# Long-term morphometric similarity gradients relate to cortical hierarchy and psychiatric symptoms in schizophrenia

Natalia García-San-Martín[1], Richard AI Bethlehem[2], Isaac Sebenius[3,4], Leonardo Cardoso Saraiva[5], Patricia Segura[1], Claudio Alemán-Morillo[1], Chloé Gomez[1], Pablo Salguero-Quirós[1], Alessia Pasquini[1], Marcella Montagnese[2], Golia Shafiei[6,7,8], Miguel Ruiz-Veguilla[9,10,11], Rosa Ayesa-Arriola[9,12], Javier Vázquez-Bourgon[9,12], Bratislav Misic[13], Carolina Cappi[14], John Suckling[3,15], Benedicto Crespo-Facorro[9,10,11,12], Rafael Romero-García[1,3,9,11*]

**Author affiliations:**

[1]Department of Medical Physiology and Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Seville; Seville, Spain.

[2]Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK.

[3]Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK.

[4]Harvard Medical School; Boston, Massachusetts, MA, USA.

[5]Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine; New Haven, Connecticut, CT, USA.

[6]Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania; Philadelphia, PA, USA.

[7]Penn Lifespan Informatics and Neuroimaging Center (PennLINC), Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania; Philadelphia, PA, United States.

[8]Lifespan Brain Institute (LiBI), The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and Penn Medicine; Philadelphia, PA, USA.

[9]Biomedical Research Center in Mental Health Network (CIBERSAM), Health Institute Carlos III; Madrid, Spain.

[10]Mental Health Service, Virgen del Rocío University Hospital; Seville, Spain.

[11]Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS) HUVR/CSIC, University of Seville; Seville, Spain.

[12]Department of Psychiatry, Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital, IDIVAL, School of Medicine, University of Cantabria; Santander, Spain.

[13]Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University; Montreal, QC, Canada.

[14]Department of Psychiatry, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School; New Brunswick, NJ, USA.

[15]Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust; Peterborough, UK.

# Abstract

Schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD) are characterized by altered brain structure, reflecting widespread dysconnectivity across brain-specific networks. However, the role of hierarchical organization on cortical morphometric networks in shaping clinical outcomes over the course of the disease remains unclear. Connectome-derived gradients have increasingly been used to investigate spatial transitions in brain organization. Here, we computed cortical and subcortical Morphometric INverse Divergence (MIND) similarity networks from 1293 structural MRI data of 193 healthy controls (HC) and 350 individuals with SSD followed for up to 20 years. MIND features were calculated for each subject-specific network by computing regional averages and performing gradient decomposition. We found that MIND in SSD was longitudinally associated with treatment duration and medication. These associations were co-localized with hierarchical axes of cortical organization and schizophrenia epicenters. Moreover, psychiatric symptoms were associated with these alterations in structural similarity, which were also related to treatment duration. Collectively, these findings advance our understanding of how brain organization, treatment duration, and medication shape clinical symptoms throughout the course of SSD.


# Introduction

The initial phases of schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD) are characterized by brain structural alterations across several cortical areas, including volume and thickness reductions, as evidenced by studies on individuals experiencing a first-episode psychosis[1]. Moreover, these cross-sectional alterations have been demonstrated to predict long-term clinical trajectories[2,3], highlighting their relevance for understanding

disease onset and progression. Accordingly, longitudinal studies have also revealed that brain tissue loss persists during the years following illness onset, including gray matter reductions[4] and progressive cortical thinning[5] over time. These changes likely reflect aberrant neurodevelopmental processes that may occur at various stages of life[6,7]. Furthermore, the psychotic episode itself—along with the associated antipsychotic treatment—may also contribute to additional alterations in brain morphology[8]. Disentangling the impact of disease-related mechanisms from medication effects on brain structure remains a major challenge[9,10]. Thus, the temporal course of these alterations across the psychosis spectrum remains inconclusive[11], likely influenced by the clinical heterogeneity of symptoms and the effects of antipsychotic drugs, among other factors[9].

The morphological brain alterations related to schizophrenia (SCZ) are constrained by the underlying structural connectome, which comprises a network of functionally specialized regions interconnected by axonal pathways[12,13]. This architecture has been traditionally derived from diffusion-weighted imaging, an MRI technique characterized by long acquisition times that is primarily used in research rather than routine clinical practice to examine white matter fiber tracts connecting cortical regions[14]. Instead, Morphometric INverse Divergence (MIND) is a recently developed method that estimates within-subject similarity between cortical regions by quantifying the divergence between multivariate distributions of multiple MRI-derived features[15]. It provides a useful metric of structural similarity—a proxy for connectivity—derived from cortical vertex-level surface reconstructions, thereby overcoming the practical constraints associated with acquiring multimodal MRI data[16]. Recently, MIND has been extended to compute subcortical similarity from subcortical volume reconstructions[17]. Although cortical MIND studies have identified patterns of brain reorganization in

neurodevelopmental[18] and neurodegenerative[19] conditions, the absence of generalized cortical and subcortical connectome-based biomarkers for assessing illness progression further complicates the prediction of long-term symptom severity[20]. Moreover, the wide heterogeneity in symptom profiles and clinical trajectories makes it even more challenging to establish consistent patterns of structural change across individuals. Characterizing the impact of atypical development on network architecture is thus essential for understanding clinical variability in neuropsychiatric disorders[21].

Recent advances in human connectomics have revealed multiple large-scale brain networks characterized by distinct functional processing profiles[22], including those involved in basic sensory and motor (primary) functions, domain-general processes such as attention or cognitive control[23], and higher-order networks at the top of the cortical hierarchy, such as the default mode network (DMN)[24]. Exploring the differentiation of these connectivity patterns enables a more detailed characterization of hierarchical brain organization[25]. This differentiation is commonly achieved using gradient decomposition, which reduces high-dimensional connectivity features into low-dimensional representations. Connectome-derived gradients are often revealed across distinct processing pathways or streams. For example, within the visual system, information transitions from simple visual features encoded in the primary visual cortex to increasingly complex representations encoded in temporal regions, ultimately supporting high-level semantic processing[26]. Thus, gradients provide a systematic mapping between spatial organization and the hierarchical continuum of information processing. For instance, in both humans and the macaque monkey, the decomposition of functional connectivity data has revealed a functional gradient that transitions from regions supporting primary sensory and motor functions to transmodal regions, which in humans overlap with components of the DMN. Moreover, the decomposition of

structural connectivity has revealed associations with neurotransmitters and cognition in bipolar disorder using MIND[27], as well as with clinical symptoms in schizophrenia using morphometric similarity—a structural covariance approach alternative to MIND[28]. However, the characterization of structural connectivity profiles in relation to the progression of schizophrenia remains under debate, and structural gradients of cortical organization are still largely unexplored.

The spatial distribution of psychosis-related alterations is not uniform across the cortex but instead preferentially affects regions involved in higher-order functions, including those shaped by evolutionary expansion[29] as well as functional[30] and association[31] processes. In particular, the cortical pattern of schizophrenia-related dysconnectivity has been shown to overlap with evolutionary modifications of human brain connectivity across three independent datasets, with no such associations observed in seven other psychiatric and neurological disorders[32]. These modifications in brain connectivity supporting higher-order brain functions may have also increased susceptibility to brain dysfunction, suggesting that human brain evolution and hierarchical organization may contribute to region-specific vulnerability in the disorder. Consistent with this view, certain regions have shown greater vulnerability to pathology, as evidenced by studies mapping neurobiological features[33] and disease epicenters[34] in schizophrenia, revealing a regional predisposition to the disease. Furthermore, these regional alterations have also been associated with symptom severity and antipsychotic treatment[4,9,30]. Disentangling region-specific disease progression from brain organizational processes and medication effects is therefore essential for clarifying the role of brain connectivity in schizophrenia symptomatology.

To our knowledge, no previous study has examined how cortical gradients evolve longitudinally in SSD or how they relate to hierarchical brain organization and

psychiatry symptomatology over time. Here, we used cortical and subcortical structural networks to assess how brain organization, captured by MIND degree and gradients, is associated with diagnosis, treatment time, medication, and symptomatology in SSD, and how these alterations co-localize with hierarchical axes of cortical organization and SCZ epicenters. First, we performed multiple regression analyses to explore baseline differences in MIND between SSD and healthy controls (HC), followed by linear mixed modeling (LMM) to investigate longitudinal effects of diagnosis, treatment time, and medication. Next, we correlated these regional associations with publicly available brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters to characterize regional vulnerability in SSD. Finally, we extended the previous methodology to explore potential associations between MIND and psychiatric symptoms at baseline and over time using generalized linear modeling (GLM).

# Results

## Regional MIND is co-localized with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters

Cortical and subcortical MIND networks were computed for each participant, representing the within-subject similarity based on multiple MRI features (Fig. 1A; see *Methods* for details). MIND degree, computed as the average MIND similarity of each region within an individual, exhibited higher similarity in prefrontal, inferior parietal, and supramarginal regions in both SSD and HC groups. Structural gradients were derived from cortical MIND networks using gradient decomposition, yielding low-dimensional principal representations of structural connectivity that systematically map

the spatial organization of the brain along its hierarchical spectrum. Thus, the extremes of the gradients capture the hierarchical profile of each corresponding dimension. The first two gradients (G1 and G2) explained 16.4% and 15.1% of variance in MIND, respectively. While G1 showed a pattern anchored at one end by the cingulate and superior temporal cortices and at the other end by prefrontal, superior parietal, and occipital regions, G2 notably captured the sensorimotor-to-association (S-A) transition (see *Source Data* for degree, G1, and G2 of HC and SSD individuals at baseline). Next, we examined whether cortical MIND degree and gradients in HC were co-localized with spatial maps of evolutionary expansion, the first gradient of functional connectivity, and the S-A ranking—collectively referred to here as hierarchical maps (Fig. 1B). Degree and G2 showed a positive correlation with evolutionary expansion (Fig. 1C; Pearson's $r$ = 0.33 and $r$ = 0.62, respectively; $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), the functional gradient ($r$ = 0.29, $p_{spin} = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$; $r$ = 0.49, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), and the S-A ranking ($r$ = 0.37; $r$ = 0.72; $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), indicating that regions with higher structural similarity in association areas exhibit greater functional connectivity and evolutionary expansion. On the other hand, G1 did not show any significant co-localization.

Additionally, to explore the co-localization of MIND features with regional vulnerability to psychosis, degree and gradients in HC were associated with the functional and structural epicenters in SCZ, i.e., the regions that are connected to the strongest disease-related regional alterations. We found a negative correlation for both degree and G2 with the functional ($r$ = -0.37 and $r$ = -0.63, respectively; $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$) and structural ($r$ = -0.24, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; $r$ = -0.23, $p_{spin} = 10^{-4}$) epicenters, indicating that low connected regions in sensorimotor areas most closely resemble disease-related connectivity patterns. Similar correlation profiles were observed when assessing the

same associations using MIND degree and gradients derived from SSD patients (see Supplementary Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1 Degrees and gradients of structural connectivity derived from MIND networks.** (**A**) Schematic analysis pipeline. Cortical and subcortical degree, along with cortical gradients (G1 and G2), were computed from MIND networks of each individual, separately for HC and SSD groups. Scatter plots show the first two principal gradients of structural connectivity—obtained using gradient decomposition—derived from the group-averaged MIND matrices of each individual. Gradients are also plotted on the cortical surface, with colour representing the position of each region along each gradient. (**B**) Brain maps of evolutionary, functional, and S-A ranking features were derived from *neuromaps*[35] (top); the spatial maps of functional and structural epicenters in SCZ were obtained from an ENIGMA study (bottom)[34]. **(C**) Regional co-localization of cortical MIND features (degree, G1, and G2) in HC with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters. Asterisks (*) indicate significant correlations (Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected across features; $P_{spin} < 0.05$). G1, first gradient; G2, second gradient; HC, healthy control; MIND, morphometric inverse divergence; SCZ, schizophrenia; SSD, schizophrenia spectrum disorders.

## Baseline MIND alterations in SSD are co-localized with cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters

The multiple regression analyses of brain similarity (degree and gradients) showed significant differences in MIND degree and G1 between individuals with SSD and HC at baseline (*diagnosis*; Fig. 2A; see *Source Data* for *t*-values). A significant reduction of degree was observed in SSD compared to HC in the left superior temporal and nucleus accumbens, along with the bilateral pallidum. Conversely, the lingual area showed significantly higher degree in SSD. Differences in G1 between SSD and HC also showed a significant increase in the lingual region of both hemispheres and in the right

cuneus, while a reduction was observed in the middle temporal area. However, no significant differences in G2 were observed at baseline in SSD. The effects of the remaining model covariates—*age at inclusion*, *sex*, estimated total intracranial volume (*eTIV)*, and image quality (*Euler number*)—on MIND are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2.

We additionally hypothesized that these structural alterations in SSD would be co-localized with MIND features themselves (degree, G1, and G2). Consistent with this, we found that highly connected regions in HC exhibited stronger degree reductions in SSD (Fig. 2B; degree, $r$ = -0.31, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$). Furthermore, cortical gradient alterations were stronger and oppositely directed in regions located at the extremes of the gradients, indicating a compression along the gradient (G1, $r$ = -0.27, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; G2, $r$ = -0.55, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$). For G2, this compression reflected a lower differentiation between sensorimotor and association regions. Collectively, these findings revealed that regions with higher MIND feature values showed stronger reductions of that feature in SSD. Consequently, degree, G1, and G2 of HC were accounted for using partial correlation when assessing the spatial co-localization of structural differences with the spatial brain maps. These analyses revealed that evolutionary expansion was negatively correlated with baseline disease-related alterations in degree, G1, and G2 in SSD (Fig. 2C; $r_{partial}$ = -0.34, $r_{partial}$ = -0.39, and $r_{partial}$ = -0.29, respectively; $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), indicating that baseline reductions in similarity and gradients in SSD mainly occur in regions exhibiting greater evolutionary expansion. The functional gradient and the S-A ranking were also negatively correlated with degree ($r_{partial}$ = -0.16, $p_{spin}$ = 0.006, and $r_{partial}$ = -0.32, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$, respectively) and G1 ($r_{partial}$ = -0.18, $p_{spin}$ = 0.002; $r_{partial}$ = -0.26, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), revealing that these reductions are more specifically located in higher-order regions. On the contrary, a positive association was found for both functional ($r_{partial}$ =

0.31; $r_{partial}$ = 0.34, $p_{spin}$ < $10^{-4}$) and structural ($r_{partial}$ = 0.15, $p_{spin}$ = 0.006; $r_{partial}$ = 0.22, $p_{spin}$ < $10^{-4}$) epicenters in schizophrenia, suggesting that decreases in degree and G1 most closely resemble disease-related morphological alteration patterns.




**Fig. 2 Baseline alterations in MIND degree and gradients in SSD associated with hierarchical organization and SCZ epicenters.** (**A**) Regional associations (*t*-values) representing the contribution of the effect of diagnosis on brain similarity at baseline assessed by multiple regression models (*diagnosis*; from left to right: degree, G1, and G2; FDR-corrected, $P$ < 0.05). (**B**) Regional correlations (two-sided test) between the effect of diagnosis on brain similarity (*diagnosis*) and brain similarity in HC. (**C**) Regional co-localization (two-sided test) of the effect of diagnosis on brain similarity (*diagnosis*) with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters, while accounting for regional brain similarity. Asterisks (*) indicate significant correlations (FDR-corrected across features; $P_{spin}$ < 0.05). G1, first gradient; G2, second gradient; HC,

healthy control; MIND, morphometric inverse divergence; SCZ, schizophrenia; SSD, schizophrenia spectrum disorders.

## MIND degree in SSD is associated with treatment time and medication, and co-localized with hierarchy and SCZ epicenters

Although longitudinal analyses on MIND connectivity using LMM did not reveal significant associations between the main effect of diagnosis and degree (*diagnosis*), both treatment time and medication were associated with MIND degree (Fig. 3A; see *Source Data* for *t*-values). The main effect of *time* was negatively associated with degree in the frontal, parietal, and temporal regions, along with the nucleus accumbens and the pallidum, indicating lower connectivity over time in these regions. Conversely, the occipital area, the thalamus, and the putamen exhibited a positive association, indicating an increase of degree over time. Interestingly, this effect turned out to be largely the opposite for individuals with SSD, where the temporal, frontal, and cingulate cortices and the subcortex showed a positive *diagnosis:time* interaction, suggesting that the effect of time on MIND degree was attenuated in SSD. Consistent with this finding, the effect of *medication* also showed a positive association, suggesting that the use of antipsychotics may contribute to a higher MIND degree. The longitudinal effects of the remaining model covariates on MIND degree are shown in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Using the same brain map co-localization approach as before, we found that evolutionary expansion and the S-A axis were negatively co-localized with the effect of *diagnosis* (Fig. 3B; $r_{\text{partial}}$ = -0.19, $p_{\text{spin}}$ = 0.008, and $r_{\text{partial}}$ = -0.16, $p_{\text{spin}}$ = 0.038, respectively), indicating that cortical regions with reduced degree in SSD are higher-

order association areas that present a larger surface area expansion in humans compared with macaques. In addition to these features, the functional gradient was also negatively associated with *time* ($r_{partial}$ = -0.36; $r_{partial}$ = -0.30; $r_{partial}$ = -0.39; $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), revealing that regions with the strongest degree reductions over time are higher-order association transmodal (DMN) areas with greater evolutionary expansion. On the other hand, the functional epicenters in SCZ were positively associated with *diagnosis* ($r_{partial}$ = 0.15, $p_{spin}$ = 0.038) and *time* ($r_{partial}$ = 0.35, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), while structural epicenters were only associated with *time* ( $r_{partial}$ = 0.22; $p_{spin}$ = 0.001), indicating that regions whose connectivity most closely resembled disease-related connectivity alteration patterns exhibited higher connectivity in SSD and strongest degree increase over time. The model did not reveal a significant effect of the *medication:time* interaction; however the resulting *t*-value map was significantly co-localized with hierarchy and the functional SCZ epicenters.




**Fig. 3 Longitudinal effects of diagnosis, time, and medication on MIND degree in SSD associated with hierarchical organization and SCZ epicenters.** (**A**) Regional *t*-values representing the contribution of each variable to the LMM assessing the longitudinal relationship between MIND degree and covariates in SSD (FDR-corrected, $P < 0.05$). (**B**) Regional co-localization (two-sided test) of the longitudinal *t*-values with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters, while accounting for regional

brain connectivity. Asterisks (*) indicate significant correlations (FDR-corrected across features; $P_{spin} < 0.05$). MIND, morphometric inverse divergence; SCZ, schizophrenia.

## MIND gradients are associated with treatment time, and co-localized with evolutionary expansion and SCZ epicenters

Although longitudinal analyses on structural gradients did not reveal significant associations of the main effect of diagnosis (*diagnosis*), both treatment time and its interaction with medication were associated with MIND gradients (Fig. 4A; see *Source Data* for *t*-values). The main effect of *time* on G1 showed widespread positive and negative associations, whereas its effect on G2 was more localized. Specifically, G2 decreased over time in frontal, cingulate, and temporal regions, while increasing in parietal and occipital regions, collectively reflecting a compression of the S-A ranking—that is, a reduction in hierarchical differences between somatosensory and association regions (see Supplementary Fig. 4 for *r*-values representing gradient compression). Regarding the effect of time in SSD diagnosis (*diagnosis:time*), frontal and parietal regions showed negative associations in G2, whereas the cingulate cortex showed positive associations in both G1 and G2, suggesting region-specific reorganization and progression within the cortical hierarchy over the course of antipsychotic treatment. Unlike MIND degree, the effect of *medication* on connectivity gradients barely showed any significant association; instead, a *medication:time* interaction emerged, indicating that the effect of time in highly medicated patients partially reversed the main effect of *time*. The longitudinal effects of the remaining model covariates on MIND gradients are shown in Supplementary Fig. 5.

Subsequently, we found that the effect of *diagnosis* on G1 and G2 was negatively co-localized with evolutionary expansion (Fig. 4B; $r_{partial}$ = -0.25, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$, and $r_{partial}$ = -0.24, $p_{spin}$ = 0.005, respectively), indicating that regions with reduced gradients in SSD are primary regions with greater cortical expansion. Moreover, evolutionary expansion, the functional gradient, and the S-A axis were negatively co-localized with *time* (G1: $r_{partial}$ = -0.35, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; $r_{partial}$ = -0.22, $p_{spin}$ = 0.03; and $r_{partial}$ = -0.34, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$, respectively; G2: $r_{partial}$ = -0.27, $p_{spin} = 2{\cdot}10^{-4}$; $r_{partial}$ = -0.52, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; and $r_{partial}$ = -0.56, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$, respectively), suggesting that the regions with the strongest gradient reductions over time are higher-order association DMN areas with greater evolutionary expansion in humans. Conversely, this effect was partially reversed in G2 of SSD individuals for the functional gradient and the S-A axis (*diagnosis:time;* $r_{partial}$ = 0.21, $p_{spin}$ = 0.019; and $r_{partial}$ = 0.24, $p_{spin}$ = 0.01). The effect of *medication* on G2 preferentially involved regions with greater evolutionary expansion ($r_{partial}$ = 0.30, $p_{spin}$ = 0.002). In addition, consistent with the opposite effect observed between the main effect of *time* and the *medication:time* interaction, all associations between *medication:time* and evolutionary expansion (G1: $r_{partial}$ = 0.27, $p_{spin}$ = 0.002), the functional gradient (G1: $r_{partial}$ = 0.34, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; G2: $r_{partial}$ = 0.28, $p_{spin}$ = 0.007), and the S-A axis (G1: $r_{partial}$ = 0.40, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; G2: $r_{partial}$ = 0.26, $p_{spin}$ = 0.02) were reversed and become positive.

Additionally, the functional epicenters in SCZ showed significant associations with *diagnosis* (G1: $r_{partial}$ = 0.21, $p_{spin}$ = 0.001), *time* (G1: $r_{partial}$ = 0.37, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$; G2: $r_{partial}$ = 0.20, $p_{spin}$ = 0.005), and *medication:time* (G1: $r_{partial}$ = -0.29, $p_{spin} < 10^{-4}$), indicating that functional epicenters exhibited higher gradients in SSD and strongest gradient increase over time, except in patients prescribed with higher doses. Structural epicenters also showed associations with *diagnosis* (G1: $r_{partial}$ = 0.18, $p_{spin}$ = 0.01; G2: $r_{partial}$ =

0.20, $p_{spin}$ = 0.03) and *diagnosis:time* (G2: $r_{partial}$ = 0.31, $p_{spin}$ = 0.008), indicating that structural epicenters exhibited higher gradients and strongest gradient increase over time in SSD.




**Fig. 4 Longitudinal effects of diagnosis, time, and medication on MIND gradients in SSD associated with hierarchical organization and SCZ epicenters.** (**A**) Regional *t*-values representing the contribution of each variable to the LMM assessing the

longitudinal relationship between MIND gradients and covariates in SSD (FDR-corrected, $P < 0.05$). (**B**) Regional co-localization (two-sided test) of the longitudinal *t*-values with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters, while accounting for regional gradients. Asterisks (*) indicate significant correlations (FDR-corrected across features; $P_{spin} < 0.05$). G1, first gradient; G2, second gradient; SCZ, schizophrenia.

## MIND is associated with the baseline and long-term course of psychiatric symptoms

GLM was used to assess the impact of baseline MIND degree and gradients on psychiatric symptoms, measured by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) total score. MIND degree was negatively associated with symptoms in the frontal, temporal, and rostral anterior cingulate regions, indicating that reduced connectivity relates to more severe symptomatology (*degree*; Fig. 5A; see *Source Data* for *t*-values). However, the degree of subcortical structures, including the left amygdala and nucleus accumbens, showed a positive association. Similarly, gradients exhibited both positive and negative associations with BPRS that were broadly distributed across the cortex (*G1* and *G2*). The baseline effects of the remaining model covariates on psychiatric symptoms are shown in Supplementary Fig. 6.

The longitudinal associations of MIND degree and gradients with psychiatric symptomatology were assessed using generalized LMM (GLMM). Findings revealed that cortical degree was widely negatively associated with BPRS (*degree*; Fig. 5B; see *Source Data* for *t*-values). Gradients, however, exhibited both positive and negative associations broadly distributed across the cortex (*G1* and *G2*). As expected, the effect of *time* was negatively associated with BPRS scores in all models, indicating a reduction in overall psychiatric symptom severity over the course of treatment.

Although the *degree:time* interaction barely showed any significant association, the *gradient:time* effect captured several positive and negative associations. According to treatment time, the effect of *medication* also exhibited a negative association, indicating that symptoms decreased with antipsychotic dose. However, this effect reversed for high doses maintained over time (*medication:time*). The longitudinal effects of the remaining model covariates on psychiatric symptoms are shown in Supplementary Fig. 7.




**Fig. 5 Baseline and longitudinal associations between psychiatric symptoms and brain similarity in SSD.** (**A**) Regional *t*-values representing the contribution of each

variable to the GLM assessing the baseline relationship between psychiatric symptoms—assessed by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale—and MIND (degree or gradients) in SSD, adjusting for covariates (from left to right: *degree*, *G1*, and *G2*; FDR-corrected, $P < 0.05$). (**B**) Regional *t*-values representing the contribution of each variable to the GLMM assessing the longitudinal relationship between psychiatric symptoms and MIND in SSD, adjusting for covariates (FDR-corrected, $P < 0.05$). G1, first gradient; G2, second gradient; MIND, morphometric inverse divergence; SSD, schizophrenia spectrum disorders.

# Discussion

In the present work, we investigated brain structural similarity by estimating cortical and subcortical Morphometric INverse Divergence (MIND) networks in healthy controls (HC) and individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSD). We first examined the role of MIND-derived features (degree and gradients) in relation to SSD diagnosis, revealing that MIND in SSD was longitudinally associated with treatment time and medication. Subsequently, we explored the co-localization of these MIND associations with spatial maps of cortical hierarchy and schizophrenia (SCZ) epicenters, identifying region-specific vulnerability to disease. Finally, we assessed the impact of baseline and longitudinal MIND on psychiatric symptoms, revealing that symptom improvement was associated with higher structural similarity and gradient changes over time.

Recent morphometric studies in schizophrenia have investigated individual patterns assessing structural similarity across regions, revealing network-level dysconnectivity and disruptions among anatomically connected brain regions[31,36,37]. Connectome-

derived structural gradients are also being increasingly employed to characterize hierarchical brain organization and its role in neuropsychiatric disorders[27,28]. In this study, we explored MIND connectivity, capturing a first structural gradient (G1) representing the transition from the cingulate and superior temporal cortices to the prefrontal, superior parietal, and occipital regions, as well as a second gradient (G2) reflecting the sensorimotor-to-association (S-A) axis. This hierarchical representation of G2 aligned with the first connectome-derived functional gradient in both humans and the macaque monkey, which differentiates regions involved in primary sensorimotor functions from transmodal regions corresponding to the default mode network (DMN)[25]. Here, we reported significant differences in degree and gradients between SSD and HC individuals. In particular, a significant reduction in MIND degree was found at baseline in the temporal lobe, as well as in the nucleus accumbens and pallidum regions. This finding aligns with the dysconnectivity hypothesis of schizophrenia, which suggests a descending modulation of synaptic efficacy in hierarchically subordinate structures[38]. These reductions in MIND degree were observed in highly connected regions, consistent with previous studies showing that hub regions are particularly vulnerable to disease-related reductions in both functional and structural brain networks[34,39]. Furthermore, we observed an increase in G1 in the occipital lobe, consistent with previous findings reporting alterations in the principal gradient in individuals with bipolar disorder using the same approach[27]. Additionally, alterations in G1 and G2 were stronger and oppositely directed in regions located at the extremes of the gradients, indicating a gradient compression along the S-A axis[40–42]. This reduction in regional differentiation has been hypothesized to be linked to genes involved in synaptic signaling and neuronal development[43]. Together, these findings on MIND structure suggest that these affected regions are more structurally differentiated from

each other and less interconnected in SSD compared to HC[36]. Nevertheless, the longitudinal analyses revealed that the effects of SSD diagnosis on MIND were related to treatment time and medication. This may be attributed to the relevant role of antipsychotics and the course of disease progression in shaping brain structure, as evidenced by previous longitudinal studies in schizophrenia[4,9]. Particularly, the temporal progression indicated a generalized reduction in cortical similarity over time, which was partially reversed in both cortical and subcortical similarity in SSD. This finding aligns with the increases in corticostriatal functional connectivity associated with antipsychotic treatment in schizophrenia[44,45]. Consistently, medication was also associated with MIND degree, revealing an increase in inter-regional similarity with antipsychotic use, which aligns with reported improvements in white matter microstructural integrity associated with higher antipsychotic exposure in first-episode psychosis[46].

Structural alterations may initially emerge as epicenters in specific brain regions that are particularly vulnerable to disease, subsequently spreading across the rest of the brain[34], potentially disrupting connectivity and hierarchical organization. In our study, we found that MIND associations were co-localized with cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters both at baseline and longitudinally. Specifically, evolutionary expansion was associated with baseline and longitudinal changes in degree and gradient organization in SSD. The S-A ranking was also co-localized with baseline alterations in MIND degree and G1, aligning with the hierarchy-dependent functional dysconnectivity in schizophrenia[47]. Moreover, both the S-A ranking and the functional gradient were co-localized with longitudinal changes in G2 in individuals with SSD. These findings are consistent with previous studies in schizophrenia demonstrating reduced hierarchy[48] and preferential network-level disruptions in association regions[49], along with the rapid evolutionary

cortical expansion of the DMN associated with high expression of genes involved in schizophrenia[50]. Furthermore, SCZ epicenters were co-localized with MIND degree and G1 at baseline in SSD, whereas the co-localization of G2 with structural epicenters was time-dependent in SSD, supporting the hypothesis that the underlying connectivity patterns facilitate the progression of structural alterations[51]. This finding supports emerging evidence exploring the role of excitatory-inhibitory neuronal imbalance in the preferential vulnerability of higher-order association networks[52,53]. Altogether, the spatial co-localization of MIND associations with cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters highlights the relevance of hierarchical organization and epicenter mapping in shaping MIND alterations during SSD onset and progression, reflecting a region-specific pattern of vulnerability in SSD.

Previous studies have demonstrated that structural connectivity alterations are associated with clinical symptomatology[54,55], including studies using structural connectivity to predict psychopathological deficits at the individual level[20]. Notably, functional connectome biomarkers have been shown to successfully predict positive symptoms in adolescent-onset schizophrenia[56]. Building on this premise, we explored the relationship between structural connectivity and psychiatric symptoms, identifying that baseline MIND-symptom associations progressed during treatment time. This finding supports the relevant role of disrupted connectivity and hierarchy to clinical symptomatology in SSD[37,57–60]. Moreover, the effect of medication indicated that psychiatric symptoms decreased with increasing doses of antipsychotics, consistent with findings from a previous triple-blind, placebo-controlled study supporting the beneficial effects of antipsychotics in attenuating psychiatric symptom severity in first-episode psychosis[9]. However, in line with previous studies suggesting that high doses of antipsychotics are less effective for treating negative symptoms[61], and are associated

with worse neurocognitive function[62], we found that long-term exposure to high doses was related to more severe psychiatric symptomatology in SSD.

The present findings must be interpreted with several considerations. First, this is a long-running study that inevitably experienced high drop-out rates, further increasing the risk of attrition bias. Second, since all patients were medicated and no untreated comparison group was included due to ethical considerations, we cannot disentangle the effects of medication from the natural course of the disorder. Third, higher medication doses were typically prescribed to individuals with more severe psychiatric symptoms; however, prescribing practices were also influenced by factors such as age at onset and treatment duration, thereby complicating the disentanglement of their independent effects within the models.

In summary, we identified that cortical and subcortical MIND in SSD were longitudinally associated with treatment time and medication. Additionally, these associations were co-localized with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and epicenters in schizophrenia, highlighting the relevant role of regional vulnerability in disease onset and progression. Moreover, psychiatric symptoms decreased as structural similarity increased, with gradients being related to treatment duration. Collectively, these findings contribute to advancing the understanding of how hierarchical and evolutionary processes of brain organization, together with treatment duration and medication, shape structural similarity and clinical symptoms throughout the course of SSD.

# Subjects and Methods

## Subjects

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data from individuals meeting clinical criteria for a first-episode non-affective psychosis, consistent with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (SSD, $n$ = 365, $n_{female}$ = 145, *age* = 29.69 ± 8.76), along with healthy controls (HC, $n$ = 196, $n_{female}$ = 75, *age* = 29.04 ± 7.61), were obtained from *Programa de Atención a las Fases Iniciales de Psicosis* (PAFIP)[63]. Individuals with SSD were either antipsychotic-naïve or had received minimal antipsychotic treatment (< 6 weeks of antipsychotics). All diagnoses were made by an experienced psychiatrist using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I), confirming the presence of schizophrenia (SCZ) or other SSD after six months of the first visit (see Supplementary *Subjects and Methods* for details). Participants provided written informed consent and underwent follow-up assessments at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 years.

This program has been approved by the CEIC-Cantabria Ethics Committee for Clinical Research (NCT0235832) and the University of Seville Ethics Committee (SICEIA 2024-2534) in accordance with international standards. Additional inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in Supplementary *Subjects and Methods*.

## MRI acquisition, parcellation, and volume extraction

MRI scans were obtained using a 1.5 T General Electric SIGNA System (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) and a 3 T Philips Medical Systems MRI scanner (Achieva, Best, The Netherlands) at the Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla (HUMV). The parameters for 1.5 T were: TE = 5 ms, TR = 24 ms, NEX = 2, flip angle = 45° (for

32 follow-up images, the flip angle was set to 12° due to an unintended scanner protocol selection), FOV = 26×19.5 cm, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, and a matrix of 256×192, whereas for 3 T were: TE = 3.7 ms, TR = 8.2 ms, flip angle = 8°, acquisition matrix = 256×256, voxel size = 0.94x0.94x1 mm and 160 contiguous slices. $T_1$-weighted images were processed using the FreeSurfer 7.4.1 longitudinal stream[64] applying the recon-all pipeline to enhance gray-white matter boundary delineation. All images underwent a quality control (QC) protocol. First, images were visually inspected by trained raters and rated as "pass", "borderline", or "fail". A total of 64 images were classified as "failed" due to blurring, ghosting, or severe ringing artifacts, and were therefore excluded from all subsequent analyses, resulting in a total of 1293 good quality images. As all remaining images had a cortical surface reconstruction quality metric—based on topological defects—within the mean ± 5 standard deviations (Euler number > -135), no further participants were excluded.

Cortical brain parcellation was performed using a symmetric, equally-sized (500 $mm^2$) sub-parcellation of the Desikan-Killiany (DK) atlas[65], resulting in 318 cortical regions-of-interest. 14 subcortical structures were also considered for further analyses (bilateral accumbens, amygdala, caudate, hippocampus, pallidum, putamen, and thalamus). For these subcortical regions, Jacobian determinant—a proxy for surface area, representing the surface dilation ratio between the subcortical structure and a template at a corresponding vertex, that is, the surface deformation relative to a template—and radial distance—representing the distance between a vertex on the surface of the subcortical structure and its medial curve, defined as the curve that passes through the center of a three-dimensional structure—were derived and quality-controlled using ENIGMA-Shape Analysis (https://enigma.ini.usc.edu/ongoing/enigma-shape-analysis/). See Supplementary *Subjects and Methods* for the follow-up periods after QC, and

Supplementary Figs. 8 and 9 for the flow diagram of study participants and the alluvial diagram of attrition, respectively.

## Cortical and subcortical MIND estimation

Morphometric INverse Divergence (MIND) networks were used to capture structural similarity between cortical and subcortical areas, separately. For each subject, a MIND network was constructed by computing between pairs of regions the Kullback-Leibler divergence of multiple MRI features (for the cortex: cortical thickness, mean curvature, sulcal depth, surface area, and gray matter volume; for the subcortex: surface area and radial distance)[15,17]. This resulted in two edge-level matrices of size 318x318 and 14x14 bounded between 0 and 1, representing the structural connectivity between each pair of cortical and subcortical regions, respectively. To correct for site-related batch effects while preserving the effects of age, sex, diagnosis, and the diagnosis-by-sex interaction, we used ComBatLS[66], a recent extension of the ComBat method that preserves biological variance while reducing biases. Individual-level MIND degrees were computed by averaging their corresponding MIND edges, resulting in an average within-subject similarity degree for each region.

## Cortical MIND gradients

The first two structural connectivity gradients G1 and G2 were derived from cortical MIND networks (318x318) of each individual using gradient decomposition[67] with the following code parameters: GradientMaps (kernel='normalized angle', approach='dm'). This technique estimates a low-dimensional set of eigenvectors, or gradients, in which each gradient represents a different dimension of covariance in MIND, with a small number of gradients capturing most of the inter-regional similarity structure. In this

reduced space, each gradient is anchored by regions with the strongest gradient values, indicating that the corresponding dimension effectively captures their hierarchical profiles[68]. In contrast, regions located near the origin (i.e., with low absolute gradient values) show minimal similarity to these anchor regions, suggesting that the gradient does not strongly capture their hierarchical profiles. Therefore, greater separation between the extremes of a gradient along its axis indicates stronger capture of hierarchical differentiation. To ensure comparability of gradients across individuals and eliminate directional randomness, Procrustes rotation[69] was subsequently applied to align each individual gradient map with a group-level gradient template derived from an average connectivity matrix encompassing both SSD and HC participants[42,70]. Gradients from subcortical MIND networks (14x14) were not derived due to an insufficient number of variables to perform decomposition.

## Baseline and longitudinal MIND associations in SSD

To evaluate the impact of SSD diagnosis on brain similarity (degree and gradients) at baseline, multiple regression analyses were performed for each region, as shown in Eq. (1).

$$\text{Regional}\ \{\text{degree, G1, or G2}\} \sim 1 + \text{diagnosis} + \text{age at inclusion} + \text{sex} + \text{eTIV} + \text{Euler number} \quad (1)$$

where '*Regional{degree, G1, or G2}*' denote the regional MIND degree or gradient, which were tested separately; '*diagnosis*' is HC or SSD; '*age at inclusion*' corresponds to the age at study enrolment; '*sex*' is male or female; '*eTIV*' is the estimated total intracranial volume for each individual; and '*Euler number*' is the FreeSurfer's Euler index, a proxy of motion-induced image artefacts.

The longitudinal effects of diagnosis, time, and medication on brain similarity were assessed using linear mixed modeling (LMM) as shown in Eq. (2).

$$\text{Regional}\ \{\text{degree, G1, or G2}\} \sim 1 + \text{diagnosis} + \text{age at inclusion} + \text{sex} + \text{eTIV} + \text{Euler number} + \text{time} + \text{diagnosis:time} + \text{medication} + \text{medication:time} + \text{protocol} + (1|\text{subject}) \quad (2)$$

where, in addition to the aforementioned variables, '*time*' represents the period since the enrolment for HC, or since treatment initiation for SSD; '*diagnosis:time*' represents the interaction between diagnosis and time, i.e., the effect of treatment time for SSD individuals; '*medication*' corresponds to antipsychotic dose expressed in chlorpromazine equivalents, and was calculated according to the criterion described in [71,72]; '*medication:time*' represents the interaction between medication and time, i.e., the effect of medication over time; '*protocol*' is a binary variable that represents a change in the flip angle during MRI acquisition (see *MRI acquisition, parcellation, and volume extraction* section for details); and "*(1|subject)*" specifies a random intercept to account for subject-specific variability in overall offsets. For HC, '*medication*' and its interaction term '*medication:time*' were set to zero. All non-binary variables were *z*-scored, and Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction across brain regions was applied to account for multiple comparisons ($P < 0.05$).

## Spatial co-localization of MIND with brain maps of cortical hierarchy and SCZ epicenters

Cortical brain maps of evolutionary expansion, the functional gradient, and cortical hierarchy were derived from *neuromaps* toolbox[35] and parcellated according to the same symmetric, equally-sized sub-parcellation of the DK atlas (318x318). The map of

evolutionary expansion represents the surface area ratio between humans and macaques[73]. The functional gradient corresponds to the first gradient of functional connectivity derived from diffusion map embedding[25]. The sensorimotor-association (S-A) regional ranking ranges from lower-order sensorimotor to higher-order associative functions, and was conformed by cortical features derived from remarkably varied data types including neuroimaging, histology, transcriptomics, receptor autoradiography, and electrophysiology[74]. Additionally, brain maps of functional and structural epicenters in SCZ were obtained from an ENIGMA study[34], and each value in the DK atlas was assigned to its correspondent region in the equally-sized DK sub-parcellation. These epicenter maps represent regions whose connectivity most closely resemble disease-related morphological alteration patterns, i.e., regions that are connected to the strongest disease-related regional alterations.

To investigate potential relationships between these brain maps and brain similarity, spatial co-localization was quantified by correlating (Pearson's $r$) each MIND feature (cortical degree and gradients) with each brain map using a spin test ($n$ = 10,000) that preserves spatial autocorrelation (see Supplementary *Subjects and Methods* for details). Similarly, we assessed the co-localization of the brain maps with the $t$-values derived from the baseline and longitudinal MIND models—including *diagnosis*, *time*, *medication*, and their interaction—after accounting for their corresponding regional degree, G1, or G2, using the spin test. Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction was applied across features.

## Baseline and longitudinal associations between MIND and symptomatology

MIND degree and gradients were additionally associated with psychiatric symptomatology. Analyses were restricted to patients, as symptom data were not collected for HC. General psychopathology was assessed using the expanded version of 24 items of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS)[75]. The baseline association between brain similarity and BPRS scores was assessed using a generalized linear model (GLM) with a Poisson distribution, as symptom scores are discrete count variables. This model is shown in Eq. (3).

$$\text{BPRS} \sim 1 + \text{age at inclusion} + \text{sex} + \text{eTIV} + \text{Euler number} + \text{regional}\{\text{degree, G1, or G2}\} \quad (3)$$

The longitudinal effect of brain similarity, treatment time, and medication on BPRS scores was assessed using a generalized LMM shown in Eq. (4).

$$\text{BPRS} \sim 1 + \text{age at inclusion} + \text{sex} + \text{eTIV} + \text{Euler number} + \text{regional}\{\text{degree, G1, or G2}\} + \text{time} + \text{regional}\{\text{degree, G1, or G2}\}\text{:time} + \text{medication} + \text{medication:time} + (1|\text{subject}) \quad (4)$$

where '*regional{degree, G1, or G2}:time*' corresponds to the interaction between the corresponding MIND feature and time. The '*protocol*' effect was not included because all individuals with BPRS scores followed the same MRI protocol. All non-binary variables were *z*-scored, and Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction across brain regions was applied.

## Data availability

The brain maps of cortical hierarchy were obtained using *neuromaps* toolbox[35], available at https://github.com/netneurolab/neuromaps. The brain maps of functional and structural epicenters in SCZ, available at https://enigma-toolbox.readthedocs.io/en/latest/pages/07.epicenter/index.html, were obtained from an ENIGMA study[34].

## Code availability

All code and non-clinical data used to perform the analyses can be found at https://github.com/NeuroimagingBrainNetworks/HierarchyLongitudinalMINDGradientsPsychosis/[76]. The ENIGMA-Shape Analysis pipeline employed to estimate surface meshes for subcortical structures can be downloaded at https://enigma.ini.usc.edu/ongoing/enigma-shape-analysis/. The code used for computing subcortical MIND networks was derived from Cardoso Saraiva *et al*[17], and can be found at https://github.com/NeuroimagingBrainNetworks/HierarchyLongitudinalMINDGradientsPsychosis/[76]. The code used for computing cortical MIND networks can be found at https://github.com/isebenius/MIND.

## References


1. Wen, K. *et al*. Cortical thickness abnormalities in patients with first episode psychosis: a meta-analysis of psychoradiologic studies and replication in an independent sample. *psychoradiology* **1**, 185–198 (2021).

2. Muñoz-Caracuel, M. *et al.* Predicting clinical and functional trajectories in individuals with first-episode psychosis by baseline deviations in grey matter volume. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1–9 (2025) doi:10.1192/bjp.2025.105.
3. Kottaram, A. *et al.* Predicting individual improvement in schizophrenia symptom severity at 1-year follow-up: Comparison of connectomic, structural, and clinical predictors. *Human Brain Mapping* **41**, 3342–3357 (2020).
4. Alemán-Morillo, C. *et al.* Medication and atypical brain maturation in psychosis associated with long-term cognitive decline and symptom progression. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 1–11 (2025) doi:10.1192/bjp.2025.10482.
5. Zhao, Y. *et al.* Cortical Thickness Abnormalities at Different Stages of the Illness Course in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* **79**, 560–570 (2022).
6. Andreasen, N. C. The lifetime trajectory of schizophrenia and the concept of neurodevelopment. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* **12**, 409–415 (2010).
7. Dietsche, B., Kircher, T. & Falkenberg, I. Structural brain changes in schizophrenia at different stages of the illness: A selective review of longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* **51**, 500–508 (2017).
8. Pantelis, C. *et al.* Neuroanatomical abnormalities before and after onset of psychosis: a cross-sectional and longitudinal MRI comparison. *The Lancet* **361**, 281–288 (2003).
9. Chopra, S. *et al.* Differentiating the effect of antipsychotic medication and illness on brain volume reductions in first-episode psychosis: A Longitudinal, Randomised, Triple-blind, Placebo-controlled MRI Study. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* **46**, 1494–1501 (2021).

10. Chopra, S. *et al.* Differential effects of illness and antipsychotics on cortical thinning in first episode psychosis: A randomised placebo-controlled MRI study. 2025.05.08.25327204 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.08.25327204 (2025).
11. de Castro-Manglano, P. *et al.* Longitudinal changes in brain structure following the first episode of psychosis. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* **191**, 166–173 (2011).
12. Chopra, S. *et al.* Network-Based Spreading of Gray Matter Changes Across Different Stages of Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* **80**, 1246–1257 (2023).
13. Shafiei, G. *et al.* Spatial Patterning of Tissue Volume Loss in Schizophrenia Reflects Brain Network Architecture. *Biological Psychiatry* **87**, 727–735 (2020).
14. Parnanzone, S. *et al.* Alterations of cerebral white matter structure in psychosis and their clinical correlations: a systematic review of Diffusion Tensor Imaging studies. *Riv Psichiatr*.
15. Sebenius, I. *et al.* Robust estimation of cortical similarity networks from brain MRI. *Nat Neurosci* **26**, 1461–1471 (2023).
16. King, D. J. & Wood, A. G. Clinically feasible brain morphometric similarity network construction approaches with restricted magnetic resonance imaging acquisitions. *Netw Neurosci* **4**, 274–291 (2020).
17. Saraiva, L. C. *et al.* Regional, functional and transcriptomic decoding of multidimensional brain structure alterations in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6900305/v1 (2025).
18. Yao, G. *et al.* Transcriptional patterns of the cortical Morphometric Inverse Divergence in first-episode, treatment-naïve early-onset schizophrenia. *NeuroImage* **285**, 120493 (2024).

19. Montagnese, M. *et al.* Structural similarity networks reveal brain vulnerability in dementia. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* **21**, e70973 (2025).
20. Sun, Y. *et al.* Inferring the Individual Psychopathologic Deficits With Structural Connectivity in a Longitudinal Cohort of Schizophrenia. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics* **26**, 2536–2546 (2022).
21. Bullmore, E. & Sporns, O. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **10**, 186–198 (2009).
22. Smith, S. M. *et al.* Correspondence of the brain's functional architecture during activation and rest. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 13040–13045 (2009).
23. Cole, M. W., Yarkoni, T., Repovš, G., Anticevic, A. & Braver, T. S. Global Connectivity of Prefrontal Cortex Predicts Cognitive Control and Intelligence. *J. Neurosci.* **32**, 8988–8999 (2012).
24. Greicius, M. D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A. L. & Menon, V. Functional connectivity in the resting brain: A network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**, 253–258 (2003).
25. Margulies, D. S. *et al.* Situating the default-mode network along a principal gradient of macroscale cortical organization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 12574–12579 (2016).
26. Goodale, M. A. & Milner, A. D. Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends in Neurosciences* **15**, 20–25 (1992).
27. Wang, R. *et al.* Cortical morphometric gradients reveal molecular and cognitive underpinnings of bipolar disorder. *Psychological Medicine* **55**, e383 (2025).
28. Han, Y. *et al.* Cortical morphometric similarity gradient in schizophrenia and its association with transcriptional profiles and clinical phenotype. *Psychological Medicine* **55**, e97 (2025).

29. van den Heuvel, M. P. *et al.* Evolutionary modifications in human brain connectivity associated with schizophrenia. *Brain* **142**, 3991–4002 (2019).
30. Radua, J. *et al.* Multimodal meta-analysis of structural and functional brain changes in first episode psychosis and the effects of antipsychotic medication. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **36**, 2325–2333 (2012).
31. García-San-Martín, N. *et al.* Reduced brain structural similarity is associated with maturation, neurobiological features, and clinical status in schizophrenia. *Nat Commun* **16**, 8745 (2025).
32. van den Heuvel, M. P. *et al.* Evolutionary modifications in human brain connectivity associated with schizophrenia. *Brain* **142**, 3991–4002 (2019).
33. García-San-Martín, N. *et al.* Molecular and micro-architectural mapping of gray matter alterations in psychosis. *Mol Psychiatry* **30**, 1287–1296 (2025).
34. Georgiadis, F. *et al.* Connectome architecture shapes large-scale cortical alterations in schizophrenia: a worldwide ENIGMA study. *Mol Psychiatry* **29**, 1869–1881 (2024).
35. Markello, R. D. *et al.* neuromaps: structural and functional interpretation of brain maps. *Nat Methods* **19**, 1472–1479 (2022).
36. Morgan, S. E. *et al.* Cortical patterning of abnormal morphometric similarity in psychosis is associated with brain expression of schizophrenia-related genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 9604–9609 (2019).
37. Li, P. *et al.* Association between functional and structural connectivity of the corticostriatal network in people with schizophrenia and unaffected first-degree relatives. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience* **45**, 395–405 (2020).
38. Friston, K., Brown, H. R., Siemerkus, J. & Stephan, K. E. The dysconnection hypothesis (2016). *Schizophr Res* **176**, 83–94 (2016).

39. Crossley, N. A. *et al.* The hubs of the human connectome are generally implicated in the anatomy of brain disorders. *Brain* **137**, 2382–2395 (2014).

40. Dong, D. *et al.* Compressed sensorimotor-to-transmodal hierarchical organization in schizophrenia. *Psychological Medicine* **53**, 771–784 (2023).

41. Xiang, J., Ma, C., Chen, X. & Cheng, C. Investigating Connectivity Gradients in Schizophrenia: Integrating Functional, Structural, and Genetic Perspectives. *Brain Sci* **15**, 179 (2025).

42. Hong, S.-J. *et al.* Atypical functional connectome hierarchy in autism. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1022 (2019).

43. Xiang, J., Ma, C., Chen, X. & Cheng, C. Investigating Connectivity Gradients in Schizophrenia: Integrating Functional, Structural, and Genetic Perspectives. *Brain Sciences* **15**, 179 (2025).

44. Blazer, A. *et al.* Changes in corticostriatal connectivity and striatal tissue iron associated with efficacy of clozapine for treatment resistant schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **239**, 2503–2514 (2022).

45. Sarpal, D. K. *et al.* Antipsychotic Treatment and Functional Connectivity of the Striatum: a Prospective Controlled Study in First-Episode Schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 5–13 (2015).

46. Reis Marques, T. *et al.* White matter integrity as a predictor of response to treatment in first episode psychosis. *Brain* **137**, 172–182 (2014).

47. David, I. *et al.* Distance- and hierarchy-dependent functional dysconnectivity in schizophrenia and its association with cortical microstructure. *NeuroImage: Clinical* **49**, 103958 (2026).

48. Bassett, D. S. *et al.* Hierarchical Organization of Human Cortical Networks in Health and Schizophrenia. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 9239–9248 (2008).

49. Yang, G. J. *et al.* Functional hierarchy underlies preferential connectivity disturbances in schizophrenia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E219–E228 (2016).

50. Wei, Y. *et al.* Genetic mapping and evolutionary analysis of human-expanded cognitive networks. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4839 (2019).

51. Jiang, Y. *et al.* Neuroimaging epicenters as potential sites of onset of the neuroanatomical pathology in schizophrenia. *Science Advances* **10**, eadk6063 (2024).

52. Anticevic, A. & Lisman, J. How Can Global Alteration of Excitation/Inhibition Balance Lead to the Local Dysfunctions That Underlie Schizophrenia? *Biological Psychiatry* **81**, 818–820 (2017).

53. Yang, G. J. *et al.* Functional hierarchy underlies preferential connectivity disturbances in schizophrenia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E219–E228 (2016).

54. Fornito, A., Zalesky, A., Pantelis, C. & Bullmore, E. T. Schizophrenia, neuroimaging and connectomics. *NeuroImage* **62**, 2296–2314 (2012).

55. van den Heuvel, M. P. & Fornito, A. Brain Networks in Schizophrenia. *Neuropsychol Rev* **24**, 32–48 (2014).

56. Fan, Y.-S. *et al.* Individual-specific functional connectome biomarkers predict schizophrenia positive symptoms during adolescent brain maturation. *Human Brain Mapping* **42**, 1475–1484 (2021).

57. Holmes, A. *et al.* Disruptions of Hierarchical Cortical Organization in Early Psychosis and Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* **8**, 1240–1250 (2023).

58. Yang, C. *et al.* Disrupted subcortical functional connectome gradient in drug-naïve first-episode schizophrenia and the normalization effects after antipsychotic treatment. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* **48**, 789–796 (2023).

59. Yao, G. *et al.* Functional gradient dysfunction in drug-naïve first-episode schizophrenia and its correlation with specific transcriptional patterns and treatment predictions. *Psychological Medicine* **54**, 4106–4118 (2024).

60. Yang, C. *et al.* More Severe Brain Network Hierarchy Disorganization in Treatment-Naive Deficit Compared to Non-deficit Schizophrenia and Underlying Neurotransmitter Associations. *Schizophr Bull* **52**, sbae231 (2026).

61. Oosthuizen, P., Emsley, R., Jadri Turner, H. & Keyter, N. A randomized, controlled comparison of the efficacy and tolerability of low and high doses of haloperidol in the treatment of first-episode psychosis. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* **7**, 125–131 (2004).

62. Kawai, N. *et al.* High-dose of multiple antipsychotics and cognitive function in schizophrenia: The effect of dose-reduction. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* **30**, 1009–1014 (2006).

63. Crespo-Facorro, B. *et al.* A Practical Clinical Trial Comparing Haloperidol, Risperidone, and Olanzapine for the Acute Treatment of First-Episode Nonaffective Psychosis. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **67**, 1511–1521 (2006).

64. Reuter, M., Schmansky, N. J., Rosas, H. D. & Fischl, B. Within-subject template estimation for unbiased longitudinal image analysis. *Neuroimage* **61**, 1402–1418 (2012).

65. Romero-Garcia, R., Atienza, M., Clemmensen, L. H. & Cantero, J. L. Effects of network resolution on topological properties of human neocortex. *NeuroImage* **59**, 3522–3532 (2012).

66. Gardner, M. *et al.* ComBatLS: A Location- and Scale-Preserving Method for Multi-Site Image Harmonization. *Human Brain Mapping* **46**, e70197 (2025).

67. Vos de Wael, R. *et al.* BrainSpace: a toolbox for the analysis of macroscale gradients in neuroimaging and connectomics datasets. *Commun Biol* **3**, 103 (2020).

68. Luppi, A. I. *et al.* Local orchestration of distributed functional patterns supporting loss and restoration of consciousness in the primate brain. *Nat Commun* **15**, 2171 (2024).

69. Langs, G., Golland, P. & Ghosh, S. S. Predicting Activation Across Individuals with Resting-State Functional Connectivity Based Multi-Atlas Label Fusion. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv* **9350**, 313–320 (2015).

70. He, Y. *et al.* Functional gradients reveal altered functional segregation in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Cereb Cortex* **33**, 10836–10847 (2023).

71. Inada, T. & Inagaki, A. Psychotropic dose equivalence in Japan. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences* **69**, 440–447 (2015).

72. Gardner, D. M., Murphy, A. L., O'Donnell, H., Centorrino, F. & Baldessarini, R. J. International consensus study of antipsychotic dosing. *Am J Psychiatry* **167**, 686–693 (2010).

73. Hill, J. *et al.* Similar patterns of cortical expansion during human development and evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 13135–13140 (2010).

74. Sydnor, V. J. *et al.* Neurodevelopment of the association cortices: Patterns, mechanisms, and implications for psychopathology. *Neuron* **109**, 2820–2846 (2021).

75. Ayesa-Arriola, R. *et al*. Dissecting the functional outcomes of first episode schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a 10-year follow-up study in the PAFIP cohort. *Psychol. Med.* **51**, 264–277 (2021).

76. García-San-Martín, N. NeuroimagingBrainNetworks/HierarchyLongitudinalMINDGradientsPsychosis: v1.0.0-alpha. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18416490 (2026).

## Acknowledgments

RRG is funded by the *Plan de Generación de Conocimiento* from the Spanish Ministry of Science (PID2021-122853OA-I00), and ERANET Neuron JTC 2023 (ERP-2023-23684211). Both RRG and NGS are funded by the *Plan de Consolidación* (CNS2023-143647). JS is funded by the Psychosis Immune Mechanism Stratified Medicine Study (PIMS), UK Medical Research Council, MR/S037675/1. All research at the Department of Psychiatry in the University of Cambridge is supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203312) and the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration East of England. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# Author Contributions

N.G.S. performed data curation, methodological design, data analysis, and drafted the manuscript; R.A.I.B., I.S., L.C.S., P.S., C.A.M., C.G., P.S.Q., A.P., G.S., M.R.V., R.A.A., J.V.B., B.M., C.C., J.S., B.C.F., and R.R.G contributed to data acquisition,

provided advice on data analysis, and participated in writing and editing the manuscript. R.R.G. also contributed to conceptualization and supervision of the work. All authors approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.