Disclaimer: This pre-print has undergone review by the Working Group; however, it has not received formal endorsement from the Guideline Executive Committee or the Canadian Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (CACPR) Board. As such, the content does not reflect their official positions, does not represent official guidance, and may be subject to change.

Title: Canadian Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (CACPR) Exercise Training Recommendations in Cardiovascular Rehabilitation

Short Title: CACPR Exercise Recommendations

Diana Hopkins-Rosseel (Chair) [1]

Jennifer Harris (co-Chair) [2]

Paula Aver Bretanha Ribeiro [3,4]

Simon L. Bacon [4,5]

Nancy Hansen [6]

Tim Hartley [7]

Andree-Anne Hebert [8]

Dustin E. Kimber [9]

Billie-Jo Mabey [10]

Ariany Marques Vieira [2,3,5]

Stephanie Prince Ware [11]

Patrick Warner [6]

Kimberley Way [12,13]

Colin Yeung [14,15]

Affiliations

1. School of Rehabilitation Sciences, Queen's Health Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

2. Division of Cardiac Prevention and Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
3. META Group, Montreal Behavioural Medicine Centre, CIUSSS du Nord-de-l'ile-de-Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
4. Cardiovascular Health Across the Lifespan Program – Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
5. Department of Health, Kinesiology and Applied Physiology, Concordia University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
6. Patient Partner, Independent Contributor, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
7. Cardiac Rehabilitation and Secondary Prevention Program of St. Joseph's Health Care London, London, Ontario, Canada
8. Programme PREV (Prévention Secondaire et Réadaptation Cardiovasculaire), CISSS de Chaudière-Appalaches, Lévis, Québec, Canada.
9. SOUTHERN HEALTH-SANTÉ SUD Portage Clinic, Portage la Prairie, Manitoba, Canada
10. Cardiovascular Health & Wellness at Horizon Health Network, New Brunswick, Canada
11. Public Health Agency of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
12. Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, Australia
13. Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
14. Division of Cardiology, Saskatchewan Health Authority, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada
15. Department of Medicine, University of Saskatchewan College of Medicine, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada

Corresponding Author:
Simon L Bacon, simon.bacon@concordia.ca
Concordia and CIUSSS-NIM (Hopital du Sacre-Coeur de Montreal)
Concordia University: 7141 Sherbrooke St W, Montreal, Quebec H4B 1R6.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

1RM – One-repetition maximum

AE – Adverse event

AF – Atrial fibrillation

CABG – Coronary artery bypass grafting

CACPR - Canadian Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation

CAD – Coronary artery disease

CCS - Canadian Cardiovascular Society

CHD – Congestive heart disease

ConHD – Congenital heart disease

CPET – Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing

CR – Cardiovascular rehabilitation

CRT – Cardiac synchronization therapy

CRT-D – Cardiac resynchronization therapy and defibrillation device

CVD – Cardiovascular disease

EWG – Exercise working group

HF – Heart failure

HFpEF – Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

HFrEF – Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

HR – Heart rate

HRmax – Maximum heart rate

HRpeak – Peak heart rate

HRR – Heart rate reserve

HIIT – High-intensity interval training

HTx – Heart Transplant

ICD – Implantable cardioverter defibrillator

IMT – inspiratory muscle training

LVAD – Left ventricular assist device

IMW – Inspiratory muscle weakness

MI – Myocardial infarction

MICT – Moderate-intensity continuous training

NA – Not applicable

NR – Not reported

PCI – percutaneous coronary intervention

PICO – Template to describe the clinical question (Population, Intervention, Comparison groups and Outcome)

PPO – Peak power output

PRM – Physical Rehabilitation Medicine

RCT – randomized controlled trial

RPE – Rating of perceived exertion

SMD – Standardized Mean Difference

SRT – Steep ramp test

VO2max – Maximum rate of oxygen consumption

VO2peak – peak oxygen uptake

VO2 reserve – difference between maximum and resting VO2

VT – Ventilatory threshold

WHO - World Health Organization

WK – Workload

Wmax – maximal work capacity

WRpeak – Peak work rate

ABSTRACT

Exercise training is a cornerstone of Cardiovascular Rehabilitation (CR) and, as of now, moderate-to-vigorous continuous exercise training (MICT) is the standard. New exercise modalities in the context of CR are constantly being explored to improve patient outcomes. These Canadian Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (CACPR) exercise training recommendations provide a synthesis of evidence-informed recommendations from existing documents, including recommendations around High-Intensity Interval training (HIIT). CACPR created a pan-Canadian Exercise Working Group with various knowledge users (e.g., kinesiologists/exercise physiologists, physiotherapists, cardiologists, and patients) with expertise in CR-based exercise, who developed knowledge gap questions related to exercise training based on a literature review and synthesis of all available recommendations. An independent evidence-synthesis team performed a rapid review and meta-analyses to address the questions. The working group used this data to develop relevant recommendations. The final guidelines include 12 recommendations for CR exercise, including nine from previous documents and three new recommendations based on HIIT. The previous recommendations address exercise assessments and prescriptions for CR for various patient profiles. The new recommendations suggest that HIIT can be used to improve exercise capacity in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD), heart failure (HF) or atrial fibrillation. They also state that HIIT is superior to MICT in patients with CAD, that patients with HF should be considered for either HIIT or MICT and that any HIIT interval duration can be used as part of CR. Overall, these recommendations provide guidance for exercise in Canadian CR programs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular rehabilitation (CR) is a multi-component intervention that includes several risk-management strategies, such as exercise and activity training, psychosocial support, nutritional and vocational counseling, and patient education.[1] CR has been shown to return patients to work, improve quality of life, positively impact healthcare costs and reduce cardiovascular mortality and morbidity.[2–5] However, CR is still underutilised, with a global enrollment of 20-50%.[6–8] Multiple CR-related associations and societies have published CR guidance documents. [9–11] Despite the abundance of guidance documents, very few describe the methods used to develop the recommendations, making it difficult to identify those that are based on high-quality evidence. In addition, very few guidance documents involve a diverse group of knowledge users in developing the guidelines.

The Canadian Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (CACPR) last released CR recommendations in 2009. This comprehensive document includes 165 formal recommendations across 16 chapters.[12] Since then, there has been a notable expansion in CR research, necessitating the need to update these recommendations. After surveying CR experts and interested parties (e.g., patient partners, cardiologists) in Canada, exercise training was ranked as the first priority component to be updated. Exercise training is a cornerstone of CR,[1,13] and various reviews have shown how exercise-based CR can positively impact patient outcomes, contributing to improvements in exercise capacity and decreases in hospitalisations and mortality.[2,13–15] Most exercise recommendations include both continuous moderate-to-vigorous intensity aerobic exercise and resistance/flexibility exercises. More recently, there has been growing evidence around alternative exercise modalities, such as high-intensity interval training (HIIT), which were not addressed in the previous guidelines. Evidence suggests that HIIT may be more effective than MICT for improving peak oxygen uptake in patients with CAD, HF,[9,16] or heart transplant.[17,18] HIIT may also show greater benefits for left ventricular function and endothelial function in patients with HF.[19]

The goal of this updated CACPR exercise recommendations document was to provide the Canadian CR community with user-informed recommendations. To do this, existing evidence-informed recommendations were leveraged to consolidate prior recommendations. In addition, new recommendations that incorporated HIIT were created from a rapid review and meta-analyses. Collectively, this should provide Canadian CR programmes with high-quality guidance.

2. METHODS

2.1 Overview of the process

CACPR created a Guidelines Executive Committee, consisting of a Pan-Canadian group of experts, to oversee the guidelines and recommendations process. Their initial step was to survey the community to identify the priority areas for guideline updates, a process which identified exercise as the highest priority area. The executive committee then selected an Exercise Working Group to oversee the update using an open application process. Details of this process are available in the Supplement (Overview of the Project). To support the Exercise Working Group the executive committee engaged an evidence synthesis team (the Montreal Behavioural Medicine Centre's META group) to undertake a review of existing guidance documents and conduct evidence syntheses on areas to be included in the updated recommendations.

2.2 The Exercise Working Group

The 11 members of the pan-Canadian Exercise Working Group included both patients and CR/exercise-related clinical experts from various disciplines (see Supplement, Table S1). This working group reviewed the evidence summary that was generated from previous recommendation documents (see the report of the SR of exercise recommendation https://osf.io/9ykrp), identifying evidence-informed recommendations. They also identified gaps in the recommendations and key questions that need to be addressed in the current clinical context to generate updated recommendations.

2.3 Evidence level grade coding

All recommendations that were generated (either from existing documents or newly generated) were coded using the Hypertension Canada Guidelines grading system:[20]

- **Grade A** - Recommendations are based on randomised trials (or systematic reviews of trials) with high levels of internal validity and statistical precision, and for which the study results can be directly applied to patients because of similar clinical characteristics and the clinical relevance of the study outcomes.
- **Grade B** - Recommendations are based on randomised trials, systematic reviews or pre-specified subgroup analyses of randomised trials that have lower precision, or there is a need to extrapolate from studies because of different populations or reporting of validated intermediate/surrogate outcomes rather than clinically important outcomes.
- **Grade C** - Recommendations are based on trials that have lower levels of internal validity and/or precision, trials reporting un-validated surrogate outcomes, or results from non-randomized observational studies.
- **Grade D** - Recommendations are on the basis of expert opinion alone or retrospective data only.

The questions identified by the working group that did not have evidence to support the creation of at least GRADE C recommendations, or areas not addressed by the evidence, were turned into recommendations for future research. Any recommendation that obtained a D grade was automatically excluded from this guidance document.

2.4 Systematic review of previous CR guidance documents

2.4.1 Search

The systematic review was developed according to the Cochrane handbook[21] and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)[22] to capture previous recommendation documents focused on CR. An experienced health science librarian reviewed the search strategy. CINAHL, Embase, NICE, Ovid Medline, Pedro, Scielo, CPG Infobase, and Prospero were

searched from 2004 through July 2019 with an update in August 2020 to identify evidence-based guidelines, position statements, and expert or clinical consensus documents (full details are available in the Guidelines Report; https://osf.io/9ykrp). An extensive search on the grey literature of clinical associations' websites in the cardiovascular field was also undertaken. There were no search limits concerning language; however, due to the capacity of the evidence synthesis team, only documents written in English, French, Portuguese, Italian, and Spanish were considered for inclusion.

2.4.2 Study selection

From the retrieves, we retained documents that presented evidence-informed exercise-related recommendations. Evidence-informed was defined as being developed based on a systematic review of the literature, with the authors grading the evidence to form the recommendations. Documents that provide recommendations on CR or secondary prevention for adults with a history of cardiovascular disease (e.g., guidelines, position statements and/or expert or clinical consensuses documents) were included. Cardiovascular disease was defined as: acute myocardial infarction; acute coronary syndrome; percutaneous revascularisation; coronary interventions; arrhythmias; atrial fibrillation; valve disorders; vascular cardiac disorders; or heart failure.
Documents that included only: primary prevention populations; pharmacological treatments; and rehabilitation focused on patients post-stroke were excluded. For the cases of coexistence with any of the morbidities in our inclusion list, the study was included.

2.4.3 Data extraction

Extracted data was entered into a Microsoft Office Excel™ spreadsheet designed for this study. Data of interest included descriptive characteristics of the papers: year of publication; journal; author; title; country; details of the exercise recommendations; the name of the organisation endorsing the recommendations; summarised objective of the guidance document; the inclusion (or not) of conflicts of interests for those generating the document; sponsorship/financing; if the document recommendations were based on

a systematic literature search; and if they provide information or recommendations on additional CR components beyond exercise (e.g., nutrition, smoking cessation, stress management). We only extracted recommendations on exercise and focused on the target patient populations described in this document, the target outcome, the strength of the recommendations, and the level of the evidence. We classified the recommendations into 5 categories: patient assessment; aerobic exercise prescription/training; resistance exercise prescription/training; flexibility exercises; and patient safety.

2.5 Rapid Review for new recommendations

2.5.1 Development of potential research questions

Based on the report of the available guidelines, along with the clinical experience and knowledge of the members, the Exercise Working Group generated a list of exercise prescription/training-related questions that needed to be addressed. The group identified HIIT as the most pressing area for further exploration. Traditionally, moderate-to-vigorous intensity continuous training (MICT) is prescribed for patients with cardiovascular disease[9,23] but there is a recent growing evidence base to support the use of HIIT in CR. HIIT is a prescription modality for aerobic training that intersperses periods of relatively higher intensity exercise (e.g., ≥75% of maximal capacity) with recovery periods that can vary from very low intensity exercise to no activity/movement.[24,25]

The following clinical questions (Box 1) were developed by the working group in collaboration with the META group. The detailed description of the search, selection, and extraction process are described in an additional report (HIIT Report available at https://osf.io/73g4w/).

2.5.2 Literature Review - Rapid Review on HIIT

The rapid review was developed according to WHO Practical Guide for Rapid Review[26] and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA).[22]

2.5.3 Quality assessment

Quality assessment of the studies was conducted using the Tool for the assEssment of Study qualiTy and reporting in EXercise (TESTEX).[27] In summary, TESTEX is a tool developed to analyze exercise interventions regardless of study design. This tool includes 5 points for study quality and 10 points for reporting and assesses specific quality issues related to the delivery of exercise training interventions. The studies were classified as lower quality (≤7 points) or higher quality (≥ 8 points). Details about the scoring of items are described in the Supplement (Table S3).

2.5.4 Meta-analyses

Exercise capacity data was extracted as described by the authors and transformed when needed (e.g., METS or VO_{2max}) to standardise to ml/min/kg for quantitative summary and meta-analysis. To be able to compare different HIIT prescriptions, groups were created based on the active/higher intensity exercise intervals: long duration intervals (HIIT Long; 3 min and higher); and short to medium duration intervals (HIIT Short; 10 sec to 2:59 min). Further, studies that reported having a progressive or mixed prescription loads (e.g., short and long length of intervals in the same week, or across time, for example) were clustered in a "progressive" group, considering they used different intervals along the training period. Mixed-effects models were used for overall effects and to compare groups (i.e., exercise prescriptions and modalities, length of interval training, patients' profiles). Standardised Mean Difference (SMD), with 95% confidence intervals (CI), between pre- and post-interventions were calculated. According to Cohen's recommendation[28], SMDs between 0.2 and 0.5 were considered as a small effect size, between 0.5 and 0.8 moderate, and 0.8 and above as a large effect. Statistical heterogeneity was explored using the I^2 test and sensitivity analysis (i.e., exploring different HIIT prescriptions and according to quality scoring).

RESULTS

3.1 Systematic review of previous guidance documents

The systematic review identified 59 potential recommendation documents, with only 15 based on a systematic review of the literature, resulting in the inclusion of 15 documents. The list and characteristics of these documents are available in the Supplement (Table S2) and in the Guidelines Report (https://osf.io/9ykrp). The recommendations from these documents were distilled into 9 recommendations. The distillation process was complex due to the different ways in which recommendations were created (i.e., not always based on a PICO), evaluated, graded, and reported. As such, we considered only recommendations based on evidence specifying the populations and outcomes. We then clustered similar recommendations together and extracted evidenced-informed components to create omnibus recommendations. (see https://osf.io/9ykrp for further details)

3.2 Recommendations from previous guidance documents

3.2.1 Exercise Assessment

Synthesis: Scientific statements and guidelines[29,30] point to the importance of exercise testing. They draw from cross-sectional and cohort studies that show that parameters evaluated during an exercise test (e.g., blood pressure response, heart rate curves and recovery) were associated with diagnosis,[31–37] prognosis,[33,38–60] and exercise capacity. To evaluate these parameters, a graded exercise test may need to be paired with an electrocardiogram.[56,61,62]

Recommendation 1: A supervised graded exercise test conducted as part of the initial cardiovascular rehabilitation assessment will provide information on exercise capacity (e.g., METs on the graded exercise test), diagnosis (e.g., presence of obstructive disease) and prognosis (e.g., risk of cardiovascular events and mortality) (*GRADE: C*).

Synthesis: One systematic review showed that the 6-minute walk test can determine functional capacity and correlates with $\dot{V}O_2$ in patients with heart failure.[63]

***Recommendation 2**:* For patients with heart failure, a 6-minute walk test may be used to evaluate exercise and functional capacity (*GRADE: B*).

3.2.2 Exercise Prescription – General Patients with CVD

Synthesis: Available guidelines[10,11,64] recommend aerobic exercises with supporting systematic review-level evidence for outcomes such as health-related quality of life, [13,65–67] hospital admissions,[65] and cardiovascular mortality.[2,68–70] . Some documents showed the benefits of resistance exercise as an adjunct to aerobic exercise.[71,72]

***Recommendation 3**:* Patients with CVD should be provided with an exercise prescription of aerobic exercise (with or without resistance exercise) to improve general and health-related quality of life, reduce hospital readmissions and, cardiovascular mortality (*GRADE: A*).

Synthesis: Available guidelines recommend aerobic exercise to provide benefits for patients with a cardiovascular disease in different settings, such as in hospitals, clinics, and at home. [73,74,75] The benefits seemed to be similar in terms of effectiveness and safety.[76–81]

Recommendation 4: Aerobic exercise of moderate to vigorous intensity (70-85% peak HR or maximum HR, “moderate” to “somewhat hard” on the Borg Rating Perceived Exertion scale[82], or 70% $\dot{V}O_2$max) can be prescribed to be completed at home, in the community, or in a hospital setting, with each providing similar effectiveness for improving exercise capacity and being equally safe (in terms of exercise-related cardiovascular symptoms or events) (*GRADE: B*).

Synthesis: One trial, referred by one guideline,[10] showed the benefits of resistance training for muscle strength and endurance.[83]

Recommendation 5: Providing resistance training as an adjunct to aerobic exercise increases muscle strength and endurance (*GRADE: C*), which are key components to improving functional capacity.

3.2.3: Exercise Prescription – Patients with Heart Failure

Synthesis: Available guidelines[11,30], supported by systematic review and RCT evidence, recommend exercise to improve quality of life and reduce hospitalisations in patients with heart failure. [65,84,85] The benefits of exercise to improve functional capacity were positive but with a lower level of supporting evidence.[86–88]

Recommendation 6: All patients with stable New York Heart Association (NYHA) class I-III heart failure should be enrolled in a CR programme that includes exercise training (aerobic exercise with or without resistance training) to improve exercise capacity (*GRADE: B*), heart failure-related hospitalisations (*GRADE: A*), and health-related quality of life (*GRADE: A*).

Synthesis: Exercise prescriptions vary, but a small RCT showed that an exercise programme of walking and cycling at 60-80% of heart rate reserve improved heart rate recovery in patients with heart failure,[89] which is a prognostic marker of exercise capacity in this population.[56,90]

Recommendation 7*:* For patients with heart failure, the aerobic exercise prescription should be for whole-body continuous exercise (e.g., brisk walking, jogging, and cycling) at an initial level rate of “moderate” progressing to “hard” on the Borg Rating Perceived Exertion, 65%-85% maximum HR, or 50%-75% of peak $\dot{V}O_2$ (*GRADE: B*).

Synthesis: For patients with heart failure and an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator and/or cardiac resynchronisation therapy, multiple RCTs supported the benefits of exercise in improving exercise capacity and quality of life without compromising safety.[91–95]

Recommendation 8*:* Patients with stable heart failure and an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator and/or cardiac resynchronisation therapy (NYHA functional class II or III), should receive supervised aerobic exercise is recommended to improve exercise capacity and health-related quality of life without increasing the risk of shocks or adverse CVD events (*GRADE: A*).

3.2.4: Exercise Prescription – Other Populations (65+ years old)

Synthesis: Several RCTs have demonstrated the benefits of regular resistance, flexibility, balance, and coordination exercise to improve physical performance in older patients (65+ years old) who have undergone cardiovascular surgery, when combined with aerobic exercise.[96,97]

Recommendation 9: For older patients (65+ years old) who have undergone cardiovascular surgery, resistance, flexibility, balance and/or coordination exercise in combination with aerobic exercise is effective for improving functional capacity (*GRADE: A*).

3.3 Newly developed recommendations

3.3.1 Rapid review results

The search was run on July 31, 2023, and yielded 1,338 documents. After duplicates were removed, 1,222 documents were screened for eligibility, and 326 were evaluated for full-text. Of these, 81 original studies were included in the qualitative synthesis (see Supplement, Table S4). We identified seven main clusters of patients with the following conditions:

1) Coronary artery diseases (including coronary artery disease (CAD), myocardial infarction (MI), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), coronary heart disease (CHD), stable angina undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention);
2) Heart failure (HF);
3) Atrial fibrillation (AF);
4) Heart transplant recipients (HTx);
5) Congenital heart disease (ConHD);
6) General CVD (i.e., mixed populations including a variety of patients profiles, such as coronary artery disease, arrhythmias, valvular disease, heart failure, etc.); and
7) Angina with normal coronary arteries (ANOCA)

Table 1 below describes studies' characteristics according to analysis groups: HIIT groups (all together); HIIT long; HIIT short; HIIT progressive; moderate-to-vigorous

intensity continuous training (MICT); control/usual care; and others – according to clinical profile. (see https://osf.io/73g4w/ for more details). There were no HIIT progressive prescriptions in the included heart failure studies. Meta-analyses were conducted using results from CAD, HF, and AF studies only, due to the low number of interventions found for other population groups. Five CAD studies [98–102] and three HF studies [103–105] were excluded from the meta-analysis, due to the different exercise capacity outcomes (i.e., not using VO_{2max} or METS) or not presenting the data in a way that could be used in the meta-analysis. The remaining seven studies [106–112] from other clinical profiles that provided exercise capacity results are described in Table 2.

3.3.2 HIIT effect on Exercise Capacity (VO2max) for patients with CAD: HIIT vs MICT

Synthesis: From table 3, there is evidence that HIIT is both statistically and clinically superior to MICT for exercise capacity improvement in patients with CAD, when directly compared within studies.

Recommendation 10: Patients with known CAD should be considered for a HIIT prescription to optimise improvements in exercise capacity (Grade B).

3.3.3 HIIT effect on Exercise Capacity (VO2max) for patients with HF: HIIT vs MICT

Synthesis: From table 4, there is no statistical nor clinical difference in the effects of HIIT or MICT for improving exercise capacity in patients with HF, when directly compared within studies.

Recommendation 11*:* Patients with known HF should be considered for either a HIIT or MICT prescription to improve exercise capacity (Grade B*).*

3.3.4 Effect of HIIT interval duration on Exercise Capacity for patients with CAD or HF

Synthesis: From table 5, all durations of HIIT intervals provided a positive benefit for exercise capacity in patients with known CAD or HF. For CAD, progressive HIIT (HIIT protocol using different interval lengths along the training protocol) seemed to provide

greater benefits but more research is needed as only four studies used this prescription.[113–116] For HF, no difference was detected between different interval lengths.

Recommendation 11: In patients with known CAD or HF who partake in HIIT, exercise prescriptions may employ any duration of high-intensity intervals to improve exercise capacity (*Grade B*).

3.4 Insights from the rapid review for future research

3.4.1 Effect of HIIT on Exercise Capacity (VO_{2max}) for patients with atrial fibrillation: exercise vs control

Synthesis: From the individual interventional arms, HIIT showed improvements in exercise capacity for individuals with atrial fibrillation, with no improvement seen within the control arms. However, due to the fact that only 4 studies were included [117–120], there was only a non-statistically significant trend for a difference between the arms (p=0.084). This means that while there may be indications suggesting the potential superiority of HIIT over control, the available data does not provide conclusive evidence in its favour.

Recommendation for Future Research 1 (PICO 1): More and larger studies are needed exploring the impact HIIT, relative to an appropriate comparison group, on exercise capacity in individuals with atrial fibrillation.

3.4.2 The safety of HIIT

Synthesis: There was no evidence of any notable adverse events in response to HIIT (see Supplement, Table S5 and https://osf.io/4uwa5). However, this conclusion is tempered by the fact that most studies did not systematically record or report adverse events, and for those that did, there was no consistent reporting standard used. This means that more data and consistent reporting are needed to be able to comment on the safety of HIIT. The use of tools such as the CACPR Registry could be considered for future studies.

Recommendation for Future Research 2 (PICO 2): The safety of HIIT in CR is still unclear and needs further exploration. There is a critical need to have consistent

standardised approaches in reporting of both efficacy and safety outcomes for HIIT in CR.

3.4.3 The use of telemonitoring in the context of HIIT

Synthesis: There was sparse research exploring the use of telemetry and prescribing HIIT in patients with CAD or HF. No studies investigated or addressed telemonitoring or issues of safety in these populations (Supplement Table S5). Given the greater emphasis on telemedicine since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, understanding the benefits and potential negative outcomes is critical in being able to optimise both remote interventions and monitoring.

Recommendation for Future Research 3 (PICO 3): The use of telemonitoring in the context of HIIT in CR needs to be explored.

3.4.4 The role of HIIT in CR populations other than those with CAD or HF

Synthesis: Most research was conducted in patients with CAD or HF. With the increase in more diverse pathophysiology coming to CR (e.g. AF, heart transplant valvular disease, spontaneous coronary artery dissection, peripheral artery disease), there is a need to study the efficacy of HIIT used for the management of other cardiovascular populations.

Recommendation for Future Research 4: The role of HIIT in CR populations other than those with CAD or HF (e.g., AF, heart transplant, etc.) needs to be explored further.

3.4.5 The optimal HIIT protocol

Synthesis: Though we were able to explore the question of HIIT interval length there were several parameters (e.g., frequency, type, duration, inclusion of warm-up and cool-down) key to the HIIT prescription that we were not able to explore due to a lack of data. This will be critical to providing detailed prescriptions to specific populations. In addition, greater consistency in the use of intensity determinants (e.g., %PPO, % $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, $\%HR_{max}$, RPE) for investigating HIIT prescription options and their respective outcomes is needed.

Recommendation for Future Research 5: More studies directly exploring and undertaking comparative analyses of the various HIIT protocols, (e.g., intensity of the interval, intensity of the recovery interval, the number of intervals per session, etc.), are needed to identify the optimal prescription for various CR populations.

4. Considerations in the interpretation of the available evidence

While exercise recommendations within the context of CR have seen significant advancements, there remains a great deal of inconsistency across different guidelines. Most notably, very few follow a systematic process to synthesize the extant literature to form recommendation which made it challenging to identify consistencies across documents. However, when a consensus did exist, there was substantial supporting literature. For the purpose of the current document, we only considered recommendations based on evidence specifying the disease population and outcomes.

While the overall quality of the studies included in the rapid review was good, with an average score of 9.5 out of 15 the heterogeneity of the reporting made assessment challenging (20 studies scoring above 12, and 15 scoring 7 or less). This issue was particularly evident in the reporting of adverse events (AE), as the few studies that did report AE varied in their time of assessment (e.g, during the exercise training, during the testing, during the study period) and classification methods (i.e., definition of seriousness, reporting if the event was related or non-related to exercise). This lack of consistent information meant that AEs were only reported qualitatively, specifying the time of assessment when possible. Additionally, the number of available studies with HIIT prescriptions was quite heterogeneous leading to a lack of studies that had direct comparisons. This challenges the ability to properly compare variations in interval length. We aimed to categorise the HIIT prescriptions into four groups (i.e., HIIT short, medium, long, progressive or mixed lengths – overall 5 groups) for the meta-analysis sensitivity analyses, but had to reclassify them to short, long, and progressive only because of the number of studies available for some of the groups.

5. Conclusion

Overall, the present CACPR guidelines and guidelines from international associations consistently recommend aerobic exercise for CR. This document highlights its potential benefits for patients with CVD, including improved exercise capacity and reduced hospitalisation and mortality. The review showed that various HIIT interval lengths improved exercise capacity in individuals with CAD or HF. These recommendations were created based on the evidence syntheses generated to support the working group's decisions. Since then, with the continuous growth of literature in this area, additional studies have been published. Nonetheless, further research is required to refine and expand these recommendations and explore the safety aspects of these interventions with more rigorous methodologies. Further research is also needed to assess if specific HIIT interval lengths could have a greater effect on exercise capacity and to include other populations, such as those with AF or broader CVD profiles. Transparent and ongoing research efforts are essential for developing clearer and more comprehensive guidelines in the future.

Acknowledgments

This document's successful completion is the result of collaborative efforts. We would like to thank everyone involved. We would also like to acknowledge the participation of additional researchers who contributed to pieces of the evidence synthesis reports, including study selection, data extraction and report development: Dr David Anekwe, Dr Jovana Stojanovic, Laurence Paquet, and Doro Yip.

Contributions

Decisions around the project management and selection of the working group members: CACPR Executive Committee for Guidelines (Ariany Marques Vieira, Ashlay Huitema, Diana Hopkins-Rosseel, Jennifer Reed, Lisa Cotie, Neville Suskin, Nicola Paine, Paula Aver Bretanha Ribeiro, Paul Oh, Simon L. Bacon)
Project management: Ariany M Vieira, Paula A B Ribeiro, and Simon L Bacon.
Evidence synthesis team: Ariany M Vieira, Paula A B Ribeiro, Laurence Paquet, Doro Yep.

Guidance and training of the Working Group: Ariany M Vieira, Paula A B Ribeiro.
Moderating the discussions, helping the group move forward with the meeting agendas, managing feedback, and assisting members in related activities: Exercise Working Group Chairs (Diana Hopkins-Rosseel, Jennifer Harris).
Knowledge synthesis interpretation, generating and prioritizing the clinical questions, developing the recommendations: Exercise Working Group Members (Andree-Anne Hebert, Billie-Jo Mabey, Colin Yeung, Diana Hopkins-Rosseel [Chair], Dustin Kimber, Jennifer Harris [co-Chair], Kimberley Way, Nancy Hansen, Patrick Warner, Stephanie Prince Ware, Tim Hartley).
Drafting of the document: Ariany M Vieira, Paula A B Ribeiro, Laurence Paquet and Simon L Bacon.
Project Coordinator and Supervisor: Simon L Bacon.

Funding Sources
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclosures
Nothing to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Balady GJ, Williams MA, Ades PA, et al. Core Components of Cardiac Rehabilitation/Secondary Prevention Programs: 2007 Update: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee, the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Councils on Cardiovascular Nursing, Epidemiology and Prevention, and Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism; and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. *Circulation*. 2007;115(20):2675-2682. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.180945

2. Anderson L, Thompson DR, Oldridge N, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2016;(1).

3. Taylor RS, Dalal HM, McDonagh STJ. The role of cardiac rehabilitation in improving cardiovascular outcomes.

4. Dibben GO, Faulkner J, Oldridge N, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis.

5. Xue W, Xinlan Z, Xiaoyan Z. Effectiveness of early cardiac rehabilitation in patients with heart valve surgery: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Int Med Res*. 2022;50(7):030006052110443. doi:10.1177/03000605211044320

6. Grace SL, Kotseva K, Whooley MA. Cardiac Rehabilitation: Under-Utilized Globally. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2021;23(9):118. doi:10.1007/s11886-021-01543-x

7. Kotseva K, Wood D, De Backer G, De Bacquer D. Use and effects of cardiac rehabilitation in patients with coronary heart disease: results from the EUROASPIRE III survey. Study Group EI, ed. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2013;20(5):817-826. doi:10.1177/2047487312449591

8. Dalal H, Doherty P, Taylor RS. Cardiac rehabilitation. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*. 2015;351:h5000.

9. Ambrosetti M, Abreu A, Corrà U, et al. Secondary prevention through comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation: From knowledge to implementation. 2020 update. A position paper from the Secondary Prevention and Rehabilitation Section of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*. 2020;28(5):460-495. doi:10.1177/2047487320913379

10. Achttien R, Staal J, van der Voort S, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in patients with coronary heart disease: a practice guideline. *Netherlands heart journal*. 2013;21(10):429-438.

11. Moe GW, Ezekowitz JA, O'Meara E, et al. The 2013 Canadian Cardiovascular Society Heart Failure Management Guidelines Update: focus on rehabilitation and exercise and surgical coronary revascularization. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*. 2014;30(3):249-263.

12. *Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation. Canadian Guidelines for Cardiac Rehabilitation and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention*. 3rd ed. Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation; 2009.

13. Anderson L, Taylor RS. Cardiac rehabilitation for people with heart disease: an overview of Cochrane systematic reviews. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2014;(12).

14. Dibben G, Faulkner J, Oldridge N. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2021(11).

15. Molloy C, Long L, Mordi IR, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults with heart failure - Molloy, C - 2024 | Cochrane Library. Accessed November 26, 2024. https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD003331.pub6/full?highlightAbstract=rehabilit%7Crehabilitation%7Cprogram%7Cprogramm%7Cprogramme%7Ccardiac

16. Rognmo Ø, Hetland E, Helgerud J, Hoff J, Slørdahl SA. High intensity aerobic interval exercise is superior to moderate intensity exercise for increasing aerobic capacity in patients with coronary artery disease. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*. 2004;11(3):216-222. doi:10.1097/01.hjr.0000131677.96762.0c

17. Rolid K, Andreassen AK, Yardley M, et al. Long-term effects of high-intensity training vs moderate intensity training in heart transplant recipients: A 3-year follow-up study of the randomized-controlled HITTS study. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2020;20(12):3538-3549. doi:10.1111/ajt.16087

18. Conceição LSR, Gois CO, Fernandes RES, et al. Effect of High-Intensity Interval Training on Aerobic Capacity and Heart Rate Control of Heart Transplant Recipients: a Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Braz J Cardiovasc Surg*. 2021;36(1). doi:10.21470/1678-9741-2019-0420

19. Pattyn N, Beulque R, Cornelissen V. Aerobic Interval vs. Continuous Training in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease or Heart Failure: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis with a Focus on Secondary Outcomes. *Sports Medicine*. 2018;48(5):1189-1205. doi:10.1007/s40279-018-0885-5

20. Rabi DM, McBrien KA, Sapir-Pichhadze R, et al. Hypertension Canada's 2020 Comprehensive Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, Risk Assessment, and Treatment of Hypertension in Adults and Children. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*. 2020;36(5):596-624. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2020.02.086

21. Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.3. Published online February 2022. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook

22. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. Published online March 29, 2021:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

23. Canadian Cardiovascular Society. *The Canadian Cardiovascular Society Quality Indicators E-Catalogue - Quality Indicators For Cardiac Rehabilitation And Secondary Prevention: A CCS Consensus Document.*; 2013. https://ccs.ca/app/uploads/2020/12/Indicator_CR.pdf

24. Mezzani A, Hamm LF, Jones AM, et al. Aerobic exercise intensity assessment and prescription in cardiac rehabilitation: a joint position statement of the European Association

for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation, the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation and the Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*. 2012;20(3):442-467. doi:10.1177/2047487312460484

25. Weston KS, Wisløff U, Coombes JS. High-intensity interval training in patients with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2013;48(16):1227-1234. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-092576

26. Tricco AC, Langlois EV, Straus SE. *Rapid Reviews to Strengthen Health Policy and Systems: A Practical Guide*. World Health Organization; 2017. Accessed November 1, 2022. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/327019

27. Smart NA, Waldron M, Ismail H, et al. Validation of a new tool for the assessment of study quality and reporting in exercise training studies: TESTEX. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*. 2015;13(1):9-18. doi:10.1097/XEB.0000000000000020

28. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. Vol 2nd ed. Academic press.; 2013.

29. Stone JA, Arthur HM, =Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation Guidelines Writing Group. Canadian guidelines for cardiac rehabilitation and cardiovascular disease prevention, second edition, 2004: executive summary. *Can J Cardiol*. 2005;21 Suppl D:3D-19D.

30. Lugo-Agudelo LH, Ortiz SD, Rangel AM, Ospina A, M Sénior J. Guía de práctica clínica para la prevención, el diagnóstico, el tratamiento y la rehabilitación de la falla cardiaca en población mayor de 18 años, clasificación B, C y D. Componente de rehabilitación cardiaca. *Revista Colombiana de Cardiología*. 2019;26(6):357-368.

31. Abe K, Tsuda M, Hayashi H, et al. Diagnostic usefulness of postexercise systolic blood pressure response for detection of coronary artery disease in patients with electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1995;76(12):892-895.

32. Allison TG, Cordeiro MA, Miller TD, Daida H, Squires RW, Gau GT. Prognostic significance of exercise-induced systemic hypertension in healthy subjects. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1999;83(3):371-375.

33. Larsson H, Areskog M, Areskog NH, et al. Should the exercise test (ET) be performed at discharge or one month later after an episode of unstable angina or non-Q-wave myocardial infarction? *The International Journal of Cardiac Imaging*. 1991;7(1):7-14.

34. Michaelides AP, Fourlas CA, Giannopoulos N, et al. Significance of QRS Duration Changes in the Evaluation of ST-Segment Depression Presenting Exclusively During the Postexercise Recovery Period. *Annals of noninvasive electrocardiology*. 2006;11(3):241-246.

35. Pitsavos CH, Chrysohoou C, Panagiotakos DB, et al. Exercise capacity and heart rate recovery as predictors of coronary heart disease events, in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis*. 2004;173(2):345-350.

36. Samek L, Betz P, Schnellbacher K. Exercise testing in elderly patients with coronary artery disease. *European Heart Journal*. 1984;5(suppl_E):69-74.

37. Singh JP, Larson MG, Manolio TA, et al. Blood pressure response during treadmill testing as a risk factor for new-onset hypertension: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1999;99(14):1831-1836.

38. Chaitman BR, McMahon RP, Terrin M, et al. Impact of treatment strategy on predischarge exercise test in the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) II Trial. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1993;71(2):131-138.

39. Ciaroni S, Delonca J, Righetti A. Early exercise testing after acute myocardial infarction in the elderly: clinical evaluation and prognostic significance. *American Heart Journal*. 1993;126(2):304-311.

40. Cole CR, Blackstone EH, Pashkow FJ, Snader CE, Lauer MS. Heart-rate recovery immediately after exercise as a predictor of mortality. *New England journal of medicine*. 1999;341(18):1351-1357.

41. Dagenais GR, Rouleau JR, Hochart P, Magrina J, Cantin B, Dumesnil JG. Survival with painless strongly positive exercise electrocardiogram. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1988;62(13):892-895.

42. Deckers J, Fioretti P, Brower R, Simoons M, Baardman T, Hugenholtz P. Ineligibility for predischarge exercise testing after myocardial infarction in the elderly: implications for prognosis. *European Heart Journal*. 1984;5(suppl_E):97-100.

43. Ekelund LG, Haskell WL, Johnson JL, Whaley FS, Criqui MH, Sheps DS. Physical Fitness as a Predictor of Cardiovascular Mortality in Asymptomatic North American Men. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1988;319(21):1379-1384. doi:10.1056/nejm198811243192104

44. Fioretti P, Deckers J, Brower R, Simoons M, Beelen J, Hugenholtz P. Predischarge stress test after myocardial infarction in the old age: results and prognostic value. *European Heart Journal*. 1984;5(suppl_E):101-104.

45. Fowler-Brown A, Pignone M, Pletcher M, Tice JA, Sutton SF, Lohr KN. Exercise tolerance testing to screen for coronary heart disease: a systematic review for the technical support for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2004;140(7):W-9.

46. Gibbons LW, Mitchell TL, Wei M, Blair SN, Cooper KH. Maximal exercise test as a predictor of risk for mortality from coronary heart disease in asymptomatic men. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2000;86(1):53-58.

47. Glover D, Robinson C, Murray R. Diagnostic exercise testing in 104 patients over 65 years of age. *European Heart Journal*. 1984;5(suppl_E):59-62.

48. Goraya TY, Jacobsen SJ, Pellikka PA, et al. Prognostic value of treadmill exercise testing in elderly persons. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2000;132(11):862-870.

49. Gulati M, Pandey DK, Arnsdorf MF, et al. Exercise capacity and the risk of death in women: the St James Women Take Heart Project. *Circulation*. 2003;108(13):1554-1559.

50. Josephson RA, Shefrin E, Lakatta EG, Brant LJ, Fleg JL. Can serial exercise testing improve the prediction of coronary events in asymptomatic individuals? *Circulation*. 1990;81(1):20-24.

51. Lauer MS, Okin PM, Larson MG, Evans JC, Levy D. Impaired heart rate response to graded exercise: prognostic implications of chronotropic incompetence in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1996;93(8):1520-1526.

52. Lauer MS. Impaired Chronotropic Response to Exercise Stress Testing as a Predictor of Mortality. *JAMA*. 1999;281(6):524. doi:10.1001/jama.281.6.524

53. Mark DB, Shaw L, Harrell Jr FE, et al. Prognostic value of a treadmill exercise score in outpatients with suspected coronary artery disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1991;325(12):849-853.

54. McHam S, Marwick T, Pashkow F, Lauer M. Delayed systolic blood pressure recovery after graded exercise. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention*. 2000;20(1):66-67.

55. Morrow K. Prediction of Cardiovascular Death in Men Undergoing Noninvasive Evaluation for Coronary Artery Disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1993;118(9):689. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-118-9-199305010-00005

56. Nishime EO. Heart Rate Recovery and Treadmill Exercise Score as Predictors of Mortality in Patients Referred for Exercise ECG. *JAMA*. 2000;284(11):1392. doi:10.1001/jama.284.11.1392

57. Nyman I, Larsson H, Areskog M, Areskog NH, Wallentin L, RISC Study Group. The predictive value of silent ischemia at an exercise test before discharge after an episode of unstable coronary artery disease. *American heart journal*. 1992;123(2):324-331.

58. Krone RJ, Dwyer Jr EM, Greenberg H, Miller JP, Gillespie JA, Multicenter Post-Infarction Research Group. Risk stratification in patients with first non-Q wave infarction: limited value of the early low level exercise test after uncomplicated infarcts. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1989;14(1):31-37.

59. Snader CE, Marwick TH, Pashkow FJ, Harvey SA, Thomas JD, Lauer MS. Importance of estimated functional capacity as a predictor of all-cause mortality among patients referred for exercise thallium single-photon emission computed tomography: report of 3,400 patients from a single center. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1997;30(3):641-648.

60. Wei M. Relationship Between Low Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Mortality in Normal-Weight, Overweight, and Obese Men. *JAMA*. 1999;282(16):1547. doi:10.1001/jama.282.16.1547

61. Mora S, Redberg RF, Cui Y, et al. Ability of Exercise Testing to Predict Cardiovascular and All-Cause Death in Asymptomatic Women. *JAMA*. 2003;290(12). doi:10.1001/jama.290.12.1600

62. Gianrossi R, Detrano R, Mulvihill D, et al. Exercise-induced ST depression in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. A meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 1989;80(1):87-98.

63. Pollentier B, Irons SL, Benedetto CM, et al. Examination of the Six Minute Walk Test to Determine Functional Capacity in People with Chronic Heart Failure: A Systematic Review. *Cardiopulmonary Physical Therapy Journal*. 2010;21(1):13-21. doi:10.1097/01823246-201021010-00003

64. Guideline 150: Cardiac rehabilitation - Full guideline. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 2017.

65. Davies EJ, Moxham T, Rees K, et al. Exercise training for systolic heart failure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2010;12(7):706-715. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfq056

66. Chan E, Giallauria F, Vigorito C, Smart NA. Exercise training in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease*. 2016;86(1-2). doi:10.4081/monaldi.2016.759

67. Pandey A, Parashar A, Kumbhani D, et al. Exercise training in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2015;8(1):33-40. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001615

68. Brown A. *Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation Programs for Coronary Artery Disease: A Systematic Clinical and Economic Review*. Canadian Coordinating Office for Health Technology Assessment; 2003.

69. Jolliffe J. Exercise-based rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2009;4.

70. Kelley GA, Kelley KS. Efficacy of aerobic exercise on coronary heart disease risk factors. *Preventive cardiology*. 2008;11(2):71-75.

71. Meka N, Katragadda S, Cherian B, Arora RR. Review: Endurance exercise and resistance training in cardiovascular disease. *Therapeutic Advances in Cardiovascular Disease*. 2008;2(2):115-121. doi:10.1177/1753944708089701

72. Williams MA, Haskell WL, Ades PA, et al. Resistance Exercise in Individuals With and Without Cardiovascular Disease: 2007 Update. *Circulation*. 2007;116(5):572-584. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.107.185214

73. Griffo R, Urbinati S, Giannuzzi P, et al. Linee guida nazionali su cardiologia riabilitativa e prevenzione secondaria delle malattie cardiovascolari: sommario esecutivo. *G Ital Cardiol*. 2008;9(4):286-297.

74. Bertie J, King A, Reed N, Marshall AJ, Ricketts C. Benefits and weaknesses of a cardiac rehabilitation programme. *Journal of the Royal College of Physicians of London*. 1992;26(2):147.

75. Dressendorfer RH, Franklin BA, Cameron JL, Trahan KJ, Gordon S, Timmis GC. Exercise training frequency in early post-infarction cardiac rehabilitation. Influence on aerobic conditioning. *Journal of cardiopulmonary rehabilitation*. 1995;15(4):269-276.

76. Ades PA, Pashkow FJ, Fletcher G, Pina IL, Zohman LR, Nestor JR. A controlled trial of cardiac rehabilitation in the home setting using electrocardiographic and voice transtelephonic monitoring. *American heart journal*. 2000;139(3):543-548.

77. Bell JM. *A Comparison of a Multi-Disciplinary Home Based Cardiac Rehabilitation Programme with Comprehensive Conventional Rehabilitation in Post-Myocardial Infarction Patients*. University of London; 1998.

78. Bethell HJ, Mullee MA. A controlled trial of community based coronary rehabilitation. *Br Heart J*. 1990;64(6):370-375. doi:10.1136/hrt.64.6.370

79. Kodis J, Smith KM, Arthur HM, Daniels C, Suskin N, McKelvie RS. Changes in Exercise Capacity and Lipids after Clinic Versus Home-based Aerobic Training in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery Patients. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation*. 2001;21(1):31-36. doi:10.1097/00008483-200101000-00007

80. Miller NH, Haskell WL, Berra K, DeBusk RF. Home versus group exercise training for increasing functional capacity after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1984;70(4):645-649. doi:10.1161/01.cir.70.4.645

81. Stevens R, Hanson P. Comparison of supervised and unsupervised exercise training after coronary bypass surgery. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. 1984;53(11):1524-1528. doi:10.1016/0002-9149(84)90572-1

82. Dawes HN, Barker KL, Cockburn J, Roach N, Scott O, Wade D. Borg's Rating of Perceived Exertion Scales: Do the Verbal Anchors Mean the Same for Different Clinical Groups? *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2005;86(5):912-916. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2004.10.043

83. Kim J su, Cross JM, Bamman MM. Impact of resistance loading on myostatin expression and cell cycle regulation in young and older men and women. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2005;288(6):E1110-E1119.

84. Taylor RS, Sagar VA, Davies EJ, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for heart failure. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2014;(4).

85. Tyni-Lenné R, Gordon A, Jensen-Urstad M, Dencker K, Jansson E, Sylvén C. Aerobic training involving a minor muscle mass shows greater efficiency than training involving a major muscle mass in chronic heart failure patients. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 1999;5(4):300-307.

86. Coats A, Adamopoulos S, Meyer T, Conway J, Sleight P. Effects of physical training in chronic heart failure. *The Lancet*. 1990;335(8681):63-66.

87. Piepoli M, Maugeri FS, Campana M, et al. Experience from controlled trials of physical training in chronic heart failure. Protocol and patient factors in effectiveness in the improvement in exercise tolerance. *European Heart Journal*. 1998;19(3):466-475.

88. Sullivan MJ, Higginbotham MB, Cobb FR. Exercise training in patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction. Hemodynamic and metabolic effects. *Circulation*. 1988;78(3):506-515.

89. Myers J, Hadley D, Oswald U, et al. Effects of exercise training on heart rate recovery in patients with chronic heart failure. *American Heart Journal*. 2007;153(6):8.

90. Arena R, Myers J, Williams MA, et al. Assessment of Functional Capacity in Clinical and Research Settings. *Circulation*. 2007;116(3):329-343. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.106.184461

91. Belardinelli R, Capestro F, Misiani A, Scipione P, Georgiou D. Moderate exercise training improves functional capacity, quality of life, and endothelium-dependent vasodilation in chronic heart failure patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators and cardiac resynchronization therapy. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*. 2006;13(5):818-825. doi:10.1097/01.hjr.0000230104.93771.7d

92. Berg SK, Svendsen JH, Zwisler AD, et al. COPE-ICD: a randomised clinical trial studying the effects and meaning of a comprehensive rehabilitation programme for ICD recipients - design, intervention and population. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2011;11:33-33. doi:10.1186/1471-2261-11-33

93. Conraads VMA, Vanderheyden M, Paelinck B, et al. The effect of endurance training on exercise capacity following cardiac resynchronization therapy in chronic heart failure patients: a pilot trial. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*. 2007;14(1):99-106. doi:10.1097/hjr.0b013e32801164b3

94. Patwala AY, Woods PR, Sharp L, Goldspink DF, Tan LB, Wright DJ. Maximizing Patient Benefit From Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy With the Addition of Structured Exercise Training. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2009;53(25):2332-2339. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.02.063

95. Berg SK, Pedersen BD, Svendsen JH, Zwisler AD, Kristensen L, Pedersen PU. COPE-ICD: patient experience of participation in an ICD specific rehabilitation programme. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2012;11(2):207-215. doi:10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2011.03.005

96. Molino-Lova R, Pasquini G, Vannetti F, et al. Effects of a structured physical activity intervention on measures of physical performance in frail elderly patients after cardiac rehabilitation: a pilot study with 1-year follow-up. *Internal and emergency medicine*. 2013;8(7):581-589.

97. Waite I, Deshpande R, Baghai M, Massey T, Wendler O, Greenwood S. Home-based preoperative rehabilitation (prehab) to improve physical function and reduce hospital length of stay for frail patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft and valve surgery. *Journal of cardiothoracic surgery*. 2017;12(1):1-7.

98. Olsen RH, Pedersen LR, Jürs A, Snoer M, Haugaard SB, Prescott E. A randomised trial comparing the effect of exercise training and weight loss on microvascular function in coronary artery disease. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2015;185:229-235. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.03.118

99. Reed JL, Terada T, Cotie LM, et al. The effects of high-intensity interval training, Nordic walking and moderate-to-vigorous intensity continuous training on functional capacity, depression and quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease enrolled in cardiac

rehabilitation: A randomized controlled trial (CRX study). *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*. 2022;70:73-83. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2021.07.002

100. Szmigielska K, Szmigielska-Kapłon A, Jegier A. The Influence of Comprehensive Cardiac Rehabilitation on Heart Rate Variability Indices after CABG is More Effective than after PCI. *J of Cardiovasc Trans Res*. 2018;11(1):50-57. doi:10.1007/s12265-017-9773-x

101. Szmigielska K, Jegier A. Clinical Outcomes of Cardiac Rehabilitation in Women with Coronary Artery Disease—Differences in Comparison with Men. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*. 2022;12(4):600. doi:10.3390/jpm12040600

102. Yakut H, Dursun H, Felekoğlu E, Başkurt AA, Alpaydın AÖ, Özalevli S. Effect of home-based high-intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity continuous training in patients with myocardial infarction: a randomized controlled trial. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2022;191(6):2539-2548. doi:10.1007/s11845-021-02867-x

103. Sales ARK, Azevedo LF, Silva TOC, et al. High-Intensity Interval Training Decreases Muscle Sympathetic Nerve Activity and Improves Peripheral Vascular Function in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction. *Circulation: Heart Failure*. 2020;13(8):e007121. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.120.007121

104. Thijssen DHJ, Benda NMM, Kerstens TP, Seeger JPH, van Dijk APJ, Hopman MTE. 12-Week Exercise Training, Independent of the Type of Exercise, Attenuates Endothelial Ischaemia-Reperfusion Injury in Heart Failure Patients. *Front Physiol*. 2019;10:264. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00264

105. Winzer EB, Augstein A, Schauer A, et al. Impact of Different Training Modalities on Molecular Alterations in Skeletal Muscle of Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Substudy of the OptimEx Trial. *Circulation: Heart Failure*. 2022;15(10):e009124. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.121.009124

106. Reed JL, Terada T, Vidal-Almela S, et al. Effect of High-Intensity Interval Training in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Network Open*. 2022;5(10):e2239380. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.39380

107. Sandberg C, Hedström M, Wadell K, et al. Home-based interval training increases endurance capacity in adults with complex congenital heart disease. doi:10.1111/chd.12562

108. Novaković M, Prokšelj K, Rajkovič U, et al. Exercise training in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot: A randomized controlled pilot study of continuous versus interval training. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2018;255:37-44. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.12.105

109. Dall CH, Gustafsson F, Christensen SB, Dela F, Langberg H, Prescott E. Effect of moderate- versus high-intensity exercise on vascular function, biomarkers and quality of life in heart transplant recipients: A randomized, crossover trial. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2015;34(8):1033-1041. doi:10.1016/j.healun.2015.02.001

110. Nytrøen K, Rustad LA, Aukrust P, et al. High-Intensity Interval Training Improves Peak Oxygen Uptake and Muscular Exercise Capacity in Heart Transplant Recipients. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2012;12(11):3134-3142. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04221.x

111. Larsen AI, Sæland C, Vegsundvåg J, et al. Aerobic high-intensity interval exercise training in patients with angina and no obstructive coronary artery disease: feasibility and physiological effects. *Eur Heart J Open*. 2023;3(2):oead030. doi:10.1093/ehjopen/oead030

112. Vidal-Almela S, Way KL, Terada T, et al. Sex differences in physical and mental health following high-intensity interval training in adults with cardiovascular disease who completed cardiac rehabilitation. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2022;47(1):9-17. doi:10.1139/apnm-2021-0265

113. Abdelhalem AM, Shabana AM, Onsy AM, Gaafar AE. High intensity interval training exercise as a novel protocol for cardiac rehabilitation program in ischemic Egyptian patients with mild left ventricular dysfunction. *The Egyptian Heart Journal*. 2018;70(4):287-294. doi:10.1016/j.ehj.2018.07.008

114. Dun Y, Thomas RJ, Medina-Inojosa JR, et al. High-Intensity Interval Training in Cardiac Rehabilitation: Impact on Fat Mass in Patients With Myocardial Infarction. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 2019;94(9):1718-1730. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.04.033

115. Kristiansen J, Sjúrðarson T, Grove EL, et al. Feasibility and impact of whole-body high-intensity interval training in patients with stable coronary artery disease: a randomised controlled trial. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:17295. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-21655-w

116. Pedersen LR, Olsen RH, Jürs A, et al. A randomised trial comparing weight loss with aerobic exercise in overweight individuals with coronary artery disease: The CUT-IT trial. *Eur J Prev Cardiolog*. 2015;22(8):1009-1017. doi:10.1177/2047487314545280

117. Melo X, Abreu A, Santos V, et al. A Post hoc analysis on rhythm and high intensity interval training in cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal*. 2019;53(4):197-205. doi:10.1080/14017431.2019.1630747

118. Kim S, Lee S, Han D, et al. One-year Aerobic Interval Training Improves Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Trial. *Internal Medicine*. 2023;62(17):2465. doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.0947-22

119. Malmo V, Nes BM, Amundsen BH, et al. Aerobic Interval Training Reduces the Burden of Atrial Fibrillation in the Short Term. *Circulation*. 2016;133(5):466-473. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018220

120. Skielboe AK, Bandholm TQ, Hakmann S, Mourier M, Kallemose T, Dixen U. Cardiovascular exercise and burden of arrhythmia in patients with atrial fibrillation - A randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0170060. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170060

Box 1. Clinical questions that guided the new recommendations

Clinical questions that guided the new recommendations
Q1. Among cardiovascular disease (CVD) patients, do short, medium, or long duration intervals of high-intensity interval training (HIIT) produce the greatest improvements in exercise capacity?
Q2. Among cardiovascular disease (CVD) patients, do short, medium, or long duration high-intensity interval training (HIIT) intervals lead to different safety outcomes? From the patient perspective, we need to be able to answer the question: How hard can I safely push myself?
Q3. Does telemetry monitoring (continuous or intermittent) of high-risk cardiovascular rehabilitation patients during HIIT predicts adverse events?

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analyses according to prescription groups**.**

Intervention (based on exercise prescription)	Included studies	Total sample (N of patients)	Duration of the intervention (weeks)	Summarised effect on exercise capacity (SMD - mixed-effects analysis)	Exercise intensity (Range)	Reported warm-up (N of studies and range in minutes	Reported cool-down (N of studies and range in minutes)	Reported familiarisation period (N of studies)
Coronary Artery Disease								
HIIT (short, long and progressive)	Total of studies = 28 Number of arms = 32 RCT = 22 Pre and post = 5 Retrospective = 1	Total = 1,056 CAD = 527 CHD = 163 MI = 128 MI+PCI = 218 PCI = 20	Mean: 13.36 Median: 12 Range: 8-52	0.696 (0.592-0.801)	VO2peak: 70–100% HRpeak: 70–100% HRR: 80-95% PPO: 75–121% RPE†: 12–18 100% of the average maximum workload 50% of the maximum load reached with the SRT (peak intervals)	23 (2-15 min)	19 (3-13 min)	13
HIIT short	Total of studies = 8 RCT = 6 Pre and post = 2	Total = 325 CAD = 100 CHD = 12 MI = 18	Mean: 10.5 Median: 8 Range: 8-16	0.637 (0.449-0.825)	PPO: 80-121% VO2peak: 70–100% RPE: 14–17 50% of the maximum load	8 (5-15 min)	8 (5-13 min)	8

		MI+PCI = 195			reached with the SRT (peak intervals) HRpeak: 90%			
HIIT long	Total of studies = 16 Number of arms = 20 RCT = 13 Pre and post = 3	Total = 574 CAD = 312 CHD = 151 MI = 68 MI+PCI = 23 PCI = 20	Mean: 14.78 Median: 12 Range: 8-52	0.589 (0.520-0.658)	VO2max: 60-90% HRpeak: 80-95% HRmax: 80-100% HRR: 80-90% RPE†: 15–18	14 (2-10 min)	11 (3-5 min)	4
HIIT progressive	Total of studies = 4 RCT = 3 Retrospective = 1	Total = 157 CAD = 115 MI = 42	Mean: 12 Median: 12 Range: 12	1.274 (0.784-1.764)	HRpeak: 90% HRR: 85-95% RPE†: 15–17 Average maximum workload: 100%	3 (5-10 min)	2 (4-10 min)	1
MICT	Total of studies = 16 RCT = 14 Pre and post = 1 Retrospective = 1	Total = 543 CAD = 302 MI = 33 MI+PCI = 208	Mean: 14.60 Median: 12 Range: 8-52	0.463 (0.314-0.612)	VO2peak: 50-80% HRpeak: 60-75% HRR: 40-80% PPO: 51-65% RPE†: 11-14 HR at VT1 +10%	12 (5-15 min)	11 (5-13 min)	6

					Maximal exercise capacity: 60-80%			
Control or usual care	Total of studies = 6 RCT	Total = 171 CAD = 130 CHD = 11 MI = 10 PCI = 20	Mean: 16.33 Median: 14 Range: 8-26	-0.007 (-0.259-0.245)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Heart Failure								
HIIT (short and long)	Total of studies = 28 Number of arms = 31 RCT = 23 Pre and post = 5	Total = 742 HF = 91 HFpEF = 122 HFrEF = 529	Mean: 14.87 Median: 12 Range: 12-52	0.721 (0.559-0.884)	VO2peak: 80-95% VO2reserve: 80-90% HRpeak: 85-95% HRR: 75-90% HRmax: 90-95% RPE†: 15-17 WRpeak: 80-100% SRT: 50% workload HR range: 5% to 10% above VT1	23 (1-15 min)	21 (1-15 min)	9

HIIT short	Total of studies = 5 RCT	Total = 76 HF = 21 HFrEF = 55	Mean: 14.40 Median: 12 Range: 12-24	0.484 (0.209 – 0.758)	WRpeak: 80-100% SRT: 50% workload	1 (NR)	1 (NR)	3
HIIT long	Total of studies = 23 Number of arms = 26 RCT = 18 Pre and post = 5	Total = 666 HF = 70 HFpEF = 122 HFrEF = 474	Mean: 14.96 Median: 12 Range: 12-52	0.779 (0.594 – 0.964)	VO2peak: 80%-95% VO2reserve: 80-90% HRpeak: 85-95% HRR: 75-90% HRmax: 90-95% RPE[†]: 15-17 WRpeak: 90% HR range: 5% to 10% above VT1	22 (1-15 min)	20 (1-15 min)	6
MICT	Total of studies = 11 RCT	Total = 289 HF = 37 HFpEF = 63 HFrEF = 189	Mean: 16.73 Median: 12 Range: 12-52	0.297 (0.136-0.458)	WRpeak: 50% workload HRpeak: 60-75% HRR: 35-60 % VO2peak: 40-60% VO2reserve: 50-60%	4 (3-10 min)	4 (3-10 min)	4
Control or usual care	Total of studies = 16 Number of arms = 18 RCT = 14	Total = 450 HF = 49 HFpEF = 52	Mean: 15.18 Median: 12 Range: 12-52	-0.100 (-0.220-0.021)	NA	NA	NA	NA

	Pre and post = 2	HFrEF = 349						
Combined	Total of study = 3 RCT	Total = 46 HF = 25 HFrEF = 21	Mean: 12 Median: 12 Range: 12	0.382 (0.150-0.614)	VO2peak: 80% 50% workload steep ramp test (SRT)	2** (3 min)	2** (3 min)	1
Atrial Fibrillation								
HIIT	Total of studies = 4* RCT	Total = 91 AF = 84 AF + HFrEF = 7	Mean: 25.5 Median: 19 Range: 12-52	1.059 (0.477-1.642)	HRpeak: 85%-95% Borg:16–18 (80% of perceived exertion)	4 (5-10 min)	4 (5-10 min)	2
Control or usual care	Total of studies = 3* RCT	Total = 64 AF = 55 AF + HFrEF = 9	Mean: 30 Median: 26 Range: 12-52	0.287 (0.496-1.070)	NA	NA	NA	NA

*One of the study presents data for a population with both AF and HFrEF

**One did not report the duration of the warm up or cool down

†Borg scale: 6-20

CAD: Coronary artery disease; CHD: Congestive heart disease; HIIT: High intensity interval training; HF: Heart failure; HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF: Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HRmax: Maximum heart rate; HRpeak: Peak heart rate; HRR: Heart rate recovery; MI: Myocardial infarction; MICT: Moderate continuous training; NA: Not applicable; NR: Not reported; PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention; PPO: Peak power output; RCT: Randomized control trial; RPE: Rating of perceived exertion; 1RM: One-repetition maximum; SMD: Standardized mean difference; SRT: steep ramp test; VO_2max: maximum rate of oxygen consumption, VO_2peak: peak oxygen uptake; VO_2reserve: difference between maximum and resting VO_2; VT: Ventilatory threshold; WRpeak: Peak work rate

Table 2. Exercise capacity results of the studies not included in the meta-analysis.

Population	Study	Prescription type	Prescription	Outcome measurement	Effect (Mean ± SD or median and range)
CAD	Olsen, 2015	HIIT progressive	85–90% of VO_2peak	VO_2peak/ Fat-free mass	Change: 10.4% increase
		Low energy diet	800-1000 kcal/day	VO_2peak/ Fat-free mass	Change: 3.0% increase Difference between groups was statistically significant, p < 0.001
	Reed (1), 2022 A	HIIT long	85–95% peak HR	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline: 570.9 ± 70.2 Post: 631.4 ± 78.0 Greater improvement in 6MWT distance for patients engaging in NW than HIIT (F = 4.039, p = 0.048)
		Nordic walking (NW)	RPE of 12 to 16 and to keep their HRs within resting HR + 20–40 bpm	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline: 586.5 ± 87.3 Post: 672.0 ± 96.6
		MICT	RPE of 12 to 16 and to keep their HRs within resting HR + 20–40 bpm	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline: 562.0 ± 74.9 Post: 619.5 ± 89.0 Greater improvement in 6MWT distance for patients engaging in NW than MICT (F = 4.962, p = 0.029)
	Szmigielska, 2018	HIIT long	11 -13 points and 14 - 16 on Borg scale	Peak workload (W/kg)	<u>Group PCI</u> Before - 1.1 (0.2–1.7) After - 1.4 (0.3–1.9) p < 0.001

					<u>Group CABG</u> Before - 1.05* (0.22–1.9) After - 1.32 (0.3–2.24) p < 0.001
	Szmigielska, 2022	HIIT long	12 to 14 points on the Borg scale	Exercise capacity (MET)	**Median (IQR)** <u>Women</u> Before: 4.02 (2.19-6.6) After: 4.5 (2.33-6.9) p<0.0001 <u>Men</u> Before: 4.7 (2.68-8.34) After: 5.67 (3.2-10.8) p<0.0001
	Yakut, 2022	HIIT long	85–95% of HR reserve and 15–18 intensity according to the RPE	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline: 509.0 ± 59.8 Post: 549.4 ± 62.3 p=0.013
		MICT	RPE of 12–14 and HR reserve of 70–75%	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline: 491.9 ± 79.7 Post: 517.4 ± 82.1 p=0.015 Difference between groups was statistically significant, p < 0.001
HF	Sales, 2020	HIIT progressive	HR corresponding to 5% above the respiratory compensation point obtained in cardiopulmonary exercise test	Peak VO_2 peak power	Cardiopulmonary exercise test revealed that peak VO2 peak power, and duration of exercise increased similarly in HIIT and

		MICT	HR between anaerobic threshold and respiratory compensation point	Peak VO_2 peak power	MICT (time effect, $p<0.05$)
		Control	No exercise	Peak VO_2 peak power	
	Thijssen, 2019	HIIT Short	90% Wmax, Borg score of 15–17	VO_2peak (% pred. VO2peak)	Baseline 79 ± 17 Post 85 ± 16
		MICT	60–75% Wmax, aiming at a Borg score of 12–14	VO_2peak (% pred. VO2peak)	Baseline 86 ± 8 Post 87 ± 10 No significant increase in physical fitness after training when presented as VO2peak, whilst a significant increase was found when presented as a percentage of the predicted VO2peak. For both parameters, no differences were found between groups (both p = 0.08).
		Control	Instructed not to alter their daily physical activities	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	Baseline: 1.36 ± 0.56 Post: 1.39 ± 0.60 L; No change in VO2peak, p = 0.50
	Winzer, 2022	HIIT long	80-90% of HRR	CPET: ml/kg/min	**Median (IQR)** Baseline: 21.1 (6.3-25.7) Post: 23.3 (17.7-28.7) p=0.044
		MICT	35-50% of HRR	CPET: ml/kg/min	**Median (IQR)** Baseline: 17.4 (14-21.3)

					Post: 19.3 (14.3-25.1) p=0.002
		Control	exercise recommendations according to guidelines	CPET: ml/kg/min	**Median (IQR)** Baseline: 19 (14.5-22.1) Post: 20 (17.3-20.9) p=0.338
AF	Reed (2), 2022	HIIT short	80% to 100% of peak power output (PPO)	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline 523.6±88.5 Change 21.3±34.1
		MICT	67% to 95% HR peak and encouraged to attain ratings of perceived exertion of 12 to 16	6MWT (in meter)	Baseline 522.3±121.0 Change 13.2±55.2 A significant main effect of time showed an increase in 6MWT distance (F = 10.494; p = 0.002)
ConHD	Sandberg, 2018	HIIT with Individually adjusted intervals	75%-80% HRpeak	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	**Median (range)** Baseline 23.4 (14.8-29.4) Post 26.9 (13.0-33.6)
		Control	No exercise	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	**Median (range)** Baseline 23.6 (18.1-28.1) Post 24.8 (18.1-28.4) VO_2peak increased within the intervention group but not in comparison to the control group.
	Novaković, 2018	HIIT Short	80% HRpeak	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	**Median (IQR)** Baseline 21.2 (18.4-31.3) Post 22.9 (21.1-35.4)

					(p=0.004)
		MICT	50% HRpeak	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	**Median (IQR)** Baseline 21.8 (19.4-28.7) Post 23.6 (20.7-27.8) (p=0.190)
		Control	No exercise	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	**Median (IQR)** Baseline 20.9 (18.9-30.4) Post 21.5 (19.4-29.1) Interval–but not continuous–training improved VO_2peak.
HTx	Dall, 2015	HIIT washout MICT	HIIT with 80% of VO_2peak	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	Baseline 23.2± 6.9 Post 28.1± 8.1
		MICT washout HIIT	MICT with 60% to 70% of VO_2peak	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	Baseline 23 ± 6.6 Post 25.6 ± 6.6 HIIT increased VO_2peak more than MICT (between-group difference; $p < 0.001$)
	Nytrøen, 2012	HIIT long	85–95% of HRmax	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	Baseline 27.7 ± 5.5 Post 30.9 ± 5.3
		Control	No exercise	CPET: VO_2peak, ml/kg/min	Baseline 28.5 ± 7 Post 28 ± 6.7 VO_2peak increased in the HIIT with no significant change in the control. HIIT group had 89.0 ± 17.5% of predicted VO_2peak

					versus 82.5 ± 20.0 in the control group (p < 0.001).
ANCOVA	Larsen, 2023	HIIT long	80–90% of maximal heart rate	VO_2peak ml/kg/min	Baseline 28.75 ± 6.51 Post 31.93 ± 6.46 Change 3.81 ± 3.12 p<0.001
		Control	No exercise	VO_2peak ml/kg/min	Baseline 26.52 ± 4.10 Post 27.17 ± 3.51 Change 0.65 ± 0.98 The between group difference was significant, p=0.022
General CVD	Vidal-Almela, 2022	HIIT long	85–95% HRpeak	Peak oxygen uptake (ml/kg/min) estimated from a graded treadmill exercise test to exhaustion - individualized ramp protocol.	<u>Women</u> Baseline 28.4 ± 6.4 Post 30.9 ± 7.6 <u>Men</u> Baseline 34.3 ± 6.3 Post 37.4 ± 6.0 A significant main effect of time showed improvements in estimated VO_2peak (F = 52.424, p < 0.001) Improvements in estimated VO_2peak did not differ between sexes (interaction effect: F = 1.215, p = 0.273)

ANCOVA: Angina with normal coronary arteries; CABG: Coronary artery bypass surgery; ConHD: Congenital heart disease; CPET: Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; HIIT:

High intensity interval training; HR: Heart rate; HRR: Heart rate recovery; IQR: Interquartile range; MICT: Moderate continuous training; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; RPE: Rating of perceived exertion; SD: Standard deviation; VO_2peak: Peak oxygen uptake; Wmax: maximal work capacity; 6MWT: 6 minute walk test.

Table 3. Summary from the meta-analysis results for direct comparisons of HIIT vs. MICT on changes in exercise capacity in patients with CAD

Population	Intervention	Point estimate (IC) Difference in mean exercise capacity change (VO_{2max}- ml/min/kg)	N of arms
CAD	Direct Comparison p= 0.003; I^2 = 89.11%		
	HIIT	4.54 (3.44 – 5.64)	16
	MICT	2.50 (1.71 – 3.30)	16

CAD: coronary artery disease; HIIT: High intensity interval training; MICT: Moderate intensity continuous training; VO_2max: Maximum rate of oxygen consumption

Table 4. Summary from the meta-analysis results for direct comparisons of HIIT vs. MICT on exercise capacity in patients with HF

Population	Intervention	Point estimate (IC) Difference in mean exercise capacity change (VO_{2max}- ml/min/kg)	N of arms
HF	Direct Comparison p=0.122; I^2 = 76.64%		
	HIIT	2.54 (1.44 – 3.63)	11
	MICT	1.62 (1.25 – 2.00)	11

HF: Heart failure; HIIT: High intensity interval training; MICT: Moderate intensity continuous training; VO_2max: Maximum rate of oxygen consumption

Table 5. Results of the meta-analysis on the impact of HIIT on exercise capacity.

	Overall Groups	Point estimate (IC) Difference in mean exercise capacity change (VO2max- ml/min/kg)	N of arms	Statistics
CAD	**HIIT subgroups**			
	HIIT long	3.503 (2.882-4.125)	20	**p = 0.281** **I^2 = 82.65**
	HIIT short	3.356 (2.507-4.204)	8	
	HIIT progressive	5.668 (2.947-8.389)	4	
	Quality subgroups			
	HIIT higher quality studies	4.003 (3.302-4.704)	26	**p = 0.162** **I^2 = 82.65**
	HIIT lower quality studies	2.957 (1.670-4.245)	6	
HF	**HIIT subgroups**			
	HIIT long	3.095 (2.466-3.725)	26	**p = 0.360** **I^2 = 84.34**
	HIIT short	2.255 (0.570-3.940)	5	
	HF subgroups			
	HF (reduced and preserved	3.342 (1.322-5.362)	7	**p = 0.432** **I^2 = 84.34**
	HFrEF	2.950 (2.245-3.654)	20	
	HFpEF	2.359 (1.618-3.101)	4	
	Quality subgroups			

	HIIT higher quality studies	3.069 (2.334-3.803)	26	**p = 0.263** **I^2 = 84.34**
	HIIT lower quality studies	2.598 (2.223-2.973)	5	
AF	**Intervention**			
	HIIT	4.277 (1.757-6.796)	4	**p = 0.084** **I^2 = 95.01**
	Control	0.454 (-3.080-3.988)	3	

AF: Atrial fibrillation; CAD: coronary artery disease; CI: Confidence interval; HF: Heart failure; HFrEF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF: Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HIIT: High intensity interval training; MICT: Moderate intensity continuous training; VO_2max: Maximum rate of oxygen consumption