A novel parameter for predicting postoperative shoulder balance in Lenke Type 1 and 2 adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patients

Pengfei Chi[1], Zheng Tian[1], Bin Zhang[1], Zheng Wang[2], Kai Song[2]

Department of Orthopaedics, the Fourth Medical Centre, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China.

[1] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[2] These authors are corresponding authors.

Wang Zheng: wangzheng301@163.com

Kai Song: songkai200018@163.com

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the predictive value of the thoracic spine-clavicle angle (TSCA) and the thoracic cage-clavicle angle (TCCA) for immediate postoperative shoulder balance.

Methods: A total of 154 Lenke type 1 and 2 AIS patients who underwent corrective surgery in our hospital were included. The degree of clavicle angle (CA), thoracic spine tilt angle (TSTA), thoracic cage tilt angle (TCTA), proximal thoracic curve (PTC) Cobb angle, and main thoracic curve (MTC) Cobb angle were measured on standing full-length posteroanterior spine radiographs before and after surgery. Five parameters, TSCA, TCCA, correction rate of PTC, correction rate of MTC, relative PT/MT residual Cobb angle (RRCA), were calculated. Multinomial Logistic Regression was used to determine the risk factors of PSB. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: For TCCA, in group R (vs group B), pre-op right high (vs pre-op left high) (OR=8.102, 95%CI [1.650, 39.786], *p*=0.01) and RRCA (OR=1.015, 95%CI [1.004, 1.026], *p*=0.009) are risk factors. Pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high) (OR=0.123,

95%CI [0.025, 0.606], *p*=0.01) and correction rate of MTC (OR=0.886, 95%CI [0.809, 0.971], *p*=0.009) are protective factors. Correction rate of PTC shows no significant effect. In group L (vs group B), pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high) (OR=2.648, 95%CI [1.084, 6.469], *p*=0.033) is a risk factor. Pre-op right high (vs pre-op left high) (OR=0. 378, 95%CI [0. 155, 0.922], *p*=0. 033) is a protective factor. Correction rate of PTC, correction rate of MTC, and RRCA show no significant effect.

Conclusion: Preoperative shoulder balance, as defined by the TCCA, serves as an independent risk factor for PSB. Using postoperative balanced shoulders as the reference group, preoperative left shoulder high (vs right high) significantly increased the risk of postoperative left shoulder high, while significantly reducing the risk of postoperative right shoulder high. Preoperative right shoulder high (vs left high) significantly increased the risk of postoperative right shoulder high, while significantly reducing the risk of postoperative left shoulder high. The correction rate of MTC was an independent protective factor against postoperative right shoulder high, whereas RRCA was an independent risk factor for postoperative right shoulder high.

Key words: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis; Thoracic cage tilt angle; Thoracic cage-clavicle angle; Postoperative shoulder balance

Introduction

Shoulder balance plays a significant role in the overall cosmetic appearance among patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS)[1]. However, postoperative shoulder imbalance (PSI) is common following posterior spinal fusion (PSF) surgery[2]. PSI could indicate surgical decompensation, the need for possible reoperation, and other complications, such as distal adding-on phenomenon, new lumbar curve (LC) progression, and trunk shift[3].

Many previous studies have indicated that preoperative shoulder status cannot predict postoperative shoulder balance (PSB)[2, 4-6]. This is a surprising phenomenon, and

we believe that it likely indicates some overlooked factors. In clinical practice, we have observed that AIS is frequently accompanied by thoracic cage tilt in coronal plane, which appears to improve postoperatively. Given the anatomical relationship between the thoracic cage and the shoulder, we speculate that this connection may be relevant to PSB.

Therefore, we propose the concepts of the thoracic spine-clavicle angle (TSCA) and the thoracic cage-clavicle angle (TCCA). The aim of this study is to evaluate the predictive value of these two parameters for immediate PSB.

Materials and Methods

This was a retrospective study. Lenke Type 1 and 2 AIS patients who received posterior spinal fusion surgery in our hospital from 2013 to 2024 were recruited in this study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: a diagnosis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, posterior spinal fusion surgery, complete standing full-length posteroanterior spine radiographs taken before surgery (pre-op), and immediately after surgery (post-op). All radiographs were acquired with the patients in a natural standing position, with their knees fully extended and arms naturally positioned at the sides. Immediate postoperative radiographs were taken as soon as the patient was able to stand after surgery. The exclusion criteria were as follows: previous surgery to the spine, pelvis, or lower limbs, other developmental abnormalities of the pelvis and lower limbs, scoliosis due to congenital, neuromuscular or other connective tissue etiologies, radiographs without clavicle and humeral head. The demographic data were recorded including age at surgery, gender, and curve type by Lenke classification.

PSI was defined as a postoperative absolute CA value of ≥ 2°. The patients were divided into three groups on the basis of postoperative CA: (1) postoperative right shoulder high group (group R): postoperative CA ≥ 2°, (2) postoperative left shoulder high group (group L): postoperative CA ≤ -2°, and (3) postoperative balanced group (group B): -2° < postoperative CA < 2°.

Radiographic Measurements

The coronal parameters were measured on standing full-length posteroanterior radiographs that were taken before and after surgery, including (1) Clavicle angle (CA), the angle between the horizontal line and the line connecting the highest points of each clavicle, with the right side higher defined as positive, (2) Thoracic spine tilt angle (TSTA), the angle between the vertical line and the line connecting the centroid of T1 and the centroid of T12, with a leftward deviation of the centroid of T1 defined as positive, (3) Thoracic cage tilt angle (TCTA), the average value of the two angles between the vertical line and bilateral rib tangents, with a leftward inclination of the upper thoracic cage defined as positive, (4) Proximal thoracic curve (PTC) Cobb angle, (5) Main thoracic curve (MTC) Cobb angle. All parameters were measured independently by two senior spine surgeons, and the mean values were calculated for further analysis. Five parameters were calculated as follows: (1) TSCA (°) = CA-TSTA (TSCA > 0, right shoulder high; TSCA < 0, left shoulder high), (2) TCCA (°) = CA-TCTA (TCCA > 0, right shoulder high; TCCA < 0, left shoulder high), (3)

Correction rate of PTC (%) = (pre-op PTC Cobb – post-op PTC Cobb)/pre-op PTC Cobb×100, (4) Correction rate of MTC (%) = (pre-op MTC Cobb – post-op MTC Cobb)/pre-op MTC Cobb×100, (5) Relative PT/MT Residual Cobb angle (RRCA, %) = post-op PTC Cobb/ post-op MTC Cobb×100.

Statistical analysis

The coronal parameters were statistically analyzed. A paired *t*-test was used to compare the differences in the parameters before and after surgery. Multinomial Logistic Regression was used to determine the risk factors of PSB. Group B was categorized as reference category. The model was adjusted for potential confounders including correction rate of PTC, correction rate of MTC, RRCA, and UIV. The likelihood ratio test was used to assess overall model fit and variable significance. Results are presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). $p < 0.05$ indicated a statistically significant difference. Statistical software SPSS 22.0 was used for data analysis.

Results

There were 154 patients (aged from 11 to 19 years) in the study population. The demographics and radiographic parameters are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Demographic data and radiographic parameters of Lenke type 1 and 2 AIS patients

Data	Mean ± SD or n (%)
Age at surgery (years)	14.48 ± 2.41
Gender	
Female	120/154 (77.92)
Male	34/154 (22.08)
Lenke classification	
1	115/154 (74.68)
2	39/154 (25.32)
Preoperation	
Preoperative shoulder balance (TCCA)	
Right high	42/154 (27.27)
Left high	112/154 (72.73)
Preoperative shoulder balance (TSCA)	
Right high	50/154 (32.47)
Left high	104/154 (67.53)
PTC Cobb angle (°)	27.09 ± 11.18
MTC Cobb angle (°)	50.66 ± 12.96
Postoperation	
PTC Cobb angle (°)	15.63 ± 8.07
MTC Cobb angle (°)	13.70 ± 7.27
Correction rate of PTC (%)	42.34 ± 19.69
Correction rate of MTC (%)	73.48 ± 10.73

RRCA (%)	135.16 ± 90.36
UIV	
T2/T3/T4/T5/T6	25/40/47/31/11
PSB (post-op CA)	
Right high	13/154 (8.44)
Left high	83/154 (53.90)
Balanced	58/154 (37.66)

SD: standard deviation

The comparisons of coronal parameters associated with CA in Lenke type 1 and type 2 AIS patients are presented in Table 2. Significant differences were observed in CA, TSTA, and TCTA before and after surgery ($p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference in TCCA before and after surgery ($p = 0.788$).

Table 2 Comparisons of coronal parameters associated with CA before and after surgery

	Pre-op (°)	Post-op (°)	*P* value
CA (°)	1.19±2.95	-2.03±3.00	<0.001
TSTA (°)	3.35±6.05	1.56±2.82	<0.001
TCTA (°)	3.39±4.11	0.13±2.40	<0.001
TSCA (°)	-2.21±5.70	-3.59±3.90	<0.001

TCCA (°)	-2.25±3.81	-2.16±3.44	0.788

Likelihood Ratio Tests for the Multinomial Logistic Regression Model are presented in Table 3. If the preoperative shoulder balance was defined by TCCA, preoperative shoulder status ($p < 0.001$), correction rate of MTC ($p = 0.011$), RRCA ($p = 0.016$) are statistically significantly associated with PSB, while correction rate of PTC ($p = 0.242$) and UIV ($p = 0.292$) do not show the significant association.

If the preoperative shoulder balance was defined by TSCA, preoperative shoulder status ($p = 0.004$), and correction rate of MTC ($p = 0.025$) are statistically significantly associated with PSB, while correction rate of PTC ($p = 0.290$), RRCA ($p = 0.05$), and UIV ($p = 0.215$) do not show the significant association.

Table 3. Likelihood ratio tests for the multinomial logistic regression model

Effect	χ^2	df	*p* value
TCCA			
Overall model	45.235	18	<0.001
Preoperative shoulder status	17.984	2	<0.001
Correction rate of PTC	2.840	2	0.242
Correction rate of MTC	9.018	2	0.011
RRCA	8.246	2	0.016
UIV	11.896	10	0.292
TSCA			
Overall model	38.243	18	0.004
Preoperative shoulder status	10.991	2	0.004
Correction rate of PTC	2.473	2	0.290
Correction rate of MTC	7.355	2	0.025
RRCA	6.007	2	0.050

UIV	13.160	10	0.215

Multinomial logistic regression analysis of factors associated with PSB are showed in Table 4. For TCCA, in group R (vs group B), pre-op right high (vs pre-op left high) (OR=8.102, 95%CI [1.650, 39.786], p=0.01) and RRCA (OR=1.015, 95%CI [1.004, 1.026], p=0.009) are risk factors. Pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high) (OR=0.123, 95%CI [0.025, 0.606], p=0.01) and correction rate of MTC (OR=0.886, 95%CI [0.809, 0.971], p=0.009) are protective factors. Correction rate of PTC shows no significant effect.

In group L (vs group B), pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high) (OR=2.648, 95%CI [1.084, 6.469], p=0.033) is a risk factor. Pre-op right high (vs pre-op left high) (OR=0. 378, 95%CI [0. 155, 0.922], p=0. 033) is a protective factor. Correction rate of PTC, correction rate of MTC, and RRCA show no significant effect.

For TSCA, in group R (vs group B), RRCA (OR=1.012, 95%CI [1.002, 1.023], p=0.022) is a risk factor. Correction rate of MTC (OR=0.910, 95%CI [0.841, 0.986], p=0.021) is a protective factor. pre-op left high, pre-op right high, and correction rate of PTC show no significant effect.

In group L (vs group B), pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high) (OR=2.967, 95%CI [1.267, 6.950], p=0.012) is a risk factor, Pre-op right high (vs pre-op left high) (OR=0. 337, 95%CI [0. 144, 0.789], p=0. 012) is a protective factor. Correction rate of PTC, correction rate of MTC, and RRCA show no significant effect.

Based on a comparison of the two parameters (TSCA and TCCA), we recommend using TCCA to predict immediate PSB.

Table 4 Multinomial logistic regression analysis of factors associated with PSB

Factor	Group R (vs group B)		Group L (vs group B)	
	OR (95%CI)	P value	OR (95%CI)	P value
TCCA				
Pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high)	0.123(0.025, 0.606)	0.010	2.648(1.084, 6.469)	0.033
Pre-op right high (vs pre-op left high)	8.102(1.650, 39.786)	0.010	0.378(0.155, 0.922)	0.033
Correction rate of PTC (per unit increase)	1.040(0.988, 1.095)	0.137	0.997(0.968, 1.027)	0.861
Correction rate of MTC (per unit increase)	0.886(0.809, 0.971)	0.009	1.011(0.958, 1.066)	0.700
RRCA (per unit increase)	1.015(1.004, 1.026)	0.009	1.005(0.997, 1.013)	0.237
TSCA				
Pre-op left high (vs pre-op right high)	0.407(0.098, 1.687)	0.215	2.967(1.267, 6.950)	0.012
Pre-op right high (vs pre-op left	2.458(0.593, 10.189)	0.215	0.337(0.144, 0.789)	0.012

Factor	Group R (vs group B)		Group L (vs group B)	
high)				
Correction rate of PTC (per unit increase)	1.035(0.984, 1.088)	0.184	0.996(0.967, 1.025)	0.767
Correction rate of MTC (per unit increase)	0.910(0.841, 0.986)	0.021	1.013(0.961, 1.069)	0.624
RRCA (per unit increase)	1.012(1.002, 1.023)	0.022	1.005(0.997, 1.013)	0.183

Reference category for postoperative shoulder status: shoulder balanced group. OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Discussion

In clinical practice, we observed that Lenke type 1 and 2 AIS patients often present with significant thoracic cage tilt in coronal plane, and such tilt tends to improve after surgery. Considering the anatomical relationship between the clavicle and the thoracic cage, we propose the thoracic cage clavicle angle (TCCA).

In this study, TCCA showed no significant change before and after surgery, whereas TCTA decreased significantly and the absolute value of CA increased significantly. These results suggest that the thoracic cage and clavicle are corrected as an "integrated unit". We postulate that the inability of the conventional preoperative CA to predict PSB may stem from the fact that the conventional CA incorporates the influence of thoracic cage tilt, and it is not the "real CA". In contrast, TCCA eliminates the effect of thoracic cage tilt, thereby representing the "real CA". This may explain the predictive value of TCCA for PSB.

In practical application, preoperative full-length spinal radiographs can be adjusted with appropriate tilting in coronal plane to align the thoracic cage perpendicular to the horizontal line. This allows for a preliminary assessment of preoperative shoulder balance and facilitates the prediction of PSB. Such an approach may assist in formulating surgical strategies before surgery (Fig. 1).



Figure 1 Radiographs of a girl in her 10's with AIS. **a** Preoperative CA=1.70° (right shoulder high). **b** Rotate the radiograph to orient the thoracic cage perpendicular to the horizontal line, TCCA=-4.06° (left shoulder high). **c** Radiograph of PSB, CA=-9.59°, TCCA=-6.46° (left shoulder higher).

The superior predictive ability of TCCA over TSCA for PSB may be attributed to anatomical factors. The correction of spinal curvature cannot change the clavicle balance directly, since the shoulder girdle is more directly connected to the thoracic cage than to the thoracic spine[7]. As a result, the absolute value of TSCA increases significantly after surgery, thereby compromising its predictive reliability for PSB.

As this study focused on immediate PSB rather than long-term follow-up data, parameters directly related to the surgical procedure were selected for analysis. Previous studies have shown the correction rate of PTC, correction rate of MTC, and RRCA[1, 2, 6, 8, 9] as risk factors for PSI.

Farshad et al.[3] found that preoperative level shoulder was a risk factor for PSI and Tomohiro et al.[9] reported that preoperative lower right shoulder elevation had a higher risk of PSI when the MT curve was well corrected. These may be attributed to the fact that since right-sided thoracic curves predominate in AIS patients, the proximal thoracic cage tends to tilt to the left. Preoperative level shoulder or even slightly elevated right shoulder may actually demonstrate left shoulder high as measured by TCCA. If these patients are erroneously treated based on the appearance of right shoulder high, intentionally increasing the correction rate of MTC intraoperatively may result in overcorrection and ultimately exacerbate left shoulder elevation postoperatively.

Many previous studies reported that insufficient correction of PTC and overcorrection of MTC showed high risk of developing PSI in AIS patients[2, 4, 5, 9-11]. However, Gotfryd et al.[12] reported no correlation between PSB and the amount of PT and MT curve correction for Lenke type 1. In our study, based on TCCA, in group R (vs group B), correction rate of MTC is protective factor for post-op right high and correction rate of PTC shows no significant effect. While in group L (vs group B), correction rate of PTC and correction rate of MTC show no significant effect on post-op left high.

Chan et al.[1] found that in Lenke type 1 and 2 patients, RRCA had shown a significant correlation with medial shoulder balance, and lee et al.[6] reported that in Lenke type 2 patients, a higher postoperative PTC/MTC ratio was a risk factor for PSI. In our study, RRCA is an independent risk factor for postoperative right shoulder high.

Sielatycki et al.[10] confirmed that no significant association was found between PSB and the UIV level, which is consistent with the findings of the present study.

Conclusion

Preoperative shoulder balance, as defined by the TCCA, serves as an independent risk factor for PSB. Using postoperative balanced shoulders as the reference group, preoperative left shoulder high (vs right high) significantly increased the risk of postoperative left shoulder high, while significantly reducing the risk of postoperative right shoulder high. Meanwhile, preoperative right shoulder high (vs left high) significantly increased the risk of postoperative right shoulder high, while significantly reducing the risk of postoperative left shoulder high. Additionally, the correction rate of MTC was an independent protective factor against postoperative right shoulder high, whereas RRCA was an independent risk factor for postoperative right shoulder high.

References

[1] Wei Chan C Y, Hong N C, Chandirasegaran S, Chiu C K, Kwan M K. The Influence of Relative Curve Correction and Upper Instrumented Vertebra (UIV) Tilt Angle on Post-operative Shoulder Balance Following Posterior Spinal Fusion (PSF) in Lenke Type 1 and 2 Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis (AIS) Patients [J]. Global Spine J, 2025, 15(8): 3601-3611.

[2] Yang Y, Yang M, Zhao J, Zhao Y, Yang C, Li M. Postoperative shoulder imbalance in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: risk factors and predictive index [J]. Eur Spine J, 2019, 28(6): 1331-1341.

[3] Nikouei F, Ghandhari H, Ameri E, Mokarami F. Shoulder Imbalance in

Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: A Systematic Review of the Current State of the Art [J]. Arch Bone Jt Surg, 2022, 10(12): 992-1003.

[4] Zhang S, Zhang L, Feng X, Yang H. Incidence and risk factors for postoperative shoulder imbalance in scoliosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis [J]. Eur Spine J, 2018, 27(2): 358-369.

[5] Sato T, Yonezawa I, Matsumoto H, Otomo N, Suzuki T, Manabe N, Demura S, Watanabe K, Saito T, Nohara A, Kurakawa T, Shimizu T, Uno K, Matsumoto M, Kawakami N. Surgical Predictors for Prevention of Postoperative Shoulder Imbalance in Lenke Type 2A Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis [J]. Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2022, 47(4): E132-e141.

[6] Lee C S, Hwang C J, Lim E J, Lee D H, Cho J H. A retrospective study to reveal factors associated with postoperative shoulder imbalance in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis with double thoracic curve [J]. J Neurosurg Pediatr, 2016, 25(6): 744-752.

[7] Yagi M, Takemitsu M, Machida M. Clavicle chest cage angle difference (CCAD): a novel predictor of postoperative shoulder imbalance in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis [J]. Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2013, 38(12): E705-712.

[8] Smyrnis P N, Sekouris N, Papadopoulos G. Surgical assessment of the proximal thoracic curve in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis [J]. Eur Spine J, 2009, 18(4): 522-530.

[9] Banno T, Yamato Y, Hasegawa T, Yoshida G, Arima H, Oe S, Mihara Y, Ide K, Watanabe Y, Kurosu K, Nakai K, Matsuyama Y. Preoperative Less Right Shoulder

Elevation Had a Higher Risk of Postoperative Shoulder Imbalance When Main Thoracic Curve Shows Higher Correction Regardless of the Upper Instrumented Vertebra Level for Patients with Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Lenke Type 1 [J]. Asian Spine J, 2023, 17(1): 166-175.

[10]Sielatycki J A, Cerpa M, Beauchamp E C, Shimizu T, Wei C, Pongmanee S, Wang H, Xue R, Zhou R, Liu X, Yang J, Suomao Y, Lenke L G. The Amount of Relative Curve Correction Is More Important Than Upper Instrumented Vertebra Selection for Ensuring Postoperative Shoulder Balance in Lenke Type 1 and Type 2 Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis [J]. Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 2019, 44(17): E1031-e1037.

[11]Berlin C, Quante M, Thomsen B, Koeszegvary M, Pecsi F, Halm H. How can postoperative shoulder imbalance be prevented in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis type 2? [J]. Acta Orthop Belg, 2022, 88(3): 457-466.

[12]Gotfryd A O, Silber Caffaro M F, Meves R, Avanzi O. Predictors for Postoperative Shoulder Balance in Lenke 1 Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: A Prospective Cohort Study [J]. Spine Deform, 2017, 5(1): 66-71.