Inflammatory dietary patterns and mental health traits in middle/old-aged adults: A bi-directional Mendelian Randomization study

Huiqing Shi [1] , Jorien L Treur [2], Yuanke Qin [3], Janita Bralten [1,5], Mirjam Bloemendaal [6], Rob ter Horst [7], Mihai G Netea [8,9], Alejandro Arias Vasquez [10], Jan K Buitelaar [1,4]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

[2] Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam University Medical Center, location University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[3] Department of Internal Medicine, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

[4] Karakter Child and Adolescent Psychiatry University Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

[5] Department of Human Genetics, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

[6] Goethe University Frankfurt, University Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

[7] CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria

[8] Department of Internal Medicine and Radboudumc Center for Infectious Diseases, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

[9] Department of Immunology and Metabolism, Life and Medical Sciences Institute (LIMES), University of Bonn, Germany

[10] Department of Psychiatry, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Corresponding author: Huiqing Shi,

Email: Huiqingshi.hui@gmail.com

Address: Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behavior, Kapittelweg 29, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, 6525EN Nijmegen, Netherlands

This paper includes:

Figures 1-4

Supplementary materials

Abstract

Objective: Observational studies have provided evidence for positive associations between inflammatory dietary patterns (IDP) and mental health, which might be mediated by immune activation. However, a causal relationship has not yet been established. Here we aim to investigate the causal nature of associations between IDP and mental health traits (depressed affect, mood swings, neuroticism, feed-up feelings, worry, irritability) using Mendelian Randomization (MR) analyses.

Method: In the UK Biobank dataset, IDP was identified by conducting a partial least squares regression (PLSR) on the items of the food frequency questionnaire along with three inflammatory biomarkers as response variables: C-reactive protein, platelets, and white blood cell (WBC) counts. An individual-level genome-wide association study (GWAS) of IDP was performed within an unrelated European subsample from the UK Biobank (n=320,137) and summary-level GWAS data for mental health traits were utilized for bi-directional two-step MR to test the association between genetically predicted IDP and mental health traits.

Result: The first PLSR component was retained for subsequent analysis, with a higher IDP score indicating a more frequent consumption of processed meat, beef, pork, lamb/mutton, and poultry. Genome-wide association analysis identified 101 independent genomic loci. MR analyses indicated a uni-directional positive relationship from IDP to neuroticism and a positive bi-directional relationships between IDP and depressed affect, mood swings, fed-up feelings, and irritability. The mediation effect of total white blood cell count on neuroticism score was also significant (adjusted $P<0.05$).

Conclusion: Our findings identified genetic loci and functional properties of IDP and provided evidence for causal pathways with depressed affect, mood swings, irritability, and fed-up feelings. Implementing dietary advice and interventions should become part of a public mental health approach.

Keywords: Inflammatory dietary pattern; Partial least squares regression; Genome-wide association study; Mendelian Randomization; Mental health.

Introduction

In recent years, significant links have increasingly been established between dietary quality and mental health [1]. For instance, a meta-analysis of prospective studies has shown that adherence to high-quality diets rich in fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, such as the healthy/prudent diet or the Mediterranean diet, is associated with a lower risk of depressive symptoms over time [2]. Additionally, healthy diets have been associated with externalizing behaviors, for example, higher behavioral inhibition levels were found among participants with good prudent diet adherence (high consumption of fruits, vegetables, fish, and whole grains), in both men and women [3]. Conversely, diets high in processed foods, sugars, and saturated fats, have been associated with an increased risk of developing mental health disorders, including major depression disorders [2], anxiety [4], and bipolar disorder [5].

A suggested potential mechanism for the link between diet and mental health is through diet-induced inflammatory responses, which might directly impact brain function or indirectly modulate the synthesis, release, and reuptake of neurotransmitters [6, 7]. Firstly, it is suggested that a poor diet rich in calories appears to stimulate immune activation, while a high intake of fruit and vegetables is associated with lower pro-inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and IL-1β in humans [8]. Secondly, systematic inflammation might have downstream detrimental effects on brain health as a result of damage to the blood-brain barrier and activation of microglia [9]. This is exemplified by the role of systemic inflammation (e.g. as identified by heightened CRP) in the pathogenesis of mental illnesses such as depression [10] and bipolar disorder [11]. Meanwhile, a higher dietary inflammatory index, which measures the potential of diet-related inflammation, was also prospectively associated with a higher risk of negative mental health outcomes [2].

Most research to date examining the connections between diet, the immune system, and mental health has focused on internalizing disorders, especially depression. In contrast, externalizing disorders characterized by disinhibition received less attention. Disinhibition is a common trait of many mental health disorders like attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), obsessive-compulsive disorder, addictions, substance abuse, and mania. It encompasses dysregulation in

both emotions and behaviors, specifically manifesting externalizing emotional problems and uncontrolled, impulsive, or aggressive behavior. The physiological underpinnings of disinhibition involve complex interactions within multiple neural systems and brain regions, particularly in the regulation of emotions and behaviors. Disinhibition may stem from abnormalities in neurotransmitter systems, such as dysregulation of dopamine pathways linked to reward processing and impulse control [12]. Dysfunction in the prefrontal cortex, a region crucial for decision-making and impulse inhibition, is also commonly implicated in disinhibition [13]. Previous observational studies have shown that individuals with higher disinhibition scores tend to consume significantly more processed or red meat and have a lower intake of anti-inflammatory, prudent dietary patterns [14]. These patterns have been also associated with inflammation [15], even after additional adjustments for medication use [16].

However, a causal role of a pro-inflammatory diet in mental health with externalizing behaviors has not yet been established. This is because the nature of these relations is complicated by the possibility of reverse causality between diet and mental health, when the presence of mental health conditions exerts an influence on individuals' food preferences and dietary selections. For example, prospective cohort evidence suggests that psychological traits such as stress and neuroticism are associated with poorer dietary quality [17]. Moreover, the complexity of the hypothesized relationship between diet and mental health is confounded by several important confounders, such as age and sex, which might bias the results if they are not considered [18]. To address these challenges, Mendelian Randomization (MR) has emerged as an important method for exploring causality within observational data sets. MR leverages genetic variants, identified through genome-wide association studies (GWAS), as instrumental variables to infer the causal effect of an exposure on an outcome. However, the validity of MR depends on three critical assumptions: the genetic variant must be strongly associated with the exposure, it should not be linked to confounders of the exposure-outcome relationship, and it should be associated with the outcome only through the exposure [19]. So far, MR has been frequently applied to nutritional epidemiological studies, to identify causal relationships between dietary and lifestyle factors and health outcomes [20].

This study aimed to investigate potential, bi-directional causal effects between a pro-inflammatory dietary pattern and externalizing behaviors, which include behaviors like impulsivity and aggression. To do this, we first conducted a GWAS using individual-level data from the UK Biobank to identify genetic variants associated with the inflammatory dietary pattern. These genetic variants served as instrumental variables representing exposure to the diet. Next, we used these instruments in a Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis, combining them with existing summary-level GWAS data on mental health problems. Our hypothesis is that higher consumption of a pro-inflammatory dietary pattern is associated with an increased risk of mental health problems, particularly externalizing behaviors.

Methods

Our research complies with all relevant ethical regulations. The UKB has ethics approval from the North-West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (11/NW/0382). All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. Data for this work was obtained under approved data request application ID 23368. Additional ethical approval was not required for the present study.

Study design and study sample

The UK Biobank dataset is a cohort sampled from the general population between 2006 and 2010 with participants (N= 501,494) aged between 40 and 69 years old. The baseline assessment included a face-to-face interview with a research nurse, and measurement-taking during which participants were asked to give a blood sample and touchscreen questionnaire regarding basic demographics, (mental) health conditions and lifestyles including diet, sleep, smoking, and physical activity. Data measurements and descriptions are available online: https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/ .

Here, out of all 502,494 participants, 137 were excluded from analysis due to withdrawn data from UKB. We further excluded 894 people who did not complete baseline food frequency questionnaires (FFQ), and 46,352 samples with missing either of four immune measures data (C-reactive protein (CRP), white blood cell (WBC) counts, platelet counts). After further excluding

34,485 samples with existing history of cancer at baseline assessment and abnormal immune measures (WBC counts > $100*10^9$/L or platelet counts > $1000*10^9$/L, or CRP > 20 mg/L), 416,864 participants were left for the final diet partial least squares regression (PLSR) analysis. A flow chart of screening for eligibility can be seen in Figure 1.


All participants recruited between 2006 to 2010 (n = 502,494)
People who withdrew from UKB (n =137)
Participants available for analysis (n = 502,357)
People without available FFQ data (n = 894)
Phenotypic QC
Participants with available FFQ data (n = 501,463)
Excluded samples with missing immune measures data:
CRP (n = 33,515)
WBC counts (n = 23,933)
Platelet counts (n=23,931)
Participants with available immune measures data (n = 455,941)
Excluded samples with abnormal immune measures*
WBC count > 100*10⁹/L(n=14)
Platelet count >1000*10⁹/L(n=15)
CRP > 20 mg/L (n=5152)
With self-reported cancer at baseline (n=34,485)
Identify IDP by PLSR procedure
Participants without abnormal immune measures data and prior cancer (n = 416,864)
IDP GWAS
n=320,137 unrelated Europeans, controlled for age, sex, 40PCs
Manhattan plot
Functional analysis
Gene-base, gene-set and gene property analysis (FUMA)
Gene-based analysis
Gene-set analysis
Gene-property analysis
IDP GWAS
Bidirectional two-sample Mendelian Randomization between IDP and mental health traits
Cross-trait analysis
LDSC genetic correlation between IDP, immune biomarkers and mental traits
Cross-traits Correlation

Fig 1. Schematic overview of the study. UKB: UK Biobank; IDP: inflammatory dietary pattern; QC: quality control; FFQ: food frequency questionnaire; CRP: C-reactive protein; WBC: white blood cells; GWAS: genome-wide association analysis; PC: principal components; LDSC: linkage disequilibrium score regression; FUMA: Functional Mapping and Annotation of GWAS; MR: Mendelian Randomization.

Food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and IDP

The dietary variables were assessed during an initial assessment center visit using a specially designed 29-item FFQ about their habitual food consumption in the past 12 months. In 17 of the 29 questions, participants were asked how much/often they had consumed a common food either in numbers of servings consumed per day (e.g. tablespoons of vegetables) or in frequency (e.g. oily fish intake frequency) with 6 possible frequency responses including “never”, “less than once per week”, “once per week”, “2–4 times per week”, “5–6 times per week”, or “once or more daily” [21].

We employed partial least squares regression (PLSR) to identify inflammatory dietary patterns. PLSR is a data-driven statistical method to characterize major dietary patterns, which could explain the maximum amount of variation of nutrients based on a priori hypotheses of the pathophysiology of disease [22]. Prospective evidence for the link between inflammatory dietary pattern (IDP) and mental health has been offered by using PLSR that incorporated inflammatory biomarkers as intermediate response variables in deriving IDP [23, 24]. PLSR identifies patterns among a set of food groups that best explain the variance in several response variables. In the current study, predictors were various dietary intake variables measured through FFQ, the response variables included the UKB available inflammatory biomarkers CRP (mg/L, UKB Data-Field 30710), platelet counts ($10^{\wedge 9}$/L, UKB Data-Field 30080), and WBC counts ($10^{\wedge 9}$/L, UKB Data-Field 30000), which are associated with disinhibited behaviors according to existing research [15].

For subsequent analyses, we utilized the first dietary pattern score from PLSR analyses to represent IDP, as it accounted for the highest variation in both food items and response variables. The PLSR model calculates dietary pattern z-scores for each participant by applying a linear, weighted combination of their standardized food group intakes, with unique weights for each dietary pattern. This means an increase in the intake of food items with positive factor loadings

results in an increased dietary pattern z-score, while an increase in the intake of food groups with negative factor loadings leads to a decreased dietary pattern z-score.

GWAS and genetic cross-trait analysis on IDP in the UKB population

The study design is also illustrated in Fig. 1. Taking the continuous IDP as phenotype, we further used genetic data from 320,137 participants of European descent (UKB Data-Field 21000) in UKB and no kinship was found within the sample (Data-Field 22021) to conduct GWAS by employing Plink 2.0 (https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/2.0/). These participants represent a subset of the identified IDP population. The model was adjusted for age, 40 genetic principal components (Data-Field 22009). We filtered variants with a minor allele frequency > 0.01, Hardy Weinberg equilibrium > 10^{-6}, and imputation quality scores > 0.85.

To identify shared genetic architecture between traits, genetic correlations (rg) [25] between the IDP, and 14 traits of interest including 6 behavioral, 5 lifestyle-related traits (Supplementary Methods), and 3 immune biomarkers for which summary-level data were available, were also calculated using Linkage disequilibrium score (LDSC) regression (LDSC v1.0.1: https://github.com/bulik/ldsc). The rg estimated by LDSC is an unbiased estimate and may exceed [−1, 1] when standard errors are large, and the genetic correlation between studies is high [26].

Functional downstream analysis

We used the FUMA online platform [27] with Multi-marker Analysis of Genomic Annotation (MAGMA) tool [28] to conduct in silico downstream functional analysis of the UKB IDP results, to explore the biological relevance of the identified genetic variant. First, MAGMA gene-based analysis was performed to annotate the functional consequence of SNPs on Ensemble (v92) protein-coding genes by using all genome-wide significant SNPs and SNPs in LD with them ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) using Annotate Variation (ANNOVAR) enrichment test. Second, the gene-based p values are then used for gene-set analysis with MAGMA. Considering that the UK Biobank imputation used both 1000 genomes and Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) reference panels while FUMA only uses the former as a reference panel, it is likely that our gene-set analyses may be based on an incomplete set of variants. Third, gene-property analysis was

conducted to assess whether genes associated to IDP are disproportionately expressed in 30 general tissue types and 54 different tissue types obtained from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) portal (v.8) [29].

Mental health traits

Summary statistics for the six mental health characteristics used in the Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis are detailed in the Supplementary Materials. Phenotypic data analyses have demonstrated that mood swings, neuroticism, and irritability—all associated with fluctuations in emotional states, heightened emotional instability and sensitivity, and increased anger in response to minor stimuli, respectively—are linked with disinhibition [14]. In addition to these disinhibition-related features, we also investigated depressed affect, feelings of being fed up (ongoing frustration), and feelings of worry.

Mendelian Randomization (MR)

MR analysis was performed using R TwoSampleMR package [30, 31] to examine the role of IDP on mental and behavioral outcomes. Analyses were conducted using forward and reverse MR, testing for uni- and bidirectional effects between genetic variant associated IDP and behaviors. For variant clumping, we excluded variants that are in LD ($r^2 < 0.1$) with one another to sufficient variant coverage. To investigate the presence of bias in MR impact estimations, we employed Steiger filtering [32] to eliminate any SNPs that accounted for a greater amount of variation in mental health features compared to IDP. We used fixed-effects inverse-variance weighted (IVW) MR [33] as the main MR analysis method.
Additional sensitivity MR analysis methods including weighted mode (W-mod), weighted median (W-med), and MR-Egger were also conducted [34]. The W-mod method estimates the causal effect by identifying the most common causal estimate, minimizing the influence of outliers or influential variants. The weighted median (W-med) method provides a reliable estimate even with up to 50% unreliable instruments by taking the median of weighted causal estimates. MR-Egger regression allows for the presence of directional (horizontal) pleiotropy by estimating an intercept term that reflects the average pleiotropic effect of the genetic instruments on the outcome that is independent of the exposure. A non-zero intercept indicates potential violation of the no-horizontal-pleiotropy assumption. The causal effect is estimated by the slope

term under the Instrument Strength Independent of Direct Effects (InSIDE) assumption, which assumes that the strength of the instruments is independent of their direct effects on the outcome [35].

Results

Demographics and IDP

In the PLSR model, while including more components may have the potential to account for greater variability, a single component yielded the most interpretable results (Supplementary Materials 1). Fig 2A shows the baseline characteristics of the 416,864 study participants according to demographic subsets. Higher IDP scores were observed among male participants; individuals under 60 years of age; participants of European ancestry; those with lower educational attainment and lower annual household income; unemployed individuals; those who were overweight or obese; occasional or regular smokers; people who did not meet the recommended weekly physical activity guidelines; and individuals with daily sleep durations of less than 7 hours or more than 9 hours.

The factor loadings of the identified IDP that was used for subsequent analyses are presented in Fig 2B. Higher IDP score are linked to high intakes of processed meat, beef, pork, lamb/mutton, and poultry intake and low intake of fresh fruit, oily fish, cereal, and cooked or raw vegetables. As a supplementary analysis, we examined the correlation between IDPs and inflammatory traits. IDP was strongly associated with CRP levels ($\beta_{\text{standardized}}$ = 0.13 [95%CI: 0.13, 0.14]) and leukocyte count ($\beta_{\text{standardized}}$ = 0.09 [95%CI: 0.09, 0.10]) and platelet count ($\beta_{\text{standardized}}$ = 0.05 [95%CI: 0.04, 0.05]), as shown in Fig 2C.



Fig 2. IDP Score by characteristics of the study population. (A) IDP score according to different baseline characteristics. BMIs were calculated with weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. Based on the World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behavior: adults should undertake moderate activity ≥ 150 min or vigorous activity ≥ 75 min per week. For certain questions, few participants were not included in the analysis due to selecting 'Do not know' or 'Prefer not to answer' or missing data, this relates to questions about ethnicity (n=1531), education attainment (n=4492), BMI (n=1589), smoking status (n=343), and sleeping status (n=2667). IDP scores are standardized by scaling and shown as means ± standard deviations. P-values were from the univariable linear regression. IDP: inflammatory dietary pattern. BMI: body mass index. CI: confidence interval. Ref: reference. (B) Factor loadings for PLSR with food groups as the predictive variables and three immune biomarkers (CRP, WBC counts, platelet counts) as the response variables. Orange bar: positive factor loading food. Blue bar: negative food. Grey bar: factor loading less than 0.1 in absolute value. (C) Linear associations (adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status, BMI, physical exercise, and sleeping hours) between IDP scores and blood. CRP was log-transformed while WBC counts and platelets counts were scaled. β(s) indicate standardized beta coefficients.

Genetic loci associated with IDP levels in UKB

To identify genetic variants associated with the Inflammatory Dietary Pattern (IDP), we first performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) using genetic data from 320,137 unrelated participants of European ancestry, selected from a total of 416,864 individuals assessed for IDP in the UK Biobank. Within the UKB data, we identified 1474 genome-wide significant (GWS; $P < 5.25 \times 10^{-9}$) variants (Fig. 3A) and 101 independent genomic loci.

We applied a range of functional annotation analyses to leverage the IDP GWAS results using FUMA. The ANNOVAR results found that 88.6% of significant SNPs ($p < 5.25 \times 10^{-9}$) and SNPs in LD with significant SNPs are located within intronic and intergenic regions. MAGMA gene-based analysis annotated SNPs to 18,983 protein-coding genes, of which there were 565 genes associated with IDP at Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) significance ($p \leq 1.47 \times 10^{-3}$). One of the top associated loci (Fig 3. B) was the chromosome 19 apolipoprotein E gene (APOE, $p = 2.53 \times 10^{-12}$). The allele codes for a significant cholesterol transporter that has been directly related to low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and is mostly known for its associations with Alzheimer's disease [36, 37]. Another gene significantly associated with IDP is the neuronal growth regulator 1 (NEGR1) gene ($p = 7.63 \times 10^{-16}$) in chromosome 1 is the protein (FTO) gene, one of the most extensively studied genes in the field of food consumption and obesity [38, 39], was also significantly associated with IDP at the gene analysis ($p = 8.46 \times 10^{-7}$).

To identify functional pathways related to IDP, we performed gene-set analysis in MAGMA. Of the 12,377 tested gene sets (including 1,840 canonical pathways, and 10,537 gene ontology (GO) gene sets), only GO biological pathway 'Synapse Organization' ($p = 6.71 \times 10^{-7}$) was significantly associated after BH correction (Fig. 3C). Next, we aimed to identify tissue categories that are enriched for gene signal of IDP, by linking gene P-values to gene expression in 30 general tissue types and 54 tissue types [40] (gene-property analysis). We found that in the brain ($p = 5.29 \times 10^{-4}$), specifically in the brain cerebellum ($p = 7.31 \times 10^{-6}$) and brain cerebellar hemisphere ($p = 4.81 \times 10^{-4}$), the prioritized genes were significantly enriched (Fig. 3D).



Fig 3. Genome-wide association analysis (GWAS) and follow-up analyses of the inflammatory dietary pattern (IDP). (A) a Manhattan plot of the GWAS analysis of IDP in 320,137 individuals showing the genomic position of each variant on the x-axis and the negative log10-transformed P-value on the y-axis. Independent lead variants of each locus are annotated by a diamond. The horizontal red line indicates the threshold that corresponds to a fold change (FC) of genome-wide significance P-value ($-\log_{10}(c) = 8.27$). (B) Significant genes ($p \leq 1.47 \times 10^{-3}$) in the gene-based association test in MAGMA after Benjamini Hochberg (BH) correction. The x-axis represents the chromosome number, y-axis, and the dot color shows the negative log10-transformed gene-based P-value. The top 20 most significant genes are annotated with the corresponding gene symbols. (C) MAGMA gene-set analysis plot of the top 10 gene sets out of 12,377 tested gene sets (including 1,840 canonical pathways, and 10,537 GO gene sets) after BH correction. The red line indicates the fold change of threshold ($-\log_{10}(0.05)=1.3$). (D) MAGMA gene-property analysis results after BH correction are shown for the average expression of

30 general tissue types (in blue) and 54 tissue types (in red) with FC>0.5. The red line indicates the fold change of threshold ($-\log_{10}(0.05)=1.3$).

Genetic correlation

We used LDSC to estimate the overlap in genetic signal between IDP and 5 lifestyles, 3 immune and 6 neuropsychiatric traits for which published genome-wide summary statistics with large sample sizes were available. Significant genetic correlations ($P_{BH\text{-}adj} < 0.05$) were observed between IDP and 11 traits, amongst which were, for instance, negative genetic correlations with educational attainment (rg = -0.32, se = 0.04, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 1.77 \times 10^{-18}$; Fig. 4A), and positive genetic correlations with depressed affect (rg = 0.17, se = 0.03, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 9.90 \times 10^{-11}$), fed-up feelings (rg = 0.21, se = 0.05, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 1.12 \times 10^{-5}$), and mood swing (rg = 0.16, se = 0.05, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 3.22 \times 10^{-3}$). To further explore the links between traits of interest, we performed pair-wise genetic cross-trait correlations (Fig. 4B). CRP was positively correlated to depressed affect (rg = 0.23, se = 0.03, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 9.99 \times 10^{-16}$) , fed-up feelings (rg = 0.23, se = 0.06, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 2.80 \times 10^{-04}$), mood swing (rg = 0.22, se= 0.06, $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 3.05 \times 10^{-4}$) while WBC also shows similar significant associations with psychiatric-related traits.

Mendelian Randomization analysis

Given the significant genetic correlations between the IDP and other traits, we used mendelian randomization analyses to investigate if there is statistical evidence consistent with uni- or bi-directional relationships between the correlated traits. We used IDP-associated variants as genetic instruments in the MR analyses and conducted reverse analyses with traits-associated variants. MR IVW analysis confirmed that genetically elevated IDP levels (per 1-unit difference) are associated with an increased risk of depressed affect ($\beta = 0.15$ [95%CI: 0.08, 0.22], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 5.62 \times 10^{-5}$) in forward pathway, when IDP is an outcome in reverse MR test, the effect size is $\beta = 0.09$ [95%CI: 0.05, 0.14], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 1.20 \times 10^{-4}$. The same pattern is also found for the association between IDP and neuroticism score. A positive association of genetically elevated IDP levels was identified with IVW in forward MR test on mood swing ($\beta = 0.11$ [95%CI: 0.09, 0.13], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 3.68 \times 10^{-7}$), fed-up feeling ($\beta = 0.13$ [95%CI: 0.11, 0.15], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 5.96 \times 10^{-12}$), and irritability ($\beta = 0.13$ [95% CI: 0.08, 0.17], $P_{BH\text{-}adj}$

$= 3.85 \times 10^{-7}$). However, reverse-direction Mendelian randomization also provided evidence suggesting predominantly bidirectional associations between psychiatric traits and IDP levels.

Given the clear bidirectional findings observed in our study, we incorporated Steiger filtering as an additional sensitivity check to validate the reliability of these results further (Supplementary Materials). No SNPs associated with depressed affect or feeling worried were excluded for both directions, as a result, the findings for these traits remained consistent. For fed-up feeling, mood swings and irritability, a portion of SNPs were excluded in the forward analysis (from IDP to mental health traits), resulting in a reduced effect size; however, the significance of the results remained (mood swing ($\beta = 0.10$ [95%CI: 0.07, 0.15], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 1.13 \times 10^{-6}$), fed-up feeling ($\beta = 0.12$ [95%CI: 0.09, 0.15], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 5.20 \times 10^{-11}$), and irritability ($\beta = 0.06$ [95% CI: 0.02, 0.10], $P_{BH\text{-}adj} = 2.21 \times 10^{-3}$). For the trait neuroticism score, the MR results after Steiger filtering show no significant association in the forward direction.



Fig. 4 Genetic correlation and Mendelian Randomization analysis results. (A) Genetic correlations between IDP with 6 mental health traits, 5 lifestyle traits, and 3 immune biomarkers were estimated using LD Score regression. ** denote significant genetic correlation ($p_{adj} < 0.05$) after Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Error bars represent standard errors of the estimates, ordered by group and ascending absolute

coefficients (rg). (B) Pair-wise cross-traits genetic correlations among all traits, only significant associations are shown. (C) Bi-directional Two-sample Mendelian Randomisation results by IVW test. The points are the beta estimates from the MR analyses and the error bars are the 95% confidence intervals. nSNP: number of SNP; IDP: inflammatory dietary pattern. P-values are after the Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

Discussion

This study provides a comprehensive exploration of the associations between dietary patterns, genetic variants, and mental health traits, particularly focusing on the inflammatory dietary pattern (IDP) by using a partial least squares regression (PLSR) model. A single PLSR component, representing increased consumption of processed meats and decreased intake of fruits, vegetables, and oily fish, was found to be linked to higher levels of low-grade inflammation. Moreover, the genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified 1,474 significant genetic variants across 101 independent loci, demonstrating a genetic basis for dietary intake. MR analysis indicates that there is a bidirectional causal association between IDP and depressed affect, mood swings, fed-upness, and irritability. No associations with neuroticism or feelings of worry were found in either direction.

Although primary literature indicates that factors contributing to the variation in dietary intake, are generally non-genetic [41], our findings highlight the significant influence of genetic variants on IDP. We identified 1,474 genome-wide significant variants across 101 independent genomic loci, predominantly located in intronic and intergenic regions. It indicates the importance of genetic regulatory mechanisms in dietary pattern modulation, including the *APOE* gene, as well as *NEGR1* and *FTO* genes that have been associated with neuro-diseases and dietary intake [36-39].

The main hypothesis that posited a genetic association between inflammatory dietary intake and negative feelings was found to be supported. Our study revealed a positive and significant correlation between inflammatory dietary scores, usually characterized by high intake of

processed meat, high-saturated fat, less consumption of vegetables and fruits, more mood swings, fed-up feeling, and a higher level of irritability. As previously reported, dietary patterns characterized by an unhealthy 'high protein and low fiber dietary pattern', exhibited lower well-being scores compared to 'balanced dietary pattern'. The reason for this may be that the higher intake of fatty meat associated with this dietary pattern contributes to increased stress and mental disorder risks, potentially due to inflammatory responses and gut microbiota permeability linked to high-fat diets, which was further revealed by elevated levels of C-reactive protein and white blood cell count [42]. Our findings reinforce the association between inflammation-related dietary patterns and a heightened risk of negative mental health traits, highlighting the need for dietary interventions to promote better mental health outcomes.

Limitation

Despite the strengths of this study, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the reliance on self-reported dietary intake through questionnaires introduces potential biases, as individuals often misreport their consumption habits. This tendency can lead to underestimation of unhealthy food intake, such as processed meats, while overestimating the consumption of healthier options like fruits and vegetables. Second, the cohort included in the current study is not fully representative of the general population, as it primarily consists of individuals of European descent, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings to more diverse ethnic groups. Third, the observed heritability of IDP suggests a genetic influence, but the proportion of variance explained by SNPs (7.1%) indicates that environmental factors likely play a more important role in dietary habits. Future studies should aim to investigate these environmental influences more comprehensively, as well as explore potential gene-environment interactions. Some limitations should also be considered when interpreting the Mendelian randomization results. First, potential biases arising from assortative mating, dynastic effects, population stratification and selection bias may influence genetic associations and thus violate key instrumental variable assumptions [43]. Second, the gene–environment equivalence assumption underlying Mendelian randomization, namely that genetic proxies adequately represent modifiable environmental exposures, may be difficult to fully justify in the context of complex and multidimensional phenotypes [44]. In particular, for composite or behaviourally mediated

exposures, genetic instruments may capture only partial aspects of the underlying construct, which may limit causal interpretation. Together, these considerations highlight the need for cautious interpretation of Mendelian randomization estimates in complex trait settings.

In conclusion, our study identified genomic alleles that exhibit substantial associations with IDP. Additionally, we observed correlations between inflammatory dietary intake and mental health traits of negative feelings. This study adds evidence-based findings to the increasing acknowledgment of the necessity for a comprehensive strategy for the prevention and management of mental disorders. Further research is needed to validate our findings and explore underlying mechanisms in independent cohorts, with future work benefiting from a triangulation approach combining complementary study designs to strengthen causal inference [45].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Union Horizon Europe programme under grant numbers 728018 (Eat2beNICE) and 847879 (PRIME). HS was supported by a grant from the China Scholarship Council (201908440248)

Declaration of interest

Jan K Buitelaar has been in the past 3 years a consultant to / member of advisory board of / and/or speaker for Takeda, Roche, Medice, Angelini, Boehringher-Ingelheim, Neuraxpharm, and Servier. He is not an employee of any of these companies, and not a stock shareholder of any of these companies. He has no other financial or material support, including expert testimony, patents, royalties. This was all unrelated to the current manuscript. MGN is a scientific founder of TTxD, Biotrip, Lemba and Salvina, which are not related to the current study.

References

1. Sarris, J., et al., *Nutritional medicine as mainstream in psychiatry.* Lancet Psychiatry, 2015. **2**(3): p. 271–4.

2. Molendijk, M., et al., *Diet quality and depression risk: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies.* Journal of Affective Disorders, 2018. **226**: p. 346–354.

3. Schweren, L.J.S., et al., *Diet, Physical Activity, and Disinhibition in Middle-Aged and Older Adults: A UK Biobank Study.* Nutrients, 2021. **13**(5).

4. Berridge, K.C., T.E. Robinson, and J.W. Aldridge, *Dissecting components of reward: 'liking', 'wanting', and learning.* Curr Opin Pharmacol, 2009. **9**(1): p. 65–73.

5. Lassale, C., et al., *Healthy dietary indices and risk of depressive outcomes: asystematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies.* Molecular Psychiatry, 2019. **24**(7): p. 965–986.

6. Firth, J., et al., *Diet as a hot topic in psychiatry: a population-scale study of nutritional intake and inflammatory potential in severe mental illness.* World Psychiatry, 2018. **17**(3): p. 365–367.

7. Miller, A.H. and C.L. Raison, *The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target.* Nat Rev Immunol, 2016. **16**(1): p. 22–34.

8. Shi, H., et al., *The gut microbiome as mediator between diet and its impact on immune function.* Sci Rep, 2022. **12**(1): p. 5149.

9. Takata, F., et al., *Blood-Brain Barrier Dysfunction Amplifies the Development of Neuroinflammation: Understanding of Cellular Events in Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells for Prevention and Treatment of BBB Dysfunction.* Front Cell Neurosci, 2021. **15**: p. 661838.

10. Berk, M., et al., *So depression is an inflammatory disease, but where does the inflammation come from?* BMC Medicine, 2013. **11**(1): p. 200.

11. Fernandes, B.S., et al., *C-reactive protein concentrations across the mood spectrum in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* Lancet Psychiatry, 2016. **3**(12): p. 1147–1156.

12. Sher, K.J. and T.J.J.J.o.a.p. Trull, *Personality and disinhibitory psychopathology: alcoholism and antisocial personality disorder.* 1994. **103**(1): p. 92.

13. Goldstein, R.Z. and N.D. Volkow, *Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: neuroimaging findings and clinical implications.* Nat Rev Neurosci, 2011. **12**(11): p. 652–69.

14. Schweren, L.J., et al., *Diet, physical activity and behavioural disinhibition in middle-aged and older adults: a UK Biobank study.* 2020: p. 2020.12. 04.20243824.

15. Shi, H., et al., *Low-grade inflammation as mediator between diet and behavioral disinhibition: A UK Biobank study.* Brain Behav Immun, 2022. **106**: p. 100–110.

16. Shi, H. and J.K. Buitelaar, *Author reply - Letter to the Editor "Antidepressant use, chronic inflammatory comorbidities and behavioral disinhibition".* Brain Behav Immun, 2022. **107**: p. 295.

17. Schweren, L.J.S., et al., *Diet quality, stress and common mental health problems: A cohort study of 121,008 adults.* Clinical Nutrition, 2021. **40**(3): p. 901–906.

18. Firth, J., et al., *Food and mood: how do diet and nutrition affect mental wellbeing?* Bmj, 2020. **369**: p. m2382.

19. Smith, G.D. and S. Ebrahim, *Mendelian randomization: prospects, potentials, and limitations.* International Journal of Epidemiology, 2004. **33**(1): p. 30–42.

20. Qi, L., *Mendelian randomization in nutritional epidemiology.* Nutrition Reviews, 2009. **67**(8): p. 439–450.

21. Bradbury, K.E., et al., *Dietary assessment in UK Biobank: an evaluation of the performance of the touchscreen dietary questionnaire.* J Nutr Sci, 2018. **7**: p. e6.

22. Hoffmann, K., et al., *Application of a new statistical method to derive dietary patterns in nutritional epidemiology.* 2004. **159**(10): p. 935–944.

23. Vermeulen, E., et al., *Inflammatory dietary patterns and depressive symptoms in Italian older adults.* Brain Behav Immun, 2018. **67**: p. 290–298.

24. Chen, H., et al., *The associations of dietary patterns with depressive and anxiety symptoms: a prospective study.* BMC Med, 2023. **21**(1): p. 307.

25. Roff, D.A. and R.J.H. Preziosi, *The estimation of the genetic correlation: the use of the jackknife.* 1994. **73**(5): p. 544–548.

26. Jansen, P.R., et al., *Genome-wide meta-analysis of brain volume identifies genomic loci and genes shared with intelligence.* Nat Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 5606.

27. Watanabe, K., et al., *Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA.* Nature Communications, 2017. **8**(1): p. 1826.

28. de Leeuw, C.A., et al., *MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data.* PLoS Comput Biol, 2015. **11**(4): p. e1004219.

29. Lonsdale, J., et al., *The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project.* 2013. **45**(6): p. 580–585.

30. Hemani, G., et al., *The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome.* Elife, 2018. **7**.

31. Hemani, G., K. Tilling, and G. Davey Smith, *Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data.* PLoS Genet, 2017. **13**(11): p. e1007081.

32. Hemani, G., K. Tilling, and G. Davey Smith, *Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data.* PLOS Genetics, 2017. **13**(11): p. e1007081.

33. Burgess, S., A. Butterworth, and S.G. Thompson, *Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data.* Genet Epidemiol, 2013. **37**(7): p. 658–65.

34. Burgess, S., et al., *Sensitivity Analyses for Robust Causal Inference from Mendelian Randomization Analyses with Multiple Genetic Variants.* Epidemiology, 2017. **28**(1): p. 30–42.

35. Burgess, S. and S.G. Thompson, *Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method.* Eur J Epidemiol, 2017. **32**(5): p. 377–389.

36. Willer, C.J., et al., *Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels.* Nat Genet, 2013. **45**(11): p. 1274–1283.

37. Jun, G., et al., *A novel Alzheimer disease locus located near the gene encoding tau protein.* Mol Psychiatry, 2016. **21**(1): p. 108–17.

38. Wardle, J., et al., *The FTO gene and measured food intake in children.* International Journal of Obesity, 2009. **33**(1): p. 42–45.

39. Frayling, T.M., et al., *A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity.* 2007. **316**(5826): p. 889–894.

40. GTEx Consortium, *The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues.* Science, 2020. **369**(6509): p. 1318–1330.

41. Niarchou, M., et al., *Genome-wide association study of dietary intake in the UK biobank study and its associations with schizophrenia and other traits.* Translational Psychiatry, 2020. **10**(1): p. 51.

42. Zhang, R., et al., *Associations of dietary patterns with brain health from behavioral, neuroimaging, biochemical and genetic analyses.* Nature Mental Health, 2024. **2**(5): p. 535–552.

43. Brumpton, B., et al., *Avoiding dynastic, assortative mating, and population stratification biases in Mendelian randomization through within-family analyses.* Nat Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 3519.

44. Burgess, S., et al., *Addressing the credibility crisis in Mendelian randomization.* BMC Med, 2024. **22**(1): p. 374.

45. Treur, J.L., et al., *A guide for planning triangulation studies to investigate complex causal questions in behavioural and psychiatric research.* Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci, 2024. **33**: p. e61.