Local habitual movement as a mechanism for *Schistosoma mansoni* transmission resurgence – a causal analysis

Rivka M. Lim[1,2]*, Moses Arinaitwe[2,3], Simon A. Babayan[2], Andrina Nankasi[3], Alon Atuhaire[3], Annet Namukuta[3], Mwima Nicholas [3], Amy B. Pedersen[1], Joanne P. Webster[4], Poppy H.L. Lamberton[2]•, Jessica Clark[2]•

[1]Institute of Evolution and Ecology, School of Biological Sciences, Ashworth Laboratories, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

[2]School of Biodiversity, One Health and Veterinary Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

[3]Vector Borne and Neglected Tropical Diseases Control Division, Ministry of Health, Kampala, Uganda

[4]Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, University of London, Herts, UK

*corresponding author: rivka.lim@ed.ac.uk;

•joint senior authorship

Abstract

Background/aims

The World Health Organization (WHO) aims to eliminate schistosomiasis as a public health

problem (EPHP) across 78 endemic countries by 2030. However, for low-prevalence settings

that reach EPHP, guidance on managing transmission to maintain EPHP or move towards

Interruption of Transmission (IoT) is limited, partly due to insufficient evidence on drivers of

resurgence. In Uganda, some communities inland from Lake Victoria have achieved EPHP for

Schistosoma mansoni but not progressed to IoT. This study explored whether routine, short-

range travel to the highly endemic lake could sustain transmission in these settings.

Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional study in five Ugandan villages ~5 km from Lake Victoria.

Parasitological data were collected using Kato-Katz and Point-of-Care Circulating Cathodic

Antigen tests, alongside questionnaires on lake travel from 585 individuals aged 1–91 years.

A structural causal model estimated the total and direct effects of travel frequency, activity

type, water contact duration, and drug treatment history on infection. Bayesian regression

models and counterfactual simulations predicted infection under hypothetical

interventions.

Results

Reaching IoT in low-risk villages may be undermined by habitual, short-range travel to high-

risk sites, driven by the nature and duration of lake contact. Daily lake travel caused a 1.7-

fold increase in odds of infection, while occupational activities caused a 3.4-fold increase

compared with no lake activity. Counterfactual analysis showed that removing lake contact

duration most reduced infection risk among moderate-frequency travellers, while daily

travellers showed smaller changes, and some transmission persisted among individuals with

little or no lake contact. Simulations demonstrated that modifying lake contact behaviours

could reduce individual infection risk but had limited population-level impact.

Conclusion

These findings indicate that targeting only high-risk villages or individual behaviours is

unlikely to achieve sustained, wide-spread IoT, underscoring the need for integrated control

strategies that account for mobility, behaviour, and local transmission ecology.

Key questions

What is already known on this topic?

• There is considerable guidance provided by the WHO for achieving EPHP, based on a

wealth of scientific evidence. However, evidence to support the development of

resources for areas that have reached EPHP is limited, particularly with regards to

when and how to scale back mass drug administration (MDA), whilst mitigating the

risk of resurgence.

• Simulation studies suggest that reducing treatment in low-prevalence settings may

trigger a return to higher transmission, but the mechanisms sustaining residual

infections in these contexts remain unclear and are often identified through

statistical associations rather than through robust causal analyses. As such, there is a

lack of evidence identifying drivers of resurgence.

• Human mobility is recognised as a potential driver of schistosomiasis transmission.

However, previous studies have focused on long-distance migration or spatial risk

modelling to predict future impacts of movement. The impact of individual-level

frequent, habitual, local travel between low- and high-prevalence areas on

transmission and resurgence has received comparatively less attention.

What this study adds?

• This study provides causal evidence, rather than just statistical associations, that

daily travel from low-prevalence inland villages to high-prevalence lakeshore landing

sites increases the probability of *S. mansoni* infection risk and intensity, largely

through activity type and duration of water contact.

• Counterfactual and mechanistic simulations show that reducing such travel would

lower infection risk, but transmission persists among individuals with little or no lake

contact, suggesting that without MDA, travellers may sustain infection in their home
villages.

How might this study affect research, practice or policy?

• Our findings suggest that low-prevalence communities should not automatically
receive less frequent MDA.

• Equally, nor should communities who have achieved EPHP see their MDA frequency
reduced without understanding sources of and plans for mitigating re-introduction of
infection.

• Surveillance in such settings should attempt to capture mobile individuals and use
more sensitive diagnostics to avoid underestimating residual risk.

• That communities are not isolated in space should be recognised when developing
policy guidance, due to the risk of infection resurgence.

• Research and policy should continue to leverage causal approaches to clarify the
mechanisms sustaining transmission in low-risk communities.

Introduction

Schistosomiasis is a parasitic disease caused by trematode worms of the genus *Schistosoma*. In sub-Saharan African, where over 90% of human cases occur, the dominant species are *S. mansoni* and *S. haematobium* (causing intestinal and urogenital disease, respectively). Transmission occurs through contact with freshwater that has been contaminated through open defecation or urination, or inadequate containment of urine or stool infected with parasite eggs. These eggs then hatch and infect intermediate-host freshwater snails, where they develop into larvae which are infective to humans when released from the snails. Schistosomiasis transmission is highly localised, with infection prevalence and intensity varying substantially even between neighbouring communities (1). In response to the significant health burden posed by schistosomiasis, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends a coordinated set of interventions, including primarily mass drug administration (MDA) with praziquantel, in combination with health education, improved access to safe water and sanitation, and snail control (2,3). While these strategies have reduced prevalence in many settings, it remains unclear whether, when and how best to scale back MDA, with the 2021-2030 Neglected Tropical Disease Roadmap, developed by the WHO, highlighting the need to understand how to monitor for, or what mechanisms could drive resurgence (4).

The WHO outlines three sequential programmatic goals to guide control efforts against schistosomiasis: 1) morbidity control; 2) elimination as a public health problem (EPHP); and 3) interruption of transmission (IoT) (2). An area is defined as having achieved these goals by using infection intensity, which in the case of intestinal schistosomiasis is quantified by egg counts generated using the Kato-Katz technique (5). For intestinal infection, a community is considered to have achieved morbidity control when <5% of school aged children (SAC) are heavily infected (defined as ≥400 eggs per gram of faeces (EPG)), EPHP is considered to have been achieved when <1% of SAC are heavily infected, and IoT is defined as having no autochthonous human or snail cases for five consecutive years (2). Progress towards these targets is assessed through combined surveillance, monitoring egg-based infection intensity

and prevalence (5), and in some cases supported by Point-of-Care Circulating Cathodic

Antigen tests (POC-CCAs) (6).

Whilst the WHO provides clear guidance for disease management and achieving EPHP in

moderate and high prevalence settings (2), there are limited resources EPHP has been

achieved. However, simulation models have indicated that when prevalence is <1% (by Kato

Katz), residual persistent transmission can lead to resurgence without sufficient treatment

(7) and surveillance (8). Furthermore, the impact of this is evidenced by resurgence in China

following the reduction of interventions (9) and in Zanzibar after a one-year pause in MDA

(10). Despite this risk, there are currently no robust post-EPHP surveillance and monitoring

protocols (11), nor defined indicators for when to stop MDA or surveillance. Without clear

guidance on how to detect early signs of resurgence or respond effectively, areas

approaching EPHP or IoT, risk losing any progress made. To mitigate this risk, it is essential

to identify the mechanisms that sustain low-level transmission and may drive resurgence, to

inform surveillance and treatment strategies critical to long-term elimination and

interruption success.

Communities living on the shores of Lake Victoria, Uganda tend to rely heavily on the lake

for daily life. However, despite two decades of MDA, many continue to experience high

rates of *S. mansoni* transmission (12,13). In contrast, some inland communities have shown

reductions in prevalence, with some reaching EPHP, albeit without achieving IoT (14). This

raises the question of whether inland communities which have reached EPHP, fail to

progress to IoT due to their proximity to high-endemicity regions, and specifically whether

transmission is sustained through habitual human movement between these low- and high-

risk locations.

Local habitual human mobility between low and high transmission regions has received

comparably less attention than long-term/distance human migration or movement (15–18).

Where previous studies have identified human mobility as a key factor shaping the spatial

dynamics of schistosomiasis transmission, they have largely relied on indirect evidence, such

as spatial models of risk, or ecological correlations, to infer associations between movement

and infection (19–21) and have not focussed on the impact of habitual movement between

areas which have achieved EPHP and those where endemicity remains high. Existing control

strategies often operate by administrative units, assuming that populations are

geographically isolated, and as such likely obscuring the possibility that habitual local

mobility could mean individuals act as a conduit for sustained transmission. This raises

another important question of whether certain people should, or can, be prioritised for

surveillance or treatment during the elimination phase, as a function of their connectivity to

high-risk locations, to prevent re-establishment of local transmission.

Additionally, although travel-related behaviours such as water contact and time spent in the

lake are known risk factors for schistosomiasis transmission (22), there is little evidence on

whether particular individuals, or their activities, are the cause of this risk. Understanding

whether routine travel directly increases infection risk, or whether it acts indirectly through

other behaviours is essential to inform targeted elimination strategies. Traditional

epidemiological analyses often describe statistically significant associations between

exposures and outcomes but cannot determine whether those exposures drive the

outcomes (i.e. evidence causality). In contrast, causal inference methods, like structural

causal modelling (SCM), are specifically used to estimate the effects of exposures while

accounting for confounding, mediation (where effects operate through intermediate

variables), and complex interdependencies. These approaches are increasingly applied in

infectious disease epidemiology to generate policy-relevant insights, where programmatic

decisions must be made using observational data (23,24). By explicitly defining and testing

assumptions regarding the ways different drivers might interact, and the pathways through

which transmission is shaped, SCMs offer a rigorous framework to evaluate drivers of

infection and support elimination planning.

The aim of this study was to quantify the causal effect of habitual, local travel to and from

highly endemic Lake Victoria areas on *S. mansoni* infection risk in regions that have met

WHO targets for EPHP. To do this, we applied a Bayesian causal inference modelling

framework to highly detailed behavioural and parasitological data collected from five

communities, to estimate the direct and indirect effects of travel-related behaviours on

infection risk. Understanding these patterns of movement and their relationship to infection

can offer valuable insight into whether such individuals may be contributing to ongoing

transmission that could be hindering progress toward IoT. Clarifying this relationship will

support the development of efficient and effective surveillance strategies, improve disease

resurgence detection, and ultimately contribute to achieving IoT goals.

Methods

Ethics

Informed written consent via signature or thumbprint was gathered prior to any data

collection for each adult, or from a legal guardian of those under the age of 18. In addition

to guardian consent, those aged eight to 17 gave informed signed or thumb printed assent.

All participants understood that they could leave the study at any point without losing

access to treatment. Enrolled participants were allocated a numerical ID which pseudo-

anonymously linked parasitological and travel data.

Public involvement

Community members were first engaged during a sensitisation visit led by Ministry of

Health team. The research questions were developed from policy priorities to understand

community experiences and practices related to schistosomiasis and its treatment,

particularly in low-risk areas where data are limited. While the public did not directly inform

study design, outcome measures, or recruitment, participation was voluntary following the

sensitisation meetings, during which questions were addressed before consent. Feasibility

was thus dependent on community acceptance. The pilot questionnaire was refined based

on volunteer feedback.

Data collection

Five villages each ~5 km from Lake Victoria, Uganda were selected: B, Nam, Nan, Nw and S

(Figure 1) (see supplementary data "Village selection" for full selection protocol). Individuals

aged one-91 years old were recruited assisted by the village health team. The study took

place in each village for one week, from October 2021- December 2021.

Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the locations of the five participating villages along

the northern shore of Lake Victoria, Uganda. Each point represents one village, colour-

coded by name: B (purple), Nw (red), Nam (orange), Nan A (green), and S (pink). The left

panel provides a broader map of Uganda, with a black rectangle indicating the location of

the zoomed-in map shown in the right panel, which displays a detailed view of the study

area.

Three stool samples were collected per person over three days and duplicate Kato-Katz

examined by experienced technicians, under a microscope, for *S. mansoni* eggs (5). One

urine sample per person was tested using POC-CCAs, using the 1-10 G-Score system (25).

Kato-Katz have 100% specificity but POC-CCAs were included to improve sensitivity in low

prevalence settings (26). A participant was considered positive for *S. mansoni* if at least one

egg was observed in a stool sample, or if negative by eggs but a POC-CCA ≥G3 (27,28).

Prevalence was calculated by dividing the number of positive people by the full sample size.

Individual mean infection intensity (EPG) was calculated as the mean slide count multiplied

by 24. Population-level mean EPG was calculated removing individuals with EPG=0.

Human mobility and water contact were assessed through a questionnaire administered

once during the study period. Questionnaires were conducted in the local language by

trained fieldworkers and answers recorded on tablets with KoboToolBox. Respondents were

asked their age, sex, and current village, as well as how many times they had travelled to

Lake Victoria in the past three months: i) never, ii) just once, iii) once a month, iv) twice a

month, v) once a week, vi) twice a week, or vii) daily; what activities they engaged in upon

arrival and how long they were in the water. Age was categorised into three

programmatically relevant groups: PSAC (0–5 years), SAC (6–15 years), and adults (>15

years). We explored an alternative four-level specification including young adults (15-25

years) in sensitivity analyses. As effect estimates for young adults were comparable to those

for older adults, with no improvement in model fit or predictive accuracy, the three-level

age variable was retained for the analysis. Questions regarding MDA participation were also

included.

Participants reported a wide variety of activities undertaken at the lake. These activities

were grouped into i) domestic, ii) occupational, iii) recreational, and iv) trade/visit and were

used in all models. A complete list of activity responses and their groupings is provided in

Table S1.

<u>Directed acyclic graph construction</u>

All analyses and visualisations were executed using R (v. 4.4.3). A directed acyclic graph

(DAG) was constructed to represent hypothesised causal relationships influencing the link

between an individual's infection status and travel to a highly endemic location. The DAG

was then used to inform the structure of the final model used for analysis. This DAG

included travel frequency to Lake Victoria, water contact duration at Lake Victoria, activity

type at the lake, MDA history, and demographic covariates: age, sex, and village of

residence (Figure 2A). The structure of the DAG i.e. direction of the edges and which

variables interact, was informed by a combination of domain knowledge, published

literature, and expert opinion of schistosomiasis transmission dynamics, local environmental

conditions, and behavioural patterns (29). The DAG aimed to visually represent a biologically

plausible and theoretically grounded causal framework. The DAG was visualised using the R

package dagitty (30). The structure of the DAG was validated against the observed data by

testing the implied conditional independencies between the variables (see supplementary

data "Model validation and restructuring" for a full explanation).

$$\textbf{SCM:}$$
$$Ag := \mathcal{U}_{Ag}$$
$$S := \mathcal{U}_S$$
$$L := \beta_{Ag}\, Ag + \beta_S\, S + \mathcal{U}_L$$
$$M := \beta_{Ag}\, Ag + \beta_F\, F + \beta_L\, L + \mathcal{U}_M$$
$$F := \beta_{Ag}\, Ag + \beta_S\, S + \beta_L\, L + \mathcal{U}_F$$
$$A := \beta_F\, F + \beta_{Ag}\, Ag + \beta_S\, S + \beta_L\, L + \mathcal{U}_A$$
$$D := \beta_{Ag}\, Ag + \beta_S\, S + \beta_A\, A + \beta_L\, L + \mathcal{U}_D$$
$$I := \beta_{Ag}\, Ag + \beta_S\, S + \beta_A\, A + \beta_D\, D + \beta_F\, F + \beta_L\, L + \beta_M\, M + \mathcal{U}_F$$

Figure 2 Directed acyclic graph (DAG) representing hypothesised causal relationships

between variables and *Schistosoma mansoni* infection (A), with structural equations for

each node (B). (A) The DAG outlines the assumed causal structure linking demographic,

behavioural, and environmental variables to infection. Arrows represent direct causal

effects. Key exposure variables include travel frequency, activity type, and duration of water

contact, with potential confounders such as age class, sex, and location. (B) Structural

equations define the dependencies of each variable, with terms representing regression

coefficients (β), unobserved residual error (U), and observed covariates. Ag = age class, S =

sex, L = location, M = MDA, F = frequency of travel, A = activity, D = duration in the lake, I =

infection.

<u>Structural causal model</u>

From the fully validated DAG, a SCM was constructed for analysis. Each variable was

expressed as a function of its direct causes (parents in the DAG) and an independent noise

term (Figure 2B). These functions define the joint distribution of all variables in the system,

informing the underlying causal structure. Minimal adjustment sets for each exposure-

outcome relationship were estimated from the SCM to ensure an unbiased estimation of

total and direct effects (Table S2) (31,32). These adjustment sets were formulated using

causal graph theory principles based on chains, forks and colliders (33). To account for

uncertainty and provide probabilistic estimates of causal effects, we used Bayesian linear

regression models. Each edge in the DAG was parameterised using these Bayesian models.

Effects were considered supported if the 95% credible interval (95% crI) for the effect

between variables did not include zero after adjusting for the conditioning set identified by

the DAG structure. Using these appropriate adjustment sets we estimated total and direct

effects of key causes of infection status and intensity, fitting models for each of the four

primary causes: travel frequency, water contact duration, MDA history and activity type.

Bayesian linear models were fitted, and posterior predictive checks conducted using the

brms package in R (34). Binary infection status (*S. mansoni* presence or absence) was

modelled using a Bernoulli distribution with a logit link. Egg burden (measured as mean EPG,

among egg-positive individuals only) was modelled using a Gamma distribution with a log

link, given its positive and right-skewed distribution. After carrying out sensitivity analyses

for choice of coefficient priors (supplementary data "Sensitivity analysis of priors for the

coefficients" and Figure S1), weakly informative priors were chosen and differed by model

family. Priors for each of the models are shown in supplementary data (Table S3). Posterior

distributions were drawn using four chains of 4000 to 10,000 iterations each (typically with

30% warm-up), depending on model complexity.

Counterfactual interventions

To simulate counterfactual interventions, we used posterior draws from the total effect

models to generate predicted probabilities of infection and predicted mean EPG under both

observed and intervened exposure conditions. For each intervention, we selected a target

exposure variable (travel frequency, water contact duration and activity type) and modified

it to reflect the desired intervention. Where an exposure had downstream effects on other

variables in the DAG (Figure 2A), these variables were also modified, for example if

someone doesn't travel to the lake, duration in the lake would also be set to zero.

The original model, fitted with the unmodified factual dataset using the appropriate

adjustment sets (Table S2) was then applied to both the factual and counterfactual datasets

to obtain posterior predictions. This preserved the natural variation in covariates needed to

estimate $P(Y|X)$ when applying the do-calculus, where Y denotes the outcome (binary

infection status or mean EPG) and X the exposure of interest.

This approach enabled us to estimate how infection risk would change under hypothetical

interventions while avoiding post-treatment bias. We applied this approach to different

scenarios: A) **Travel frequency:** setting all daily travellers to no travel, examining (i) change

in infection probability for the entire population, and (ii) change only for daily travellers; B)

Activity type: (i) changing all "occupation: activity to "none" and examining infection

probability for the whole population and occupational travellers, (ii) changing all "domestic"

activity to "none" and examining mean EPG for the whole population and for domestic-

activity individuals only; and C) **Water contact duration:** setting duration to zero, examining

(i) change in infection probability for the entire population, and (ii) change only for those

who had reported lake contact.

For each scenario, posterior predictive distributions were summarised as means across

individuals for each posterior draw and visualised under observed and counterfactual

conditions using histograms. Presenting full distributions rather than single point estimates

allowed assessment of uncertainty, skew, and variability in predicted effects.

Mechanistic counterfactual analysis

To examine whether the effect of removing lake contact duration varied by travel

frequency, we conducted a mechanistic counterfactual analysis. Posterior infection risks

were predicted under the observed data and under a counterfactual scenario where

duration was set to zero for individuals who had reported >0mins in the Lake. Conditional

average treatment effects were then estimated by computing mean infection risks within

each travel-frequency group and taking the difference between counterfactual and

observed values. This approach quantified how the causal effect of removing lake contact

duration differed across levels of travel frequency, providing a sensitivity analysis of

heterogeneity in the duration-infection pathway.

Results

Prevalence of *Schistosoma mansoni* infections

Community-wide prevalence of infection was 51% in Nan, 45% in B, 33% Nam, 30% in Nw

and 27% in village S (mean=36%). No participant had an infection intensity of ≥400 EPG

(Table S4). As the WHO defines EPHP as <1% of SAC with ≥400 EPG, all villages had achieved

EPHP (2). For more detailed village, age, and sex level variation stratified by travel habits,

see supplementary Data (Table S4 and Figure S2).

Effect of travel frequency on infection

Among all participants, 56% (329/585) reported travelling to the lake at least once in the

last three months (Figure S3). Of these travellers, 41% (134/329) were infected and 30% of

those that did not travel to the lake were infected (105/256) (Table S5). Daily travel to the

lake was reported by 24% of participants (138/585). The mean EPG in each group (travel

and no travel) was 11.3 (sd = 22.2) and 11.6 (sd = 13.4) respectively.

The total effect of travel frequency on infection was estimated using the minimal

adjustment set of confounders only (Table S2). There was a positive total causal effect for

those who travelled once a month, twice a month, twice a week and daily to Lake Victoria in

the last three months, with daily visits having a significant 1.7x effect on increasing infection

risk (log odds= 0.55, 95% crl=0.06 to 1.05) (Figure 3A). When estimating the direct effect,

there was no longer a significant effect of daily visits (Figure S4), with the activities classed

as occupation now having the only significant effect in the model (Figure 2A). Using a

separate model of EPG, the amount of travel undertaken did not significantly affect the EPG

in either the total or direct models (Figure 3B and Figure S5).

Figure 3. Estimated total effects of lake-related behaviours on *Schistosoma mansoni*

infection and infection burden.

Each pair of panels (left = infection probability; right = infection burden) shows posterior

distributions from Bayesian regression models estimating the total effects of specific lake-

related variables.

<u>Panels A & B:</u> Self-reported travel frequency to Lake Victoria (relative to no travel) on (A) the

probability of *S. mansoni* infection and (B) infection burden measured as eggs per gram

(EPG) of stool).

<u>Panels C & D:</u> Self-reported lake-related activity type on (C) probability of infection and (D)

infection burden.

<u>Panels E & F:</u> Participation in the most recent mass drug administration (MDA) on (E)

infection probability and (F) infection burden.

<u>Panels G & H:</u> Time spent in contact with lake water on (G) infection probability and (H)

infection burden.

Densities represent marginal posterior distributions of log-odds (infection) or log-mean EPG

(burden). Thick and thin horizontal lines represent 66% and 95% credible intervals,

respectively. The vertical dashed line indicates the null effect (log-odds or log-mean = 0). In

panel A, travel frequency categories are ordered from highest (daily visits) to lowest (single

visit). Observed infection prevalence and intensity by behavioural and exposure variables

can be visualised on Figure S3.

<u>Effect of activity carried out whilst visiting Lake Victoria</u>

When estimating the total effect of activity on infection, individuals reporting occupational

water contact had higher log-odds of being infected compared to those with no lake contact

(log-odds = 1.23; 95% crI= 0.12 to 2.36). Other activities were not significant predictors of

infection risk (Figure 3C).

Including water contact duration in the model to estimate the direct effect reduced the

estimated effect of occupational activity on infection (log odds = 0.91; 95% crI= –0.26 to

2.10), compared to the model without duration (Figure S6). Duration itself was positively

associated with infection risk (log-odds = 0.01, crI=0.0 to 0.01), confirming partial mediation

as outlined in the DAG (Figure 2A).

All activities were associated with a higher mean EPG compared to those reporting no lake-

related activity. Domestic activities had the greatest effect with a 35x higher mean EPG than

those reporting no activity at the lake (log odds= 3.55, 95% crl= 1.10 to 5.76) (Figure 3D).

Adjusting for duration of lake exposure in a direct effect model, did not meaningfully alter

the association between activity type and EPG in comparison to the total effect model

(Figure S7), and duration was not a significant predictor.

<u>Effect of taking part in the last MDA</u>

Across all villages, 73% (425/585) of participants said they took part in the last MDA. Details

of responses to why a participant did not take part in the last MDA and what age groups did

take part can be found in supplementary data (Tables S6 And S7). When modelling the

effect of MDA participation on infection, the total and direct effects used the same

adjustment sets, as there were no recorded mediators (Table S2). Individuals who reported

participating in MDA had lower though non-significant log-odds of infection than those who

did not (log-odds= –0.14; 95% crl = -0.57 to 0.29) (Figure 3E). There was no association

between MDA and mean EPG (log-mean = 0.09; 95% crl= –0.99 to 1.11) (Figure 3F).

<u>Effect of time spent in Lake Victoria</u>

The longest lake visits were for occupational activities (mean time spent (minutes) = 92, sd =

161), recreation followed (mean= 33, sd = 32), then trade/visiting (mean= 18, sd = 34), with

domestic activities shortest (mean= 14, sd = 32). For daily visits, occupations were rare (n =

9) but longest (mean= 64, sd = 70), while domestic visits were most common (n = 73) and

shortest (mean= 5, sd = 12) (Table S8).

When modelling the effect of time spent in Lake Victoria on infection, the total and direct

effects used the same adjustment sets as there were no mediators (Table S2). The log-odds

of infection increased by 1% (log-odds = 0.01, 95% crl= -0.0 to 0.01) for every additional

minute (Figure 3G) (note that the lower bound is essentially on 0 suggesting an incalculably

small possibility of 0, and so technically only a weekly positive association). While this effect

is small per minute, it accumulates across longer durations, for example, an additional 60

minutes corresponds to approximately 82% higher odds of infection than someone who

spends no time in the lake (assuming linearity) (log-odds of (0.01* 0.6)). There was no effect

of duration on mean EPG (log-odd = -0.0, 95% crI= -0.0 to 0.0) (Figure 3H).

<u>Counterfactual interventions</u>

The first counterfactual simulation removed daily lake travel, reducing infection probability

by 3% in the whole population from 36% (95% crI: 32–40%) to 33% (95% crI: 29–38%)

(Figure 4A) and by 12% among daily travellers from 41% (95% crI: 33–50%) to 29% (95% crI:

23–36%) among daily travellers (Figure 4B).

Removing occupational lake visits reduced infection probability by 1% in the whole

population from 36% (95% CrI: 32–40%) to 35% (95% crI: 31–39%) (Figure 4C) by 26%

among those reporting occupational visits, from 70% (95% crI: 52–83%) to 44% (95% crI: 28–

59%).

Eliminating domestic water activities reduced mean infection intensity from 16 EPG (95%

crI: 11–22) to 12 EPG (95% crI: 9–19) in the overall population (Figure 4E) and from 29 EPG

(95% crI: 12–62) to 1 EPG (95% crI: 0.2–11) among those reporting domestic activities

(Figure 4F).

Setting lake contact duration to zero reduced infection probability from 36% (95% crI: 32–

40%) to 35% (95% crI: 31–39%) in the overall population (Figure 4G) and from 44% (95% crI:

39–50%) to 40% (95% crI: 33–46%) among those reporting contact (Figure 4H), a reduction

of 1% and 4%, respectively.

Figure 4. Counterfactual predictions of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection probability under reduced travel and water contact scenarios. Posterior distributions of predicted infection probabilities for selected subgroups under observed (blue) and counterfactual (orange) conditions, based on a Bayesian logistic regression models.

A and B) Travel interventions: the predicted infection probability of no daily travel on the entire population (A); and only daily travellers (B).

C and D) Occupational interventions: the predicted infection probability of no one doing occupational activities on the entire population (C); and only those going for occupational tasks (D).

E and F) Domestic interventions: the predicted mean eggs per gram of no one doing domestic activities on the entire population (E); and only those going for domestic tasks (D).

G and H) Water contact interventions: the predicted infection probability of no water contact on the entire population (G); and only those who reported making contact (H). Distributions represent posterior samples of individual infection probabilities aggregated across the sample population.

<u>Mechanistic counterfactual: contact duration by travel frequency</u>

To examine whether the effect of removing lake contact duration varied across levels of travel frequency, we estimated conditional average treatment effects (CATEs). Reductions in infection probability were minimal among non-travellers and infrequent visitors (≤ once a month), highest amongst those travelling twice per month to twice per week (difference in infection risk of six-10 percentage points – Table 1). Daily travellers, while most at risk overall (Figure 3), showed comparatively small reductions (three percentage point reduction – Table 1).

Table 1. Contact-only estimated change in infection probability under do(Duration = 0)

Travel frequency	Median Δ (risk difference)	95% CrI (lower, upper)
Non-travellers	−0.030 (≈ 3%)	−0.071, 0.002
Just Once	−0.029 (≈ 3%)	−0.065, 0.002

< Once per month	−0.034 (≈ 3%)	−0.079, 0.003
Once per month	−0.038 (≈ 4%)	−0.088, 0.003
Twice per month	−0.102 (≈ 10%)	−0.213, 0.011
Once per week	−0.058 (≈ 6%)	−0.133, 0.004
Twice per week	−0.082 (≈ 8%)	−0.158, 0.008
Daily	−0.031 (≈ 3%)	−0.071, 0.002

<u>Discussion</u>

This study aimed to test whether habitual movement of people living in low *S. mansoni*

endemicity areas to high-transmission sites around Lake Victoria, Uganda, could be

sustaining transmission in surrounding inland communities that have reached the WHO-

defined threshold for EPHP (2). Our analysis revealed that frequent travel, particularly daily

travel is a plausible and quantifiable pathway for sustaining *S. mansoni* transmission in low-

prevalence settings. Critically, our results indicate that multiple overlapping exposures

contribute to infection. It is therefore unlikely that transmission can be controlled by a

single intervention, highlighting the need for a systems-thinking approach that recognises

behavioural, environmental, and structural factors influencing transmission dynamics in

EPHP settings.

To explore how behavioural interventions might influence infection risk and assess potential

resurgence pathways**,** we simulated a series of counterfactual scenarios using the fully

resolved SCM. The aim was not to advocate for behavioural restrictions, but to identify

groups who might benefit most from enhanced surveillance or targeted treatment. Across

all scenarios, predicted infection probabilities and mean EPG were lower than in the

observed data. The largest reductions in infection were consistently observed within the

specific subgroups targeted by each intervention, with relatively small effects at the

population level. At the individual scale, such interventions could be considered successful,

reducing infection probability by 12% in daily travellers, 26% in occupational visitors, and

lowering mean EPG in those undertaking domestic water activities from 29 to 1. These

changes would not only improve individual health outcomes but also reduce the likelihood of those individuals contributing to contamination of home-village water sources in the future. However, the insubstantial impact at the population level highlights a limitation of targeting individual risk factors to control transmission in established transmission settings.

Building on these modelled insights, the empirical data show that daily travel to Lake Victoria was associated with a 1.7-fold higher probability of infection compared with no travel, confirming a causal link between travel frequency and infection. When potential mediators were included, this association became non-significant, indicating that the elevated risk among daily travellers is largely explained by lake-related activities and time spent in the water. Participants involved in occupational water contact had a 3.4-fold higher infection probability than those reporting no lake activity, spending on average 92 minutes in the lake compared with 14 minutes for domestic activities. Although domestic activities were not linked to higher infection probability, they were strongly associated with higher mean EPG among those infected, possibly reflecting occasional high-risk behaviours such as washing clothes (22% of domestic cases) in shallow shoreline waters where Biomphalaria snails and cercarial densities are highest. Weekly travellers showed no elevated infection risk despite moderate exposure durations (~39–40 minutes), likely due to the low proportion engaged in occupational contact (4%).

Mechanistic counterfactual analysis, simulating zero minutes of lake contact while stratifying by travel frequency to assess the direct contribution of contact duration independent of mobility behaviour, revealed the greatest reductions in infection probability among those travelling twice per month, whereas daily travellers, the group at highest overall risk showed smaller changes, likely reflecting residual infection in this group. Taken together, these findings indicate that infection risk is shaped by the frequency, nature, and duration of water contact, and that some transmission persists among individuals with little or no lake contact. This further supports the earlier evidence that multiple overlapping exposures contribute to infection risk. The detection of infections among participants who never travelled to the lake supports the existence of inland transmission foci sustained by parasite importation from mobile individuals. Frequent travellers therefore appear to play a pivotal role in seeding infections within their home communities through routine water

contamination, allowing transmission to persist among non-travellers and across the wider

area. This connectivity blurs the boundaries between "high-risk" and "low-risk" villages.

Although all five study villages met EPHP criteria, with no heavy-intensity infections and low

mean egg counts among egg-positive individuals (11 EPG, SD = 20), these low-intensity but

persistent infections have important public health implications, highlighting the risk of

underestimating transmission potential and resurgence in post-EPHP settings, suggesting

that reliance on egg counts alone underestimates the true reservoir.

We therefore suggest that control in settings which have achieved EPHP require a systems-

based approach that addresses more than just the proximal drivers of transmission (e.g.

human behaviour and environmental reservoirs) to acknowledge the multiplicative effects

of distal drivers that are often socio-political and structural in nature (35). Our statistical

framework provides a tool to assess the potential impact of such strategies without relying

on large, highly parameterised dynamic models, which require extensive, context-specific

data. By linking empirical behavioural data to infection outcomes, this approach can help

understand mechanistic pathways which could lead to resurgence. Looking forward, this

framework could also inform adaptive post-EPHP surveillance and intervention strategies,

enabling programmes to anticipate and respond dynamically to early signals of re-

emergence.

<u>Limitations</u>

This study relied on self-reported behaviour from questionnaires, rather than direct

observations. While direct observation would offer more accurate behavioural data, it was

not feasible at the scale of this study. Misreporting is a known issue with self-reports (36),

and one such example emerged in our data when participants were asked about water

contact at or near their homes. Technicians working on this project had noted that many

individuals used nearby swampland as a local water source, and so a set of questions was

included to distinguish infection risk from local versus Lake Victoria exposure. However,

upon examination of the reported home water sources, 50% were found to be on the

shores of Lake Victoria, suggesting the question was misunderstood. As a result, these data,

and responses to all home water contact questions, were excluded from the analysis due to

concerns over validity.

Another limitation relates to interpretation of MDA participation. Although 74% of

participants reported taking part in the most recent MDA, the effect of treatment on

infection risk was weak, and no effect was observed on infection burden. This could be due

to rapid reinfection, or low drug efficacy, but may also reflect overreporting of participation.

This is a well-documented issue in qualitative research, where answers align with what the

participant believes is most desirable to the researcher (37). Furthermore, 50% of PSAC

reported taking part in last MDA, although were not eligible due to a lack of paediatric

praziquantel at the time. Attempts were made to retrieve the district reports on MDA, but it

was not possible, therefore the validity of self-reporting is without direct confirmation (e.g.

drug registers).

We hypothesised that high levels of habitual travel could also increase the risk of missing

MDA rounds, and our data supported this, with 28 individuals reporting they missed the last

MDA due to travel. However, if missing MDA is possible, so is missing surveys such as our

own study, and such individuals may be systematically under-represented in surveillance

and/or research activities, and may bias both epidemiological estimates and intervention

evaluations (38). In our setting, this implies that the infection risk and MDA non-

participation associated with high travel frequency may be underestimated, precisely

because the most mobile individuals may have been absent during data collection.

<u>Conclusions</u>

The findings presented here suggest that, in regions which have achieved EPHP, a small

subset of individuals engaging in high-risk behaviours may help to sustain local prevalence,

particularly occupational lake contact and habitual travel, and may serve as a bridge in

maintaining infection within otherwise low-risk groups. Counterfactual simulations showed

that while targeted interventions substantially reduce risk in individuals, their effect at the

population level is negligible, indicating that such approaches alone are insufficient.

Preventing resurgence will therefore require a systems-based strategy that integrates

human, environmental, structural, and ecological drivers of transmission to safeguard long-

term gains and achieve durable interruption of *S. mansoni* transmission.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the communities of, and individuals in, the study villages for participating in this study.

Data Availability

https://github.com/iamjessclark/travelStudySchisto

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare no competing interests nor conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval Statement

Study protocols were strictly adhered to following approval by the University of Glasgow MVLS ethics committee (200160068), Ministry of Health Uganda, Vector Control Division research ethics committee (VCDREC/062) and Uganda National Council for Science & Technology (UNCST-HS 2193).

Funding Statement

This work was supported by Wellcome [204820/Z/16/Z] awarded to JC, the European Research Council [SchistoPersist ERC starting grant 680088] awarded to PHLL and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EP/T003618/1] awarded to PHLL. RML. supported by the Wellcome Trust (218492/Z/19/Z; supervised by ABP, JPW, and PHLL).

List of Figures and tables

Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the locations of the five participating villages along the northern shore of Lake Victoria, Uganda. Each point represents one village, colour-coded by name: B (purple), Nw (red), Nam (orange), Nan A (green), and S (pink). The left panel displays a detailed view of the study area, while the right panel provides a broader map of Uganda, with a black rectangle indicating the location of the zoomed-in map.

Figure 2 Directed acyclic graph (DAG) representing hypothesised causal relationships between variables and *Schistosoma mansoni* infection (A), with structural equations for

each node (B). (A) The DAG outlines the assumed causal structure linking demographic,

behavioural, and environmental variables to infection. Arrows represent direct causal

effects. Key exposure variables include travel frequency, activity type, and duration of water

contact, with potential confounders such as age class, sex, and location. (B) Structural

equations define the dependencies of each variable, with terms representing regression

coefficients (β), unobserved residual error (U), and observed covariates. Ag = age class, S =

sex, L = location, M = MDA, F = frequency of travel, A = activity, D = duration in the lake, I =

infection.

Figure 3. Estimated total effects of lake-related behaviours on *Schistosoma mansoni*

infection and infection burden.

Each pair of panels (left = infection risk; right = infection burden) shows posterior

distributions from Bayesian regression models estimating the total effects of a specific lake-

related variable.

Panels A & B: Self-reported travel frequency to Lake Victoria (relative to no travel) on (A) the

probability of *S. mansoni* infection, and (B) infection burden (measured as eggs per gram

(EPG) of stool).

Panels C & D: Self-reported lake-related activity type on (C) infection probability and (D)

infection burden.

Panels E & F: Participation in the most recent mass drug administration (MDA) on (E)

infection probability and (F) infection burden.

Panels G & H: Time spent in contact with lake water on (G) infection probability and (H)

infection burden.

Densities represent marginal posterior distributions of log-odds (infection) or log-mean EPG

(burden). Thick and thin horizontal lines represent 66% and 95% credible intervals,

respectively. The vertical dashed line indicates the null effect (log-odds or log-mean = 0). In

panel A, travel frequency categories are ordered from highest (daily visits) to lowest (single

visit).

Figure 4. Counterfactual predictions of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection probability under

reduced travel and water contact scenarios.

Posterior distributions of predicted infection probabilities for selected subgroups under observed (blue) and counterfactual (orange) conditions, based on a Bayesian logistic regression models.

A and B) Travel interventions: the predicted infection probability of no daily travel on the entire population (A); and only daily travellers (B).

C and D) Occupational interventions: the predicted infection probability of no one doing occupational activities on the entire population (C); and only those going for occupational tasks (D).

E and F) Domestic interventions: the predicted mean eggs per gram of no one doing domestic activities on the entire population (E); and only those going for domestic tasks (D).

G and H) Water contact interventions: the predicted infection probability of no water contact on the entire population (G); and only those who reported making contact (H). Distributions represent posterior samples of individual infection probabilities aggregated across the sample population.

Table 1. Contact-only estimated change in infection probability under do(Duration = 0)

Supplementary Figures and Tables

Table S1. Participants responses to what activity they did at Lake Victoria put into groups for statistical analysis

Table S2. Minimal adjustment sets used in total and direct effect models by exposure.

Figure S1. Total effects of activity on *Schistosoma mansoni* infection burden. Posterior estimated total effects of lake-related activities, on the log mean of *S. mansoni* eggs per gram of stool. The model included fixed effects for activity type (domestic, occupational, recreational, trade/visit), and was adjusted for age class, sex, and location and duration.

Posterior densities represent marginal distributions of estimated effects, with the vertical dashed line indicating the null (log = 0).

A) Moderately informative coefficient priors of Normal(0,5)

B) Conservative coefficient priors of Normal(0,2)

Table S3. Model parameters and prior distributions.

Table S4. *Schistosoma mansoni* prevalence and mean eggs per gram (EPG) by travel

frequency to Lake Victoria, Uganda

Table S5. Frequency of Lake Victoria travel and distribution of reported activities, stratified

by age group, sex, and village.

Figure S2. Prevalence of *Schistosoma manson*i by village and Sex. Prevalence measured by a

positive Kato Katz from three duplicate slides or if a POC-CCA test had a G-score ≥3. F =

female and M = male.

Figure S3. Observed infection prevalence and intensity by behavioural and exposure

variables.

Observed infection prevalence (top and middle rows) and infection intensity (bottom row)

plotted against behavioural and exposure variables included in the structural causal model.

Bars and ribbons show observed mean prevalence with 95% binomial confidence intervals.

Points represent individual infections (1 = infected, 0 = uninfected), shown with jitter for

visibility.

(A) Infection prevalence by travel frequency to Lake Victoria (visits in the past three

months).

(B) Infection prevalence by participation in the most recent mass drug administration

(MDA).

(C) Infection prevalence by activity type at Lake Victoria.

(D) Infection prevalence by time spent in the lake (binned durations).

(E) Infection intensity (EPG, $\log_{1+}$ scale) by time spent in the lake (continuous).

(F–H) Infection intensity (EPG, $\log_{1+}$ scale) by travel frequency (F), MDA participation (G),

and activity type (H).

Figure S4. Direct effect of travel frequency on log odds of infection with *Schistosoma*

mansoni.

Posterior distributions for the estimated direct effects of self-reported travel frequency to

Lake Victoria on the probability of infection, relative to individuals reporting no travel in the

preceding three months (reference category). Estimates are log-odds coefficients from a

Bayesian logistic regression model with a Bernoulli likelihood and logit link function. The

model included fixed effects for travel frequency, age class, sex (binary), and location and

the mediator's activity and MDA. Densities represent marginal posterior distributions for

each travel frequency level, with the vertical dashed line indicating the null effect (log-odds

= 0). Travel frequency levels are ordered from highest (92 days) to lowest (1 day) reported

visits to the lake.

Figure S5. Direct effect of travel frequency on log mean on burden of *Schistosoma mansoni*

eggs per gram in stool.

Posterior distributions for the estimated direct effects of self-reported travel frequency to

Lake Victoria on mean eggs per gram of stool, relative to individuals reporting no travel in

the preceding three months (reference category). Estimates are log mean coefficients from

a Bayesian logistic regression model with a gamma likelihood and log link function. Densities

represent marginal posterior distributions for each travel frequency level, with the vertical

dashed line indicating the null effect (log-odds = 0). Travel frequency levels are ordered

from highest (92 days) to lowest (1 day) reported visits to the lake.

Figure S6. Direct effects of activity on *Schistosoma mansoni* infection probability.

Posterior distributions for the estimated direct effects of lake-related activities, the log odds

of infection. The model included fixed effects for activity type (domestic, occupational,

recreational, trade/visit), and was adjusted for age class, sex, and location and duration.

Posterior densities represent marginal distributions of estimated effects, with the vertical

dashed line indicating the null (log = 0).

Figure S7. Direct effects of activity on *Schistosoma mansoni* infection burden.

Posterior distributions for the estimated direct effects of lake-related activities, on the log

mean of *S. mansoni* eggs per gram of stool. The model included fixed effects for activity type

(domestic, occupational, recreational, trade/visit), and was adjusted for age class, sex, and

location and duration.

Posterior densities represent marginal distributions of estimated effects, with the vertical

dashed line indicating the null (log = 0).

Table S6. Self-reported reasons for not taking part in the last mass drug administration.

Table S7. Self-reported participation in the last MDA by age group.

Table S8. Frequency of Lake Victoria travel and distribution of reported activities, stratified

by duration in the lake.

References

1. Meurs L, Mbow M, Boon N, van den Broeck F, Vereecken K, Dièye TN, et al. Micro-
geographical heterogeneity in Schistosoma mansoni and S. haematobium infection and
morbidity in a co-endemic community in Northern Senegal. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013 Dec
26;7(12):e2608.

2. World Health Organization. WHO guideline on control and elimination of human
schistosomiasis. 2022.

3. Webster JP, Molyneux DH, Hotez PJ, Fenwick A. The contribution of mass drug
administration to global health: past, present and future. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol
Sci. 2014;369(1645):20130434.

4. Casulli A. New global targets for NTDs in the WHO roadmap 2021–2030. 2021;

5. Katz N, Chaves A, Pellegrino J. A simple device for quantitative stool thick-smear
technique in Schistosomiasis mansoni. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo. 1972;14(6):397–
400.

6. World Health Organization,. The control of schistosomiasis. Second report of the WHO
Expert Committee. 1993 p. 1–86. Report No.: WHO TRS N°830.

7. Ayabina DV, Clark J, Bayley H, Lamberton PHL, Toor J, Hollingsworth TD. Gender-related
differences in prevalence, intensity and associated risk factors of Schistosoma infections
in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021 Nov
17;15(11):e0009083.

8. Toor J, Truscott JE, Werkman M, Turner HC, Phillips AE, King CH, et al. Determining post-
treatment surveillance criteria for predicting the elimination of Schistosoma mansoni
transmission. Parasit Vectors. 2019 Sept 16;12(1):437.

9. Wang W, Bergquist R, King CH, Yang K. Elimination of schistosomiasis in China: Current
status and future prospects. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021 Aug 5;15(8):e0009578.

10. Trippler L, Ame SM, Hattendorf J, Juma S, Abubakar S, Ali SM, et al. Impact of seven years
of mass drug administration and recrudescence of Schistosoma haematobium infections
after one year of treatment gap in Zanzibar: Repeated cross-sectional studies. PLoS Negl
Trop Dis. 2021 Feb;15(2).

11. Liang S, Yang C, Zhong B, Qiu D. Re-emerging schistosomiasis in hilly and mountainous
areas of Sichuan, China. Bull World Health Organ. 2006 Feb;84(2):139–44.

12. Arinaitwe M, Adriko M, Kibwika B, Tukahebwa EM, Faust CL, Lamberton PHL. Residence
time, water contact, and age-driven Schistosoma mansoni infection in hotspot
communities in Uganda. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021 Dec;105(6):1772–81.

13. Clark J, Arinaitwe M, Nankasi A, Faust CL, Moses A, Ajambo D, et al. Reconciling Egg- and
Antigen-Based Estimates of Schistosoma mansoni Clearance and Reinfection: A Modeling
Study. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2021 Aug 6;74(9):1557–63.

14. Exum NG, Kibira SPS, Ssenyonga R, Nobili J, Shannon AK, Ssempebwa JC, et al. The
prevalence of schistosomiasis in Uganda: A nationally representative population estimate
to inform control programs and water and sanitation interventions. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
2019 Aug 14;13(8):e0007617.

15. Grobusch MP, Mühlberger N, Jelinek T, Bisoffi Z, Corachán M, Harms G, et al. Imported
Schistosomiasis in Europe: Sentinel Surveillance Data from TropNetEurop. J Travel Med.
2003 June 1;10(3):164–9.

16. Cui J, Jiang ,Peng, Song ,Yan Yan, Zhang ,Xi, and Wang ZQ. Imported African
schistosomiasis and the potential risk of transmission in China. Pathog Glob Health. 2018
Feb 17;112(2):101–5.

17. Steverding D. The spreading of parasites by human migratory activities. Virulence. 2020
Dec 31;11(1):1177–91.

18. Wang L, Wu X, Li X, Zheng X, Wang F, Qi Z, et al. Imported Schistosomiasis: A New Public
Health Challenge for China. Front Med [Internet]. 2020 Oct 22 [cited 2025 May 27];7.

Available from:

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/medicine/articles/10.3389/fmed.2020.553487/full

19. Mari L, Gatto M, Ciddio M, Dia ED, Sokolow SH, De Leo GA, et al. Big-data-driven

modeling unveils country-wide drivers of endemic schistosomiasis. Sci Rep. 2017 Mar

28;7(1):489.

20. Perez-Saez J, Mari L, Bertuzzo E, Casagrandi R, Sokolow SH, Leo GAD, et al. A Theoretical

Analysis of the Geography of Schistosomiasis in Burkina Faso Highlights the Roles of

Human Mobility and Water Resources Development in Disease Transmission. PLoS Negl

Trop Dis. 2015 Oct 29;9(10):e0004127.

21. Ciddio M, Mari L, Sokolow SH, De Leo GA, Casagrandi R, Gatto M. The spatial spread of

schistosomiasis: A multidimensional network model applied to Saint-Louis region,

Senegal. Adv Water Resour. 2017 Oct 1;108:406–15.

22. Reitzug F, Ledien J, Chami GF. Associations of water contact frequency, duration, and

activities with schistosome infection risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS

Negl Trop Dis. 2023 June 14;17(6):e0011377.

23. Hernan M. A definition of causal effect for epidemiological research. J Epidemiol

Community Health. 2004 Apr;58(4):265–71.

24. Vandenbroucke JP, Broadbent A, Pearce N. Causality and causal inference in

epidemiology: the need for a pluralistic approach. Int J Epidemiol. 2016 Dec;45(6):1776–

86.

25. Casacuberta-Partal M, Hoekstra PT, Kornelis D, van Lieshout L, van Dam GJ. An innovative

and user-friendly scoring system for standardised quantitative interpretation of the

urine-based point-of-care strip test (POC-CCA) for the diagnosis of intestinal

schistosomiasis: a proof-of-concept study. Acta Trop. 2019 Nov;199:105150.

26. Colley DG, Binder S, Campbell C, King CH, Tchuenté LAT, N'Goran EK, et al. A Five-Country

Evaluation of a Point-of-Care Circulating Cathodic Antigen Urine Assay for the Prevalence

of Schistosoma mansoni. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013 Mar 6;88(3):426–32.

27. Clark J, Arinaitwe M, Nankasi A, Faust CL, Adriko M, Ajambo D, et al. Translating from egg-
to antigen-based indicators for Schistosoma mansoni elimination targets: A Bayesian
latent class analysis study. Front Trop Dis. 2022;3.

28. Corstjens P, Hoekstra PT, de Dood CJ, van Dam GJ. Utilizing the ultrasensitive
Schistosoma up-converting phosphor lateral flow circulating anodic antigen (UCP-LF CAA)
assay for sample pooling-strategies. Infect Dis POVERTY. 2017 Nov 1;6.

29. Ferguson KD, McCann M, Katikireddi SV, Thomson H, Green MJ, Smith DJ, et al. Evidence
synthesis for constructing directed acyclic graphs (ESC-DAGs): a novel and systematic
method for building directed acyclic graphs. Int J Epidemiol. 2020 Feb 1;49(1):322–9.

30. Textor J, Van Der Zander B, Gilthorpe MS, Liśkiewicz M, Ellison GTH. Robust causal
inference using directed acyclic graphs: the R package 'dagitty'. Int J Epidemiol. 2017 Jan
15;dyw341.

31. Petersen ML, van der Laan MJ. Causal Models and Learning from Data. Epidemiol Camb
Mass. 2014 May;25(3):418–26.

32. Pearl J, Glymour M, Jewell NP. Causal Inference in Statistics: A Primer. Wiley; 2016.

33. Pearl J. Causal inference in statistics: An overview [Internet]. Vol. 3. 2009 [cited 2025 Apr
27]. Available from: https://projecteuclid.org/journals/statistics-surveys/volume-3/issue-
none/Causal-inference-in-statistics-An-overview/10.1214/09-SS057.full

34. Bürkner PC. brms: An R Package for Bayesian Multilevel Models Using Stan. J Stat Softw.
2017 Aug 29;80:1–28.

35. Makau-Barasa LK, Kamara K, Karuta C, Aderogba M, Leaning E, Bockarie M. Systems
thinking in the prevention, control, and elimination of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs).
Int J Infect Dis. 2025 Mar;152.

36. Lira B, O'Brien JM, Peña PA, Galla BM, D'Mello S, Yeager DS, et al. Large studies reveal
how reference bias limits policy applications of self-report measures. Sci Rep. 2022 Nov
10;12(1):19189.

37. Bergen N, Labonté R. "Everything Is Perfect, and We Have No Problems": Detecting and
Limiting Social Desirability Bias in Qualitative Research. Qual Health Res. 2020 Apr
1;30(5):783–92.

38. Clark J, Davis EL, Prada JM, Gass K, Krentel A, Hollingsworth TD. How correlations
between treatment access and surveillance inclusion impact neglected tropical disease
monitoring and evaluation—A simulated study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023 Sept
6;17(9):e0011582.