Epigenetic Responses to Abusive versus Accidental Injuries in Children: A Cross-sectional Epigenome Wide Association Meta-analysis

Authors:

Kyle A. Campbell PhD MPH[1,*], Audrey Raut MD MSCI[2,3,*], Kelsey Julian[2], Kim Kaczor MS MPH[3,4],

Kathi Makoroff MD[5,6], Todd M. Everson PhD MPH[1,7], and Mary Clyde Pierce MD[3,8]

**Indicates co-first authors

Affiliations:

[1]Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, USA.
[2]Division of Child Abuse Pediatrics, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.
[3]Department of Pediatrics, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA.
[4]Department of Pediatrics, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, 225 E. Chicago Ave, Chicago, IL, USA.

[5]Mayerson Center for Safe and Healthy Children, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, USA.
[6]Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA.
[7]Department of Epidemiology, Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, USA.
[8]Division of Emergency Medicine, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.

Corresponding author: : Mary Clyde Pierce, MD, Division of Emergency Medicine, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, 225 E Chicago Ave, Box 62, Chicago IL 60611 (mpierce@luriechildrens.org).

Abstract

Child maltreatment is a pervasive problem leading to increased morbidity and mortality across the lifespan, potentially propagated by DNA methylation (DNAm) changes. We conducted an EWAS meta-analysis (n=175, 554,979 Illumina EPICv1/EPICv2 sites) in buccal swabs from three hospital-based studies of young children with traumatic injuries, stratified by study group to include 1) any traumatic injury, 2) fractures, and 3) traumatic brain injuries. Empirical bayes-moderated linear models tested differential DNAm with M-values. We identified abuse-associated pathways with rank-based promoter gene set enrichment analysis. Abuse was associated with methylation at 11 sites (false-discovery q<0.10), including enhancers of neuroblast differentiation-associated *AHNAK* and the immunomodulators *SCGB1A1* and *CCL26* as well as exon 5 of *LAMP1*, essential for lysosomal function and cytotoxicity. Several of the most enriched biological processes included injury-affected cranial skeletal system and connective tissue development, neural structure and function, immune regulation, gene expression regulation, and metabolism. Our findings suggest that early-life abuse may epigenetically affect both proximal injury responses and longer-lived systemic biological dysregulation.

Keywords: child abuse, DNA methylation, epigenome-wide association study, traumatic brain injury, fracture, trauma, child maltreatment

INTRODUCTION

Child abuse is a leading cause of pediatric morbidity and mortality, affecting more than 550,000 children in the United States each year.[1] The profound harms of maltreatment extend beyond the immediate manifestations of the abusive injuries themselves, increasing a child's risk for negative physical and mental health outcomes across the life course.[2-5] However, the mechanisms linking child abuse to latent deleterious health issues such as cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, autoimmune conditions, psychiatric disorders, and even premature death, have yet to be elucidated.

A growing body of evidence implicates the epigenetic process of DNA methylation (DNAm) as a key driver in the biological embedding of early traumatic experiences.[6] DNAm regulates gene expression throughout the body in response to physical, behavioral, and socioemotional stimuli in the environment; these biological responses can be adaptive or maladaptive. Multiple studies have found child maltreatment to be associated with altered patterns of DNAm that may subsequently serve as mechanisms of disease.[7] Much of this work has focused on candidate genes and pathways, especially those involved in stress response regulation (i.e.. HPA axis genes), and demonstrate an important role for epigenetic responses to trauma.[5,8-11] Epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) of childhood maltreatment have also identified differentially methylated loci outside of canonical stress-response pathways.[7] However, the majority of EWAS studies 1) were performed using retrospective ascertainment of childhood maltreatment, often years after its occurrence, 2) included various forms of maltreatment, and 3) were individual studies rather than meta-analyses.[7] Compelling questions therefore remain as to whether, when, and how early experiences of physical abuse induce epigenetic alterations that affect development and function through interactive pathways, shaping trajectories of health and disease.

Given that that early maltreatment has been linked to a broad range of long-term health outcomes,[2-5] further epigenome-wide analyses are needed to capture the full scope of biological embedding. We therefore conducted an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) meta-analysis

incorporating DNAm data from three studies of young children at the time of presentation with traumatic injuries. The objectives of this study were to 1) identify differences in DNAm between those with abusive versus accidental injuries, and 2) examine which biological pathways may be affected by differential methylation between the two groups.

METHODS

Study Design and Composition

We conducted three independent observational studies exploring DNA methylation changes among young children with traumatic injuries. The first was a cross-sectional study of children 0-3.99 years of age who presented to the pediatric Emergency Department (pED) at Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago or Cincinnati Children's Hospital between August 2015 and October 2018 and were diagnosed with a traumatic injury. The second was a cross-sectional study of children 0-11.99 months of age who presented to Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital pED between October 2020 and July 2023 and were diagnosed with a long-bone fracture. The third was a cohort study of children 0-11.99 months of age who presented to Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital between October 2021 and June 2022 and were diagnosed with a traumatic brain injury (TBI).

For all three studies, trained staff collected standardized data including: demographics; history and physical exam findings; imaging and consultation findings; injury type, severity, and outcome; past medical history; and psychosocial risk factors (PRFs) present in the child's caregiving environment, including substance abuse, domestic violence / intimate partner violence, untreated mental health problems, and prior involvement of law enforcement or child protective services.[12] PRF scores ranging from 0-5 were calculated by summing the presence (1) or absence (0) of 5 psychosocial risk factors. Trained study staff also collected buccal swabs for DNA methylation measures at the time of presentation to the hospital. Each case was classified clinically as abuse, accident, or indeterminate by a child abuse assessment team (TBI study) or medical expert panel (trauma and fracture studies).[13]

All studies were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Lurie Children's Hospital and/or Cincinnati Children's Hospital. For patients undergoing abuse evaluations, waivers of authorization were granted to allow the research team to abstract data while avoiding interference with the evaluation process. For patients not undergoing an abuse evaluation, the research team obtained written informed consent for data collection, with fields designed to parallel the more extensive and detailed dataset collected as standard of care for abuse consultations. Verbal consent for buccal swab sampling was obtained from all parents prior to specimen collection. All data and epigenetic samples were deidentified.

DNA methylation measurement, preprocessing, and quality control

Buccal cells were collected via SK-1S Isohelix swabs with Isohelix Dri-Capsules (Boca Scientific) for DNAm measurements. DNA was extracted and quantified with the Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) and then aliquoted into standardized concentrations to yield 500ng. Samples were plated randomly across 96-well plates across study batches. Northwestern University's (NU) Genomics (NUSeq) Core Facility performed bisulfite modification using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), and measured DNAm throughout the genome with the Illumina MethylationEPIC Beadarray (Illumina, San Diego, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol.

We processed and performed quality control of DNA methylation data in R statistical software (version 4.3.3) for each study. Raw DNA methylation data EPICv1 (trauma study) and EPICv2 (TBI and fracture studies) idats were preprocessed and managed separately in minfi R package v1.48.0[14] and ewastools v1.7.2[15] with default Illumina hg38-based manifests. We preprocessed raw DNA methylation data with Noob background correction and dye-bias normalization. To assess quality control, we checked each sample for the 17 Illumina control metrics, poor detection p-values averaging > 0.05 across all loci, and sex or genotype mismatches. Samples that failed multiple quality control checkpoints were excluded. We further excluded each probe for >1% failure across samples for detection p-value > 0.01, cross-reactive or SNP-related probes[16], and sex-specific probes. To eliminate genetic or other unwanted sources of DNA methylation signal in beta values, we removed

non-outlier gap signals of 10% or greater present in 5% or more of samples (20% in the fracture subgroup to ensure comparability with larger samples) with gaphunter in minfi v1.48.0.[14] Finally, to correct for type I vs. type II probe bias, we also performed Beta MIxture Quantile dilation normalization[17] via the ChAMP package v2.22.0[18]. All downstream DNA methylation analyses used the M-value or the methylation level of a given site. DNA methylation quality control is summarized in **Supplementary Figure 1**. For all included samples, we describe the number and frequency of samples by study source, fetal sex, cell type, Illumina array type, and cell deconvolution method.

Estimation of DNA methylation-based features

Unless otherwise noted, all procedures were stratified by study group (trauma, fracture, or TBI) and conducted with default software settings. To account for potential unmeasured age-specific epigenetic effects, cell type heterogeneity, and other sources of unmeasured confounding, we used DNA methylation data and covariate data to estimate buccal swab cell proportions with methylation rate values and surrogate variables with DNA methylation M-values. Because all external references used to estimate epigenetic features were trained on EPICv1 microarrays, for EPICv2 samples, we lifted over probes from EPICv2 to EPICv1 using the sesame R package v1.20.0.[19] To calculate cell heterogeneity, we estimated epithelial vs. immune cell proportions using beta values in EpiDISH R package v2.18.0[20] with the robust partial correlation algorithm using a previously published reference trained on saliva samples from children aged 7-16 years old.[21] Due to systematic differences in cell composition, we excluded samples that were estimated to be less than 50% epithelial. To account for potential unmeasured confounding based on *a priori* knowledge, surrogate variables were created from M-values adjusted for sex (dichotomous), age (continuous, months), psychosocial risk score (sum 0-5 factors present), insurance status (private vs. none/public, dichotomous), estimated epithelial cell proportion (continuous), race (white vs. non-white), ethnicity (Hispanic vs. non-Hispanic, dichotomous), and batch (trauma study only, 1 vs. 2, dichotomous) using the sva R package v3.50.0 with 20 iterations.[22] To ensure surrogate variables were only capturing unmodelled variation, we tested the association between surrogate variables and covariates or the exposure with analysis of

variance or correlation tests. We also conducted surrogate variable-wise leave-one-out analyses to prune uninformative surrogate variables and optimize a genomic inflation factor closest to one.

Statistical analysis

Primary linear epigenome-wide association analyses with empirical Bayes-moderated standard errors[23] were stratified by study group: traumatic injuries among children <4 years of age (abuse=22, accident=39), TBI among children <1 year of age (abuse=20, accident=73), and fractures among children <1 year of age (abuse=7, accident=11), before meta-analysis. For harmonization, the EPICv1 trauma study was lifted over to EPICv2 loci with sesame R package v1.20.0.[19] Each subtype epigenome-wide association study as well as their meta-analysis used M-values[24] and were assessed for inflation with a quantile-quantile plot and genomic control inflation factor. Because we did not identify systemic evidence of effect heterogeneity in a random effects meta-analysis, we conducted a fixed effects meta-analysis. We independently filtered low variance probes[25] defined by median absolute deviation less than 1% on the beta value scale across all three individual EWASs, yielding a primary analysis across 408,756 common EPICv2 loci. We performed rank-based gene set enrichment analysis of epigenome-wide association results to identify biological processes enriched among differential methylation results at loci annotated to or near promoters and of gene targets of loci located within cis-regulatory elements in a secondary analysis. Models were adjusted for sex, insurance, psychosocial risk score, cell composition, race, ethnicity, and surrogate variables. The fracture group analysis was only adjusted for sex, age, and surrogate variables because the fully adjusted model could not be fit due to a lack of support across additional covariates. Statistical significance was assessed at nominal p-value < 0.05 or false discovery rate adjusted[26] q < 0.25 for genome-wide association tests unless noted otherwise; we highlight those results that yield q < 0.10 as our most robust findings.

Annotation of meta-analysis results and gene set enrichment analysis

To establish gene-to-probe mapping, epigenome-wide association study results were annotated to the Illumina EPICv2 manifest v20a1.hg38. To identify biological gene ontologies

overrepresented among abuse-associated loci annotated near promoters (classified as within 200-1500 base pairs of the transcription start site, or within exon one or the 5' untranslated region), we performed gene set enrichment analysis[27] against pathways ranging from 20 to 1000 genes after adjusting p-values with robust rank aggregation[28] in methylGSA v1.20.0.[29] If a locus was annotated to multiple genes, we selected the most upstream feature as the annotated gene. For additional annotation information we consulted GencodeV47 [30] in the UCSC Genome Browser[31] (accessed January 2025) and the GeneHancer database (accessed October 20th, 2025) to annotate DNAm loci to cis-regulatory elements (CREs) and their target genes.[32] The same analysis pipeline was used to test CRE gene targets for biological process enrichment. If multiples genes mapped to different DNAm loci, we selected the locus with the lowest p-value in the primary analysis.

RESULTS

Study Samples and Demographics

In total, 175 patients were included: 61 with traumatic injuries (abuse=22, accident=39), 18 with fractures (abuse=7, accident=11), and 93 with TBI (abuse=20, accident=73). There were no consistent ($p < 0.05$) bivariate associations between abuse determination and sex, estimated epithelial cell proportion of buccal samples, race, or ethnicity for any study group (**Table 1**). We observed consistent associations between abuse determination and PRF scores in the traumatic injury and TBI groups, and between abuse determination and insurance status in all study groups. Within each study group, median PRF scores were approximately two points higher among patients with abusive compared to accidental injuries, though this difference did not meet statistical significance in the fracture group. Patients with abusive injuries were more likely to have public insurance or no insurance compared to patients with accidental injuries, who were more likely to have private insurance ($p < 0.05$). Age and abuse determination were strongly associated in the fracture group, with a median age of 2.52 months among patients with abusive injuries and 9.48 months among those with accidental injuries ($p = 0.007$).

To identify novel epigenetic DNA methylation changes associated with abuse in the context of different traumatic injuries, our initial epigenome-wide association analyses were stratified by study group: traumatic injuries, fractures, and TBI, as outlined below.

Traumatic Injuries Group EWAS

In the traumatic injuries group, after DNA methylation quality control procedures (**Supplementary Figure 1, Trauma group**), our sample included 61 patients up to four years of age (abuse=22, accidental=39), with analysis across 578,406 DNA methylation loci as measured on the EPICv1 microarray and lifted over to the EPICv2 microarray manifest. We imputed ethnicity for 1 observation and psychosocial risk score for 2 individuals. We performed an epigenome-wide association study by regressing site-specific DNA methylation M-values on abuse determination, adjusted for sex, age, psychosocial risk score, insurance status, estimated epithelial cell proportion, race, ethnicity, batch and 10 surrogate variables to account for unmeasured confounding (**Supplementary Table 1, Trauma group**). With a genomic inflation factor of 0.94, our results indicate that systematic bias was minimal, suggesting that population structure, technical artifacts, and other potential confounders were well-controlled in our analysis (**Supplementary Figure 2A**). No loci achieved epigenome-wide significance after false discovery rate adjustment ($q < 0.05$); however, 44 loci were nominally associated with abusive injury at $p < 0.0001$ (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Methylation at the top locus, cg13150171_BC11, was associated with a $\log_2$ fold-change of -0.59 95% CI (-0.83, -0.36) among abusive compared to accidental injuries; the average methylation rate decreased from 6.7% to 4.6% and annotated to exon 1 and 3' untranslated region (UTR) of Transcriptional Co-Activator 4, *CITED4*.

Despite the lack of a robust genome-wide signal, biological process gene set enrichment analysis of promoter-associated DNA methylation loci annotated to within 1500bp of a gene's transcription start site, exon 1, or 5' UTR revealed that the axonal growth cone pathway was overrepresented ($q < 0.05$), driven by over- and undermethylation at 15 loci annotated to *SCN11A*. An additional 11 pathways were enriched at $q < 0.10$, including endoplasmic reticulum stress,

upregulation of Rho protein signal transduction, chromosome localization, oligodendrocyte differentiation, and phospholipid biosynthesis. A further 30 pathways were significantly enriched at q < 0.25 (**Supplementary Table 2, Trauma group**).

Fracture Group EWAS

In the fracture group, after DNA methylation quality control procedures (**Supplementary Figure 1, Fracture group**), our sample included 18 individuals under one year of age (abuse=7, accident=11), analyzed across 737,068 loci on the EPICv2 microarray. We imputed race for one observation. We performed an epigenome-wide association study by regressing site-specific DNA methylation M-values on abuse determination, adjusted for sex, age, and three surrogate variables to account for unmeasured confounding (**Supplementary Table 1, Fracture group**). Our model was moderately inflated at a genomic inflation factor of 1.12 (**Supplementary Figure 2C**), and 114 loci were nominally associated with abusive fractures (**Supplementary Figure 5**). Methylation at the top locus, cg07239227_TC21, was associated with a $\log_2$ fold-change of -2.17 95% CI (-2.75, -1.58) (q = 0.28) among abusive compared to accidental fractures; the average methylation rate decreased from 96.0% to 84.0% and annotated to exon 2 of *ZNF579*.

EWAS results for abusive versus accidental fractures were enriched for 68 biological processes at q < 0.05 across a variety of domains including pathways highly relevant to fracture injuries such as osteoblast differentiation, megakaryocyte development, regulation of hemopoiesis, epithelial morphogenesis, and bone development. Other enriched pathways were related to altered immune responses, epigenetic regulation such as chromatin binding and histone kinase activity, and one-carbon metabolic processes, peptide responses, hormone responses, germ cell development, carbohydrate metabolic processes, non-coding RNA metabolic processes, and ensheathment of neurons. A further 124 pathways were enriched at q < 0.10, including ossification (q = 0.05) and regulation of bone remodeling (q = 0.06) (**Supplementary Table 2, Fracture group**).

TBI Group EWAS

In the TBI group, after DNA methylation quality control procedures (**Supplementary Figure 1, TBI group**) and exclusion of three accidental injuries missing psychosocial risk factor information, our sample included 93 individuals under one year of age (abuse=20, accident=73), analyzed across 737,068 loci on the EPICv2 microarray. We performed an epigenome-wide association study by regressing site-specific DNA methylation M-values on abuse determination, adjusted for sex, age, psychosocial risk score, insurance status, estimated epithelial cell proportion, race, ethnicity, and five surrogate variables to account for unmeasured confounding (**Supplementary Table 1, TBI group**). Our model was well-adjusted with a genomic inflation factor of 1.01 (**Supplementary Figure 2B**), and 70 loci were nominally associated with abuse determination at $p < 0.0001$ (**Supplementary Figure 4**) Methylation at the top locus cg02967813_TC21 was associated with a $\log_2$ fold-change of -0.79 95% CI (-1.06, -0.51) (q = 0.18) among children with abusive compared to accidental injuries; the average methylation rate decreased from 98.2% to 97.0% and annotated to intron 3 of *COLEC11*, a lectin involved in innate immunity, apoptosis, and neural crest migration.[33]

Enrichment analysis of differential methylation results in the TBI group identified 11 enriched biological pathways at q < 0.10 related to carboxylic acid transmembrane transporter activity, retrograde axonal transport, long-chain fatty-acyl-CoA metabolic process, cellular response to peptide hormone stimulus, G protein-coupled peptide receptor activity, and gamete generation. An additional 37 pathways were enriched at q < 0.25, including integrin activation, synapse organization, endochondral ossification, positive regulation of epidermis development, response to interleukin-4, and dendritic cell differentiation (**Supplementary Table 2, TBI group**).

EWAS Meta-Analysis

To identify a robust cross-traumatic injury epigenomic DNA methylation signal for abusive versus accidental injuries, we conducted a meta-analysis across all three groups. Because heterogeneity statistics were well-controlled with only 429 of 555,135 sites showing effect

heterogeneity (q < 0.05) in a random effects model, we present a fixed effects model. We independently filtered 146,379 low variance probes defined by median absolute deviation less than 1% on the beta value scale in all three individual EWASs, yielding a primary analysis across 408,756 common DNA methylation loci for 49 abusive and 123 accidental traumatic injuries (**Figure 1, Supplementary Table 3**). Inflation was well-controlled model at 0.99 before low variance filtering and was slightly inflated after filtering with a genomic inflation factor of 1.04 (**Supplementary Figure 2D**).

A total of 80 loci were differentially methylated at q < 0.25, and we identified 11 differentially methylated loci at q < 0.10 (**Table 2**). Effect magnitude and direction were generally consistent across individual EWASs and the meta-analytic estimate (**Figure 2**) among these 11 loci. Methylation at the top locus, cg24890736_BC21, was associated with a log2 fold-change of 0.47 95% CI (0.29, 0.65) (q = 0.09) compared to accidental injuries. The average methylation rate increased from 91.1% to 93.4% and annotated to an Alu short interspersed nuclear element repeat, potentially relevant for genomic stability. Methylation at the next hit, cg20544725_BC21, was higher with a $\log_2$ fold-change of 0.39 95% CI (0.24, 0.54) (q = 0.09) among abusive compared to accidental injuries. The average methylation rate increased from 87.0% to 90.0% and annotated to intron 1 of *ENSG00000295816*, an enhancer long non-coding RNA antisense to neuroblast differentiation-associated *AHNAK*. Other top differentially methylated loci mapped to *LAMP1*, a regulator of lysosomal function and autophagy,[34] as well as natural killer cytotoxicity,[35] and *CCL26*, a chemokine that recruits eosinophils and other immune cells.[36]

Biological process gene set enrichment analysis of near-promoter DNA methylation loci identified 5 highly enriched pathways (q < 0. 05) (**Figure 3**), including cranial skeletal system development, response to biotic stimulus and lipopolysaccharide, and DNA-binding transcription activator activity. Among the 147 pathways enriched at q < 0.25 (**Supplementary Table 4**), several biologically relevant themes emerged that may relate to injury mechanisms, development, and dysregulation of critical body systems. Neural and brain-related pathways were prominent, such as forebrain neuron generation, central nervous system neuron differentiation, axonemal dynein

complex, and structural constituent of synapse, together with neurotransmitter and neuropeptide (e.g., dopamine, catecholamine, monoamine) response pathways, potentially reflecting processes relevant to traumatic brain injury and neurodevelopment. Several epigenetic, chromatin, and DNA maintenance pathways were enriched, including histone acetyltransferase complexes (e.g., NuA4, H4/H2A), nucleosome organization and chromatin remodeling, heterochromatin organization, epigenetic regulation of gene expression, and genome stability processes such as DNA helicase activity and regulation of double-strand break repair. Enrichment of endocrinological pathways including endocrine hormone secretion, ligand-activated nuclear receptor activity, and growth-factor–mediated repair pathways related to epidermal and fibroblast growth factors underscores involvement of systems related to physiological stress, tissue damage, and repair. Broader developmental and child-growth pathways were also represented, including mesoderm development, connective tissue development, and regulation of cell growth. These themes were accompanied by strong signals in immune regulation, cell–cell adhesion and junction organization, and metabolic and redox responses.

DNA methylation is known to play a key role in regulating promoter and enhancer cis regulatory elements (CRE).[37] As a sensitivity analysis, we leveraged GeneHancer[32] double elite gene-CRE associations to annotate the differential methylation observed here to promoters and enhancers with experimental evidence suggesting they affect gene expression. This confirmed that cg20544725_BC21 was located in a CRE of *AHNAK1* and *SCGB1A1*, and that cg12943082_TC21 does, in fact, affect *CCL26* expression.

To systematically identify enriched biological process pathways among genes linked to DNA methylation loci within GeneHancer CRE, we next conducted a biological enrichment analysis using this annotation. This approach identified 151 total enriched pathways (**Supplementary Table 5**), 6 of which were shared with the initial enrichment analysis: forebrain neuron differentiation, innate immune response, cellular response to nitrogen compound, growth factor binding, and response to fibroblast growth factor. The top three enriched pathways ($q < 0.01$) involved small GTPase–mediated signaling and kinase regulation, including guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity, GTPase

regulation, and regulation of protein and receptor kinase activity, indicating altered control of intracellular signaling dynamics. Of remaining results (q < 0.25), results suggested broad intersection with injury mechanism and core pediatric development beyond proximal injury including negative regulation of bone morphogenic protein signaling pathway, response to ischemia, post-embryonic development, adipose tissue development,

regulation of anatomical structure morphogenesis, smooth muscle tissue development, growth factor binding, sarcolemma, adrenal gland development, amelogenesis, brush border, and renal system process. Multiple pathways reflected neurodevelopmental and glial processes, including forebrain neuron differentiation, oligodendrocyte development, neurotrophin signaling, and regulation of synapse and neuron projection organization. Additional prominent pathways related to vesicular trafficking and lysosomal–endosomal function were strongly represented, encompassing endocytosis, receptor-mediated endocytosis, endosome–lysosome compartments, membrane coat complexes, and phagosome maturation, consistent with differences in intracellular transport and cellular clearance processes. Enrichment of cytoskeletal organization, cell polarity, and adhesion-dependent cell spreading—including actin filament dynamics and lamellipodium assembly—suggests altered structural remodeling and cell motility. Additional themes included metabolic and endocrine signaling (insulin, peptide hormone, steroid and retinoid binding), mitochondrial organization and apoptotic signaling, and immune regulation involving macrophage differentiation and MHC binding.

DISCUSSION

In this EWAS meta-analysis of buccal cell samples prospectively collected from 175 children <4 years of age with traumatic injuries, fractures, and TBI, we identified 80 DNAm loci associated (q < 0.25) with abuse determination. Absolute average methylation rates at the top three meta-analysis loci were increased by 2.31%, 2.77%, and -13.50% among abusive compared to accidental injuries, representing fold changes of 1.39, 1.31, and 0.54, respectively, and annotated to regions of the genome associated with the expression and regulation of *AHNAK, SCGB1A1*, and an Alu element. Among other top hits at q < 0.10 *CCL26*, *LAMP1*, and *MIATNB* were additionally implicated. Gene set

enrichment analyses at near-promoter or exonic regions identified numerous biological processes overrepresented in children with abusive compared to accidental injuries, including those pertaining to cranial skeletal system and connective tissue development, neural structure and function, immune regulation, gene expression regulation, and metabolism. Enrichment analysis of DNAm loci located in cis-regulatory elements implicated various pathways relevant to post-embryonic tissue development, neuronal and glial development, neurotrophic, metabolic, and apoptotic signaling, and immune regulation. Encompassing a diverse array of target tissues throughout the body -- some specific to the traumatic injury itself and others indicative of more systemic changes to post-embryonic development, transcriptional and immune regulation, metabolism, and neurodevelopment -- these responses underscore the clinical utility of buccal cells as an informative and accessible surrogate tissue for epigenome-wide association studies.[38]

Our findings are consistent with multiple prior studies revealing child maltreatment to be associated with altered patterns of DNAm that may subsequently serve as mechanisms of disease.[7] Prior investigations into the biological effects of child maltreatment focused on non-clinical samples of older children and adults have identified and prioritized further investigation of candidate genes functionally relevant to the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, such as *NR3C1*, *FKBP5*, *POMC*, the *CRH* family, *SLC6A4,* and the serotonin system.[7,8,10,39,40] We observed only nominal associations ($p < 0.001-0.05$) at HPA- and serotonin-related loci in a study sample of those less than four years old. The use of the GeneHancer annotation was able to identify cg00277048_BC21 in a CRE of *POMC*, but narrowly missed our $q < 0.25$ significance cutoff. However, it is possible that robust differential methylation at HPA-related genes is tissue-specific[41] or occurs only at older ages than studied here in response to longer-lived maltreatment with additional neurodevelopment, a pattern observed with *OXT* DNAm.[42]

Adopting a broad approach to examine methylation across the entire genome, this EWAS identified key differences in DNAm at multiple loci that have not previously been studied as candidate genes and appear novel to the child maltreatment literature. First among these was annotated to an

Alu element. Alu elements are the most abundant SINEs in humans and represent non-autonomous retrotransposons that require L1-retrotransposon machinery to function. A wealth of evidence has demonstrated that Alu elements modulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level via multiple mechanisms, contributing significantly to the evolution of the human genome.[43] Alu methylation is particularly dynamic during development, throughout aging, and in the setting of cancer.[44] Typically, Alu elements are characterized by hypermethylation to repress retrotransposition, and hypomethylation of Alu elements has been associated with tumorigenesis.[45] The significance of increased methylation at this particular Alu in our study remains unclear but may be an adaptive or stress response to ensure protein-coding transcript integrity or genomic stability. Notably, a serum-based case-control study of post-traumatic stress disorder in US service members deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan identified increased methylation at four Alu elements in cases compared to pre-deployment controls.[46] Another study identified an association between increased oxidative stress and increased methylation of Alu elements in blood leukocytes relative to healthy controls as well as in musculoskeletal tumors relative to surrounding tissues.[47]

Our second most significant hit was annotated to intron 1 of *ENSG00000295816*, an enhancer long non-coding RNA antisense to anchor holding nucleoprotein associated with kinase (*AHNAK*), the gene coding for a large, three-domain scaffold protein expressed in a wide range of tissues including epithelial cells, muscle cells, and neurons. *AHNAK* has been implicated in many disparate processes such as cell structure and migration, cell-cell anchoring, blood-brain barrier formation, cardiac calcium channel regulation, tumor suppression, immune function, and DNA repair.[48] This locus also serves as a CRE for *SCGB1A1*, an anti-inflammatory protein implicated in the pathophysiology of asthma.[49] Our third key finding was annotated to intron 6 of *PTPRN2,* thought to be involved with insulin and neuron signaling and whose differential methylation in intron 2 or copy number variation has been implicated in childhood obesity[50] or child neurodevelopmental disorders,[51] respectively.

Another differentially methylated locus, cg16000386_BC21, mapped to a short interspersed nuclear element (SINE) repeat on the negative strand antisense to long non-coding RNA myocardial

infarction-associated transcript neighbor (*MIATNB*). Though relatively less studied, *MIATNB* has been associated with the pathogenesis of dry eye disease and rheumatoid arthritis, the HIV-1 reservoir in CD4+ T-cells, susceptibility to febrile enteric bacterial infections, development of gestational diabetes, aging of alveolar macrophages, and prognosis of renal cell carcinoma.[52-58] We also observed differential methylation at cg09283750_TC21, located with 2500bp of *FAM135B*'s transcription start site. A previous study identified an association between maternal experience of emotional abuse and offspring cord blood differential methylation at cg05486260, located in intron 9 of *FAM135B,* on the Illumina 450k DNAm microarray, but we failed to replicate this finding in our study (q = 0.97).[59] Other top hits mapped to the exon 5 of *LAMP1*, an essential component in lytic granule function for natural killer cell cytotoxicity[60]; to an enhancer within 1500bp of the transcription start site of *CCL26,* a cytokine that recruits eosinophils and basophils[61]; and lncRNA antisense to *GZMK*, an initiator of the complement cascade in inflamed tissues.[62] Together this triad, along with enrichment of immune-related pathways, strongly suggests differential methylation plays a key role immune regulation for those with abusive injuries. Epigenetic dysregulation of *LAMP1* may have particularly deleterious consequences on neurodevelopment as elevated LAMP1 mRNA and protein blood levels have previously been associated with autism spectrum disorder in young children.[63]

We found multiple biological processes to be enriched among promoter-associated methylation loci for abusive as compared to accidental injuries. Several of the identified pathways involve structural and functional changes to tissues directly affected by the physical injuries studied, including cranial skeletal system development, connective tissue development, and neurogenesis pathways. By additionally leveraging the GeneHancer database to annotate DNAm loci to CREs, we sharpened our ability to identify functional epigenetic changes and tested for biological pathway enrichment among their target genes. GTPase-related cell signaling, forebrain neuron differentiation, innate immune response, and growth factor binding were the among the shared enriched pathways using both approaches. Other overrepresented pathways were relevant to long-term disease processes such as epigenetic regulation and endocrine and growth signaling that have been similarly

overrepresented among individuals reporting early experiences of physical abuse. Previous studies have linked childhood maltreatment and other early adversities to chronic medical conditions spanning multiple organ systems, from mental illness to cardiovascular and autoimmune diseases, diabetes, cancer, and even premature mortality.[2,4] Though few studies to date have examined the epigenetic mechanisms underlying this association, and rarely in young children with abusive injuries,[7,64,65] our findings build upon earlier work to suggest a direct link between physical abuse and epigenetic responses related to central nervous system development, cytoskeletal pathways and apoptosis, cardiovascular function, immune responses and inflammatory signaling, regulation of gene expression, and cell biosynthesis and metabolism. Future large, prospective studies are needed to further characterize the role and directionality of these epigenetically-altered pathways in functional disease processes associated with early childhood abuse.

Our findings should be interpreted within the context of the study's limitations. This meta-analysis incorporates DNAm data from three observational studies enrolling children of varying ages with different types of traumatic injury. Though not all-encompassing, this distribution reflects the typical diversity of age and injury presentations along the spectrum of physical abuse commonly encountered in clinical practice, and we observed little evidence of meta-analytic heterogeneity. However, these findings may not be generalizable to children with other forms of maltreatment. In all three studies, DNAm was measured at a single time point, raising the possibility that observed differences were driven by exposures or experiences preceding the abusive injuries themselves or as an adaptation to maltreatment. Future studies with longitudinal follow-up are needed to clarify the time course of methylation differences, including when they are first established and whether they persist with aging, development, and changes in the child's environment. Importantly, DNA methylation is only one form of epigenetic regulation; others include histone modification, chromatin remodeling, and non-coding RNA-associated gene silencing.[66] Though more complex and cost-prohibitive on the scale of our prior studies, experimental methods characterizing these

complementary mechanisms may yield additional insights into the biological embedding of early traumatic experiences.

Overall, our results suggest that child physical abuse may not only affect proximal injury responses such as cranial skeletal system development, connective tissue development, and several neuron-related pathways, but also have lasting systemic effects on transcriptional and immune regulation, cell signaling, metabolism, and neurodevelopment. These findings underscore a critical need to improve our understanding of the biological pathways that translate early adversity into long-term health consequences. Further investigation of the role of epigenetic modifications and interactive bioregulatory pathways that shape lifelong trajectories of health and disease can ameliorate pediatric morbidity and mortality from child maltreatment and improve health outcomes among young children with abusive as well as accidental injuries.

Declarations

Acronyms

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid

TBI: traumatic brain injury

TSS200: within 200 base pairs of a transcription start site

Data availability statement

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Code to produce the analyses in this manuscript are available through GitHub (https://github.com/...).

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants who provided biospecimens for this study. We also thank the Core, including Managing Director who assisted in microarray study design, and the Core. This research was supported by the Stanley Manne Research Center of Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago Visionary Award and Fellow Research Scholar Award, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's

Disclosure of interest

The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

Author contributions statement

Kyle Campbell: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Visualization

Audrey Raut: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Collection, Sample Collection, Investigation, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Original Draft, Project Management

Kelsey Julian: Project Management, Writing – Review and Editing

Kim Kaczor: Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review and Editing

Kathi Makoroff: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review and Editing

Todd M. Everson: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Funding Acquisition, Data Curation, Writing – Review and Editing, Resources, Supervision

Mary Clyde Pierce: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Collection, Sample Collection, Investigation, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision

Figures and Tables

Figure 1 Volcano plot summarizing the differentially methylated loci in the epigenome-wide association study meta-analysis of abusive versus accidental traumatic injuries.

Figure 2 Forest plot summarizing group-specific effect estimate and the overall meta-analytic estimate for the 11 top differentially methylated loci in the epigenome-wide association study meta-analysis of abusive versus accidental traumatic injuries.

Figure 3 Top enriched biological process pathways in the epigenome-wide association study meta-analysis of abusive versus accidental traumatic injuries.

Table 1 Demographic and covariate distributions stratified by subgroup and compared by exposure status.

Characteristic	Pilot group			Traumatic brain injuries			Fractures		
	Non-abuse N = 39[1]	Abuse N = 22[1]	p-value[2]	Non-abuse N = 73[1]	Abuse N = 20[1]	p-value[2]	Non-abuse N = 11[1]	Abuse N = 7[1]	p-value[3]
Sex			0.068			0.5			>0.9
Female	16 (41%)	4 (18%)		31 (42%)	10 (50%)		5 (45%)	3 (43%)	
Male	23 (59%)	18 (82%)		42 (58%)	10 (50%)		6 (55%)	4 (57%)	
Age	0.80 (0.19, 2.12)	0.42 (0.18, 0.80)	0.2	0.33 (0.11, 0.55)	0.38 (0.22, 0.60)	0.3	0.79 (0.59, 0.86)	0.21 (0.14, 0.62)	0.007
Psychosocial risk score	0.00 (0.00, 1.00)	2.50 (0.00, 3.00)	<0.001	0.00 (0.00, 1.00)	2.00 (0.50, 3.00)	<0.001	1.00 (1.00, 2.00)	3.00 (1.00, 5.00)	0.2

Characteristic	Pilot group Non-abuse N = 39[1]	Abuse N = 22[1]	p-value[2]	Traumatic brain injuries Non-abuse N = 73[1]	Abuse N = 20[1]	p-value[2]	Fractures Non-abuse N = 11[1]	Abuse N = 7[1]	p-value[3]
Insurance			0.004			0.004			0.050
None or public	15 (38%)	17 (77%)		28 (38%)	15 (75%)		3 (27%)	6 (86%)	
Private	24 (62%)	5 (23%)		45 (62%)	5 (25%)		8 (73%)	1 (14%)	
Epithelial cells	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.6	1.0000 (1.0000, 1.0000)	1.0000 (1.0000, 1.0000)	0.6	1.000 (1.000, 1.000)	1.000 (1.000, 1.000)	0.3
Race			0.6			0.2			0.5
White	26 (67%)	17 (77%)		36 (49%)	6 (30%)		7 (64%)	3 (43%)	
Non-white	13 (33%)	5 (23%)		34 (47%)	14 (70%)		3 (27%)	4 (57%)	
Declined, unknown, or	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		3 (4.1%)	0 (0%)		1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	

Characteristic	Pilot group			Traumatic brain injuries			Fractures		
	Non-abuse N = 39[1]	Abuse N = 22[1]	p-value[2]	Non-abuse N = 73[1]	Abuse N = 20[1]	p-value[2]	Non-abuse N = 11[1]	Abuse N = 7[1]	p-value[3]
not documented									
Ethnicity			0.030			0.8			0.14
Hispanic	10 (26%)	12 (55%)		21 (29%)	6 (30%)		2 (18%)	4 (57%)	
Non-Hispanic	29 (74%)	10 (45%)		50 (68%)	13 (65%)		9 (82%)	3 (43%)	
Declined, unknown, or not documented	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		2 (2.7%)	1 (5.0%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	

[1] n (%); Median (Q1, Q3)

[2] Pearson's Chi-squared test; Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fisher's exact test

[3] Fisher's exact test; Wilcoxon rank sum test

Table 2. Top 11 meta-analytic EWAS hits that meet false discovery rate-adjusted q-value of 0.10.

Probe ID	p-value	Average Beta Value (%)	Effect size (Beta Value)	Biological Significance	Gene	Gene element
cg24890736_BC21	3.21E-07	0.911	0.023	Alu SINE, genomic stability	N/A	N/A
cg20544725_BC21	4.39E-07	0.870	0.028	AHNAK/SCGB1A1 enhancer, neurodifferentiation	ENSG00000295816	Intron 1
cg23704413_BC21	9.08E-07	0.737	-0.135	PTPRN2 is a neuroendrocine gene	PTPRN2	Intron 6
cg21088783_BC21	1.14E-06	0.384	-0.049	Predicted cis-regulatory element	ENSG00000298479	Intron 1
cg12943082_TC21	1.39E-06	0.863	0.043	CCL26 enhancer, immune cell recruiter	CCL26	TSS1500
cg00353776_TC21	1.66E-06	0.945	-0.020	lncRNA antisense to GZMK	ENSG00000240535	Intron 2
cg09181103_BC21	1.71E-06	0.969	-0.011	lysosome, autophagy, natural killer cytotoxicity	LAMP1	Exon 5
cg16000386_BC21	1.72E-06	0.585	0.084	SINE near myocardial infarction ncRNA	MIATNB	Intron 11
cg12651389_BC21	2.03E-06	0.960	-0.013	Unknown ncRNA	ENSG00000229771	Intron 5
cg09283750_TC21	2.18E-06	0.948	-0.014	FAM135B, previously associated with emotional abuse	FAM135B	TSS2500
cg17480466_TC21	2.63E-06	0.980	0.006	RGS7, neuron-expressed regulator of GPCRs	RGS7	Intron 10

Supplementary Figures and Table

Supplementary Figure 1. Overview of quality control procedures presented as a flow chart for each study sample. Sample-level quality control exclusions painted in orange. DNA methylation site-specific exclusions painted in blue. Final analytic sample after exclusion of low predicted epithelial cell origin painted in blue.

Bibliography

1.   The Children's Bureau AfCF. *Child Maltreatment 2023*. 2025.
https://acf.gov/sites/default/files/documents/cb/cm2023.pdf

2.   Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of C, et al. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*. Jan 2012;129(1):e232-46. doi:10.1542/peds.2011-2663

3.   Provencal N, Binder EB. The effects of early life stress on the epigenome: From the womb to adulthood and even before. *Exp Neurol*. Jun 2015;268:10-20. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.09.001

4.   Strathearn L, Giannotti M, Mills R, Kisely S, Najman J, Abajobir A. Long-term Cognitive, Psychological, and Health Outcomes Associated With Child Abuse and Neglect. *Pediatrics*. Oct 2020;146(4)doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0438

5.   Mehta D, Kelly AB, Laurens KR, et al. Child Maltreatment and Long-Term Physical and Mental Health Outcomes: An Exploration of Biopsychosocial Determinants and Implications for Prevention. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev*. Apr 2023;54(2):421-435. doi:10.1007/s10578-021-01258-8

6.   Nothling J, Malan-Muller S, Abrahams N, Hemmings SMJ, Seedat S. Epigenetic alterations associated with childhood trauma and adult mental health outcomes: A systematic review. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. Sep 2020;21(7):493-512. doi:10.1080/15622975.2019.1583369

7.   Parade SH, Huffhines L, Daniels TE, Stroud LR, Nugent NR, Tyrka AR. A systematic review of childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation: candidate gene and epigenome-wide approaches. *Transl Psychiatry*. Feb 19 2021;11(1):134. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01207-y

8.   Wadji DL, Tandon T, Ketcha Wanda GJM, et al. Child maltreatment and NR3C1 exon 1(F) methylation, link with deregulated hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis and psychopathology: A systematic review. *Child Abuse Negl*. Dec 2021;122:105304. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2021.105304

9.   Everson TM, Kaczor K, Makoroff K, et al. Epigenetic differences in stress response gene FKBP5 among children with abusive vs accidental injuries. *Pediatr Res*. Jul 2023;94(1):193-199. doi:10.1038/s41390-022-02441-w

10.   Jiang S, Postovit L, Cattaneo A, Binder EB, Aitchison KJ. Epigenetic Modifications in Stress Response Genes Associated With Childhood Trauma. *Front Psychiatry*. 2019;10:808. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00808

11.   Neigh GN, Gillespie CF, Nemeroff CB. The neurobiological toll of child abuse and neglect. *Trauma Violence Abuse*. Oct 2009;10(4):389-410. doi:10.1177/1524838009339758

12.   Young A, Conway, M., Hickey, S., & Pierce, M.C. The Art and Science of the Psychosocial Assessment. *Clinical Pediatric Emergency Medicine*. 2020;21(3):100792. doi:10.1016/j.cpem.2020.100792

13.   Lorenz DJ, Pierce MC, Kaczor K, et al. Classifying Injuries in Young Children as Abusive or Accidental: Reliability and Accuracy of an Expert Panel Approach. *J Pediatr*. Jul 2018;198:144-150 e4. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2018.01.033

14.   Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, et al. Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. *Bioinformatics*. May 15 2014;30(10):1363-9. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu049

15.   Heiss JA, Just AC. Identifying mislabeled and contaminated DNA methylation microarray data: an extended quality control toolset with examples from GEO. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2018;10:73. doi:10.1186/s13148-018-0504-1

16.   Kaur D, Lee SM, Goldberg D, et al. Comprehensive Evaluation of The Infinium Human MethylationEPIC v2 BeadChip. *Epigenetics Commun*. 2023;3(1)doi:10.1186/s43682-023-00021-5

17.   Teschendorff AE, Marabita F, Lechner M, et al. A beta-mixture quantile normalization method for correcting probe design bias in Illumina Infinium 450 k DNA methylation data. *Bioinformatics*. Jan 15 2013;29(2):189-96. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts680

18.   Tian Y, Morris TJ, Webster AP, et al. ChAMP: updated methylation analysis pipeline for Illumina BeadChips. *Bioinformatics*. Dec 15 2017;33(24):3982-3984. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx513

19.   Triche TJ, Jr., Weisenberger DJ, Van Den Berg D, Laird PW, Siegmund KD. Low-level processing of Illumina Infinium DNA Methylation BeadArrays. *Nucleic Acids Res*. Apr 2013;41(7):e90. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt090

20.   Teschendorff AE, Zheng SC. Cell-type deconvolution in epigenome-wide association studies: a review and recommendations. *Epigenomics*. May 2017;9(5):757-768. doi:10.2217/epi-2016-0153

21.   Middleton LYM, Dou J, Fisher J, et al. Saliva cell type DNA methylation reference panel for epidemiological studies in children. *Epigenetics*. Jan-Feb 2022;17(2):161-177. doi:10.1080/15592294.2021.1890874

22.   Leek JT, Storey JD. Capturing heterogeneity in gene expression studies by surrogate variable analysis. *PLoS Genet*. Sep 2007;3(9):1724-35. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030161

23.   Smyth GK. limma: Linear Models for Microarray Data. In: Gentleman R, Carey, V.J., Huber W., et al., ed. *Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Solutions Using R and Bioconductor*. Springer; 2005:397-420.

24.   Du P, Zhang X, Huang CC, et al. Comparison of Beta-value and M-value methods for quantifying methylation levels by microarray analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*. Nov 30 2010;11:587. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-587

25.   Bourgon R, Gentleman R, Huber W. Independent filtering increases detection power for high-throughput experiments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. May 25 2010;107(21):9546-51. doi:10.1073/pnas.0914005107

26.   Benjamini Y, Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society; Series B (Methodological)*. 1995;57:289-300. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

27.   Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Oct 25 2005;102(43):15545-50. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102

28.   Kolde R, Laur S, Adler P, Vilo J. Robust rank aggregation for gene list integration and meta-analysis. *Bioinformatics*. Feb 15 2012;28(4):573-80. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr709

29.   Ren X, Kuan PF. methylGSA: a Bioconductor package and Shiny app for DNA methylation data length bias adjustment in gene set testing. *Bioinformatics*. Jun 1 2019;35(11):1958-1959. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty892

30.   Mudge JM, Carbonell-Sala S, Diekhans M, et al. GENCODE 2025: reference gene annotation for human and mouse. *Nucleic Acids Res*. Jan 6 2025;53(D1):D966-D975. doi:10.1093/nar/gkae1078

31.   Perez G, Barber GP, Benet-Pages A, et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2025 update. *Nucleic Acids Res*. Jan 6 2025;53(D1):D1243-D1249. doi:10.1093/nar/gkae974

32.   Fishilevich S, Nudel R, Rappaport N, et al. GeneHancer: genome-wide integration of enhancers and target genes in GeneCards. *Database (Oxford)*. Jan 1 2017;2017doi:10.1093/database/bax028

33.   Venkatraman Girija U, Furze CM, Gingras AR, et al. Molecular basis of sugar recognition by collectin-K1 and the effects of mutations associated with 3MC syndrome. *BMC Biol*. Apr 17 2015;13:27. doi:10.1186/s12915-015-0136-2

34.   Zhang J, Zeng W, Han Y, Lee WR, Liou J, Jiang Y. Lysosomal LAMP proteins regulate lysosomal pH by direct inhibition of the TMEM175 channel. *Mol Cell*. Jul 20 2023;83(14):2524-2539 e7. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2023.06.004

35.   Peters PJ, Borst J, Oorschot V, et al. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte granules are secretory lysosomes, containing both perforin and granzymes. *J Exp Med*. May 1 1991;173(5):1099-109. doi:10.1084/jem.173.5.1099

36.   Shinkai A, Yoshisue H, Koike M, et al. A novel human CC chemokine, eotaxin-3, which is expressed in IL-4-stimulated vascular endothelial cells, exhibits potent activity toward eosinophils. *J Immunol*. Aug 1 1999;163(3):1602-10.

37.   Angeloni A, Bogdanovic O. Enhancer DNA methylation: implications for gene regulation. *Essays Biochem*. Dec 20 2019;63(6):707-715. doi:10.1042/EBC20190030

38.   Lowe R, Gemma C, Beyan H, et al. Buccals are likely to be a more informative surrogate tissue than blood for epigenome-wide association studies. *Epigenetics*. Apr 2013;8(4):445-54. doi:10.4161/epi.24362

39.   Parade SH, Novick AM, Parent J, et al. Stress exposure and psychopathology alter methylation of the serotonin receptor 2A (HTR2A) gene in preschoolers. *Dev Psychopathol*. Dec 2017;29(5):1619-1626. doi:10.1017/S0954579417001274

40.   Perroud N, Zewdie S, Stenz L, et al. Methylation of Serotonin Receptor 3a in Adhd, Borderline Personality, and Bipolar Disorders: Link with Severity of the Disorders and Childhood Maltreatment. *Depress Anxiety*. Jan 2016;33(1):45-55. doi:10.1002/da.22406

41.   Hecker T, Radtke KM, Hermenau K, Papassotiropoulos A, Elbert T. Associations among child abuse, mental health, and epigenetic modifications in the proopiomelanocortin gene (POMC): A study with children in Tanzania. *Dev Psychopathol*. Nov 2016;28(4pt2):1401-1412. doi:10.1017/S0954579415001248

42.   Nishitani S, Fujisawa TX, Hiraoka D, et al. A multi-modal MRI analysis of brain structure and function in relation to OXT methylation in maltreated children and adolescents. *Transl Psychiatry*. Nov 18 2021;11(1):589. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01714-y

43.   Hasler J, Strub K. Alu elements as regulators of gene expression. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2006;34(19):5491-7. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl706

44.   Luo Y, Lu X, Xie H. Dynamic Alu methylation during normal development, aging, and tumorigenesis. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:784706. doi:10.1155/2014/784706

45.   Bakshi A, Ekram MB, Kim J. Locus-specific DNA methylation analysis of retrotransposons in ES, somatic and cancer cells using High-Throughput Targeted Repeat Element Bisulfite Sequencing. *Genom Data*. Mar 1 2015;3:87-89. doi:10.1016/j.gdata.2014.11.013

46.   Rusiecki JA, Chen L, Srikantan V, et al. DNA methylation in repetitive elements and post-traumatic stress disorder: a case-control study of US military service members. *Epigenomics*. Feb 2012;4(1):29-40. doi:10.2217/epi.11.116

47.   Woraruthai T, Charoenlap C, Hongsaprabhas C, Mutirangura A, Honsawek S. Alu hypermethylation and high oxidative stress in patients with musculoskeletal tumors. *PeerJ*. 2018;6:e5492. doi:10.7717/peerj.5492

48.   Davis TA, Loos B, Engelbrecht AM. AHNAK: the giant jack of all trades. *Cell Signal*. Dec 2014;26(12):2683-93. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2014.08.017

49.   Laing IA, de Klerk NH, Turner SW, et al. Cross-sectional and longitudinal association of the secretoglobin 1A1 gene A38G polymorphism with asthma phenotype in the Perth Infant Asthma Follow-up cohort. *Clin Exp Allergy*. Jan 2009;39(1):62-71. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.03102.x

50.   Lee S. The association of genetically controlled CpG methylation (cg158269415) of protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type N2 (PTPRN2) with childhood obesity. *Sci Rep*. Mar 19 2019;9(1):4855. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40486-w

51.   Mosca SJ, Langevin LM, Dewey D, et al. Copy-number variations are enriched for neurodevelopmental genes in children with developmental coordination disorder. *J Med Genet*. Dec 2016;53(12):812-819. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2016-103818

52.   Pan X, Yang K, Li J, et al. Long Noncoding RNA MIATNB Regulates Hyperosmotic Stress-induced Corneal Epithelial Cell Injury by Inhibiting Autophagy in Dry Eye Disease. *Curr Eye Res*. Sep 2023;48(9):805-816. doi:10.1080/02713683.2023.2222237

53.   Dolcino M, Tinazzi E, Puccetti A, Lunardi C. Long Non-Coding RNAs Target Pathogenetically Relevant Genes and Pathways in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Cells*. Aug 2 2019;8(8)doi:10.3390/cells8080816

54.   Xu K, Zhang X, Asam K, et al. Aberrant DNA methylation of genes regulating CD4+ T cell HIV-1 reservoir in women with HIV. *Clin Transl Med*. Mar 2025;15(3):e70267. doi:10.1002/ctm2.70267

55.   Barton A, Hill J, Bibi S, et al. Genetic Susceptibility to Enteric Fever in Experimentally Challenged Human Volunteers. *Infect Immun*. Apr 21 2022;90(4):e0038921. doi:10.1128/iai.00389-21

56.   Wang WJ, Huang R, Zheng T, et al. Genome-Wide Placental Gene Methylations in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Fetal Growth and Metabolic Health Biomarkers in Cord Blood. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2022;13:875180. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022.875180

57.   Jia M, Agudelo Garcia PA, Ovando-Ricardez JA, et al. Transcriptional changes of the aging lung. *Aging Cell*. Oct 2023;22(10):e13969. doi:10.1111/acel.13969

58.   Zhu T, Fu H, Wang Z, Guo S, Zhang S. Identification of exosomal ceRNA networks as prognostic markers in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Oct 25 2024;103(43):e40167. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000040167

59.   Collender P, Bozack AK, Veazie S, et al. Maternal adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and DNA methylation of newborns in cord blood. *Clin Epigenetics*. Oct 16 2023;15(1):162. doi:10.1186/s13148-023-01581-y

60.   Krzewski K, Gil-Krzewska A, Nguyen V, Peruzzi G, Coligan JE. LAMP1/CD107a is required for efficient perforin delivery to lytic granules and NK-cell cytotoxicity. *Blood*. Jun 6 2013;121(23):4672-83. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-08-453738

61.   Davis BP, Rothenberg ME. Mechanisms of Disease of Eosinophilic Esophagitis. *Annu Rev Pathol*. May 23 2016;11:365-93. doi:10.1146/annurev-pathol-012615-044241

62.   Donado CA, Theisen E, Zhang F, et al. Granzyme K activates the entire complement cascade. *Nature*. May 2025;641(8061):211-221. doi:10.1038/s41586-025-08713-9

63.   Deng S, Feng X, Yang M, et al. LAMP1 as a novel molecular biomarker to predict the prognosis of the children with autism spectrum disorder using bioinformatics approaches. *Sci Rep*. Aug 28 2023;13(1):14040. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-40617-4

64.   Chen MA, LeRoy AS, Majd M, et al. Immune and Epigenetic Pathways Linking Childhood Adversity and Health Across the Lifespan. *Front Psychol*. 2021;12:788351. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.788351

65.   Gershon NB, High PC. Epigenetics and child abuse: Modern-day Darwinism--The miraculous ability of the human genome to adapt, and then adapt again. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*. Dec 2015;169(4):353-60. doi:10.1002/ajmg.c.31467

66.   Wu YL, Lin ZJ, Li CC, et al. Epigenetic regulation in metabolic diseases: mechanisms and advances in clinical study. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. Mar 2 2023;8(1):98. doi:10.1038/s41392-023-01333-7

TBI EWAS Volcano Plot

Fracture EWAS Volcano Plot

Trauma EWAS Volcano Plot