Title: Dietary intake of plant bioactives among European adults

Costanza Michelini[1], Federica Bergamo[1], Alice Rosi[1], Daniele Del Rio[1], Pedro Mena[1*]

[1] Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Costanza Michelini costanza.michelini@unipr.it, ORCID: 0000-0002-5389-6141

Federica Bergamo federica.bergamo@unipr.it ORCID: 0009-0001-5351-5070

Alice Rosi alice.rosi@unipr.it, ORCID: 0000-0001-8533-797X

Daniele Del Rio daniele.delrio@unipr.it, ORCID: 0000-0001-5394-1259

Pedro Mena pedro.mena@unipr.it ORCID: 0000-0003-2150-2977

Corresponding author: Pedro Mena pedro.mena@unipr.it, Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Via Volturno, 39, 43125 Parma, Italy, phone: +39 0521 903970

Abstract

This work explores the dietary intake of plant bioactives in the European adult population. The information available in the scientific literature is quite fragmented, with only partial knowledge of dietary bioactive intake and their health effects, and without harmonised figures across populations and phytochemical families. In this context, we comprehensively evaluated the intake of (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals in the European adult population, using public data from 26 countries reporting on 38,944 individuals. Further research was conducted to investigate the contributions of classes, subclasses, and individual compounds, as well as their relationships. Main food sources of each class and subclass of phytochemicals were also identified. Finally, variability in phytochemical intake across European countries was evaluated. This work significantly advances the current knowledge of plant bioactive intake and sets the stage for future research in nutrition and health fields.

Introduction

The close interconnection between diet and health is widely documented by decades of scientific research, providing evidence-based knowledge on the pivotal role of dietary patterns in non-communicable diseases[1,2]. Indeed, the impact of diet on morbidity and mortality risk is superior to other factors, such as alcohol and tobacco[3]. Eating healthy, by consuming largely fruit and vegetables, whole grains, pulses, and other plant-based foods and drinks (such as coffee and tea), also results in a high intake of small bioactive molecules with beneficial effects against chronic diseases[4]. For instance, the regular consumption of (poly)phenol-rich foods (such as apples, tea, berries, and dark chocolate) and carotenoid-rich foods (such as carrots, tomatoes, and peppers) has been associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and certain cancer[5–8].

The chemical complexity of foods has hardly been recognised, as only a small portion of the over 26,000 molecules in food matrices have been studied: this leads to significant gaps in our overall understanding of our diet and its health effects. Moreover, the potential beneficial effects of these compounds on our health cannot be attributed to a single player or compound class but, instead, to the continuous interactions among phytochemicals, further complicating the current understanding[9]. Nevertheless, assessing the intake of dietary plant bioactives is particularly challenging. Most studies tend to focus on a limited number of phytochemicals or compound classes at a time[5,7,10], while very few provide a broader -yet limited- molecular picture of the current diet[11–13]. Comparative studies considering phytochemical intake across multiple populations with different dietary habits are lacking. Considering the European population, the intake of (poly)phenols has been extensively assessed, as shown in the EPIC study[14]. On the contrary, a more selective and restricted approach is generally employed when estimating the intake of other phytochemicals, such as carotenoids, phytosterols, and glucosinolates[15–17]. This creates disparities in the global understanding of the plant bioactive intake through the diet. Furthermore, several uncertainties related to self-reported dietary assessment tools, such as food frequency questionnaires and dietary recalls, need to be considered[18].

The interplay of these factors ultimately leads to substantial variability in dietary phytochemical consumption across countries, posing additional challenges for a comprehensive understanding of our diet.

Within this framework, our aim was to comprehensively assess the intake of dietary phytochemicals in the European adult population, considering (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals as primary families of bioactive molecules. Food consumption data from the EFSA Comprehensive Food Consumption Database for 26 countries were used and linked to the Phytochemical Food Database (PhytoFooD)[19], which provided bioactive composition data for plant-based foods. We investigated the phytochemical composition of Europeans' diet, also providing information on the contribution of each compound class, and which foods and chemicals are the main drivers of the assessment. The variability in phytochemical intake across European countries was also evaluated, shedding light on the complex and multifaceted landscape of plant bioactive exposure.

Results

Intake of phytochemicals in Europe

The dietary exposure of European adults to plant bioactives was estimated considering food consumption data from 26 countries, matching the food items to the Phytochemical Food Database (PhytoFooD), which includes data on 1,410 foods and 1,067 compounds[19]. Figure 1 highlights the average intake of phytochemicals in the European population. Taken together, the average intake of dietary plant bioactives among Europeans amounted to 5.1 ± 1.1 g/day. Terpenoids, including tetra-, tri-, di-, sesqui- and monoterpenoids were the most consumed plant bioactive compounds from the diet, exceeding 3.7 g per day in one reported case (Fig. 1a). The (poly)phenol family, accounting for flavonoids, phenolic acids, tannins and miscellaneous (poly)phenols, and *N*-containing compounds, including alkaloids, glucosinolates & isothiocyanates and miscellaneous *N*-containing compounds,

held the second and third positions, slightly exceeding 1 g/day each on average. Regarding major classes for each family, monoterpenoids represented 65% of the total terpenoids (~1.3 g/day), followed by triterpenoids (27%) and sesquiterpenoids (5%). Phenolic acids (62%) and alkaloids (53%) were the main classes contributing to each family, averaging ~800 and ~600 mg/day, respectively. Other relevant (poly)phenols were tannins (18%) and flavonoids (16%). Finally, the miscellaneous phytochemical family accounted for the lowest average intake (approximately 700 mg/day), of which more than 600 mg/day was attributable to phytates. Detailed information on the average phytochemical intake in Europe is presented in Tables S1-S4.

We also identified top-contributing compounds for each phytochemical class (Fig. 1b): for instance, 5-caffeoylquinic acid, caffeine, sitosterol, and sulforaphane resulted as drivers of phenolic acid, alkaloid, triterpenoid, and glucosinolate & isothiocyanate intakes, respectively. While data for these plant bioactive classes were somewhat expected, this level of detail is frequently missing for lesser-studied phytochemical classes, such as thiosulfinates, phytoprostanes, mono-, sesqui- and diterpenoids. Here, we could evaluate that S-methyl-L-cysteine sulfoxide, F1-phytoprostane, limonene, γ-muurolene and cafestol were the most consumed compounds within their respective plant bioactive categories. It is worth noting that, in some cases, a distinct gap was observed between the leading bioactive and other chemicals of the same class, such as for *S*-methyl-L-cysteine sulfoxide, limonene, and capsanthin.

Primary food sources of phytochemicals for the European population were also determined. Figures 2a-d show the five main food contributors for each subclass of (poly)phenol, terpenoid, *N*-containing compound, and miscellaneous phytochemical families. We observed that, for some compound categories, a dominant food among all others emerged: for instance, red wine, bell peppers, potatoes, and fresh garlic were the principal suppliers of stilbenes, xanthophylls, steroidal alkaloids, and thiosulfinates. However, it is crucial to bear in mind that food commodities are composed of intricate networks of hundreds of molecules with diverse structures and bioactivities. This complexity was

clearly evident in some foods that fall into multiple phytochemical classes, such as coffee, potatoes, carrots, and olive oil. On the contrary, some products can be considered exclusive sources of specific phytochemicals: this is particularly true for onions and garlic for thiosulfinates, and broccoli for isothiocyanates.

To further explore the relationships among different phytochemical classes, we performed Spearman's correlations at the plant bioactive class level (p-value < 0.05). Two main patterns were observed: the first one -the most predictable- was composed of classes of chemicals belonging to the same family that are correlated, such as those belonging to the terpenoid family. The second type comprises phytochemical classes that, although members of different families, exhibit statistically significant correlations. For instance, the miscellaneous *N*-containing compound class (from the *N*-containing compound family) is strongly associated with sesqui- and triterpenoids (from the terpenoid family). This phenomenon can be briefly explained by the presence of common food sources, such as apples and citrus fruits, that simultaneously provide chemically and structurally diverse plant bioactives.

Phytochemical intake variability within European countries

As part of the dietary intake assessment, a moderate variability in food consumption data across Europe needs to be accounted for. Therefore, we investigated the effects of differences in food consumption across European countries on daily phytochemical intake. Figure 3 shows the phytochemical intake distributions of total (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals. A pronounced variation was observed due to quantitative differences in food consumption.

Terpenoids (Fig. 3c) were the bioactive family with the widest distribution, even when focusing on the same parameter. For instance, considering the 95th percentile, Romania showed the lowest intake of terpenoids (4.1 g/day), while Germany had the highest, almost reaching 18 g/day. This is principally due to the elevated consumption of terpenoid-rich foods, such as citrus juices and

multigrain breads. Nevertheless, these high values, beyond the extreme behaviour of some individuals, might be related to the lack of more accurate data, especially regarding the type of citrus juices consumed by these populations and the actual monoterpenoid concentrations within them. A similar pattern was observed in other phytochemical classes, such as alkaloids, miscellaneous N-containing compounds, and phytates (Fig. 3d-e). Informative data on the intake of different phytochemical families and classes for each country are provided in Table S5. These extended fluctuations appeared consistently in many cases (Fig. 3f and Fig. S1), highlighting the non-normal feature of plant bioactive intake distributions in the European population: for instance, in Fig. 3f, the monoterpenoid distribution of the 95th percentile of the population visibly displays multiple peaks, nearly allowing us to identify the intake of individual countries. This peculiarity is particularly relevant when studying bioactive compounds that may have different implications for human health depending on dietary intake, such as caffeine. Indeed, our results showed that the average intake of caffeine in the European population is around 300 mg/day. This value is in line with EFSA recommendations not to exceed the safe threshold of 400 mg/day for caffeine intake[20]. However, looking at the caffeine consumption of the 95th percentile of the population, it was observed that this safe limit was exceeded by 96% of the European countries considered in this assessment, particularly by Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, and Serbia (Fig. 4). Detailed data on country-specific caffeine intake are reported in Table S6.

Discussion

In the current work, the intake of (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals in the European adult population was assessed, using the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database and the PhytoFooD database. The daily exposure to more than 1,000 bioactive molecules in about 700 different foods consumed by the European adult population was evaluated. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to simultaneously

150 assess the intake of all dietary plant bioactives in the European population. Further analyses were
151 performed to evaluate the contributions of different phytochemical classes, subclasses, and individual
152 phytochemicals, and to explore their potential interconnections. Main food sources for each subclass
153 of bioactive compounds were also identified. Insights into the variability of plant bioactive intake
154 within European countries, considering multiple food consumption parameters, were provided.
155 Finally, special consideration was given to differences in caffeine intake across and within European
156 countries, emphasising the value of these results for safety evaluations and public health.

157 Our findings showed that plant bioactive intake in Europe is characterised by extensive variability:
158 from a chemical perspective, remarkable differences were observed across phytochemical families
159 and classes. Major divergences, reflecting their respective dietary habits, can also be observed among
160 European countries. Nevertheless, our data align well with those reported for the EPIC cohort for
161 (poly)phenols[14], while some differences can be observed for carotenoid intake[16]. However, different
162 dietary habits and carotenoid concentrations in foods may ultimately result in substantial variability
163 in carotenoid intake[13]. Moreover, this work provides new figures on the daily consumption of the less
164 studied bioactives in a comprehensive manner, and it also updates EPIC values, related mainly to the
165 dietary habits of some countries from the late 90's, to include new countries like Austria, Hungary
166 and Portugal, using data from a period referred mainly from 2003 to 2021.

167 We shed light on the molecular complexity of the human diet and, consequently, on the disparities in
168 the scientific literature regarding evidence for bioactive molecules. This is relevant, as (poly)phenols
169 are often associated with the beneficial properties of many diet[21,22], while the same diets may contain
170 even higher amounts of other well-known phytochemicals with proven bioactivities. For instance,
171 monoterpenoids were the phytochemical class with the highest intake, being about 1.3 g/day.
172 However, their daily consumption and health effects on humans are poorly documented, and this lack
173 of knowledge could be explained by the wide array of chemical structures, which also complicates
174 current analytical challenge[23]. These observations can be extended to other classes of phytochemicals

175 and to several highly consumed compounds, such as theanine, γ-muurolene, and *S*-Methyl-L-cysteine
176 sulfoxide.

177 Our results further confirmed the intricate chemical nature of foods as complex matrices of
178 structurally distinct bioactive molecules, which are, however, strongly correlated with one another.
179 Additionally, the majority of these chemicals remain unexplored, and therefore their health
180 implications are unknown[24]. These combined and interactive effects of food molecules will need to
181 be considered in future research.

182 We also investigated how influential dietary patterns are in assessing phytochemical intake: the
183 significant variability in plant bioactive exposure mirrored the diverse dietary habits within and
184 among European countries. Moreover, in several cases, clear distinctions could be drawn between the
185 plant bioactive intake of the average and the 95th percentile of the population, revealing non-Gaussian
186 traits of bioactive intake distributions. These data are usually considered when performing safety
187 evaluations, like that of caffeine: indeed, our results regarding average caffeine intake are well aligned
188 with EFSA recommendations[20], but not when considering the 95th percentile of the population. On
189 the other hand, very low consumption of other health-relevant phytochemicals might be of significant
190 importance for safety evaluations: for instance, low intakes of vitamin A precursors, such as α-
191 carotene and β-carotene, can be associated with lower cognitive performance[25]. This information is
192 also of greatest value when considering multi-exposure to chemicals within the same subclass, as
193 their bioactivity can be similar.

194 Although this work represents a pioneering effort to comprehensively assess the phytochemical intake
195 in the European adult population and, in general, of a large population, some limitations should be
196 acknowledged. Firstly, the accuracy of dietary assessment tools, such as food records and dietary
197 recalls, can be biased by their constitutive subjective nature[18]. To minimize these discrepancies and
198 harmonize dietary data, EFSA provided precise guidelines for collecting food consumption
199 information, enabling each country to reduce misreporting linked to subjective factors[26]. Secondly,

200 for some countries, food consumption data at the 5th and/or 95th percentile of the population were not
201 available, preventing us from properly evaluating their phytochemical intake distributions. Thirdly,
202 the chemical composition of foods is widely influenced by multiple factors, such as cultivar type and
203 the surrounding environment[27]. This intrinsic variability was not considered in this assessment, since
204 only the average concentration of bioactives in foods was used. Lastly, the concentrations of
205 chemicals in thermally processed foods were obtained using food yield factors; the absence of
206 compound-specific retention factors could have led to inaccuracies in the global phytochemical
207 assessment. These limitations associated with dietary assessment and composition tables should be
208 embraced in the future with novel experimental designs, allowing for the characterisation of the
209 dietary intake of each population more efficiently. To do so, efforts should focus on collecting
210 standardised analytical data and dietary information across countries. Furthermore, incorporating
211 dietary biomarkers into the assessment of phytochemical intake may provide a more objective and
212 reliable evaluation[28]. In conclusion, this work provides the most comprehensive description of
213 phytochemical intake in the diet of European adults, simultaneously considering multiple bioactive
214 families and food sources. These results represent an extraordinary achievement in the global
215 understanding of our diet, and they lay the groundwork for future evaluations of the role of dietary
216 plant bioactives in human health.

217

218 **Methods**

219 ***Study population and food consumption data***

220 The information on the dietary habits of the European adult population (aged 18-65 years) was
221 extracted from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database
222 (https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/data-report/food-consumption-data), updated to December 2024.
223 The most recent surveys for each country were selected and used for this assessment. General
224 characteristics of each European country survey, such as the name of the study considered, the number

225 of individuals recruited and the food intake assessment method used are reported in Table S7.
226 Different dietary assessment tools, mainly 24-hour dietary recalls and food records, were used to
227 collect food consumption data: results were then harmonized and reported in the online database as
228 g/day, as currently visible on the EFSA website.

229 Consumption data at the highest level of specificity (Exposure Hierarchy L7 based on FoodEx2
230 classification[29]) still reporting the name of food categories, for instance '*Leafy vegetables*', were split
231 into their constituent food items, based on the EFSA Catalogue browser structure[29]. Composite foods,
232 such as "*Aioli or garlic sauce"*, were broken down into separate ingredients, aiming to reflect the
233 original recipe as closely as possible.

234

235 ***Phytochemical Food Database (PhytoFooD)***

236 Data on the concentration of plant bioactive molecules in foods was obtained from the Phytochemical
237 Food Database (PhytoFooD). Briefly, it collects data on the content of 1,067 phytochemicals in 1,410
238 different foods, divided into four main compound families: (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing
239 compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals. Each family is composed of several classes and
240 subclasses: (poly)phenols are divided into 4 main classes, namely flavonoids, phenolic acids, tannins
241 and miscellaneous (poly)phenols. Terpenoids are composed of 5 classes: tetra-, tri-, di-, sesqui- and
242 monoterpenoids. *N*-containing compound family comprises 3 classes: alkaloids, glucosinolates &
243 isothiocyanates, and miscellaneous *N*-containing compounds. These three families are subsequently
244 divided into multiple subclasses of bioactives. Lastly, phytates, phytoprostanes, thiosulfinates, and
245 miscellaneous compounds are the 4 main classes of the miscellaneous phytochemical family.
246 PhytoFooD collects chemical information of both fresh and cooked foods from 13 national databases
247 and targeted literature searches, providing average values after outlier assessment and removal. When
248 data on cooked foods were not available, yield factors from Bognar's tables[30] and CREA[31] were
249 applied to convert food weight from raw to processed equivalents.

250 ***Linkage between dietary data and PhytoFooD***

251 Food items reported in the Exposure Hierarchy L7 from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food
252 Consumption Database were univocally matched to our PhytoFooD food list, based on food names
253 and macronutrient content. Otherwise, the most similar food from the catalogue was used. This step
254 enabled us to link food consumption data to food composition data. The intake of individual
255 bioactives was obtained by proportioning the phytochemical concentration of a specific food
256 (expressed as mg/100g) to the amount of that food consumed. Intake of phytochemical subclasses,
257 classes, and, finally, families was calculated by summing the individual bioactive intakes from
258 different food sources, according to their classification. Multiple parameters were used to evaluate
259 the extent of bioactive intake variability across Europe and its countries; particularly, food intake data
260 at the 5th percentile, the mean, and the 95th percentile of the population were considered and matched
261 to the mean concentration of plant bioactive compounds in each food. The complete phytochemical
262 intake assessment was performed using Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. Statistical analysis and figures
263 were carried out using R version 4.5.1 software (R Core Team 2025) (https://www.r-project.org/)[32]
264 and RStudio version 418 (Posit Software, 2025) (https://posit.co/). Graphical representations were
265 created using following packages: tidyr[33], dplyr[34], ggplot2[35], circlize[36], ggbeeswarm[37], ggridges[38],
266 scales[39] and countrycode[40].

267

268 **Acknowledgements**

269 This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's
270 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (PREDICT-CARE project, grant agreement No
271 950050) and it has received funding from the Italian Ministry for Universities and Research (MUR)
272 under the FARE program (CARE-DIET, R20MPBW4FM).

273

Author contributions

C.Mic., A.R., D.D.R, and P.M. conceptualized the study. C.Mic., A.R. and F.B. collected, assembled and analysed the composition data for the development of the phytochemical food composition database. C.Mic. and F.B. analysed the data. C.Mic. drafted the paper. P.M. obtained funding for the study. All authors interpreted the results, revised the paper for important intellectual content, and read and approved the final paper.

Supplementary materials

Table S1: average intake (mg/day) of (poly)phenol family, classes, subclasses and individual compounds in the European adult population.

Table S2: average intake (mg/day) of terpenoid family, classes, subclasses and individual compounds in the European adult population.

Table S3: average intake (mg/day) of *N*-containing compound family, classes, subclasses and individual compounds in the European adult population.

Table S4: average intake (mg/day) of miscellaneous phytochemical family, classes, subclasses and individual compounds in the European adult population.

Table S5: phytochemical intake distributions (mg/day) of (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds and miscellaneous phytochemicals in 26 European countries.

Table S6: intake distribution (mg/day) of caffeine in Europe. Missing values are attributable to unavailable food consumption data.

Table S7: descriptive characteristics of European country surveys.

References

1. Liu, X. *et al.* Higher intake of whole grains and dietary fiber are associated with lower risk of liver cancer and chronic liver disease mortality. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6388 (2021).
2. Alissa, E. M. & Ferns, G. A. Dietary Fruits and Vegetables and Cardiovascular Diseases Risk. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 00–00 (2015) doi:10.1080/10408398.2015.1040487.
3. Willett, W. *et al.* Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet* **393**, 447–492 (2019).
4. Liu, R. H. Health-Promoting Components of Fruits and Vegetables in the Diet. *Advances in Nutrition* **4**, 384S-392S (2013).
5. Natale, A. *et al.* Intake of total and selected carotenoids and colorectal cancer risk: An Italian case-control study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-025-01661-7 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41430-025-01661-7.
6. Parmenter, B. H. *et al.* High diversity of dietary flavonoid intake is associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality and major chronic diseases. *Nat Food* **6**, 668–680 (2025).
7. Almanza-Aguilera, E. *et al.* Intake of total, classes, and subclasses of (poly)phenols and risk of lymphoid neoplasms: a prospective analysis in the EPIC cohort. *Br J Cancer* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-025-03228-6 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41416-025-03228-6.
8. López-Solís, R. *et al.* Lycopene intake and prostate cancer risk in men at high cardiovascular risk: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Med* **23**, 627 (2025).
9. Liu, R. H. Potential Synergy of Phytochemicals in Cancer Prevention: Mechanism of Action. *The Journal of Nutrition* **134**, 3479S-3485S (2004).
10. Cioccoloni, G. *et al.* Phytosterols and phytostanols and the hallmarks of cancer in model organisms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **62**, 1145–1165 (2022).
11. Kita, J. *et al.* Intake of phytochemicals among Japanese, calculated by the new FFF database. *BioFactors* **22**, 259–263 (2004).

12. Bituh, M. *et al.* Sex-related differences in dietary phytochemical intake in the population of primary school children in urban setting. *Front. Nutr.* **12**, 1576803 (2025).

13. Carnauba, R. A., Sarti, F. M., Hassimotto, N. M. A. & Lajolo, F. M. Bioactive compounds intake in the Brazilian population: Trends and determinants of socioeconomic inequalities between 2008 and 2018. *PLoS ONE* **18**, e0292006 (2023).

14. Zamora-Ros, R. *et al.* Dietary polyphenol intake in Europe: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Eur J Nutr* **55**, 1359–1375 (2016).

15. Klingberg, S. *et al.* Food sources of plant sterols in the EPIC Norfolk population. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **62**, 695–703 (2008).

16. Jenab, M. *et al.* Dietary intakes of retinol, b-carotene, vitamin D and vitamin E in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*.

17. Steinbrecher, A. & Linseisen, J. Dietary Intake of Individual Glucosinolates in Participants of the EPIC-Heidelberg Cohort Study. *Ann Nutr Metab* **54**, 87–96 (2009).

18. Subar, A. F. *et al.* Addressing Current Criticism Regarding the Value of Self-Report Dietary Data. *The Journal of Nutrition* **145**, 2639–2645 (2015).

19. Development and application of a Phytochemical Food Database (PhytoFooD) to assess the intake of dietary plant bioactives.

20. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). Scientific Opinion on the safety of caffeine. *EFS2* **13**, (2015).

21. Martínez-González, M. A. *et al.* Benefits of the Mediterranean Diet: Insights From the PREDIMED Study. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* **58**, 50–60 (2015).

22. Rajaram, S., Jones, J. & Lee, G. J. Plant-Based Dietary Patterns, Plant Foods, and Age-Related Cognitive Decline. *Advances in Nutrition* **10**, S422–S436 (2019).

23. De Alvarenga, J. F. R. *et al.* Monoterpenes: current knowledge on food source, metabolism, and health effects. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **63**, 1352–1389 (2023).

24. Menichetti, G., Barabási, A.-L. & Loscalzo, J. Chemical Complexity of Food and Implications for Therapeutics. *N Engl J Med* **392**, 1836–1845 (2025).

25. Zhong, Q., Sun, W., Qin, Y. & Xu, H. Association of Dietary α-Carotene and β-Carotene Intake with Low Cognitive Performance in Older Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Nutrients* **15**, 239 (2023).

26. European Food Safety Authority. Guidance on the EU Menu methodology. *EFS2* **12**, (2014).

27. Greenfield, H. & Southgate, D. A. T. *Food Composition Data: Production, Management and Use*. (FAO, Rome, 2003).

28. Ottaviani, J. I., Schroeter, H. & Kuhnle, G. G. C. Measuring the intake of dietary bioactives: Pitfalls and how to avoid them. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine* **89**, 101139 (2023).

29. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) & Ioannidou, S. EFSA Catalogue browser User Guide. *EFS3* **16**, (2019).

30. Bognár, A. Tables on weight yield of food and retention factors of food constituents for the calculation of nutrient composition of cooked foods (dishes). https://www.fao.org/uploads/media/bognar_bfe-r-02-03.pdf.

31. Lisciani, S., Camilli, E., Marletta, L. & Marconi, S. Weight change of food after cooking: focus on the Italian Food Composition Tables appendix. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science* **30**, 100605 (2022).

32. Makowski, D. *et al.* report: Automated Reporting of Results and Statistical Models. 0.6.3 https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.report (2021).

33. Wickham, H., Vaughan, D. & Girlich, M. tidyr: Tidy Messy Data. 1.3.2 https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.tidyr (2014).

34. Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., Müller, K. & Vaughan, D. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. 1.1.4 https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.dplyr (2014).

35. Wickham, H. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer international publishing, Cham, 2016).

36. Gu, Z., Gu, L., Eils, R., Schlesner, M. & Brors, B. *circlize* implements and enhances circular visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2811–2812 (2014).

37. Clarke, E., Sherrill-Mix, S. & Dawson, C. ggbeeswarm: Categorical Scatter (Violin Point) Plots. 0.7.3 https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.ggbeeswarm (2016).

38. Wilke, C. O. ggridges: Ridgeline Plots in 'ggplot2'. 0.5.7 https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.ggridges (2017).

39. Wickham, H., Pedersen, T. L. & Seidel, D. scales: Scale Functions for Visualization. 1.4.0 https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.scales (2011).

40. Arel-Bundock, V., Enevoldsen, N. & Yetman, C. countrycode: An R package to convert country names and country codes. *JOSS* **3**, 848 (2018).

Figures



Fig. 1: Average intake of phytochemicals in Europe. The average intake of bioactive compounds, divided into (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals, was evaluated in 26 European countries. These results were then grouped, to be representative of the European adult population. **a**. Distributions of phytochemical intakes in Europe considering average plant bioactive consumption of 26 countries. **b**. Most consumed plant bioactives (on average) for each phytochemical class in Europe; data is presented as a logarithmic scale. **c**. Contribution (%) of plant bioactive classes to the overall phytochemical intake.


a.
Isoflavones
Flavones
Flavanones
Flavan-3-ols monomers
Flavonols
Anthocyanins
Cinnamic acids
Phenylpropanoic acids
Phenylacetic acids
Benzoic acids
Miscellaneous phenolic acids
Proanthocyanidins & thearubigins
Coumarins & furanocoumarins
Ellagitannins
Lignans
Stilbenes
Chalcones
Prenylflavonoids
Alkylresorcinols
Miscellaneous
Soy drink
Soy yoghurt
Wheat flour, refined
Wheat and rye bread
Parsley, fresh
Oranges
Orange juice
Tea (beverage)
Pickled vegetables
Tea (beverage)
Olive oil
Espresso coffee
Bell peppers
Extra virgin olive oil
American coffee
Wheat bread, refined
Rye bread
Beer
Apples, with skin
Apple juice
Apples, without skin
Beer
Parsley, fresh
Red wine
Flaxseeds
Wheat bread, refined
Sesame oil
Raspberries
Candied fruit
Dried fruit
Chestnuts, fresh
Apples, without skin
Dark chocolate
Oat flour
Oat
Oat bran
Oat drink
Tea (beverage)
Red wine
Table olives
Beer
Table olives
Espresso coffee
American coffee
Cherries
Black raspberries
Strawberries
Red wine
b.
Potatoes
Wheat bread, refined
Sunflower oil seed
Wheat bread, wholemeal
Olive oil
Carrots
Carrot juice
Carrot, cooked
Bell peppers
Tomato puree
Tomatoes
Carrots
Carotenes
Xanthophylls
Phytosterols & phytostanols
Saponins
Limonoids
Orange juice
Fruit juice
Grapefruits
Soft drink, orange flavour
Lemon juice
Ursanes
Miscellaneous triterpenoids
Diterpenoids
Sesquiterpenoids
Monoterpenoids
Apples, without skin
Apple juice
Cranberry jam
Apples, with skin
Cranberry
Olive oil
Zucchini
Extra virgin olive oil
Fruit juice
Pumpkin
Bell peppers
Instant coffee, powder
Coffee ground, roasted
Espresso coffee
Carrots
Carrot juice
Orange juice
Soft drink, orange flavour
Oranges
Fruit juice
Carrots
c.
Potatoes
Red pepper
White pepper
Green pepper
Black pepper
Beetroot juice
Beetroots
Espresso coffee
Instant coffee (beverage)
American coffee
Potatoes
Broccoli
Green cabbage
Pickled vegetables
Chinese cabbages
Roman rocket
Broccoli
Horseradish roots
Steroidal alkaloids
Pyrrolidines & piperidines
Miscellaneous alkaloids
Betalains
Purines & pyrimidines
Glucosinolates
Isothiocyanates
Non-protein amino acids
Cyanogens
Amines
Miscellaneous
American coffee
Espresso coffee
Fruit juice
Oranges
Mandarins
Soft drink, orange flavour
Orange juice
Peaches, without skin
Beans, dried
Flaxseeds
Apple juice
Apples, without skin
Wheat and rye bread
Orange juice
Wheat bread, refined
Green tea (beverage)
Apples, with skin
Oranges
Potatoes
Beer
Banana
d.
Oil
Olive oil
Parsnip
Extra virgin olive oil
Carrots
Green cabbage
Onions
Garlic, fresh
Apple juice
Wheat pasta
Apples, without skin
Wheat bread, refined
Potatoes
Phytates
Thiosulfinates
Miscellaneous
Phytoprostanes
Peas
Olive oil
Green beans
Peas, dried
Peas, canned
Significant Spearman correlations (p < 0.05)
e.
Glucosinolates & isothiocyanates
Miscellaneous N-containing compounds
Phytates
Phytoprostanes
Flavonoids
Phenolic acids
Tannins
Miscellaneous (poly)phenols
Triterpenoids
Diterpenoids
Sesquiterpenoids
Monoterpenoids
Alkaloids

407 **Fig. 2: Associations between phytochemical classes and subclasses and their main food sources.**
408 Foods are complex matrixes, where hundreds of structurally different bioactive molecules are closely
409 interconnected. Figures **a**, **b**, **c**, and **d** rank the five principal food sources for each bioactive subclass
410 of (poly)phenol, terpenoid, *N*-containing compound, and miscellaneous phytochemical families,
411 respectively. **e**, Significant Spearman's correlations (p-value < 0.05) among phytochemical classes.


a.
Intake (mg/day)
(Poly)phenols
Terpenoids
N-containing compounds
Miscellaneous phytochemicals
b.
Intake (mg/day)
Flavonoids
Phenolic acids
Tannins
Miscellaneous (poly)phenols
c.
Intake (mg/day)
Tetraterpenoids
Triterpenoids
Sesquiterpenoids
Diterpenoids
Monoterpenoids
d.
Intake (mg/day)
Alkaloids
Glucosinolates & isothiocyanates
Miscellaneous N-containing compounds
e.
Intake (mg/day)
Phytates
Phytoprostanes
Thiosulfinates
Miscellaneous
f.
Miscellaneous (misc. phytoch.)
Thiosulfinates
Phytoprostanes
Phytates
Misc. N-cc
Glucos. & isoth.
Alkaloids
Monoterpenoids
Sesquiterpenoids
Diterpenoids
Triterpenoids
Tetraterpenoids
Misc. (poly)phenols
Tannins
Phenolic acids
Flavonoids
Intake (mg/day)
Parameter
5 percentile intake
Average intake
95 percentile intake
Country
AT HR
BA HU
BE IE
CY IT
CZ LV
DE ME
DK NL
EE PL
ES PT
FI RO
FR RS
GB SE
GR SI

Fig. 3: Phtytochemical intake distributions in Europe and European countries. Dietary habits represent powerful drivers of bioactive intake assessment. We investigated the phytochemical exposure differences, considering food consumption data of the 5th percentile, the average, and the 95th percentile of each European country. **a**. Phytochemical intake distributions of bioactive families among European countries. Figure **b**, **c**, **d** and **e** display the intake distributions among European countries of different classes of compounds, divided into (poly)phenols, terpenoids, *N*-containing compounds, and miscellaneous phytochemicals, respectively. **f**. Intake distributions of plant bioactive classes in Europe considering food consumption data of the 5th percentile, the average, and the 95th percentile of the population.



Fig. 4: Caffeine intake differences in European countries. The consumption of specific phytochemicals, such as caffeine, can exert different health effects based on the amount consumed. We investigated the caffeine intake differences between the 5th percentile, the average and the 95th percentile of the population of each European country. The red line indicates a safety threshold of 400 mg/day proposed by EFSA upon chronic caffeine consumption for adults[20].



Fig. S1: Intake distributions of plant bioactive classes in Europe. Food consumption data of the 5th percentile, the average, and the 95th percentile of the population of each European country were used to estimate the intake of dietary phytochemical classes in the European population.