The gap between recommendation and reform: Quantifying government compliance with coronial recommendations across all Australian jurisdictions

Hayden Farquhar, MBBS MPHTM

Independent researcher

ORCID: 0009-0002-6226-440X

Correspondence: hayden.farquhar@icloud.com

Abstract

Australian coroners can recommend measures to prevent future deaths, but compliance is poorly tracked outside Victoria. We analysed 9833 publicly available coronial findings from all eight jurisdictions (2000-2024) and 2040 government responses using computational text analysis. Fewer than half of published findings contained formal recommendations (45.6%), with jurisdictional rates ranging from 28.9% to 70.1%. Among classifiable government responses, stated acceptance ranged from 26.0% (Western Australia) to 88.0% (Queensland). Multivariable regression showed that jurisdiction — a proxy for legislative framework — was the dominant predictor of acceptance; case-level characteristics added negligible explanatory power. Victoria's mandatory response regime produced predominantly administrative cover letters (78.6%)

without substantive engagement. These findings support calls for standardised, timeframe-specified response legislation modelled on Queensland's *Coroners Act 2003*, s 46A.

I. Introduction

The Australian coronial system occupies a distinctive position at the intersection of law, medicine, and public policy. Coroners investigate reportable deaths — deaths that must by law be notified to a coroner, including deaths in custody, deaths during or following medical procedures, and unexpected, unnatural, or violent deaths — with the dual purpose of establishing the circumstances of death and, where possible, making recommendations to prevent future deaths.[1] This preventive function has been described as the "forward-looking" role of the coroner, distinguishing the coronial system from purely retrospective death investigation.[2] In every Australian jurisdiction, coroners possess statutory power to make recommendations to government agencies, organisations, or individuals, yet in most jurisdictions these recommendations carry no binding force and attract no obligation to respond.[3]

Only two jurisdictions — Victoria and Queensland — have legislated mandatory government response mechanisms, and these differ fundamentally in design. Victoria's *Coroners Act 2008* requires that a response be provided to the coroner within a specified period,[4] but does not

---

[1] Freckelton I and Ranson D, *Death Investigation and the Coroner's Inquest* (Oxford University Press, Melbourne, 2006).

[2] Watterson R, Brown P and McKenzie J, "Coronial Recommendations and the Prevention of Indigenous Death" (2008) 12(Special Edition 2) Aust Indig L Rev 4.

[3] Freckelton and Ranson, n 1. In most jurisdictions, recommendations are advisory only and there is no statutory obligation for any entity to respond.

[4] *Coroners Act 2008* (Vic), s 72(3)-(5).

prescribe the content or specificity of that response. Queensland's *Coroners Act 2003* requires not only that a response be provided but that it address each recommendation individually and specify the action to be taken (or the reasons for declining to act), within a defined timeframe.[5] This divergence tests whether the structure of response requirements, not merely their existence, determines their effectiveness.

Despite the coronial system's recognised importance for public health surveillance[6] and injury prevention,[7] systematic evidence on its preventive effectiveness remains limited. The National Coronial Information System (NCIS) provides coded mortality data for research purposes but does not capture the full text of coronial findings or the content of government responses.[8] Previous compliance studies have been confined to single jurisdictions — predominantly Victoria — using manual review methods limited by sample size. Sutherland and colleagues analysed 282 recommendation-response pairs under Victoria's mandatory regime and found that agencies frequently provided only generic acknowledgments without substantive engagement.[9] A subsequent content analysis by the same group documented persistent challenges in translating coroners' recommendations into meaningful policy change.[10] These findings echoed earlier critiques by Bugeja and Ranson, who characterised coronial recommendations as a "lost

---

[5] *Coroners Act 2003* (Qld), s 46A.

[6] Studdert DM and Cordner SM, "Impact of Coronial Investigations on Manner and Cause of Death Determinations in Australia, 2000-2007" (2010) 192(8) MJA 444.

[7] Bugeja L and Ranson D, "Coroners' Recommendations: Do They Lead to Positive Public Health Outcomes?" (2003) 10(4) J Law Med 399.

[8] Saar E, Bugeja L and Ranson DL, "National Coronial Information System: Epidemiology and the Coroner in Australia" (2017) 7(4) Acad Forensic Pathol 582.

[9] Sutherland G et al, "What Happens to Coroners' Recommendations for Improving Public Health and Safety? Organisational Responses under a Mandatory Response Regime in Victoria, Australia" (2014) 14 BMC Public Health 732.

[10] Sutherland G, Kemp C and Studdert DM, "Mandatory Responses to Public Health and Safety Recommendations Issued by Coroners: A Content Analysis" (2016) 40(5) Aust NZ J Public Health 451.

opportunity" for public health prevention[11] and questioned whether they produce positive outcomes.[12]

Computational text analysis has been applied to death investigation data internationally — including topic modelling of violent death records in the United States[13] and web scraping of Prevention of Future Deaths reports in England and Wales[14] — but the only published study applying natural language processing to Australian coronial text used machine learning to classify suicide-related decisions from a single jurisdiction.[15] No study has attempted a national-level analysis spanning all jurisdictions, all death types, and all publicly available government responses.

The Australasian Legal Information Institute (AustLII) Coronial Law Library provides open access to the full text of coronial findings and government responses from all Australian jurisdictions.[16] While the database does not represent the complete universe of reportable deaths — findings are published at the discretion of individual courts and are likely enriched for inquests and cases of public interest — it constitutes the largest available corpus of Australian coronial text and enables cross-jurisdictional analysis that would otherwise be impossible.

---

[11] Bugeja L and Ranson D, "Coroners' Recommendations: A Lost Opportunity" (2005) 13(2) J Law Med 173.

[12] Bugeja and Ranson, n 7.

[13] Arseniev-Koehler A et al, "Integrating Topic Modeling and Word Embedding to Characterize Violent Deaths" (2022) 119(10) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA e2108801119.

[14] Zhang Q and Richards GC, "Lessons from Web Scraping Coroners' Prevention of Future Deaths Reports" (2023) 91(3) Med Leg J 142.

[15] Iyer R et al, "Using Machine Learning to Understand Suicide: A New Approach to Classifying Australian Coroner's Court Decisions" (Research Square, 2021, preprint; not peer-reviewed as of March 2026).

[16] Chung P, Mowbray A and Greenleaf G, "Making Coronial Law Accessible: The Australian Coronial Law Library" (SSRN, 2023).

This study had three aims: first, to identify and characterise the thematic patterns present in publicly available coronial findings using computational topic modelling; second, to measure recommendation rates and government compliance across all eight jurisdictions; and third, to examine whether case-level characteristics — including death type, Indigenous status, medication involvement, and institutional setting — predict recommendation issuance and government acceptance, or whether jurisdictional legislative frameworks are the dominant determinant. The central hypothesis, informed by the existing single-jurisdiction literature, was that structural legislative features would outweigh case characteristics in explaining compliance variation — a finding with direct implications for legislative reform.

II. Methods

A. Data source and corpus construction

The AustLII Coronial Law Library was accessed using an automated scraping pipeline that enumerated case URLs across 67 sub-databases spanning all eight state and territory jurisdictions. Documents were downloaded in HTML and PDF format, with text extraction performed using pdfplumber and Tesseract OCR fallback for image-based PDFs. Of 9924 findings enumerated, 9833 were retained after excluding 37 short documents (fewer than 200 characters) and 54 empty documents. Government responses were extracted from seven jurisdictions (the ACT has no response database on AustLII); after quality filtering, 2040 responses were retained for analysis.

AustLII publishes findings at the discretion of individual courts. The resulting corpus is therefore a convenience sample, likely enriched for cases involving formal recommendations, coronial inquests, and matters of public interest. Non-inquest (chambers) findings are rarely published. Recommendation rates and topic distributions reported here characterise the public record of the

coronial system — which is biased toward more complex and systemic cases[17] — and should not be interpreted as population-level estimates of the frequency with which coroners make recommendations.

B. Topic modelling

Computational topic modelling was applied to identify thematic clusters within the corpus using BERTopic[18] with term frequency-inverse document frequency (TF-IDF) embeddings (5000 features). Neural sentence-transformer embeddings were tested but abandoned: the 256-token context window of standard models captured only court headers in these long legal documents (median length 18,240 to 42,537 characters), producing jurisdiction-based rather than thematic clusters.[19] TF-IDF representations process the complete document without truncation and have demonstrated competitive performance on long legal text classification tasks.[20] Dimensionality reduction[21] and density-based clustering[22] identified initial clusters. Topics representing the same death type but split by jurisdiction were manually merged based on shared top terms and representative document content (for example, separate New South Wales and Victorian custody

---

[17] Bugeja L et al, "The Utility of Medico-Legal Databases for Public Health Research: A Systematic Review" (2016) 14 Health Res Policy Syst 28.

[18] Grootendorst M, "BERTopic: Neural Topic Modeling with a Class-Based TF-IDF Procedure" (arXiv, 2022, 2203.05794).

[19] Mamakas D et al, "Processing Long Legal Documents with Pre-Trained Transformers: Modding LegalBERT and Longformer" in *Proceedings of the Natural Legal Language Processing Workshop 2022* (Association for Computational Linguistics, Abu Dhabi, 2022) pp 130-142.

[20] Lin Y-C et al, "Linear Classifier: An Often-Forgotten Baseline for Text Classification" in *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the ACL (Volume 2: Short Papers)* (Association for Computational Linguistics, Toronto, 2023) pp 1876-1888.

[21] McInnes L, Healy J and Melville J, "UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction" (arXiv, 2018, 1802.03426).

[22] Campello RJGB, Moulavi D and Sander J, "Density-Based Clustering Based on Hierarchical Density Estimates" in *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* (Springer, Berlin, 2013) pp 160-172.

topics merged into a single “Deaths in Custody” topic), yielding 26 final topics with 2046 outliers (20.8%). Model quality was assessed by topic coherence[23] (mean 0.29) and topic diversity (0.77).

C. Compliance analysis

Government responses were linked to their corresponding findings using jurisdiction-specific methods: structured case reference numbers where available (Victoria, Queensland, Tasmania, Northern Territory), and deceased name matching with year proximity as fallback (New South Wales, Western Australia, South Australia). Match rates ranged from 65.6% (New South Wales) to 100% (Queensland, Western Australia, Tasmania, Northern Territory). New South Wales’ lower rate reflects multi-deceased inquests and name format inconsistencies.

A rule-based regex classifier assigned each response to one of seven categories based on the bureaucratic language used in the response text: implemented, already implemented, partially accepted, under consideration, noted, not supported, or unclassifiable. These categories reflect stated intent as expressed in the response document, not verified on-the-ground implementation of recommendations. This distinction is critical: previous Australian compliance research has documented that government “acceptance” of coronial recommendations often does not translate into changed practice,[24] [25] and a systematic gap exists between what agencies say they will do

[23] Bouma G, “Normalized (Pointwise) Mutual Information in Collocation Extraction” in *Proceedings of GSCL* (2009) pp 31-40.

[24] Sutherland G et al, “What Happens to Coroners’ Recommendations for Improving Public Health and Safety? Organisational Responses under a Mandatory Response Regime in Victoria, Australia” (2014) 14 BMC Public Health 732.

[25] Sutherland G, Kemp C and Studdert DM, “Mandatory Responses to Public Health and Safety Recommendations Issued by Coroners: A Content Analysis” (2016) 40(5) Aust NZ J Public Health 451.

and what they actually do. The acceptance rates reported throughout this study should therefore be interpreted as upper bounds on actual implementation.

Victorian responses were predominantly unclassifiable (78.6%) because 619 of 788 were administrative cover letters confirming receipt of the coroner's findings without substantive engagement with the recommendations themselves. The implications of this finding for legislative design are considered in the Discussion.

D. Classifier validation

To assess the accuracy of the regex compliance classifier, a stratified random sample of 100 government responses was independently coded by the author against the seven-category scheme. Responses were sampled proportionally across jurisdictions and classifier categories, with oversampling of borderline categories (under consideration, noted) where misclassification was most likely. Seven-category agreement was moderate (Cohen's kappa = 0.41; overall accuracy 54%). Collapsing to three categories (accepted, pending, other) improved agreement (kappa = 0.50; accuracy 66%), with perfect classification of unclassifiable responses (25 of 25). The dominant misclassification was conservative: 14 human-coded accepted responses were auto-classified as pending, versus 7 in the reverse direction, indicating the classifier slightly underestimates acceptance among classifiable responses. The implications of this moderate agreement for interpretation are discussed further in Part IV(F).

E. Special analyses

Layered regular expression flags identified three categories of particular medico-legal significance. Indigenous deaths were identified using a broad definition encompassing identity terms, organisational terms, and contextual terms; sensitivity analysis confirmed stability across

narrow, medium, and broad definitions (odds ratios ranging from 1.46 to 1.47 for recommendations).[26] Medication-related patterns included opioids, psychiatric medications, medication errors, and polypharmacy. Facility-specific flags identified deaths in hospitals, psychiatric facilities, prisons, aged care facilities, and police custody. These were cross-tabulated with topic assignments and compliance outcomes.

F. Statistical analysis

Chi-square tests with Cramer's V for effect sizes, odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (Wald method), and Wilson score confidence intervals for proportions were used for bivariate analyses. Multivariable logistic regression controlled for jurisdiction, year, and text length. Recommendation models (Models 1-2) used 7786 findings after excluding 2046 topic model outliers and one case with missing year. Acceptance models (Models 3-4) used 842 classifiable linked responses, derived as follows: of 2040 total responses, 1800 were successfully linked to findings (240 unlinked, predominantly New South Wales name mismatches); of the 1800 linked responses, 758 were unclassifiable (619 Victorian cover letters plus 139 others), leaving 1042 classifiable linked responses; after listwise deletion of 200 cases with incomplete covariates, 842 remained. Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction[27] was applied to all 41 statistical tests; 27 survived correction.

[26] Three definitions were tested: narrow (identity terms only), medium (adding organisational terms), and broad (adding contextual terms). Results were stable across all three (OR range 1.46-1.47 for recommendations).

[27] Benjamini Y and Hochberg Y, "Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing" (1995) 57(1) J R Stat Soc Series B 289.

G. Ethics

All data were publicly available on the AustLII database. No ethics approval was required. Analysis of Indigenous deaths focuses on systemic patterns; facility data are aggregated to facility type level.

III. Results

A. Corpus characteristics

The corpus comprised 9833 coronial findings from eight jurisdictions spanning 2000 to 2024 (with sparse coverage extending to 1979 for New South Wales and 1981 for the Northern Territory), encompassing all categories of reportable death (Table 1). Victoria contributed the largest share of findings (4326, 44.0%), while Western Australia had the longest median document length (42,537 characters). Overall, 4479 findings (45.6%; 95% CI, 44.6-46.5%) contained formal recommendations.

Recommendation rates varied substantially by jurisdiction. South Australia had the highest rate (70.1%), followed by Tasmania (56.2%) and Queensland (54.6%), while Western Australia had the lowest (28.9%) and Victoria the second lowest (38.4%). This variation persisted after controlling for year and document length in multivariable regression (Model 1, pseudo R-squared = 0.041), with South Australia (OR 3.70 v Victoria; 95% CI, 3.13-4.39; $p < 0.001$) and Queensland (OR 2.01; 95% CI, 1.73-2.34; $p < 0.001$) showing the strongest independent associations.

Table 1. Corpus characteristics by jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	Findings	Years	Median text (chars)	With recommendations	Recommendation rate (%)
NSW	1071	1979-2026	37,549	435	40.6
VIC	4326	2002-2025	18,240	1663	38.4
QLD	1035	2004-2026	42,537	565	54.6
WA	705	2012-2025	41,845	204	28.9
SA	884	2000-2025	19,115	620	70.1
TAS	1304	2001-2026	9960	733	56.2
ACT	96	2013-2025	23,801	42	43.8
NT	412	1981-2026	33,409	217	52.7
Total	**9833**			**4479**	**45.6**

Years reflect AustLII's publication numbering, not the date of death or inquest. Findings published in early 2026 (n = 21) relate to deaths investigated in prior years.

B. Topic model

Twenty-six topics were identified spanning the full range of coronial casework (Table 2). The three largest topics were medical and surgical deaths (1231 findings, 12.5%), mental health and psychiatric deaths (1024, 10.4%), and deaths in custody (841, 8.6%). Topic-jurisdiction associations were pronounced: deaths in custody were concentrated in the Northern Territory and Western Australia, bushfire and natural disaster deaths in Victoria, and child deaths and child protection matters in Tasmania and New South Wales. Topic coherence varied substantially across topics (NPMI range 0.11-0.48): narrow, domain-specific topics such as aviation (NPMI 0.48) and drowning (NPMI 0.46) had higher coherence, while broad categories such as medical

and surgical deaths (NPMI 0.11) had lower coherence because they encompass diverse clinical scenarios sharing little distinctive vocabulary.

Table 2. Topic model: 26 death-type topics identified from 9833 coronial findings

Topic	Count	%
Medical / Surgical	1231	12.5
Mental Health / Psychiatric	1024	10.4
Deaths in Custody	841	8.6
Disability / Aged Care	721	7.3
Missing Persons / Homicide	462	4.7
Drowning / Water	440	4.5
Child Deaths / Protection	381	3.9
Drug-Related Deaths	369	3.8
Motor Vehicle Crashes	335	3.4
Bushfire / Natural Disaster	292	3.0
Police Use of Force / Siege	231	2.3
Police Pursuits	202	2.1
Family Violence	155	1.6
Perinatal / Obstetric	148	1.5
Cyclist / Pedestrian	134	1.4
Heavy Vehicle / Truck	131	1.3
Aviation	98	1.0

Topic	Count	%
Restraint / Excited Delirium	90	0.9
House Fire / Smoke Alarm	87	0.9
TAS Formulaic Findings	76	0.8
VIC Royal Commission Cases	69	0.7
Deaths in Police Custody	60	0.6
Rail Crossings	54	0.5
Homicide Investigation	54	0.5
Seatbelt / Occupant Safety	50	0.5
Emergency Dispatch / Asthma	50	0.5
Outliers	*2046*	*20.8*

Computational topic model (BERTopic with TF-IDF embeddings, UMAP dimensionality reduction, and HDBSCAN clustering). Mean NPMI coherence = 0.29; topic diversity = 0.77.

C. Government compliance

Of 2040 government responses linked to findings, 460 (22.5%) indicated that recommendations had been implemented, 138 (6.8%) were partially accepted, 377 (18.4%) remained under consideration, and 48 (2.3%) were not supported (Table 3). A total of 877 responses (43.0%) were unclassifiable, predominantly Victorian administrative cover letters lacking substantive engagement with the recommendations.

Among classifiable responses, acceptance rates (defined as implemented, already implemented, or partially accepted) ranged from 26.0% in Western Australia to 88.0% in Queensland (Table 3).

Queensland's acceptance rate was substantially higher than all other jurisdictions (OR v New South Wales, 10.8; 95% CI, 6.4-18.3). A modest improving temporal trend was observed (OR 1.07 per year; 95% CI, 1.03-1.12; p = 0.002).

Table 3. Government response outcomes by jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	Responses	Implemented	Partially accepted	Under consideration	Noted	Not supported	Unclassifiable	Acceptance rate (%)
NSW	681	184	54	194	43	24	174	48.5
VIC	788	41	37	43	35	5	619	50.9
QLD	209	165	19	9	1	15	0	88.0
WA	195	31	7	80	32	2	41	26.0
SA	87	25	13	31	3	0	13	54.1
TAS	58	9	2	13	4	0	30	39.3
NT	22	5	6	7	1	2	0	54.5
Total	**2040**	**460**	**138**	**377**	**119**	**48**	**877**	**53.2**

Acceptance rate = (implemented + already implemented + partially accepted) / classifiable responses. "Already implemented" (n = 21; NSW 8, VIC 8, WA 2, SA 2, NT 1) is included in the acceptance numerator but omitted as a separate column for space. Classifiable = total minus unclassifiable.

D. Regression analysis: jurisdiction versus case characteristics

Multivariable logistic regression confirmed that jurisdiction was the strongest measured predictor of government acceptance (Model 3: jurisdiction and year only; pseudo R-squared = 0.133; AIC = 1020). Adding case-level covariates — text length, Indigenous status, medication flags, and

facility type — provided minimal improvement (Model 4: pseudo R-squared = 0.139; AIC = 1027). No case-level covariate was statistically significant after false discovery rate correction. The increase in AIC (a measure of model fit penalising additional variables; lower is better) from Model 3 to Model 4 indicates that the additional covariates do not improve model fit.

In the full model, Queensland's odds of acceptance were more than ten times those of New South Wales (OR 10.21; 95% CI, 6.00-17.39; $p < 0.001$), while Western Australia's were substantially lower (OR 0.40; 95% CI, 0.24-0.66; $p < 0.001$). The year coefficient showed a modest positive trend (OR 1.06 per year; 95% CI, 1.01-1.11; $p = 0.015$). Indigenous status, while associated with higher acceptance in unadjusted analysis, was not significant in the adjusted model (OR 1.39; 95% CI, 0.90-2.17; $p = 0.14$) — an important finding discussed further below.

For recommendation issuance, the pattern differed. A jurisdiction-only model (Model 1) explained relatively little variance (pseudo R-squared = 0.041), but adding case-level covariates substantially improved prediction (Model 2: pseudo R-squared = 0.135). Document length was the strongest predictor (OR 2.36 per log unit; 95% CI, 2.21-2.51; $p < 0.001$), likely reflecting the correlation between case complexity, finding length, and recommendation issuance. South Australia (OR 3.86 v Victoria; 95% CI, 3.21-4.65) and Tasmania (OR 3.94; 95% CI, 3.35-4.63) had the highest adjusted recommendation rates; Western Australia had the lowest (OR 0.32; 95% CI, 0.26-0.39).

The contrast between these two sets of models is instructive. Whether a coroner makes a recommendation is partly predictable from case characteristics — longer, more complex cases involving medication errors or Indigenous deaths attract more recommendations. But whether the government accepts that recommendation is almost entirely determined by which jurisdiction it was issued in, serving as a proxy for the prevailing legislative framework.

E. Indigenous deaths

Indigenous Australians were identified in 993 findings (10.1%; 95% CI, 9.5-10.7%), approximately 2.7 times the 3.8% population share.[28] This overrepresentation was most pronounced in the Northern Territory (67.5% of findings) and Western Australia (24.3%). Indigenous cases had a higher unadjusted recommendation rate than non-Indigenous cases (54.2% v 44.6%; OR 1.47; 95% CI, 1.29-1.68; $p < 0.001$). The higher unadjusted acceptance rate for Indigenous cases (51.3% v 25.9%; OR 3.02; 95% CI, 2.22-4.11) was substantially confounded by jurisdictional composition: Indigenous cases are concentrated in the Northern Territory and Queensland — jurisdictions with higher baseline compliance — while Victoria, which contributes the most unclassifiable responses, has relatively few Indigenous cases. After adjusting for jurisdiction, year, text length, and case-level flags, the Indigenous coefficient was not statistically significant (OR 1.39; 95% CI, 0.90-2.17; $p = 0.14$).

More informative than the aggregate acceptance rate is the polarised pattern of government response to Indigenous cases. Among Indigenous deaths, "implemented" accounted for 37.8% and "partially accepted" for 10.4%, compared with 19.9% and 5.9% for non-Indigenous cases. However, the "not supported" rate was also more than double that for non-Indigenous cases (4.1% v 1.7%). Indigenous deaths appear to provoke substantive engagement — agencies respond more concretely but are also more willing to explicitly reject recommendations. This polarisation is discussed further in Part IV below.

[28] Australian Bureau of Statistics, *Estimates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians, June 2021* (ABS, Canberra, 2022).

F. Medication and facility patterns

Medication errors were flagged in 1178 findings (12.0%) and were associated with the highest recommendation rate of any category (55.1%; 95% CI, 52.2-57.9%) but among the lowest acceptance rates (26.4%; 95% CI, 21.3-32.3%). Polypharmacy cases (4.4% prevalence) showed a similar pattern: the highest recommendation rate (56.5%) coupled with the lowest acceptance rate (23.2%), though the latter estimate rests on a small number of classifiable responses and should be interpreted cautiously.

Prison and correctional settings were associated with 1818 findings (18.5%) and had the highest acceptance rate among facility types (41.8%; OR 2.09; 95% CI, 1.62-2.70). Psychiatric facilities (12.4% prevalence) had an above-average recommendation rate (53.3%) but acceptance did not differ significantly from the overall rate. Hospital settings (6.1% prevalence) showed the lowest recommendation rate among facility types (43.1%) but moderate acceptance (35.8%).

Figure 1. Response outcome by jurisdiction (% of responses)

See `manuscript/jlm_submission/Figure1_compliance_heatmap.jpg`

Heatmap showing the distribution of government response outcomes (implemented, partially accepted, under consideration, noted, not supported, unclassifiable) across seven jurisdictions with response databases. Victoria's predominance of unclassifiable responses (79%) and Queensland's predominance of implemented responses (79%) illustrate the central finding that legislative design determines compliance.

Figure 2. Proportion of coronial findings involving Indigenous Australians

See `manuscript/jlm_submission/Figure2_indigenous_prevalence.jpg`

Bar chart showing the proportion of coronial findings involving Indigenous Australians in each jurisdiction, ranging from 1% (Tasmania) to 67% (Northern Territory). Dashed line indicates the 3.8% Indigenous population share.

Table 4. Unadjusted odds ratios: case characteristics and key outcomes

Case characteristic	Outcome	OR	95% CI	Sig
Indigenous	Has recommendations	1.47	1.29–1.68	***
Indigenous	Accepted (responses)	3.02	2.22–4.11	***
Toxicology	Has recommendations	1.47	1.34–1.61	***
Opioid	Has recommendations	1.14	1.04–1.26	**
Psychiatric meds	Has recommendations	1.21	1.11–1.32	***
Medication errors	Has recommendations	1.50	1.31–1.73	***
Polypharmacy	Has recommendations	1.58	1.30–1.92	***
Hospital setting	Has recommendations	0.90	0.76–1.06	ns
Psychiatric facility	Has recommendations	1.43	1.27–1.61	***
Prison	Has recommendations	1.17	1.05–1.29	**
Aged care	Has recommendations	1.04	0.95–1.18	ns
Police custody	Has recommendations	1.18	1.08–1.31	***
Prison	Accepted (responses)	2.09	1.62–2.70	***
Psychiatric facility	Accepted (responses)	1.15	0.87–1.52	ns
Police custody	Accepted (responses)	1.50	1.19–1.88	***

Unadjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Sig: *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; ns = not significant after FDR correction. “Accepted” = implemented, already implemented, or partially accepted among classifiable responses.

IV. Discussion

A. Summary of findings

To our knowledge, this is the first computational analysis of coronial findings and government responses spanning all eight Australian jurisdictions. The analysis of 9833 publicly available findings and 2040 government responses documents systematic patterns in how coroners exercise their preventive powers and how governments respond — patterns that have been suspected from smaller single-jurisdiction studies but never quantified at the national level.

Three findings are of primary medico-legal significance. First, among published findings, fewer than half (45.6%) contain formal recommendations, with wide jurisdictional variation (28.9% to 70.1%) that cannot be fully explained by case characteristics. Second, government compliance is low and overwhelmingly determined by jurisdiction — a proxy for legislative framework — rather than by the nature of the death or the recommendations themselves. Third, Victoria’s mandatory response regime, despite being the most studied in the literature, produces predominantly uninformative administrative responses that frustrate the statutory purpose of the response requirement.

B. Queensland versus Victoria: a natural experiment in legislative design

The contrast between Queensland and Victoria represents a natural experiment in the design of mandatory response legislation. Both jurisdictions require government agencies to respond to coronial recommendations. The outcomes differ dramatically.

Queensland's *Coroners Act 2003*, s 46A, requires that a minister who receives a coronial recommendation provide a written response to the coroner within six months, specifying what action has been taken or is proposed, or the reasons for deciding not to take action.[29] This provision, inserted by amendment in 2009, creates a structured obligation: the response must be specific, individualised to each recommendation, and provided within a defined timeframe. The result, in this dataset, is an 88.0% stated acceptance rate — the highest of any jurisdiction by a wide margin — and a 100% classifiability rate (every Queensland response contained sufficient substantive content for the classifier to assign a category).

Victoria's *Coroners Act 2008*, s 72(3)-(5), requires a response to be provided to the coroner within a specified period but does not prescribe the content of that response.[30] The result is that 78.6% of Victorian responses were administrative cover letters — typically one-page documents acknowledging receipt of the findings without addressing the substance of the recommendations. When these unclassifiable responses were reclassified as "noted" in sensitivity analysis, Victoria's acceptance rate fell to 10.9%, the lowest of any jurisdiction — substantially below even Western Australia's 26.0%. Under the baseline analysis (excluding unclassifiable responses), Victoria's acceptance rate among classifiable responses was 50.9%, but this figure is

[29] *Coroners Act 2003* (Qld), s 46A.

[30] *Coroners Act 2008* (Vic), s 72(3)-(5).

misleading because it is calculated from only 169 classifiable responses out of 788 total, with the remaining 619 providing no substantive information.

This contrast illustrates a fundamental principle of legislative design: a mandatory response requirement is only as effective as its specificity. Victoria's regime satisfies the letter of the law — agencies do respond — but defeats its purpose. The response obligation creates a compliance culture focused on administrative process rather than substantive engagement. Agencies can discharge their statutory obligation by sending a cover letter, and there is no mechanism to compel further engagement. Queensland's regime, by contrast, requires the response to address the substance of the recommendation, making it structurally impossible (or at least more difficult) to comply through mere acknowledgment.

This finding has particular significance given that Victoria has been the primary focus of previous compliance research.[31] [32] Those studies documented similar patterns of superficial compliance but were unable to make cross-jurisdictional comparisons. The present analysis, by examining all jurisdictions simultaneously, demonstrates that Victoria's experience is not representative of mandatory response regimes in general — it is representative of poorly designed mandatory response regimes.

C. The Royal Commission legacy: Indigenous deaths thirty-five years on

The 1991 Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody made 339 recommendations addressing the systemic factors contributing to Indigenous overrepresentation in custody and in

[31] Sutherland G et al, "What Happens to Coroners' Recommendations for Improving Public Health and Safety? Organisational Responses under a Mandatory Response Regime in Victoria, Australia" (2014) 14 BMC Public Health 732.

[32] Sutherland G, Kemp C and Studdert DM, "Mandatory Responses to Public Health and Safety Recommendations Issued by Coroners: A Content Analysis" (2016) 40(5) Aust NZ J Public Health 451.

custodial deaths.[33] Thirty-five years later, the coronial data paint a complex picture of the system's response to Indigenous deaths.

On one hand, the overrepresentation documented by the Royal Commission persists. Indigenous Australians appear in 10.1% of coronial findings in this corpus despite constituting 3.8% of the population — a 2.7-fold overrepresentation that is concentrated in the Northern Territory (67.5%) and Western Australia (24.3%). The topic model identified "Deaths in Custody" as the third-largest category in the corpus (841 findings, 8.6%), with strong Northern Territory and Western Australian concentration. These patterns are consistent with quantitative analyses of coronial reports documenting continued Indigenous overrepresentation in custodial deaths.[34]

On the other hand, Indigenous cases show a distinctive pattern of government engagement. The higher unadjusted acceptance rate (OR 3.02) is driven by jurisdictional confounding — Indigenous cases are concentrated in higher-compliance jurisdictions — and disappears after adjustment. But the disaggregated response pattern is more revealing: agencies respond to Indigenous cases more concretely (higher implementation and partial acceptance rates) but also reject recommendations more often (4.1% "not supported" versus 1.7% for non-Indigenous cases). This polarisation may reflect the nature of the recommendations themselves. Indigenous deaths disproportionately involve systemic issues — custody conditions, remote health service access, community policing arrangements — that require structural reform. Agencies may accept operational changes (installing CCTV in custody suites, revising welfare check protocols) while

[33] Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, *National Report* (Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, 1991).

[34] Walsh T and Counter A, "Deaths in Custody in Australia: A Quantitative Analysis of Coroners' Reports" (2019) 31(2) Curr Issues Crim Justice 143.

rejecting recommendations that would require legislative or budgetary commitments (funding remote health clinics, reforming bail practices).

The sustained political scrutiny following the Royal Commission[35] and subsequent monitoring efforts[36] likely creates institutional pressure to respond substantively to Indigenous deaths. But this study cannot determine whether that response translates to actual reform. The classification captures stated bureaucratic intent — what agencies say they will do — not verified implementation. The gap between stated acceptance and actual implementation, well-documented in the general compliance literature,[37] [38] is likely widest for the kinds of systemic, resource-intensive reforms that Indigenous deaths most frequently demand.

D. The medication-error gap and its structural causes

Medication errors showed the widest gap in this dataset between recommendation rates (55.1%, the highest of any category) and acceptance rates (26.4%, among the lowest). Polypharmacy cases showed a similar pattern (56.5% recommendation rate, 23.2% acceptance). This "recommendation-acceptance gap" echoes patterns identified in hospital-specific coronial reviews of medication-related deaths in residential aged care.[39]

---

[35] Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, *National Report* (Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, 1991).

[36] Walsh T and Counter A, "Deaths in Custody in Australia: A Quantitative Analysis of Coroners' Reports" (2019) 31(2) Curr Issues Crim Justice 143.

[37] Sutherland G et al, "What Happens to Coroners' Recommendations for Improving Public Health and Safety? Organisational Responses under a Mandatory Response Regime in Victoria, Australia" (2014) 14 BMC Public Health 732.

[38] Sutherland G, Kemp C and Studdert DM, "Mandatory Responses to Public Health and Safety Recommendations Issued by Coroners: A Content Analysis" (2016) 40(5) Aust NZ J Public Health 451.

[39] Jokanovic N et al, "A Review of Coronial Investigations into Medication-Related Deaths in Residential Aged Care" (2019) 15(4) Res Social Adm Pharm 410.

The structural explanation is straightforward. Medication safety recommendations are commonly directed at entities outside direct government control: private clinicians, professional colleges (such as the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners or the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists), pharmaceutical regulators, or private hospital operators. These entities have no statutory obligation to respond to coronial recommendations.[40] [41] The coronial system's preventive function depends on a chain of accountability that breaks down when recommendations target the private sector or professional regulatory bodies.

By contrast, prison-related recommendations had the highest acceptance rate among facility types (41.8%; OR 2.09; 95% CI, 1.62-2.70). Correctional services are government-operated agencies directly answerable to the minister and, through the minister, to the coroner's response framework. The institutional architecture aligns: the entity receiving the recommendation is the entity required to respond. This alignment does not exist for medication safety recommendations directed at private practitioners or professional bodies.

This analysis cannot determine whether the lower acceptance rate for medication recommendations also reflects that such recommendations are less specific, less actionable, or directed at problems that are genuinely harder to solve. It is plausible that recommendations to "review prescribing practices" or "improve medication reconciliation processes" are more difficult to implement — and more difficult to measure — than recommendations to "install hanging points in custody cells" or "revise pursuit termination policies". But the structural

[40] Freckelton and Ranson, n 1. In most jurisdictions, recommendations are advisory only and there is no statutory obligation for any entity to respond.

[41] Ranson D, "The Coroners Act 2008 (Vic): A Medical Investigator's Perspective" (2010) 17(4) J Law Med 487.

misalignment between recommendation targets and response obligations is sufficient to explain much of the gap, and it points toward a specific legislative remedy discussed in Part IV(G) below.

E. Victoria's cover-letter compliance: a case study in legislative failure

Victoria's experience warrants detailed examination because it illustrates how mandatory response legislation can produce the appearance of compliance without its substance. The *Coroners Act 2008* was enacted with an explicitly preventive orientation, and the coroner's public health role has been recognised in both the legislation and commentary upon it.[42] Yet this study found that 78.6% of Victorian government responses were administrative cover letters — typically a single page confirming receipt of the coroner's findings and stating that the relevant department had "noted" the recommendations, without any individualised response.

This pattern was not captured by previous studies because earlier analyses relied on manual review of smaller samples and did not systematically quantify the proportion of uninformative responses.[43] [44] The present study, by applying automated classification to the complete corpus of 788 Victorian responses, reveals the scale of the problem. Only 169 Victorian responses (21.4%) contained sufficient substantive content for the classifier to assign a meaningful compliance category.

Three features of Victoria's legislative scheme appear to contribute to this outcome. First, the Act requires a response but does not specify its content. An agency that sends a one-page

---

[42] Ranson D, "The Coroners Act 2008 (Vic): A Medical Investigator's Perspective" (2010) 17(4) J Law Med 487.

[43] Sutherland G et al, "What Happens to Coroners' Recommendations for Improving Public Health and Safety? Organisational Responses under a Mandatory Response Regime in Victoria, Australia" (2014) 14 BMC Public Health 732.

[44] Sutherland G, Kemp C and Studdert DM, "Mandatory Responses to Public Health and Safety Recommendations Issued by Coroners: A Content Analysis" (2016) 40(5) Aust NZ J Public Health 451.

acknowledgment has complied with its statutory obligation. Second, there is no mechanism for the coroner to require further engagement or to report non-substantive responses to Parliament. Third, the response is provided to the coroner, not published by default — reducing the accountability that public scrutiny might otherwise provide. Queensland's scheme addresses all three deficiencies: responses must address each recommendation individually, must specify actions taken or reasons for declining to act, and are tabled in Parliament.[45]

F. Limitations

Several limitations affect the interpretation of these findings.

The AustLII corpus represents publicly available findings, not all reportable deaths. In several jurisdictions, findings are preferentially published when they contain recommendations or are of significant public interest; non-inquest (chambers) findings are rarely published. The 45.6% recommendation rate therefore reflects the public record — which is biased toward more complex and systemic cases[46] — and almost certainly overstates the true recommendation rate across all reportable deaths. The corpus may also be incomplete for some jurisdictions and years, with known upload lag for recent findings.

The regex-based compliance classifier achieved moderate agreement with manual coding. The collapsed three-category scheme (accepted, pending, other) yielded kappa = 0.50 and 66% accuracy, with perfect classification of unclassifiable responses; the full seven-category scheme had kappa = 0.41 and 54% accuracy. The dominant misclassification was conservative: the classifier underestimated acceptance by classifying genuinely accepted responses as "under

[45] *Coroners Act 2003* (Qld), s 46A.

[46] Bugeja L et al, "The Utility of Medico-Legal Databases for Public Health Research: A Systematic Review" (2016) 14 Health Res Policy Syst 28.

consideration" (14 cases versus 7 in the reverse direction), suggesting the reported 53.2% acceptance rate may be a slight underestimate among classifiable responses. Validation was performed by the same author who developed the classifier, limiting its independence. Critically, even correct classifications capture only stated bureaucratic intent, not verified implementation.

New South Wales' lower match rate (65.6%) means 234 responses could not be linked to findings. Of these, 227 (97%) had deceased names that do not appear anywhere in the New South Wales findings database, indicating that the corresponding findings were never published on AustLII rather than that the matching algorithm failed. The remaining seven involved ambiguous name matches. Among successfully linked New South Wales cases, topic and year distributions were similar to those of unmatched cases, supporting the assumption that missingness is approximately random with respect to case characteristics.

The topic model's 20.8% outlier rate means one-fifth of findings could not be assigned to a thematic cluster. This is expected for a corpus encompassing the full diversity of reportable deaths — many cases involve unique circumstances that do not fit neatly into any cluster — but it means that topic-based analyses are based on the 79.2% of findings that were assignable.

Finally, the "police custody" regex flag showed weak alignment with expected topics (12.6% in expected topics; HHI 0.082), reflecting that police contact is mentioned across many death types rather than concentrating in a single topic. Results involving this flag should be interpreted with caution.

G. Reform implications

The findings of this study point toward specific features that model coronial response legislation should incorporate. Drawing on the contrast between Queensland's effective scheme and

Victoria's ineffective one, and on the structural barriers identified in the medication-error analysis, the following elements appear necessary for a mandatory response regime to achieve its preventive purpose.

First, individualised responses to each recommendation. The single most important design feature distinguishing Queensland's scheme from Victoria's is the requirement that the response address each recommendation specifically. Without this requirement, agencies can discharge their obligation through generic acknowledgment, as Victoria's experience demonstrates. Model legislation should require the responding entity to state, for each recommendation: (a) whether it accepts, partially accepts, or rejects the recommendation; (b) what specific action it has taken or proposes to take; and (c) if it rejects the recommendation, the reasons for that decision. This structure mirrors the approach taken in Queensland's *Coroners Act 2003*, s 46A,[47] and is similar to the "comply or explain" model familiar from corporate governance.[48]

These proposals carry potential costs. Mandatory individualised responses impose administrative burden, particularly on smaller jurisdictions with limited resources. There is also a risk that prescriptive response requirements could discourage coroners from making recommendations, if agencies perceive the compliance obligations as onerous. And specifying timeframes may produce hasty, formulaic responses rather than genuine engagement. These concerns are real but do not outweigh the demonstrated failure of the alternative. The current system — in which most jurisdictions require no response at all, and the jurisdiction with the most studied mandatory regime produces predominantly uninformative cover letters — is not a system that takes death

[47] *Coroners Act 2003* (Qld), s 46A.

[48] See ASX Corporate Governance Council, *Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations* (4th ed, ASX, Sydney, 2019). The "comply or explain" model requires listed entities to either comply with governance recommendations or explain why they have not — a structure directly analogous to the coronial response framework proposed here.

prevention seriously. The administrative cost of responding substantively to a coroner's recommendation is modest relative to the cost of the preventable death that prompted it.

Second, specified timeframes with escalation mechanisms. Queensland requires a response within six months. Victoria specifies a period but provides no consequence for non-compliance or non-substantive compliance. Model legislation should include both a timeframe (six months appears workable based on Queensland's experience) and a mechanism for the coroner to report non-compliance or non-substantive responses to Parliament — analogous to the reporting function of the Auditor-General or the Ombudsman.

Third, identification of responsible government intermediaries. The medication-error gap demonstrates that recommendations directed at non-government entities — private clinicians, professional colleges, pharmaceutical companies — fall into a structural void. Model legislation should require the coroner, when directing a recommendation at a non-government entity, to identify a responsible government intermediary (typically a department of health or a regulatory authority) with both the authority and the statutory obligation to coordinate and report on the response. This would not require the intermediary to implement the recommendation itself, but to ensure that the non-government entity's response is obtained, assessed, and reported.

Fourth, public accessibility and parliamentary tabling. Responses should be tabled in Parliament and published on a publicly accessible database. Public scrutiny provides an accountability mechanism that administrative processes alone cannot deliver. The existing AustLII database could serve this function if jurisdictions adopted consistent publication practices.

Fifth, standardisation across jurisdictions. The current patchwork — ranging from Queensland's structured framework to Western Australia's absence of any mandatory response mechanism —

serves no policy purpose. The coronial system's preventive function operates at a national level (deaths in one jurisdiction inform prevention in others), and the legislative framework should reflect this. A nationally consistent approach, whether through uniform legislation, a model bill adopted by each jurisdiction, or (as in other areas of health law) a Council of Australian Governments agreement, would strengthen both accountability and the evidence base for prevention.

These proposals align with Freckelton's longstanding call for greater standardisation of coronial processes and enhanced accountability mechanisms across Australian jurisdictions.[49] [50] They also align with the approach taken in England and Wales, where coroners' Prevention of Future Deaths reports are published on a central database and responses are required within 56 days.[51] [52]

V. Conclusions

Among publicly available Australian coronial findings, fewer than half contain formal recommendations, and government stated acceptance of those recommendations is low and primarily determined by jurisdictional legislative frameworks rather than case characteristics. Queensland's mandatory, timeframe-specified, recommendation-specific response model is associated with the highest compliance and the most substantively classifiable responses. Victoria's mandatory but content-unspecified mechanism produces predominantly uninformative administrative acknowledgments that defeat the statute's preventive purpose.

---

[49] Freckelton I, "Exploring Fatal Facts: Current Issues in Coronial Law, Policy and Practice" (2016) 12(2) Int J Law Context 115.

[50] Freckelton I, "Death Investigation and Coroners' Inquests: Evolution and Improvements" (2024) 49(4) Alt LJ 247.

[51] Zhang Q and Richards GC, "Lessons from Web Scraping Coroners' Prevention of Future Deaths Reports" (2023) 91(3) Med Leg J 142.

[52] *Coroners (Investigations) Regulations 2013* (UK), reg 29.

Thirty-five years after the Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, Indigenous Australians remain substantially overrepresented in the coronial system, though government responses to Indigenous deaths show a distinctive pattern of more substantive — but also more polarised — engagement. Medication-related deaths exhibit the widest gap between recommendation frequency and government acceptance, a pattern driven by the structural misalignment between recommendation targets (often private entities) and the government-focused response framework.

These findings support legislative reform modelled on Queensland's *Coroners Act 2003*, s 46A, incorporating individualised responses to each recommendation, specified timeframes with escalation mechanisms, identification of responsible government intermediaries for recommendations directed at non-government entities, and mandatory publication of responses. The analytical pipeline developed here, applied to AustLII's freely available and growing corpus, can be re-run as new findings are published, enabling ongoing surveillance of whether such reforms, if enacted, achieve their intended effect.

Declarations

Funding: No external funding was received for this study.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Data availability: The raw AustLII corpus cannot be redistributed under the database's terms of use, but all analytical code, processed data, and outputs are available at https://github.com/hayden-farquhar/Coronial-NLP-Analysis.

Author contributions: HF: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing — original draft, Writing — review and editing, Visualisation.

Use of AI tools: Claude (Anthropic) was used as a coding assistant during data pipeline development and manuscript drafting. All analytical decisions, interpretations, and final text were reviewed and approved by the author.

Figure 1. Response outcome by jurisdiction (% of responses)



Figure 2. Proportion of coronial findings involving Indigenous Australians