1 **Comparative Study on Prevalence of Anaemia Using Hemoglobin Meters and Fully**

2 **Automated Method**

3

4 Agyekum Gloria Boaitey[1]**,** Amankwaah Lordina[1], Acheampong George Asiamah[2]

5

6 [1] Department of Laboratory Technology, Kumasi Technical University

7 [2] Department of Clinical Microbiology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and

8 Technology

9

10 * Corresponding Author

11 Email: amankwaahlaudina505@gmail.com

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 **Abstract**

22 **Introduction:** Anaemia is one of the most prevalent global public health challenges,

23 particularly among women of reproductive age and children. According to the World Health

24 Organization, anaemia is defined as a hemoglobin concentration below 13.0 g/dL in adult men,

25 12.0 g/dL in non-pregnant women, and 11.0 g/dL in pregnant women. Hemoglobin

26 measurement therefore plays a critical role in diagnosis, classification, and monitoring of

27 anaemia at both clinical and public health levels. Hemoglobin estimation allows early

28 identification and intervention in at-risk populations.

29 **Methodology:** A cross-sectional study was conducted at Aniniwaa Medical Centre, Kumasi,

30 involving 100 participants who visited the laboratory for a complete blood count. Venous blood

31 samples were collected aseptically into EDTA tubes and analysed first with the fully automated

32 analyser, followed by the two Hb meters. Data were analysed using Chi-square tests, Bland–

33 Altman plots, and descriptive statistics.

34 **Results:** Results showed that the prevalence of anaemia varied across methods: 28% by the

35 analyser, 60% by Urit, and 64% by Mission. Both meters demonstrated 100% sensitivity but

36 lower specificities (55.6% for Urit and 50.0% for Mission). Bland–Altman analysis indicated

37 negative biases (Urit = −1.665 g/dL; Mission = −1.55 g/dL), suggesting both underestimated

38 hemoglobin values compared to the reference.

39 **Conclusion:** The study revealed that while Hb meters offer convenience and portability for

40 field screening, the fully automated analyser remains more accurate and reliable for diagnosing

41 anaemia in clinical settings.

42 **Keywords**: Anaemia, Hemoglobin, Fully automated analyser, Hemoglobin Meter, Estimation

43

INTRODUCTION

Hemoglobin is a protein in red blood cells that carries oxygen (1). It is composed of a protein called heme, which binds oxygen (2). Hemoglobin ensures tissue oxygenation for proper functioning and contains iron which ensures oxygen transportation throughout the body (3). The hemoglobin is formed in the red blood cells which is produced in the bone marrow and is made up four polypeptide chains which are two alpha and two beta chains in a normal healthy individual (4).

Anaemia is the reduction in normal levels of hemoglobin concentration in blood with respect to age, gender and environment (5). It is a widespread public health issue affecting people across all age groups, particularly women of reproductive age and young children in low- and middle-income countries. The accurate measurement of hemoglobin is crucial in the diagnosis and classification of anaemia. Anaemia is often described as low levels of blood, mainly red blood cells (6). According to World Health Organization (WHO), anaemia is defined as hemoglobin levels below 13.0 g/dL in adult males and 12.0 g/dL in non-pregnant females and 11.0 g/dL in pregnant women (7). Anaemia can result from various underlying causes, including nutritional deficiencies (iron, vitamin B12, and folate), chronic diseases, blood loss, bone marrow disorders, or parasitic infections such as malaria and hookworm (8). Since the clinical management of anaemia depends on identifying both the presence and severity of hemoglobin reduction, hemoglobin analysis is often the first-line investigation in suspected cases. Anaemia is a high cause of mortality in women, especially pregnant women and children. Hemoglobin estimation plays a central role in classifying anaemia based on severity: mild, moderate, or severe. The WHO classification is widely used in both clinical and public health contexts to guide treatment decisions and monitor intervention programs (7).

In resource-limited settings, point-of-care devices such as portable hemoglobin meters provide

a practical method for rapid screening of anaemia in community and outreach settings.

However, concerns about their accuracy, especially in detecting mild anaemia, have led to

ongoing evaluations comparing their performance to automated haematology analysers (9).

The hemoglobin estimation indirectly measures the amount of iron in the blood to produce

efficient red blood cells (10). Methods such as the haematocrit method, the drabkin's solution

method, the fully automated method, and point of care testing (hemoglobin meter) can be used

in hemoglobin estimation.

A hemoglobin meter is a device used for checking the levels of hemoglobin in the blood and

used as point-of-care testing. They are mostly useful in resource-limited settings and can be

carried from one place to another easily. However, questions remain about their accuracy and

reliability compared to fully automated haematology analysers, which are considered the gold

standard in clinical laboratories (11). According to the National Institutes of Health,

hemoglobin meters use light absorbance principles to measure hemoglobin concentration in

blood samples, directly or after a chemical reaction that alters the hemoglobin's light-absorbing

properties, and light absorbed is proportional to the hemoglobin concentration. (10).

The fully automated method uses hemoglobin analyser to estimate hemoglobin levels in the

blood. It uses the principle of measuring changes in electrical resistance as cells pass through

a sensing area and analysing the scattering of light by cells. Given the widespread use of

hemoglobin meters in primary healthcare and outreach programs, it is crucial to assess how

their results compare to those from fully automated analysers.

In remote areas of Ghana where there is no electricity, health facilities rely on Hb meters which

are convenient and quick alternative to fully automated haematology machines. Most health

sectors in Ghana use the Hb meter more than the fully automated machines due to the high cost

of haematology analysers, need for scheduled maintenance, and high cost of reagents.

It is essential to assess the agreement between the Hb meter and the fully automated machine

to determine whether the Hb meter is a reliable method of Hb estimation. This study will

provide valuable information about the performance of Hb meters potentially aiding in better

decision making for anaemia screening programs in remote and low-resource settings.

METHODOLOGY

Study Location

The study was carried out in Kumasi, Ghana, the capital city of the Ashanti Region. Kumasi is

a major urban and commercial hub with diverse health service providers ranging from small

clinics to large tertiary hospitals. The population in the city seeks medical care from both public

and private facilities, making it suitable for research on diagnostic methods such as hemoglobin

estimation.

Study Site

The study was conducted at Aniniwaa Medical Center, a well-established healthcare facility in

Emena, Kumasi that provides diagnostic and clinical laboratory services. The medical center

is equipped with both point-of-care devices and a fully automated haematology analyser,

making it appropriate for a direct comparison of hemoglobin measurement methods.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the office of the Institute of Research,

Innovation and Development (IRID) in Kumasi Technical University (KsTU). The study

followed ethical principles in line with the Declaration of Helsinki.

113   **Informed Consent**

114   Prior to participation, the aims and procedures of the study were explained to all patients.

115   Participation was voluntary, and confidentiality was strictly maintained throughout the study.

116   **Inclusion Criteria**

117   All patients attending Aniniwaa Medical Centre during the study period who were referred for

118   a Complete Blood Count (CBC) were eligible for inclusion.

119   **Exclusion Criteria**

120   • Patients unwilling to provide informed consent.

121   • Patients with visibly haemolysed or clotted samples.

122   • Patients whose samples could not be analysed within the required time window.

123   **Sample Size**

124   A total of 100 participants were recruited for the study. The participants were randomly

125   selected and the data collection was completed within one week.

126   **Study Design**

127   This research employed a comparative cross-sectional study design, where blood samples from

128   participants were analysed using both portable hemoglobin meters and a fully automated

129   analyser. The design allowed for direct comparison of results from the two different methods

130   under the same conditions.

131   **Sample and Data Collection Method**

132   Venous blood samples were collected aseptically from the antecubital vein (inner arm) of each

133   participant using standard phlebotomy procedures. Approximately 2 mL of blood was drawn

134   into an EDTA anticoagulated tube. The tubes were placed on a roller mixer to ensure even

mixing of the blood with the anticoagulant and to prevent clotting. Each sample was analysed

within 30 minutes of collection using the fully automated analyser (Mindray haematology

analyser) and two portable hemoglobin meters (URIT-12 and Mission Hb Meter), using venous

aliquots according to manufacturer guidelines. The hemoglobin (Hb) values and haematocrit

(HCT/PCV) from all three methods were recorded in a structured data collection sheet for

further analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Demographics of Participants

The study recruited 100 participants, made up of 71 (71%) females and 29 (29%) males. The

females were slightly older with a median age of 28.0 (22.0–37.0) years, compared to the

males, 24.0 (19.0–44.50) years. The median age of the total study population was 26.0 (21.0–

37.0) years. Majority of the participants (46%) were within the age range of 19–30 years. A

summary of the demographic characteristics is shown in Table 1.0.

Table 1.0: Demographic characteristics of the study participants.

Characteristics	Category	Frequency	%
	0–18	16	16.0
	19–30	46	46.0
Age	31–50	27	27.0
	51–70	7	7.0
	71–90	4	4.0
Gender	Males	29	29.0
	Females	71	71.0

150 *%= percentage. Data presented as frequency with percentage*

151 **Comparison of Hemoglobin Concentrations Obtained from the Methods of Estimation**

152 Hemoglobin concentration and haematocrit values obtained from the three methods of

153 estimation were compared between gender and age categories.

154 **Table 2.0: Comparison of Hb concentration obtained from the three methods**

Variables	Age categories (X ± SD)					p-value	Sex		p-value
	0–18	**19–30**	**31–50**	**51–70**	**71–90**		**Male**	**Female**	
UHB (g/dL)	10(±2)	11±2	10±2	9±2	9±2	0.059	11±2	10±2	<0.05
UHCT (%)	31(±5)	32±5	30±5	28±5	25±5	**0.042**	34±6	30±5	<0.05
MHB (g/dL)	10(±1)	11±2	10±1	10±1	10±2	0.155	12±2	10±1	<0.05
FHB (g/dL)	11±1	12±2	12±2	11±2	11±3	0.577	13±2	12±2	<0.05

UHB= Urit meter hemoglobin; UHCT= Urit meter derived haematocrit; MHB= Mission meter

hemoglobin; FHB= fully automated analyser derived hemoglobin; g/dL= gram per deciliter;

%= percentage. Data were analysed using the Independent student t-test and the One-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA), $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Table 2.1: Comparison of the median HCT levels obtained from the Mission meter and

the analyser

Variables	Age categories					p-value	Sex		p-value
	0–18	19–30	31–50	51–70	71–90		Male	Female	
MHCT (%)	29	32	29	30	28	0.138	35	29	<0.001
FHCT (%)	37	38	35	35	30	0.127	42	36	<0.001

162 *MHCT= Mission meter derived haematocrit; FHCT= Analyser meter derived haematocrit;*

163 *%= percentage. Data were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal- Wallis*

164 *H test, $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.*

166 **Prevalence of Anaemia Classified by the Three Methods**

167 Participants were classified as anaemic if they had HB less than 11.0 g/dL. The fully

168 automated analyser recorded the least prevalence of anaemia with a rate of 28% (CI: 20.1%–

169 37.5%) followed by the Urit method classification, 60% (50.2%–69.1%) and the Mission HB

170 meter method recorded the highest prevalence of 64% (54.2%–72.7%) as shown in Table 3.0.

171 **Table 3.0: Prevalence of anaemia as classified by the methods of estimation**

Methods	Anaemia		Normal	
	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Urit	60	60	40	40
Mission	64	64	36	36
FAM	28	28	72	72

172 *FAM= fully automated analyser*

173 The differences in prevalence between the devices are notable. The automated analyser,

174 considered the laboratory reference method, classified fewer participants as anaemic compared

175 to the point-of-care (POC) Hb meters. This suggests that the Hb meters may be overestimating

176 the prevalence of anaemia, potentially due to device-specific calibration differences, the effect

177 of environmental conditions, sample type (venous), or the variability in sample handling.

178 Similar findings have been reported in Ghana and elsewhere. For example, (12) observed that

179 POC devices tended to report lower hemoglobin values compared to automated haematology

180 analysers in malaria-endemic populations, which could lead to an overestimation of anaemia

181 prevalence. In India, (13) found discrepancies between Hb meters and laboratory analysers,

182 noting that Bland–Altman plots revealed systematic biases. More recently, (14) confirmed that

183 while Hb meters remain useful for screening in field surveys, they require strict validation

184 against venous samples analysed on automated systems to avoid inflated prevalence estimates.

From a public health perspective, these discrepancies are important. If POC devices

consistently overestimate anaemia prevalence, program planners may allocate resources

inefficiently or misinterpret the severity of anaemia in a population. On the other hand, their

portability and rapid turnaround make them indispensable tools in rural or resource-limited

settings where automated analysers are unavailable.

Prevalence of Anaemia by Gender and Age Categories

Anaemia was classified based on a cut value of <11.0 g/dL. The present study recorded a high

prevalence rate of anaemia by gender and age groups. Anaemia was prevalent among females

by all three methods and in the 19–30; Urit meter derived anaemia classification: the females,

recorded a high prevalence of anaemia, 52/71 (73.2%) than the males of which only 8/29

(27.6%) were anaemic (Figure 1.0). The Pearson Chi-Square test revealed a statistically

significant difference with ($X^2 = 17.881$, p<0.001). Although, anaemia was prevalent in the 19–

30, 24/46 out of 60 anaemic participants (40.0%). The Pearson Chi-Square test showed no

statistically significant difference, ($X^2 = 5.354$, p= 0.253). The mission hemoglobin meter

recorded a higher prevalence of anaemia in the females, 54/71 (76.1%) than in the males 10/29,

(34.5%). Similarly, anaemia incidence was higher in the 31-50 age group, 23/27, (85.2%)

(Figure 2.0). The Pearson Chi-Square test showed significant difference. ($X^2 = 15.446$,

p<0.001). The reference analyser found an anaemia prevalence of 36.6% (26/71) in females

and 6.9% (2/29). The difference was statistically significant as shown by the Pearson Chi-

Square, ($X^2 = 9.023$, p=0.003) (Figure 3.0)

Figure 1.0. Prevalence of anaemia by the Urit Hb meter.

Figure 2.0 Prevalence of anaemia by Mission Hb meter

Figure 3.0 Prevalence of anaemia by the fully automated method.

Across methods, females recorded markedly higher anaemia prevalence than males. For example, the Urit meter classified 73.2% of females as anaemic compared with 27.6% of males; the Mission Hb meter showed 76.1% in females versus 34.5% in males; and even the more conservative automated analyser found 36.6% of females to be anaemic compared with only 6.9% of males. All three methods confirmed statistically significant differences.

These gender disparities are biologically plausible. In women of reproductive age, iron requirements are elevated due to menstrual blood losses, pregnancies, and lactation (15). Pregnancy in particular imposes increased demands for iron to support foetal growth and expansion of maternal blood volume, predisposing to anaemia when dietary intake or supplementation is inadequate (16). In Ghana, studies have repeatedly highlighted the burden of anaemia in women. For instance, (17) reported that anaemia prevalence among Ghanaian women of reproductive age exceeded 40%, consistent with WHO's classification of a severe public health problem.

The persistence of gender differences across all measurement devices in the present study suggests that the observed disparity is not solely a measurement artifact but reflects a real and

biologically meaningful pattern. Nonetheless, it is important to note that the absolute

prevalence estimates differed considerably between devices, with point-of-care meters (Urit

and Mission) producing substantially higher prevalence estimates than the automated analyser.

Age Differences in Anaemia Prevalence

Using the Urit meter, anaemia was most prevalent among younger adults (19–30 years),

accounting for 40% of all anaemic cases, although this did not reach statistical significance. By

contrast, the Mission Hb meter suggested that the highest prevalence occurred among

participants aged 31–50 years, with 85.2% classified as anaemic in this group. The fully

automated analyser reported lower overall prevalence but similarly identified higher rates

among reproductive-aged women compared to men.

These findings can be understood in relation to life-stage iron requirements and comorbidities.

In younger women (19–30 years), menstruation and pregnancy are primary drivers of anaemia.

This aligns with the Urit meter's results, where nearly three-quarters of females were classified

as anaemic. In middle-aged adults (31–50 years), cumulative reproductive history, poor dietary

replenishment, and possible underlying chronic diseases may explain the higher prevalence

detected by the Mission Hb meter. Chronic inflammation, liver disease, or parasitic infections

(such as hookworm or schistosomiasis, still prevalent in parts of Ghana) may further contribute

to anaemia in these age groups (18).

Globally, WHO estimates confirm that the burden of anaemia peaks in young children and

women of reproductive age, with prevalence decreasing slightly after menopause in women

and remaining consistently low in adult men (15). The current findings mirror these trends but

also suggest device-specific variability in classifying anaemia by age group.

251   **Assessment of the Accuracy of the Estimation Methods to the Reference Analyser**

252   **Method**

253   Tables (4.1 and 4.2) below demonstrates the accuracy of the Urit and Mission Hb meters to the

254   fully automated analyser in classifying participants into normal and anaemia. The Urit method

255   overestimated anaemia with a false positive of 32, sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of

256   55.6%. This difference was statistically significant as shown by the Chi-Square test, ($X^2=$

257   25.926, p <0.05) (Table 4.1).

258   **Table 4.1: Comparison of anaemia status by the Urit and the reference analyser**

Urit estimation method	Fully automated analyser method		Total	p-value
	Anaemia	**Normal**		
Anaemia	28	32	60	**<0.001**
Normal	0	40	40	
Total	28	72	100	

259   *Compared using the Chi-square test, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.*

260   Similarly, the Mission Hb meter recorded a false positive of 36, a 100% sensitivity and showed

261   a specificity of 50% against the standard fully automated analyser as shown in Table 4.2. The

262   Pearson Chi-Square test showed a statistically significant difference, ($X^2=$ 21.875, $p<0.001$).

263   **Table 4.2: Comparison of anaemia status by the Mission and the reference analyser**

Mission estimation	Fully automated analyser method		Total	p-value
	Anaemia	**Normal**		
Anaemia	28	36	64	**<0.001**
Normal	0	36	36	
Total	28	72	100	

264   *Compared using Chi-Square test of independence, p<0.05 considered statistically significant.*

The assessment of accuracy revealed that both the Urit and Mission Hb meters demonstrated

perfect sensitivity (100%) compared with the fully automated analyser. This indicates that the

devices were able to correctly identify all participants classified as anaemic by the reference

method. In practical terms, none of the true anaemic cases were missed, which is advantageous

in clinical and field screening because it minimizes the risk of failing to detect individuals

requiring intervention.

However, the meters showed poor specificity, with the Urit achieving 55.6% and the Mission

only 50%. Both devices produced a large number of false positives — 32 with the Urit and 36

with the Mission. This suggests that while the meters are highly reliable in detecting anaemia

when present, they tend to overestimate its prevalence by misclassifying non-anaemic

individuals as anaemic. Such overestimation was further supported by the statistically

significant Chi-square results (p<0.05), confirming that the discrepancy between the Hb meters

and the reference analyser was not due to chance.

The pattern observed is consistent with earlier studies. (13) reported that point-of-care

hemoglobin meters often over diagnose anaemia compared with automated analysers, largely

due to variations in capillary sampling, environmental factors, and device calibration. In

Ghana, (19) similarly observed that portable Hb meters tended to overestimate anaemia

prevalence in malaria-endemic areas, cautioning against their use as stand-alone diagnostic

tools in epidemiological surveys. Recent evaluations by (14), also highlighted same limitation,

emphasizing the importance of validating point-of-care devices against venous samples using

Bland–Altman methods.

From a public health standpoint, the high sensitivity of Hb meters makes them suitable for

screening programs where the priority is to detect all potential anaemic individuals. However,

the trade-off is low specificity, which can inflate prevalence estimates and potentially lead to

unnecessary supplementation or misallocation of resources. This limitation underscores the

290 importance of using Hb meters as preliminary screening tools rather than definitive diagnostic

291 methods, particularly in research or policy contexts where accurate prevalence data are

292 required.

293 **Assessment of the Level of Agreement between the Estimation Methods and the**

294 **Reference Analyser Method**

295 The Bland-Altman plot was used to determine the level of agreement between the two testing

296 methods (Urit and Mission) and the reference method. The mean bias for Urit meter

297 hemoglobin readings versus the reference analyser was -01.665 g/dL, with limits of agreement

298 ranging from -4.443–1.123 g/dL as shown in (Figure 4.3). The Mission hemoglobin meter

299 recorded similar negative bias, with a mean bias was -1.55 g/dL. The lower and the upper limits

300 of agreement were -4.50 and 1.40 g/dL respectively (Figure 4.4)

301

302 **Figure 4.3: Mean (Urit vs FAM) and Difference (Urit-FAM)**

303 Bias= -1.665

304 Lower limit of agreement (LLOA) = -4.433

305 Upper limit of agreement (ULOA) = 1.123

307 **Figure 4.4: Mean (Mission vs FAM) and Difference (Mission-FAM)**

308 Bias= -1.55

309 LLOA= -4.50

310 ULOA= 1.40

311 The Bland–Altman analysis provided further insight into the level of agreement between the

312 portable Hb meters (Urit and Mission) and the fully automated analyser. Both devices

313 demonstrated a negative mean bias, with the Urit meter showing a bias of –1.665 g/dL and the

314 Mission meter –1.55 g/dL. This consistent underestimation indicates that, on average, the Hb

315 meters reported lower hemoglobin values than the reference analyser.

316 The limits of agreement (LOA) for the Urit meter (–4.433 to 1.123 g/dL) and the Mission meter

317 (–4.50 to 1.40 g/dL) were relatively wide. This suggests that individual readings between the

318 meters and the reference analyser could differ by as much as ±4 g/dL. Such wide limits raise

319 concerns about reliability at the individual diagnostic level, especially around critical cut-off

320 points for anaemia classification.

321 These findings align with prior studies that reported systematic underestimation by point-of-

322 care devices. (14) found that both HemoCue and Mission Hb meters demonstrated mean

323 negative bias when compared with automated haematology analysers, with similar wide LOAs.

In Ghana, (19) also noted poor agreement between Hb meters and laboratory analysers,

cautioning that while useful for screening, they were less appropriate for precise diagnostics.

From a practical perspective, the negative bias and wide LOA imply that the Hb meters tend to

overestimate the prevalence of anaemia, consistent with the findings in the prevalence of

anaemia as classified by the three methods section. An individual classified as anaemic by a

portable meter may not always be confirmed as such by the reference analyser, highlighting

the risk of false positives. This has important clinical and public health implications, as it could

lead to unnecessary supplementation or misclassification in population surveys.

Nevertheless, the relatively consistent bias across both Urit and Mission meters suggests that

systematic calibration adjustments might improve their performance in local contexts.

Furthermore, their portability and high sensitivity make them useful tools in resource-limited

or field settings where access to automated analysers is restricted.

In summary, the Bland–Altman analysis demonstrates that although Hb meters agree

reasonably well with the reference method in terms of trend, their underestimation and wide

limits of agreement reduce their accuracy for individual-level diagnosis. Confirmatory testing

with automated analysers remains essential, especially in clinical decision-making and

epidemiological reporting.

CONCLUSION

This study compared hemoglobin estimation using two point-of-care testing (POCT) devices,

the Urit Hb meter and the Mission Hb meter against a Fully Automated Analyser (reference

method) among 100 participants at Aniniwaa Medical Center in Kumasi, Ghana.

The findings revealed clear differences in anaemia prevalence depending on the estimation

method used. The fully automated analyser reported the lowest prevalence of anaemia (28%),

while the Urit Hb meter (60%) and Mission Hb meter (64%) classified far more participants as

anaemic. This demonstrates that the Hb meters tend to overestimate anaemia prevalence, which

was confirmed by their high sensitivity but low specificity, leading to high false positive rates.

The Bland–Altman analysis further showed that both Hb meters consistently underestimated

hemoglobin values compared to the reference analyser, with mean negative biases and wide

limits of agreement. These results indicate that, while useful for screening, the devices may not

provide sufficient accuracy for individual diagnostic purposes without confirmatory testing.

Females were consistently found to have a significantly higher prevalence of anaemia across

all methods. This aligns with known biological and social determinants, including menstrual

blood loss, pregnancy-related demands, and nutritional disparities.

In terms of age categories, anaemia was most common in younger adults (19–30 years) and in

the middle-aged group (31–50 years), though statistical significance varied depending on the

device used.

Overall, the study underscores the importance of selecting appropriate methods for hemoglobin

estimation. While Hb meters provide rapid, portable, and cost-effective results, their limited

accuracy compared to automated analysers can affect clinical decision-making and public

health reporting.

REFERENCE

1.   Ahmed MH, Ghatge MS, Safo MK. Hemoglobin: Structure, Function and Allostery.

Subcell Biochem. 94:345-382. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-41769-7_14. PMID: 32189307; 2020.

PMCID: PMC7370311.

2.   Farid Y, Bowman NS, Lecat P. Biochemistry, Hemoglobin Synthesis. In: StatPearls

[Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK536912/

3.   Acharya, A., & Singh, A. P. Hemoglobin-The Breath of Life within Our Cells: 2024.

An Update.

4.   Marengo-Rowe, A. J. Structure-function relations of human hemoglobins. In Baylor

University Medical Center Proceedings (Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 239-245). 2006. Taylor & Francis.

5.   García-Erce, J. A., Lorente-Aznar, T., & Rivilla-Marugán, L. Influence of gender, age

and residence altitude on hemoglobin levels and the prevalence of anaemia. Medicina Clínica

(English Edition), 2019. 153(11), 424-429.

6.   Gallagher, P. G. Anaemia in the pediatric patient. Blood, The Journal of the American

Society of Haematology, 2022. 140(6), 571-593.

7.   World Health Organization. Hemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia

and assessment of severity. 2011. https://www.who.int/vmnis/indicators/hemoglobin.pdf

8.   Camaschella, C. Iron-deficiency anaemia. The New England Journal of Medicine,

372(19), 1832–1843. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1401038

9.   Neufeld, L., & García-Casal, M. N. Hemoglobin measurement in the context of

anaemia surveillance: A quantitative review of global guidelines and emerging technologies.

The   American   Journal   of   Clinical   Nutrition,   109(Suppl_1),   2019.   6–14.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy326

10.   Whitehead, R.D., Jr, Mei, Z., Mapango, C., & Jefferds, M.E.D. (2019). Methods and

analyzers for hemoglobin measurement in clinical laboratories and field settings. Annals of the

New York Academy of Sciences, 1450(1), 147-171. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14124

11.   Lewis, S. M., Bain, B. J., & Bates, I. Dacie and Lewis practical haematology (12th Ed.).

2016. Elsevier Health Sciences.

12.   Nkrumah, B., Nguah, S. B., Sarpong, N., Dekker, D., Idriss, A., May, J., & Adu-

Sarkodie, Y. Hemoglobin estimation by the HemoCue® portable hemoglobin photometer in a

resource poor setting. 2011. BMC clinical pathology, 11(1), 5.

13.   Ranjan, V., Rana, R., Khillan, K., & Chauhan, K. A comparative quality evaluation of

point-of-care methodology for testing hemoglobin in blood donors by two different

technologies. Current Medicine Research and Practice, 2020. 10(3), 90-92.

14.   Saengnipanthkul, S., Tawiangnan, C., Sirikarn, P., Supasai, S., Sitthikarnkha, P.,

Techasatian, L., & Uppala, R. Accuracy of noninvasive total hemoglobin measurement for

detecting anaemia in infants: a cross-sectional study in a well-child care clinic, Khon Kaen,

Thailand. BMJ open, 2024. 14(12), e083356.

15.   World Health Organization (WHO). Hemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of

anaemia and assessment of severity. Geneva: 2023. WHO.

https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-NMH-NHD-MNM-11.1

16.   Smith, E.R., Hoodbhoy, Z., Hotwani, A., Jehan, F., Khan, A., Nisar, I., Yazdani, N.,

Benjamin, S.J., Cherian, A.G., Mohan, V.R. and Varghese, S., Protocol for the Redefining

Maternal Anemia in Pregnancy and Postpartum (ReMAPP) study: A multisite, international,

population-based cohort study to establish global hemoglobin thresholds for maternal anemia.

PloS one, 2021. 20(7), p.e0321943.

17.   Ewusie, J. E., Ahiadeke, C., Beyene, J., & Hamid, J. S. Prevalence of anemia among

under-5 children in the Ghanaian population: estimates from the Ghana demographic and

health survey. BMC public health, 2014. 14(1), 626.

18.   Abizari, A R. & Ali Z. Dietary patterns and associated factors of schooling Ghanaian

adolescents. Journal of Health, Population and nutrition. 2019. 38(1), 5. doi.org

19.   Nkrumah, B., et al. (2011). Hemoglobin estimation by the HemoCue® portable

hemoglobin photometer in a resource poor setting. BMC Clinical Pathology, 11, 5.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6890-11-5