Neurofunctional correlates of Working Memory across Psychosis Stages: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Maria-Mihaela Avram[1], MSc, Lesley Bayly-Bureau[2], MSc, Nicholas R Livingston[1],PhD, Paolo Fusar-Poli[2,3,4,5], MD PhD, Matthew J Kempton[2], PhD, Joaquim Radua[6], MD PhD, Mitul Mehta[7], PhD, Gemma Modinos[1,8], PhD.

Author Affiliations

[1]Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

[2]Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London United Kingdom

[3]Department of Brain and Behavioral Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

[4]South London and Maudsley National Health Service Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

[5]Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilian-University, Munich, Germany

[6]Imaging of Mood- and Anxiety-Related Disorders (IMARD) Group, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), CIBERSAM, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[7]Centre for Neuroimaging Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

[8]MRC Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Prof. Gemma Modinos, PhD

16 De Crespigny Park

Denmark Hill

London SE5 8AF

Telephone +44 (0)20 7848 0927

Gemma.modinos@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

Working memory (WM) impairments have been reported in different stages of psychosis but whether their neural correlates are shared or stage-specific is unknown. This meta-analysis examined WM-related brain activity across psychosis stages: familial and clinical high-risk for psychosis (at-risk stage), first-episode psychosis (early psychosis stage), and chronic schizophrenia (chronic psychosis stage). PubMed, Ovid, and Web of Science were searched up to July 2025 for functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies comparing individuals in each stage and healthy controls during WM. Seed-based d-mapping assessed WM-related fMRI correlates at each stage. Significance was set at family-wise error-corrected $p<.05$. Forty-two studies were included: 7 in the at-risk stage, 5 in the early psychosis stage, and 30 in the chronic psychosis stage. In chronic psychosis, higher activation relative to controls was observed in the medial prefrontal cortex, rostral anterior cingulate, right insula and superior temporal gyrus, posterior cingulate cortex, left superior temporal and supramarginal gyri. Lower activation in chronic psychosis vs controls was found in the cerebellum, bilateral precuneus, middle temporal gyrus, and thalamus. The early psychosis stage was characterised by lower activation compared to controls in the dorsal anterior cingulate, bilateral caudate nuclei, and inferior frontal gyrus. No significant clusters emerged in the at-risk stage, or across stages. In combined early and chronic psychosis analyses, anterior cingulate cortex activation was positively associated with both antipsychotic dose and illness duration. These findings indicate that disruptions in WM circuitry may evolve after illness onset and may represent a potential biomarker of psychosis staging.

Word count: 249

Key words

Highlights

- This study revealed distinct brain activity patterns in early and chronic psychosis stages.

- Alterations in brain activity were more widespread in the chronic stage of psychosis.

- Antipsychotic dose and illness duration predicted anterior cingulate cortex activation.

- Distinct neural correlates of working memory may reflect illness progression.

1. Introduction

Working memory (WM) impairment is a core cognitive deficit in psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia and has been associated with poor functioning and quality of life (Halverson et al., 2019; Kadakia et al., 2022). WM abnormalities, among other cognitive deficits, have been documented across at-risk and clinical stages of psychosis, from familial high-risk (Bora et al., 2014; Snitz et al., 2006) (FHR, first-degree relatives of individuals with psychosis), clinical high-risk for psychosis(CHR-P) (Catalan et al., 2021), to first-episode psychosis (FEP) (Catalan et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2024) and chronic schizophrenia (Forbes et al., 2009). Furthermore, lower WM performance was found to be associated with subsequent transition to psychosis in individuals at CHR-P (Fusar-Poli et al., 2012; Seidman et al., 2016), and relapse in those with FEP (Rund et al., 2007), which was also associated with worsening of WM performance (Hui et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2023). Overall, these findings suggest that WM deficits may be an early marker of psychosis and warrant investigation into their neural substrate, to understand whether they are state- or trait-related.

Previous meta-analyses reported altered WM-related activation in frontoparietal cortical regions, including middle frontal gyrus and posterior parietal cortex, across different stages of psychosis (at-risk, first-episode, chronic psychosis) (Dutt et al., 2015; Glahn et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2021; Wu and Jiang, 2020; Yao et al., 2024; Zachary Adam Yaple et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2016). However, results across these meta-analyses are mixed, with substantial inconsistency across studies and stages examined. This may be linked to between-study heterogeneity, such as differing approaches in participant sampling, imaging parameters and scanner type, WM paradigms, and choice of analysis. In addition, differences in meta-analytic methodologies, such as inclusion criteria, number of included studies, and significance thresholding may have had a role. Importantly, all the published neuroimaging meta-analyses on WM in psychosis stages used coordinate-based meta-analytic approaches (Albajes-Eizagirre and Radua, 2018), which, despite enabling the quantitative synthesis of a large body of literature, rely exclusively on reported peaks of activation and sample sizes, thus overlooking considerable amounts of information that does not reach the significance threshold[24]. In contrast, image-based meta-analyses show higher power and sensitivity compared to approaches relying on peak coordinates (Albajes-Eizagirre and Radua, 2018; Radua and Mataix-Cols, 2012; Salimi-Khorshidi et al., 2009), but are limited by the availability of statistical maps from individual studies (Radua and Mataix-Cols, 2012; Salimi-Khorshidi et al., 2009).

Another source of heterogeneity is the inclusion of a wide range of tasks and contrasts, some of which involve WM processing at different phases, such as encoding, maintenance, retrieval (Bittner et al., 2015) or effects of emotional stimuli on WM performance (Becerril and Barch, 2011), which may show differing activity in the brain. Importantly, many studies use contrasts that compare a WM condition with a fixation cross or rest, which may reflect non-WM signals, such as vigilance, motor response or visual processing, potentially overriding the WM effects when included consistently. In addition, previous meta-analyses often include multiple contrasts from the same participants and tasks, which are not accounted for in the analyses (Yao et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2016).

The present study is an updated fMRI meta-analysis on neural correlates of WM across clinical stages of psychosis. Specifically, we aimed to address the limitations associated with coordinate-based approached by combining peak coordinate data and statistical maps obtained from study authors using seed-based d-mapping (SDM) (Radua et al., 2012b). SDM generates effect size maps accounting for increases and decreases in brain activation and allows the combination of peak coordinates and statistical maps (Albajes-Eizagirre et al., 2019; Radua et al., 2012b), showing a 20% increase in sensitivity of results when at least 3 maps are included (Radua and Mataix-Cols, 2012). To further minimise heterogeneity, we only included studies using one category of WM tasks, namely the 'n-back' paradigm. This WM paradigm involves the use of several cognitive processes, such as encoding, maintenance and continuous updating in order to detect a stimulus shown *n* positions previously (Callicott et al., 1998). The n-back task is used frequently in studies exploring groups across psychosis stages (Dutt et al., 2015; Glahn et al., 2005), it has high reproducibility in the neuroimaging literature (Gradin et al., 2010; Mencarelli et al., 2019; Owen et al., 2005) and unified WM task design.

2. Methods

This systematic review with meta-analysis was preregistered on PROSPERO (available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/view/CRD42024538011) and reporting follows the PRISMA guidelines (Table S1).

2.1. Searches and study selection

A systematic search was conducted on PubMed, OVID and Web of Science databases for all studies published until July 11th 2025, using the search terms as shown in the Supplement. In addition, the bibliographies of 10 meta-analyses were searched (Dutt et al., 2015; Fusar-Poli, 2012; McTeague et al., 2017; Picó-Pérez et al., 2022;

Soldevila-Matías et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2021; Wu and Jiang, 2020; Yao et al., 2024; Z. A. Yaple et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2016).

The screening of abstracts and full texts was conducted by 2 investigators (MMA and LBB). Studies were included if they explored case-control differences in fMRI activation during the n-back task in the at-risk and clinical stages in psychosis. The at-risk stage comprised individuals who had a first-degree relative with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (FHR) or individuals at CHR-P who met criteria for CAARMS (Yung et al., 2005) (Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States) or SIPS (Miller et al., 2002) (Structured interview for Prodromal syndromes). Early and chronic psychosis were defined as individuals who had an established diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, based on criteria defined by DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition), DSM-V (Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders), or ICD-10 (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, F20 or F25).

Exclusions at the abstract screening stage were based on the following criteria: (1) there were no mentions/inferences of task-based fMRI in the abstract, (2) there were no mentions/inferences of any population of interest in the abstract, (3) there was an explicit statement of the fMRI task not assessing mainly working memory, (4) there were no mentions/inferences of healthy control (HC) groups, (5) the reported sample size per comparison group was lower than 7 participants per comparison group (Tahmasian et al., 2019), and (6) the abstract was for a systematic review, meta-analysis, conference report, case report/series, preprint, or dissertation.

At the full-text screening stage, studies were excluded if whole-brain results were not reported, the task design included distractors or emotional stimuli, results reflected effects of interventions with no baseline data, data was not normalised in the Montreal Neuroimaging Institute (MNI)(Evans et al., 1993) or Talairach reference spaces (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988). Studies were only included if these reported findings from a contrast that isolated WM effects, such as "2-back>0-back", "2-back>1-back", or a group-by-load linear model analysis. Authors of all eligible studies were contacted to request whole-brain statistical maps of the contrasts reported in the papers.

Originally, studies using all WM tasks were considered for the meta-analysis. After screening, most of the eligible studies had used n-back tasks, and thus we decided to only include studies using versions of the n-back task in the meta-analysis, to reduce heterogeneity caused by differences in WM paradigms. Furthermore, a few studies

used WM tasks that explored multiple phases of WM. Since using multiple contrasts would bias the findings towards a specific study, we decided to exclude those studies.

2.1.1. *Studies with overlapping cohorts*

To maximise the independence of data, we employed extra steps to identify studies with overlapping cohorts. First, we suspected overlap when studies exploring a similar group were published by either the same authors or authors from the same institutions. Then, we searched for potential mentions of a previous study based on the same cohort in the methods section. When this information was not stated, we checked for similarities in participant demographics, including age, sex distribution, antipsychotic use, IQ, etc. In one case, we identified 3 studies with similar authors, which were revealed to use data from overlapping cohorts after communicating with the corresponding author.

When studies reporting on overlapping cohorts were eligible, the inclusion was decided based on the following order of priorities: (1) the authors of the study shared a statistical map; (2) the study reported corrected findings, such as using false-discovery rate or family-wise error corrections; (3) the study used a higher sample size; (4) the study had a lower risk of bias.

Further information on screening and data extraction is described in the Supplement.

2.2. Quality assessment

The quality assessment was performed using a version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale adapted for neuroimaging studies (Gentili et al., 2019). This scale evaluates the reports based on criteria such as study population selection, matching, behavioural manipulation, p-value thresholding and applied corrections. Based on the score, the studies were assigned to low, moderate, or high risk of bias categories.

2.3. Meta-analyses

The meta-analyses were conducted in SDM with Permutation of Subject Images (SDM-PSI), version *6.23* (Albajes-Eizagirre et al., 2019) for 3 groups of interest that represented at-risk and clinical stages of psychosis. These comprised (1) at-risk group – studies where participants had FHR or CHR-P , (2) early psychosis group – studies where participants had either FEP or a mean duration of illness (+ SD) lower than 5 years and (3) chronic

psychosis group – studies where participants had a diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (illness duration mean (years) + SD > 5). Illness duration cut-offs were chosen based on established definitions of early psychosis (Newton et al., 2018) and incorporated standard deviations to minimise misclassification.

The statistical maps obtained from study authors were converted to *T*-maps in SDM where applicable. For the remaining studies, reported whole-brain peak coordinates were used, in addition to reported *T*-values. Where *T*-values were missing, the *Z*- or *p*-values were converted using the online conversion tool (*www.sdmproject.com*). Studies that reported non-significant differences in activation, and for which statistical maps were not available were included as null findings, following the SDM protocol.

Studies were pre-processed using the SDM built-in command that involved a grey matter mask and the default settings for the fMRI modality (anisotropy =1, voxel size = 2mm, isotropic full-width half maximum = 20) (Pollard et al., 2023). Pre-processing was followed by mean analyses (meta-analyses) using 50 imputations, and family-wise error (FWE) corrections via threshold free cluster enhancement using 1000 permutations, which were part of the default SDM pre-sets. Statistical significance was set at $pFWE < .05$.

All primary meta-analyses included age as a covariate, due to considerable between-study variability in mean ages of participants (see Results), and previous findings of significant effects of age on WM-related fMRI activity (Wang et al., 2019). The age variable was calculated as the weighted mean in cases and control ages. Due to the nature of the method, only bidirectional effects could be inferred. For the purpose of clarity, we classified all results for cases > controls as hyperactivations and for controls > cases as hypoactivations.

Secondary analyses

Conjunction and disconjunction analyses, using the "Multimodal" function in SDM, were conducted to examine overlapping regions of activity across psychosis stages. We conducted meta-comparisons for early psychosis > at risk, and chronic psychosis > early psychosis contrasts using the "Linear Model" function. This was done by adding another column to the dataset where one group was allocated 1 and the other 0, simulating the meta-comparison functions from previous versions of SDM (Radua et al., 2010). A threshold of $p_{uncorr} < .0005$ was chosen to determine statistical significance, as previously suggested for linear model analyses (Radua et al., 2012b).

Meta-regressions were conducted in each group, also using the "Linear Model" function to explore the effects sex distribution in cases vs controls on brain activation. This was done due to previous evidence on sex differences in fMRI activation during the n-back task in schizophrenia (Elsabagh et al., 2009). In addition, meta-

regressions were conducted in the combined early and chronic psychosis group to explore the effects of antipsychotic dose (mean CPZ equivalents) and mean duration of illness (years) on WM-related brain activity. The significance was set at $p < .0005$ uncorrected, as suggested previously (Radua et al., 2012a). The calculation of each predictor in meta-regressions is described in the Supplement.

Finally, sensitivity analyses were conducted in each group by excluding the age covariate. Subgroup meta-analyses were conducted for FHR and CHR-P separately. Heterogeneity and publication bias were assessed using the "Extract" and "Bias Test" functions in SDM-PSI, which compute I^2 statistics and perform the meta-bias tests, respectively. Robustness of the findings was evaluated using jack-knife sensitivity analyses, iteratively re-running the 3 main meta-analyses by excluding each study once. The significance thresholds of all sensitivity analyses were set as in the main meta-analyses. All the results are presented in the MNI template space.

All descriptive statistics and additional calculations were performed using R Studio, version *4.4.3*. The reports of medians and ranges were transformed into means and standard deviations using the *estmeansd* package, which enables quantile estimation without assuming normal distributions (McGrath et al., 2020). Several studies reported doses for separate antipsychotics, and the number of participants who were prescribed these antipsychotics, thus the *chlorpromazineR* package was used to convert each reported antipsychotic and its dose to CPZ equivalents, based on an international consensus on antipsychotic dosing (Gardner et al., 2010). Following this, a weighted mean of CPZ equivalents was estimated. To account for the participants who did not take medication, the dose variable was again weighted by the proportion of medicated subjects. The same weighting procedure was applied for studies that reported mean CPZ equivalents for medicated participants.

3. Results

3.1. Included studies

The identification, screening and inclusion of studies is shown in the PRISMA flow diagram, Figure 1 (Page et al., 2021). A total of 42 studies comprising 1270 participants across at-risk and clinical stages in psychosis were included. Authors of 71 studies were initially contacted to request whole-brain statistical maps, of which, authors of 45 studies responded and authors of 13 studies provided 15 statistical maps in total. Four maps from 2 studies were then excluded due to explicit masking of results. As a result, the meta-analysis consisted of 45 datasets derived from the included studies, of which 32 datasets comprised whole-brain peak coordinates, 11 were statistical

maps, and 2 were null datasets (non-significant findings and no maps). Detailed characteristics for all included studies are described in Tables 1-3, and summary characteristics are shown in Table 4.

3.2. Meta-analytic findings

In the meta-analysis for the at-risk stage, no significant WM-related fMRI alterations survived correction. At a less stringent threshold employed in a previous study (p_{uncorr}<.005; cluster extent=100 voxels; (Yao et al., 2024)), we found a hyperactivation of right middle frontal gyrus in the at-risk individuals compared to controls (x=38, y=52, z=0; p_{uncorr}=.00005; $pFWE$ = .29, Z = 3.9, Figure S1). No findings in the FHR and CHR subgroups separately survived correction (Table S2).

At the early psychosis stage, five significant clusters of hypoactivation relative to controls were identified, in the medial superior frontal gyrus and dorsal ACC, bilateral caudate nuclei and anterior thalamus, and inferior frontal gyri (Table 5; Figure 2A). No clusters showed hyperactivation relative to controls.

At the chronic psychosis stage, four significant clusters of hypoactivation relative to controls were identified in the cerebellum and thalamus, bilateral precuneus and left middle temporal gyrus, alongside four clusters of hyperactivation in the medial frontal cortex, cingulum, insula, ventral striatum, hippocampus, superior temporal and supramarginal gyri (Table 5; Figure 2B).Detailed cluster information for the early and chronic psychosis meta-analyses is presented in Tables S3-S4.

3.3. Conjunction analyses and meta-comparisons

Conjunction analyses were run to reveal overlaps in activity between the early and chronic psychosis group (vs respective HC groups), since the meta-analysis of the at-risk group did not yield significant differences at the chosen corrected threshold. No significantly overlapping regions of similar activation between the early psychosis and chronic psychosis groups were revealed.

Two regions of distinct activation patterns were revealed: the ACC (x=–2, y=50, z=8; 566 voxels; $pFWE$<.001) and middle cingulate (x=0, y=4, z=38; 107 voxels; $pFWE$<.002) (Figure 2C). The latter was also revealed in the meta-comparison, having significant hyperactivation in chronic psychosis, compared to early psychosis (x=4, y=12, z=34; p_{uncorr}<.0005; SDM-Z=3.8; Figure 2D). Furthermore, we observed significant

hypoactivation of the right caudate in early psychosis compared to the at-risk group (x=10, y=8, z=10; p_{uncorr}<.0005; SDM-Z=3.48; Figure S2).

3.4. Meta-regressions

Meta-regressions revealed significant linear effects sex distribution in case-control proportion on WM-related brain activation in 3 clusters (Figure S3). A higher proportion of male participants in the psychosis group (compared to the control group) was associated with hypoactivation in the bilateral cerebellum (x=±28, y=–68/–62, z=–36, p_{uncorr} < .0005), and hyperactivation in the superior medial frontal gyrus (x=8, y=56, z=18, SDM-Z=3.75, p_{uncorr} < .001). No significant linear effects of sex distribution differences were found in the early psychosis or at-risk groups.

Finally, meta-regressions comprising all studies across the early and chronic psychosis stages revealed significant linear effects of antipsychotic dose and duration of illness on activation in the ACC. Both predictors revealed a positive association with ACC activation, with a higher degree of ACC activation in psychosis being associated with higher antipsychotic dose (Figure 3A), and longer duration of illness (Figure 3B).

3.5. Sensitivity analyses

Jack-knife sensitivity analyses were conducted for the early and chronic psychosis groups. In the early psychosis group, the most robust clusters were in the ACC and right caudate, significant in 3/5 iterations (Table S5). For the chronic psychosis group, all clusters were replicated in at least 25/30 iterations (Table S6), the most robust clusters were in the cerebellum (30/30 iterations), ACC/superior medial frontal gyrus (29/30 iterations) and middle/posterior cingulate cortex (29/30 iterations). The findings from the sensitivity analyses where no covariates were included are shown in Tables S7-S8. The heterogeneity index (I^2) was low across all analyses and no significant publication bias was detected in meta-bias tests (Tables S5-S6).

4. Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis revealed distinct patterns of WM-related brain activation across the early and chronic stages of psychosis, suggesting that WM-related fMRI alterations represent a state-dependent, rather than a trait, biomarker. These patterns were found in regions typically associated with the default mode and salience networks, with the most extensive alterations observed in the chronic psychosis stage. The ACC emerged as

a hypoactive region in early psychosis, overactive in chronic psychosis, and its activation was associated with illness duration and antipsychotic dose. No statistically significant clusters emerged in the at-risk stage at a corrected threshold.

4.1. Chronic psychosis stage

The meta-analysis revealed hyperactivation in chronic psychosis compared to HC in regions that are core nodes of the default mode network (Foster and Koslov, 2025; Whitfield-Gabrieli and Ford, 2012), specifically the posterior cingulate cortex, medial prefrontal cortex, and the hippocampus. As these regions are typically deactivated during WM (Mencarelli et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019), these findings may rather reflect hypo-deactivations. Abnormal suppression of the default mode network is associated with an unsuccessful switch from the internal attentional focus to externally-guided attention (Leech et al., 2011), and is a well-known fMRI signature in psychosis (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Ford, 2012).

In addition, we found WM-related brain hyperactivations or hypo-deactivations in the ACC and the insula, key hubs of the salience network, previously shown to be atypical in psychosis (Palaniyappan and Liddle, 2012). These findings suggest suboptimal allocation of resources to salient stimuli in cognitively demanding tasks, and altered regulation of large-scale functional networks (Chen et al., 2016; Goulden et al., 2014; Palaniyappan and Liddle, 2012).

Further significant effects comprised hypoactivations in n-back task-positive regions (Mencarelli et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019), such as the precuneus, middle temporal gyrus, cerebellum and thalamus, which have been linked to cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia (Cui et al., 2018; Dadario and Sughrue, 2023; Faris et al., 2024; Pergola et al., 2015). Notably, a distinct sub-region of the precuneus contributed to the posterior cingulate hypo-deactivation cluster, indicating functional heterogeneity across precuneus sub-regions, as suggested previously (Dadario and Sughrue, 2023). Supporting this, Utevsky et al (2014) found a dual role of the precuneus, showing opposing activity patterns when it is involved in the DMN (rest > task) versus the frontoparietal (task > rest) network.

Our meta-analytic findings in chronic psychosis replicate prior meta-analytic evidence of alterations in the ACC, posterior cingulate, and orbitofrontal cortices (Glahn et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2021; Wu and Jiang, 2020), thalamus (Andrews et al., 2006), and cerebellum (Bernard and Mittal, 2015; Yao et al., 2024), but contrast previous findings of insular hypoactivation (Wu and Jiang, 2020).

4.2. Early psychosis stage

In contrast with chronic psychosis, we did not find any clusters of WM-related hyperactivations (or hypo-deactivations) in early psychosis. We did observe widespread hypoactivations in the dorsal and pregenual ACC, consistent with its role in cognitive control and monitoring of salient information (Heilbronner and Hayden, 2016). Opposite effects were observed in the pregenual ACC across early and chronic psychosis stages, where we also found a linear effect of illness duration and antipsychotic dose. In the same ACC subregion, Radua et al (2012a) found reduced grey matter volumes in medicated patients with FEP, compared to non-medicated patients. These results suggest a potential of WM-related activation in the ACC as a neuroimaging biomarker for psychosis staging.

Furthermore, we found significant hypoactivation in the bilateral caudate nuclei in early psychosis, which were neither hyper- nor hypoactive in chronic psychosis Previous longitudinal studies found a normalisation of striatal hypoactivation over time in individuals with FEP, typically associated with antipsychotic treatment (Reske et al., 2007; Wulff et al., 2020). However, our study did not find a significant linear effect of antipsychotic dose, nor illness duration on striatal activity during WM.

4.3. At-risk for psychosis stage

The at-risk stage meta-analysis yielded no significant clusters after correction. This finding contrasts previous meta-analyses, which found significant WM-related frontoparietal alterations in in FHR (Zhang et al., 2016) and CHR-P (Dutt et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2024) separately. This lack of consensus is likely explained by the inclusion of ROI or masked findings, studies using other WM tasks, and less stringent statistical thresholds in previous meta-analyses. At a similar threshold as used previously (Yao et al., 2024), we did observe hyperactivation in the right middle frontal gyrus, consistent with prior meta-analytic evidence in FHR (Zhang et al., 2016). The lack of robust effects in this at-risk stage can also be explained by smaller effect sizes (Chan et al., 2011) and high heterogeneity, consistent with emerging evidence of distinct biotypes in CHR-P depending on fMRI activity (Tang et al., 2025). Alternatively, functional brain alterations may be distributed across different regions within the same large-scale networks (Darby et al., 2019; Makhlouf et al., 2025), and detecting these networks would require evidence from more studies. Future research could explicitly investigate the involvement of established networks in WM-related fMRI activation in both clinical and subclinical groups.

4.4 Strengths and limitations

This meta-analysis provides an updated synthesis of the WM-related fMRI literature across at-risk and clinical stages of psychosis. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to combine statistical maps with peak coordinates to examine stage-specific WM-related brain activity. To reduce heterogeneity and increase specificity, this meta-analysis focused on a single, widely used WM paradigm, applied stringent statistical thresholds, explored the effects of antipsychotic dose and illness duration, and excluded task contrasts reflecting non-WM processes. We identified a common practice in the fMRI literature involving the use of task activation masks derived from the same sample when performing second-level analyses. This approach, similar to ROI analyses (Müller et al., 2017), may bias meta-analytic results by not accounting for the whole brain, increasing the risk of false positives when cluster-extent thresholding is used (Eklund et al., 2016).

Our study has several limitations. We did not account for potential effects of substance use or acute symptom severity, which may influence fMRI activity (Weinberger and Radulescu, 2016). In addition, study-level differences in methodology, such as scanner field strength, smoothing kernel size, preprocessing and analysis software, and covariate selection, were not modelled in the analysis, limiting interpretability to an extent.

4.5. Conclusions

The present meta-analysis indicates that WM-related brain activity differs across stages of psychosis. Furthermore, we found stage-dependent alterations in the ACC (hypoactivation at early stages, hyperactivation at chronic stages) which were associated with antipsychotic dose and illness duration and are relevant to understanding cognitive symptoms and neural correlates of disease progression. Future studies may focus on investigating the differences between WM-specific and disease-specific alterations in psychosis, including examining the effects of antipsychotic type or disease status (relapse/remission) prospectively, to elucidate whether WM dysfunction may signal progression from early stages to overt illness, and inform stage-tailored intervention strategies.

Funding

This manuscript also represents independent research partly funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. MMA is in receipt of a PhD studentship funded by the NIHR Maudsley BRC. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. For the purposes of open access, the author has applied a CC-BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

During the preparation of this work the authors used ChatGPT 5 in order to ensure clarity and flow. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

Declaration of Competing Interest

PFP has received research funds or personal fees from Lundbeck, Angelini, Menarini, Sunovion, Boehringer Ingelheim, Mindstrong, and Proxymm Science. MM has research funding from Nxera and Lundbeck and received in-kind contributions from Compass Pathways. He has consulted for Boehringer Ingelheim, Nxera and Curie. Bio and received speaker fees from Takeda. GM has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and speaker fees from Johnson & Johnson.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank all the researchers who kindly contributed statistical maps for the meta-analysis. We would also like to acknowledge Dr Abigail Gee and Nikita Catalina Julius, who provided informal feedback and helpful insights on diagnostic categories to help improve this work.

Table 1 – Characteristics of included studies in the at-risk stage.

Study	Country	Group	Participants	N (M/F)	Age, years	Task domain	Scanner	Software	Dataset type	Contrast included	Risk of Bias
			N	N, M/F	Mean (SD)						
Callicott et al., 2003	USA	FHR	23	6/17	34.4(9)	numeric	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
		HC	18	11/7	29.6(7)						
Callicott et al., 2003(replication)	USA	FHR	25	11/14	36.6(9)	numeric	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
		HC	15	6/9	27.9(8)						
Falkenberg et al., 2015	UK	FHR	10	5/5	40.3 (10.7)	verbal	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B+1B > 0B	Moderate
		CHR-P	17	12/5	24.3 (4.2)						
		HC	15	10/5	25.6 (4.8)						
van Gool et al., 2022	USA	FHR	15	6/9	9.60 (2.1)	verbal	Siemens 3T	FSL	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
		HC	18	8/10	9.3 (1.7)						
Fusar-Poli et al., 2010	UK	CHR-P	20	NR	26.6 (5)	verbal	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B+1B > 0B	Low
		HC	14	NR	25.5 (3.6)						
Fusar-Poli et al., 2011	UK	CHR-P	15	8/7	24.36 (4.48)	verbal	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B+1B > 0B	Moderate
		HC	15	9/6	25.18 (5.07)						
Gisselgård et al., 2018	Norway	CHR-P	41	16/25	16.7 (2.4)	numeric	GE 1.5T	SPM	Map	Analysis by load	Low
		HC	37	18/19	16.9 (3)						
Thermenos et al., 2016	USA	CHR-P	37	15/22	19.6 (4)	verbal	Siemens 3T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Low
		HC	34	18/16	20 (4.1)						

Abbreviations: CHR-P – clinical high-risk for psychosis, F – females, FHR – familial high-risk for psychosis, GE – General Electric, HC – healthy controls, M – males, NR – not reported, WM – working memory, 2B ,1B, 0B – 2-back, 1-back, 0-back.

Table 2 – Characteristics of included studies in the early psychosis stage

Study	Group	Participants	Sex	Age, years	AO, years	DoI, years	CPZ equiv., mg	AP[a]	Task domain	Scanner	Software	Dataset type	Contrast included	Risk of Bias
		N	N, M/F	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	%						
Nielsen et al., 2017	FEP	17	NR	24.2 (3.2)	NR	NR	251 (279.6)	100	verbal	Phillips 3T	SPM	Coord (Null)	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	18	NR	23.6 (2.8)	–	–	–							
Scheuerecker et al., 2008	FEP	23	19/4	31.6 (11.1)	28.4 (9.5)	NR	–	0	verbal	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	23	19/4	32.6 (9.9)	–	–	–	–						
Kyriakopoulos et al., 2012	EOS	25	14/11	16.1 (1.5)	14.8 (1.6)	NR	285.9	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	20	12/8	16.3 (2.1)	–	–	–	–						
Li et al., 2019	SCZ	20	13/7	23.05 (6)	NR	2.63 (2.10)	194.99 (106.76)	95	visuo-spatial	Siemens 3T	SPM	Map	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	24	16/8	24.08 (4.34)	–	–	–	–						
Yoo et al., 2005	SCZ	10	6/4	24.9 (4.8)	23.3 (4.3)	2.4(0.8)	341.7 (102.7)	100	faces	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > CT	Low
	HC	10	8/2	22.6 (1.4)	–	–	–	–						

Abbreviations: AO – age at onset, AP – antipsychotics, CPZ – chlorpromazine, CT – control task, DoI – duration of illness, EOS – early-onset schizophrenia, F – females, FEP – first-episode psychosis, GE – General Electric, HC – healthy controls, M – males, NR – not reported, SCZ – schizophrenia, 2B ,1B, 0B – 2-back, 1-back, 0-back.

[a] – percentage of individuals who took antipsychotics.

Table 3 – Characteristics of included studies in the chronic psychosis stage

Study	Group	Participants N	Sex N, M/F	Age, years Mean (SD)	AO, years Mean (SD)	DoI, years Mean (SD)	CPZ equiv., mg Mean (SD)	AP[a] %	Task domain	Scanner	Software	Dataset type	Contrast included	Risk of Bias
Arumuham et al., 2025	SCZ	12	7/3	30.4 (13)	NR	5.8 (6.3)	324.3 (118.2)	41.7	verbal	Siemens 3T	FSL	Map	2B + 1B > 0B	Low
	HC	12	7/3	30.3 (11.5)	–	–	–	–						
Bor et al., 2011	SCZ	22	17/5	28.4 (7.2)	NR	5.4 (3.9)	335 (164)	100	verbal, visuo-spatial	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	15	11/4	30.3 (7.3)	–	–	–	–						
Callicott et al., 2000	SCZ	13	10/3	33.9 (9)	NR	10 (6)	NR	NR	numeric	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	Analysis by load	Moderate
	HC	18	11/7	29.6 (7)	–	–	–	–						
Dauvermann et al., 2017	SCZ	15	13/2	37.07 (9.95)	21.47 (6.14)	7.8 (0.96)[b]	475 (400.55)	100	verbal	GE 3T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	18	13/5	35 (14.95)	–	–	–	–						
Deserno et al., 2012	SCZ	41	31/10	34.12 (10.44)	28.62 (8.59)	5.79 (6.75)	523.25 (316.29)	97.6	numeric	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Map	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	42	23/19	35.36 (12.25)	–	–	–	–						
Ettinger et al., 2011	SCZ	45	35/10	37.33 (8.19)	23.84 (6.58)	11.39 (9.78)	NR	97.8	visuo-spatial	GE 1.5T	SPM	Map	Analysis by load	Low
	HC	19	12/7	33.32 (9.21)	–	–	–	–						
Fatjo-Vilas et al., 2012	SCZ	48	34/14	34.48 (9.31)	NR	18.17 (8.61)	876.44 (582.66)	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	FSL	Coord	2B > 1B	Low
	HC	46	29/17	35.91 (12.9)	–	–	–	–						
Guardiola-Ripoll et al., 2022	SCZ	70	48/22	39.05 (11.31)	NR	13.91 (9.99)	533.21 (433.93)	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	FSL	Coord	2B > 1B	Low
	HC	70	48/22	38.86 (11.34)	–	–	–	–						
Guse et al., 2013	SCZ	25	19/6	36 (20-58)	NR	NR	NR	100	verbal	Siemens 3T	SPM	Coord (Null)	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	22	16/6	37 (20-58)	–	–	–	–						
(Honey et al., 2003)	SCZ	30	27/3	36.9 (9.2)	24.9 (6.5)	13 (9.8)	327.3 (205.3)	NR	verbal	GE 1.5T	Custom[d]	Coord	2B > CT	Moderate
	HC	27	21/6	35.1 (9.9)	–	–	–							
Jelen et al., 2022	SCZ	15	11/4	40.1 (10)	NR	NR	NR	86.7	verbal	GE 3T	SPM	Map	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	14	7/7	33.8 (10.5)	–	–	–	–						
Kaminski et al., 2019	SCZ-M	36	25/11	35.66 (7.8)	24.50 (6.07)	NR	340.3	100	verbal	Siemens 3T	SPM	Map	2B > 0B	Low
	SCZ-N	19	12/7	33.04 (9.92)	20.80 (6.21)		–	0						
	HC	35	23/12	34.39 (8.53)	–	–	–	–						
Karch et al., 2009	SCZ	61	45/16	38.4 (9.21)	25.3 (6.98)	13.1 (7.59)	287.5 (364.3)	93.4	numeric	GE 1.5T	Brain Voyager	Coord	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	61	45/16	38.8 (10.09)	–	–	–							

Study	Group	Partic ipants	Sex	Age, years	AO, years	DoI, years	CPZ equiv., mg	AP[a]	Task domain	Scanner	Softw are	Datase t type	Contrast included	Risk of Bias
		N	N, M/F	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	%						
Madre et al., 2013	SAD	32	20/12	44 (7)	NR	20 (9.27)	638 (441)	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	FSL	Coord	Analysis by load	Low
	HC	32	20/12	44 (10)	–		–	–						
Mendrek et al., 2005	SCZ	12	9/3	27.75 (9.13)	NR	NR	391.67 (86.16)[c]	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	12	9/3	27.75 (7.48)	–	–	–	–						
Moser et al., 2018	SCZ	92	69/23	27 (7.6)	21.5 (5.3)	NR	264.5 (251.1)	93.5	verbal	Siemens 3T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	48	28/20	29.8 (8.5)	–	–	–	–						
Ortiz-Gil et al., 2011	SCZ-CP	23	17/6	40.1 (10.22)	NR	18.28 (10.02)	663.41 (550.94)	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	FSL	Coord	2B > 1B	Low
	SCZ-CI	26	20/6	42.38 (8.23)	NR	23.76 (8.29	985.34 (608.59)	100						
	HC	39	30/9	20.1 (11.58)	–	–	–	–						
Pae et al., 2008	SCZ	12	8/4	27.8 (7.3)	24.3 (3.2)		351.5 (105.2)	100	verbal	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > CT	Low
	HC	11	8/3	24.6 (6.6)	–		–	–						
Perlstein et al., 2003	SCZ	16	11/5	36.8 (1.9)	22.8 (1.0)	14.1 (2.2)	175.3	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	NIS	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	15	9/6	36.4 (1.8)	–	–	–	–						
Pomarol-Clotet et al., 2008	SCZ	32	21/11	41.56 (8.79)		21.79 (9.09)	736.27 (584.6)	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	FSL	Map	2B > 1B	Low
	HC	32	21/11	41.03 (11.04)	–	–	–	–						
(Pomarol-Clotet et al., 2010)	SCZ	42	31/11	39.83 (10.03)		19.8 (9.4)	645.36 (572.24)	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	FSL	Coord	2B > 1B	Low
	HC	31	20/11	35.84 (14.29)	–	–	–	–						
Royer et al., 2009	SCZ	19	19	33 (6.9)		NR	NR	100	numeric	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	12	12	33.9 (7.3)	–	–	–	–						
Schlagenhauf et al., 2008	SCZ	10	8/2	34.6 (12.9)	27.1 (8.01)	7.6 (9.37)	525 (275.41)	100	numeric	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	10	8/2	33.8 (12.5)	–	–	–	–						
Schlagenhauf et al., 2010	SCZ	11	9/2	34.1 (8.3)	31.7 (8.6)	4 (3.9)	260 (99.4)	100	verbal	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Map	2B > 0B	Low
	HC	11	9/2	37.9 (13.5)	–	–	–	–						
Surguladze et al., 2007	SCZ-RL	16	9/7	42.6 (11.7)	NR	15.3 (7.5)	194	100	verbal	GE 1.5T	XBA M	Coord	Analysis by load	Moderate
	SCZ-CN	16	6/10	43.7 (9.4)	NR	18.6 (9.7)	232	100						
	HC	8	4/4	32.8 (4.7)	–	–	–	–						
Suttkus et al., 2021	SCZ	40	29/11	35.3 (12.7)	26.52 (8.38)	7.85 (8.64)	652.55 (460.45)	97.5	verbal	3T	AFNI, SPM	Coord	3B > 2B	Low
	HC	58	40/18	34.47 (12.56)	–	–	–	–						
Tik et al.,	SCZ	20	16/4	29.3 (6.39)	NR	NR	NR	NR	verbal	GE 3T	FSL	Map	2B > 0B	Low

Study	Group	Participants	Sex	Age, years	AO, years	DoI, years	CPZ equiv., mg	AP[a]	Task domain	Scanner	Software	Dataset type	Contrast included	Risk of Bias
		N	N, M/F	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	%						
2021	HC	80	45/35	33.67 (11.79)	–	–	–	–						
Walter et al., 2003	SCZ	15	8/7	28.7 (6.8)	23.2 (6.1)	5.5 (6)	719.33 (188.65)[c]	93.3	verbal, visuo-spatial	Siemens 1.5T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	15	8/7	29.8 (7.3)	–	–								
Wu et al., 2017	SCZ	45	24/21	24.16 (5.2)	20.74 (4.32)	3.17 (3.37)[b]	NR	100	numeric	GE 3T	SPM	Coord	2B > 0B	Moderate
	HC	45	24/21	24.07 (4.83)	–	–								
Williams et al., 2023	SCZ-M	27	15/12	38 (8.2)	NR	13.1 (9.2)	NR	100	visual	Siemens 3T	fMRIprep, SPM, FSL	Map	2B > 1B	Low
	SCZ-N	14	7/7	35.6 (11.3)	NR	16.5 (11.5)	–	0						
	HC	40	20/20	34.7 (9)	–	–	–	–						

Abbreviations: AO – age at onset, *AP* – antipsychotics, *CPZ* – chlorpromazine, *DoI* – duration of illness, *EOS* – early-onset schizophrenia, , *F* – females, *FEP* – first-episode psychosis, *GE* – General Electric, *HC* – healthy controls, *M* – males, *NR* – not reported, *SAD* – schizoaffective disorder, *SCZ* – schizophrenia, -CI – cognitively impaired schizophrenia, -CN – patients treated with conventional antipsychotics, -CP – cognitively preserved schizophrenia, -HP – high-performing, -LP – low-performing, -M – medicated schizophrenia patients, -N – nonmedicated schizophrenia patients, -RL – patients treated with long-acting risperidone, *2B ,1B, 0B* – 2-back, 1-back, 0-back.

[a] – percentage of individuals who took antipsychotics.
[b] – illness duration was reported in months, for consistency purposes it is converted to years in the table.
[c] – CPZ equivalents were calculated using the conversion method as described in Supplement.
[d] – multiple algorithms (Brammer et al., 1997; Bullmore et al., 1996).

Table 4 – Summary statistics of the included studies.

Variable	At-risk for psychosis (7 studies)		Early psychosis (5 studies)		Chronic psychosis (30 studies)	
	Cases	Controls	Cases	Controls	Cases	Controls
Participants, n	203	166	95	95	972	898
Age range[a], years	9.6 – 40.3	9.3 – 29.6	16.1 – 31.6	16.3 – 32.6	24.16 – 44.0	20.4 – 44.0
Sex[b] (% male)	40.91	52.63	66.67	71.43	70.83	64.44

Abbreviations: HC – healthy controls, NS – non-significant datasets that were included as empty .txt files in the analysis.

[a] – The values shown represent mean ages from the included studies
[b] – One study from each group did not report sex distribution, these studies were not included in the percentage calculations. More detailed study characteristics are reported in eTables 2,3,4.

Table 5 – Peak coordinates of activation in the meta-analyses in early and chronic psychosis

Contrast	Cluster	Voxels	Grey matter regions	Highest peak (MNI: x, y, z)	SDM-Z	*pFWE*
Early Psychosis > HC	*No significant clusters*					
Early Psychosis < HC	1	3087	Bilateral MSFG, ACC	2, 32, 44	–3.828	<.001
	2	144	R Caudate nucleus	10, 6, 10	–4.023	0.007
	3	103	L IFG, opercular	–44, 4, 26	–3.564	0.02
	4	48	L Caudate nucleus	–10, 6, 10	–3.817	0.02
	5	36	L IFG, triangular	–50, 18, 8	–3.44	0.036
Chronic Psychosis > HC	1	12561	Bilateral ACC, MSFG, R Insula, Hippocampus, Striatum, R STG	–10, 44, 6	5.465	<.001
	2	3685	Bilateral Median, Posterior cingulate	0, –42, 38	4.701	0.004
	3	478	L STG	–38, –30, 12	3.738	0.018
	4	51	L Supramarginal gyrus	–58, –20, 42	3.939	0.044
Chronic Psychosis < HC	1	4652	Cerebellum, thalamus	0, –54, –26	–4.661	<.001
	2	735	Bilateral Precuneus	–2, –60, 44	–3.849	0.01
	3	557	L Middle temporal gyrus	–54, –28, –10	–4.309	0.01
	4	179	Thalamus	2, –8, 8	–4.072	0.014

Abbreviations: ACC – Anterior Cingulate Cortex, *FWE* – family-wise error*, HC* – healthy controls, *IFG* – inferior frontal gyrus, *L* – left, *MNI* – Montreal Neuroimaging Institute, *MSFG* – medial superior frontal gyrus, *R* – right, *STG* – superior temporal gyrus.

Figure 1 – PRISMA flow diagram depicting the study selection process for the meta-analysis.

Figure 2 – Meta-analytic findings in the early and chronic psychosis groups. The first 2 rows represent the significant clusters in the early (A) and chronic (B) psychosis meta-analyses. Only clusters larger than 10 voxels with *pFWE* < .05 are shown. C – the significant clusters identified in the disjunction analysis. D – significant cluster identified in the meta-comparison. The map was thresholded at p(uncorrected) < .0005. All sagittal section images are shown at x = 5.

Figure 3 – **Meta-regression results showing significant linear effects of antipsychotic dose (A) and illness duration (B) on ACC activation compared to controls.** ACC – anterior cingulate cortex, HC – healthy controls. PS – psychosis, CPZ – chlorpromazine, M – statistical maps; bubbles that are encompassed by a red circle represent effect sizes from studies where statistical maps were available. Clusters are shown at p <.0005 uncorrected for display purposes.

References

Albajes-Eizagirre, A., Radua, J., 2018. What do results from coordinate-based meta-analyses tell us? NeuroImage 176, 550–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.04.065

Albajes-Eizagirre, A., Solanes, A., Vieta, E., Radua, J., 2019. Voxel-based meta-analysis via permutation of subject images (PSI): Theory and implementation for SDM. NeuroImage 186, 174–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.077

Andrews, J., Wang, L., Csernansky, J.G., Gado, M.H., Barch, D.M., 2006. Abnormalities of Thalamic Activation and Cognition in Schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry 163, 463–469. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.163.3.463

Arumuham, A., Shatalina, E., Nour, M.M., Veronese, M., Onwordi, E.C., Kaar, S.J., Jauhar, S., Rabiner, E.A., Howes, O.D., 2025. Working memory processes and the histamine-3 receptor in schizophrenia: a [11C]MK-8278 PET-fMRI study. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 242, 1321–1334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-024-06730-6

Becerril, K., Barch, D., 2011. Influence of Emotional Processing on Working Memory in Schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull. 37, 1027–1038. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbq009

Bernard, J.A., Mittal, V.A., 2015. Dysfunctional Activation of the Cerebellum in Schizophrenia: A Functional Neuroimaging Meta-Analysis. Clin. Psychol. Sci. 3, 545–566. https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702614542463

Bittner, R.A., Linden, D.E.J., Roebroeck, A., Härtling, F., Rotarska-Jagiela, A., Maurer, K., Goebel, R., Singer, W., Haenschel, C., 2015. The When and Where of Working Memory Dysfunction in Early-Onset Schizophrenia-A Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Cereb. Cortex.

Bor, J., Brunelin, J., Sappey-Marinier, D., Ibarrola, D., d'Amato, T., Suaud-Chagny, M.F., Saoud, M., 2011. Thalamus abnormalities during working memory in schizophrenia. An fMRI study. Schizophr. Res.

Bora, E., Lin, A., Wood, S.J., Yung, A.R., McGorry, P.D., Pantelis, C., 2014. Cognitive deficits in youth with familial and clinical high risk to psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 130, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12261

Brammer, M.J., Bullmore, E.T., Simmons, A., Williams, S.C.R., Grasby, P.M., Howard, R.J., Woodruff, P.W.R., Rabe-Hesketh, S., 1997. Generic brain activation mapping in functional magnetic resonance imaging: A nonparametric approach. Magn. Reson. Imaging 15, 763–770. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0730-725X(97)00135-5

Bullmore, E., Brammer, M., Williams, S.C.R., Rabe-Hesketh, S., Janot, N., David, A., Mellers, J., Howard, R., Sham, P., 1996. Statistical methods of estimation and inference for functional MR image analysis. Magn. Reson. Med. 35, 261–277. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910350219

Callicott, J.H., Bertolino, A., Mattay, V.S., Langheim, F.J.P., Duyn, J., Coppola, R., Goldberg, T.E., Weinberger, D.R., 2000. Physiological dysfunction of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia revisited. Cereb. Cortex.

Callicott, J.H., Egan, M.F., Mattay, V.S., Bertolino, A., Bone, A.D., Verchinksi, B., Weinberger, D.R., 2003. Abnormal fMRI response of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in cognitively intact siblings of patients with schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry.

Callicott, J.H., Ramsey, N.F., Tallent, K., Bertolino, A., Knable, M.B., Coppola, R., Goldberg, T., van Gelderen, P., Mattay, V.S., Frank, J.A., Moonen, C.T., Weinberger, D.R., 1998. Functional magnetic resonance imaging brain mapping in psychiatry: methodological issues illustrated in a study of working memory in schizophrenia.

Neuropsychopharmacology 18, 186–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X(97)00096-1

Catalan, A., McCutcheon, R.A., Aymerich, C., Pedruzo, B., Radua, J., Rodríguez, V., Salazar de Pablo, G., Pacho, M., Pérez, J.L., Solmi, M., McGuire, P., Giuliano, A.J., Stone, W.S., Murray, R.M., Gonzalez-Torres, M.A., Fusar-Poli, P., 2024. The magnitude and variability of neurocognitive performance in first-episode psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Transl. Psychiatry 14, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02718-6

Catalan, A., Salazar de Pablo, G., Aymerich, C., Damiani, S., Sordi, V., Radua, J., Oliver, D., McGuire, P., Giuliano, A.J., Stone, W.S., Fusar-Poli, P., 2021. Neurocognitive Functioning in Individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 78, 859–867. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.1290

Chan, R.C.K., Di, X., McAlonan, G.M., Gong, Q., 2011. Brain Anatomical Abnormalities in High-Risk Individuals, First-Episode, and Chronic Schizophrenia: An Activation Likelihood Estimation Meta-analysis of Illness Progression. Schizophr. Bull. 37, 177–188. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbp073

Chen, T., Cai, W., Ryali, S., Supekar, K., Menon, V., 2016. Distinct Global Brain Dynamics and Spatiotemporal Organization of the Salience Network. PLOS Biol. 14, e1002469. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002469

Cui, Y., Liu, B., Song, M., Lipnicki, D.M., Li, J., Xie, S., Chen, Y., Li, P., Lu, L., Lv, L., Wang, H., Yan, H., Yan, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, D., Jiang, T., 2018. Auditory verbal hallucinations are related to cortical thinning in the left middle temporal gyrus of patients with schizophrenia. Psychol. Med. 48, 115–122. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717001520

Dadario, N.B., Sughrue, M.E., 2023. The functional role of the precuneus. Brain 146, 3598–3607. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awad181

Darby, R.R., Joutsa, J., Fox, M.D., 2019. Network localization of heterogeneous neuroimaging findings. Brain 142, 70–79. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy292

Dauvermann, M.R., Moorhead, T.W.J., Watson, A.R., Duff, B., Romaniuk, L., Hall, J., Roberts, N., Lee, G.L., Hughes, Z.A., Brandon, N.J., Whitcher, B., Blackwood, D.H.R., McIntosh, A.M., Lawrie, S.M., 2017. Verbal working memory and functional large-scale networks in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging.

Deserno, L., Sterzer, P., Wüstenberg, T., Heinz, A., Schlagenhauf, F., 2012. Reduced Prefrontal-Parietal Effective Connectivity and Working Memory Deficits in Schizophrenia. J. Neurosci.

Dutt, A., Tseng, H.-H., Fonville, L., Drakesmith, M., Su, L., Evans, J., Zammit, S., Jones, D., Lewis, G., David, A.S., 2015. Exploring neural dysfunction in 'clinical high risk' for psychosis: A quantitative review of fMRI studies. J. Psychiatr. Res. 61, 122–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.08.018

Eklund, A., Nichols, T.E., Knutsson, H., 2016. Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 7900–7905. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602413113

Elsabagh, S., Premkumar, P., Anilkumar, A.P.P., Kumari, V., 2009. A longer duration of schizophrenic illness has sex-specific associations within the working memory neural network in schizophrenia. Behav. Brain Res. 201, 41–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2009.01.026

Ettinger, U., Williams, S.C.R., Fannon, D., Premkumar, P., Kuipers, E., Moller, H.J., Kumari, V., 2011. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of a parametric working memory

task in schizophrenia: Relationship with performance and effects of antipsychotic treatment. Psychopharmacology (Berl.).

Evans, A.C., Collins, D.L., Mills, S.R., Brown, E.D., Kelly, R.L., Peters, T.M., 1993. 3D statistical neuroanatomical models from 305 MRI volumes, in: 1993 IEEE Conference Record Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference. Presented at the 1993 IEEE Conference Record Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference, pp. 1813–1817 vol.3. https://doi.org/10.1109/NSSMIC.1993.373602

Falkenberg, I., Chaddock, C., Murray, R.M., McDonald, C., Modinos, G., Bramon, E., Walshe, M., Broome, M., McGuire, P., Allen, P., 2015. Failure to deactivate medial prefrontal cortex in people at high risk for psychosis. Eur. Psychiatry.

Faris, P., Pischedda, D., Palesi, F., D'Angelo, E., 2024. New clues for the role of cerebellum in schizophrenia and the associated cognitive impairment. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 18, 1386583. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2024.1386583

Fatjo-Vilas, M., Pomarol-Clotet, E., Salvador, R., Monte, G.C., Gomar, J.J., Sarro, S., Ortiz-Gil, J., Aguirre, C., Landin-Romero, R., Guerrero-Pedraza, A., Papiol, S., Blanch, J., McKenna, P.J., Fananas, L., 2012. Effect of the interleukin-1beta gene on dorsolateral prefrontal cortex function in schizophrenia: A genetic neuroimaging study. Biol. Psychiatry.

Forbes, N.F., Carrick, L.A., McIntosh, A.M., Lawrie, S.M., 2009. Working memory in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. Psychol. Med. 39, 889–905. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291708004558

Foster, B.L., Koslov, S.R., 2025. Functions of the posterior cingulate cortex and default network. Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci. 65, 101560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2025.101560

Fusar-Poli, P., 2012. Voxel-wise meta-analysis of fMRI studies in patients at clinical high risk for psychosis. J. Psychiatry Neurosci. JPN 37, 106–112. https://doi.org/10.1503/jpn.110021

Fusar-Poli, P., Broome, M.R., Woolley, J.B., Johns, L.C., Tabraham, P., Bramon, E., Valmaggia, L., Williams, S.C., McGuire, P., 2011. Altered brain function directly related to structural abnormalities in people at ultra high risk of psychosis: Longitudinal VBM-fMRI study. J. Psychiatr. Res. 45, 190–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.05.012

Fusar-Poli, P., Deste, G., Smieskova, R., Barlati, S., Yung, A.R., Howes, O., Stieglitz, R.-D., Vita, A., McGuire, P., Borgwardt, S., 2012. Cognitive Functioning in Prodromal Psychosis: A Meta-analysis. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 69, 562–571. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.1592

Fusar-Poli, P., Howes, O.D., Allen, P., Broome, M., Valli, I., Asselin, M.-C., Grasby, P.M., McGuire, P.K., 2010. Abnormal Frontostriatal Interactions in People With Prodromal Signs of Psychosis: A Multimodal Imaging Study. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 67, 683–691. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.77

Gardner, D.M., Murphy, A.L., O'Donnell, H., Centorrino, F., Baldessarini, R.J., 2010. International Consensus Study of Antipsychotic Dosing. Am. J. Psychiatry 167, 686–693. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09060802

Gentili, C., Messerotti Benvenuti, S., Lettieri, G., Costa, C., Cecchetti, L., 2019. ROI and phobias: The effect of ROI approach on an ALE meta-analysis of specific phobias. Hum. Brain Mapp. 40, 1814–1828. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24492

Gisselgård, J., Lebedev, A.V., Kurz, K.D., Joa, I, Johannessen, J.O., Brønnick, K., 2018. Structural and functional alterations in the brain during working memory in

medication-naïve patients at clinical high-risk for psychosis. PLOS ONE 13, e0196289. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196289

Glahn, D.C., Ragland, J.D., Abramoff, A., Barrett, J., Laird, A.R., Bearden, C.E., Velligan, D.I., 2005. Beyond hypofrontality: A quantitative meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies of working memory in schizophrenia. Hum. Brain Mapp. 25, 60–69. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20138

Goulden, N., Khusnulina, A., Davis, N.J., Bracewell, R.M., Bokde, A.L., McNulty, J.P., Mullins, P.G., 2014. The salience network is responsible for switching between the default mode network and the central executive network: Replication from DCM. NeuroImage 99, 180–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.052

Gradin, V., Gountouna, V.-E., Waiter, G., Ahearn, T.S., Brennan, D., Condon, B., Marshall, I., McGonigle, D.J., Murray, A.D., Whalley, H., Cavanagh, J., Hadley, D., Lymer, K., McIntosh, A., Moorhead, T.W., Job, D., Wardlaw, J., Lawrie, S.M., Steele, J.D., 2010. Between- and within-scanner variability in the CaliBrain study *n*-back cognitive task. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging 184, 86–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.08.010

Guardiola-Ripoll, M., Almodovar-Paya, C., Lubeiro, A., Sotero, A., Salvador, R., Fuentes-Claramonte, P., Salgado-Pineda, P., Papiol, S., Ortiz-Gil, J., Gomar, J.J., Guerrero-Pedraza, A., Sarro, S., Maristany, T., Molina, V., Pomarol-Clotet, E., Fatjo-Vilas, M., 2022. A functional neuroimaging association study on the interplay between two schizophrenia genome-wide associated genes (CACNA1C and ZNF804A). Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.

Guse, B., Falkai, P., Gruber, O., Whalley, H., Gibson, L., Hasan, A., Obst, K., Dechent, P., McIntosh, A., Suchan, B., Wobrock, T., 2013. The effect of long-term high frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on working memory in schizophrenia and healthy controls-A randomized placebo-controlled, double-blind fMRI study. Behav. Brain Res.

Halverson, T.F., Orleans-Pobee, M., Merritt, C., Sheeran, P., Fett, A.-K., Penn, D.L., 2019. Pathways to functional outcomes in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: Meta-analysis of social cognitive and neurocognitive predictors. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 105, 212–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.07.020

Heilbronner, S.R., Hayden, B.Y., 2016. Dorsal Anterior Cingulate Cortex: A Bottom-Up View. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 39, 149–170. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-070815-013952

Honey, G.D., Sharma, T., Suckling, J., Giampietro, V., Soni, W., Williams, S.C.R., Bullmore, E.T., 2003. The functional neuroanatomy of schizophrenic subsyndromes. Psychol. Med.

Hui, C.L.M., Li, Y.K., Li, A.W.Y., Lee, E.H.M., Chang, W.C., Chan, S.K.W., Lam, S.Y., Thornton, A.E., Sham, P., Honer, W.G., Chen, E.Y.H., 2016. Visual working memory deterioration preceding relapse in psychosis. Psychol. Med. 46, 2435–2444. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716000751

Jelen, L.A., Green, M.S., King, S., Morris, A.G., Zhang, X., Lythgoe, D.J., Young, A.H., De Belleroche, J., Stone, J.M., 2022. Variants in the zinc transporter-3 encoding gene (SLC30A3) in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: Effects on brain glutamate-A pilot study. Front. Psychiatry.

Kadakia, A., Fan, Q., Shepherd, J., Dembek, C., Bailey, H., Walker, C., Williams, G.R., 2022. Healthcare resource utilization and quality of life by cognitive impairment in patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. Cogn. 28, 100233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2021.100233

Kaminski, J., Fukuda, Y., Gleich, T., Katthagen, T., Heinz, A., Schlagenhauf, F., 2019. Working memory impairment in schizophrenia: A combined fMRI and 1H-MRS study. Schizophr. Bull., 2019 Congress of the Schizophrenia International Research Society, SIRS 2019. Orlando, FL United States. 45, S286. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbz018.496

Karch, S., Leicht, G., Giegling, I., Lutz, J., Kunz, J., Buselmeier, M., Hey, P., Sporl, A., Jager, L., Meindl, T., Pogarell, O., Moller, H.J., Hegerl, U., Rujescu, D., Mulert, C., 2009. Inefficient neural activity in patients with schizophrenia and nonpsychotic relatives of schizophrenic patients: Evidence from a working memory task. J. Psychiatr. Res.

Kyriakopoulos, M., Dima, D., Roiser, J.P., Corrigall, R., Barker, G.J., Frangou, S., 2012. Abnormal functional activation and connectivity in the working memory network in early-onset schizophrenia. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry.

Lee, M., Cernvall, M., Borg, J., Plavén-Sigray, P., Larsson, C., Erhardt, S., Sellgren, C.M., Fatouros-Bergman, H., Cervenka, S., 2024. Cognitive Function and Variability in Antipsychotic Drug–Naive Patients With First-Episode Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 81, 468–476. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.0016

Leech, R., Kamourieh, S., Beckmann, C.F., Sharp, D.J., 2011. Fractionating the Default Mode Network: Distinct Contributions of the Ventral and Dorsal Posterior Cingulate Cortex to Cognitive Control. J. Neurosci. 31, 3217–3224. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5626-10.2011

Li, X., Yi, Z., Lv, Q., Chu, M., Hu, H., Wang, J., Zhang, J., Cheung, E.E.F., Chan, R.C.K., 2019. Clinical utility of the dual n-back task in schizophrenia: A functional imaging approach. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging 284, 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2019.01.002

Madre, M., Pomarol-Clotet, E., McKenna, P., Radua, J., Ortiz-Gil, J., Panicali, F., Goikolea, J.M., Vieta, E., Sarro, S., Salvador, R., Amann, B.L., 2013. Brain functional abnormality in schizoaffective disorder: An fMRI study. Psychol. Med.

Makhlouf, A.T., Drew, W., Stubbs, J.L., Taylor, J.J., Liloia, D., Grafman, J., Silbersweig, D., Fox, M.D., Siddiqi, S.H., 2025. Heterogeneous patterns of brain atrophy in schizophrenia localize to a common brain network. Nat. Ment. Health 3, 19–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44220-024-00348-5

McGrath, S., Zhao, X., Steele, R., Thombs, B.D., Benedetti, A., DEPRESsion Screening Data (DEPRESSD) Collaboration, 2020. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from commonly reported quantiles in meta-analysis. Stat. Methods Med. Res. 29, 2520–2537. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280219889080

McTeague, L.M., Huemer, J., Carreon, D.M., Jiang, Y., Eickhoff, S.B., Etkin, A., 2017. Identification of Common Neural Circuit Disruptions in Cognitive Control Across Psychiatric Disorders. Am. J. Psychiatry 174, 676–685. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16040400

Mencarelli, L., Neri, F., Momi, D., Menardi, A., Rossi, S., Rossi, A., Santarnecchi, E., 2019. Stimuli, presentation modality, and load-specific brain activity patterns during n-back task. Hum. Brain Mapp. 40, 3810–3831. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24633

Mendrek, A., Kiehl, K.A., Smith, A.M., Irwin, D., Forster, B.B., Liddle, P.F., 2005. Dysfunction of a distributed neural circuitry in schizophrenia patients during a working-memory performance. Psychol. Med.

Miller, T.J., McGlashan, T.H., Rosen, J.L., Somjee, L., Markovich, P.J., Stein, K., Woods, S.W., 2002. Prospective Diagnosis of the Initial Prodrome for Schizophrenia Based on the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes: Preliminary Evidence of

Interrater Reliability and Predictive Validity. Am. J. Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.159.5.863

Moser, D.A., Doucet, G.E., Lee, W.H., Rasgon, A., Krinsky, H., Leibu, E., Ing, A., Schumann, G., Rasgon, N., Frangou, S., 2018. Multivariate Associations Among Behavioral, Clinical, and Multimodal Imaging Phenotypes in Patients With Psychosis. JAMA Psychiatry.

Müller, V.I., Cieslik, E.C., Laird, A.R., Fox, P.T., Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., Tench, C.R., Yarkoni, T., Nichols, T.E., Turkeltaub, P.E., Wager, T.D., Eickhoff, S.B., 2017. Ten simple rules for neuroimaging meta-analysis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 84, 151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.11.012

Newton, R., Rouleau, A., Nylander, A.-G., Loze, J.-Y., Resemann, H.K., Steeves, S., Crespo-Facorro, B., 2018. Diverse definitions of the early course of schizophrenia—a targeted literature review. Npj Schizophr. 4, 21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41537-018-0063-7

Nielsen, J.D., Madsen, K.H., Wang, Z., Liu, Z., Friston, K.J., Zhou, Y., 2017. Working Memory Modulation of Frontoparietal Network Connectivity in First-Episode Schizophrenia. Cereb. Cortex.

Ortiz-Gil, J., Pomarol-Clotet, E., Salvador, R., Canales-Rodriguez, E.J., Sarro, S., Gomar, J.J., Guerrero, A., Sans-Sansa, B., Capdevila, A., Junque, C., McKenna, P.J., 2011. Neural correlates of cognitive impairment in schizophrenia. Br. J. Psychiatry 199, 202–210. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083600

Owen, A.M., McMillan, K.M., Laird, A.R., Bullmore, E., 2005. N-back working memory paradigm: a meta-analysis of normative functional neuroimaging studies. Hum. Brain Mapp. 25, 46–59. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20131

Pae, C.U., Juh, R., Yoo, S.S., Choi, B.G., Lim, H.K., Lee, C., Paik, I.H., Jeun, S.S., Lee, C.U., 2008. Verbal working memory dysfunction in schizophrenia: An fMRI investigation. Int. J. Neurosci.

Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J.M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M.M., Li, T., Loder, E.W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L.A., Stewart, L.A., Thomas, J., Tricco, A.C., Welch, V.A., Whiting, P., Moher, D., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Palaniyappan, L., Liddle, P.F., 2012. Does the salience network play a cardinal role in psychosis? An emerging hypothesis of insular dysfunction. J. Psychiatry Neurosci. 37, 17–27. https://doi.org/10.1503/jpn.100176

Pergola, G., Selvaggi, P., Trizio, S., Bertolino, A., Blasi, G., 2015. The role of the thalamus in schizophrenia from a neuroimaging perspective. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev., The Cognitive Thalamus 54, 57–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.01.013

Perlstein, W.M., Dixit, N.K., Carter, C.S., Noll, D.C., Cohen, J.D., 2003. Prefrontal cortex dysfunction mediates deficits in working memory and prepotent responding in schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry.

Picó-Pérez, M., Vieira, R., Fernández-Rodríguez, M., Barros, M.A.P.D., Radua, J., Morgado, P., 2022. Multimodal meta-analysis of structural gray matter, neurocognitive and social cognitive fMRI findings in schizophrenia patients. Psychol. Med. 52, 614–624. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721005523

Pollard, A.A., Hauson, A.O., Lackey, N.S., Zhang, E., Khayat, S., Carson, B., Fortea, L., Radua, J., Grant, I., 2023. Functional neuroanatomy of craving in heroin use disorder: voxel-based meta-analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) drug cue

reactivity studies. Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse 49, 418–430.
https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990.2023.2172423

Pomarol-Clotet, E., Fatjo-Vilas, M., McKenna, P.J., Monte, G.C., Sarro, S., Ortiz-Gil, J., Aguirre, C., Gomar, J.J., Guerrero, A., Landin, R., Capdevila, A., Fananas, L., Salvador, R., 2010. COMT Val158Met polymorphism in relation to activation and de-activation in the prefrontal cortex: A study in patients with schizophrenia and healthy subjects. NeuroImage.

Pomarol-Clotet, E., Salvador, R., Sarro, S., Gomar, J., Vila, F., Martinez, A., Guerrero, A., Ortiz-Gil, J., Sans-Sansa, B., Capdevila, A., Cebamanos, J.M., McKenna, P.J., 2008. Failure to deactivate in the prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia: Dysfunction of the default mode network? Psychol. Med.

Radua, J., Borgwardt, S., Crescini, A., Mataix-Cols, D., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., McGuire, P.K., Fusar-Poli, P., 2012a. Multimodal meta-analysis of structural and functional brain changes in first episode psychosis and the effects of antipsychotic medication. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 36, 2325–2333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.07.012

Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., 2012. Meta-analytic methods for neuroimaging data explained. Biol. Mood Anxiety Disord. 2, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-5380-2-6

Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., Phillips, M.L., El-Hage, W., Kronhaus, D.M., Cardoner, N., Surguladze, S., 2012b. A new meta-analytic method for neuroimaging studies that combines reported peak coordinates and statistical parametric maps. Eur. Psychiatry 27, 605–611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2011.04.001

Radua, J., van den Heuvel, O.A., Surguladze, S., Mataix-Cols, D., 2010. Meta-analytical Comparison of Voxel-Based Morphometry Studies in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder vs Other Anxiety Disorders. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 67, 701–711. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.70

Reske, M., Kellermann, T., Habel, U., Jon Shah, N., Backes, V., von Wilmsdorff, M., Stöcker, T., Gaebel, W., Schneider, F., 2007. Stability of emotional dysfunctions? A long-term fMRI study in first-episode schizophrenia. J. Psychiatr. Res. 41, 918–927. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2007.02.009

Royer, A., Schneider, F.C.G., Grosselin, A., Pellet, J., Barral, F.G., Laurent, B., Brouillet, D., Lang, F., 2009. Brain activation during executive processes in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res. - Neuroimaging.

Rund, B.R., Melle, I., Friis, S., Johannessen, J.O., Larsen, T.K., Midbøe, L.J., Opjordsmoen, S., Simonsen, E., Vaglum, P., McGlashan, T., 2007. The course of neurocognitive functioning in first-episode psychosis and its relation to premorbid adjustment, duration of untreated psychosis, and relapse. Schizophr. Res. 91, 132–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.11.030

Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Smith, S.M., Keltner, J.R., Wager, T.D., Nichols, T.E., 2009. Meta-analysis of neuroimaging data: A comparison of image-based and coordinate-based pooling of studies. NeuroImage 45, 810–823. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.12.039

Scheuerecker, J., Ufer, S., Zipse, M., Frodl, T., Koutsouleris, N., Zetzsche, T., Wiesmann, M., Albrecht, J., Bruckmann, H., Schmitt, G., Moller, H.J., Meisenzahl, E.M., 2008. Cerebral changes and cognitive dysfunctions in medication-free schizophrenia - An fMRI study. J. Psychiatr. Res.

Schlagenhauf, F., Dinges, M., Beck, A., Wustenberg, T., Friedel, E., Dembler, T., Sarkar, R., Wrase, J., Gallinat, J., Juckel, G., Heinz, A., 2010. Switching schizophrenia patients from typical neuroleptics to aripiprazole: Effects on working memory dependent functional activation. Schizophr. Res.

Schlagenhauf, F., Wustenberg, T., Schmack, K., Dinges, M., Wrase, J., Koslowski, M., Kienast, T., Bauer, M., Gallinat, J., Juckel, G., Heinz, A., 2008. Switching schizophrenia patients from typical neuroleptics to olanzapine: Effects on BOLD response during attention and working memory. Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. 18, 589–599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2008.04.013

Seidman, L.J., Shapiro, D.I., Stone, W.S., Woodberry, K.A., Ronzio, A., Cornblatt, B.A., Addington, J., Bearden, C.E., Cadenhead, K.S., Cannon, T.D., Mathalon, D.H., McGlashan, T.H., Perkins, D.O., Tsuang, M.T., Walker, E.F., Woods, S.W., 2016. Association of Neurocognition With Transition to Psychosis: Baseline Functioning in the Second Phase of the North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study. JAMA Psychiatry 73, 1239–1248. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.2479

Snitz, B.E., MacDonald, A.W., III, Carter, C.S., 2006. Cognitive Deficits in Unaffected First-Degree Relatives of Schizophrenia Patients: A Meta-analytic Review of Putative Endophenotypes. Schizophr. Bull. 32, 179–194. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbi048

Soldevila-Matías, P., Albajes-Eizagirre, A., Radua, J., García-Martí, G., Rubio, J.M., Tordesillas-Gutierrez, D., Fuentes-Durá, I., Solanes, A., Fortea, L., Oliver, D., Sanjuán, J., 2022. Precuneus and insular hypoactivation during cognitive processing in first-episode psychosis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of fMRI studies. Rev. Psiquiatr. Salud Ment. Engl. Ed. 15, 101–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpsmen.2022.06.004

Surguladze, S.A., Chu, E.M., Evans, A., Anilkumar, A.P.P., Patel, M.X., Timehin, C., David, A.S., 2007. The effect of long-acting risperidone on working memory in schizophrenia: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.

Suttkus, S., Schumann, A., de la Cruz, F., Bär, K.-J., 2021. Working memory in schizophrenia: The role of the locus coeruleus and its relation to functional brain networks. Brain Behav. 11, e02130. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.2130

Tahmasian, M., Sepehry, A.A., Samea, F., Khodadadifar, T., Soltaninejad, Z., Javaheripour, N., Khazaie, H., Zarei, M., Eickhoff, S.B., Eickhoff, C.R., 2019. Practical recommendations to conduct a neuroimaging meta-analysis for neuropsychiatric disorders. Hum. Brain Mapp. 40, 5142–5154. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24746

Talairach, J., Tournoux, P., 1988. Co-planar Stereotaxic Atlas of the Human Brain: 3-dimensional Proportional System : an Approach to Cerebral Imaging. G. Thieme.

Tang, X., Wei, Y., Pang, J., Xu, L., Cui, H., Liu, X., Hu, Y., Ju, M., Tang, Y., Long, B., Liu, W., Su, M., Zhang, T., Wang, J., 2025. Identifying neurobiological heterogeneity in clinical high-risk psychosis: a data-driven biotyping approach using resting-state functional connectivity. Schizophrenia 11, 13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41537-025-00565-6

Tao, T.J., Hui, C.L.M., Hui, P.W.M., Ho, E.C.N., Lam, B.S.T., Wong, A.K.H., See, S.H.W., Chan, E.W.T., Suen, Y.N., Lee, E.H.M., Chan, S.K.W., Chang, W.C., Lo, W.T.L., Chong, C.S.Y., Siu, C.M.W., Choi, Y.Y., Pomarol-Clotet, E., McKenna, P.J., Honer, W.G., Chen, E.Y.H., 2023. Working memory deterioration as an early warning sign for relapse in remitted psychosis: A one-year naturalistic follow-up study. Psychiatry Res. 319, 114976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114976

Thermenos, H.W., Juelich, R.J., DiChiara, S.R., Mesholam-Gately, R.I., Woodberry, K.A., Wojcik, J., Makris, N., Keshavan, M.S., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Woo, T.U.W., Petryshen, T.L., Goldstein, J.M., Shenton, M.E., McCarley, R.W., Seidman, L.J., 2016. Hyperactivity of caudate, parahippocampal, and prefrontal regions during working memory in never-medicated persons at clinical high-risk for psychosis. Schizophr. Res.

Tik, N., Livny, A., Gal, S., Gigi, K., Tsarfaty, G., Weiser, M., Tavor, I., 2021. Predicting individual variability in task-evoked brain activity in schizophrenia. Hum. Brain Mapp.

Utevsky, A.V., Smith, D.V., Huettel, S.A., 2014. Precuneus Is a Functional Core of the Default-Mode Network. J. Neurosci. 34, 932–940. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4227-13.2014

van Gool, K.C.A., Collin, G., Bauer, C.C.C., Molokotos, E., Mesholam-Gately, R.I., Thermenos, H.W., Seidman, L.J., Gabrieli, J.D.E., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Keshavan, M.S., 2022. Altered working memory-related brain activity in children at familial high risk for psychosis: A preliminary study. Schizophr. Res.

Walter, H., Wunderlich, A.P., Blankenhorn, M., Schafer, S., Tomczak, R., Spitzer, M., Gron, G., 2003. No hypofronatality, but absence of prefrontal lateralization comparing verbal and spatial working memory in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res.

Wang, H., He, W., Wu, J., Zhang, J., Jin, Z., Li, L., 2019. A coordinate-based meta-analysis of the n-back working memory paradigm using activation likelihood estimation. Brain Cogn. 132, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2019.01.002

Wang, X., Cheng, B., Roberts, N., Wang, S., Luo, Y., Tian, F., Yue, S., 2021. Shared and distinct brain fMRI response during performance of working memory tasks in adult patients with schizophrenia and major depressive disorder. Hum. Brain Mapp. 42, 5458–5476. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.25618

Weinberger, D.R., Radulescu, E., 2016. Finding the Elusive Psychiatric "Lesion" With 21st-Century Neuroanatomy: A Note of Caution. Am. J. Psychiatry 173, 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15060753

Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Ford, J.M., 2012. Default Mode Network Activity and Connectivity in Psychopathology. Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 8, 49–76. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143049

Williams, J.C., Zheng, Z.J., Tubiolo, P.N., Luceno, J.R., Gil, R.B., Girgis, R.R., Slifstein, M., Abi-Dargham, A., Van Snellenberg, J.X., 2023. Medial Prefrontal Cortex Dysfunction Mediates Working Memory Deficits in Patients With Schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry Glob. Open Sci. 3, 990–1002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsgos.2022.10.003

Wu, D., Jiang, T., 2020. Schizophrenia-related abnormalities in the triple network: a meta-analysis of working memory studies. Brain Imaging Behav. 14, 971–980. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-019-00071-1

Wu, S., Wang, Huiling, Chen, C., Zou, J., Huang, H., Li, P., Zhao, Y., Xu, Q., Zhang, L., Wang, Hesheng, Pandit, S., Dahal, S., Chen, J., Zhou, Y., Jiang, T., Wang, G., 2017. Task Performance Modulates Functional Connectivity Involving the Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex in Patients with Schizophrenia. Front. Psychol.

Wulff, S., Nielsen, M.Ø., Rostrup, E., Svarer, C., Jensen, L.T., Pinborg, L., Glenthøj, B.Y., 2020. The relation between dopamine D2 receptor blockade and the brain reward system: a longitudinal study of first-episode schizophrenia patients. Psychol. Med. 50, 220–228. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718004099

Yao, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, B., Lin, X., Zhao, G., Deng, H., Chen, Y., 2024. Neural dysfunction underlying working memory processing at different stages of the illness course in schizophrenia: a comparative meta-analysis. Cereb. Cortex 34, bhae267. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhae267

Yaple, Zachary Adam, Tolomeo, S., Yu, R., 2021. Mapping working memory-specific dysfunction using a transdiagnostic approach. NeuroImage Clin. 31, 102747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102747

Yaple, Z. A., Tolomeo, S., Yu, R., 2021. Mapping working memory-specific dysfunction using a transdiagnostic approach. NeuroImage Clin. 31, 102747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102747

Yoo, S.S., Choi, B.G., Juh, R.H., Park, J.M., Pae, C.U., Kim, J.J., Lee, S.J., Lee, C., Paik, I.H., Lee, C.U., 2005. Working memory processing of facial images in schizophrenia: fMRI investigation. Int J Neurosci.

Yung, A.R., Yung, A.R., Pan Yuen, H., Mcgorry, P.D., Phillips, L.J., Kelly, D., Dell'olio, M., Francey, S.M., Cosgrave, E.M., Killackey, E., Stanford, C., Godfrey, K., Buckby, J., 2005. Mapping the Onset of Psychosis: The Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States. Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry 39, 964–971. https://doi.org/10.1080/j.1440-1614.2005.01714.x

Zhang, R., Picchioni, M., Allen, P., Toulopoulou, T., 2016. Working Memory in Unaffected Relatives of Patients With Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analysis of Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Studies. Schizophr. Bull. 42, 1068–1077. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbv221