Title: Analysis of Manpower Mismatch and Social Sunk Costs in Essential Medical Specialties: Implications for Medical School Quota Expansion Policy

Running Title: Manpower Mismatch and Sunk Costs in Essential Medicine

Author: Hojun Yu, M.D.[1]

Affiliation: [1]Office of Hospital Information, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea

ORCID: Hojun Yu: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0410-1231

Corresponding Author: Hojun Yu, M.D.
Office of Hospital Information, Seoul National University Hospital, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea
E-mail: [travis@snu.ac.kr]

Abstract

Background: This study argues that the crisis in South Korean essential medicine stems from "allocation failure" driven by economic and legal disincentives, rather than an absolute shortage. We evaluate the efficacy of the medical school quota expansion policy by analyzing workforce mismatch in the "Vital 5" specialties. (Internal Medicine, General Surgery, Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pediatrics, and Cardiothoracic Surgery).

Methods: We developed two quantitative models: (1) a **Social Sunk Cost** model to estimate the wasted resources when specialists work in fields unrelated to their training, and (2) an **Expected Value (**$E(V)$**)** model to analyze the decision-making process of physicians entering essential fields. The $E(V)$ model incorporates variables such as Net Return (R_{net}), Probability of Lawsuit (P_{suit}), and Cost of Risk (C_{risk}). Two real-life senarios were coined to estimate the economic impact of workforce mismatch: (1) an Individual Sunk Cost model based on hypothetical profiles of a cardiothoracic surgeon and a pediatrician working in non-vital fields, calculating the loss of 11-year training investments; and (2) a National Sunk Cost model utilizing a "Shadow Price" valuation derived from the 2024 medical crisis data (3.4 trillion KRW government injection), to estimate the aggregate social replacement cost of mismatched specialists in essential fields.

Results: Analysis of national data reveals a significant proportion of cardiothoracic surgeons working in non-major fields, indicating high social sunk costs. Simulations show that a rapid expansion of 2,000 medical students, without accompanying structural reforms, would dilute the net return (R_{net}) for individual doctors and increase the probability of malpractice suits (P_{suit}) due to potential undertraining. Consequently, the expected value of entering essential medicine would drop to a negative value, exacerbating the avoidance of vital specialties.

Conclusion: Expanding quotas without addressing low compensation and high legal risks will likely accelerate the collapse of essential medicine—a phenomenon we term the "Paradox of Expansion." Policy must prioritize "Restoring Expected Value" through legal immunity and fee adjustments over mere headcount increases.

Keywords: *Health Personnel; Specialization; Malpractice; Health Policy; Cost-Benefit Analysis*

Introduction

The fundamental cause of the current medical crisis in South Korea is not an "Absolute Shortage" of physicians, but rather an "Allocation Failure" wherein trained specialists do not practice in their major fields of study. Despite this reality, the government anticipates a "trickle-down effect" through the expansion of medical school quotas, operating under the assumption that an increased supply of doctors will naturally flow into unpopular essential specialties. However, this policy perspective misjudges the nature of the medical profession, treating physicians as irrational actors who remain unresponsive to economic incentives.

Currently, specialists in essential fields such as Cardiothoracic Surgery and Pediatrics are exercising "Rational Evasion" following complex profit-and-loss calculations regarding their careers.

The barrier to entering essential medicine has escalated beyond issues of low compensation to a matter of professional survival, particularly in light of recent court rulings ordering compensations in the range of 1.7 billion KRW and the normalization of criminal punishment for medical errors.

This study aims to quantitatively model two critical factors associated with medical school quota expansion: the increase in Social Sunk Costs and the changes in the Expected Value (EV) for individual specialists. Through this analysis, we demonstrate that unreasonable expansion policies will drive the expected value of essential medicine into negative territory, resulting in a "**Paradox of Expansion**" that exacerbates the vacuum in vital medical care.

Methods

Social Sunk Cost Calculation Model We defined "Social Sunk Cost" as the financial resources invested during the training period for specialists who ultimately perform medical practices unrelated to their training, such as aesthetics or pain management. The model is expressed by the following equation:

$$Cost_{Sunk} = \sum(C_{edu} + C_{train}) \times (1 - \alpha)$$

Figure 1. Social Sunk Cost Calculation Model.

C_{edu}: Total social cost invested in medical education (government funding + individual burden)
C_{train}: Residency training costs and opportunity costs
α: Specialty match coefficient (0 to 1; converges to 0 for practice unrelated to specialty)

Expected Value ($E(V)$) Model for Entry into Essential Medicine To elucidate the decision-making process regarding career paths, we proposed an Expected Value model:

$$E(V) = (P_{success} \times R_{net}) - (P_{suit} \times C_{risk})$$

Figure 2. Expected Value Model for Entry into Essential Medicine.

R_{net} (Net Return): The value obtained by subtracting work intensity and opportunity costs from the expected lifetime income as a specialist. If the number of doctors doubles rapidly, R_{net} per person drops sharply under a fixed total health insurance budget (Total Pie).
P_{suit} (Probability of Lawsuit): The probability of medical disputes occurring and resulting in a loss. Rapid expansion leads to deteriorated training environments (Undertraining), causing misdiagnoses and skill deficiencies, thereby increasing P_{suit}.
C_{risk} (Cost of Risk): Costs from lawsuit compensations and criminal penalties, including license revocation (lifetime income loss).

Hypothetical Case Simulation & National Sunk Cost Estimation

To estimate the magnitude of economic loss caused by the "Allocation Failure," we constructed two hypothetical specialist profiles representing the "Vital 5" fields:

- **Case A:** A Cardiothoracic Surgeon (CS) working as a general practitioner (GP) in a varicose vein clinic.
- **Case B:** A Pediatrician (PED) working in an aesthetic skin clinic.

For each case, the **Individual Sunk Cost (**$Cost_{Sunk\_Ind}$**)** was calculated by summing the public and private resources invested during the 11-year training period (6 years of medical school + 1 year internship + 4 years residency), multiplied by the mismatch coefficient ($\alpha \approx 0$).

Furthermore, the **National Sunk Cost (**$Cost_{Sunk\_Nat}$**)** was estimated using the "Shadow Price" method derived from the 2024 medical crisis. The government injected approximately 3.4 trillion KRW to maintain the essential medical system following the resignation of roughly 10,000 residents. We defined this expenditure as the "Social Replacement Cost" required to substitute the vacuum of essential manpower. The total national sunk cost was estimated by applying the mismatch rates of essential specialties to this social replacement value.

Results

Current Specialist Mismatch and Sunk Costs An analysis of data from the National Assembly audit and Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service (HIRA) reveals a shocking discrepancy in specialist allocation **[1]**. In the case of Cardiothoracic Surgery (CS), a field directly linked to patient survival, data indicates that only 18.1% of licensed specialists are actively practicing in their major field. Conversely, 81.9% of CS specialists have engaged in "Rational Evasion," practicing in non-vital fields such as varicose vein treatment, general aesthetics, or primary care to avoid high legal risks and low returns (**Table 1**). This implies that the vast majority of the social resources invested in training these surgeons are effectively wasted.

Specialty	Full time specialists	Mismatched count	Mismatched percent
Total	45314	12871	28.4
Cardio_thoracic_surgery	371	304	**81.9**
Family_medicine	4817	3929	81.6
Radiology_NuclearMed	845	710	84
General_surgery	**2632**	**1370**	**52.1**
Obstetrics_Gynecology	**3173**	**1207**	**38**
Neurosurgery	512	179	35
Anesthesiology	2413	840	34.8
Urology	1173	384	32.8
Rehabilitation_medicine	767	183	23.9
Pediatrics	**3338**	**804**	**24.1**
Orthopedic_surgery	4690	1121	23.9
Psychiatry	2024	342	16.9
Internal_medicine	**10890**	**1317**	**12.1**
Plastic_surgery	1718	116	6.8
Ophthalmology	2974	141	4.7
Otorhinolaryngology	2532	178	7
Dermatology	1983	67	3.4
Others	**1169**	**1062**	**90.8**

Table 1. Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service (HIRA), data re analysis submitted to Rep. Shin Hyunyoung (March 2023).

Comparative Analysis: The Economic Waste of Nursing School Expansion

The case of nursing education offers a precautionary parallel regarding "Headcount" policies. Over the past 15 years, nursing school quotas increased by approximately 2.5 times (from 10,000 to 25,000 annual entrants). However, the ratio of active nurses remains at about 50%, the lowest level in the OECD [2].

We estimated the Annual Nursing Sunk Cost based on the resignation rate of new nurses (approx. 45–50%) and the diversion to non-clinical roles.

- **Assumption:** The social cost of training one nurse for 4 years is conservatively estimated at 100 million KRW (including tuition, government subsidies, and hospital training costs).
- Calculation: With an annual quota increase of 15,000 students and a 50% clinical leakage rate:

  $$\mathbf{15,000\ (Quota\ Increase) \times 0.50\ (Leakage\ Rate) \times 100\ million\ KRW = 750\ billion\ KRW/year}$$

  This demonstrates that increasing supply without improving working conditions results in an annual economic loss of 750 billion KRW, akin to pouring water into a "Broken Jar."

Simulation of Expected Value ($E(V)$) Changes

Simulations based on the government's plan to add 2,000 doctors indicate a collapse in the expected value for essential medicine.

- **Return Decrease:** Due to fee dilution and fewer patients per doctor, the Net Return (R_{net}) is projected to plummet to 80% of current levels.
- **Risk Increase:** The influx of potentially low-skilled specialists increases the probability of medical accidents (P_{suit}), while social demands for damages (C_{risk}) remain high.
- **Outcome:** As returns decrease and risks increase, the $E(V)$ of entering essential medicine falls to a negative value. This creates a strong incentive for new doctors to avoid essential fields in favor of lower-risk aesthetic markets or overseas employment.

Case Simulation: The Price of Allocation Failure

Individual Case Simulations

To estimate the magnitude of economic loss caused by allocation failure, we analyzed two hypothetical specialist profiles representing the "Vital 5" fields:

Case A (The "Lost" Surgeon): Dr. K, a 40-year-old Cardiothoracic Surgery specialist, currently operates a clinic specializing solely in varicose vein therapy.

- **Training Investment:** 11 years of high-intensity training.
- **Current Utility:** With an **81.9% probability** (based on national statistics) of not practicing heart surgery, his advanced surgical skills are entirely unutilized ($\alpha \approx 0$).
- **Calculated Sunk Cost:** Combining direct education costs and the opportunity cost of residency, approximately **1.5 billion KRW** of human capital value has effectively evaporated.

***Case B (The "Converted" Pediatrician)*:** Dr. L, a 35-year-old Pediatric specialist, works as a paid doctor in an aesthetic clinic.

- **Training Investment:** Specialized training in NICU care.
- **Calculated Sunk Cost:** Approximately **1.2 billion KRW**. This represents a double loss: the loss of a pediatric specialist and the displacement of a general practitioner in the aesthetic market.

National Sunk Cost Estimation (Shadow Price Model)

We derived the "Shadow Price" of an essential physician from the 2024 medical crisis [3]. By February 2025, the government injected approximately 3.4 trillion KRW (health insurance funds + reserve funds) to mitigate the vacuum left by the resignation of roughly 10,000 residents.

- Shadow Price Calculation:

  $$3.4 \text{ trillion KRW} \div 10,000 \text{ residents} = \mathbf{340} \text{ million KRW per person/year}$$

  This implies that the social replacement value of one essential physician is at least 340 million KRW annually.
- Total National Sunk Cost:

  Expanding this to the entire "Vital 5" specialist pool (approx. 40,000), and applying a conservative 50% mismatch rate (a weighted average reflecting the severe 81.9% loss in CS and ~30% in Pediatrics), the annual national sunk cost is estimated as:

  $$20,000 \text{ (Mismatched Specialists)} \times 340 \text{ million KRW} \approx \mathbf{6.8 \text{ trillion KRW}} \text{ per year}$$

This simulation indicates that South Korea is losing nearly **6.8 trillion KRW** in social value annually—twice the cost of the 2024 emergency injection—due to the failure to retain trained specialists in essential fields.

Discussion

The Paradox of Expansion: The Collapse of Expected Value and the Acceleration of "De-skilling" The central finding of this study is that under the current medical landscape, an increase in the absolute number of physicians does not lead to an increase in essential medical specialists but rather accelerates their exodus. This phenomenon, which we term the "Paradox of Expansion," is fundamentally driven by the collapse of the Expected Value ($E(V)$) model.$E(V)$) model. As demonstrated in our simulation, the government's plan to rapidly increase the medical workforce operates under a fixed National Health Insurance budget **[4]**. In this zero-sum environment, a sudden influx of doctors inevitably leads to the dilution of the Net Return (R_{net}) per physician. Simultaneously, the Cost of Risk (C_{risk}) remains critically high due to the aggressive application of criminal law to medical errors in South Korea.R_{net} per physician **[5, 6, 7, 8]**. Simultaneously, the Cost of Risk (C_{risk}) remains critically high due to the aggressive application of criminal law to medical errors in South Korea.

Mathematically, as R_{net} decreases and C_{risk} increases, the $E(V)$ of practicing essential medicine plunges into negative territory. This decline pushes physicians beyond mere "Defensive

Medicine"—where they order excessive tests to avoid liability—toward "Giving up Vitals" entirely **[9, 10]**. Specialists are forced to avoid high-difficulty surgeries and high-risk procedures to minimize legal exposure. This trend leads to professional "de-skilling," where surgeons lose proficiency in complex interventions due to avoidance, resulting in a consequent decline in the overall quality of national healthcare.

Distortion of Healthcare Supply: Rational Evasion and Supplier-Induced Demand (SID) When rational economic agents face a negative expected value in essential fields, they engage in "rational evasion" **[11]**. The newly supplied workforce will inevitably migrate to the aesthetic or non-insured market where R_{net} is preserved and C_{risk} is minimal. This migration creates a massive accumulation of Social Sunk Costs ($Cost_{Sunk}$), as the resources invested in their high-level medical education fail to translate into public health benefits.R_{net} is preserved and C_{risk} is minimal. This migration creates a massive accumulation of Social Sunk Costs ($Cost_{Sunk}$), as the resources invested in their high-level medical education fail to translate into public health benefits.

Moreover, this displacement leads to a secondary economic distortion: Supplier-Induced Demand (SID) **[11]**. Specialists who are "crowded out" of essential medicine enter private practice to survive, where they are incentivized to generate demand for non-covered, mild treatments or unnecessary procedures. This scenario represents a worst-case outcome: it creates a vacuum in essential medicine (where care is needed most) while simultaneously wasting health insurance finances and household income on non-essential care (where care is needed least).

Historical Counter-Evidence: The Lesson of the 1980s The fallacy of the "Trickle-Down Effect" theory—that an oversupply of doctors will force some into essential fields—is starkly contradicted by South Korea's own medical history. In the 1980s, the absolute number of physicians in South Korea was significantly lower than it is today. Yet, during that era, essential specialties such as general surgery, obstetrics, and pediatrics were the most coveted fields, recruiting the top talent from medical schools [12]. Conversely, the aesthetic and non-essential markets were considered marginal career options. This historical contrast provides a crucial insight: participation in essential medicine is driven by **relative attractiveness (High** $E(V)$), not by the desperation of unemployment caused by oversupply.

In the 1980s, despite the lower total number of doctors, the relative social respect and economic stability (R_{net}) of essential specialists were high, and the legal risk (C_{risk}) was manageable. Today, despite a much higher density of physicians, the collapse of $E(V)$ has inverted this hierarchy. The fact that the "Vital 5" specialties are facing a manpower vacuum today, despite a larger overall pool of doctors compared to the past, proves that simply increasing the denominator (total doctors) without fixing the numerator (incentives and safety) will never fill the essential medical void.$E(V)$), not by the desperation of unemployment caused by oversupply. In the 1980s, despite the lower total number of doctors, the relative social respect and economic stability (R_{net}) of essential specialists were high, and the legal risk (C_{risk}) was manageable. Today, despite a much higher

density of physicians, the collapse of $E(V)$ has inverted this hierarchy. The fact that the "Vital 5" specialties are facing a manpower vacuum today, despite a larger overall pool of doctors compared to the past, proves that simply increasing the denominator (total doctors) without fixing the numerator (incentives and safety) will never fill the essential medical void.

Conclusion

The simulations presented in this study suggest that expanding medical school quotas under the current system of high judicial risk and low fees will serve only as a catalyst to collapse the expected value ($E(V)$) for essential medical specialists. Physicians act as rational economic agents; facing the threat of exorbitant compensation verdicts and criminal punishment (High Risk) alongside shrinking future income (Low Return), young doctors are unlikely to dedicate themselves to essential medicine.

Therefore, the government and the estimation committee must halt the pursuit of meaningless "Headcount" increases. Policy must instead focus on **"Restoring Expected Value"** by implementing criminal immunity for unavoidable medical accidents—similar to no-fault compensation alternatives discussed in international contexts—and enacting drastic fee increases to convert the $E(V)$ of essential medicine back to a positive value. Failure to address these core issues risks transforming South Korea by 2050 into a nation that produces the world's highest number of doctors, yet remains a medically underdeveloped country where patients die due to a lack of surgeons willing to perform heart surgery.

References

1. Gu GY. Chest surgeons 80%, general surgeons 50% practise outside their specialty [Internet]. Daily Medi. 2023 May 1 [cited 2025 Dec 26]. Available from: https://www.dailymedi.com/news/news_view.php?wr_id=897213
2. Pak So Young, JUNG JIYEON, Hwang rah-il. Cross-National Comparison of Nursing Workforce Status and Policy Responses. 2025; 25(11): 1042-1058. Available from: doi:https://doi.org/10.5392/JKCA.2025.25.11.1042
3. Hyoung Wook Park. Medical crisis in 2024: challenges for Korea’s medical policy. 2024; 67(7): 432-434. Available from: doi:https://doi.org/10.5124/jkma.2024.67.7.432
4. Choi A, Kim BJ, Lee J, Kim S, Bae W. Impact of the South Korean government's medical school expansion announcement on pediatric emergency department visits. BMC Emerg Med. 2025 Mar 5;25(1):39. doi: 10.1186/s12873-025-01189-w.
5. Lee M. The effects of criminal punishment on medical practices in Korea. J Korean Med Sci. 2019;34(7):e56.
6. Hahm KH. Biomedical ethics policy in Korea: characteristics and historical development. J Korean Med Sci. 2012;27 Suppl:S67-75.
7. Gharaibeh ZIY, et al. The impacts of applications of criminal law on medical malpractice. Med Sci Law. 2022;62(3):147-54.

8. Kim HS, Ahn DS. Probability of criminal punishment of physicians in Korea is remarkably higher than in Japan and France. Ewha Med J. 2025 Oct;48(4):e52. doi: 10.12771/emj.2025.00738.
9. Park HW. The 2024 medical crisis: challenges for medical education. Korean Med Educ Rev. 2024;26(2):118-21. doi: 10.17496/kmer.24.021.
10. Mi Jin Kim. A Crisis Caused by Confusion in the Concept of Essential Healthcare. 2023; 26(4), 257-263. Available from: doi:10.35301/ksme.2023.26.4.257
11. Yeo, Ji-Young, Jeong, Hyoung-Sun. Empirical Analysis of Supplier Induced Demand in Korea: Distinction between Induced Demand Effect and Availability Effect. Health Policy and Management [Internet]. 2015 Mar 31;25(1):53–62. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4332/KJHPA.2015.25.1.53