Statistical Analysis Plan for the Cardiac Magnetic Resonance GUIDEd Management of Mild-moderate Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction (CMR GUIDE) trial

Version: 1.1 (Final) - Date: 21 January 2026

Authors:

Laurent Billot [1], Jemima Gore [2], John Atherton [3], Colin Berry [4, 5], Johannes Brachmann [6,7], Anand Ganesan [11,12], Graham Hillis [8], Werner Jung [9], Sanjay Prasad [10], Joseph Selvanayagam [2,11,12]

Affiliations:

1. The George Institute for Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, UNSW Sydney, Sydney NSW, Australia
2. South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute (SAHMRI), Adelaide SA, Australia
3. University of Queensland Faculty of Health, Medicine and Behavioural Sciences, Department of Cardiology, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Herston QLD, Australia
4. School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom
5. Golden Jubilee University National Hospital, Clydebank, United Kingdom
6. Sana Medical School, Coburg, Germany
7. University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia
8. Department of Cardiology, Royal Perth Hospital and Medical School, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia
9. Schwarzwald-Baar Clinic, Villingen-Schwenningen, Germany
10. Royal Brompton Hospital, London, England
11. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide,SA, Australia
12. School of Medicine, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA, Australia

The George Institute for Global Health Australia

Abstract

The CMR Guide trial aims to determine whether the insertion of an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator based on the presence of ventricular scar/fibrosis will reduce sudden cardiac death or syncopal ventricular arrhythmia in patients with mild moderate left ventricle systolic dysfunction compared to standard care.

This statistical analysis plan pre-specifies the method of analysis for every outcome and key variables collected in the trial. The primary outcome is a composite of sudden cardiac death or haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia defined as ventricular arrhythmia producing syncope (loss of consciousness) or associated with hypotension (SBP<90mmHg) except directly associated with device implant procedure.

The main analysis will consist of a Fine and Gray survival model of time to first occurrence of a primary outcome treating deaths other than sudden cardiac deaths as competing risks. The analysis plan also includes planned sensitivity analyses including covariate adjustments and subgroup analyses.

Keywords: Cardiology, Randomised Clinical Trial, Implantable Cardiac Defibrillator, Statistical Analysis Plan

Contents

1 Administrative information 5
1.1 Study identifiers 5
1.2 Revision history 5
1.3 Contributors to the statistical analysis plan 5
1.3.1 Roles and responsibilities 5
1.3.2 Approvals 6
2 Introduction 7
2.1 Study synopsis 7
2.2 Study population 7
2.2.1 Inclusion Criteria 7
2.2.2 Exclusion Criteria 8
2.3 Study interventions 8
2.3.1 Randomisation 8
2.3.2 Study treatment 8
2.4 Outcomes 9
2.4.1 Primary outcome 9
2.4.2 Secondary outcomes 9
2.5 Sample size 9
3 Statistical analysis 11
3.1 Software 11
3.2 Interim analyses 11
3.3 Multiplicity adjustment 11
3.4 Data sets analysed 12
3.5 Handling of the 'end of study' visit 12
3.6 Subject disposition 13
3.7 Patient characteristics and baseline comparisons 13
3.8 Concomitant medications 13
3.9 Compliance and protocol deviations 13
3.10 Analysis of vital signs 14
3.11 Analysis of the primary outcome 14
3.11.1 Main analysis 14
3.11.2 Adjusted analyses 14
3.11.3 Subgroup analyses 14
3.11.4 Treatment of missing data 15
3.12 Analyses of secondary outcomes 15
3.12.1 Sudden cardiac death 15
3.12.2 Haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia 15

3.12.3 All-cause mortality 16
3.12.4 Change in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class 16
3.12.5 Quality of life assessed by Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire 16
3.12.6 Quality of life assessed by the EQ-5D-5L Questionnaire 17
3.12.7 Heart-failure related hospitalisations 17
3.12.8 Cardiovascular hospitalisations 17
3.12.9 Cardiovascular mortality 18
3.12.10 Health economic evaluation 18
3.13 Analysis of safety and other outcomes 18
3.13.1 Adverse events 18
3.13.2 Hospitalisations 18
3.13.3 Causes of deaths 18
3.13.4 Win ratio analysis 18
4 References 21
Appendix 1: Proposed Tables and figures 22

1 Administrative information

1.1 Study identifiers

- Protocol: Version 7 (25 June 2021)
- ClinicalTrials.gov register Identifier: NCT01918215

1.2 Revision history

Version	Date	Details
0.1 (draft)	1 April 2025	First draft by Laurent. Send to Joseph for review.
0.2 (draft)	26 August 2025	Added details of NYHA class analysis + made minor adjustments. Sent to SC for review.
0.3 (draft)	10 October 2025	Addressed comments from SC. Added tables shells
0.4 (draft)	16 December 2025	Near final version. Sent to Joseph.
1.0 (Final)	12 January 2026	Final version. Submitted to medRxiv
1.1 (Final)	21 January 2026	Corrected clinicaltrials.gov identifier

1.3 Contributors to the statistical analysis plan

1.3.1 Roles and responsibilities

Name	ORCID	Role on study	SAP contribution
Laurent Billot	0000-0002-4975-9793	Study statistician	Prepared initial draft and revisions
Jemima Gore		Clinical Project Manager	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
John Atherton	0000-0003-2271-578X	Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
Colin Berry	0000-0002-4547-8636	United Kingdom Chief Investigator; Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
Johannes Brachmann	0000-0002-9221-9856	Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
Anand Ganesan	0000-0002-2734-3025	Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
Werner Jung		Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
Sanjay Prasad		Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.
Graham Hillis	0000-0003-2417-4673	Member, Steering Committee	Review and edits. Approval of final version.

Joseph B. Selvanayagam	0000-0003-4157-4265	Global Principal Investigator	Revisions and final approval

1.3.2 Approvals

The undersigned have reviewed this plan and approve it as final. They find it to be consistent with the requirements of the protocol as it applies to their respective areas. They also find it to be compliant with ICH-E9 principles and, in particular, confirm that this analysis plan was developed in a completely blinded manner, i.e. without knowledge of the effect of the intervention(s) being assessed.

Name	Signature	Date
Prof Laurent Billot		
Prof Joseph B. Selvanayagam		

2 Introduction

2.1 Study synopsis

The CMR Guide study is a prospective, multi-centre, randomised, controlled trial to determine whether the insertion of an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) based on the presence of ventricular scar/fibrosis identified by Late Gadolinium Enhancement Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (LGE CMR) will reduce sudden cardiac death and/or syncopal ventricular arrhythmia in patients with mild moderate left ventricle (LV) systolic dysfunction compared to standard care.

The study will test the hypothesis that in a heterogeneous population of patients with mild-moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction (with either ischemic or non-ischemic cardiomyopathy) and ventricular scar/fibrosis on cardiovascular magnetic resonance random assignment to ICD or implantable loop recorder (ILR) insertion results in different sudden cardiac death/syncope secondary to ventricular arrhythmia producing syncope (loss of consciousness) or associated with hypotension (SBP<90mmHg) rates.

The full study protocol is available in *Annals of Noninvasive Electrocardiology* [1].

2.2 Study population

2.2.1 Inclusion Criteria

- Age ≥ 18 years old
- Coronary artery disease (CAD) or dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) attributed to idiopathic, familial or chronic post myocarditis causes
- LVEF 36-50% by echocardiography, angiography, CMR or MUGA in the last 6 months (if a LGE CMR has been performed within the last 2 months then this can be used for inclusion and does not have to be repeated)
- On at least 3 months maximally tolerated doses of Angiotensin converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (or angiotensin receptor blockers if intolerant of ACEi) and B blockers (If the dose has been changed considerably or a new drug started it will be at the PI's discretion to deem eligibility)
- **Ischemic cardiomyopathy (ICM) patients**: CMR LVEF 36-50% and ventricular scar/fibrosis defined as LGE in 2 or more myocardial segments (17 segment AHA model) of transmural (>75%) hyper-enhancement
- **Nonischemic cardiomyopathy (NICM) patients:** CMR LVEF 36-50% and evidence of any scar/fibrosis on LGE
- Able and willing to comply with all pre-post- and follow-up testing and requirements

2.2.2 Exclusion Criteria

- History of cardiac arrest or spontaneous or inducible sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation (VF) unless occurring within 48 hours of an acute myocardial infarct (MI)
- Cardiomyopathy related to sarcoidosis
- Standard LGE CMR contraindications (e.g. severe claustrophobia, metal in-situ)
- Currently implanted permanent pacemaker/ICD and/or pacemaker/ICD lead
- Clinical indication for ICD or pacemaker or Cardiac Resynchronisation Therapy
- eGFR ≤30mls/min/1.73m2
- Recent MI (<40 days) or revascularization (<90 days)
- New York Heart Association HF functional class IV at the baseline visit
- Conditions associated with life expectancy <1 year
- Pregnancy or, in females of child-bearing potential, the non-use of accepted forms of contraception

2.3 Study interventions

2.3.1 Randomisation

Participants are randomised using a computer-generated web-based randomisation schedule with stratification by site once the participant has their LGE CMR measurements verified by the Core Lab.

2.3.2 Study treatment

Intervention (ICD) arm: Participants randomised to the ICD arm will have a single chamber ICD implanted and have the parameters programmed. Programming parameters will be standardised at the time of implant and represent a combination of the high rate and delayed therapy arms of the MADIT-RIT clinical trial both of which showed a reduction in the incidence of inappropriate shocks through delayed treatment in the VT zone and programming of high rate in the VF zone, while maintaining clinical effectiveness without increasing the rate of syncope. The monitor zone will allow correlation of rhythm in participants who present with syncope, allowing comparison with the ILR group. There will be two active tachycardia therapy zones and a further inactive monitor zone. Zones are determined by tachycardia cycle length (heart rate). There will be back up bradycardia pacing set at 40bpm VVI. A post implant chest X-ray is not mandated but will be at the discretion of the PI if clinically indicated.

Control (ILR) arm: Participants randomised to the ILR arm will have an implantable loop recorder implanted.

2.4 Outcomes

2.4.1 Primary outcome

- Composite of sudden cardiac death (SCD) or haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia (HSVA) defined as ventricular arrhythmia producing syncope (loss of consciousness) or associated with hypotension (SBP<90mmHg) except directly associated with device implant procedure.

2.4.2 Secondary outcomes

- Sudden cardiac death
- Haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia
- All-cause mortality
- Cardiovascular mortality
- Change in New York Heart Association functional class
- Change in quality of life assessed by Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire & EQ-5D-5L Questionnaire
- Heart failure related hospitalisations
- Cardiovascular hospitalisations

2.5 Sample size

The trial is powered to detect a difference in the incidence of sudden cardiac death/syncope secondary to ventricular arrhythmia producing syncope (loss of consciousness) or associated with hypotension (SBP<90mmHg) based on a survival analysis of time to first event.

Assuming an annual event rate of 7.5% in the ILR group and a hazard ratio of 0.45, the trial requires at least 51 patients to experience a primary outcome event to reach 80% power (2-sided type-I error rate of 5%). We initially assumed uniform recruitment over 2 years and a total duration of about 5 years (average follow-up time of 4 years) which corresponds to the recruitment of around 250 patients. Assuming up to 20% of drop-ins (from ILR to ICD) and 10% of patients lost-to-follow up over the course of the trial, this led to a target sample size of around 428 patients.

A total of 353 patients were recruited over 7 years between mid-2015 and mid-2022. Follow-up is expected to be at least 3 years (until mid-2025) which should provide at least the same amount of power (more patient-years) as the initial study duration assumptions keeping the expected event rate and effect size the same. The figure below shows the expected power (y-axis) as a function of the yearly event rate in the control arm (x-axis) and expected hazard ratio (3 curves). With a hazard ratio of 0.45 (red curve) as initially assumed, the study should have at least 80% power for a yearly event rate in the control arm as low as 4%.

Power calculations displayed on the figure assume that 1% of patients in each arm are lost to follow-up every year and that 2% of patients allocated to the control arm cross over to the intervention (i.e. receive an ICD).

Power according to hazard ratio and event rate in the control arm



3 Statistical analysis

3.1 Software

Analyses will be conducted primarily using SAS Enterprise Guide (version 7.1 or above) and R (version 4.0.0 or above).

3.2 Interim analyses

A DSMB met to review safety data and overall progress approximately once a year. No formal interim efficacy analyses were undertaken; therefore, no adjustments are made to the final significance level.

3.3 Multiplicity adjustment

All tests are to be two-sided with a nominal level of α set at 5%. Analyses of the primary outcome (time to first occurrence of sudden cardiac death or haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia) will be performed with an unadjusted type I error rate. Components of the primary outcome including (1) recurrent sudden cardiac death, and (2) Haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia will also be analysed without applying any multiplicity adjustment. Instead, each will be analyzed regardless of statistical significance on the primary composite outcome but with only point estimates and 95% confidence intervals reported without significance tests.

For other secondary outcomes, we will control the family-wise error rate with a sequential Holm-Sidak correction [2]. This will be applied to the following secondary outcomes:

- All-cause mortality
- Change in New York Heart Association functional class
- Change in quality of life assessed by Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire
- Change in quality of life assessed by the EQ-5D-5L Questionnaire
- Heart failure related hospitalisations
- Cardiovascular hospitalisations
- Cardiovascular mortality

Briefly, the approach consists of ordering all p-values from smallest to largest and then comparing them to an adjusted level of significance calculated as $1-(1-0.05)^{1/C}$, where C indicates the number of comparisons that remain. In the case of seven secondary outcomes, the smallest p value would be compared to $1-(1-0.05)^{1/7}$, the second p value to $1-(1-0.05)^{1/6}$, and so on, with the last one being compared to 1-(1-0.05) (i.e. 0.05). The sequential testing procedure stops as soon as a p value fails to reach the corrected significance level. The multiplicity adjustment will only be applied to the primary analysis of each outcome. In case of sensitivity analyses (e.g. further adjustments), results will be presented as point estimates and 95%

confidence intervals without significance tests. For EQ-5D-5L, hypothesis testing will only apply to the analysis of VAS overall score.

No multiplicity adjustment will be applied to other analyses including, but not limited to, compliance and safety measures.

3.4 Data sets analysed

The primary hypothesis is whether a CMR-guided ICD implantation strategy leads to better outcomes than a standard approach. All analyses will therefore be performed on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis by including all randomised patients according to their allocated group, regardless of treatment adherence and overall protocol compliance i.e. using a "Treatment Policy" strategy under the estimand terminology [3]. The ITT analysis set will be used to assess both efficacy and safety.

For events undergoing adjudication, only events that have been confirmed by the adjudication committee will be included in the analysis.

Exploratory analyses including "per-protocol" or "on-treatment" analyses may be undertaken later but will not be part of the main analysis and publication.

3.5 Handling of the 'end of study' visit

Given study participants enter the study at different times and are followed for various durations, the end of study (EOS) visit will occur at different times for different participants. For longitudinal and descriptive analyses by visit, EOS visits will be re-allocated according to the planned visit schedule. The allocation, based on the number of days between the date of randomization and the EOS visit, will be done as follows:

Visit (month)	Window (days)	Visit (month)	Window (days)	Visit (month)	Window (days)
6	92 to 274	30	824 to 1006	54	1556 to 1738
12	275 to 457	36	1007 to 1189	60	1739 to 1921
18	458 to 640	42	1190 to 1372	66	1922 to 2104
24	641 to 823	48	1373 to 1555	72	2105 to 2287

In case of a reallocated EOS visit falling within a window already containing a scheduled visit, we will retain the value from the previous scheduled visit, unless it is missing.

3.6 Subject disposition

The flow of patients through the trial will be displayed in a CONSORT [4] (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) diagram. The report will include the following: the number of CMR screened patients who met study inclusion criteria and the number of patients who were included; and reasons for exclusion of non-included patients. A table will summarize the data completeness and study withdrawal.

3.7 Patient characteristics and baseline comparisons

Description of the baseline characteristics will be presented by treatment group as outlined in Appendix 1 (Table 1). Discrete variables will be summarised by frequencies and percentages. Percentages will be calculated according to the number of patients for whom data are available. Continuous variables will be summarised using mean and SD, and median and interquartile range (Q1-Q3). Baseline measures for all patients will be tabulated for the variables listed below:

- Demographic characteristics: age (years), sex, height (cm), weight (kg), BMI (kg/m2)
- Race
- Ethnicity
- Smoking status
- Cause of LV dysfunction (ischaemic LV dysfunction or non-ischaemic LV dysfunction i.e. DCM)
- Cardiovascular history and risk factors (e.g. diabetes, hypertension, etc.)
- Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure
- Serum creatinine (µmol/L)
- Medical history including baseline medications

3.8 Concomitant medications

Concomitant medications will be reported as the number and proportion of patients taking a medication at least once over the course of the study as well as separately at each visit. This will be done by category of medication (e.g. beta-blockers, renin–angiotensin system inhibitors, etc.).

3.9 Compliance and protocol deviations

Intervention details will be summarised as the number and proportion of patients in each arm who receive the allocated intervention as well as those who cross-over i.e. patients randomised to ILR who receive an ICD and patients randomised to the ICD arm who either do not receive or had the ICD deactivated or removed.

Protocol deviations will be summarised as the number of deviations by type and classification. All protocol deviations will be listed together with a description of the deviation. No formal statistical test will be applied.

3.10 Analysis of vital signs

The following vital signs are collected at baseline, month 3, month 6, month 12 and every 12 months thereafter: Weight, BMI, SBP, DBP, pulse rate and respiratory rate.

Vital signs will be summarised graphically by plotting means and 95% confidence intervals by visit and treatment arm. Differences between arms will be estimated using repeated-measure linear mixed models with a normal distribution and identity link. The model will include all post-randomization values after reallocation of the EOS visit (see Section 3.5). Fixed effects will include treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction term as well as the value of the outcome at baseline included as a continuous variable. Within-patient correlations will be modelled using a repeated patient effect assuming a compound-symmetry (exchangeable) structure. A random site effect will be included to account for stratification by site. The overall effect of the intervention will be estimated as the mean difference and 95% CI between the intervention and control arm over the entire follow-up i.e. by combining data obtained across all visits. The effect of the intervention at specific time points (e.g. at Month 12) will be estimated from the same model using contrasts; however, no formal statistical test will be applied to individual visits.

3.11 Analysis of the primary outcome

3.11.1 Main analysis

The primary endpoint, time from randomisation to first occurrence of sudden cardiac death or haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia, will be summarised using cumulative incidence functions and analysed using a Fine and Gray model, [5] where deaths other than sudden cardiac deaths will be considered as competing risks. The randomised arm will be included as a fixed variable with no other covariates included. The effect of the intervention will be estimated as a hazard ratio (HR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) obtained from the Fine and Gray model of the sub-distribution hazard. The analysis will be censored at the time when a patient was last known to be alive and free of event. As a sensitivity analysis, a Cox model will determine the cause-specific HR, which estimates the risk of SCD or haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia in subjects who are still alive.

3.11.2 Adjusted analyses

Adjusted analyses will be performed by adding the following covariates to the main model: age (continuous), sex (male vs female), heart failure aetiology (ICM or DCM) and baseline left ventricular ejection fraction (30-40 vs >40-50). The adjusted treatment effect will be reported as the HR and 95% CI.

3.11.3 Subgroup analyses

Six pre-specified subgroup analyses will be carried out irrespective of whether there is a significant treatment effect on the primary outcome. Subgroups are defined as follows:

- Age (<70 vs 70 or more)
- Sex (Male vs Female)
- LVEF (36-<40, 40-<46, 46-50)
- Type of cardiomyopathy (ICM vs DCM)
- NYHA class at baseline (I vs II-III)
- QRS duration (130 ms and < 130 ms)

The analysis for each subgroup will be performed by adding the subgroup variable as well as its interaction with the intervention as fixed effects to the main analysis model (see Section 3.11.1). Within each subgroup, summary measures will include raw counts and percentages within each treatment arm, as well as the hazard ratio for treatment effect with a 95% confidence interval. The results will be displayed on a forest plot including the p-value for heterogeneity corresponding to the interaction term between the intervention and the subgroup variable.

3.11.4 Treatment of missing data

Given the nature of the primary endpoint, there is no reasonable way to assess whether some events are potentially missing (i.e. unreported). We will therefore perform the analysis assuming that all events that occurred were reported and without imputation of missing data. All reported events will be included in the time-to-first-event analysis with every participant censored at the time when the participant was last known to be alive and free of an event and with non-sudden deaths treated as a competing risk. See Section 3.11.1 for more details about the main analysis. The same approach will be used for every secondary survival endpoint.

3.12 Analyses of secondary outcomes

3.12.1 Sudden cardiac death

Time to sudden cardiac death will be analysed using the same approach as the primary outcome described in Section 3.11.1. The main analysis will consist of a survival analysis of time to first event treating deaths that are not sudden cardiac deaths as a competing risk using a Fine and Gray model. A supporting analysis will be a Cox “cause-specific” survival model estimating the risk of sudden cardiac death in patients who are still alive. No additional adjusted analyses or subgroup analyses will be applied.

3.12.2 Haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia

Time to HSVA will be analysed using the same approach as the primary outcome described in Section 3.11.1. The main analysis will consist of a survival analysis of time to first event treating all deaths as a competing risk using a Fine and Gray model. A supporting analysis will be a Cox “cause-specific” survival model

estimating the risk of HVA in patients who are still alive. No additional adjusted analyses or subgroup analyses will be applied.

Details of HVA events including the type (i.e. monomorphic ventricular tachycardia, polymorphic ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation) and duration (more or less than 30 seconds) will be reported descriptively as the number of events and the number and proportion of patients experiencing each event category at least once.

3.12.3 All-cause mortality

All-cause mortality will be analysed as time between randomisation and death using a survival analysis censored at the time when the patient was last known to be alive. A Kaplan-Meier plot will be used to describe survival rates. Differences in survival will be tested using a Cox model with the randomised arm as a fixed covariate.

3.12.4 Change in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class

NYHA class is collected at baseline, month 3, month 6, month 12 and every 12 months thereafter. It consists of 4 ordinal categories from 1 to 4. The number and proportion of participants in each class at each visit will be described using tables and stacked bar charts. Changes in NYHA class will be analysed as time to worsening defined as moving to a worse class than the baseline class (i.e. from class I to class II or more, from class II to class III or more, or from class III to class IV). It will be analysed using a survival analysis of time to first event (increase in class) treating as a competing risk using a Fine and Gray model. A supporting analysis will be a Cox "cause-specific" survival model estimating the risk of NYHA increase in patients who are still alive. No additional adjusted analyses or subgroup analyses will be applied to this outcome.

3.12.5 Quality of life assessed by Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire

For each of the 3 domains, we will calculate a score. The score of each domain as well as the overall score will be summarised graphically by plotting means and 95% confidence intervals by visit and treatment arm. For the total score, differences between arms will be estimated using repeated-measure linear mixed models with a normal distribution and identity link. The model will include all post-randomization values after reallocation of the EOS visit (see Section 3.5). Fixed effects will include treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction term as well as the value of the outcome at baseline included as a continuous variable. Within-patient correlations will be modelled using a repeated patient effect assuming a compound-symmetry (exchangeable) structure. A random site effect will be included to account for stratification by site. The overall effect of the intervention will be estimated as the mean difference and 95% CI between the intervention and control arm over the entire follow-up i.e. by combining data obtained across all visits. The effect of the intervention at specific time points (e.g. at Month 12) will be estimated from the same model

using contrasts; however, no formal statistical test will be applied to individual visits. No modelling or formal tests will be applied to the sub-domain scores.

3.12.6 Quality of life assessed by the EQ-5D-5L Questionnaire

Each of the five dimensions will be summarised descriptively over time (i.e. by visit) and treatment arm using stacked bar charts. The VAS score will be summarised graphically using box plots by visit and treatment arm. Differences between arms will be estimated using repeated-measure linear mixed models with a normal distribution and identity link. The model will include all post-randomization values after reallocation of the EOS visit (see Section 3.5). Fixed effects will include treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction term as well as the value of the outcome at baseline included as a continuous variable. Within-patient correlations will be modelled using a repeated patient effect assuming a compound-symmetry (exchangeable) structure. A random site effect will be included to account for stratification by site. The overall effect of the intervention will be estimated as the mean difference and 95% CI between the intervention and control arm over the entire follow-up i.e. by combining data obtained across all visits. The effect of the intervention at specific time points (e.g. at Month 12) will be estimated from the same model using contrasts; however, no formal statistical test will be applied to individual visits.

The EQ-5D index will be derived using relevant value sets. This will be used as part of a later economic evaluation (see Section 3.12.10).

3.12.7 Heart-failure related hospitalisations

Time to heart-failure related hospitalisation including heart-failure deaths will be analysed using the same approach as the primary outcome described in Section 3.11.1. The main analysis will consist of a survival analysis of time to first event treating deaths that are not related to heart failure as a competing risk using a Fine and Gray model. A supporting analysis will be a Cox “cause-specific” survival model estimating the risk of heart-failure related hospitalisation in patients who are still alive. No additional adjusted analyses or subgroup analyses will be applied

3.12.8 Cardiovascular hospitalisations

Time to cardiovascular hospitalisation including cardiovascular deaths will be analysed using the same approach as the primary outcome described in Section 3.11.1. The main analysis will consist of a survival analysis of time to first event treating deaths that are not due to a cardiovascular cause as a competing risk using a Fine and Gray model. A supporting analysis will be a Cox “cause-specific” survival model estimating the risk of cardiovascular hospitalisation or death in patients who are still alive. No additional adjusted analyses or subgroup analyses will be applied.

3.12.9 Cardiovascular mortality

Time to cardiovascular death will be analysed using the same approach as the primary outcome described in Section 3.11.1. The main analysis will consist of a survival analysis of time to first event treating deaths that are not due to a cardiovascular cause as a competing risk using a Fine and Gray model. A supporting analysis will be a Cox "cause-specific" survival model estimating the risk of cardiovascular death in patients who are still alive. No additional adjusted analyses or subgroup analyses will be applied.

3.12.10 Health economic evaluation

For Australian sites, a detailed economic evaluation will be conducted after publication of the main results. Details will be outlined in a separate document.

3.13 Analysis of safety and other outcomes

3.13.1 Adverse events

Adverse events will be summarised by severity and relationship to the intervention. This will be done overall and by category of event (body system and preferred term). For each category, we will report the total number of events as well as the number of patients with at least one event. Proportions of patients with adverse events will be compared between treatment arms using Fisher's exact test, both overall and by body system. No test will be done at the preferred term level.

3.13.2 Hospitalisations

Hospitalisations will be reported descriptively by type as the total number of events as well as the number and percentage of patients experiencing each type at least once. No formal test will be applied.

3.13.3 Causes of deaths

Deaths will be reported descriptively by cause as the number and percentage of patients. No formal test will be applied.

3.13.4 Win ratio analysis

Key primary and secondary outcomes will be analysed jointly using a win ratio approach which ranks all outcomes in order of importance [6]. The following hierarchy will be used:

1. Time to all-cause death
2. Time to haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia
3. Number of heart failure hospitalisation
4. Time to worsening or NYHA class

Every participant randomised to the ICD arm will be paired with every participant randomised to the ILR arm. For illustrative purposes, if we had 130 patients randomised to one arm and 127 to the other, we would

compare a total of 16,510 pairs (130 × 127). Within each pair, we will compare outcomes in a hierarchical fashion until a winner has been determined. If no winner can be declared after comparing all outcomes, the pair will be tied. We will start by comparing all-cause mortality to determine a "winner". The winner will be the participant with the longest survival time. If neither participant died and a winner cannot be declared on mortality alone, we will then proceed to the next step and compare time to haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia. As with death, the winner will be the participant with the longest time to first event. If neither patient experienced the event of interest or both experienced the event on the same day, the pair will be declared a tie and we will proceed to the next outcome in the hierarchy. Only events that occur during the time that both participants are in the study will be used (e.g. if one participant withdraws after 1 year, only the events occurring in the first year will be used to compare the pair). The approach will proceed in a stepwise fashion, moving to the next outcome in the hierarchy, until a decision has been reached for every pair. The full decision process is described in the table below. The win ratio will then be calculated as the proportion of "ICD winners" (the participant in the ICD arm had a better outcome) divided by the proportion of "ILR winners" (the participant in the ILR arm had a better outcome)

To complete the interpretation, we will also compute the win odds and net benefit [7]. Calculations will be performed using the R package WINS developed by Cui and Huang [8].

Step	Outcome	Decision rule
1	Time to death	The winner is the patient with the longest survival time. If neither died or if both died on the same day, go to step 2.
2	Time to first haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia	The winner is the patient with the longest time to event. If neither patient experienced the event or both patients had it on the same day, go to step 3.
3	Number of heart failure hospitalisations	The winner is the patient with the lowest number of events. If neither patient experienced the event or both patients experienced the same number of events, go to step 4.
4	Time to first worsening in NYHA class	The winner is the patient with the longest time to event. If neither patient experienced the event or both patients had it on the same day, the comparison stops and the pair is considered a tie.

An “incremental analysis” will also be performed by calculating the win ratio and 95% CI after adding each outcome i.e. for the first outcome only, for the first two together, the first three, and so on (cf Figure 10 for details).

4 References

1. Selvanayagam JB, Hartshorne T, Billot L, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance GUIDEd management of mild to moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction (CMR GUIDE): Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*. 2017;22:e12420. https://doi.org/10.1111/anec.12420.

2. Holm S. A Simple Sequentially Rejective Multiple Test Procedure. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 1979;6:65-70.

3. Kahan B C, Hindley J, Edwards M, Cro S, Morris T P. The estimands framework: a primer on the ICH E9(R1) addendum *BMJ* 2024; 384 :e076316 doi:10.1136/bmj-2023-076316

4. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman D. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials. JAMA 2001;285:1987-91.

5. Fine JP, Gray R. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Am Stat Assoc 1999; **94**: 496–509.

6. Pocock SJ, Ariti CA, Collier TJ, Wang D. The win ratio: a new approach to the analysis of composite endpoints in clinical trials based on clinical priorities. Eur Heart J. 2012 Jan;33(2):176-82. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr352

7. Dong G, Huang B, Verbeeck J, et al. Win statistics (win ratio, win odds, and net benefit) can complement one another to show the strength of the treatment effect on time-to-event outcomes. Pharm Stat. 2023;22(1):20-33

8. Cui Y, Huang B. WINS: The R WINS Package. 2022. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/WINS/index.html

Appendix 1: Proposed Tables and figures

Table 1. Subject disposition

	ICD	ILR	Total
Screened	xxx	xxx	xxx
Randomised	xxx (100%)	xxx (100%)	xxx (100%)
Device inserted	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Completed study	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Withdrawn*	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Death	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Patient or family request	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Patient Lost to follow up	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Patient non-compliance	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Patient participation termination by Investigator	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
AE	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
SAE	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
CMR showed LVEF>50%	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Other	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Unknown	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 3 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 6 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 12 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 24 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 36 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 48 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 60 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 72 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 84 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 96 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
Month 108 visit performed	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)
End of study	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)	xxx (xx.x%)

Notes:

Except for withdrawal reasons, the denominator is the number of randomised patients. For the distribvution of withdrawal reasons, the denominator is the number of patients withdrawn.

**All deaths may not be accompanied by a study withdrawal, hence the number of deaths as a withdrawal reason may be smaller than recorded deaths.*

Table 2. Key baseline characteristics

Characteristics	ICD n=xxx	ILR n=xxx	Total n=xxx
Country of recruitment			
Australia	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Germany	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
UK	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Age in years			
Mean (SD)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xx.xx (xx; xx)	xx.xx (xx; xx)	xx.xx (xx; xx)
Min; max	xx; xx	xx; xx	xx; xx
Gender, n (%)			
Male	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Female	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Race, n (%)			
Caucasian	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Other	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Smoking status, n (%)			
Current smoker	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Ex smoker	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Non-smoker	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Type of Cardiomyopathy			
Ischemic	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
No cardiomyopathy	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Non-ischemic	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Cardiovascular history	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Myocardial Infarction	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Unstable Angina	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Severe Vascular Disease	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Diabetes Mellitus	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Hyperlipidemia	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Hypertension	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Peripheral Vascular Disease	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Previous stroke	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Previous TIA	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Height (cm)			
Mean (SD)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)
Min max	xxx; xxx	xxx; xxx	xxx; xxx
Weight (kg)			
Mean (SD)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xx.xx (xx.x; xx.x)	xx.xx (xx.x; xx.x)	xx.xx (xx.x; xx.x)

Min max	xx.x; xx.x	xx.x; xx.x	xx.x; xx.x
BMI (kg/m^2)			
Mean (SD)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xx.xx (xx.x; xx.x)	xx.xx (xx.x; xx.x)	xx.xx (xx.x; xx.x)
Min max	xx.x; xx.x	xx.x; xx.x	xx.x; xx.x
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Mean (SD)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)
Min max	xxx; xxx	xxx; xxx	xxx; xxx
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Mean (SD)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)	xxx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)	xxx.xx (xxx; xxx)
Min max	xxx; xxx	xxx; xxx	xxx; xxx
Serum creatinine (µmol/L)			
Mean (SD)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)
Median (Q1: Q2)	xx.xx (xx; xx)	xx.xx (xx; xx)	xx.xx (xx; xx)
Min max	xx; xx	xx; xx	xx; xx

Table 3: Baseline medications

	ICD N=XXX	ILR N=XXX	Total N=XXX
Additional Cardiac Medications	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Aldosterone Receptor Antagonists	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitors	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Anti-reflux medications	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antiarrhythmic therapy	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antibiotics and antimicrobials	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Anticoagulation therapy	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antilipid	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antiplatelet therapy	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Beta Blockers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Ca Channel blockers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Digoxin	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Diuretics	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Hypoglycaemic agents	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Inotropes	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Pain relievers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
SGLT2 inhibitors	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Vitamin and Mineral supplements	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Unknown	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Other	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)

Programming note: order by decreasing frequency based on the total column

Table 4: Concomitant medications during follow-up

	ICD N=XXX	ILR N=XXX	Total N=XXX
Additional Cardiac Medications	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Aldosterone Receptor Antagonists	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Angiotensin Converting Enzyme inhibitors	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Anti-reflux medications	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antiarrhythmic therapy	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antibiotics and antimicrobials	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Anticoagulation therapy	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antilipid	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Antiplatelet therapy	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Beta Blockers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Ca Channel blockers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Digoxin	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Diuretics	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Hypoglycaemic agents	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Inotropes	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Pain relievers	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
SGLT2 inhibitors	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Vitamin and Mineral supplements	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Unknown	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)
Other	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)	xx/xxx (%)

Programming note: order by decreasing frequency based on the total column

Table 5. Compliance and protocol deviations

Type of protocol deviation	ICD n=xxx	ILR n=xxx	Total n=xxx
Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria not met	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Visit outside window	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Visit not done	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Required tests not done (e.g. bloods, MRI)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Data corrupted	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Received the wrong randomised device*	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Did not receive or deactivated the randomised device	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)
Other	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)	xx (xx.x%)

* Patients randomised to ILR who receive an ICD and patients randomised to ICD who received ILR

Table 5. Vital signs by visit

	ICD (N = XXX)			ILR (N = XXX)		
Weight (kg)						
Randomisation	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 6	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 12	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 18	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Etc.						
BMI						
Randomisation	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 6	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 12	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 18	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Etc.						
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)						
Randomisation	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 6	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 12	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 18	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Etc.						
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)						
Randomisation	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 6	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 12	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)
Month 18	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)	NNN	XX.X (XX)	XX (XX - XX)

Etc.		
Pulse rate		
Randomisation	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Month 6	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Month 12	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Month 18	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Etc.		
Respiratory rate		
Randomisation	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Month 6	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Month 12	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Month 18	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)	NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX)
Etc.		

NNN XX.X (XX) XX (XX - XX) represents the number of non missing data points followed by the mean (SD) and Median (Q1 – Q3)

Table 6. Survival analysis of primary and secondary outcomes

Outcome	ICD (N= XXX) N patients (%)	ICD (N= XXX) N events (rate)*	ILR (N= XXX) N patients (%)	ILR (N= XXX) N events (rate)*	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value **
Primary outcome: SCD or HSVA * **						
Unadjusted analysis	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX
Adjusted analysis ****					X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX
Secondary outcomes						
Sudden cardiac death	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	
HSVA	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	
All-cause mortality	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX
Cardiovascular mortality	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX
NYHA class increase	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX
Heart failure hospitalisation	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX
Cardiovascular mortality	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)	X.XXX

Notes:

** Raw event rate per 100 patient-years*

*** For 5 secondary outcomes, the p-value is to be adjusted using a Holm-Sidak procedure*

**** SCD = Sudden cardiac death. HSVA = Haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia*

***** Adjusted for the following baseline variables: age (continuous), sex (male vs female), heart failure aetiology (ICM or DCM) and baseline left ventricular ejection fraction (30-40 vs >40-50)*

Except for all-cause mortality, data are analysed using a Fine and Gray model which treats deaths that are not part of the outcome definition as a competing risk

Table 7. Sensitivity analyses of survival outcomes using cause-specific Cox models

Outcome	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Primary outcome: SCD or HSVA *	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)
Sudden cardiac death	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)
HSVA	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)
Cardiovascular mortality	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)
NYHA class increase	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)
Heart failure hospitalisation	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)
Cardiovascular mortality	X.XX (X.XX, X.XX)

** SCD = Sudden cardiac death. HSVA = Haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia*

Table 8: Analysis of vital signs

Vital sign / visit	ICD Mean (SE)	ILR Mean (SE)	Mean difference (95% CI)	P-value
Weight				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
BMI				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
SBP				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
DBP				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
Pulse rate				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
Respiratory rate				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx

Notes: See Section 3.10 for details about the modelling approach. The overall model result will also be reported on the corresponding figure

Table 9: Analysis of quality of life scores

Score / visit	ICD Mean (SE)	ILR Mean (SE)	Mean difference (95% CI)	P-value
MLHFQ Physical score				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 24	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
MLHFQ socio-economic score				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 24	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
MLHFQ emotional/psychological score				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 24	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
MLHFQ total score				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 24	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx
EQ-5D VAS				
Month 6	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 12	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 18	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Month 24	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Etc.	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	
Overall	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx)	xx.xx (xx.xx, xx.x)	0.xxx

Notes: See Sections 3.12.5 and 3.12.6 for details about the modelling approach. The overall model result will also be reported on the corresponding figure

MLHFQ = Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire

Table 10: Summary of serious adverse events

Cause	ICD (N= XXX) #events N (%)	ILR (N= XXX) #events N (%)	Total (N= XXX) #events N (%)	Fisher exact p-value
Serious adverse event	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	0.xxx
Death	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Life threatening illness or injury	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Persistent or permanent disability	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Inpatient hospitalisation	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Causing birth defect	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Requires medical or surgical intervention to prevent impairment to body structure or function	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	

For each row, numbers represent the total number of events, the number and proportion of patients with at least one event of that type. The denominator is the number of patients randomised.

Table 11: Adverse events

Body system / event	ICD (N= XXX) #events N (%)	ILR (N= XXX) #events N (%)	Total (N= XXX) #events N (%)	Fisher exact p-value
Body system 1	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**0.xxx**
Event 1	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Event 2	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Etc.	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Body system 2	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**0.xxx**
Event 1	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Event 2	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Etc.	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Body system 3	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**xxx xxx (xx.x%)**	**0.xxx**
Event 1	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Event 2	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Etc.	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	xxx xxx (xx.x%)	
Etc.				

Note: Order body systems, and events within each body system, by decreasing order of total frequency

For each row, numbers represent the total number of events, the number and proportion of patients with at least one event of that type. The denominator is the number of patients randomised.

Table 12: Cause of death

Cause	ICD (N= XXX) N (%)	ILR (N= XXX) N (%)	Total (N= XXX) N (%)
Cause 1	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)
Cause 2	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)
Cause 3	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)
Etc…	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)	XXX (XX.X%)

Note: order by decreasing order of total frequency. The denominator is the number of patients randomised.

Table 13: Hospitalisations

Cause	ICD (N= XXX) #events N (%)	ILR (N= XXX) #events N (%)	Total (N= XXX) #events N (%)
Cause 1	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)
Cause 2	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)
Cause 3	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)
Etc…	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)	XXX XXX (XX.X%)

Note: order by decreasing order of total frequency

For each hospitalisation, numbers represent the total number of events, the number and proportion of patients with at least one hospitalisation of that type. The denominator is the number of patients randomised.

Figure 1: Consort flowchart

Consort flow chart showing the number of subjects at each step (e.g. screened, randomised, lost to follow up and included in the primary outcome analysis)

Figure 2. <*vital sign*> by visit

Programming notes:

- *Do this figure for all vital signs listed in Section 3.10*
- *Show means and 95%CI. Display raw means on the graph as numbers near each dot and denominators below the x-axis.*
- *Also display overall mean difference, 95% confidence interval and p-value from repeated-measure linear mixed model (see Section 3.10 for details)*

Figure 3: Cumulative incidence curve of time to <*outcome*>

Programming notes:

- *Do this figure for all primary and secondary outcomes of a survival nature*
- *Add number at risk every 12 months*
- *Display median and quartiles as well as results from the survival model used for the main analysis (hazard ratio, 95% CI and p-value). See Section 3.11.1 for details of the main analysis model.*
- *Except for death, the results should come from a Fine and Gray model where death from a cause that is not part of the outcome definition is treated as a competing risk (See Section 3.11.1 for details of the main analysis model)*

Figure 4: Forest plot for subgroup analysis of primary outcome

Forest plot showing the effect of ICD vs ILR on the primary outcome (time to first occurrence of SCD or haemodynamically significant ventricular arrhythmia) by pre-specified baseline subgroups. Display p-value for heterogeneity corresponding to the interaction term between the intervention and the subgroup variable. Here is an example:



Notes: Do for the primary outcome only. Figure above for illustrative purposes only (source: https://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa2404245)

Figure 5. Stacked bar chart of New York Heart Association (NYHA) class by visit

Programming notes:

- *NYHA class is collected at baseline, month 3, month 6, month 12 and every 12 months thereafter. It consists of 4 ordinal categories from 1 to 4.*
- *Create a stacked bar chart with two columns (one for each treatment group) for each visit including baseline and, within each column, stack the categories from 1 to 5 and colour code using a gradient (e.g. white to dark red).*
- *Display raw percentages within categories and denominators below the x-axis*

Figure 6. Stacked bar chart of <*EQ-5D question*> by visit

Programming notes:

- *Do this figure for each of the 5 EQ-5D questions*

- *Create a stacked bar chart with two columns (one for each treatment group) for each visit including baseline and, within each column, stack the categories from 1 to 5 and colour code using a gradient (e.g. white to dark red).*
- *Display raw percentages within categories and denominators below the x-axis*

Figure 7: Minnesota Living with Heart Failure <*domain/total*> score by visit

Programming notes:

- *Do this figure for each of the 3 domains as well as the overall score (see Section 3.12.5)*
- *Show means and 95%CI. Display raw means on the graph as numbers near each dot and denominators below the x-axis.*
- *Also display overall mean difference, 95% confidence interval and p-value from repeated-measure linear mixed model (see Section 3.10 for details)*

Figure 8: Boxplot of EQ-5D VAS score by visit

Programming notes:

- *Create a boxplot for the VAS score by visit and treatment arm*
- *Display denominators below the x-axis*
- *Display overall mean difference, 95% confidence interval and p-value from repeated-measure linear mixed model (see Section 3.12.6 for details)*

Figure 9. Win ratio decision tree



Win ratio	=	X.XX, 95% CI:[X.XX, X.XX], p = X.XXX
Win odds	=	X.XX, 95% CI:[X.XX, X.XX]
Win difference	=	X.X%, 95% CI:[X.X, X.X]

Figure 10*. Win ratio cumulative analysis*