# Distinct sensory profiles in early psychosis revealed by low-cost feature-specific visual and auditory psychophysical testing

Maria Lucia de Bustamante Simas*[1]
Aline Mendes Lacerda[1]
Joselma Tavares Frutuoso[2]
Isabela de Fatima Pina de Almeida[3]
Mateus Monteiro de Gois Barros[1]
Katherine Karla Souza da Silva[1]
Thays Macambira da Silva[1]
Giuliano Baltar Melo de Souza Ramos[1]
Tamires Lima da Silva[1]
Naianna Mocelin Ribeiro dos Santos[1]
Amanda Almeida Rodrigues e Silva[1]
Kayke Kauã de Siqueira[1]

[1]Laboratório de Percepção Visual, LabVis-UFPE
Departamento de Psicologia, CFCH
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, UFPE
Recife, PE, Brasil

[2]Departamento de Psicologia
Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, UFSC
Florianópolis, SC, Brasil

[3]Departamento de Psiquiatria, CCS; AMPEP-HC-UFPE;
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, UFPE
Recife, PE, Brasil

Running title: Distinct sensory profiles in early psychosis

*Corresponding author:

Name: Maria Lucia de Bustamante Simas
Email: maria.simas@ufpe.br
Cell: +55 81 99696-0697
Address: Laboratório de Percepção Visual, LabVis-UFPE
CFCH 9º Andar, Salas 34-35
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, UFPE
Cidade Universitária
50.670-901, Recife, PE, Brasil

**Abstract**

**Objective:** Characterization of psychosis typically relies on cognitive and behavioral assessments. This study suggests the use of feature-specific sensory experiments to detect subtle perceptual alterations in early psychosis.

**Methods:** Patients (N=120) diagnosed with schizophrenia (SCHZ, N=45), bipolar disorder (BIP, N=36), or first-episode psychosis (FEP, N=39), recruited from public mental health facilities in Brazil, were compared with age-matched healthy controls ($HC_{SCHZ}$, $HC_{BIP}$, and $HC_{FEP}$; pooled from N=94). Independent psychophysical measurements were obtained within each group. The Pictorial-Size-Test (PST) assessed pictorial size perception. Sound-Appreciation-Test (SAT) assessed auditory discomfort.

**Results:** SCHZ circled larger perceived sizes than $HC_{SCHZ}$ (power=95%, d=0.63, $p<0.0001$), FEP circled larger perceived sizes than $HC_{FEP}$ (power=99%, d=2.86, $p<0.0001$), but BIP did not perceive larger sizes than $HC_{BIP}$ in PST.

SCHZ reported higher levels of discomfort than $HC_{SCHZ}$ (power=99%, d=1.29, $p<0.0005$), BIP reported higher levels of discomfort than $HC_{BIP}$ (power=99%, d=2.73, $p<0.0001$) and FEP reported higher levels of discomfort than $HC_{FEP}$ (power=99%, d=1.46, $p<0.0003$) on SAT.

**Conclusions:** The findings suggest that low-cost psychophysical measurements can provide information about sensory alterations in early psychosis revealing dissimilar patterns between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Such patterns are not readily perceived by physician-patient interaction but may add to overall clinical judgement.

Key words: Auditory discomfort; Pictorial size; Schizophrenia; Bipolar Disorder, FEP, Early Psychosis

## INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a disease affecting cognition and work performance of young people (mostly between the ages of 15 and 35 years old). It can produce generalized anatomical[1-7], functional[8-12], biochemical[13-14], and proteomic[15] alterations to the brain.

Psychosis, as described in DSM-5-TR[16] and ICD-11-R[17], is a cluster of symptoms that includes hallucinations, delusions, disorganized speech and impaired cognition. To address those issues, the main scales used to assess psychosis[19-22] as well as the neuropsychological tests[23-25] focus on cognition, memory and behavior.

Evidence of the consequences of recurrent psychosis episodes is duly documented[1-15]. Preserving cognition and memory from any harm and malfunction remains a primary goal. To that end, the possible role of sensory tests as supporting instruments in the assessment of early psychosis is being investigated[26-29].

Sensory measurements show enhanced visual and auditory perceptual alterations occurring in early psychosis[26-31]. Previous experiments with patients diagnosed with depression and schizophrenia are reported elsewhere[26-28]. Experiments with first episode psychosis patients (FEP) were conducted over the last six years.

The tests here presented are based on the empirically observed and previously reported sensory alterations that occur in the process of developing psychosis[33]. The Pictorial Size Test (PST)[26-28] and Sound Appreciation Test (SAT)[29-30] were specifically designed to measure those reported sensory alterations.

Details about the literature on alterations in the size perception of visual illusions in schizophrenia are extensively reviewed elsewhere[26-29]. Indeed, visual size is an issue in the perception of schizophrenia patients and some other mental disorders as reported over time[26-30].

Auditory discomfort in people with established neuropsychiatric symptoms is another issue[28-29].

The present work reports studies employing the same versions of PST and SAT with populations of healthy controls, FEP, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder patients in the natural settings from specialized Brazilian public mental health facilities of Pernambuco, Brazil[34-39].

The present hypotheses are that patients with schizophrenia will present sensory responses compatible with previous literature findings and may report large auditory discomfort. Since some exploratory pilot studies with bipolar and FEP patients showed dissimilar patterns from schizophrenia, a concomitant hypothesis is that there will be distinct visual and auditory patterns for each group of patients as compared to respective controls.

## METHOD

### *Participants*

The present study involved 120 diagnosed patients and 94 healthy controls. Participants were between 15 and 70 years old. Thirty-nine patients formed the first episode psychosis patients (FEP) group, 45 patients the schizophrenia (SCHZ) group, 36 patients the bipolar disorder (BIP) group. Ninety-four participants formed the age matching healthy control groups for each population of patients (HC). Group characteristics are listed in Table 1.

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

### *First Psychosis Episode Patients*

Thirty-nine participants of FEP group (N=39) with undefined diagnostic were attending the mental health facility *Ambulatório de Primeiro Episódio Psicótico do Hospital das Clínicas da Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (AMPEP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE).*

During the 6-year-period of the experiments, some of those patients were diagnosed, transferred and included in either the SCHZ or the BIP groups accordingly. Only those currently in AMPEP remained in the actual FEP group sample. Not all patients were medicated.

*Schizophrenia patients*

The SCHZ group (N=45) was composed of 25 males diagnosed with schizophrenia at the mental *Hospital de Custódia e Tratamento Psiquiátrico (HCTP) from Caetés-PE, Brazil*, and eleven patients diagnosed with schizophrenia at mental health facility *AMPEP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE*. The remaining nine patients were from the outpatient mental health clinic facility *Centros de Atenção Psicossocial (CAPS), CAPS-tipo-II, from AL, Brazil*. All patients were medicated.

*Bipolar patients*

The BIP group (N=36) included 25 patients from four outpatient mental health facilities, that is, *CAPS-tipo-II Espaço Azul, CAPS-tipo-III Galdino Loureto, CAPS-tipo-III David Capistrano and CAPS-tipo-III Espaço Livremente,* all at Recife-PE, Brazil. Three patients were from *CAPS-tipo-II,* from AL, Brazil, and the remaining eight patients were diagnosed at the mental health hospital facility *AMPEP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE.* All patients were medicated.

*Healthy Controls*

Volunteers from the HC group (N=94) were recruited from the general population, and 25 of those were from the school of *Educação de Jovens e Adultos* (EJA), Arcoverde-PE, Brazil.

*Age matching*

Healthy volunteers were pooled from N=94 to be closely paired for age during data processing. Pairing was rigorous and produced the same mean age with similar standard deviations in age-matched group-pairs. A few controls may have been in the composition of more than one control group since the priority was matching for age. This reuse of healthy controls occurred mainly within the same age frame of each group of patients. Generally, controls for PST and SAT were the same HC group sample for comparisons within a group of patients. Independent HC groups were composed for each age group of each group of patients. There were no missing cases.

*Inclusion and exclusion criteria*

Inclusion in the study required patients to be regularly attending the mental health facility, be willing to participate and to understand and follow the test instructions. There was no age restriction. Even a patient with hearing aid was willing to participate and showed adequate performance.

The main exclusion criterium was not understand instructions or being unable to participate due to agitation.

*Main Instrument*

*The Sound Discomfort and Pictorial Size psychophysical measurements scale*, or simply, *SounDPicS*

*(i) The Pictorial Size Test*

The present version of the Pictorial Size Test consists of 20 (15cm X 10cm) color photographs of natural rural scenes on a 20 pages folder, ten of those with vertical symmetry and the other ten with horizontal symmetry axes. Stimuli were viewed at a 30cm distance with the use of a portable apparatus[27] (Figure 1).

In PST participants were instructed to circle the first image they perceived in a glimpse after one training trial.

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

(ii) *The Sound Appreciation Test (SAT)*

This version includes the same 16 Pure-tone frequency sweeps already reported elsewhere[-28-29].

In SAT participants were asked to appreciate the sounds and make a mark on a pad to indicate the intensity of their perception of hearing discomfort after listening to each sound stimulus. The folder also included the written instructions and 20 pages, numbered from 1 to 20, each page picturing a 10 cm visual analog scale representing the continuous dimension from NOTHING BAD (on the left), meaning no discomfort, and to TOO BAD (on the right) to mean maximum auditory discomfort. Each stimulus was played once through high resolution headphones (with noise attenuation) using Bluetooth connection to cell phones at intensities of ~ 65dB (half scale). Prior to measurements, participants were asked to set the volume intensity to the level they normally listen to music as an attempt to normalize sensitivities and control for individual auditory variability.

*Procedure*

Upon signed agreement from the various public mental health institutions where research took place and subsequent submission to the Ethics Committee (Plataforma Brazil under CAEE's registration numbers: #23665419.5.0000.8807; #74757323.7.0000.5208; #57166622.0.0000.5208; #59516722.0.0000.5208; #70836723.0.0000.5208; 70836723.0.3001.8807) the individual experimental sessions began always in the same sequence for every experimental group and HC.

After a thorough explanation of the procedure and assurance of the full understanding of the steps to take place, the participant, and/or the responsible/sponsor, signed the consent form. This was followed by the sociodemographic questionnaire to record personal and familial medical history, and by the MMSE. Whenever available, complimentary data were obtained from medical records. Then visual and auditory basic functions were assessed prior to PST and SAT measurements.

Instructions were printed and read out loud by the experimenter at the beginning of each psychophysical test. Each test only started after complete assurance by the experimenter that the volunteer had fully understood the instructions. Further details can be found in Supplementary material and previous studies[28-29].

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the relevant statistical profiles of participants in the experiments. Mann-Whitney U-Tests for independent samples were used for assessing different group characteristics and mean differences between experimental groups and respective controls. Sex was an unbalanced between samples due to the characteristics of the mental health facilities themselves since they show predominant presence of males. For differences in MMSE group scores refer to Supplementary Table 1.

Participants were matched for age with controls within each experimental group and within each sensory test. For SCHZ and BIP groups all patients run both PST and SAT but for FEP these were slightly different since not all the same patients run both tests. Thus, age means were exactly matched when rounded to integer. As for formal training there were significant differences between SCHZ and $HC_{SCHZ}$ ($p < 0.0001$) and BIP and $HC_{BIP}$ ($p < 0.05$). No differences were found for FEP groups. Visual acuity was worse for SCHZ ($p < 0.0001$) and for BIP ($p < 0.001$) with no differences for FEP. We registered

the number of smokers since nicotine seems to play a role in schizophrenia yet to be unfolded. There was a strong contrast in the number of smokers between experimental groups FEP (ns) and BIP (ns) with SCHZ ($p < 0.0001$). As for medication we noted only the antipsychotics.

*Raw data handling*

Questionnaires and data from PST were tabled in Excel sheets. PST attributed values were the diameter of each circled area on the photographs measured in centimeters and converted to visual angle. Figure 2 illustrates the type of raw data by one participant of HC and one from SCHZ. There were no missing data, but differences in group sample sizes required analysis per experimental group.

INSERT FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE

Data from SAT are described in terms of Sound Discomfort Level (SDL) in relation to the values attributed by the volunteers within the continuous interval from zero to ten as estimates of the variable *Sound Discomfort*. Sound stimulus values were also tabled in Excel and organized by the modulation envelope (Sawtooth, Sine), order (ascending, descending), duration (4s, 8s) and range (0.050-8kHz, 2-8kHz), per volunteer, per group.

Statistical analyses were carried out with the software Statistica Ultimate Academic and GraphPad Prisma 10. Analyses of variances (ANOVA) were run individually for each group of patients and respective controls. Bonferroni corrections were used for multiple comparisons between groups.

Our main results are shown in Figures 3 and 4. These were organized into comparisons between each of three groups of patients and their respective age matched HCs.

INSERT FIGURES 3 ABOUT HERE

Figure 3 (left) shows the first perceived pictorial size mean estimates as well as the statistical differences found in PST for each experimental group and their respective HCs based on the nonparametric Mann Whitney U-Test (based on the relatively small sample sizes). Graphs illustrate first perceived pictorial size grand mean for PST of each stimulus from SCHZ (N=45), BIP (N=36) and FEP (N=39 for PST) groups as compared to their respective sample N and age matched HCs groups. Note that distributions and significant differences ($p < 0.0001$) between estimated values from SCHZ and FEP and respective HCs are very similar. Mann Whitney test showed no differences between groups for BIP.

Figure 3 (right) shows mean sound discomfort levels (SDL) for SAT. Significant differences in mean SDL for independent samples between the three experimental groups, SCHZ (N=45), BIP (N=36) and FEP (N=38 for SAT) and respective age matched controls were found for all three groups of patients, BIP ($p < 0.0001$), FEP ($p < 0.0002$), and SCHZ ($p < 0.0002$). Supplementary Figure 1 shows group means for each measurement and significant differences.

*Simultaneous parametric statistical treatments*

To further examine possible image symmetry effects in the first perceived pictorial sizes of PST, we performed three-factors' ANOVAs (one factor between groups with 2 levels, and two factors within groups: symmetry with 2 levels and scene with 10 levels) individually for SCHZ, BIP and FEP groups (after running normality tests).

Three-factor ANOVA difference effects between groups for first perceived pictorial sizes (PST) were found for SCHZ vs $HC_{SCHZ}$ ($F_{1,44}=13.37$, $p < 0.0007$) and for FEP vs $HC_{FEP}$ ($F_{1,38} = 12.81$, $p < 0.0010$). There were no differences for BIP. There were also symmetry effects for the BIP group ($F_{1,35} = 4.629$, $p < 0.0384$). There were no symmetry x groups differences for SCHZ and FEP, but there was an interaction between group x symmetry x

scene for SCHZ (F9,396 = 3.236, p = 0.0008). Supplementary Figure 2 illustrates differences in first pictorial sizes and symmetries for SCHZ and BIP.

On the other hand, two factors' ANOVAs per experimental group showed significant differences in perceived hearing discomfort for SAT for all three groups: SCHZ vs $HC_{SCHZ}$ ($F_{1,44}$ = 7.619, p < 0.0084), FEP vs $HC_{FEP}$ ($F_{1,37}$ = 7.609, p < 0.0090) and BIP vs $HC_{BIP}$ ($F_{1,35}$ = 30.39, p < 0.0001), being the magnitude of differences between BIP and $HC_{BIP}$ slightly larger than those for SCHZ and FEP groups. The interaction Group x Sound was found only for SCHZ ($F1_{5,660}$ = 2.101, p < 0.0086)

We present supplementary material showing differences between mean for the three experimental groups, for both PST and SAT data where it is possible to see that most of PST values for SCHZ and FEP are way above from their respective age-matched HC groups illustrated with 95% confidence intervals. However, PST values for BIP differ from its respective age-matched HC group only in five from a total of 20 stimuli (Supplementary Figure 1).

*INSERT FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE*

*Bonferroni corrections*

Figure 4 (on the left and middle left) shows the 95% confidence intervals for the first perceived pictorial sizes (including Bonferroni's corrections for multiple comparisons) for both, PST (left side) and SAT (middle left). Again, the distribution of values for the first perceived pictorial sizes (PST) by SCHZ and by FEP groups are very similar, but the differences in magnitudes are higher for FEP. Values for BIP did not deviate from zero.

*Receiver Operating Characteristics and Power analyses for PST and SAT*

On the right of Figure 4 we show the Receiving Operating Characteristics curves (ROC curves) for each comparison between the three experimental groups and their respective HCs for both, PST and SAT estimates. Sensitivities and specificities of the instruments were found to be very high for SCHZ (AUC = 95.3%, $p < 0.0001$) and FEP (AUC = 97.8%, $p < 0.0001$) groups for the first perceived pictorial sizes of PST. Sensitivity and specificity for BIP group illustrated by the ROC curve are minimum for PST, showing that PST does not differentiate between BIP and $HC_{BIP}$ (Figure 4, ROC curve at middle right; AUC = 64.8%, $p = 0.1105$).

Sound discomfort levels in SAT measurements, as illustrated by the ROC curves (middle right, right side) showed the sensitivity and specificity for BIP to be very high (center right side) for differentiating BIP from respective age-matched $HC_{BIP}$ (AUC = 97.3%, $p < 0.0001$). Sound discomfort levels seem to be less efficient than the first perceived pictorial size in PST to distinguish SCHZ (AUC = 86.3%, $p < 0.0005$) and FEP (AUC = 87.1%, $p < 0.0003$) groups from respective age-matched HCs regarding hearing discomfort levels (SDL values).

Power of PST to distinguish healthy controls from SCHZ is 95% ($d = 0.63$, $p < 0.0001$) and to distinguish from FEP from HC is 99%. ($d = 2.86$, $p < 0.0001$). Concomitantly, SAT power to distinguish BIP from HC is 99% ($d = 2.73$, $p < 0.0001$). SAT power for distinguish HCs from SCHZ and FEP are lower: 99% ($d = 1.29$, $p < 0.0005$) and 99% ($d = 1.46$, $p < 0.0003$), respectively. Supplementary Table 2 shows of the tests power probability estimates and Cohen's *d* values.

*Development of a sensory-based classification algorithm using SCHZ and BIP data*

Considering the strong statistical effects found in this study and the PST-SAT combined specificity for SCHZ and BIP, an algorithm for differential sensory classification is being developed derived exclusively from the presented data of SCHZ and BIP.

The SoundPics algorithm is based on empirical data derived from PST and SAT group means and thresholds that yielded a series of eight hierarchical rules defining cut off points for SCHZ and BIP. In an independent sample of 17 FEP patients that were not involved in the FEP group of the present study, the SoundPics algorithm showed a sensitivity of 90% for SCHZ and 69.2% agreement regarding provisory diagnostics. When we included in the sample those who participated in the present study (N39+N17=N56) the general agreement with already closed diagnostics is 64.7%, and confirmation for SCHZ is 76.8%. Further details are found in Supplementary material in the session *Differential classification between SCHZ, BIP and undetermined*

*External validation using the FEP group as an independent test set*

Psychophysical results from FEP consist in external validity of SCHZ and BIP findings described above. The ROC curve profiles for both PST and SAT are very similar between FEP and SCHZ groups even though their respective psychophysical measurements were made independently from one another. These were not predictions from psychometric resources, not a construct or latent variable, on the contrary, these are direct sensory psychophysical measurements from FEP patients that corroborate SCHZ and BIP findings.

Note that the sample of the FEP group for the present study was from an ambulatory (*AMPEP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE*) that selects patients clinically pre-classified as possibly developing schizophrenia.

DISCUSSION

This study proposes a novel perspective to be included in early psychosis evaluation: the sensory component through psychophysical testing.

Our findings of strong PST alterations in the SCHZ group are in line with most recent evidence that have shown clear anatomical and functional differences between normal retina and those from people with schizophrenia[32,40-44].

*Potential of PST and SAT to reveal early and late psychosis*

Our results showed that the psychophysical sensory tests introduced here, PST and SAT, are very efficient and highly specific to reveal and to differentiate people with psychosis episodes that present strong sensory alterations as compared to respective age-matched HCs. The observed effects are large and strong enough to show in small size samples (Supplementary Table 2).

Pictorial Size Test effects are clearly independent from visual acuity since FEP showed normal acuity values and performed similarly to SCHZ group who had worse acuity and were much older. In addition, test measurements and visual acuity assessment were made at a 30 cm distance. Both PST and BIP effects seem to be resistant to medication as shown by the results for BIP and SCHZ patients, and considering that all participants from both groups were medicated.

Both PST and SAT are very useful and costless instruments to evaluate prospective psychosis and for possibly identifying people at risk and in prodromal states together with recent progresses in clinical evaluation[45-47].

*Specificity for psychosis in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder*

The measured sensory alterations show distinct patterns for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Both PST and SAT detect sensory alterations in people with schizophrenia, but

PST show stronger effects for SCHZ, and SAT shows stronger specificity for BIP rather than for SCHZ patients (refer to Figures 3 and 4 as well as supplementary Figures).

*Differential diagnosis*

The public mental health ambulatory for FEP was recently divided into two twins' ambulatories: one for presupposed bipolar disorder (*AMBIP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE*) and one for presupposed schizophrenia (*AMPEP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE*). Measurements for FEP shown in this study are from the new *AMPEP-EBSERH-HC-UFPE,* that is, after the division occurred, thus representing people supposedly headed towards a schizophrenia diagnosis. And both PST and SAT indeed showed similar profiles between SCHZ and FEP corroborating that suspicion.

We are presently starting simultaneous measurements from both ambulatories and starting projects to carry a 3-year follow up of patients from both ambulatories to verify our hypotheses and predictions based on regular reapplications of PST and SAT psychophysical measurements alongside clinical treatment and diagnosis.

*Study limitations*

This series of experiments have some clear limitations: (i) They are from a restricted area from Brazil; (ii) Some patients are from a Forensic Psychiatric Hospital; (iii) No distinction of sex; (iv) Public natural setting; (v) No clear relation with medication.

On the other hand, some of those can be viewed as advantage: (i) Data from different cities at distinct periods of time; (ii) Patients from CAPs circled even larger magnitudes than patients from forensic hospital; (iii) In natural settings we observed prevalence of males; (iv) Public natural settings, instead of limitation, can be considered a strong advantage since it shows clear clinical applications of both instruments, PST and SAT, over a period of time (2019-2025); (v) As far as we can observe, medication did not

strongly interfered with the results since the great variety of patients and medications produced consistent results over this six years period.

We have ongoing studies on both ambulatories specifically investigating: (i) relationship with medication; (ii) relationship with the attending professional clinical impression at the time of each psychophysical measurement; and (iii) three year follow-up for SoundPics algorithm improvement.

Our hope is to be able to medicate sensory symptoms even prior to cognitive dysfunction, and we are submitting a project to the ethics committee to investigate this possibility.

## CONCLUSION

The present study introduces the use of psychophysical sensory measurements that show high potential for assisting in precocious differential diagnosis. The literature is pointing to strong retinal effects in schizophrenia as opposed to bipolar disorder and PST and SAT appears to capture those subtle sensory alterations with simple low-cost psychophysical measurements.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal do Ensino Superior, CAPES,* for support from the *PROAP-Program funding* and for the graduate fellowships to Mateus Monteiro de Gois Barros, Katherine Karla Souza da Silva and Amanda Almeida Rodrigues e Silva, and *PROPESQI-UFPE* for *Science Initiation scholarship* to Kayke Kauã de Siqueira. We also thank Maria Cristina Fortes Santos de Bustamante for making freely available the use of a collection of photographs from her own authorship. We particularly thank Professor José Mauricio Haas Bueno for his comments and review of the manuscript.

## DISCLOSURE

None of the authors have conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Maria Lucia de Bustamante Simas *Idealized instruments, designed experiments, wrote the manuscript and performed statistics treatment and illustrations of data. All data are available under request*, raw data are provided with Supplemental material.

Aline Mendes Lacerda *supervised part of data collection*

Joselma Tavares Frutuoso *supervised part of data collection*

Isabela de Fatima Pina de Almeida *Head of AMPEP public mental health service; supervisor & Clinical assessments*

Mateus Monteiro de Gois Barros *provided collected data from his master's thesis, prepared references, helped preparing documents for the ethics committee.*

Katherine Karla Souza da Silva *provided collected data from her master's thesis*.

Thays Macambira da Silva *provided collected data from her master's thesis*.

Giuliano Baltar Melo de Souza Ramos *provided collected data from his master's thesis*

Tamires Lima da Silva *provided collected data from her master's thesis*

Naianna Mocelin Ribeiro dos Santos *provided collected data from her master's thesis*.

Amanda Almeida Rodrigues e Silva *collected data, prepared references.*

Kayke Kauã de Siqueira *collected data*.

REFERENCES


1. Akudjedu TN, Tronchin G, McInerney S, Scanlon C, Kenney JP, McFarland J, et al. Progression of neuroanatomical abnormalities after first-episode of psychosis: A 3-year longitudinal sMRI study. J Psychiatr Res. 2020;130:137-51.
2. Briend F, Nelson EA, Maximo O, Armstrong WP, Kraguljac NV, Lahti AC. Hippocampal glutamate and hippocampus subfield volumes in antipsychotic-naive first episode psychosis subjects and relationships to duration of untreated psychosis. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10:137.

3. Garcia-Marti G, Escarti MJ, Nacher J, Perez-Rando M, Mane A, Usall J, et al. Progressive loss of cortical gray matter in first episode psychosis patients with auditory hallucinations. Schizophr Res. 2024;267:534-45.
4. Chopra S, Levi PT, Holmes A, Orchard ER, Segal A, Francey SM, et al. Brainwide Anatomical Connectivity and Prediction of Longitudinal Outcomes in Antipsychotic-Naïve First-Episode Psychosis. Biol Psychiatry. 2024.
5. Blasco MB, Aji KN, Ramos-Jiménez C, Leppert IR, Tardif CL, Cohen J, et al. Synaptic Density in Early Stages of Psychosis and Clinical High Risk. JAMA Psychiatry. 2024.
6. Berthet P, Haatveit BC, Kjelkenes R, Worker A, Kia SM, Wolfers T, et al. A 10-Year Longitudinal Study of Brain Cortical Thickness in People with First- Episode Psychosis Using Normative Models. Schizophr Bull. 2024;sbae107.
7. Bernstein HG, Nussbaumer M, Vasilevska V, Dobrowolny H, Nickl-Jockschat T, Guest PC, et al. Glial cell deficits are a key feature of schizophrenia: implications for neuronal circuit maintenance and histological differentiation from classical neurodegeneration. Mol Psychiatry. 2024.
8. Gao Z, Xiao Y, Zhu F, Tao B, Zhao Q, Yu W, et al. Multilayer network analysis reveals instability of brain dynamics in untreated first-episode schizophrenia. Cereb Cortex. 2024;34:bhae402.
9. Yang C, Zhang W, Yao L, Liu N, Shah C, Zeng J, et al. Functional Alterations of White Matter in Chronic Never-Treated and Treated Schizophrenia Patients. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2017;46:757-64.
10. Anteraper SA, Guell X, Collin G, Qi Z, Ren J, Nair A, et al. Abnormal Function in Dentate Nuclei Precedes the Onset of Psychosis: A Resting-State fMRI Study in High-Risk Individuals. Schizophr Bull. 2021;47:1421-30.

11. Ruan X, Zhang L, Duan M, Yao D, Luo C, He H. Disrupted functional connectivity between visual and emotional networks in psychosis risk syndromes through representational similarity analysis. Front Psychiatry. 2025;16:1533675.
12. Rodríguez-Toscano E, Martínez K, Fraguas D, Janssen J, Pina-Camacho L, Arias B, et al. Prefrontal abnormalities, executive dysfunction and symptoms severity are modulated by COMT Val158Met polymorphism in first episode psychosis. Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment (Barc). 2022;15:74-87.
13. Howes OD, Bukala BR, Beck K. Schizophrenia: from neurochemistry to circuits, symptoms and treatments. Nat Rev Neurol. 2024.
14. Squarcina L, Stanley JA, Bellani M, Altamura CA, Brambilla P. A review of altered biochemistry in the anterior cingulate cortex of first-episode psychosis. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2017;26:122-8.
15. Berdeville CHSF, Silva-Amaral D, Dalgalarrondo P, Banzato CEM, Martins-de-Souza D. A scoping review of protein biomarkers for schizophrenia: State of progress, underlying biology, and methodological considerations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2025;168:105949.
16. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed., text revision. American Psychiatric Association. 2022.
17. World Health Organization. International classification of diseases for mortality and morbidity statistics. 11th ed. World Health Organization. 2022.
18. Tandon R, Nasrallah H, Akbarian S, Carpenter WT Jr, DeLisi LE, Gaebel W, et al. The schizophrenia syndrome, circa 2024: What we know and how that informs its nature. Schizophr Res. 2024;264:1-28.

19. Lima MS, Soares BG, Paoliello G, Vieira RM, Martins CM, Mota Neto JI, et al. The Portuguese version of the Clinical Global Impression – Schizophrenia Scale: validation study. Rev Bras Psiquiatr. 2007;29:246-9.
20. Overall JE, Gorham DR. The brief psychiatric rating scale. Psychol Rep. 1962;10:799-812.
21. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for Schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. 1987;13:261-76.
22. Yung AR, Yuen HP, McGorry PD, Phillips LJ, Kelly D, Dell'Olio M, et al. Mapping the onset of psychosis: the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2005;39:964-71.
23. Carvalho VA, Caramelli P. Brazilian adaptation of the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R). Dement Neuropsychol. 2007;1:212-6.
24. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12:189-98.
25. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:695-9.
26. Simas MLDB, Nogueira RMTBL, Menezes GMM, Amaral VF, Lacerda AM, Santos NA. O uso de pinturas de Dalí como ferramenta para avaliação das alterações na percepção de forma e tamanho em pacientes esquizofrênicos. Psicol USP. 2011;22:67-80.
27. Lacerda, AM, Simas, MLB, Menezes, GMM. (2020). Changes in visual size perception in schizophrenia and depression. Psicol Pesquisa, 14 (spe), 140-153.

28. Simas MLDB, Maranhão ACT, Lacerda AM, Teixeira FS, Freire CHR, Raposo CCS, et al. Pictorial size perception in schizophrenia. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2021;34:36.
29. Simas MLDB, Santos NRM, Lacerda AM. Auditory perceptual discomfort and low-hearing tolerance in the first episode psychosis. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2022;35:20.
30. Simas MLDB, Silva TL, Santos NRM, Lacerda AM. Mutually exclusive disorder-dependent hearing discomfort in first-episode psychosis and panic disorder: two experiments using the same auditory stimulus set and two similar musical sequences. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2022;35:37.
31. Haigh SM, Haggerty JA, Delgado A. Auditory discomfort and visual sensitivity. Vision Res. 2025;234:108655.
32. Ifrah C, Herrera SN, Silverstein SM, Corcoran CM, Gordon J, Butler PD, et al. The Relationship between Clinical and Psychophysical Assessments of Visual Perceptual Disturbances in Individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis: A Preliminary Study. Brain Sci. 2024;14:819.
33. Simas MLDB, *Esquizofrenia: seus fenômenos perceptivos e cognitivos na primeira pessoa.* Editora Appris, 2018.
34. Santos NRM. Avaliação de alterações sensório-perceptuais de pacientes em primeiro episódio psicótico. [Dissertação de mestrado]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2021.
35. Silva TL. Desconforto sonoro em pessoas com transtorno de pânico e autorrelato de sintomas sensoriais concomitantes. [Dissertação de mestrado]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2022.
36. Ramos GBMS. Avaliação multissensorial de usuários de Centro de Atenção Psicossocial com foco nos diagnósticos que incluem sintomas de psicose. [Dissertação de mestrado]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2023.

37. Barros MMG. Percepção pictorial, força de preensão palmar e nível de desconforto sonoro na esquizofrenia. [Dissertação de mestrado]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2023.
38. Silva TM. Aspectos sensório-perceptuais nas condições pós-COVID. [Dissertação de mestrado]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2024.
39. Silva KKS. Avaliação multissensorial em pessoas com transtorno bipolar. [Dissertação de mestrado]. Recife: Universidade Federal de Pernambuco; 2024.
40. Kapisthalam S, Bi H, Zhang Y, Clark AM, Thompson JL, Poletti M, et al. Fixational eye movements and visual acuity in patients with schizophrenia. J Vis. 2025;25:2442.
41. Shoham N, Lewis G, Hayes JF, Silverstein SM, Cooper C. Association between visual impairment and psychosis: A longitudinal study and nested case-control study of adults. Schizophr Res. 2023;254:81-89. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2023.02.017.
42. Green KM, Choi JJ, Ramchandran RS and Silverstein SM (2022) OCT and OCT Angiography Offer New Insights and Opportunities in Schizophrenia Research and Treatment. Front. Digit. Health 4:836851. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2022.836851
43. Schwarzer JM, Meyhoefer I, Antonucci LA, et al. The impact of visual dysfunctions in recent-onset psychosis and clinical high-risk state for psychosis. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022;47(12):2051-2060. doi:10.1038/s41386-022-01385-3
44. Diamond, A., Silverstein, S.M. & Keane, B.P. Visual system assessment for predicting a transition to psychosis. Transl Psychiatry 12, 351 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-022-02111-9
45. Williams TF, Gold JM, Waltz JA, Schiffman J, Ellman LM, Strauss GP, et al. Identifying individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis using a battery of tasks sensitive to symptom mechanisms. Transl Psychiatry. 2025;15:311.

46. Gadelha A, Biokino RM, Lorencetti P, Crossley NA, Bordini D, Massuda R. Introducing a new severity specifier for schizophrenia: conceptual framework and clinical implications. Braz J Psychiatry. 2024;46:e20243722.
47. Jobim GS, do Amaral JV, Pacheco JPG, Gadelha A, Miguel EC, Bressan RA, et al. Clinical properties of the short mood and feelings questionnaire: Development of a free calculator based on the Brazilian high-risk cohort study. J Psychiatr Res. 2025;190:457-464.

| GROUP | AGE MEAN (SD) | FORMAL TRAINING MEAN YRS (SD) | MARITAL STATUS N | VISUAL ACUITY MEAN (SD) | SMOKER N | ARIPIPRAZOLE N | OLANZAPINE N | RISPERIDONE N | HALOPERIDOL N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FEP-PST (N=39) | 26,1 (9,63) | 11,2 (2,92) | 21 S; 4 M; 14 NI | 0,99 (0,08) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| HC-PST | 26,3 (8,96) | 12,2 (2,61) | 30 S; 3 M; 6 NI | 0,99 (0,08) | 0 | | | | |
| FEP-SAT (N=38) | 25,5 (8,98) | 11,4 (2,78) | 21 S; 3 M; 14 NI | 0,93 (0,17) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| HC-SAT | 25,8 (8,43) | 12,1 (2,58) | 30 S; 2 M; 6 NI | 0,99 (0,08) | 0 | | | | |
| DIFFERENCE | NS | NS | ----- | NS | NS | | | | |
| SCHZ (N=45) | 34.4 (11.2) | 8.1 (3.05) | 43 S; 2 M | 0,61 (0,25) | 23 | 3 | 7 | 26 | 12 |
| HC | 34.2 (12.05) | 11.6 (3.62) | 23 S; 5 M; 13 NI | 0,88 (0,21) | 2 | | | | |
| DIFFERENCE | NS | p < 0.0001 | ---- | p < 0.0001 | P < 0.0001 | | | | |

| GROUP | AGE MEAN (SD) | FORMAL TRAINING MEAN YRS (SD) | MARITAL STATUS N | VISUAL ACUITY MEAN (SD) | SMOKER N | ARIPIPRAZOLE N | OLANZAPINE N | RISPERIDONE N | HALOPERIDOL N |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BIP PST-SAT (N=36) | 37.8 (12.39) | 10.5 (3.81) | 32 S; 4 M; | 0.70 (0.31) | 6 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| HC-PST | 37.8 (12.92) | 12.7 (3.34) | 17 S; 8 M; 11 NI | 0.94 (0.13) | 1 | | | | |
| HC-SAT | 37.7 (12.84) | 12.1 (4.28) | 15 S; 11 M; 10 NI | 0.88 (0.19) | 2 | | | | |
| DIFFERENCE | NS | P < 0.05 | ----- | P < 0.001 | NS | | | | |

**Table 1:** Experimental and control groups' sociodemographic characteristics and MMSE and Addenbrooke scores.

**Table 1 (cont):**

| GROUP | N | MMSE Median | *p* VALUE | N | ADDENBROOKE | *p* VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FEP / HC-FEP | 39 | 30 / 30 | NS | 14 | 80,5 / 91,4 | 0.0155 |
| SCHZ / HC-SCHZ | 42 | 21 / 30 | < 0.0001 | - | - | - |
| BIPOLAR / HC-BIP | 29 | 23 / 29 | < 0.0001 | - | - | - |
| SCHZ / BIP | 42 / 29 | 21 / 23 | 0.0069 | - | - | - |

**Figure 1** shows experimental PST set up and SAT material



**Figure 2** Examples of PST responses from a participant from HC group (top) and one from SCHZ group (bottom). Circles are marked black by the participants.



**Figure 3** Group means per stimuli for PST and SAT. Differences between groups were assessed by both Mann Whitney U test and t-test (both highly significant). We report here t statistic values.



**Figure 4** Bonferroni corrections for multiple measurements are shown (95% Confidence Interval) on the left side for the six sets of measurements (PST left, SAT right). The ROC curves corresponding to each of the same six sets of measurements on the left are shown in the right side.