nucleic acid detection kit to confirm
207 COVID-19 infection. The computed radiography imaging system used by the radiologist was
208 VisionPACS (Intechhosun, Beijing, China).

209

210 **Statistical analysis**

211 A two-tailed paired Student's t test with a significance level of 0.05 was used to compare
212 differences in the cost time of the model and radiologist.

213

214 **Role of the funding sources**

215 The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data
216 interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in
217 the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

218

219 **RESULTS**

220 **Patients**

221 The baseline characteristics and CT findings of 51 patients of 2019-CoV pneumonia and 55
 222 control patients in retrospective dataset were shown in **Table 1** and **Table 2**, respectively. Baseline
 223 characteristics were comparable between training and testing datasets. The 31 control patients in
 224 retrospective testing dataset include 2 lung cancer, 4 tuberculosis, 2 bronchiectasis, 2 nonviral
 225 pneumonia, 1 lung bullae and 20 with no obvious finding in CT scan.

226

227 **Table 1.** Clinical characteristics of enrolled patients of COVID19 pneumonia

	All patients (n=51)	Training set (n=40)	Testing set (n=11)
Age, years, median (IQR)	52 (38, 69)	54.5 (41.5, 71.25)	42 (34.5, 65.5)
Sex, n (%)			
Men	18 (35.3)	11 (27.5)	7 (63.6)
Women	33 (64.7)	29 (72.5)	4 (36.4)
Presenting symptoms and signs onset, n (%)			
fever	28 (54.9)	21 (52.5)	7 (63.6)
cough	27 (52.9)	20 (50)	7 (63.6)
Chest tightness or pain	7 (13.7)	6 (15)	1 (9.1)
dyspnea	6 (11.8)	6 (15)	0
Muscle soreness	10 (19.6)	8 (20)	2 (18.2)
Expectoration	12 (23.5)	10 (25)	2 (18.2)
Headache	3 (5.9)	2 (5)	1 (9.1)
Digestive symptoms	6 (11.8)	6 (15)	0
CT findings, n (%)			
Unilateral pneumonia	18 (35.3)	13 (30)	5 (45.5)
bilateral pneumonia	33 (64.7)	27 (70)	6 (54.5)
Multiple mottling and ground-glass opacity	16 (31.4)	13 (32.5)	3 (27.3)

228

229

230

231

232

233 **Table 2.** Clinical characteristics of enrolled control patients.

	All patients (n=55)	Training set (n=24)	Testing set (n=31)
Age, years, median (IQR)	48(34.5, 55)	50.5 (38.25, 55.25)	47 (34.5, 54.5)
Sex, n (%)			
Men	31 (56.36)	12 (50)	19 (61.29)
Women	24 (43.64)	12 (50)	12 (38.71)
CT examination indications, n (%)			
Viral pneumonia to be discharged	7 (12.73)	0 (0)	1 (3.23)
Pulmonary bullae to be discharged	2 (3.64)	2 (8.33)	0 (0)
Tuberculosis	1 (1.82)	1 (4.17)	0 (0)
Lower respiratory infection	3 (5.45)	1 (4.17)	2 (6.45)
Metastatic lung tumor to be discharged	7 (12.7)	5 (20.83)	2 (6.45)
Routine examination before admission	41 (74.55)	15 (62.5)	26 (83.87)
CT findings, n (%)			
No obvious abnormality	44 (80)	24	20 (64.52)
Tuberculous lesion	4 (7.27)	--	4 (12.90)
Suspected neoplastic lesions	2 (3.64)	--	2 (6.45)
Inflammatory lesions (non-viral)	2 (3.64)	--	2 (6.45)
Bronchiectasia	2 (3.64)	--	2 (6.45)
Bullae of lung	1 (1.82)	--	1 (3.23)

234

235 **The performance of the model on retrospective dataset**

236 Among 4382 CT images from 11 patients of COVID-19 pneumonia and 9369 images from 31
 237 control patients, the model correctly diagnosed the patients with a per-patient sensitivity of 100%,
 238 specificity of 93.55%, accuracy of 95.24%, PPV of 84.62%, and NPV of 100%. A per-image
 239 sensitivity of 94.34%, specificity of 99.16%, accuracy of 98.85%, PPV of 88.37%, and NPV of
 240 99.61%. Representative images predicted by the model were shown in **Figure 4**.

241

242 **The performance of the model in consecutive prospective patients**

243 Twenty-seven patients were enrolled in the prospective dataset. Sixteen (59.26%) patients were

244 diagnosed as viral pneumonia by the expert radiologist, and the other eleven patients were not.
 245 Two other radiologists reviewed the CT imaging, approved the expert's results, and summarized
 246 that the CT characteristics of the 11 patients not diagnosed by the expert include 5 ground glass
 247 nodules, 3 diminutive nodules, 2 normal and 1 fibrosclerosis.

248 The model successfully detected all the 16 patients of viral pneumonia diagnosed by the
 249 expert. Among the other 11 patients, 2 were also detected by the model. The predictions in one
 250 case was fibrosclerosis lesion, and the other one was normal stomach bubble. Using results of the
 251 radiologists as the gold standard, the model achieved a per-patient sensitivity of 100%, accuracy
 252 of 92.59%, specificity of 81.82%, PPV of 88.89% and NPV of 100% in the 27 prospective patients.
 253 Among the 16 patients diagnosed as viral pneumonia by radiologists, 8 admitted patients were
 254 confirmed as COVID-19 infection, and the others were outpatients that difficult to follow nucleic
 255 acid results. The average prediction time for model was 41.34s per patient (IQR 39.76-44.48). The
 256 performance of the model on detecting COVID-19 pneumonia was shown in **Table 3**.

257
 258 **Table 3.** The performance of the deep learning model on both retrospective and prospective
 259 dataset

	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	PPV	NPV
Retrospective testing					
Per patient	100%	93.55%	95.24%	84.62%	100%
Per image	94.34%	99.16%	98.85%	88.37%	99.61%
Prospective testing (Per patient)	100%	81.82%	92.59%	88.89%	100%

260 *PPV: positive prediction value, NPV, negative prediction value.

261

262 **Comparison between the efficiency of radiologist with or without the assistance of AI**

263 In the first time the expert radiologist read CT scan images of the 27 prospective patients, the

264 average reading time for him to determine whether each patient has viral pneumonia was 116.12s
265 per case (IQR 85.69-118.17). After 10 days of wash out period, the same expert radiologist re-read
266 the CT images of the 27 prospective patients with the assistance of the AI model. The results for
267 determining whether each patient has viral pneumonia were not changed, while the average
268 reading time of the expert was greatly decreased by 65%. This indicates that the efficiency of
269 radiologist could be greatly improved with the assistance of AI.

270 A website has been made available to provide free access to the present model
271 (<http://121.40.75.149/znyx-ncov/index>) (Figure 5). CT scan images could be uploaded by both
272 clinicians and researches as a second opinion consulting service, especially in other provinces or
273 countries unfamiliar with the radiologic characteristics of COVID-19. Cases of COVID-19
274 pneumonia were also been made available on the open-access website, which might be a useful
275 resource for radiologists and researchers for fighting COVID-19 pneumonia.

276

277 **DISCUSSION**

278 As of Feb 14, 2020, the national health commission had reported 66,492 confirmed cases,
279 1,523 deaths and 8,969 suspected cases.[21] In the face of such large number of patients and high
280 contagiousity of the novel coronavirus (with an estimated reproduction number R_0 of 2.2~6.47),
281 timely diagnosis and isolation are the keys to prevent further spread of the virus.[22-26] CT scan
282 is the most efficient modality for screening and clinically diagnosing COVID-19 pneumonia.[5,7]
283 However, compared to the needs of the patients, the number of radiologists is quite small,
284 especially in Hubei province, China, which could greatly delay the diagnosis and isolation of
285 patients, affect patient's treatment and prognosis, and ultimately, affect the overall control of

286 COVID-19 epidemic.

287 Deep learning, a technology has shown great performance on extracting tiny features in
288 radiology data, may hold the promise to alleviate this problem.[11] Recently, Ardila D, et al
289 achieved end-to-end lung cancer screening on low-dose chest CT with an AUC of 94.4%.[27]
290 Chae KJ, et al successfully used the convolutional neural network to classify small (≤ 2 cm)
291 pulmonary nodules on CT scan images.[28] However, there was rare research being conducted to
292 detect viral pneumonia.[11,27,28] In previous work, our group succeeded in recruiting deep
293 learning in minor lesion detection and real-time assistance to doctors in gastrointestinal
294 endoscopy.[12-16] Here, we enrolled this technique in identification of COVID-19 pneumonia in
295 CT images. Results from both retrospective and prospective patients showed that the model was
296 comparable to the level of expert radiologist, and hold great potential to reduce diagnosing time.
297 **(Figure 6)**

298 Early diagnosis and early isolation of suspected patients are the most important ways to
299 prevent the spread of epidemic.¹⁹ Due to the sudden outbreak of COVID19, the radiology
300 department is overloaded and patients have to wait for long times for chest CT scan, which largely
301 increase the risk of cross-infection. In recent days, radiologists' daily workload is huge in Hubei
302 province, and a CT scan report has to be awaited several hours to achieve. Based on the number of
303 suspected patients and close contacts in being, radiologists in the hardest hit, Hubei province,
304 China, may not be enough to resist the rapid spread of the virus, which holds high estimated R0 of
305 2.2~6.47.[23-26] It could be inferred that before radiologists fulfilling the demands of existing
306 patients, newly infected cases would appear, and the overall burden of radiologists is more
307 overwhelming like a growing snowball. Relieving the pressure of radiologists is essential for the

308 control of virus spreading. In the present study, our model achieved a comparable performance but
309 with much shorter time compared with expert radiologists. It holds great potential to relieve the
310 pressure of radiologists in clinical practice, and contribute to the control of the epidemic.

311 Timely diagnosis and early treatment of infected patients is important for patients'
312 prognosis.[29] The fatality rate of COVID19 patients in Hubei province is significantly higher
313 than that of other regions, which probably due to delayed treatment and shortage of medical
314 resources.[8,30] Accelerating diagnosis efficiency is significant for improving patient outcomes.
315 In the present study, our model helped expert radiologists achieve the same work with much
316 shorter time, which greatly accelerats the efficiency of diagnosis in clinical practice, and may
317 contribute to the improvement of patient outcome.

318 In addition to relieving radiologists' pressure and accelerating diagnosis efficiency, artificial
319 intelligence also holds the potential to reduce miss diagnosis of COVID-19 patients. The lung
320 infection foci are sometimes mild in the early stage of the COVID-19 infection,⁵ and requires
321 careful observation under 0.625mm layer scanning. Radiologists vary in skills, and could be
322 affected by subjective status and outside pressure. One miss diagnosis could lead to multiple
323 spread. The model is highly sensitive and stable, and would never be affected by work burden and
324 work time. As a preliminary screening tool, it might help radiologists improve the sensitivity and
325 reduce miss diagnosis.

326 On the basis of the accuracy and efficiency of the model in detecting COVID-19 pneumonia,
327 a cloud-based open-access artificial intelligence platform was constructed to provide assistance for
328 detecting COVID-19 pneumonia worldwide. CT scan images could be uploaded freely by both
329 clinicians and researches as an assistant tool, especially in other provinces or countries unfamiliar

330 with the radiologic characteristics of COVID-19. This free open-access website can read images in
331 batches, provide high-level auxiliary diagnostic services for different hospitals in free, and expand
332 the boundaries of regions and manpower. Cases of COVID-19 pneumonia were also been made
333 available on the open-access website, which might be a useful resource for radiologists and
334 researchers for fighting COVID-19 pneumonia.

335 In summary, the deep learning-based model achieved a comparable performance with expert
336 radiologist using much shorter time. It holds great potential to improve the efficiency of diagnosis,
337 relieve the pressure of frontline radiologists, accelerates the diagnosis, isolation and treatment of
338 COVID19 patients, and therefore contribute to the control of the epidemic.

339

340 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

341 YH and YL conceived and supervised the overall study. WL and GD contributed to writing of the
342 manuscript. YH, YL, HS and WY contributed to critical revision of the report. CJ, ZL, ZJ, GD,
343 WH, DZ, XY, ZY and CX contributed to collecting and analyzing the data of patients. CJ, ZL and
344 ZY contributed to label CT images. HS, HX, ZB, ZK and WY developed the system. All authors
345 reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

346

347 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

348 Wuhan EndoAngel Medical Technology Company collaborated in this study. Shan Hu, Xiao Hu,
349 Biqing Zheng, Kuo Zhang are research staffs of Wuhan EndoAngel Medical Technology
350 Company. All authors declared no conflict of interest.

351

352 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

353 This work was partly supported by the grant from Novel Pneumonia Emergency Science and
354 Technology Project of Hubei Provincial (to [Honggang Yu]), Hubei Province Major Science and
355 Technology Innovation Project (Grant No. 2018-916-000-008 to [Honggang Yu]).

356

357 **REFERENCES**

- 358 1. Hui D S, Madani T A, Ntoumi F, et al. The continuing COVID-19 epidemic threat of novel
359 coronaviruses to global health-The latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China.
360 *International journal of infectious diseases: IJID: official publication of the International*
361 *Society for Infectious Diseases* 2020;91:264.
- 362 2. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United
363 States. *N Engl J Med* 2020 Jan 31. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001191.
- 364 3. Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, et al. Transmission of COVID-19 Infection from an
365 Asymptomatic Contact in Germany. *N Engl J Med* 2020 Jan 30. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2001468.
- 366 4. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel
367 coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet* 2020 Jan 24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.
- 368 5. Guan H, Xiong Y, Shen N, et. Clinical and thin section CT features of patients with
369 COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan. *Radiologic Practice* 2020.
- 370 6. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of
371 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *The Lancet* 2020
372 Jan 30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7.
- 373 7. National Health and Health Commission of China.

- 374 <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7652m/202002/e84bd30142ab4d8982326326e4db22ea.shtml>
- 375 8. National Health and Health Commission of China.
- 376 http://en.nhc.gov.cn/2020-02/13/c_76512.htm
- 377 9. Health Commission of Hubei Province.
- 378 http://wjw.hubei.gov.cn/fbjd/dtyw/202002/t20200216_2038684.shtml
- 379 10. China Ministry of Health. China Health Statistical Yearbook 2018. Beijing: Peking Union
380 Medical College Press 2018:34,42 [in Chinese]
- 381 11. Liu Y, Liu G, Zhang Q. Deep learning and medical diagnosis. *The Lancet* 2019;394(10210):
382 1709-1710.
- 383 12. Gong D, Wu L, Zhang J, et al. Detection of colorectal adenomas with a real-time
384 computer-aided system (ENDOANGEL): a randomised controlled study. *Lancet*
385 *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020 Jan 22. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30413-3.
- 386 13. Wu L, Zhang J, Zhou W, et al. Randomised controlled trial of WISENSE, a real-time quality
387 improving system for monitoring blind spots during esophagogastroduodenoscopy. *Gut*
388 2019;68(12):2161-2169.
- 389 14. Chen D, Wu L, Li Y, et al. Comparing blind spots of unsedated ultrafine, sedated, and
390 unsedated conventional gastroscopy with and without artificial intelligence: a prospective,
391 single-blind, 3-parallel-group, randomized, single-center trial. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*
392 2020;91(2):332-339. e3.
- 393 15. Zhou J, Wu L, Wan X, et al. A novel artificial intelligence system for the assessment of bowel
394 preparation (with video). *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 2020;91(2):428-435. e2.
- 395 16. Wu L, Zhou W, Wan X, et al. A deep neural network improves endoscopic detection of early

- 396 gastric cancer without blind spots. *Endoscopy* 2019;51(06):522-531.
- 397 17. The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China. The Law of the People's
398 Republic of China on Infectious Disease Prevention and Control.
399 http://www.gov.cn/banshi/2005-08/01/content_19023.htm
- 400 18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
401 <https://www.cdc.gov/corona-virus/COVID-19/lab/rt-pcr-detection-instructions.html>
- 402 19. Deng J, Dong W, Socher R, et al. ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. *In:*
403 *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition 2009*;p.248-255.
- 404 20. Zhou Z, Siddiquee M M R, Tajbakhsh N, et al. Unet++: A nested u-net architecture for
405 medical image segmentation. Springer Cham 2018;3-11.
- 406 21. The National Health and Health Commission of China.
407 http://en.nhc.gov.cn/2020-02/07/c_76323.htm
- 408 22. Cheng V C C, Wong S C, To K K W, et al. Preparedness and proactive infection control
409 measures against the emerging Wuhan coronavirus pneumonia in China. *Journal of Hospital*
410 *Infection* 2020.
- 411 23. Tang B, Wang X, Li Q, et al. Estimation of the Transmission Risk of the 2019-nCoV and Its
412 Implication for Public Health Interventions. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2020;9(2): 462.
- 413 24. Riou J, Althaus C L. Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019-nCoV.
414 *bioRxiv* 2020.
- 415 25. Prasse B, Achterberg M A, Ma L, et al. Network-Based Prediction of the 2019-nCoV
416 Epidemic Outbreak in the Chinese Province Hubei. *arXiv* 2020.
- 417 26. Boldog P, Tekeli T, Vizi Z, et al. Risk assessment of novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV outbreaks

418 outside China. *medRxiv* 2020.

419 27. Ardila D, Kiraly A P, Bharadwaj S, et al. End-to-end lung cancer screening with
420 three-dimensional deep learning on low-dose chest computed tomography. *Nature medicine*
421 2019;25(6):954-961.

422 28. Chae K J, Jin G Y, Ko S B, et al. Deep Learning for the Classification of Small (≤ 2 cm)
423 Pulmonary Nodules on CT Imaging: A Preliminary Study. *Academic radiology* 2019.

424 29. Chen Z M, Fu J F, Shu Q, et al. Diagnosis and treatment recommendations for pediatric
425 respiratory infection caused by the 2019 novel coronavirus. *World Journal of Pediatrics*
426 2020:1-7.

427 30. Health Commission of Hubei Province.
428 http://wjw.hubei.gov.cn/fbjd/dtyw/202002/t20200215_2028355.shtml

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436


437

438

439

440 **FIGURES**

441




442

443 **Figure 1. Workflow diagram for the development and evaluation of the model for detecting**

444 **COVID19 pneumonia.**

445

446



447

448 **Figure 2. Representative images of COVID19 pneumonia.** More than six common Computed

449 tomography (CT) features of COVID19 pneumonia were covered in selected images. 1a-1d, the

450 lesions were mainly ground-glass-like, with thickened blood vessels walking and including

451 gas-bronchial signs in 1c; 2a-2d, the lesions were mainly ground glass changes, and paving

452 stone-like changes were observed on 2d; 3a-3c, the lesions become solid with a large range, and

453 air-bronchial signs are seen inside; 4, the lesion is located in the lower lobe of both lungs, and is

454 mainly grid-like change with ground glass lesion; 5a-5b, the lesions are mainly consolidation;

455 6a-6b, the lesions are mainly large ground glass shadows, showing white lung-like changes, with

456 air-bronchial signs.


457

458

459

460

461



462

463 **Figure 3. Processing and prediction schematic of the model.** Raw images were firstly input into

464 the model, and after processing of the model, prediction boxes framing suspicious lesions were

465 output. Valid areas were further extracted and unnecessary fields were filter out to avoid possible


466 false positives. To predict by case, a logic linking the prediction results of consecutive images was

467 added. Computed tomography (CT) images with the above prediction results were divided into

468 four quadrants, and results would be output only when three consecutive images were predicted to

469 have lesions in the same quadrant.

470



471

472 **Figure 4. Representative images of the model's predictions.** A. Computed tomography (CT)

473 images of COVID19 pneumonia. The predictions between the artificial intelligence model and

474 radiologists were consistent. Green boxes, labels from radiologists; red boxes, labels from the

475 model. B. CT images of the control. The first image is an ordinary bacterial pneumonia, showing a

476 consolidation of the right lower lobe. The second image has a tumorous lesion in the lung,

477 showing a mass in the left upper lobe, with burrs seen at the edges, and showing leaf-like growth

478 with vacuoles inside. The third image is a secondary pulmonary tuberculosis, showing a left apical

479 fibrous cord. The fourth image is a bronchiectasis complicated with infection, showing

480 bronchodilation and expansion, cystic changes, and surrounding patches of infection. The fifth

481 image shows normal lungs.



CT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE DIAGNOSIS

UPLOAD CT IMAGES, ONE-CLICK SMART SCREENING

PLEASE SELECT THE CT IMAGE TO BE DIAGNOSED

Upload single or multiple CT pictures, one-click upload, immediate diagnosis

Select

Submit

Delete

CT original image area

Up to 9 images can be uploaded for prediction
at a time

AI diagnosis area

Red box for AI predicts areas of viral
pneumonia infection

482

483 **Figure 5. Main interface of the open-access artificial intelligence platform which provides**


484 **fast and sensitive assistance for detecting COVID19 pneumonia.**

485 (<http://121.40.75.149/znyx-ncov/index>)

486

487

488



489

490 **Figure 6. Abstract diagram.** Computed tomography (CT) is the most efficient modality for
 491 screening and clinically diagnosing COVID-19 pneumonia. However, compared to the needs of
 492 the patients, the number of radiologists is quite small. After enrolling artificial intelligence in
 493 identifying COVID-19 pneumonia in CT images, the efficiency of diagnosis is greatly improved.
 494 The artificial intelligence holds great potential to relieve the pressure of frontline radiologists,
 495 accelerates the diagnosis, isolation and treatment of COVID19 patients, and therefore contribute to
 496 the control of the epidemic.

497

498 **Supplementary Figure 1. The training curves of UNet++ for extracting valid areas in**
 499 **Computed tomography images.**

500 **Supplementary Figure 2. The training curves of UNet++ for detecting suspicious lesions in**
 501 **Computed tomography images.**