Prevalence of Malaria in Tanzania: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Hillary R. Sebukoto[1*], Charles B. Kafaiya[2], Angelina M. Lutambi[3], Paul M. Hayuma[1], Johnson J. Mshiu[4], Obadia Bishoge[2], Irene R. Mremi[2], Kunda J. Stephen[4], Jonathan M. Mshana[2], Mary T. Mayige[2]

Authors Affiliations

[1]National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR), Tanga centre, Tanzania

[2]National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR), HQ-Sub office, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

[3] National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR), Dodoma centre, Tanzania

[4]National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR), Muhimbili centre, Tanzania

[*]**Correspondence:** e-mail: hillary.sebukoto@nimr.or.tz

Abstract

Background: Understanding malaria epidemiology in Tanzania is a step toward achieving malaria control and elimination targets by 2030. This meta-analysis derived robust estimates of malaria prevalence and *Plasmodium* species from studies in Tanzania published from 2014 – 2024.

Methods: Following the PRISMA guidelines, we searched PubMed, Google Scholar, and Science Direct using the PICOS framework for study selection. We used the Simonian-Laird random-effects model to obtain the pooled malaria prevalence. Study quality was assessed using Covidence, with heterogeneity quantified by the I^2 index.

Results: We included 31 high-quality studies. Pooled malaria prevalence was 17% (95% CI: 11–22, $I^2 = 98.1\%$, $p < 0.001$) by microscopy, 19% (95% CI: 12–25, $I^2 = 99.8\%$, $p < 0.0001$) by RDT, and 8% (95% CI: 2–14, $I^2 = 94.8\%$, $p < 0.001$) by PCR. Children had the highest rates: 22% (RDT), 19% (microscopy), and 20% (PCR); adults had 11%, 14%, and 36%, respectively. Common mixed infections involved *P. falciparum* with *P. ovale* (22%) or *P. malariae* (18%). P. vivax co-infections were rare (5%). Lake zone regions had the highest disease prevalence (36.5%).

Conclusion: Malaria disproportionately affects children in Tanzania, underscoring their vulnerability to infection. The predominance of *P. falciparum* mixed infections underscores the complex epidemiology in the country. The high burden in Lake zones emphasizes the need for targeted interventions. Enhanced diagnostics, focused control strategies, and surveillance are essential in reducing the disease burden and advancing control efforts in the country.

Keywords: Malaria prevalence, Systematic review, Meta-analysis, *Plasmodium falciparum*, Tanzania

Introduction

Malaria remains a major global public health challenge, with cases rising to 249 million and 608,000 deaths in 2022, a nearly 6% increase since 2019 [1-3]. The African region bears 94% of global cases and 95% of all deaths [3-5]. Factors such as climate change and disruptions during the COVID-19 pandemic have exacerbated the burden threatening progress in malaria control [6-10]. Among other sub-Saharan African countries, Tanzania contributes significantly to the global number of cases and deaths [11,12].

Malaria transmission involves symptomatic infections, which prompt treatment and limit spread, versus asymptomatic cases that persist undetected as hidden reservoirs sustaining community transmission. These carriers challenge elimination efforts, requiring sensitive diagnostics to break infection cycles and support public health interventions [13,14].

In Tanzania, where malaria remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality across all age groups [15,16], understanding symptomatic and asymptomatic burdens is crucial. National surveys and hospital records indicate that the disease accounts for a substantial proportion of outpatient visits and hospital admissions [17,18]. Despite the reductions in malaria cases and deaths over the past two decades, lake and coastal regions experience persistently high transmission rates [19,20]. This is compounded by the fact that approximately 93% of Mainland Tanzania's population resides in transmission areas [12], with patterns varying across regions [21,22]. Studies have reported wide prevalence estimates, influenced by differences in

populations, locations, and diagnostic [23-27]. This heterogeneity underscores the need for data synthesis to precisely assess the national burden

Several studies across Tanzania's regions have estimated malaria prevalence [24,27-29], but yield conflicting results due to variation in scope, methodology, sample sizes, and diagnostics. This meta-analysis pools these data for precise national estimates, identifying high-burden and vulnerable groups needing intensified interventions, and reveals demographic/regional disparities to guide evidence-based control and resource allocation. Understanding this burden evaluates intervention effectiveness, informs policy, and supports strategic planning; thus, this systematic review and meta-analysis consolidates evidence to provide robust prevalence estimates, laying a foundation for context-specific research and public health efforts toward reducing malaria morbidity and mortality

Materials and Methods

Settings

This review included studies conducted in the United Republic of Tanzania, an East African country. Tanzania shares borders with eight countries and the Indian Ocean and has an estimated population of about 62 million people as per the 2022 National Census [30]. The country's diverse geography and climatic zones contribute to substantial spatial variations in malaria transmission.

Review procedures

The systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, from defining the research question to manuscript preparation, providing a structured framework for conducting and reporting systematic

reviews, encompassing all sections of the manuscript, including the title, abstract, introduction, methods, results and discussion [31-33].

Protocol registration

The protocol for this systematic review and meta-analysis was registered through PROSPERO with an ID number PROSPERO 2025 CRD420251006620.

Literature search and search strategy

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) methodology was used for the literature search, study selection, data extraction, and reporting of findings for this review [34]. Comprehensive searches were conducted in PubMed, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect to identify articles on malaria prevalence across all Tanzania regions. We used a methodical keyword search approach, matching search phrases to Medical Subject Headings (MeSH). Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine or separate keywords to improve search precision. The search combined MeSH terms ("Prevalence"[MeSH], "Malaria"[MeSH], "Plasmodium"[MeSH], "Plasmodium falciparum"[MeSH]) AND location terms covering Tanzania[MeSH] plus all regions in Tanzania (see Table 3). All studies on the prevalence of malaria in Tanzania that were published between 2014 and 2024 were included.

Selection criteria and search outcomes

The PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study Design) model was employed to define the study selection criteria. The population included all humans regardless of age and sex. Since the focus was on prevalence, no specific intervention or comparison was required. The primary outcome was the reported prevalence of malaria in Tanzania, and eligible study designs included original cross-sectional studies, cohort studies, case-control studies, experimental studies or

modelling studies. The review also included studies reporting on the prevalence of malaria in Tanzania over the past 10 years (2014 –2024). The following exclusion criteria were applied: animal studies or laboratory-based studies without clinical relevance; reviews, letters, notes, editorials, conference reports and qualitative studies.

The search process identified a total of 611 articles related to the review topic. All Studies were imported into Covidence, a web-based systematic review management software, for screening, full article review and data extraction. A total of 31 studies satisfied the set criteria and were thus included in this review (Figure 1).

Information sources

A comprehensive search was conducted across multiple databases and sources to identify studies reporting on the prevalence of malaria in Tanzania. The bibliographic databases search included PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/), Science Direct (https://www.sciencedirect.com/), with all searches completed in March 2025. These databases were selected for their extensive coverage of public and global health literature. All sources were searched with restrictions on publication date (2014-2024), and search strategies were tailored to each database using appropriate keywords and Boolean operators. The full search strategy is provided in the supplementary materials (Table 3).

Quality assessment of the included studies

Quality assessment was conducted using Covidence, an online review-management platform that facilitated a structured and transparent evaluation of study bias. We assessed each study using nine criteria from the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) for prevalence studies, scoring each item (yes = 1; no or unclear = 0). Four independent reviewers recorded judgments and supporting evidence within Covidence, and any disagreements were resolved through consensus.

The analysis showed that the included studies had a low risk of bias, with an average total score of 96%, which exceeds the 50% threshold defined for acceptable quality (see Table 2 in supplementary materials).

Data extraction, data analysis and synthesis

The following data were extracted from each included article: study ID, study title, study DOI, authors, study location, study objective, design, start and end dates, population description, inclusions and exclusion criteria, participants' recruitment methods, sample size, baseline population characteristics and malaria prevalence proportions by species and overall.

After extracting the data via Covidence data extraction sheet, we utilized Stata MP. 17 version for statistical analysis. Because of the considerable heterogeneity in the study, we adopted random-effects models. Otherwise, it generates study encumbrances, mostly used to distinguish between different research variations. The I^2 statistic, which has a value ranging from 0 to 100%, predicts that the major difference among studies involved in a systematic review and meta-analysis is attributable to heterogeneity instead of chance. Low, medium and high heterogeneity between trials is indicated by I^2 values of 25%, 50% and 75% plus. When the p-value was less than 0.05, the presence of heterogeneity was determined.

Study selection

The search strategy initially identified 611 titles for screening. After eliminating duplicates, 310 titles and abstracts were screened. After a full-text review of 51 studies, 31 met our inclusion criteria. There was substantial agreement between four reviewers at the titles and abstract screening stage (κ = 0.781; 95% CI, 0.749-0.812) and at the full-text screening stage (κ =0.702; 95% CI, 0.581-0.822) (Figure1).

Patient and public involvement (PPI)

Patients and the public were not involved in the design, conduct, or reporting of this study, as it is a systematic review and meta-analysis of published cross-sectional studies using only secondary aggregate data, making direct PPI not applicable

Results

Study characteristics

A total of 31 cross-sectional studies conducted across various regions of Tanzania were included in this review. The studies covered diverse geographical areas, including both mainland and coastal regions. About 45.2% of the studies targeted participants of all age groups, while others focused specifically on school-aged children, under-fives, adults, and pregnant women. The studies were published between 2014 and early 2025, reflecting a growing research interest in malaria across Tanzania. Overall, the included studies provide a comprehensive representation of malaria prevalence across different demographic groups and ecological settings within the country (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies

Study	**Region**	**Study population**
Briggs et al., 2014(35)	Mwanza and Mtwara	All ages
Chacha et al., 2025(36)	Kagera, Kigoma, Njombe, Ruvuma and Tanga	All ages
Chacky et al., 2018(26)	Tanzania Mainland	School children
Chilongola et al., 2020(37)	Tanga	All ages
Edwin et al., 2018(38)	Tanzania Mainland	Under-fives
Kalinga et al., 2019(39)	Kigoma, Tanga, Ruvuma and Mara	Adults
Kayange et al., 2024(40)	Mwanza	Children
Khatib et al., 2018(41)	Pwani	All ages
Kim et al., 2015(42)	Mwanza	School children

Kołodziej et al., 2024(43)	Arusha	All ages
Lyimo et al., 2025(44)	Tanga	Children
Mandai et al., 2024(45)	Kagera	All ages
Martine et al., 2021(46)	Tanga	Children
Mazigo et al., 2017(20)	Morogoro	All ages
Mazigo et al., 2025(47)	Geita, Kigoma and Arusha	All ages
Mboera et al., 2015(48)	Morogoro	School children
Mlugu et al., 2020(49)	Pwani	Pregnant women
Morona et al., 2017(50)	Mwanza	Adults
Mwaiswelo et al., 2021(51)	Mtwara	All ages
Nnimbo et al., 2023(52)	Tabora	Adults
Nzobo et al., 2015(27)	Morogoro	School children
Peprah et al., 2019(53)	Simiyu, Geita, Mara & Mwanza	Children
Popkin-Hall et al., 2023(54)	Dar es Salaam, Dodoma, Kagera, Kilimanjaro, Manyara, Mara and Mtwara	All ages
Popkin-Hall et al., 2024(55)	Ruvuma, Tanga and Kigoma	All ages
Rapp et al., 2024(56)	Pwani	All ages
Rumisha et al., 2019(19)	Morogoro	All ages
Sendor et al., 2023(57)	Arusha, Iringa, Kagera, Mara, Mtwara, Rukwa, Tabora and Tanga	School children
Smart et al., 2016(58)	Mwanza	Children
Sumari et al., 2017(28)	Pwani	School children

Tarimo et al., 2022(59)	Pwani	All ages
Zablon et al., 2015(60)	Dodoma	Pregnant women

Figure 2 shows the distribution of included studies by the malaria diagnostic method. Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) were the most frequently used, followed by microscopy and PCR. Each dot represents a study, with the number above each dot indicating the total number of studies employing that method, providing a clear comparison across diagnostic approaches.

Prevalence of Malaria in Tanzania by Mode of Diagnosis

Out of 31 studies included in this review, 15 reported specifically on malaria prevalence. Using a random-effects inverse-variance model, the pooled prevalence of malaria was estimated at 16% (95% CI: 12–21%). Subgroup analysis by diagnostic method revealed pooled prevalence estimates of 19% (95% CI: 12–25%) for rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), 8.0% (95% CI: 2–14%) for polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and 17% (95% CI: 11–22%) for microscopy, with all estimates statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Substantial heterogeneity was observed across studies (overall $I^2 = 99.6\%$, $p < 0.001$), and significant differences were noted between subgroups ($p = 0.037$), indicating that variations in diagnostic methods contributed to the observed differences in malaria prevalence (Figure 3).

Prevalence of Malaria in Tanzania stratified by age and Mode of diagnosis

The results shown in Figure 4 reveal significant variations in malaria prevalence across age groups and diagnostic methods. Children exhibited the highest prevalence, with 22% detected by MRDT, 20% by PCR, and 19% by microscopy, whereas adults had lower rates of 11%, 36%, and 14%, respectively. Overall, rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) consistently produced higher positivity rates (25%) compared to microscopy (16%) and PCR (18%).

Prevalence of Asymptomatic Malaria by Mode of Diagnosis

Using a random-effects inverse-variance model asymptomatic Malaria prevalence varied by diagnostic methods. For rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), prevalence ranged from 5% to 62%, with a pooled prevalence of 22% (95% CI: 15–28%) and Microscopy-based estimates ranged from 8% to 41%, yielding a pooled prevalence of 19% (95% CI: 12.0–25.0%), whereas PCR-based prevalence ranged from 28.0% to 57.0%, with a pooled prevalence of 40% (95% CI: 28.0–52%). All subgroup estimates were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), but substantial heterogeneity was observed across studies (RDTs $I^2 = 99.7\%$, microscopy $I^2 = 98.8\%$, PCR $I^2 = 97.7\%$, overall, $I^2 = 99.6\%$, $p < 0.001$). Between-subgroup analysis indicated significant differences in prevalence by diagnostic method ($p = 0.008$), suggesting that variations in diagnostic approaches contributed to the observed differences in malaria prevalence across studies (Figure 5).

Prevalence of Asymptomatic Malaria by Species

Plasmodium falciparum remains the dominant malaria species among asymptomatic patients in Tanzania, accounting for the majority of infections detected (Figure 6**).** Non-falciparum species, including *P. malariae* and *P. ovale*, were also detected, though at relatively lower frequencies.

Prevalence of Malaria species by Diagnostic methods

The data in Figure 7 indicate clear differences in species detection across diagnostic methods. PCR-based studies reported a greater diversity of malaria species compared to microscopy or RDTs. A comparison of diagnostic performance reveals that PCR has superior sensitivity in identifying low-density and non-falciparum infections that may be missed by conventional diagnostics. This finding provides strong evidence that molecular diagnostics are

crucial for comprehensive malaria surveillance, especially in low-transmission and elimination settings where submicroscopic infections predominate.

Prevalence of Malaria by Mixed Species

The results, as seen in Figure 8, indicate that mixed-species infections, though relatively uncommon, occur across various regions in Tanzania. Most mixed infections involved *P. falciparum* in combination with *P. malariae* (18%) or *P. ovale* (22%), while co-infections with *P. vivax* were less frequently reported (5%).

Prevalence of Malaria by Region

A notable geographical variation of malaria burden across Tanzania was seen. The highest prevalence was observed in the Lake Zone, comprising Simiyu, Geita, Mara, and Mwanza, with an average prevalence of 18% and 36.5%, respectively. This was followed by the Coastal regions of Tanga (18.2%) and Mtwara (12.5%), and the Western Zone (Tabora, 9.5%). In contrast, markedly lower prevalence rates were recorded in the Northern and Central zones, particularly in Arusha and Dodoma (5.0% and 0.5% respectively) (Figure 9).

Publication bias

The observed asymmetry from the funnel plot may suggest small-study effects or publication bias, though it could also represent genuine heterogeneity due to variations in diagnostic methods, settings, or populations across studies. The significant heterogeneity (Q = 6603.43) necessitates cautious interpretation of the funnel plot's asymmetry (Figure 10).

Discussion

The significant malaria burden in Tanzania is highlighted by this systematic review and meta-analysis, which is in line with earlier research and reports from several African nations, including Nigeria, Uganda, Kenya and Ethiopia [61-64]. The regional similarity may not only be due to shared ecological conditions, but also to environmental conditions, which include high vector density, favourable breeding sites, and comparable socio-economic and health system factors influencing malaria transmission [65-67]. These findings have important implications for malaria diagnostics, surveillance, and control efforts. The choice of diagnostic method influenced the prevalence estimates, with rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) consistently providing the highest rates, while PCR-based diagnostics reported the lowest rates (19% and 8%, respectively). The observed variation reflects underlying differences in test sensitivity, antigen persistence with RDTs, and the detection thresholds specific to each assay [68]. Furthermore, the observed higher prevalence with microscopy compared to PCR might be due to operator skill and variability in parasite densities, as reported in different literature [69,70]. These results underscore malaria as a significant public health concern and suggest that achieving the malaria elimination goal in Tanzania by 2030 will necessitate a shift to more targeted, evidence-based strategies that are informed by comprehensive surveillance and the strategic selection of diagnostic tools.

Age-specific analysis indicates that malaria prevalence is significantly higher in children than in adults, aligning with WHO findings of greater susceptibility in younger populations [5]. This increased risk is due to incomplete immunity and frequent mosquito exposure [71,72].

Variations were noted in the prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum*, with RDTs showing potential underestimation of malaria cases in children. Similar studies in Nigeria, Uganda, and Ethiopia also found that RDT sensitivity is lower compared to microscopy in detecting malaria in children, particularly in areas with lower transmission rates [73-75].

The prevalence of asymptomatic malaria detected by PCR (40%) significantly exceeds that detected by microscopy (19%) and RDT (22%). This aligns with research indicating a higher sensitivity of PCR for low-density infections compared to conventional methods, which often miss submicroscopic carriers [76]. While microscopy is the gold standard for diagnosing malaria due to its quantification capabilities, it struggles with low parasitemia sensitivity [77]. This limitation echoes findings from Uganda [78] and highlights the risks of relying solely on such surveillance methods. RDTs, although rapid and specific, also have higher detection thresholds than PCR, potentially leading to underestimation of asymptomatic cases [79]. The substantial 40% positivity rate underscores asymptomatic malaria as a hidden reservoir for transmission, raising concerns about the sufficiency of current surveillance practices, especially in endemic regions like Tanzania, impacting future malaria control strategies.

A notably higher prevalence of mixed-species malaria infections was observed in the current meta-analysis, with a pooled prevalence of 17%, which is significantly higher compared to previous literature [80]. A recent meta-analysis conducted in Southern Asia reported that the risk of severe malaria among patients with mixed infections was found to be comparable to those with P. falciparum mono-infection, suggesting that there are possibilities of mixed infections to offer protective immunological effects, such as reduced risk of severe anemia with P. falciparum/P. vivax co-infection due to cross-species immunity [81]. However, studies report that mixed infections are often under-recognized by standard microscopy, which can lead to

inadequate treatment and an increased risk of drug resistance [82]. This is a salient challenge, especially in Tanzania, where there is evidence of artemisinin partial resistance in the northwestern part of the country [45]. This, therefore, complicates the management of mixed infections, as inadequate or misdiagnosed treatment could further spread resistant strains, suggesting a need for enhanced molecular surveillance and tailored malaria control programs in the country [83].

Pronounced regional heterogeneity in malaria prevalence was observed, representing one of the study's most important findings. The Lake Zone, particularly Simiyu, exhibited the highest prevalence (36.5%), confirming it as a persistent hotspot. In comparison, Dodoma reported a very low prevalence of 0.5%, highlighting substantial geographical disparities despite national control efforts. It is possible to hypothesize that ecological factors, vector density, and breeding conditions contribute to these regional differences [22,84]. These findings highlight the persistent heterogeneity of malaria transmission across ecological zones, with lake and coastal areas remaining the country's primary malaria hotspots.

These data must be interpreted with caution because of the substantial heterogeneity observed across all subgroups in the meta-analysis. It may be the case that some low-prevalence estimates detected by PCR reflect limitations in detecting low-density infections rather than true reductions in transmission. Despite these limitations, this review provides comprehensive and valuable insights into malaria prevalence patterns, age-specific burdens, and regional disparities, offering critical guidance for spatially targeting future control interventions.

Conclusion

This systematic review reveals marked heterogeneity in malaria prevalence across Tanzania's mainland regions, underscoring the imperative for geographically tailored interventions. Established best practices warrant sustained implementation, while evidence gaps demand urgent attention. Robust surveillance systems paired with highly sensitive diagnostics remain essential to attaining elimination targets by 2030

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the researchers who made their work publicly available online; their contributions were instrumental in making this review possible.

Author's contribution

Conceptualization, HRS, CBK, PMH, AML, MTM, JMM, KJS, IRM, OB and JJM; Protocol registration, Literature search, Full article review, Writing the manuscript, HRS, CBK, MTM, AML and PMH; Data analysis and Interpretation, AML and CBK; Screening, Data extraction and Review, HRS, PMH and CBK; Review and editing of the manuscript, MTM, JMM and AML

Funding statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Informed consent statement

Not applicable.

Data availability

The datasets used in this review are available upon request to the corresponding author.

Competing interests

No conflict of interest declared.

References

1. Kogan F. Malaria Burden. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-46020-4_2: Springer, Cham; 2020 27 October 2025. 15–41 p.

2. Varo R, Chaccour C, Bassat Q. Update on malaria. Med Clin (Barc). 2020;155(9):395–402.

3. WHO: World Malaria Report 2024 [Internet]. 2025 [cited 27 October 2025]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39923782/.

4. Li J, Docile HJ, Fisher D, Pronyuk K, Zhao L. Current Status of Malaria Control and Elimination in Africa: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Treatment, Progress and Challenges. J Epidemiol Glob Health. 2024;14(3):561–79.

5. World Malaria Report 2023 [Internet]. WHO. 2023 [cited 27 October 2025]. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/global-malaria-programme/reports/world-malaria-report-2023.

6. Hussein MIH, Albashir AAD, Elawad OAMA, Homeida A. Malaria and COVID-19: unmasking their ties. Springer. 2020;19(1):457–.

7. Nghochuzie NN, Olwal CO, Udoakang AJ, Amenga-Etego LNK, Amambua-Ngwa A. Pausing the fight against malaria to combat the COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: is the future of malaria bleak? frontiersinorg. 2020;11:1476–.

8. Prabhu SR, Ware AP, Saadi AV, Brand A, Ghosh SK, Kamath A, et al. Malaria epidemiology and COVID-19 pandemic: are they interrelated? liebertpubcom. 2022;26(4):179–88.

9. Heuschen AK, Lu G, Razum O, Abdul-Mumin A, Sankoh O, von Seidlein L, et al. Public health-relevant consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on malaria in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Springer. 2021;20(1).

10. De Laval F, Maugey N, Bonet D'Oleon A, Pommier De Santi V, Ficko C. Increased risk of severe malaria in travellers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Travel Medicine. 2021;28(6).

11. Oladipo HJ, Tajudeen YA, Oladunjoye IO, Yusuff SI, Yusuf RO, Oluwaseyi EM, et al. Increasing challenges of malaria control in sub-Saharan Africa: Priorities for public health research and policymakers. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2022;81:104366–.

12. Malaria in Tanzania: Statistics & Facts | SMO [Internet]. 2023 [cited 27 October 2025]. Available from: https://www.severemalaria.org/countries/tanzania.

13. Cheaveau J, Mogollon DC, Mohon MAN, Golassa L, Yewhalaw D, Pillai DR. Asymptomatic malaria in the clinical and public health context. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2019;17(12):997–1010.

14. Laishram DD, Sutton PL, Nanda N, Sharma VL, Sobti RC, Carlton JM, et al. The complexities of malaria disease manifestations with a focus on asymptomatic malaria. Malar J. 2012;11:29.

15. Challe DP, Kamugisha ML, Mmbando BP, Francis F, Chiduo MG, Mandara CI, et al. Pattern of all-causes and cause-specific mortality in an area with progressively declining malaria burden in Korogwe district, north-eastern Tanzania. Malaria Journal. 2018;17(1):1–10.

16. Moyo SJ, Manyahi J, Blomberg B, Tellevik MG, Masoud NS, Aboud S, et al. Bacteraemia, Malaria, and Case Fatality Among Children Hospitalized With Fever in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2020;11:558843–.

17. Makani J, Komba AN, Cox SE, Blood JO, Journal T, undefined. Malaria in patients with sickle cell anemia: burden, risk factors, and outcome at the outpatient clinic and during hospitalization. ashpublicationsorg. 2010.

18. Casalino E, Etienne A, Mentré F, Houzé S. Hospitalization and ambulatory care in imported-malaria: evaluation of trends and impact on mortality. A prospective multicentric 14-year observational study. Springer. 2016;15(1):312–.

19. Rumisha SF, Shayo EH, Mboera LEG. Spatio-temporal prevalence of malaria and anaemia in relation to agro-ecosystems in Mvomero district, Tanzania. Springer. 2019;18(1).

20. Mazigo HD, Rumisha SF, Chiduo MG, Bwana VM, Mboera LEG. Malaria among rice farming communities in Kilangali village, Kilosa district, Central Tanzania: Prevalence, intensity and associated factors. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 2017;6(1).

21. Mitchell CL, Ngasala B, Janko MM, Chacky F, Edwards JK, Pence BW, et al. Evaluating malaria prevalence and land cover across varying transmission intensity in Tanzania using a cross-sectional survey of school-aged children. Springer. 2022;21(1).

22. Mukabana LN, Mshani IH, Gachohi J, Minja EG, Jackson FM, Kahamba NF, et al. Heterogeneous malaria transmission patterns in southeastern Tanzania driven by socio-economic and environmental factors. Malaria Journal. 2025;24(1):1–12.

23. Patrick Kachur S, Schulden J, Goodman CA, Kassala H, Elling BF, Khatib RA, et al. Prevalence of malaria parasitemia among clients seeking treatment for fever or malaria at drug stores in rural Tanzania 2004. Wiley Online Library. 2006;11(4):441–51.

24. Willilo RA, Molteni F, Mandike R, Mugalura FE, Mutafungwa A, Thadeo A, et al. Pregnant women and infants as sentinel populations to monitor prevalence of malaria: results of pilot study in Lake Zone of Tanzania. Springer. 2016;15(1).

25. Ishengoma DS, Mmbando BP, Mandara CI, Chiduo MG, Francis F, Timiza W, et al. Trends of Plasmodium falciparum prevalence in two communities of Muheza district North-eastern Tanzania: correlation between parasite prevalence, malaria. Springer. 2018;17(1).

26. Chacky F, Runge M, Rumisha SF, MacHafuko P, Chaki P, Massaga JJ, et al. Nationwide school malaria parasitaemia survey in public primary schools, the United Republic of Tanzania. Springer. 2018;17(1).

27. Nzobo BJ, Ngasala BE, Kihamia CM. Prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection and use of different malaria control measures among primary school children in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania. Springer. 2015;14(1).

28. Sumari D, Mwingira F, Selemani M, Mugasa J, Mugittu K, Gwakisa P. Malaria prevalence in asymptomatic and symptomatic children in Kiwangwa, Bagamoyo district, Tanzania. Malaria Journal. 2017;16(1):1–7.

29. Kołodziej D, Ammi HZ, Richert W, Marchelek-Myśliwiec M, Korzeniewski K. Prevalence of malaria in Arusha Region in the northern Tanzania. journalsviamedicapl. 2024;75.

30. The 2022 Population and Housing Census: Tanzania [Internet]. 2022 [cited 27 October 2025]. Available from: https://www.nbs.go.tz.

31. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. International Journal of Surgery. 2010;8(5):336–41.

32. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372.

33. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: Updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. The BMJ. 2021;372.

34. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009;62(10):e1–34.

35. Briggs MA, Kalolella A, Bruxvoort K, Wiegand R, Lopez G, Festo C, et al. Prevalence of Malaria Parasitemia and Purchase of Artemisinin-Based Combination Therapies (ACTs) among Drug Shop Clients in Two Regions in Tanzania with ACT Subsidies. PLOS ONE. 2014;9(4):e94074–e.

36. Chacha GA, Francis F, Mandai SS, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Challe DP, et al. Prevalence and drivers of malaria infection among asymptomatic and symptomatic community members in five regions with varying transmission intensity in mainland Tanzania. Parasites and Vectors. 2025;18(1):1–18.

37. Chilongola JO, Sabuni EJ, Kapyolo EP. Prevalence of plasmodium, leptospira and rickettsia species in Northern Tanzania: a community based survey. African Health Sciences. 2020;20(1):199–207.

38. Edwin P. Prevalence and socio-demographic factors associated with malaria infection among children under five years in Tanzania. academicjournalsorg. 2018;11:387–94.

39. Kalinga AK, Kavishe RA, Ishengoma DS, Kagaruki GB, Mweya CN, Temu L, et al. Prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infections in selected military camps in Tanzania. Tanzania Journal of Health Research. 2019;21(1):1–11.

40. Kayange NM, Malande OO, Koliopoulos P, Gehring S, Groendahl B, Wajanga B, et al. Malaria and dengue fever in febrile children entering healthcare facilities in Mwanza, Tanzania. PLOS ONE. 2024;19(10):e0309613–e.

41. Khatib RA, Chaki PP, Wang DQ, Mlacha YP, Mihayo MG, Gavana T, et al. Epidemiological characterization of malaria in rural southern Tanzania following China-Tanzania pilot joint malaria control baseline survey. Malaria Journal. 2018;17(1):1–14.

42. Kim MJ, Jung BK, Chai JY, Eom KS, Yong TS, Min DY, et al. High malaria prevalence among schoolchildren on Kome Island, Tanzania. Korean Journal of Parasitology. 2015;53(5):571–4.

43. Kołodziej D, Ammi HZ, Richert W, Marchelek-Myśliwiec M, Korzeniewski K. Prevalence of malaria in Arusha Region in the northern Tanzania. International Maritime Health. 2024;75(2):103–8.

44. Lyimo E, Kulaya NB, Njotto L, Kassam NA, Gesase S, Malabeja A, et al. Changing Plasmodium falciparum malaria prevalence in two villages of northeastern Tanzania between 2003 and 2021 in relation to vectors, interventions and climatic factors. Malaria Journal. 2025;24(1):1–14.

45. Mandai SS, Francis F, Challe DP, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Petro DA, et al. High prevalence and risk of malaria among asymptomatic individuals from villages with high prevalence of artemisinin partial resistance in Kyerwa district of Kagera region, north-western Tanzania. Malaria Journal. 2024;23(1):1–18.

46. Hayuma PM, Wang CW, Liheluka E, Baraka V, Madebe RA, Minja DTR, et al. Prevalence of asymptomatic malaria, submicroscopic parasitaemia and anaemia in Korogwe District, north-eastern Tanzania. Malaria Journal. 2021;20(1):1–9.

47. Mazigo E, Jun H, Lee WJ, Louis JM, Fitriana F, Syahada JH, et al. Prevalence of asymptomatic malaria in high- and low-transmission areas of Tanzania: The role of asymptomatic carriers in malaria persistence and the need for targeted surveillance and control efforts. Parasites, hosts and diseases. 2025;63(1):57–65.

48. Mboera LEG, Bwana VM, Rumisha SF, Malima RC, Mlozi MRS, Mayala BK, et al. Malaria, anaemia and nutritional status among schoolchildren in relation to ecosystems, livelihoods and health systems in Kilosa District in central Tanzania Global health. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1):1–9.

49. Mlugu EM, Minzi O, Kamuhabwa AAR, Aklillu E. Prevalence and correlates of asymptomatic malaria and anemia on first antenatal care visit among pregnant women in Southeast, Tanzania. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17(9).

50. Morona D, Msemwa B, Zinga MM, Mushi MF, Mirambo MM, Mshana SE. Asymptomatic malaria and associated factors among blood donors in Mwanza, Tanzania. Tanzania Journal of Health Research. 2017;19(2).

51. Mwaiswelo RO, Mmbando BP, Chacky F, Molteni F, Mohamed A, Lazaro S, et al. Malaria infection and anemia status in under-five children from Southern Tanzania where seasonal malaria chemoprevention is being implemented. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(12):e0260785–e.

52. Nnimbo H, Kamori D, Lema N, Mohamed A. Prevalence of malaria infection and factors associated among HIV-infected adult patients attending HIV care and treatment clinic at Kitete

regional referral hospital in Tabora region, Tanzania: a cross-sectional study. Bulletin of the National Research Centre 2023 47:1. 2023;47(1):1–12.

53. Peprah S, Dhudha H, Ally H, Masalu N, Kawira E, Chao CN, et al. A population-based study of the prevalence and risk factors of low-grade Plasmodium falciparum malaria infection in children aged 0-15 years old in northern Tanzania. Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH. 2019;24(5):571–85.

54. Popkin-Hall ZR, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Budodo R, Bakari C, Francis F, et al. Malaria Species Positivity Rates Among Symptomatic Individuals Across Regions of Differing Transmission Intensities in Mainland Tanzania. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2023;229(4):959–68.

55. Popkin-Hall ZR, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Budodo R, Bakari C, Francis F, et al. Prevalence of non-falciparum malaria infections among asymptomatic individuals in four regions of Mainland Tanzania. Parasites and Vectors. 2024;17(1):1–6.

56. Rapp T, Amagai K, Sinai C, Basham C, Loya M, Ngasala S, et al. Microheterogeneity of Transmission Shapes Submicroscopic Malaria Carriage in Coastal Tanzania. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2024;230(2):485–96.

57. Sendor R, Mitchell CL, Chacky F, Mohamed A, Mhamilawa LE, Molteni F, et al. Similar Prevalence of Plasmodium falciparum and Non-P. falciparum Malaria Infections among Schoolchildren, Tanzania1. Emerging infectious diseases. 2023;29(6):1143–53.

58. Smart LR, Orgenes N, Mazigo HD, Minde M, Hokororo A, Shakir M, et al. Malaria and HIV among pediatric inpatients in two Tanzanian referral hospitals: A prospective study. Acta Tropica. 2016;159:36–43.

59. Tarimo BB, Nyasembe VO, Ngasala B, Basham C, Rutagi IJ, Muller M, et al. Seasonality and transmissibility of Plasmodium ovale in Bagamoyo District, Tanzania. Parasites and Vectors. 2022;15(1):1–6.

60. Zablon KN, Kakilla C, Lykina T, Minakova V, Chibago A, Bochkaeva Z. Prevalence of Plasmodium falciparum Malaria among Pregnant Students in Dodoma Region, Tanzania: No Cases Have Been Detected. Malaria Research and Treatment. 2015;2015(1):473203–.

61. Awosolu OB, Yahaya ZS, Farah Haziqah MT, Simon-Oke IA, Fakunle C. A cross-sectional study of the prevalence, density, and risk factors associated with malaria transmission in urban communities of Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria. Heliyon. 2021;7(1):e05975.

62. Report on malaria in Nigeria 2022 | WHO | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. [cited 5/11/2025]. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/countries/nigeria/publication/report-malaria-nigeria-2022.

63. Kendie FA, Hailegebriel WKT, Nibret Semegn E, Ferede MW. Prevalence of Malaria among Adults in Ethiopia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Trop Med. 2021;2021:8863002.

64. Zewale TA, Wondmagegn LY, Getahun HA, Tariku MK, Achamyeleh AA, Asemahagn MA, et al. Trends of malaria incidence, prevalence, mortality, and disability-adjusted life years in Eastern Africa region from 1990 to 2021: a systematic analysis from Global Burden of Disease 2021 study. Malar J. 2025;24(1):207.

65. Chapoterera B, Naidoo K, Marume A. Impact of climate change on malaria transmission in Africa: A scoping review of literature. J Public Health Afr. 2025;16(1):1346.

66. Chan K, Tusting LS, Bottomley C, Saito K, Djouaka R, Lines J. Malaria transmission and prevalence in rice-growing versus non-rice-growing villages in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Planet Health. 2022;6(3):e257–e69.

67. Mafwele BJ, Lee JW. Relationships between transmission of malaria in Africa and climate factors. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):14392.

68. Abba K, Deeks JJ, Olliaro P, Naing CM, Jackson SM, Takwoingi Y, et al. Rapid diagnostic tests for diagnosing uncomplicated P. falciparum malaria in endemic countries. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;2011(7):CD008122.

69. Coleman RE, Sattabongkot J, Promstaporm S, Maneechai N, Tippayachai B, Kengluecha A, et al. Comparison of PCR and microscopy for the detection of asymptomatic malaria in a Plasmodium falciparum/vivax endemic area in Thailand. Malar J. 2006;5:121.

70. Madkhali AM, Ghzwani AH, Al-Mekhlafi HM. Comparison of Rapid Diagnostic Test, Microscopy, and Polymerase Chain Reaction for the Detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* Malaria in a Low-Transmission Area, Jazan Region, Southwestern Saudi Arabia. Diagnostics (Basel). 2022;12(6).

71. Mbishi JV, Chombo S, Luoga P, Omary HJ, Paulo HA, Andrew J, et al. Malaria in under-five children: prevalence and multi-factor analysis of high-risk African countries. BMC Public Health. 2024;24(1):1687.

72. Brooker SJ, Clarke S, Fernando D, Gitonga CW, Nankabirwa J, Schellenberg D, et al. Malaria in Middle Childhood and Adolescence. In: Bundy DAP, Silva ND, Horton S, Jamison DT, Patton GC, editors. Child and Adolescent Health and Development. 3rd ed. Washington (DC)2017.

73. Mahende C, Ngasala B, Lusingu J, Yong TS, Lushino P, Lemnge M, et al. Performance of rapid diagnostic test, blood-film microscopy and PCR for the diagnosis of malaria infection among febrile children from Korogwe District, Tanzania. Malar J. 2016;15(1):391.

74. Watson OJ, Sumner KM, Janko M, Goel V, Winskill P, Slater HC, et al. False-negative malaria rapid diagnostic test results and their impact on community-based malaria surveys in sub-Saharan Africa. BMJ Glob Health. 2019;4(4):e001582.

75. Ogunfowokan O, Ogunfowokan BA, Nwajei AI. Sensitivity and specificity of malaria rapid diagnostic test (mRDT CareStatTM) compared with microscopy amongst under five children attending a primary care clinic in southern Nigeria. African Journal of Primary Health Care & Family Medicine. 2020;12(1).

76. Naing C, Htet NH, Aye SN, Aung HH, Tanner M, Whittaker MA. Detection of asymptomatic malaria in Asian countries: a meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy. Malar J. 2022;21(1):50.

77. Mfuh KO, Achonduh-Atijegbe OA, Bekindaka ON, Esemu LF, Mbakop CD, Gandhi K, et al. A comparison of thick-film microscopy, rapid diagnostic test, and polymerase chain reaction for accurate diagnosis of Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Malar J. 2019;18(1):73.

78. Nankabirwa JI, Yeka A, Arinaitwe E, Kigozi R, Drakeley C, Kamya MR, et al. Estimating malaria parasite prevalence from community surveys in Uganda: a comparison of microscopy, rapid diagnostic tests and polymerase chain reaction. Malar J. 2015;14:528.

79. Ahmad A, Soni P, Kumar L, Singh MP, Verma AK, Sharma A, et al. Comparison of polymerase chain reaction, microscopy, and rapid diagnostic test in malaria detection in a high burden state (Odisha) of India. Pathog Glob Health. 2021;115(4):267–72.

80. Weiss DJ, Dzianach PA, Saddler A, Lubinda J, Browne A, McPhail M, et al. Mapping the global prevalence, incidence, and mortality of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* malaria, 2000-22: a spatial and temporal modelling study. Lancet. 2025;405(10483):979–90.

81. Kotepui M, Kotepui KU, De Jesus Milanez G, Masangkay FR. *Plasmodium spp*. mixed infection leading to severe malaria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):11068.

82. Rios-Orrego A, Lopera-Mesa TM, Cifuentes VS, Zuluaga-Idarraga L. Performance of microscopy and rapid test for the diagnosis of mixed malaria in an endemic area of Colombia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2025;112(3):116799.

83. Ishengoma DS, Mandara CI, Bakari C, Fola AA, Madebe RA, Seth MD, et al. Evidence of artemisinin partial resistance in northwestern Tanzania: clinical and molecular markers of resistance. Lancet Infect Dis. 2024;24(11):1225–33.

84. Mwalimu CD, Kiware S, Nshama R, Derua Y, Machafuko P, Gitanya P, et al. Dynamics of malaria vector composition and *Plasmodium falciparum* infection in mainland Tanzania: 2017-2021 data from the national malaria vector entomological surveillance. Malar J. 2024;23(1):29.



Figure 1. PRISMA Scheme of Retrieved Studies



Figure 2. Distribution of included studies by Mode of diagnosis



Figure 3. Prevalence of Malaria in Tanzania by Mode of Diagnosis



Figure 4. Prevalence of Malaria in Tanzania stratified by age and Mode of diagnosis



Figure 5. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Malaria by Mode of Diagnosis



Figure 6. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Malaria by Species



Figure 7. Prevalence of Malaria species by Diagnostic methods



Figure 8. Prevalence of Malaria by Mixed Species



Figure 9. Estimated pooled prevalence of Malaria by Region

Table 2. Assessment of Study Quality and Potential Bias in Included Studies

CRITERIA	Sampling frame appropriate to address the target population?	Study participants recruited appropriately?	Adequate sample size?	Study subjects and setting described in detail?	Objective and standard criteria for outcome measurement?	Identified and accounted for confounding factors?	Appropriate statistical analysis?	Was the response rate adequate, and if not, was the low response rate managed appropriately?	Was the data analysis conducted with sufficient coverage of the identified sample?
Popkin-Hall 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Morona 2017	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Zablon 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Popkin-Hall 2023	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Edwin 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Briggs 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nnimbo 2023	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kalinga 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mboera 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Smart 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mazigo 2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mandai 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Khatib 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Peprah 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mazigo 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nzobo 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chacha 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chacky 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kim 2015	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mlugu 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chilongola 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mwaiswelo 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rumisha 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lyimo 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Sumari 2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kayange 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rapp 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Martine 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kołodziej 2024	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sendor 2023	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tarimo 2022	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 3: Literature search and search strategy

Database	MeSH terms used
Google scholar	(prevalence OR “point prevalence” OR “period prevalence”) AND (malaria OR plasmodium OR “plasmodium falciparum”) AND (Tanzania OR “United Republic of Tanzania” OR Tanga OR “Dar es salaam" OR Tabora OR Geita OR Iringa OR Kagera OR Singida OR Kigoma OR Ruvuma OR Lindi OR Shinyanga OR Mara OR Mbeya OR Songwe OR Mtwara OR Mwanza OR Njombe OR Pwani OR Rukwa OR Kilimanjaro OR Manyara OR Simiyu OR Katavi OR Morogoro OR Dodoma OR Arusha)
Science direct	(prevalence OR “point prevalence” OR “period prevalence”) AND (malaria OR plasmodium OR “plasmodium falciparum”) AND (Tanzania OR “United Republic of Tanzania” OR Tanga OR “Dar es salaam" OR Tabora OR Geita OR Iringa OR Kagera OR Singida OR Kigoma OR Ruvuma OR Lindi OR Shinyanga OR Mara OR Mbeya OR Songwe OR Mtwara OR Mwanza OR Njombe OR Pwani OR Rukwa OR Kilimanjaro OR Manyara OR Simiyu OR Katavi OR Morogoro OR Dodoma OR Arusha)
Pubmed	((“Prevalence”[MeSH] OR “prevalence” OR “point prevalence” OR “period prevalenc”) AND “Malaria”[MeSH] OR “Plasmodium”[MeSH] OR “Plasmodium falciparum”[MeSH]) AND (“Tanzania”[MeSH] OR “United Republic of Tanzania”[MeSH] OR Simiyu OR “Dar es Salaam" OR Mtwara OR Tanga OR Iringa OR Kagera OR Pwani OR Songwe OR “Kilimanjaro” OR Lindi OR Manyara OR Mara OR Mbeya OR Morogoro OR Dodoma OR Mwanza OR Njombe OR Katavi OR Rukwa OR Ruvuma OR Shinyanga OR Geita OR Singida OR Kigoma OR Tabora OR Arusha))