

1 **Esophageal Cooling For Protection During Left Atrial Ablation: A Systematic Review And Meta-**
2 **Analysis**

3
4 Lisa Leung, Mark Gallagher, Pasquale Santangeli, Cory Tschabrunn, Jose Guerra, Bieito Campos, Jamal
5 Hayat, Folefac Atem, Steven Mickelsen, Erik Kulstad

6
7 Corresponding author: Erik Kulstad, MD, MS, Dept. of Emergency Medicine, UT Southwestern Medical
8 Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75390, Erik.Kulstad@UTSouthwestern.edu, +1-214-648-
9 8555

10
11 Author contributions- LL: design, data acquisition, data analysis/interpretation, manuscript drafting; MG:
12 concept, data analysis/interpretation, manuscript drafting; PS: data analysis/interpretation, manuscript
13 drafting; CT: data analysis/interpretation, manuscript drafting; JG: data analysis/interpretation,
14 manuscript drafting; BC: data analysis/interpretation, manuscript drafting and revisions; JH: data
15 analysis/interpretation, endoscopy expertise, manuscript review; FA: statistical analysis, data
16 analysis/interpretation; SM: data analysis/interpretation, manuscript revisions; EK: concept, design, data
17 analysis/interpretation, manuscript drafting. All authors provided critical review, revision, and approval of
18 the manuscript.

19
20 Conflict of interest: EK declares equity interest in Attune Medical; MG, PS, CT, JG, and BC serve as
21 Principal Investigators for studies of esophageal cooling, but receive no direct corporate compensation.
22 SM has provided consulting services for Attune Medical. All other authors declare no relevant conflicts of
23 interest.

24
25 Funding: None

26

27 Word count: 3487

28

29 **ABSTRACT**

30

31 **Background:** Thermal damage to the esophagus is a risk from radiofrequency (RF) ablation of the left
32 atrium for the treatment of atrial fibrillation (AF), with the most extreme type of thermal injury resulting
33 in atrio-esophageal fistula (AEF), with a correspondingly high mortality rate. Various approaches have
34 been developed to reduce esophageal injury, including power reduction, avoidance of greater contact-
35 force, esophageal deviation, and esophageal cooling. One method of esophageal cooling involves direct
36 instillation of cold water or saline into the esophagus during RF ablation. Although this method provides
37 limited heat-extraction capacity, studies of it have suggested potential benefit.

38

39 **Objective:** We sought to perform a meta-analysis of existing studies evaluating esophageal cooling via
40 direct liquid instillation for the reduction of thermal injury.

41

42 **Methods:** We reviewed Medline for existing studies involving esophageal cooling for protection of
43 thermal injury during RF ablation. A meta-analysis was then performed using random effects model to
44 calculate estimated effect size with 95% confidence intervals, with outcome of esophageal lesions,
45 stratified by severity, as determined by post-procedure endoscopy.

46

47 **Results:** A total of 9 studies were identified and reviewed. After excluding pre-clinical and mathematical
48 model studies, 3 were included in the meta-analysis, totaling 494 patients. Esophageal cooling showed a
49 tendency to shift lesion severity downward, such that total lesions did not show a statistically significant
50 change (OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.15 to 2.38). For high grade lesions, a significant OR of 0.39 (95% CI 0.17 to
51 0.89) in favor of esophageal cooling was found, suggesting that esophageal cooling, even utilizing a low-
52 capacity thermal extraction technique, reduces lesion severity from RF ablation.

53

54 **Conclusions:** Esophageal cooling reduces lesion severity encountered during RF ablation, even when
55 using relatively low heat extraction methods such as direct instillation of cold liquid. Further
56 investigation of this approach is warranted.

57

58 **INTRODUCTION**

59 Thermal damage to the esophagus is a risk from radiofrequency (RF) ablation or cryoablation of the left
60 atrium for the treatment of atrial fibrillation (AF).[1-3] The most extreme type of thermal injury is an
61 atrio-esophageal fistula (AEF), with a mortality rate of 80% or more.[4-8] Various approaches have been
62 developed to reduce esophageal injury, including power reduction, avoidance of greater contact-force,
63 temperature monitoring, esophageal deviation, and esophageal cooling. Different esophageal protection
64 approaches have been evaluated including temperature monitoring, esophageal deviation, and power
65 reduction, with varying degrees of success in reducing esophageal injury.[9-11]

66

67 Esophageal cooling has also been investigated in multiple studies for the purpose of protecting the
68 esophagus during RF ablation. [12-20] Various approaches to cooling have been utilized, including
69 expandable balloon devices, a cooling sac circulating water, and direct instillation of ice-cold water or
70 saline into the esophagus, with investigations utilizing animal models, mathematical models, and human
71 clinical studies. The majority of human clinical studies have utilized direct instillation of ice cold water
72 or saline. We sought to perform a meta-analysis of these existing data to examine the range of effect sizes
73 published to date and estimate the potential efficacy of esophageal cooling for protection during RF
74 ablation.

75

76 **Methods**

77 **Data sources and search strategy**

78 We utilized PubMed to perform a search of the literature from 1985 (prior to the earliest reports of
79 endocardial ablation to treat atrial fibrillation) to June 2019 for studies published on esophageal cooling
80 during cardiac ablation using a broad search with the following Boolean structure: (esophag* OR
81 oesophag*) AND cooling AND (ablation OR fibrillation) We did not restrict to English only. Details of
82 the systematic review were submitted for registration in PROSPERO on June 21, 2019.

83 **Eligibility criteria**

84 We excluded pre-clinical studies, bench-top, agar phantom, and mathematical model studies, and studies
85 that did not include formal endoscopy as an outcome measure.

86 **Data collection**

87 The primary data of interest were endoscopically identified lesions found after RF ablation. Because we
88 anticipated inconsistency in the categorization of lesion severity, we decided to simplify all lesion
89 severity measurement into severe lesions characterized by the presence of ulceration and mild to moderate
90 lesions encompassing all other abnormalities.

91 **Statistical analysis**

92 We input study data into Review Manager 5.3 to perform meta-analysis of the data entered, and present
93 the results graphically. SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for additional
94 analyses. The Cochran- Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) method was employed to test the null hypothesis that
95 the response rate is the same for the two arms (control versus treatment), after adjusting for possible
96 differences in study response rates. Furthermore, we fitted a random effect model using SAS procedures
97 GLIMMIX and NLMIXED by treating the study as a random effect. Because lesion grades are often
98 considered to be dichotomized into those that are likely to progress to atrio-esophageal fistula, and those
99 that are not, we initially analyzed the data as a binary outcome (high grade lesions concerning for


100 progression versus low grade lesions likely to heal spontaneously). Then, to further estimate effect size,
101 we used an ordinal logistic random intercept model, taking into account the ordered nature of lesion
102 grading (low to high, numerically).

103 **RESULTS**

104 A total of 9 studies were identified using the above criteria and additional search strategy. Five of these
105 were excluded for being non-clinical. Berjano et al. utilized a finite element model in three dimensions to
106 investigate the effects of a cooled intra-esophageal balloon.[12] Lequerica et al. performed studies using
107 an agar phantom-based model that was built to provide temperature readings at points between the
108 esophageal lumen and the myocardium.[13, 14] Arruda et al. studied a custom developed system utilizing
109 temperature controlled saline or water in an in vitro lamb heart and esophagus preparation, followed by an
110 in vivo model with six dogs.[15] Scanavacca et al. presented a study of the use of a saline filled
111 esophageal balloon to attempt esophageal protection in a dog model.[17] A clinical study by Tsuchiya et
112 al. involving 8 patients was excluded for not utilizing endoscopy to determine the presence of lesions
113 after RF ablation.[16]

114 The remaining 3 studies included a total of 494 patients:

115 John et. al performed a study of 76 patients, half given active cooling performed by injecting a 20 mL
116 bolus of ice-cold saline via orogastric tube into the upper esophagus if/when the luminal esophageal
117 temperature increased by 0.5 °C above baseline.[20] The authors found that this method of esophageal
118 cooling did not decrease the overall incidence of thermal lesions, but noted a trend toward fewer severe
119 lesions with cooling (Fig 1). Esophageal thermal lesions were graded as follows: grade 0: no esophageal
120 lesion; grade 1: mucosal damage <1 cm width; grade 2: mucosal damage 1–3 cm width; grade 3: mucosal
121 damage > 3 cm width or visualization of deeper layer; and grade 4: bleeding ulcer or with overlying clot.




122

123 Figure 1. Results from John et al. Grade III and grade IV lesions are shown separately.

124

125 Kuwahara et. al performed a study of 100 patients using very small volumes (5 mL) of ice water as the
126 which was injected prior to RF energy delivery, and subsequently when esophageal temperatures reached
127 42°C.[18] The severity of the esophageal lesions was qualitatively graded as mild, moderate, or severe,
128 according to their extent and color, with the severe category corresponding to those categorized as grade
129 III or IV by John et al. The authors found that this approach reduced the severity of esophageal lesions,
130 but did not reduce their incidence: lesions occurred in 20% of the experimental group, and 22% of the
131 controls, with 3 moderate and 7 mild in the cooled group and 3 severe, 1 moderate, and 7 mild in the
132 control (Fig 2).




133

134 Figure 2. Results of Kuwahara et. Al. The Grade III/IV lesion category represents all those qualitatively
135 graded as “severe”, with mild lesions in Grade I and moderate lesions in Grade II.

136

137 Sohara et. al utilized an infusion of cooled saline mixed with Gastrografin or Iopamidol, with slightly
138 higher, but still limited volumes (10 – 20 mL) in repeated injected aliquots with a temperature of
139 approximately 10°C.[19] A total of 318 patients were randomized between groups receiving only
140 temperature monitoring without cooling, temperature monitoring with cooling when temperature
141 exceeded 43°C, and temperature monitoring with cooling received when temperatures exceeded 39°C.
142 The percentage of patients free from any ulceration or erosion in each group was found to be 63.6%,
143 87.5%, and 95.2%, respectively (Fig 3). Esophageal lesions were classified as normal (score 1), erosion
144 (patchy mucosal ulceration: score 2), mild ulcer (necrosis less than 3 mm in diameter with red spot: score
145 3), severe ulcer (necrosis more than 3 mm in diameter with red spot and/or with a hemorrhagic
146 appearance, often with fibrinoid material: score 4).




147

148 Figure 3. Results from Sohara et al in their study of 318 consecutive patients. Group A had local
149 temperature monitoring without cooling of the esophagus. Groups B and C patients had monitoring with
150 cooling of the esophagus when the LET exceeded 43 °C and 39 °C, respectively. The Grade III/IV lesion
151 category represents all those graded as ulcers (score 3 or 4 in the author's categorization system).

152 In 2 of these 3 studies, a clear shift from high-grade to lower-grade lesions can be seen between the
153 control and treatment arms.[18, 20] In contrast, in Sohara et al., a general reduction of all grade lesions is
154 seen.[19]Further details on the characteristics of each study are shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

155 The forest plot comparing the outcome of all lesions (grades I, II, III, and IV) as events between control
156 and treatment arms is shown in Fig 4. Although fewer lesions occurred in the treatment arms of 2 of the 3
157 studies, in meta-analysis this did not reach statistical significance (OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.15 to 2.38).

158




159

160 Figure 4. Forest plot of clinical studies comparing outcome of all lesions. Events are the occurrence of
 161 grade I, II, III, and IV lesions.

162

163 Further depiction of the slight increase in low grade lesions seen in 2 of the 3 studies is shown in the
 164 forest plot in Figure 5, with an OR of 1.0 (95% CI 0.26 to 3.93) suggesting that overall, low grade lesions
 165 are not significantly impacted with this treatment.

166



167

168 Figure 5. Forest plot of clinical studies comparing outcome of low-grade lesions. Events are the
 169 occurrence of grade I and II lesions.


170

171 Evaluating the occurrence of high-grade lesions (grade III and IV) results in the forest plot shown in Fig
 172 6, demonstrating a significant OR of 0.39 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.89) in favor of the treatment arm.

173 Separately, utilizing the CMH method, we obtained a significant p-value of 0.016 indicating the

174 association between treatment and grade remains strong. Furthermore, in a binary logistic regression
 175 model, an OR of 0.46 (95% CI 0.28 to 0.75) was found.

176



177

178 Figure 6. Forest plot of clinical studies comparing outcome of severe lesions. Events are the occurrence
 179 of grade III/IV lesions.

180

181 Finally, utilizing an ordinal logistic random intercept model rather than dichotomized outcome, we used
 182 GLIMMIX and NLMIXED in SAS to obtain an additional estimate of effect size using each category of
 183 lesion independently. This method showed that esophageal cooling by the method of direct instillation of
 184 cold water or saline used in these studies results in a point estimate of a -23% reduction in lesion grade,
 185 with 95% CI ranging from -85% to +38%.

186

187 DISCUSSION

188 Esophageal injury from RF ablation remains a feared complication in the treatment of atrial fibrillation,
 189 and a variety of approaches have been developed to reduce this risk. Esophageal cooling has shown
 190 promise in a number of studies, generally utilizing low-capacity cooling approaches, such as direct
 191 instillation of cold water or saline directly into the esophagus via orogastric tube. Even with the limited
 192 heat extraction capacity of this approach, our meta-analysis of 3 studies suggests that cooling in this

193 manner offers a clinically significant protective effect from severe lesions, and provides a 61% reduction
194 in high grade lesion formation (with a 95% CI of 11% to 83% reduction).

195 Cooling via direct instillation of cold liquid into the esophagus is limited in actual heat extraction capacity
196 by low volumes of direct liquid instillation. Even with the relatively high heat capacity of water, the total
197 volumes (5mL to 20 mL at a time) serve as a significant limitation to the amount of thermal energy that
198 can be absorbed. Higher heat extraction capacity has been evaluated in the past with various balloon
199 device prototypes, where flow rates of 25 mL to 300 mL per minute were utilized. [13-16] These may
200 offer greater heat extraction, and pre-clinical as well as mathematical models of early prototypes
201 suggested benefits in lesion reduction, but none of these prototypes evolved into commercially-available
202 products.

203 A device currently being investigated in clinical studies, designed for whole-body temperature
204 manipulation, has a flow rate of up to 1900 mL per minute, far greater than any devices previously
205 evaluated. This device is a commercially available esophageal cooling device utilized for general
206 temperature management (inducing hypothermia, maintaining normothermia, or patient warming), and
207 has shown protective effects in animal and mathematical models, as well as in recently presented clinical
208 data.[21, 22]

209 A recent study on the prevalence and prevention of esophageal injury during atrial fibrillation ablation
210 performed a meta-analysis of two studies of direct instillation of ice-cold water, but failed to distinguish
211 between lesion severity and lesion frequency, focusing on the outcome of overall lesion frequency.[23]
212 In contrast, our study had the benefit of an additional publication, and we analyzed data stratified by
213 severity of lesions. Although a detailed understanding of the mechanisms of AEF formation is still
214 developing, there is general agreement that thermal injury is a precursor, and that higher-grade thermal
215 injury has higher risk of progression to AEF.[24]

216 Taking into account each lesion grade independently in a statistical model allows an alternative approach
217 to estimate effect size that may provide further refinement of the estimate, at the cost of decreased
218 precision. We found a point estimate using this approach suggesting a reduction in high-grade lesions of -
219 23%, although a higher number of patients with a greater number of high-grade lesions are necessary to
220 narrow the confidence intervals around this estimate, which with the population included here, ranges
221 from -85% to +38%. On the other hand, utilizing a methodology with greater performance and higher
222 heat extraction capacity may increase the effect size point estimate further when either binary logistic or
223 ordinal regression is utilized.

224

225 **LIMITATIONS**

226 The studies analyzed in this report differed in specific RF techniques and equipment. Nevertheless, all
227 used radiofrequency ablation, and the variation in technology reflects real-world practice currently. The
228 studies reviewed did not all utilize randomization, and there was no description of any attempt at blinding
229 the patients to the protection strategy used. Lesion grading varied between studies, but each scale
230 involved a lesion characterization that allowed stratifying on common ground. Patient characteristics and
231 ablation technologies and techniques utilized in the evaluated studies differed in many aspects; however,
232 this may serve to broaden the generalizability of these findings.

233

234 **CONCLUSIONS**

235 Esophageal cooling reduces lesion severity encountered during RF ablation, even when using relatively
236 low heat extraction methods such as direct instillation of small amounts of cold liquid. Further
237 investigation of this approach is warranted, particularly utilizing higher heat extraction technology such as
238 closed-circuit devices with high coolant flow rates.

239

240 **References:**

- 241 1. Romero J, Avendano R, Grushko M, Diaz JC, Du X, Gianni C, Natale A, Biase LD: **Oesophageal**
242 **Injury During AF Ablation: Techniques for Prevention.** *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev* 2018,
243 **7(1):24-31.**
- 244 2. Kapur S, Barbhuiya C, Deneke T, Michaud GF: **Esophageal Injury and Atrioesophageal Fistula**
245 **Caused by Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation.** *Circulation* 2017, **136(13):1247-1255.**
- 246 3. Qumseya BJ, Kusumoto F, Wolfsen H: **Esophageal injury following left atrial ablation.**
247 *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2012, **8(6):414-416.**
- 248 4. Khakpour H, Shemin RJ, Lee JM, Buch E, Boyle NG, Shivkumar K, Bradfield JS: **Atrioesophageal**
249 **Fistula After Atrial Fibrillation Ablation: A single center series.** *Journal of atrial fibrillation* 2017,
250 **10(3):1654.**
- 251 5. Yousuf T, Keshmiri H, Bulwa Z, Kramer J, Sharjeel Arshad HM, Issa R, Woznicka D, Gordon P, Abi-
252 Mansour P: **Management of Atrio-Esophageal Fistula Following Left Atrial Ablation.** *Cardiol Res*
253 2016, **7(1):36-45.**
- 254 6. Khan MY, Siddiqui WJ, Iyer PS, Dirweesh A, Karabulut N: **Left Atrial to Esophageal Fistula: A**
255 **Case Report and Literature Review.** *The American journal of case reports* 2016, **17:814-818.**
- 256 7. Nair GM, Nery PB, Redpath CJ, Lam BK, Birnie DH: **Atrioesophageal fistula in the era of atrial**
257 **fibrillation ablation: a review.** *Can J Cardiol* 2014, **30(4):388-395.**
- 258 8. Zakaria A, Hipp K, Battista N, Tommolino E, Machado C: **Fatal esophageal-pericardial fistula as a**
259 **complication of radiofrequency catheter ablation.** *SAGE open medical case reports* 2019,
260 **7:2050313x19841150.**
- 261 9. **Deviating the Esophagus in Atrial Fibrillation Ablation - ClinicalTrials.gov listing**
262 [<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01546168>]

- 263 10. Kadado AJ, Akar JG, Hummel JP: **Luminal esophageal temperature monitoring to reduce**
264 **esophageal thermal injury during catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: A review.** *Trends*
265 *Cardiovasc Med* 2018.
- 266 11. Deneke T, Bunz K, Bastian A, Pasler M, Anders H, Lehmann R, Meuser W, de Groot JR, Horlitz M,
267 Haberkorn R *et al*: **Utility of esophageal temperature monitoring during pulmonary vein**
268 **isolation for atrial fibrillation using duty-cycled phased radiofrequency ablation.** *J Cardiovasc*
269 *Electrophysiol* 2011, **22**(3):255-261.
- 270 12. Berjano EJ, Hornero F: **A cooled intraesophageal balloon to prevent thermal injury during**
271 **endocardial surgical radiofrequency ablation of the left atrium: a finite element study.** *Phys*
272 *Med Biol* 2005, **50**(20):N269-279.
- 273 13. Lequerica JL, Berjano EJ, Herrero M, Hornero F: **Reliability assessment of a cooled**
274 **intraesophageal balloon to prevent thermal injury during RF cardiac ablation: an agar**
275 **phantom study.** *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2008, **19**(11):1188-1193.
- 276 14. Lequerica JL, Berjano EJ, Herrero M, Melecio L, Hornero F: **A cooled water-irrigated**
277 **intraesophageal balloon to prevent thermal injury during cardiac ablation: experimental study**
278 **based on an agar phantom.** *Phys Med Biol* 2008, **53**(4):N25-34.
- 279 15. Arruda MS, Armaganijan L, Di Biase L, Rashidi R, Natale A: **Feasibility and safety of using an**
280 **esophageal protective system to eliminate esophageal thermal injury: implications on atrial-**
281 **esophageal fistula following AF ablation.** *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2009, **20**(11):1272-1278.
- 282 16. Tsuchiya T, Ashikaga K, Nakagawa S, Hayashida K, Kugimiya H: **Atrial fibrillation ablation with**
283 **esophageal cooling with a cooled water-irrigated intraesophageal balloon: a pilot study.** *J*
284 *Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007, **18**(2):145-150.
- 285 17. Scanavacca MI, Pisani CF, Neto S, Tamaki W, Santo sR, Guirao C, Oyama H, Aiello V, Leiner A,
286 Sosa E: **Cooled intra-esophageal balloon to prevent thermal injury of esophageal wall during**

- 287 **radiofrequency ablation.** In: *ESC Congress 2007, 1 - 5 September.* vol. 28. Vienna, Austria; 2007:
288 156.
- 289 18. Kuwahara T, Takahashi A, Okubo K, Takagi K, Yamao K, Nakashima E, Kawaguchi N, Takigawa M,
290 Watari Y, Sugiyama T *et al*: **Oesophageal cooling with ice water does not reduce the incidence**
291 **of oesophageal lesions complicating catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: randomized**
292 **controlled study.** *Europace* 2014, **16**(6):834-839.
- 293 19. Sohara H, Satake S, Takeda H, Yamaguchi Y, Nagasu N: **Prevalence of esophageal ulceration**
294 **after atrial fibrillation ablation with the hot balloon ablation catheter: what is the value of**
295 **esophageal cooling?** *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014, **25**(7):686-692.
- 296 20. John J, Garg L, Orosey M, Desai T, Haines DE, Wong WS: **The effect of esophageal cooling on**
297 **esophageal injury during radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation.** *J Interv Card*
298 *Electrophysiol* 2019.
- 299 21. Montoya MM, Mickelsen S, Clark B, Arnold M, Hanks J, Sauter E, Kulstad E: **Protecting the**
300 **esophagus from thermal injury during radiofrequency ablation with an esophageal cooling**
301 **device.** *Journal of atrial fibrillation* 2019, **11**(5):2110.
- 302 22. Feher M, Anneken L, Gruber M, Achenbach S, Arnold M: **Esophageal cooling for prevention of**
303 **thermal lesions during left atrial ablation procedures: a first in man case series.** In: *EHRA 2019:*
304 *March 19, 2019 2018; Lisbon, Portugal; 2018.*
- 305 23. Ha FJ, Han HC, Sanders P, Teh AW, O'Donnell D, Farouque O, Lim HS: **Prevalence and prevention**
306 **of oesophageal injury during atrial fibrillation ablation: a systematic review and meta-analysis.**
307 *Europace* 2019, **21**(1):80-90.
- 308 24. Halbfass P, Pavlov B, Muller P, Nentwich K, Sonne K, Barth S, Hamm K, Fochler F, Mugge A,
309 Lusebrink U *et al*: **Progression From Esophageal Thermal Asymptomatic Lesion to Perforation**

310 **Complicating Atrial Fibrillation Ablation: A Single-Center Registry.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*
311 2017, **10**(8).
312

Study	Design	Number of patients	Patient age (years)	Gender (% male)	AF subtype (% paroxysmal)	BMI	HTN	Diabetes	CHF	Ejection Fraction
John et al.	Prospective observational	76	63.5 +/- 10	63.2%	40.8%	30.5 +/- 5.1	68.4%	18.7%	26.3%	55.5 +/- 9.1%
Kuwahara et al.	Randomized control	100	63 +/- 8.7	40.0%	32.0%	24 +/- 2.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	64 +/- 6.6%
Sohara et al.	Prospective observational	318	63.3 +/- 7.9	72.6%	57.2%	N/A	14.8%	4.1%	N/A	66.8 +/- 6.8%

Table 1. Patient characteristics and study type. Group data from each study averaged over all groups.

Study	Ablation technology	Power	Mapping technology	Ablation type	Average Contact Force	Mean RF time per lesion (seconds)	Anesthesia	Endoscopy timing
John et al.	RF open-irrigation, 3.5-mm-tip, 8-Fr, force-sensing catheter (SmartTouch)	24-28 W	CARTO mapping system and PentaRay NAV multipolar mapping catheter	Bilateral antral PVI, creation of a left atrial roof and floor line, and ablation across the mitral isthmus	10 g	12.3 +/- 5.3	General	Within 24 hours of the procedure
Kuwahara et al.	3.5 mm irrigated-tip ablation catheter (Thermocool, Biosense Webster, Inc.)	25-30 W	CARTO system	Circumferential PVI with focal ablation, and creation of left atrial roof or mitral isthmus lines with posterior wall isolation in non-paroxysmal AF	N/A	N/A	Conscious sedation	Within 24 hours of the procedure
Sohara et al.	12F radiofrequency hot balloon catheter (Hayama Arrhythmia Institute, Kanagawa, Japan)	N/A	CARTO system	Balloon-based box isolation	N/A	N/A	General	Within 3 days of the procedure

Table 2. Ablation characteristics and technology.

Study	Temperature sensor type	Temperature sensor characteristics	Gastric tube type	Coolant	Cooling threshold	Follow up duration
John et al.	18-Fr esophageal temperature probe (400 series M1024215, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL)	Tube diameter 6 mm, cuff diameter 8.8 mm, single thermistor inside of the cuff at the distal tip	18-Fr orogastric tube (Nasogastric Sump Tube 0046180, Bard, Inc., Covington, GA)	20mL ice-cold saline	0.5°C increase in temperature from baseline	N/A
Kuwahara et al.	A multi-thermocouple temperature probe (Sensitherm, St Jude Medical)	Three thermocouples; one of which was placed at the same level of the esophagus as the site of RF energy delivery on the LA posterior wall	Unspecified gastric tube	5mL ice-water (0 °C)	42°C temperature peak	Up to 8 weeks
Sohara et al.	Thermocouple thermometer (Delta Ohm, Caselle di Selvazzano, PD, Italy)	Thermal sensor of a deflectable, 4-mm tip ablation catheter	Unspecified gastric tube coated with xylocaine jelly	10-20mL ionized contrast medium (Gastrografin) or nonionized low osmotic contrast medium (iopamidol) diluted 1:2 with physiologic saline refrigerated to about 10 °C	43°C temperature peak (Group B) or 39°C temperature peak (Group C)	>10 months minimum, mean 3.6 years

Table 3. Esophageal cooling technique.