Assessing causal relationships between oral microbiota and Periodontitis: evidence from Mendelian randomization analysis

Zi-feng Wei[1*](First author, Corresponding author), Jia-pei Wuzhang[1], Yi-ting Huang[1]

[1]*Department of Clinical Medicine, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China*

*Corresponding author: Zi-Feng Wei, Department of Clinical Medicine, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100069, China.

Pheno: +86-178-01176594

Email: w2004zfw2004zf@mail.ccmu.edu.cn; w2004zf@qq.com

Keywords: Oral microbiome; Periodontitis; Mendelian randomization; Causal relationship

Assessing causal relationships between oral microbiota and Periodontitis: evidence from Mendelian randomization analysis

Abstract

Objective: This study investigates potential causal relationships between the oral microbiome and periodontitis in East Asian populations, aiming to identify microbial taxa involved in disease pathogenesis.

Methods: We performed a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis using genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics for tongue dorsum and salivary microbiomes alongside periodontitis data in East Asian populations. Causal estimates were primarily obtained using the inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method, with MR-Egger, weighted median, weighted mode, and simple mode approaches serving as complementary analyses. Sensitivity analyses, including Cochran's Q test, MR-Egger intercept test, MR-PRESSO, and Steiger filtering, were conducted to assess heterogeneity, horizontal pleiotropy, and reverse causality.

Results: At species-level resolution, 60 microbial taxa exhibited evidence of causal association with periodontitis. Of these, 29 were negatively associated and 31 showed a positive association. These taxa were predominantly enriched in the genera *Campylobacter_A*, *Pauljensenia*, *Solobacterium*, and *Streptococcus*.

Conclusion: This study provides preliminary genetic evidence for causal links between specific species-level oral microbial taxa and periodontitis. Given the limited sample size, these findings should be interpreted as hypothesis-generating and require confirmation in larger independent cohorts.

Keywords: oral microbiome; periodontitis; causal inference; Mendelian randomization; East Asian population

1. Introduction

Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory disease initiated by dental plaque biofilms. It represents the leading cause of tooth loss in adults and contributes to the etiology of multiple systemic conditions [1]. Dysbiosis of the oral microbiome is considered a central driver of periodontitis pathogenesis. While observational studies have consistently correlated specific microbial abundances with disease status, such associations are susceptible to environmental confounding and reverse causation, impeding definitive causal interpretation.

MR offers a robust framework to strengthen causal inference by leveraging genetic variants as instrumental variables (IVs). Recent GWASs of the oral microbiome in East Asian populations have identified robust genetic instruments for microbial taxa. However, GWAS data for periodontitis in East Asian ancestry remain limited in sample size. The primary publicly available dataset is derived from East Asian immigrants in the United States, which introduces potential concerns regarding environmental confounding and generalizability.

Notably, published MR studies on oral microbiota and periodontitis have inappropriately paired East Asian exposure data with Finnish-ancestry outcome data. According to the STROBE-MR guidelines, such cross-ancestry designs violate the "same underlying population" assumption of two-sample MR, introducing attenuation bias that underestimates the true causal effects [2, 3]. This concern has been corroborated by multiple genetic studies [4-7].

In this context, we conducted an exploratory two-sample MR analysis to investigate whether genetically predicted abundances of oral microbial taxa causally influence periodontitis risk in East Asians. Given the modest case count in the outcome GWAS, our findings warrant cautious interpretation.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design and Data Sources

This study adhered to the STROBE-MR guidelines [2, 3]. We employed a two-sample MR framework utilizing summary statistics from GWASs of East Asian

populations, covering 3,117 oral microbiome traits and periodontitis (Figure 1). The analysis was grounded in the three core assumptions of MR: (1) the genetic variants are robustly associated with the exposure (oral microbiota); (2) the variants are not associated with confounders of the exposure-outcome relationship; and (3) the variants influence periodontitis solely through the oral microbiome.

Oral microbiome data were obtained from a large-scale GWAS available in CNGBdb (CNP0001664), which included 2,017 tongue dorsum samples and 1,915 salivary samples from healthy Chinese individuals [8]. Periodontitis data were sourced from the GWAS Catalog (GCST90478258), comprising 703 cases and 5,762 controls of East Asian ancestry residing in the United States [9, 10].

2.2. Instrumental Variable Selection

IVs were selected based on the following criteria: (1) Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with each oral microbial trait were identified at a genome-wide significance threshold of $p < 1\times10^{-5}$; (2) Only SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.01 were retained; (3) To ensure independence, SNPs were clumped to remove linkage disequilibrium (LD) using a threshold of $r^2 < 0.001$ within a 10,000 kb window [11]; (4) The strength of the instruments was validated using the F-statistic, calculated as $F = (beta/se)^2$, where SNPs with an $F < 10$ were excluded to mitigate weak instrument bias.

2.3. MR Analysis

The IVW method was employed as the primary analysis to estimate the causal effect, with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$. To ensure the robustness of the estimates, we applied supplementary methods including MR-Egger regression, weighted median, weighted mode, and simple mode. A causal relationship was considered suggestive if the direction of the effect estimate was consistent across the IVW and supplementary methods.

2.4. Sensitivity Analysis

Heterogeneity among IVs was assessed using Cochran's Q test; $p > 0.05$ indicated no significant heterogeneity affecting the IVW results. Horizontal pleiotropy was evaluated using the MR-Egger intercept and the MR-PRESSO global test, where $p >$

0.05 indicated an absence of significant pleiotropy. Finally, Steiger filtering was applied to confirm the directionality of the causal effect and rule out reverse causality.

3. Results

The MR results regarding the causal effects of oral microbial taxa on periodontitis are presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3. At the species level, we identified 60 taxa with causal associations with periodontitis. Among these, 29 taxa exhibited a negative association (Odds Ratio [OR] < 1), while 31 taxa showed a positive association (OR > 1). These microbial taxa were predominantly enriched in the genera *Campylobacter_A*, *Pauljensenia*, *Solobacterium*, and *Streptococcus* (Figure 4). The Sankey diagram illustrates the associations between microbial taxonomic units (Figure 5).

Comprehensive sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of the primary estimates. The Cochran's Q test indicated no significant heterogeneity among the instrumental variables ($p > 0.05$). The MR-Egger intercept test and the MR-PRESSO global test both yielded p-values greater than 0.05, suggesting the absence of substantial horizontal pleiotropy. Furthermore, Steiger filtering confirmed that the observed associations were not driven by reverse causation, as the variance explained by the SNPs in the exposure was significantly higher than that in the outcome ($p < 0.05$). Detailed statistics for all MR models and sensitivity tests are provided in Supplementary Tables 1-12.

4. Discussion

This study leveraged recent large-scale GWAS data to explore the causal links between the oral microbiome and periodontitis in East Asian populations via a two-sample MR approach.

Several limitations must be acknowledged. First, periodontitis is a heterogeneous disease comprising various subtypes (e.g., chronic versus aggressive), each possessing distinct pathophysiological profiles. Due to the lack of granular phenotypic data in the summary statistics, we were unable to stratify our analysis by disease subtype or

severity. Second, we recognize that the oral microbiome is not the sole determinant of periodontitis; postnatal environmental factors and dietary habits play significant roles in disease onset and progression. Third, the statistical power was constrained by the modest sample size of the outcome GWAS, which may limit the precision of effect estimates and increase the risk of false positives. Finally, as the periodontitis GWAS data were derived from East Asian veterans residing in the United States, the generalizability of these findings to diverse East Asian population strata remains to be validated using larger, more representative cohorts from various geographical regions.

5. Conclusion

This exploratory two-sample MR analysis provides preliminary evidence for causal effects of specific oral microbial taxa on periodontitis risk in East Asian populations.

Author contributions

Zi-Feng Wei: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing. Jia-Pei Wuzhang: Writing - review and editing. Yi-Ting Huang: Writing - review and editing.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability

The GWAS summary data for salivary and dorsal tongue microbiota are sourced from CNP0001664 (CNGB database: https://ftp2.cngb.org/). The GWAS summary data for periodontitis analyzed in the current study are sourced from GCST90478258 (GWAS Catalog: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/).

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics statement

The present study does not require ethical approval.

References

1. Heitz-Mayfield LJA. Conventional diagnostic criteria for periodontal diseases (plaque-induced gingivitis and periodontitis). *Periodontol 2000*. 2024;95(1):10-19. doi:10.1111/prd.12579
2. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Using Mendelian Randomization: The STROBE-MR Statement. *JAMA*. 2021;326(16):1614-1621. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.18236
3. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomisation (STROBE-MR): explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2021;375:n2233. Published 2021 Oct 26. doi:10.1136/bmj.n2233
4. Liu X, Zou L, Nie C, et al. Mendelian randomization analyses reveal causal relationships between the human microbiome and longevity. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):5127. Published 2023 Mar 29. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-31115-8
5. Li J, Morrison J. Mind the gap: characterizing bias due to population mismatch in two-sample Mendelian randomization. Preprint. *medRxiv*. 2025;2025.07.30.25332465. Published 2025 Aug 1. doi:10.1101/2025.07.30.25332465
6. Li J, Morrison J. Mind the gap: Characterizing bias due to population mismatch in two-sample Mendelian randomization. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2026;113(3):483-493. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2026.02.002
7. Hou L, Wu S, Yuan Z, Xue F, Li H. TEMR: Trans-ethnic mendelian randomization method using large-scale GWAS summary datasets. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2025;112(1):28-43. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2024.11.006
8. Liu X, Tong X, Zhu J, et al. Metagenome-genome-wide association studies reveal human genetic impact on the oral microbiome. *Cell Discov*. 2021;7(1):117. Published 2021 Dec 7. doi:10.1038/s41421-021-00356-0
9. Verma A, Huffman JE, Rodriguez A, et al. Diversity and Scale: Genetic Architecture of 2,068 Traits in the VA Million Veteran Program.

Preprint. *medRxiv*. 2023;2023.06.28.23291975. Published 2023 Jun 29. doi:10.1101/2023.06.28.23291975

10. Verma A, Huffman JE, Rodriguez A, et al. Diversity and scale: Genetic architecture of 2068 traits in the VA Million Veteran Program. *Science*. 2024;385(6706):eadj1182. doi:10.1126/science.adj1182
11. Slifer SH. PLINK: Key Functions for Data Analysis. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet*. 2018;97(1):e59. doi:10.1002/cphg.59

Figure 1.

Figure 1. Study design of the MR between oral microbiota and asthma. The solid lines represent the associations between the IVs and oral microbiota, as well as between oral microbiota and the outcome. Dashed lines with a cross indicate the two basic assumptions of MR: the IVs are not directly associated with confounding factors, and the IVs influence asthma exclusively through their effect on oral microbiota.

Figure 2.

Figure 2. Forest plot showing the causal associations between tongue dorsum microbiota and periodontitis.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. Forest plot showing the causal associations between salivary microbiota and periodontitis.

Figure 4.

Figure 4. Results of the analysis of oral microbiota causally associated with periodontitis.

Figure 5.

Figure 5. Sankey diagram showing the associations of oral microbiota causally linked to periodontitis at the order, family, and genus levels.


Assumption2
The genetic variants were not directly related to confounding factors
Confounders
Exposure
Assumption1
The genetic variants were associated with oral microbiota
Estimates of causal effect
Instrumental variables
Oral microbiota
Outcome
SNP
Assumption3
The genetic variants influenced asthma exclusively through their impact on oral microbiota
Exposure related SNPs
Periodontitis

Outcome	Exposure	Method	nSNP	OR (95% CI)	*p*–value
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Aggregatibacter_sp000466335_mgs_1486 (pheno.1725)	IVW	11	1.7172 (1.0770 − 2.7380)	0.0231
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Campylobacter_A_concisus_T_mgs_2997 (pheno.1711)	IVW	7	0.4121 (0.2320 − 0.7321)	0.0025
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Campylobacter_A_umgs_1853 (pheno.1751)	IVW	12	1.7259 (1.0735 − 2.7748)	0.0243
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Campylobacter_A_umgs_2187 (pheno.2652)	IVW	13	0.4936 (0.2906 − 0.8384)	0.0090
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Campylobacter_A_umgs_2948 (pheno.2402)	IVW	5	0.4839 (0.2428 − 0.9647)	0.0392
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Campylobacter_A_umgs_3416 (pheno.2184)	IVW	6	0.4967 (0.2540 − 0.9714)	0.0409
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Catonella_umgs_3296 (pheno.1371)	IVW	9	0.5664 (0.3292 − 0.9744)	0.0400
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Centipeda_umgs_1154 (pheno.3187)	IVW	12	0.5837 (0.3654 − 0.9324)	0.0243
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Eikenella_umgs_172 (pheno.771)	IVW	9	0.5664 (0.3447 − 0.9307)	0.0249
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Eubacterium_B_infirmum_mgs_131 (pheno.2672)	IVW	17	1.6656 (1.0878 − 2.5502)	0.0189
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Eubacterium_B_umgs_76 (pheno.2032)	IVW	17	1.4763 (1.0478 − 2.0800)	0.0260
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Fusobacterium_animalis_mgs_312 (pheno.979)	IVW	19	0.5267 (0.3353 − 0.8276)	0.0054
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Granulicatella_umgs_1528 (pheno.853)	IVW	12	1.7284 (1.1133 − 2.6834)	0.0148
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Granulicatella_umgs_2134 (pheno.933)	IVW	11	1.9811 (1.2320 − 3.1856)	0.0048
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Granulicatella_umgs_3429 (pheno.793)	IVW	13	1.7207 (1.0604 − 2.7921)	0.0280
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Haemophilus_quentini_mgs_713 (pheno.1608)	IVW	8	0.4871 (0.2722 − 0.8714)	0.0154
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Haemophilus_sp002998595_mgs_1353 (pheno.476)	IVW	16	0.6017 (0.4036 − 0.8969)	0.0126
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Haemophilus_umgs_1463 (pheno.674)	IVW	14	0.6236 (0.4102 − 0.9478)	0.0270
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Lachnoanaerobaculum_umeaense_mgs_772 (pheno.158)	IVW	14	0.5517 (0.3419 − 0.8903)	0.0148
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Lachnoanaerobaculum_umgs_2087 (pheno.310)	IVW	13	1.5815 (1.0428 − 2.3985)	0.0310
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Mogibacterium_umgs_1015 (pheno.2127)	IVW	15	0.6567 (0.4494 − 0.9597)	0.0298
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Oribacterium_umgs_1215 (pheno.486)	IVW	14	0.6115 (0.3960 − 0.9443)	0.0265
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Pauljensenia_umgs_1771 (pheno.482)	IVW	9	0.5666 (0.3504 − 0.9164)	0.0206
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Pauljensenia_umgs_2300 (pheno.1281)	IVW	10	0.4287 (0.2404 − 0.7648)	0.0041
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Solobacterium_umgs_1133 (pheno.831)	IVW	16	0.6097 (0.3759 − 0.9890)	0.0450
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Solobacterium_umgs_2820 (pheno.1380)	IVW	13	0.5834 (0.3686 − 0.9235)	0.0215
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Solobacterium_umgs_3253 (pheno.807)	IVW	10	0.5992 (0.3805 − 0.9434)	0.0270
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_infantis_H_mgs_68 (pheno.1550)	IVW	12	1.7038 (1.0302 − 2.8176)	0.0379
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_mitis_AT_mgs_1239 (pheno.1916)	IVW	12	1.6624 (1.0696 − 2.5836)	0.0239
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_mitis_I_mgs_2032 (pheno.383)	IVW	17	0.6899 (0.4854 − 0.9805)	0.0385
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_parasanguinis_B_mgs_3506 (pheno.1768)	IVW	11	1.8869 (1.1415 − 3.1188)	0.0133
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_sanguinis_mgs_3525 (pheno.339)	IVW	6	1.9963 (1.1043 − 3.6087)	0.0221
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_sp000187745_mgs_3504 (pheno.884)	IVW	8	0.5316 (0.2932 − 0.9640)	0.0374
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_1453 (pheno.696)	IVW	12	1.8596 (1.1180 − 3.0930)	0.0169
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_1499 (pheno.1580)	IVW	11	0.5936 (0.3548 − 0.9931)	0.0470
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_1631 (pheno.4)	IVW	4	0.3307 (0.1446 − 0.7561)	0.0087
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_1680 (pheno.1875)	IVW	13	1.5501 (1.0043 − 2.3926)	0.0478
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_1859 (pheno.1488)	IVW	9	2.5861 (1.5198 − 4.4003)	<0.001
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_2424 (pheno.515)	IVW	11	0.4772 (0.2822 − 0.8069)	0.0058
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_934 (pheno.1284)	IVW	10	1.8069 (1.0302 − 3.1690)	0.0390
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__TM7x_umgs_2917 (pheno.3286)	IVW	11	1.6428 (1.0294 − 2.6220)	0.0374



Outcome	Exposure	Method	nSNP	OR (95% CI)	*P*–value
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Aggregatibacter_actinomycetemcomitans_mgs_301 (pheno.1375)	IVW	12	1.7077 (1.0904 − 2.6746)	0.0194
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__F0040_umgs_1289 (pheno.814)	IVW	13	1.5637 (1.0015 − 2.4415)	0.0492
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Granulicatella_umgs_3241 (pheno.2378)	IVW	8	0.4213 (0.2168 − 0.8190)	0.0108
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Haemophilus_D_umgs_2202 (pheno.515)	IVW	9	2.4892 (1.4751 − 4.2004)	<0.001
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Lachnoanaerobaculum_sp000287675_mgs_3003 (pheno.2562)	IVW	14	1.8579 (1.1493 − 3.0035)	0.0115
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Neisseria_umgs_1672 (pheno.886)	IVW	9	2.3278 (1.2806 − 4.2313)	0.0056
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Pauljensenia_odontolyticus_B_mgs_3088 (pheno.2710)	IVW	15	1.5488 (1.0251 − 2.3398)	0.0377
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Pauljensenia_sp000278725_mgs_1440 (pheno.2653)	IVW	9	0.5529 (0.3083 − 0.9915)	0.0467
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Pauljensenia_sp000308055_mgs_1404 (pheno.3209)	IVW	9	1.5600 (1.0080 − 2.4143)	0.0460
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Pauljensenia_umgs_3155 (pheno.2991)	IVW	12	1.6298 (1.0329 − 2.5714)	0.0358
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Saccharimonas_umgs_3570 (pheno.3242)	IVW	9	2.0648 (1.0124 − 4.2109)	0.0462
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Selenomonas_umgs_2122 (pheno.2285)	IVW	13	0.5484 (0.3089 − 0.9733)	0.0401
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Solobacterium_umgs_1158 (pheno.2999)	IVW	9	1.8221 (1.0463 − 3.1729)	0.0340
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Solobacterium_umgs_1974 (pheno.2733)	IVW	15	1.5788 (1.0025 − 2.4862)	0.0487
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_1741 (pheno.2204)	IVW	13	1.6356 (1.0570 − 2.5309)	0.0272
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Streptococcus_umgs_3408 (pheno.822)	IVW	9	1.7890 (1.0864 − 2.9458)	0.0223
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Tannerella_sp003033925_mgs_103 (pheno.988)	IVW	12	1.6285 (1.0612 − 2.4990)	0.0256
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__TM7x_umgs_1394 (pheno.3132)	IVW	10	0.4781 (0.3125 − 0.7316)	<0.001
Periodontitis (GCST90478258)	s__Veillonella_umgs_1671 (pheno.1170)	IVW	15	0.6472 (0.4329 − 0.9674)	0.0339




Genus
Site
IVW
Weighted median
Weighted mode
Simple mode
MR−Egger
pheno.1375
pheno.1725
pheno.1711
pheno.1751
pheno.2652
pheno.2402
pheno.2184
pheno.1371
pheno.3187
pheno.771
pheno.2672
pheno.2032
pheno.814
pheno.979
pheno.853
pheno.933
pheno.2378
pheno.793
pheno.515
pheno.1608
pheno.476
pheno.674
pheno.2562
pheno.158
pheno.310
pheno.2127
pheno.886
pheno.486
pheno.2710
pheno.2653
pheno.3209
pheno.482
pheno.1281
pheno.2991
pheno.3242
pheno.2285
pheno.831
pheno.2999
pheno.2733
pheno.1380
pheno.807
pheno.1550
pheno.1916
pheno.383
pheno.1768
pheno.339
pheno.884
pheno.696
pheno.1580
pheno.4
pheno.1875
pheno.2204
pheno.1488
pheno.515
pheno.822
pheno.1284
pheno.988
pheno.3132
pheno.3286
pheno.1170
Genus
g__Aggregatibacter
g__Campylobacter_A
g__Catonella
g__Centipeda
g__Eikenella
g__Eubacterium
g__F0040
g__Fusobacterium
g__Granulicatella
g__Haemophilus
g__Lachnoanaerobaculum
g__Mogibacterium
g__Neisseria
g__Oribacterium
g__Pauljensenia
g__Saccharimonas
g__Selenomonas
g__Solobacterium
g__Streptococcus
g__Tannerella
g__TM7x
g__Veillonella
Microbiota Site
Dorsal Tongue Microbiota
Salivary Microbiota
Beta
−3
−1.5
0
1.5
3


Veillonellales
Selenomonadales
Saccharimonadales
Peptostreptococcales
Lactobacillales
Lachnospirales
Fusobacteriales
Erysipelotrichales
Enterobacterales
Campylobacterales
Burkholderiales
Bacteroidales
Actinomycetales
Veillonellaceae
Tannerellaceae
Streptococcaceae
Selenomonadaceae
Saccharimonadaceae
Pasteurellaceae
Neisseriaceae
Lachnospiraceae
Fusobacteriaceae
Erysipelotrichaceae
Campylobacteraceae
Bacteroidaceae
Anaerovoracaceae
Aerococcaceae
Actinomycetaceae
Veillonella
Tannerella
TM7x
Streptococcus
Solobacterium
Selenomonas
Saccharimonas
Pauljensenia
Oribacterium
Neisseria
Mogibacterium
Lachnoanaerobaculum
Haemophilus_D
Haemophilus
Granulicatella
Fusobacterium
F0040
Eubacterium_B
Eikenella
Centipeda
Catonella
Campylobacter_A
Aggregatibacter
Order
Family
Genus