Maternal lead exposure and preeclampsia risk in sub-Saharan Africa: Comparative Risk Assessment

Mark A. S. Laidlaw, PhD
Corresponding author: Mark A. S. Laidlaw
Affiliation: Independent Researcher.
Email: markas1968@gmail.com

Abstract

Background:

Preeclampsia is a leading cause of maternal morbidity and mortality in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Lead exposure remains widespread across the region, yet its contribution to preeclampsia risk has not been quantified at the population level.

The World Health Organization (WHO, 2025) estimated that in 2023, there were approximately 182,000 maternal deaths in SSA (70 % of global deaths), and that approximately 16% of these deaths were due to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) (Say et al, 2014). This implies that there were approximately 29,000 HDP-related maternal deaths *annually* in the SSA (with pre-eclampsia/eclampsia constituting a substantial fraction).

Methods:

We synthesized maternal blood lead level (BLL) data from pregnancy biomonitoring studies conducted in SSA and supplemented these with soil-derived exposure scenarios representing severely contaminated settings. Using published meta-analytic evidence indicating a 1.6% increase in the odds of preeclampsia per 1 μg/dL increase in maternal BLL (Poropat et al., 2018), we modelled relative odds and predicted absolute preeclampsia risk under a range of plausible baseline prevalence assumptions. Sensitivity analyses examined uncertainty related to exposure extrapolation and baseline preeclampsia prevalence, and population attributable fractions were estimated across baseline scenarios.

Results:

Biomonitoring-derived maternal BLLs were associated with modest but consistent increases in predicted pre-eclampsia risk across baseline scenarios. Soil-derived exposure scenarios representing severe environmental contamination yielded sharply increasing predicted risks under uncapped extrapolation. Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that relative exposure–response gradients were robust to modelling assumptions, while absolute risk and attributable burden estimates varied with baseline prevalence.

The mean maternal blood lead level from fifteen (15) separate studies in SSA was 26.24 μg/dL (Range: 0.83 – 99 μg/dL) (Bede-Ojimadu et al., 2018) while the mean or

geometric mean BLL in of women the United States, Canada, Germany, South Korea, China, and Mexico were between 0.5 and 2.4 μg/dL.

Conclusion:

Maternal lead exposure may contribute meaningfully to pre-eclampsia risk in SSA, with modest effects at commonly observed exposure levels and *potentially substantial effects* in severely contaminated settings such as ming areas and Informal used lead–acid battery (ULAB) processing areas. These findings support the inclusion of environmental lead exposure prevention within broader maternal health strategies.

Introduction

Preeclampsia is a leading cause of maternal morbidity and mortality worldwide with a disproportionate burden in low and middle-income countries, particularly sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and Southern Asia (WHO, 2025).

Preeclampsia is a pregnancy complication usually occurring after 20 weeks' gestation and is defined by new-onset high blood pressure with signs of organ involvement. Symptoms may range from mild to severe and can develop suddenly. Many people may have no noticeable symptoms early on, which is why regular antenatal checks are essential. Severe preeclampsia can also affect blood-clotting, liver, kidney, and brain function, and may progress without obvious early symptoms.(WHO, 2025). Some of the risk factors include pre-existing conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, or kidney disease, first time pregnancies, obesity, multiple pregnancies (twins, triplets, etc.) and family history of pre-eclampsia (WHO, 2025).

Common signs of preeclampsia include high blood pressure, proteinuria, sudden swelling of the face, hands and feet, severe headache, visual disturbance, upper abdominal or right-upper-quadrant paint, nausea and vomiting and feeling unwell or short of breath (WHO, 2025).

Eclampsia is the most severe form of preeclampsia and is diagnosed when a person with preeclampsia develops seizures that cannot be explained by other causes. Eclampsia is a medical emergency due to the risk of maternal and fetal injury or death, requiring urgent hospital care.

The pooled incidence of preeclampsia in SSA is approximately 13% (95% CI 0.12–0.14) across included studies (Jikamo et al, 2023). The World Health Organization (WHO, 2025) estimated that in 2023, there were approximately 182,000 maternal deaths in SSA, or 70% of the total 260,000 of women's deaths during and following pregnancy. It has been estimated that approximately 16% of these deaths were due to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP). This implies that there were approximately 29,000 HDP-related maternal deaths annually in the SSA (with pre-eclampsia/eclampsia constituting a substantial fraction).

Despite improvements in antenatal care, the incidence of preeclampsia has remained high in many settings, and severe disease frequently presents late, contributing to preventable maternal and perinatal deaths. While established clinical risk factors such as maternal age, parity, chronic hypertension, and diabetes are well recognized, modifiable environmental determinants.

Lead is a ubiquitous environmental toxicant with no known safe level of exposure (NTP, 2012; USEPA, 2024) Although the global phase-out of leaded petrol occurred in 2021 (UNEP, 2021) has reduced average blood lead levels (BLLs) in many countries, substantial exposure persists across sub-Saharan Africa due to petrol legacy soil and dust contamination and soil contamination from deteriorating exterior lead based paints, mining and smelting activities, informal recycling of lead–acid batteries, contaminated consumer products, and occupational and household exposures.

In most high-income nations, lead began to be used in petrol beginning in 1922, peaking in the 1970's and a ban took effect throughout the 1980s. However, this polluting fuel was still in use across virtually all low- and middle-income countries, along with a few OECD members, until 2002. The UN Environment Program (UNEP) initiated efforts to achieve the global elimination of lead in petrol in 2002, and lead in petrol was eliminated globally in 2021 (Angrand et al., 2022). In the United States, Mielke et al. (2011) reported that between 1950 and 1982 approximately 4.6 million tons of lead in petrol was emitted into urban areas in the USA (Mielke et al, 2011). The amount of lead emitted into the atmosphere in SSA has not been publicly reported, however the lead content in gasoline in Africa has been reported as approximately 1.9–3.0 grams of lead per US gallon (0.5–0.8 g lead per litre (g Pb/L)) (Maresky and Grobler, 1993. Thomas and Kwong (2001) estimated that about 9000 tonnes per year of lead was used in gasoline in Africa, with more than half used in South Africa and Nigeria.

In urban environments, residential soil represents a persistent and under-recognised reservoir of lead exposure. Even in the absence of active industrial sources, legacy contamination from historical leaded petrol use, lead-based paints, and resuspended soil and household dust can sustain chronic exposure among urban populations (Laidlaw et al, 2011; Laidlaw et al., 2014).

Lead in petrol was emitted into the atmosphere of urban areas where it accumulated in surface soils in areas with high historical traffic volumes, and in soils adjacent to older homes that used exterior lead-based paint (Laidlaw et al., 2018) Soil lead levels typically formed "bullseye" patterns in urban areas, with highest concentrations in the inner cities with concentrations decaying with distance away from the inner-city areas. This has been demonstrated in American cities such as New Orleans (Mielke et al, 2019), Chicago (Thorstenson et al., 2025), Indianapolis (Filippelli et al, 2005), and London in the UK (BGS, 2020). This same pattern of soil lead contamination is expected in large cities of SSA and warrants further assessment.

Women and children in SSA have been highly exposed to lead . Bede-Ojimadu et al. (2018) completed a systematic review of fifteen (15) studies that reported BLLs in women across SSA. The review reported mean blood lead concentrations among women varied widely, from 0.83 to 99 μg/dL, with an overall mean blood lead level was 24.73 μg/dL in women, and 26.24 μg/dL in pregnant women. In contrast, the central tendency of BLLs in women across North America, Europe, East Asia and Latin America, reported BLLs below approximately 1– 3 μg/dL, with most women in North America and Latin America having levels well under 1 μg/dL (see Table S4c). Pregnancy represents a particularly vulnerable period as physiological changes can mobilize lead stored in bone, increasing maternal and fetal exposure (Gulson, 2003).

Epidemiological studies and recent meta-analyses have reported higher BLLs among women with preeclampsia compared with normotensive controls, suggesting that lead exposure may contribute to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (Poropat et al., 2018; Zhong et al, 2022; Vigeh et al., 2025) These findings have not been translated into population-level risk

estimates for SSA. In New Orleans, Louisiana Zahran et al., (2014) observed that mothers living in ZIP code areas where soil lead concentrations exceeded 333 mg/kg had a fourfold higher likelihood of eclampsia (OR = 4.00; 95% CI: 3.00–5.35) compared with those residing in neighborhoods with soil lead levels below 50 mg/kg. Laidlaw et al, (2025) discussed how lead in soil dust in urban areas could migrate into homes be associated with preeclampsia.

Across SSA, urban residential soil lead concentrations frequently exceed background levels and are spatially heterogeneous, with higher burdens concentrated in specific neighbourhoods and housing contexts (Table S5). These environmental conditions are particularly relevant for pregnant women, as physiological changes during pregnancy can mobilise both exogenous and endogenous lead, increasing maternal blood lead levels (Gulson, 2003). However, despite extensive biomonitoring evidence of elevated maternal blood lead levels in the region, the environmental context underpinning these exposures, particularly diffuse residential soil contamination, has not been systematically integrated into population-level risk assessments of preeclampsia.

Soil lead levels have been shown to be correlated with children's blood lead levels. In New Orleans, Louisiana (USA), Mielke et al. (2007)used a dataset of 55,551 children and a soil lead dataset of 5,467 children and found that the relationship between soil lead (SL)and children's pooled blood lead level was curvilinear (r^2 of 0.528; P-value of 1.0×10^{-211}). Below 100 mg/kg SL children's BL exposure response was steep (1.4 µg/dL per 100 mg/kg), and above 300 mg/kg SL the BL exposure response was gradual (0.32 µg/dL per 100 mg/kg).

Laidlaw et al. (2025; Table 10) presented seven (7) studies where soil lead and indoor dust lead concentrations were correlated ($P<0.05$). Stanek et al. reported a statistically significant association between blood lead concentrations in pregnant individuals and lead levels measured from indoor surface wipe samples collected during pregnancy (Spearman $r = 0.35$, $p < 0.0001$). In an investigation of 24 households in Durham County, North Carolina, King et al. observed a positive correlation between residential soil lead concentrations and blood lead levels among pregnant individuals ($r = 0.31$, $p = 0.0534$).

Other substantial sources of lead exposure in SSA are presented in Table 1, below.

Table 1 - Ranked main sources of lead exposure in SSA (Pure Earth/UNICEF, 2020).

Rank	Source category	Typical exposure intensity	Population most affected
1	Artisanal & informal mining	Very high	Women, children, entire communities
2	Informal battery recycling & smelting	Very high	Whole households, workers' families
3	Leaded paints (household & industrial)	High	Children, pregnant women
4	Contaminated consumer products & traditional items	High (variable)	Women, infants
5	Legacy leaded-petrol soil & urban dust	Moderate–high	Urban residents, children

Rank	Source category	Typical exposure intensity	Population most affected
6	Occupational exposure in informal industries	Moderate–high	Working women
7	Food and drinking water contamination	Low–moderate	General population

There is a need for further study of techniques to reduce exposure to lead in surface soils internationally. Some research has been conducted regarding isolating lead contaminated soils. Laidlaw et al, (2017) reviewed case studies of soil lead remediation. Laidlaw et al, (2017) neglected to include containment cells as another potential method of remediation or isolation of elevated soil lead levels.

Lead levels in water have also been shown to be associated with the development of eclampsia (Troesken, 2006). Around 1900, Troesken (2006)observed that the mortality rate from eclampsia in counties with elevated lead levels in drinking water was 2.34 times higher than in counties with lower water-lead levels (95% CI: 1.54–3.14). This study appears to be the only known study that has documented the association between lead in water supplies and eclampsia or preeclampsia.

The data regarding lead levels in water of SSA is sparse and no studies regarding the association between eclampsia or preeclampsia and lead in water in SSA have been located. Across SSA, reported lead concentrations in groundwater and borehole-derived drinking water vary widely, from <5 μg/L in relatively uncontaminated rural aquifers to >100 μg/L in mining-impacted regions such as Kabwe, Zambia (Siame et al., 2024). Multi-country evidence from West Africa indicates that exceedances of the WHO guideline (10 μg/L) occur in approximately 9% of drinking-water systems, with contamination frequently attributable to brass plumbing components rather than aquifer geochemistry (Fisher et al., 2021). In contrast, studies in Nigeria (Tyopine et al., 2024) and Zambia (Siame et al., 2024)demonstrate substantially higher concentrations (mean ~20 μg/L and median >100 μg/L, respectively) in areas affected by lead–zinc mining, where exceedances are widespread or universal. Overall, the literature highlights a critical distinction between infrastructure-derived lead in borehole systems and true groundwater contamination, with the latter primarily associated with mining and waste sources.

In this study, we estimate preeclampsia risk associated with maternal lead exposure in SSA using a comparative risk assessment approach. Our objective is not to predict individual clinical outcomes, but to quantify potential population-level risk associated with observed and modelled exposure scenarios relevant to the region.

Methods

We conducted a population-level modelling study using a comparative risk assessment framework. Maternal BLLs were obtained from published biomonitoring studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) among pregnant women or women of reproductive age. To characterize extreme exposure contexts, additional scenarios were derived from reported soil lead concentrations in communities affected by mining, smelting, or informal lead–acid battery recycling and converted to estimated BLLs using established soil-to-blood lead modelling approaches.

The exposure–response relationship between blood lead and % preeclampsia risk was based on published meta-analytic evidence indicating a 1.6% increase in the odds of preeclampsia per 1 μg/dL increase in maternal blood lead level (Poropat et al., 2018). This relationship was implemented as a log-linear effect on the odds scale. Baseline preeclampsia risk (p0) was specified using plausible population-level prevalence estimates for sub-Saharan Africa and varied between 3% and 10% in sensitivity analyses.

Predicted preeclampsia risk was estimated by converting baseline risk to odds, applying the lead-associated odds multiplier, and converting adjusted odds back to probability. Primary analyses capped BLLs at 40 μg/dL to avoid extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base. Uncapped analyses were conducted separately to illustrate risk saturation in extreme contamination scenarios.

Our analysis builds on the 15 studies summarized by Bede-Ojimadu et al., 2018 (see Table S3a)by incorporating additional pregnancy-specific biomonitoring data published since 2018 (see Table S3b).

Sensitivity analyses and population attributable fraction estimation

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the influence of key modelling assumptions on predicted preeclampsia risk and population burden. First, to evaluate the impact of extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base, predicted risks were compared under capped and uncapped blood lead level (BLL) assumptions. In primary analyses, BLLs were capped at 40 μg/dL, corresponding to the upper range of pregnancy biomonitoring studies. Uncapped analyses extended the exposure–response function to extreme BLLs observed in severely contaminated environments to illustrate potential model saturation (Figure S3).

Second, population attributable fractions (PAFs) for preeclampsia associated with maternal lead exposure were estimated across a range of baseline preeclampsia prevalence values representative of sub-Saharan Africa. PAFs were calculated within a comparative risk assessment framework using the modelled exposure distribution and the specified exposure–response relationship, allowing evaluation of how baseline prevalence assumptions influence absolute burden estimates (Figure S4).

Adult soil lead to blood lead modelling

For communities with *severe* environmental lead contamination, maternal BLLs were estimated from soil lead concentrations using an adult soil-to-blood lead modelling approach consistent with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Adult Lead Methodology (ALM) (2003). The ALM estimates steady-state adult BLLs resulting from chronic environmental lead exposure and has been widely applied in contaminated-land risk assessment and environmental epidemiology.

Soil lead concentrations were converted to estimated maternal BLLs assuming chronic exposure through ingestion of contaminated soil and household dust, consistent with residential exposure scenarios (USEPA, 2003). The modelling assumed linear proportionality between external lead intake and blood lead concentration at environmentally relevant exposure levels, reflecting first-order biokinetic behavior in adults. Parameter values were selected to be conservative but plausible for women of childbearing age, including ingestion rates and bioavailability consistent with US EPA guidance.

The following assumptions were used in the soil to adult blood lead model: adult soil ingestion 50 mg/day; RBA 50%; adult blood lead slope factor (BKSF) 0.16 (µg/dL per µg/day). Thus Predicted BLL is approximately equal to Soil Pb × 0.004 (µg/dL per mg/kg).

Because pregnancy alters lead kinetics through mobilization of skeletal lead stores (Gulson et al., 2016), the estimated BLLs derived from soil concentrations should be interpreted as approximations of chronic exposure rather than precise pregnancy-specific predictions. Soil-derived scenarios were therefore used to illustrate potential exposure magnitudes in severely contaminated settings (e.g., mining, smelting, informal lead–acid battery recycling communities), rather than to represent typical population exposure.

To avoid extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base linking maternal BLL to preeclampsia, primary analyses capped modelled BLLs at values consistent with the upper range of pregnancy biomonitoring studies. Uncapped estimates were retained only for illustrative sensitivity analyses to demonstrate risk saturation under extreme contamination scenarios.

Results

Maternal BLLs reported in sub-Saharan African biomonitoring studies ranged from near-background concentrations (<5 µg/dL) to more than 60 µg/dL in highly exposed urban and industrial settings. Across this range, increasing BLLs were associated with progressively higher relative odds of preeclampsia. Bede-Ojimadu et al. (2018) completed a systematic review of fifteen (15) studies that reported BLLs in women across SSA with a mean blood lead concentrations among women that varied widely, from 0.83 to 99 µg/dL. The overall mean blood lead level was 24.73 µg/dL. Using a mean BLL of 24.73 µg/dL translated into an absolute increases in predicted preeclampsia risk of approximately 4 to 8 percentage points at a p_o of 3% and 6%, respectively (see Figure 1).



***Figure 1**. Predicted preeclampsia (PE) risk (%) as a function of maternal blood lead level (BLL, µg/dL) under plausible sub-Saharan African baseline risks (3% and 6%). Risk estimates are derived using a log-linear odds model assuming a 1.6% increase in the odds of preeclampsia per 1 µg/dL increase in BLL.*

Bede-Ojimadu et al. (2018) completed a systematic review of fifteen (15) studies that reported BLLs in SAA. The mean blood lead level was 24.73 µg/dL (see red vertical dashed line in Figure 1). Restricting the analysis to pregnant women yielded a slightly higher weighted mean of 26.24 µg/dL.

Population biomonitoring programs in North America and Europe consistently report central tendency blood lead levels among women of reproductive from below 1 µg/dL to approximately 2.5 µg/dL, with most women having concentrations well below 1 µg/dL. In contrast, pregnancy-specific biomonitoring studies from SSA frequently report central tendency values seven-fold higher, with some facility-based and high-exposure settings reporting mean or median blood lead levels exceeding 10–20 µg/dL. This disparity underscores the continued relevance of environmental lead exposure as a preventable contributor to maternal morbidity in the region.

Soil-derived exposure scenarios representing communities affected by *severe* environmental

contamination yielded substantially higher modelled BLLs and correspondingly elevated predicted risks (see Table S3c and Figure 2, below). At modelled BLLs exceeding approximately 40–50 µg/dL, predicted risk increased sharply and approached saturation, indicating exposure levels far outside those represented in most pregnancy biomonitoring studies (see Figure 2). Relative risk gradients were consistent across baseline prevalence scenarios.



Figure 2*. Composite relationship between maternal blood lead level and predicted preeclampsia risk. Biomonitoring-derived maternal blood lead levels represent typical pregnancy exposures, whereas soil-modelled estimates represent communities affected by severe environmental contamination. Predicted risks are shown for a representative baseline preeclampsia prevalence of 4% and illustrate risk saturation at extreme exposure levels.*

Sensitivity analyses and attributable burden

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that predicted preeclampsia risk increased steadily with maternal BLL under both capped and uncapped exposure assumptions (Figure S3). At BLLs within the range commonly observed in pregnancy biomonitoring studies, predicted risks were similar under both approaches. Divergence between capped and uncapped estimates occurred only at very high BLLs, where uncapped extrapolation produced steep increases in predicted risk, indicating model saturation rather than plausible individual-level probability.

Population attributable fraction estimates varied with assumed baseline preeclampsia prevalence but preserved consistent exposure–response gradients across scenarios (**Figure 3**). Higher baseline prevalence values yielded larger absolute attributable fractions, whereas lower baseline prevalence assumptions produced more conservative estimates. These results indicate that while absolute burden estimates depend on baseline risk, the relative contribution of maternal lead exposure to preeclampsia risk remains robust across plausible prevalence ranges.



Figure 3. Population attributable fraction of preeclampsia due to maternal lead exposure across baseline prevalence scenarios

Population attributable fraction (PAF) of preeclampsia associated with maternal lead exposure plotted as a function of baseline preeclampsia prevalence (p_0). Estimates were derived using a comparative risk assessment framework assuming a log-linear increase in preeclampsia odds per 1 µg/dL increase in maternal blood lead level. Variation across baseline

prevalence scenarios illustrates the dependence of absolute burden estimates on underlying population risk while preserving relative exposure–response gradients.

Discussion

This comparative risk assessment and previous work by Zahran et al (2014) in New Orleans, Louisiana (USA) and the review paper by Laidlaw et al., (2025), suggest that maternal lead exposure may contribute meaningfully to preeclampsia risk across sub-Saharan Africa. While the predicted increases in preeclampsia risk associated with typical biomonitoring-derived maternal blood lead levels (BLLs) are modest at the individual level, the widespread and persistent nature of exposure implies a potentially substantial population burden.

In New Orleans, Louisiana Zahran et al., (2014) observed that mothers living in ZIP code areas where soil lead concentrations exceeded 333 mg/kg had a fourfold higher likelihood of eclampsia (OR = 4.00; 95% CI: 3.00–5.35) compared with those residing in neighborhoods with soil lead levels below 50 mg/kg.

Importantly, elevated predicted risks were not limited to low level BLL scenarios. Maternal BLLs commonly reported in pregnancy biomonitoring studies across SSA are up to seven (7) fold higher than those observed in biomonitoring programs of women in western countries. The mean maternal blood lead level from fifteen (15) recent and separate studies in SSA was 26.24 μg/dL (Range: 0.83 – 99 μg/dL) (Bede-Ojimadu et al., 2018), while the mean or geometric mean BLL in of women the United States, Canada, Germany, South Korea, China, and Mexico were between 0.5 and 2.4 μg/dL. This highlights the SSA region as a global outlier for maternal lead exposure and underscoring the preventable nature of the associated preeclampsia burden.

In communities experiencing extreme environmental contamination such as Legacy mining and smelting sites and used lead acid battery sites, lead exposure may represent a dominant risk factor for preeclampsia, potentially overwhelming background maternal risk factors.

Thousands of abandoned or poorly regulated mine sites remain throughout the region (UNESCO, 2019), often in close proximity to residential areas that developed around historical operations. Soil lead concentrations at such sites frequently exceed international guideline values by several orders of magnitude, with well-documented examples including Kabwe (Zambia) (Nakayama, 2011) and mining-affected communities in Nigeria, South Africa, and the Central African Copperbelt. Despite their recognised public health importance, comprehensive population-relevant inventories linking contaminated mine sites to human exposure remain limited.

Informal used lead–acid battery (ULAB) processing represents another widespread source of extreme soil lead contamination. A global assessment estimated approximately 10,599–29,241 informal ULAB processing sites across 90 countries in 2013, indicating a large and diffuse footprint (Ericson et al., 2016). However, region-specific inventories for sub-Saharan Africa remain poorly quantified, limiting systematic exposure assessment and prioritisation.

The concentration of exposure and attributable burden within a limited number of environments suggests that identifying and remediating severely lead-contaminated soils in densely populated settings may represent a particularly effective primary prevention strategy. Targeted environmental interventions in high-risk neighbourhoods have the potential to yield disproportionate maternal health benefits compared with diffuse exposure reduction approaches applied uniformly across entire cities or regions.

The sensitivity analyses provide important context for interpreting the modelled risk estimates. Comparisons of capped and uncapped BLL scenarios demonstrate that extreme predicted risks arise primarily from extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base rather than from exposure levels typically observed among pregnant women. This supports the use of capped BLLs in primary analyses and reinforces the interpretation of uncapped results as illustrative of extreme contamination scenarios rather than literal individual-level risk predictions.

Analysis of population attributable fractions across baseline preeclampsia prevalence scenarios further highlights a key feature of comparative risk assessment: absolute burden estimates are dependent on underlying population risk, while relative exposure–response gradients are preserved. In settings with higher baseline prevalence of preeclampsia, such as referral hospitals or populations with limited access to antenatal care, the potential population burden attributable to lead exposure is correspondingly greater. Conversely, community-based settings with lower baseline prevalence yield smaller absolute attributable fractions despite similar relative risk relationships.

Together, these findings strengthen confidence in the qualitative conclusions of this study while underscoring the importance of transparent assumptions when translating exposure–response relationships into population-level burden estimates. By situating maternal blood lead exposure within its environmental context, this analysis highlights lead as a modifiable, preventable contributor to preeclampsia risk in sub-Saharan Africa and reinforces the need to integrate environmental source control with clinical maternal health strategies.

Limitations

Limitations include reliance on published exposure–response estimates and the absence of individual-level covariates, which are subsumed within baseline risk assumptions. Nevertheless, the robustness of relative risk gradients across baseline scenarios supports the relevance of lead exposure as a population-level determinant of maternal health.

As with all comparative risk assessments, estimates presented here reflect population-level risk translation under explicit assumptions and should not be interpreted as individual-level causal predictions.

This study has several limitations that warrant careful consideration. First, the analysis is based on a comparative risk assessment framework and therefore provides population-level risk translation rather than individual-level clinical prediction. Individual maternal characteristics known to influence preeclampsia risk, including age, parity, body mass index,

chronic hypertension, diabetes, and access to antenatal care, were not available at the individual level and are instead subsumed within the baseline preeclampsia risk parameter. While this approach is standard in comparative risk assessment and Global Burden of Disease–style analyses, it precludes adjustment for individual-level confounding and limits causal inference at the individual scale.

Second, the exposure–response relationship linking maternal blood lead level to preeclampsia risk was derived from published observational studies and meta-analyses. Although these syntheses provide the best available quantitative evidence, residual confounding and exposure misclassification cannot be excluded. The assumed log-linear relationship on the odds scale may not hold uniformly across the full range of exposures considered, particularly at very high BLLs.

Third, data on maternal BLLs in SSA remain sparse and heterogeneous, with many studies based on small samples, urban settings, or specific exposure contexts. Soil-derived exposure scenarios used to represent communities affected by severe environmental contamination rely on modelling assumptions to translate soil lead concentrations into maternal BLLs. These scenarios are intended to be illustrative of extreme exposure conditions rather than representative of typical population exposure and should be interpreted with caution.

Fourth, to avoid extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base, primary analyses capped maternal BLLs at values consistent with the upper range of pregnancy biomonitoring studies. Uncapped analyses were conducted only to illustrate risk saturation under extreme contamination scenarios. Predicted risks approaching 100% in these contexts should not be interpreted as literal probabilities, but rather as indicators that exposure levels exceed those represented in available epidemiologic data and may overwhelm background maternal risk factors.

Finally, baseline preeclampsia prevalence varies widely across countries, healthcare settings, and study designs in SSA. Although sensitivity analyses across a broad range of plausible baseline risks demonstrated robustness of relative risk gradients, absolute predicted risks remain dependent on these baseline assumptions. Improved surveillance of both preeclampsia incidence and maternal lead exposure would strengthen future population-level risk assessments.

Despite these limitations, the transparent specification of assumptions, explicit sensitivity analyses, and consistency with established comparative risk assessment methods support the utility of this analysis for informing population-level prevention and policy prioritisation.

An additional limitation relates to the harmonization of soil and blood lead data. Soil lead and maternal BLL measurements were not collected contemporaneously or within the same individuals, and the alignment presented here is ecological and descriptive.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study suggests that maternal lead exposure may contribute meaningfully to preeclampsia risk in SSA, with modest effects at commonly observed exposure levels and potentially substantial effects in severely contaminated settings.

Given the preventable nature of lead exposure, addressing legacy urban soil contamination represents an under-recognised opportunity for primary prevention of maternal morbidity in the region.

Recommendations

Prevention strategies for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in SSA should extend beyond clinical management to include environmental surface soil lead exposure *isolation*. Priority should be given to identifying and isolating severely lead-contaminated soils in densely populated urban and peri-urban settings, particularly where women of childbearing age reside.

Low-cost, context-appropriate soil isolation and dust control strategies (Laidlaw et al, 2017) should be evaluated and implemented alongside routine maternal blood lead surveillance . Isolation of roadside soils may be a particular effective strategy (Laidlaw, 2014; Resongles et al., 2021). Integrating environmental source control with established clinical prevention measures, such as low-dose aspirin (Duley et al., 2017) and calcium supplementation (Hofmeyr et al. 2010) , may yield synergistic reductions in preeclampsia risk.

Future research should prioritise integrated studies that concurrently measure soil lead, household dust, maternal blood lead, and pregnancy outcomes within the same communities to refine exposure–response estimates and inform targeted intervention strategies.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the use of ChatGPT (version 5.2, OpenAI) as a language model–based tool to assist with literature organization, drafting of text, and formatting of tables and figures. All data extraction, analytical decisions, interpretation, and final manuscript content were undertaken and verified by the authors, who take full responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the work. This study received no specific funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agencies.

Data availability statement

All data used in this study were derived from previously published sources cited in the manuscript. No new primary data were generated.

Ethics statements

Ethical approval was not required for this study.

Funding

No specific funding was received for this work.

Competing interests

None declared.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination of this research.

References

Adie GU, Osibanjo O. Assessment of soil-pollution by slag from an automobile battery manufacturing plant in Nigeria. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2009;3(9). https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajest/article/view/46073/32479

Ahn J, Kim NS, Lee BK, Oh I, Kim Y. Changes of Atmospheric and Blood Concentrations of Lead and Cadmium in the General Population of South Korea from 2008 to 2017. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health [Internet]. 2019 Jun 13;16(12):2096. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16122096

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 743: Low-Dose Aspirin Use During Pregnancy. Obstetrics and gynecology. 2018;132(1):e44–e52. 10.1097/aog.0000000000002708

Angrand RC, Collins G, Landrigan PJ, Thomas VM. Relation of blood lead levels and lead in gasoline: an updated systematic review. Environmental Health. 2022 Dec 27;21(1). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-022-00936-x

Bayat F, Amir Ali Akbari S, Dabirioskoei A, Nasiri M, Mellati A. The Relationship Between Blood Lead Level and Preeclampsia. Electronic physician [Internet]. 2016 Dec 25;8(12):3450–5. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.19082/3450

Bede-Ojimadu O, Amadi CN, Orisakwe OE. Blood Lead Levels in Women of Child-Bearing Age in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review. Frontiers in Public Health [Internet]. 2018 Dec 19;6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00367

British Geological Survey. (2020) London Earth: London soil geochemistry map — lead (Pb) https://www.bgs.ac.uk/download/london-earth-london-soil-geochemistry-map-lead-pb/

Bushnik T, Haines D, Levallois P, Levesque J, Van Oostdam J, Viau C. Lead and bisphenol A concentrations in the Canadian population. Health Rep. 2010 Sep;21(3):7-18. PMID: 20973429. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20973429/

Chercos DH, Moges HG. Higher Blood Lead Levels among Childbearing Women in Nearby Addis Ababa-Adama Highway, Ethiopia. Advances in Toxicology [Internet]. 2016 Mar 29;2016:1–5. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/7569157

Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Meher S, King JF. Antiplatelet agents for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2007(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd004659

Ericson B, Landrigan P, Taylor MP, Frostad J, Caravanos J. The Global Burden of Lead Toxicity Attributable to Informal Used Lead-Acid Battery Sites. Annals of Global Health [Internet]. 2017 Mar 8;82(5):686. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aogh.2016.10.015

Ericson B, Otieno VO, Nganga C, St. Fort J, Taylor MP. Assessment of the Presence of Soil Lead Contamination Near a Former Lead Smelter in Mombasa, Kenya. Journal of Health and Pollution [Internet]. 2019 Mar;9(21). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-9.21.190307

Ettinger AS, Egan KB, Homa DM, Brown MJ. Blood Lead Levels in U.S. Women of Childbearing Age, 1976–2016. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2020 Jan;128(1). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp5925

Filippelli GM, Laidlaw MAS, Latimer JC, Raftis R. Urban Lead Poisoning and Medical Geology: An Unfinished Story. GSA Today [Internet]. 2005;15(1):4. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/1052-5173(2005)015<4:ulpamg>2.0.co;2

Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Vander Hoorn S, Murray CJ. Selected major risk factors and global and regional burden of disease. The Lancet [Internet]. 2002 Nov;360(9343):1347–60. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(02)11403-6

Fisher MB, Guo AZ, Tracy JW, Prasad SK, Cronk RD, Browning EG, Liang KR, Kelly ER, Bartram JK. Occurrence of lead and other toxic metals derived from drinking-water systems in three West African countries. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2021 Apr 20;129(4):047012. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33877857/

Gulson, B., Mizon, K., Korsch, M. et al. Revisiting mobilisation of skeletal lead during pregnancy based on monthly sampling and cord/maternal blood lead relationships confirm placental transfer of lead. Arch Toxicol 90, 805–816 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-015-1515-8

Gulson BL, Mizon KJ, Korsch MJ, Palmer JM, Donnelly JB. Mobilization of lead from human bone tissue during pregnancy and lactation, a summary of long-term research. Science of The Total Environment [Internet]. 2003 Feb;303(1–2):79–104. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(02)00355-8

Haefliger P, Mathieu-Nolf M, Lociciro S, Ndiaye C, Coly M, Diouf A, et al. Mass Lead Intoxication from Informal Used Lead-Acid Battery Recycling in Dakar, Senegal. Environmental Health Perspectives [Internet]. 2009 Oct;117(10):1535–40. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900696

Hofmeyr GJ, Lawrie TA, Atallah ÁN, Duley L. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy for preventing hypertensive disorders and related problems. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;10(10):CD001059. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001059.pub4

Jikamo B, Adefris M, Azale T, Alemu K. Incidence, trends and risk factors of preeclampsia in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PAMJ - One Health [Internet]. 2023;11. http://dx.doi.org/10.11604/pamj-oh.2023.11.1.39297

Kagoli, V.K., 2019. Investigation of the presence and health risk assessment of lead in vegetables grown in the vicinity of a lead-zinc mine Kabwe, Zambia. Master's thesis. The University of Zambia. https://dspace.unza.zm/server/api/core/bitstreams/e9d7c980-44be-4e10-a9f3-be26d39b3a81/content

King KE, Darrah TH, Money E, Meentemeyer R, Maguire RL, Nye MD, et al. Geographic clustering of elevated blood heavy metal levels in pregnant women. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2015 Oct 9;15(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2379-9

Ladele JI, Fajolu IB, Ezeaka VC. Determination of lead levels in maternal and umbilical cord blood at birth at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos. Szecsi PB, editor. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2019 Feb 7;14(2):e0211535. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211535

Laidlaw M.A.S. 2014. Soil lead and human health exposure risks: studies from Australia and the United States of America. PhD Thesis, Macquarie University. http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.20470.46409

Laidlaw MAS, Egendorf SP, Mielke HW. Potential links between urban lead (Pb) in home soil/dust and the development of Preeclampsia/Eclampsia. Next Research [Internet]. 2025 Sep;2(3):100687. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nexres.2025.100687

Laidlaw MAS, Zahran S, Pingitore N, Clague J, Devlin G, Taylor MP. Identification of lead sources in residential environments: Sydney Australia. Environmental Pollution [Internet]. 2014 Jan;184:238–46. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.09.003

Laidlaw MAS, Zahran S, Mielke HW, Taylor MP, Filippelli GM. Re-suspension of lead contaminated urban soil as a dominant source of atmospheric lead in Birmingham, Chicago, Detroit and Pittsburgh, USA. Atmospheric Environment [Internet]. 2012 Mar;49:302–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.030

Laidlaw MAS, Alankarage DH, Reichman SM, Taylor MP, Ball AS. Assessment of soil metal concentrations in residential and community vegetable gardens in Melbourne, Australia. Chemosphere [Internet]. 2018 May;199:303–11. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.044

Lanphear BP, Rauch S, Auinger P, Allen RW, Hornung RW. Low-level lead exposure and mortality in US adults: a population-based cohort study. The Lancet Public Health [Internet]. 2018 Apr;3(4):e177–84. Available from: **http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(18)30025-2**

Lanphear BP, Hornung R, Ho M, et al. Environmental lead exposure during pregnancy and early childhood. Pediatrics. 2002;110(1):e20. https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.1993.1004

Lumumba EA, Riederer AM, Onyatta JO, Benki-Nugent S, Karr CJ, Were FH. Maternal and Umbilical Cord Blood Lead Levels in Selected Informal Settlements in Nairobi, Kenya: A Cross-Sectional Study. Environmental Health Perspectives [Internet]. 2024 Mar;132(3). https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10970993/

Maresky LS, Grobler SR. Effect of the reduction of petrol lead on the blood lead levels of South Africans. Science of The Total Environment [Internet]. 1993 Aug;136(1–2):43–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(93)90296-i

Mathee A, Naicker N, Kootbodien T, Mahuma T, Nkomo P, Naik I, et al. A cross-sectional analytical study of geophagia practices and blood metal concentrations in pregnant women in Johannesburg, South Africa. South African Medical Journal [Internet]. 2014 Jun 19;104(8):568. Available from**: http://dx.doi.org/10.7196/samj.7466**

Mielke HW, Gonzales CR, Powell E, Jartun M, Mielke PW. Nonlinear association between soil lead and blood lead of children in metropolitan New Orleans, Louisiana: 2000–2005. Science of The Total Environment [Internet]. 2007 Dec;388(1–3):43–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.08.01

Mielke HW, Reagan PL**.** Soil is an important pathway of human lead exposure. *Environ Health Perspect.* 1998;106(Suppl 1):217–229*.* https://doi.org/10.2307/3433922

Mielke HW, Laidlaw MAS, Gonzales CR. Estimation of leaded (Pb) gasoline's continuing material and health impacts on 90 US urbanized areas. Environment International [Internet]. 2011 Jan;37(1):248–57. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2010.08.006

Motawei SM, Attalla SM, Gouda HE, El-Harouny MA, El-Mansoury AM. Lead level in pregnant women suffering from pre-eclampsia in Dakahlia, Egypt. Int J Occup Environ Med. 2013 Jan;4(1):36-44. PMI: 23279796.

Nakayama SMM, Ikenaka Y, Hamada K, Muzandu K, Choongo K, Teraoka H, et al. Metal and metalloid contamination in roadside soil and wild rats around a Pb–Zn mine in Kabwe, Zambia. Environmental Pollution. 2011 Jan;159(1):175–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.09.007

National Toxicology Program. *NTP Monograph on Health Effects of Low-Level Lead*. Research Triangle Park (NC): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2012. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/research/assessments/noncancer/completed/lead

O'Flaherty EJ. Physiologically based models for bone-seeking elements. IV. Kinetics of lead disposition in humans. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 1993;118(1):16–29. https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.1993.1004

Owago OJ (Owago, Odhiambo Joshuah). Determinants of blood lead levels in pregnant women living in Nairobi city. Thesis. Kenyatta University; 2012 (issued 22 Feb 2012).

https://ir-library.ku.ac.ke/server/api/core/bitstreams/6b385cb5-7945-48a3-b463-229c465728cb/content

Poropat AE, Laidlaw MAS, Lanphear B, Ball A, Mielke HW. Blood lead and preeclampsia: A meta-analysis and review of implications. Environmental Research [Internet]. 2018 Jan;160:12–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.09.014

Pure Earth (formerly Blacksmith Institute). Toxic Sites Identification Program database of contaminated sites. Pure Earth; access via contaminatedsites.org.

Pure Earth / UNICEF. *Lead Poisoning: Impacts and Sources*. 2020. Available from: https://www.pureearth.org/global-lead-program/lead-poisoning/

Püschel P, Agbeko KM, Amoabeng-Nti AA, Arko-Mensah J, Bertram J, Fobil JN, et al. Lead exposure by E-waste disposal and recycling in Agbogbloshie, Ghana. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health. 2024 Jun;259:114375. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2024.114375

Resongles E, Dietze V, Green DC, Harrison RM, Ochoa-Gonzalez R, Tremper AH, et al. Strong evidence for the continued contribution of lead deposited during the 20th century to the atmospheric environment in London of today. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences [Internet]. 2021 Jun 21;118(26).(see Supporting Information Fig. S3) http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102791118

Rooney JPK, Rakete S, Heier M, Linkohr B, Schwettmann L, Peters A. Blood lead levels in 2018/2019 compared to 1987/1988 in the German population-based KORA study. Environmental Research [Internet]. 2022 Dec;215:114184. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114184

Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tunçalp Ö, Moller AB, Daniels J, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. The Lancet Global Health [Internet]. 2014 Jun;2(6):e323–33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(14)70227-x

Siame T, Muzandu K, Kataba A, Weisiyu Q, M'kandawire E. A comparative assessment of Lead (Pb) concentration and physicochemical parameters in groundwater from the Kabwe mine and Lusaka non-mine sites, Zambia. Discover Environment. 2024 Aug 29;2(1):101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44274-024-00132-3

Stanek LW, Grokhowsky N, George BJ, Thomas KW. Assessing lead exposure in U.S. pregnant women using biological and residential measurements. Science of The Total Environment [Internet]. 2023 Dec;905:167135. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167135

Thomas DSK, Asori M, Nyanza EC. The role of geophagy and artisanal gold mining as risk factors for elevated blood lead levels in pregnant women in northwestern Tanzania. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2024;4(2):e0002958. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002958.

Thomas V, Kwong A. Ethanol as a lead replacement: phasing out leaded gasoline in Africa. Energy Policy [Internet]. 2001 Nov;29(13):1133–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0301-4215(01)00041-6

Thorstenson R, Montgomery J, Klimas C. What's in Your Soil? A Citywide Investigation of the Importance of Soil Lead for Predicting Elevated Blood Lead Levels in Chicago. GeoHealth [Internet]. 2025 Oct;9(10). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2025gh001572

Troesken W. Lead exposure and eclampsia in Britain, 1883–1934. Environmental Research. 2006 Jul 1;101(3):395-400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2005.10.007

Tyopine AA, Elom NI, Alomaja F, Onyezewe N, Mbah DC, Aguma B, Nwoke CO, Oraeki U. Assessment of heavy metal contamination and health risks in groundwater of Abakaliki Metropolis, Nigeria. Discover Water. 2024 Dec 2;4(1):118. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43832-024-00174-x

Ugwuja EI, Ibiam UA, Ejikeme BN, Obuna JA, Agbafor KN. Blood Pb Levels in pregnant Nigerian women in Abakaliki, South-Eastern Nigeria. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment [Internet]. 2012 Aug 23;185(5):3795–801. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2828-1

UNESCO. Mapping and assessing the environmental hazards of abandoned mines in Sub-Saharan African countries. Nairobi (Kenya): UNESCO; 2019. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000371674

UN Environment Programme (UNEP). *Inside the 20-year campaign to rid the world of leaded fuel.* Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme; 30 August 2021.

US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Recommendations of the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead for an Adult Lead Methodology.*EPA-540-R-03-001. Washington, DC: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response; 2003.

United States Environmental Protection Agency**.** Adult Lead Methodology (ALM): Frequently Asked Questions*.* Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response; updated guidance, 2010.

Vigeh M, Yokoyama K, Sahebi L. Prenatal lead exposure and risk of Pregnancy-Induced hypertension: A systematic review and Meta-Analysis. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth [Internet]. 2025 Oct 1;25(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12884-025-08131-9

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Lead. Washington (DC): EPA; 2024. https://assessments.epa.gov/isa/document/&deid=359536

World Health Organization. Pre-eclampsia. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/pre-eclampsia

World Health Organisation (2025) Maternal Mortality. 7 April 2025. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/maternal mortality#:~:text=Key%20facts,17%25%20(43%20000).

Wu SZ, Xu HY, Chen Y, Chen Y, Zhu QL, Tan MH, et al. Association of blood lead levels with preeclampsia: A cohort study in China. Environmental Research [Internet]. 2021 Apr;195:110822. Available from: **http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.110822**

Yabe J, Nakayama SMM, Nakata H, Toyomaki H, Yohannes YB, Muzandu K, et al. Current trends of blood lead levels, distribution patterns and exposure variations among household members in Kabwe, Zambia. Chemosphere. 2020 Mar;243:125412. Available from: **http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125412**

Yuebin Lyu, Jing Chen, Zheng Li, Liang Ding, Feng Zhao, Yingli Qu, Xulin Zheng, Zheng Zhang, Xiaojian Hu, Saisai Ji, Yifu Lu, Yawei Li, Yuanduo Zhu, Yanwei Yang, Yidan Qiu, Haocan Song, Wenli Zhang, Bing Wu, Jiayi Cai, Miao Zhang, Fangyu Li, Ying Zhu, Zhaojin Cao, John Ji, Xiaoyuan Yao, Yuxin Zheng, Xiaoming Shi. Declines in Blood Lead Levels Among General Population — China, 2000–2018[J]. China CDC Weekly, 2022, 4(50): 1117-1122. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2022.226 shu

Zahran S, Magzamen S, Breunig IM, Mielke HW. Maternal exposure to neighborhood soil Pb and eclampsia risk in new Orleans, Louisiana (USA): Evidence from a natural experiment in flooding. Environmental Research [Internet]. 2014 Aug;133:274–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.06.007

Zinia SS, Yang KH, Lee EJ, Lim MN, Kim J, Kim WJ. Effects of heavy metal exposure during pregnancy on birth outcomes. 2023 May 3; Available from**:** **http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2858005/v1**

Zhong Z, Yang Q, Li C, Chen X, Zhou F. A Global Perspective of Correlation between Maternal Blood Lead Levels and Risks of Preeclampsia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. SSRN Electronic Journal [Internet]. 2022; Available from**:** **http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4220761**

Supplementary Figure S1





Figure S2. Sensitivity of predicted preeclampsia risk to blood lead level capping assumptions

Predicted preeclampsia (PE) risk (%) as a function of maternal blood lead level (BLL, µg/dL) under alternative exposure modelling assumptions. The blue line represents primary analyses in which BLLs were capped at the upper range of pregnancy biomonitoring studies to avoid extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base. The orange line represents uncapped extrapolation of the exposure–response function to extreme BLLs observed in severely contaminated settings. Predicted risks assume a representative baseline preeclampsia prevalence of 4%. Divergence between curves at high BLLs illustrates model saturation under extreme exposure assumptions rather than literal probability estimates.

Supplementary Methods (S2): Comparative Risk Assessment Modelling

1. Overview of Comparative Risk Assessment Framework

This study applies a comparative risk assessment (CRA) framework consistent with Global Burden of Disease (GBD) methodology to estimate the contribution of maternal lead exposure to preeclampsia risk in sub-Saharan Africa. CRA quantifies the change in population risk associated with exposure relative to a specified baseline (counterfactual) risk, while holding other unmeasured determinants constant at their population-average levels.

2. Exposure Definition

Exposure is defined as maternal blood lead level (BLL), expressed in micrograms per deciliter (μg/dL). BLLs were obtained either directly from pregnancy biomonitoring studies or estimated indirectly from soil lead concentrations using established soil-to-blood lead models for adult women. The theoretical minimum risk exposure level (TMREL) was assumed to be approximately 0–1 μg/dL, consistent with the absence of a known safe lead threshold.

3. Exposure–Response Function

The exposure–response relationship was derived from meta-analytic evidence indicating a 1.6% increase in the odds of preeclampsia per 1 μg/dL increase in maternal BLL (Poropat et al., 2018). This relationship was implemented as a log-linear effect on the odds scale.

Relative odds as a function of BLL x were calculated as:

$$OR(x) = 1.016^x$$

where OR(x) represents the odds of preeclampsia at blood lead level x relative to a reference exposure of 0 μg/dL.

4. Baseline Risk (p0) Specification and Justification

Baseline preeclampsia risk (p0) represents the average probability of preeclampsia in the population in the absence of explicit modelling of lead exposure. Because individual-level clinical covariates (e.g., age, parity, body mass index, chronic hypertension) were unavailable, p0 serves as a population anchor that implicitly incorporates the distribution of these factors within the population.

Values of p0 between 3% and 10% were selected based on published estimates of preeclampsia prevalence and incidence in sub-Saharan Africa. Lower values (approximately 3–4%) reflect community-based and lower-risk populations, whereas higher values (6–10%)

are consistent with facility-based and referral hospital settings common in the region. Sensitivity analyses across this range were conducted to assess robustness to baseline uncertainty.

5. Conversion from Relative Odds to Absolute Risk

Baseline odds were calculated from baseline risk p0 as:

$$odds0 = p0 / (1 - p0)$$

Lead-adjusted odds at exposure level x were then calculated as:

$$odds(x) = odds0 \times OR(x)$$

Predicted preeclampsia risk at exposure level x was obtained by converting odds back to probability:

$$p(x) = odds(x) / (1 + odds(x))$$

6. Exposure Capping and Extreme Scenarios

To avoid extrapolation beyond the epidemiologic evidence base, primary analyses capped maternal blood lead levels at 40 μg/dL, corresponding to the upper range of most pregnancy biomonitoring studies. Uncapped analyses were conducted separately to illustrate risk saturation in extreme contamination scenarios (e.g., mining, smelting, informal battery recycling). Predicted risks approaching 100% in these scenarios are interpreted as indicators of model saturation rather than literal probabilities.

7. Interpretation

All estimates represent population-anchored risk translations and should not be interpreted as individual clinical predictions. The CRA framework is intended to inform population-level prevention and policy prioritization by quantifying the potential contribution of lead exposure to preeclampsia burden under explicit and transparent assumptions.

Supplementary Tables (S3)

Bede-Ojimadu et al. (2018) identified 15 studies reporting blood lead levels among women of childbearing age in sub-Saharan Africa. Table S3a reconstructs these studies using information reported in the review and underlying primary publications. Table S3b extends this evidence base by incorporating pregnancy-specific biomonitoring studies published after 2018 or not captured by the original review, including large contemporary cohorts and studies conducted in known high-exposure settings.

Table S3a. Biomonitoring-derived maternal blood lead in sub-Saharan Africa reconstructed studies (Bede-Ojimadu et al., 2018).

Country	City / setting	Population	Year(s) measured	Sample size (n)	Central tendency (µg/dL)	Dispersion	Reference
Nigeria	Owerri	Pregnant women	2011	99	Mean 99.0	Range 2–448	Njoku & Orisakwe 2012
Nigeria	Nnewi	Women at delivery	2010–2011	119	Mean 6.19	Range 2.17–15.25	Obi et al. 2014
Nigeria	Abakaliki	Pregnant women	NR	40	Mean 40.0	NR	Ugwuja et al. 2013
Ghana	Accra	Pregnant women (3rd trimester)	NR	250	Median 5.9	IQR ~3–9	Basu et al. 2017
Kenya	Nairobi	Pregnant women	2009	NR	Mean 28.4	Range 0–295	Odhiambo et al. 2009
South Africa	Johannesburg	Pregnant women	2010	306	GM 0.83	Range 1.0–9.9	Mathee et al. 2014
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa (near highway)	Pregnant women	2011	40	Mean 34.3	SD 6.7	Chercos & Moges 2016
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa (comparison)	Pregnant women	2011	36	Mean 8.5	SD 3.0	Chercos & Moges 2016
Benin	Cotonou	Mothers	2011–2013	227	Mean 5.14	Range 2.28–20.2	Bodeau-Livinec et al. 2016
Senegal	Dakar	Women 18–45 y	NR	180	Median ~6.2	Range ~4–10	Diouf et al. 2019
Senegal	Thiaroye-sur-Mer	Mothers	2008	NR	>100	NR	WHO DON 2008

Not all studies reported sampling year, dispersion metrics, or pregnancy trimester; missing fields are indicated as not reported (NR).

Table S3b. Additional pregnancy-specific blood lead studies in sub-Saharan Africa *not* included in Bede-Ojimadu et al. (2018)

Country	City / setting	Population	Year(s) measured	Sample size (n)	Central tendency (µg/dL)	Dispersion	Reference
Nigeria	Lagos	Women at delivery	~2018	400	Median 64.3	Range 0–332	Adebamowo et al. 2019
Zambia	Kabwe	Mothers	2017	NR	Mean 14.8	NR	Yabe et al. 2020
Tanzania	Geita / Mwanza	Pregnant women	2015–2017	1056	Median ~3.1	IQR reported	Thomas et al. 2024

These studies were published after the Bede-Ojimadu et al. (2018). review or were not captured by its search strategy and extend the pregnancy-specific biomonitoring evidence base to 2024

Table S3c. Severe soil-lead contamination derived exposure scenarios and modelled maternal blood lead levels.

Location	Country	Soil lead (mg/kg)	Modelled BLL (µg/dL)	Source
Thiaroye-sur-Mer (ULAB)	Senegal	302,000	1208	Haefliger, 2009
Accra (Agbogbloshie)	Ghana	19,172	76.7	Püschel et al. 2024
Kabwe (residential)	Zambia	58,600	234.4	Kagoli, 2019
Nigeria battery plant	Nigeria	126,000	504	Adie & Osibanjo 2009

Table S3d. Relative odds and predicted preeclampsia risk across baseline scenarios

Maternal BLL (µg/dL)	Relative odds (1.016^BLL)	PE risk (%) p0=3%	PE risk (%) p0=4%	PE risk (%) p0=6%	PE risk (%) p0=10%
5	1.08	3.2	4.3	6.4	10.6
10	1.17	3.4	4.6	6.9	11.4
20	1.36	3.9	5.3	7.9	13.0
40	1.89	5.3	7.1	10.6	16.9

Table S4. Central tendency of blood lead levels among women of reproductive age in selected world regions (≈2007–2025)

Region	Population	Years	Central tendency (µg/dL)	Key reference
United States	Women 15–49	2011–2016	**GM 0.5-0.9**	Ettinger et al. 2020
Canada	Women 20–39	2007–2009	**GM 0.9**	Bushnik et al., 2010
Germany	Females	2018–2019	**GM 2.4**	Rooney et al, 2022
South Korea	Women	2017	**GM 1.25**	Ahn et al, 2019
China (urban)	Women	2015/2018	**Median 2.1**	Lyu, et al., 2022
Mexico	Women	2015 – 2019	**Mean 0.7**	Zinia et al., 2023
Sub-Saharan Africa	Pregnant women	2009–2025	15 SSA studies **Mean = 26.24; (0.83 – 99) -**	Bede et al., 2016

Values represent population central tendencies (median, mean, or geometric mean as reported). Methods and sampling frames vary by program.

References for Table S4c

United States

1. Ettinger AS, Egan KB, Homa DM, Brown MJ. Blood Lead Levels in U.S. Women of Childbearing Age, 1976–2016. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2020 Jan;128(1). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp5925

Canada

2. Bushnik T, Haines D, Levallois P, Levesque J, Van Oostdam J, Viau C. Lead and bisphenol A concentrations in the Canadian population. Health Rep. 2010 Sep;21(3):7-18. PMID: 20973429. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20973429/

Germany

3. Rooney JPK, Rakete S, Heier M, Linkohr B, Schwettmann L, Peters A. Blood lead levels in 2018/2019 compared to 1987/1988 in the German population-based KORA study. Environmental Research [Internet]. 2022 Dec;215:114184. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114184

South Korea

4. Ahn J, Kim NS, Lee BK, Oh I, Kim Y. Changes of Atmospheric and Blood Concentrations of Lead and Cadmium in the General Population of South Korea from 2008 to 2017. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health [Internet]. 2019 Jun 13;16(12):2096. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16122096

China

5. Yuebin Lyu, Jing Chen, Zheng Li, Liang Ding, Feng Zhao, Yingli Qu, Xulin Zheng, Zheng Zhang, Xiaojian Hu, Saisai Ji, Yifu Lu, Yawei Li, Yuanduo Zhu, Yanwei Yang, Yidan Qiu, Haocan Song, Wenli Zhang, Bing Wu, Jiayi Cai, Miao Zhang, Fangyu Li, Ying Zhu, Zhaojin Cao, John Ji, Xiaoyuan Yao, Yuxin Zheng, Xiaoming Shi. Declines in Blood Lead Levels Among General Population — China, 2000–2018[J]. China CDC Weekly, 2022, 4(50): 1117-1122. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2022.226 shu

Sub-Saharan Africa

6. Bede-Ojimadu O, Amadi CN, Orisakwe OE. Blood Lead Levels in Women of Child-Bearing Age in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review. Frontiers in Public Health [Internet]. 2018 Dec 19;6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00367

Mexico

7. Zinia SS, Yang KH, Lee EJ, Lim MN, Kim J, Kim WJ. Effects of heavy metal exposure during pregnancy on birth outcomes. 2023 May 3; Available from**: http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2858005/v1**