Title

Skeletal Maturity Is Associated With Distinct Bony and Non-Bony Subtypes of Anterior Knee Pain in Young Athletes

Authors

Shinsuke Sakoda, MD [1, 2], Hiroto Kumagae, MD [2], Kimiaki Kawano, MD [2]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Sports Medicine, Ashiya Central Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

[2] Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ashiya Central Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

Corresponding Author

Shinsuke Sakoda, MD
Department of Sports Medicine
Ashiya Central Hospital
283-7 Yamaga, Ashiya-machi, Onga-gun
Fukuoka 807-0141, Japan
Email: sakoda.sinsuke@gmail.com
Phone: +81-93-222-2931

ORCID: 0009-0006-8541-1088

Abstract

Background: Anterior knee pain (AKP) is common in adolescent athletes and encompasses heterogeneous osseous and soft tissue pathologies, yet its developmental mechanisms remain poorly integrated.

Hypothesis: Pain-generating tissues within the knee extensor mechanism are redistributed from osseous to soft tissue structures with skeletal maturation.

Study Design: Retrospective observational cohort study.

Level of Evidence: Level 3.

Methods: A total of 1,595 patients with sports-related knee injuries (2017–2025) were included. Skeletal maturity was determined by proximal tibial physeal status on radiographs, classifying participants into open-physes (n = 707) and closed-physes (n = 888) groups. AKP was classified into bony and non-bony subtypes based on maximal tenderness. Prevalence was compared using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results: Overall, 575 patients (36.1%) had AKP. AKP was more prevalent in the open-physes group than in the closed-physes group (60.1% vs 16.9%; OR, 7.4; 95% CI, 5.9–9.3; $p < 0.001$). Bony AKP showed a marked difference (42.4% vs 3.7%; OR, 19.1; 95% CI, 12.8–28.6; $p < 0.001$), whereas non-bony AKP showed only a modest difference (17.7% vs 13.2%; OR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.1–1.9; $p = 0.013$).

Conclusion: The association between AKP and skeletal maturity was primarily driven by bony AKP, supporting structural redistribution of pain-generating tissues during growth.

Clinical Relevance: Tenderness-based classification may aid identification of tissue-specific vulnerability and inform growth-stage–specific load management.

Keywords: anterior knee pain; skeletal maturity; knee extensor mechanism; tenderness; adolescent athletes

Introduction

Anterior knee pain (AKP) is one of the most prevalent and recurrent sports-related conditions among adolescent athletes and represents a major cause of performance decline and training restriction. [1, 5 10, 13, 14] AKP encompasses a broad spectrum of

pathologies, including apophyseal disorders such as Osgood–Schlatter disease and Sinding-Larsen–Johansson disease, [7, 9, 12, 15] soft tissue disorders such as quadriceps and patellar tendinopathy, [2, 4, 18] as well as nonspecific pain without identifiable structural abnormalities. [4, 18] However, these conditions are often addressed as discrete diagnostic entities, and opportunities to integrate them within a developmental framework remain limited. [4, 18]

AKP is not attributable to a single etiology but is widely regarded as a multifactorial syndrome involving interacting factors such as training load, movement patterns, muscle function, flexibility, alignment, and growth-related biological changes. [3, 6, 16] Consequently, identifying the primary pain generator or responsible structure in individual patients is often challenging. [4, 11] Moreover, many patients exhibit persistent or recurrent symptoms and impaired athletic performance despite the absence of definitive imaging abnormalities, underscoring the ongoing difficulty of clinical stratification and risk characterization. [13, 14] Within this multifactorial context, mechanical loading during sports activities consistently converges on the knee extensor mechanism (KEM), providing a unifying framework for understanding AKP pathophysiology. [2, 4, 6, 18] Previous studies have largely attributed AKP-related pathologies to repetitive or excessive mechanical loading of the KEM during activities such as jumping, running, and deceleration. [2, 6, 18] The KEM, comprising the quadriceps muscle, patella, patellar tendon, and proximal tibia, serves as the primary load-transmitting unit during athletic movements. [6] Although diagnostic labels may differ—such as traction-related disorders, patellar tendinopathy, or patellofemoral pain—the underlying mechanical loading environment converges on the KEM. [2, 4, 18] Thus, despite its multifactorial nature, AKP

may be conceptualized as a condition in which diverse clinical manifestations arise from a common mechanical unit. [4, 18] Importantly, however, the tissue-level response to identical mechanical loading may vary according to skeletal maturity. [7, 12, 16, 17] During periods of open physes, the epiphyses and apophyseal structures remain biologically immature, rendering the osseous components linked to the KEM relatively vulnerable to traction-related stress. [7, 9, 12, 15, 17] In contrast, AKP continues to occur after physeal closure, with pain generators more frequently shifting toward soft tissue structures. [2, 4, 18] These observations suggest a potential redistribution of pain-generating tissues within the KEM from osseous to soft tissue structures as skeletal maturation progresses. [16, 17] Nevertheless, most existing studies have focused on specific diagnoses or isolated pathologies, and systematic investigations of AKP from the perspective of structural redistribution within a shared mechanical unit remain limited. [4, 16] Clinical verification of this hypothesis requires a practical and reproducible indicator capable of consistently reflecting the primary pain-generating tissue.

In routine clinical practice, the site of maximal tenderness provides a reproducible and readily assessable indicator independent of imaging findings and may directly reflect the primary pain-generating tissue. [8, 11] However, large-scale studies that classify AKP based on tenderness location and examine its relationship with skeletal maturity are scarce.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate a large clinical cohort of patients with sports-related knee injuries, classify AKP into bony AKP and non-bony AKP based on the site of maximal tenderness, and compare their prevalence according to skeletal maturity. We hypothesized that, with skeletal maturation, pain-generating tissues within the KEM are

redistributed from osseous structures toward soft tissue structures, thereby providing a mechanistic interpretation of AKP development across growth stages.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

This single-center retrospective observational study included patients who presented to our institution with sports-related knee injuries between January 2017 and November 2025. A total of 1,595 cases were eligible for analysis, including patients for whom demographic data, clinical diagnoses, physical examination findings, and imaging findings were available. Patients with tumors, infections, or a history of previous knee surgery were excluded.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of our institution and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent for study participation was obtained using an opt-out approach.

Definition of Anterior Knee Pain

Anterior knee pain (AKP) was defined as self-reported pain localized to the anterior aspect of the knee that was provoked or exacerbated by sports activities or related movements. The presence of imaging abnormalities was not required for diagnosis, and AKP was diagnosed based on clinical symptoms and physical examination findings after exclusion of acute traumatic structural injuries requiring alternative diagnosis.

Assessment of Skeletal Maturity

Skeletal maturity was assessed based on the status of the proximal tibial physes on plain radiographs. Participants were classified into the open-physes group or the closed-physes group according to physeal status.

Classification of AKP Based on Maximal Tenderness

Patients with AKP were classified into bony AKP or non-bony AKP according to the site of maximal tenderness assessed at the initial clinical examination by the corresponding author. Tenderness assessment was performed as part of standardized routine clinical practice, and the recorded findings were retrospectively extracted from medical records. Bony AKP was defined as localized tenderness involving the osseous components of the knee extensor mechanism, including (1) the patellar bony region (inferior pole and bipartite patella) or (2) the proximal tibia (including the tibial tuberosity).

Non-bony AKP was defined as tenderness localized to the soft tissue components of the knee extensor mechanism (the patellar tendon substance and anterior knee soft tissues) without definite bony tenderness. In cases with tenderness at multiple sites, the primary pain source was determined based on the reproducibility of symptoms and pain provocation during functional activities such as squatting and jumping.

Statistical Analysis

The prevalence of AKP, bony AKP, and non-bony AKP was compared between the open-physes group and the closed-physes group. Group comparisons for categorical variables were performed using the chi-square test. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. A two-sided p value < 0.05 was considered

statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.3.1; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Prevalence of Anterior Knee Pain

Among the 1,595 cases included in the analysis, 707 patients were classified into the open-physes group and 888 into the closed-physes group. Overall, 575 patients (36.1%) presented with anterior knee pain (AKP). AKP was observed in 425 of 707 patients (60.1%) in the open-physes group, compared with 150 of 888 patients (16.9%) in the closed-physes group. The prevalence of AKP was significantly higher in the open-physes group (odds ratio [OR], 7.4; 95% confidence interval [CI], 5.9–9.3; $p < 0.001$) (Table 1).

Distribution of Bony and Non-Bony AKP

Bony AKP was observed in 42.4% of patients in the open-physes group (300/707) and 3.7% of patients in the closed-physes group (33/888), indicating a markedly higher prevalence in the open-physes group (OR, 19.1; 95% CI, 12.8–28.6; $p < 0.001$). Non-bony AKP was observed in 17.7% (125/707) of the open-physes group and 13.2% (117/888) of the closed-physes group. Although the difference between groups was statistically significant (OR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.1–1.9; $p = 0.013$), both the effect size and the absolute difference (4.5%) were small, suggesting limited clinical impact (Table 1, Figure 1).

Collectively, these findings indicate that the higher overall prevalence of AKP in the open-physes group is primarily driven by a marked increase in bony AKP rather than non-bony AKP.

Discussion

Methodological Significance of Tenderness-Based Classification

In this study, anterior knee pain (AKP) was classified into bony AKP and non-bony AKP based on the site of maximal tenderness. Localized tenderness reflects nociceptive responses to mechanical stimulation and represents a practical and reproducible clinical indicator for estimating pain-generating tissues, particularly in AKP, where definitive structural abnormalities are often difficult to identify on imaging. [4, 18] In routine sports medicine practice, focal tenderness is widely used to localize pathological structures and support differential diagnosis, rendering this classification approach highly compatible with daily clinical workflows. [8, 11]

Although tenderness assessment inherently involves some subjectivity, anatomical localization of focal tenderness is generally reproducible when standardized examination procedures are applied. 8 Accordingly, tenderness remains a clinically stable parameter that can be reliably shared among examiners in sports medicine settings.

The knee extensor mechanism (KEM) constitutes a mechanical chain comprising the quadriceps muscle, patella, patellar tendon, and proximal tibia and is repeatedly exposed to substantial mechanical stress during athletic activities such as jumping, running, and deceleration. [6] The site of maximal tenderness may clinically visualize the “mechanical failure point” along this load transmission chain, where tissue tolerance is most

compromised. Therefore, tenderness-based classification should not be interpreted merely as descriptive localization of symptoms but rather as a surrogate marker reflecting the interaction between mechanical stress distribution and tissue vulnerability along the KEM.

Integrated Interpretation of the Primary Findings

Although AKP overall demonstrated a strong association with skeletal maturity (odds ratio [OR], 7.4), this relationship was predominantly driven by bony AKP (OR, 19.1), whereas the effect was modest for non-bony AKP (OR, 1.4). This divergence suggests that the skeletal maturity–related concentration of AKP cannot be explained solely by increased mechanical loading of the KEM. If mechanical load itself were the dominant determinant, comparable age-related effects would be expected for both bony and non-bony AKP. [6, 10]

Rather, these findings support the notion that tissue-specific vulnerability during the open-physes stage—particularly involving epiphyseal cartilage, ossification centers, and bone at tendon insertion sites—predominantly determines the site of mechanical failure under similar loading conditions. [7, 9, 12, 16] In contrast, soft tissue–dominant pathologies appear less influenced by skeletal maturity, resulting in a comparatively attenuated age dependency.

Accordingly, AKP should not be regarded as a collection of independent disease entities but rather as a continuous spectrum in which clinical manifestations diverge according to tissue vulnerability within a shared mechanical environment of the KEM. Importantly, although mechanical loading applied to the KEM during sports activities does not fundamentally differ between athletes with open physes and those with closed physes,

structurally vulnerable osseous tissues emerge as additional failure-prone sites during the open-physes stage. [12, 16, 17] Consequently, even under similar mechanical environments, pain-generating tissues preferentially localize to osseous structures, resulting in a higher prevalence of bony AKP.

Most previous AKP studies have stratified pathophysiology by diagnostic labels or imaging findings and have largely attributed age-related differences to increased training intensity or activity volume. [1, 5, 10, 13, 14] However, such frameworks cannot adequately explain the systematic shift in pain-generating tissues observed across developmental stages under comparable athletic exposure. By structurally reclassifying AKP using a reproducible clinical indicator rather than diagnostic nomenclature, the present study clarifies that age-related differences are more plausibly attributable to the spatial distribution of tissue vulnerability rather than absolute mechanical load. [4, 18] This perspective supports a conceptual transition from static disease categorization toward a dynamic system in which vulnerability migrates across tissues during growth.

Structural Redistribution Hypothesis

The most important finding of this study is that the increased prevalence of AKP during growth is governed not by increased mechanical load per se but by redistribution of structural vulnerability within the load-bearing system. Our results support a conceptual model in which pain-generating tissues within the KEM undergo structural redistribution across developmental stages.

During the open-physes stage, epiphyseal cartilage, ossification centers, and bone at tendon insertion sites exhibit biological characteristics—such as incomplete

mineralization, altered vascular dynamics, and limited reparative capacity—that may reduce tolerance to repetitive traction stress. [7, 9, 12] Consequently, under similar mechanical loading, osseous structures are more likely to emerge as preferential failure sites compared with mature bone or soft tissues. As skeletal maturation progresses and these osseous vulnerabilities diminish, mechanical stress is redistributed toward tendons and adjacent soft tissues, leaving non-bony AKP as the predominant clinical phenotype. [14, 16]

This dynamic reconfiguration of tissue vulnerability provides a coherent mechanistic explanation for the age-dependent shift in tenderness distribution observed in this cohort. In other words, the relative "weak link" within the KEM migrates from osseous structures to soft tissues as development proceeds, and the present study visualizes this phenomenon using large-scale clinical data.

Consistent with this interpretation, bony AKP and non-bony AKP coexist during the open-physes stage, whereas non-bony AKP predominates after physeal closure. [10, 13] These findings indicate that AKP should be conceptualized not as discrete age-specific diseases but as a continuous spectrum governed by dynamically evolving tissue vulnerability within a common mechanical environment. Furthermore, pain associated with bipartite patella was included in bony AKP, suggesting that osseous structures integrated within the KEM broadly represent potential vulnerability sites to traction stress during growth. [5] This observation supports the notion that vulnerability is not confined to isolated apophyseal lesions but may systematically involve multiple osseous components within the KEM.

Clinical Implications

The present findings emphasize the need to move beyond fragmented treatment strategies based solely on individual diagnostic labels—such as Osgood–Schlatter disease, Sinding-Larsen–Johansson disease, patellar tendinopathy, or bipartite patella—and instead adopt an integrated mechanical framework centered on load regulation within the KEM. [2, 4, 18] AKP should be understood as a continuum in which different tissues manifest failure under a shared loading environment rather than as isolated disease entities.

The primary therapeutic objective should therefore focus not only on symptomatic treatment of specific lesion sites but also on modulating and redistributing mechanical load across the KEM. Although reducing absolute training volume is the most direct strategy, practical constraints often limit the feasibility of sufficient load reduction. [3, 14] In such settings, biomechanical interventions—including optimization of movement patterns, redistribution of load toward the hip extensors and ankle plantar flexors, and enhancement of tissue load tolerance through flexibility and muscle function optimization—become critically important. [2, 4]

From this perspective, tenderness-based classification should be viewed not as a tool for assigning diagnostic labels but as a clinical indicator for identifying the current "weak link" within the KEM. Moreover, systematic tenderness mapping may provide longitudinal insight into symptom evolution, recurrence risk, and load-adjustment strategies during return-to-sport decision making. [13, 14] In particular, patients exhibiting predominant osseous tenderness during the open-physes stage may be prone to recurrence if treated solely with localized interventions, underscoring the importance of growth-stage–specific load management and movement optimization. [7, 16]

Limitations and Future Directions

Several limitations should be acknowledged. Tenderness-based classification represents a clinically inferred proxy and was not directly validated against imaging or histological correlates. In cases with multisite tenderness or overlapping pain patterns, classification based on a single dominant tenderness site may insufficiently capture underlying pathophysiological complexity. [8, 11] Future studies integrating imaging, biomechanical analyses, and longitudinal follow-up are warranted to further validate the construct validity and predictive value of tenderness-based classification.

Conclusion

This large-scale clinical study demonstrates that the strong association between AKP and skeletal maturity is predominantly driven by bony AKP, whereas non-bony AKP shows only a modest age dependency. These findings indicate that age-related differences in AKP cannot be explained solely by increased mechanical loading but rather reflect a developmental redistribution of tissue vulnerability within the knee extensor mechanism. [6, 10, 12, 16]

Tenderness-based classification provides a simple, reproducible, and clinically feasible surrogate marker for identifying the current mechanical "weak link" along the load-bearing chain. [8, 11] Conceptualizing AKP as a continuous spectrum governed by dynamically shifting structural vulnerability, rather than as fragmented diagnostic entities, offers a unified framework for clinical assessment, load management, and return-to-sport decision making in young athletes. [4, 18] This perspective supports an integrated, growth-

stage–aware approach to AKP management that emphasizes mechanical load regulation and tissue-specific vulnerability rather than isolated lesion-based treatment strategies.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References

1. Aalborg K, Roos EM, Barton CJ. Self-reported knee pain and knee function in adolescents: a population-based study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2013;14:225.
2. Barton CJ, Lack S, Hemmings S, Tufail S, Morrissey D. The best practice guide to conservative management of patellofemoral pain: incorporating level 1 evidence with expert clinical reasoning. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(14):923-934.
3. Brenner JS. Overuse injuries, overtraining, and burnout in child and adolescent athletes. Pediatrics. 2007;119(6):1242-1245.
4. Crossley KM, van Middelkoop M, Callaghan MJ, et al. 2016 patellofemoral pain consensus statement from the 4th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(14):842-850.
5. Devereaux MD, Lachmann SM. Patellofemoral arthralgia in athletes attending a sports injury clinic. Br J Sports Med. 1984;18(1):18-21.

6. Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB, van Poortvliet JA, Phillips H. Mechanical factors in the incidence of knee pain in adolescents and young adults. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1984;66(5):685-693.
7. Gholve PA, Scher DM, Khakharia S, Widmann RF, Green DW. Osgood–Schlatter syndrome. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2007;19(1):44-50.
8. Ishikawa SN, Lynch AD, Sullivan MR, et al. Reliability of physical examination tests for patellofemoral pain syndrome. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2002;32(11):556-564.
9. Kujala UM, Kvist M, Heinonen O. Osgood-Schlatter disease in adolescent athletes: retrospective study of incidence and duration. Am J Sports Med. 1985;13(4):236-241.
10. Mølgaard C, Rathleff MS, Simonsen O. High prevalence of patellofemoral pain among adolescents: a population-based study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2011;12:144.
11. Nijs J, Van Geel C, Van der Auwera C, Van de Velde B. Diagnostic value of five clinical tests in patellofemoral pain syndrome. Man Ther. 2006;11(1):69-77.
12. Ogden JA, Southwick WO. Osgood-Schlatter disease and tibial tuberosity development. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1984;(177):180-189.
13. Rathleff MS, Rathleff CR, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S, Roos EM. Is knee pain during adolescence a self-limiting condition? Prognosis of patellofemoral pain and other types of knee pain. Am J Sports Med. 2016;44(5):1165-1171.
14. Rathleff MS, Skuldbøl SK, Rasch MN, et al. Adolescents with patellofemoral pain: prognosis and impact of activity modification. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(6):385-392.
15. Sinding-Larsen MF. On periostitis of the patella in children. Acta Chir Scand. 1921;53:1-21.

16. Svensson K, Alricsson M, Werner S. Injuries in adolescent athletes: a review of growth-related risk factors. Sports Med. 2016;46(3):355-367.
17. Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH. Clinical longitudinal standards for height, weight, height velocity, and stages of puberty. Arch Dis Child. 1976;51(3):170-179.
18. Witvrouw E, Callaghan MJ, Stefanik JJ, et al. Patellofemoral pain: consensus statement from the 3rd International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(6):411-414.

Table 1. Prevalence of all AKP, bony AKP, and non-bony AKP according to physeal status

All knee injuries (n = 1,595)	Open-physes (n = 707)	Closed-physes (n = 888)	OR [95% CI]	p-value
All AKP (n = 575)	425 (60.1%)	150 (16.9%)	7.4 [5.9–9.3]	p < 0.001
Bony AKP (n = 333)	300 (42.4%)	33 (3.7%)	19.1 [12.8–28.6]	p < 0.001
Non-bony AKP (n = 242)	125 (17.7%)	117 (13.2%)	1.4 [1.1–1.9]	p = 0.013

Data are presented as number (%). Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated comparing the open-physes group with the closed-physes group as the reference.

Abbreviations: AKP, anterior knee pain; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
Group comparisons were performed using the chi-square test. A two-sided p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. Odds ratios of all AKP and AKP subtypes comparing open and closed-physes group



Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for all anterior knee pain (AKP), bony AKP, and non-bony AKP comparing participants with open-physes to those with closed-physes (reference).

Sample sizes for each category are shown in parentheses.