Disrupted Bilateral Coordination of Soleus Motor Units during Early Subacute Stroke Rehabilitation

Jackson T. Levine,[1,2,3] Xin S. Yu,[2-4] Alyssa Jones,[5] Rebecca Muñoz,[2] Martin Zaback,[6] Christopher K. Thompson,[6] Dario Farina,[7] Simon Avrillon,[8†] José L. Pons[1,2,3,†]

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Stroke can affect sensorimotor control, impairing balance and locomotion. These impairments increase the risk of falls, limit patient independence, and reduce their quality of life. In this study, we investigated how stroke affects the bilateral coordination of soleus motor units during standing, in individuals undergoing subacute rehabilitation.

Fourteen participants (*n*=7 females; time since stroke=19±8 days; age=60.2±15.9 years) were recruited after admission for inpatient rehabilitation together with sixteen age- and sex-matched controls (*n*=8 females; age=61.2±14.7 years). Both groups attended the laboratory for two sessions separated by one week, during which high-density EMG signals were recorded from soleus muscles during quiet standing on force plates. Patients also performed the Berg Balance Scale in their clinical rehabilitation. To investigate the neural control of soleus muscles during quiet standing, the EMG signals were decomposed into motor unit spike trains, from which peristimulus time histograms and EMG waveform averages were computed in relation to peaks in the center of pressure (COP). The amplitude of motor unit and EMG activity around COP peaks, their directional tuning, and bilateral synchronization were estimated.

Individuals post-stroke demonstrated improved scores on the Berg Balance Scale between visits, but the amplitudes of their COP displacement and speed were still greater than controls. In controls, the activity of soleus from both limbs exhibited an anteriorly-oriented tuning. In individuals post-stroke, while the activity of the soleus from the unaffected limb exhibited the same anteriorly-oriented directional tuning, the activity of the soleus from the affected limb was tuned laterally. Synchronization of soleus activities, quantified by computing the amplitude of motor unit and EMG activation in one soleus time-locked to prominent peaks in activity in the contralateral muscle, were also reduced in the affected limb compared to controls. Furthermore, cross correlation demonstrated a greater lag in motor unit and EMG

activation between limbs in individuals post-stroke compared to controls. The absolute lag between limbs, measured through peristimulus time histograms, decreased between visits in individuals post-stroke ($p=0.008$), and this metric was the sole predictor of Berg Balance Scale with forward regression ($R^2=0.582$, $P<0.001$).

These results highlight the importance of bilateral motor unit coordination in balance, which is disrupted in both spatial and temporal domains following stroke.

Author affiliations:

1 Northwestern University, McCormick School of Engineering, Evanston, IL 60208 USA

2 Shirley Ryan AbilityLab, Chicago, IL 60611 USA

3 Northwestern University, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Evanston, IL 60208 USA

4 University of Memphis, Department of Physical Therapy, College of Health Sciences, Jackson, TN 38301 USA

5 University of Illinois Chicago, Department of Physical Therapy, Chicago IL, 60607 USA

6 Temple University, Department of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Philadelphia, PA 19122

7 Imperial College London, Department of Bioengineering, London, SW7 2AZ UK

8 Nantes Université, Movement-Interactions-Performance (MIP), UR 4334, Nantes, France

Correspondence to: Jackson T. Levine

Northwestern University, McCormick School of Engineering, Evanston, IL 60208 USA

77jlevine@gmail.com

Running title: Subacute Stroke Motor Unit Coordination

Keywords: stroke, balance, rehabilitation, motor units, synchronization

Introduction

Stroke is a neurological deficit resulting from an acute focal injury to the central nervous system caused by a vascular event.[1] This injury dramatically impairs cognitive and sensorimotor functions, with, for instance, half of stroke survivors exhibiting balance impairments.[2] These impairments are characterized by greater sway variability and greater speeds,[3-6] primarily resulting from pathological neural activation of postural muscles and their coordination. Garland and colleagues,[3,4] for example, identified a reduced activation of the soleus muscle in the unaffected limb using EMG recordings, with fewer differences between limbs observed following rehabilitation. Furthermore, investigations into the bilateral coordination during standing balance have revealed reduced synchronization between limbs in individuals post-stroke.[7-9]

These changes underlie alterations in the activation and coordination of motor units – the neural structures that convert neural signals into motor outputs. In neurologically intact individuals, motor units that serve the same function receive a high degree of common synaptic input from both supraspinal and spinal circuits,[10-12] constraining them to coordinated activation and motor output. During unperturbed standing balance tasks in neurologically intact individuals, motor units from the soleus are tonically activated for weight support, but also show some degree of rate modulation. Motor units from gastrocnemius medialis are recruited intermittently during larger balance adjustments and gastrocnemius lateralis exhibit little activation.[13-17] Motor unit activation varies with the magnitude and direction of postural sway, with soleus motor units demonstrating the greatest modulation with center of pressure (COP) movements along the anterior-posterior axis.[18,19] Bilateral motor unit synchronization is present during balance tasks in neurologically intact individuals.[20,21]

In individuals post stroke, motor units in the affected limb exhibit reduced discharge rates, compressed rate coding, and altered recruitment patterns, and the extent of these changes are moderately correlated with functional impairments.[22-32] During standing balance, a reduced correlation between bilateral motor unit discharge rates has been observed in individuals with chronic hemiparetic stroke,[33] suggesting they may arise from long-term adaptation in motor unit properties or numbers,[34,35] or from the reorganization of synaptic inputs to these motor pools.[32,36] Whether these changes occur during the acute phase of stroke, or emerge only over time, remains to be determined.

Here, we aimed to compare the activity of motor unit pools during a quiet standing balance task in patients in the early subacute phase post-stroke and in neurologically intact controls. We conducted two experimental sessions one week apart during inpatient rehabilitation. We specifically investigated soleus motor unit activation during COP peaks, focusing on the amplitude and directional tuning, as well as bilateral coordination of motor units. We hypothesized that individuals post-stroke would exhibit greater differences in directional tuning between legs, particularly in the affected limb due to previously reported weakened neural drive.[26,28] Accordingly, we also hypothesized that the bilateral coordination of motor units would be reduced in individuals post-stroke compared to controls. Finally, we hypothesized that motor unit bilateral coordination in individuals post-stroke would be related to the level of impairments quantified with the Berg Balance Scale (BBS).

Materials and methods

Ethical approval and participants

14 individuals with subacute stroke admitted for inpatient rehabilitation were recruited for this study (time since stroke to first session: 19±8 days, *n*=7 females; age=60.2±15.9 years, height=171.0±6.1 cm, weight=77.6±16.3 kg, Table 1). 16 age- and sex-matched controls (*n*=8 females, age=61.2±14.7 years) were also recruited. Two additional controls were recruited to increase the likelihood of decomposing sufficient motor units to establish normative levels of motor unit function. Individuals post-stroke were included upon meeting the following criteria: 1) first stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic); 2) supratentorial stroke location; 3) study completion within first six weeks post-stroke; 4) currently admitted to inpatient rehabilitation and enrolled throughout the entirety of the study (at least one week from baseline assessment); 5) score of less than or equal to 1 on question 1b (i.e., asking what month it is and the age of the participant) and 0 on question 1c (i.e., asking participant to open/close eyes or grip/release the therapist's hand) of NIH Stroke Scale for cognitive function[37]; 6) baseline visible muscle contraction of ankle plantarflexors; 7) no history of other neurological condition or injury that would impact motor recovery; and 8) no concurrent participation in other lower limb research studies that could confound results. The institutional review board of Northwestern University approved the protocol of this study (STU00214290), which followed the standards of the declaration of Helsinki. Participants (or a power of attorney) provided their informed written consent before

baseline assessment. Consent and baseline assessment were completed in the first session for all participants. The second session replicated the baseline assessment one week later. All participants received standard physical therapy throughout the study, as no additional intervention was provided.

Table 1: Participant Demographics

Patient	Sex	Stroke Type	Stroke Location	Hemi Side	Days to Session 1	Baseline BBS (out of 56)
1	Male	Ischemic	MCA	Left	24	48
2	Female	Ischemic	MCA	Right	18	28
3	Male	Ischemic	Thalamus	Right	11	34
4	Female	Hemorrhagic	BG	Left	15	29
5	Male	Hemorrhagic	FP	Left	15	5
6	Male	Ischemic	PCA	Right	10	30
7	Female	Ischemic	MCA	Right	26	37
8	Male	Ischemic + Hemorrhagic	MCA	Right	35	24
9	Female	Hemorrhagic	PLIC	Right	10	19
10	Female	Hemorrhagic	BG	Left	27	20
11	Male	Ischemic	FP	Right	12	34
12	Male	Ischemic + Hemorrhagic	MCA	Right	26	43
13	Female	Ischemic	MCA	Right	30	38
14	Female	Ischemic	PLIC	Left	13	9
Avg ± Std	**M/F 7/7**			**R/L 9/5**	**19.00 ±8**	**28.00 ±12**

Abbreviations: Middle Cerebral Artery (MCA), Posterior Cerebral Artery (PCA), Posterior Limb of Internal Capsule (PLIC), Basal Ganglia (BG), Fronto-parietal (FP)

Protocol

Participants stood on a split-belt treadmill (Woodway, USA) instrumented with force plates while standing shoulder-width apart with both feet aligned anteriorly and eyes open or eyes closed. Each trial lasted 30 seconds. All participants wore a harness for safety that did not provide support to the participant during standing, and a physical therapist provided assistance as necessary. The level of assistance was recorded for each task by an experienced physical therapist on the following scale and used as a random effect in statistical models: independent (0), supervision (1), touch assistance/supervision (2), touch assistance (3), partial assistance (4), and substantial assistance (5). Ground reaction forces were recorded from the instrumented treadmill at a sampling rate of 1500 Hz using a 16-bit A-D converter. Force and EMG data were synchronized using an external trigger (Micro1401, CED, UK) sent to both acquisition systems (EMG-Quattrocento; 400-channel EMG amplifier, OT Bioelettronica, Italy).

The BBS is recorded weekly as a part of standard clinical care, and results from the clinical assessments were obtained for this study.

Electromyographic Recording

Surface EMG signals were recorded from the soleus muscle of both limbs using two-dimensional adhesive grids of 64 electrodes (GR08MM1305, 13x5 gold-coated electrodes with one electrode absent on a corner; interelectrode distance: 8mm, OT Bioelettronica, Italy). The grids were positioned lateral to the Achilles tendon in the muscle shortening direction. The skin was shaved and cleaned with an abrasive pad and an alcohol wipe prior to placing electrodes. A disposable adhesive foam layer held the grid of electrodes on the skin, and its cavities were filled with conductive paste to improve the skin-electrode contact. Reference electrodes (Ag/AgCl snap electrodes, Noraxon, USA) were placed over the patella, and a wetted strap ground electrode was placed around the ankle. The EMG acquisition system recorded signals in a monopolar montage at a sampling rate of 2048 Hz.

Data Analysis

Center of Pressure

The COP was computed along the medio-lateral and anterior-posterior axes from the split-belt treadmill and low pass filtered with a third order Butterworth filter at 5Hz. Root mean square (RMS) COP and COP speed were computed for the anterior-posterior and medio-lateral directions as measures of balance variability and total sway.

Bipolar EMG

EMG signals from each channel were visually inspected offline. Channels with significant artifacts were manually excluded from further analyses. Bipolar EMG signals were computed by taking the differential of every pair of artifact-free channels along the five columns and then performing a bandpass filter (second order Butterworth filter, 20-500 Hz cutoff frequency) and signal rectification. The mean of all rectified and filtered bipolar signals across the grid was computed and used for the subsequent analyses.

EMG decomposition

Bandpass filtered monopolar EMG signals were decomposed into motor unit pulse trains using a blind source separation algorithm.[11] Decomposition was run using the peel-off option, and a silhouette value of 0.85 was used as a threshold to keep the valid motor units pulse trains. Following the automatic detection of the discharge times, all motor unit pulse trains were

visually inspected and manually edited for false positives and negatives when necessary.[38-40] Motor units were considered as duplicates when they shared at least 30% of their discharge times with a tolerance of one data point (i.e., 0.5ms).[41] After automatic decomposition, motor unit spike trains were manually edited for false positives and false negatives.[38,39] Motor unit activity and EMG signals were then downsampled to 1500 Hz to maintain temporal alignment with force data. Muscles with less than three identified motor units were excluded from further motor unit analyses.

Peristimulus waveforms and directional tuning

Previous work has demonstrated that reversals in anteroposterior COP movement are accompanied by phasic changes in soleus muscle activation.[42,43] Therefore, by trigger-averaging motor unit and EMG activation in relation to prominent COP peaks, it is possible to investigate the coordination of phasic neural drive to bilateral motor unit pools in individuals post-stroke compared to neurologically intact individuals.

Anterior-posterior and medio-lateral COP time-series were extracted and used to segment the motor unit discharge times and EMG time-series data. In neurologically intact individuals, the standard deviation of the high-pass filtered (second order, 0.1 Hz cut-off dual-pass Butterworth) COPs was used to set an individualized threshold for identifying prominent peaks. However, as individuals post-stroke often display large balance adjustments that may skew this threshold, standard deviation was calculated across segmented high-pass filtered COP data (*window size* = 5s, *step size* = 1.25s, total of 24 segments/30s trial), and the lower 25th percentile of these measures of variability was used to set an optimal peak detection threshold that would be unaffected by the presence of aberrant fluctuations.

Windows of 3s centered over the events were used to segment motor unit discharge times and EMG activity to analyze motor unit and muscle activations relative to COP peaks. Motor unit peristimulus time histograms (PSTH) were computed relative to COP peaks in the anterior (0°), posterior (180°), medial (270°), and lateral (90°) directions. The PSTH was computed over 5ms bins with all motor unit firings from a muscle pooled together and normalized by the number of peaks in each direction. Only motor units that were discharging repetitively during the pre-stimulus period were included in this analysis (>3 spikes without any interspike intervals>400ms). PSTH was baseline corrected for each direction by subtracting the mean values between -1500ms and -1000ms. Similarly, EMG signals were centered around COP peaks in the anterior, posterior, medial, and lateral directions, and also baseline-corrected for

each direction by subtracting the mean values between -1500ms to -1000ms. To quantify the PSTH and EMG activation amplitude to COP peaks in the four directions, the area under the curve from -400ms to 0ms was computed relative to the time of the COP peak.

This analysis was then extended to investigate how each motor unit pool tunes its activity with stabilizing torques oriented throughout the entire two-dimensional transverse plane. Using procedures described by Zaback and Thompson,[19,44] the two-dimensional COP data were iteratively rotated in 5° increments, generating a total of 72 unique signals representing the unidimensional movement of the COP across the transverse plane. The same peak detection algorithm described above was applied to each signal, and these event time stamps were used to generate 72 baseline-corrected PSTH and waveform-averaged EMG signals representing motor unit and muscle activation time-locked to stabilizing adjustment in different directions. The area under the curve of the PSTH and EMG was estimated between -400ms and 0ms relative to the peak for each direction, and directional tuning curves were generated from the area values. The circular mean[45] of each tuning curve, which represents the direction of COP movement generally associated with the greatest muscle activation. Additionally, the absolute difference in circular means between limbs was computed to assess the similarity of directional tuning between limbs.

Contralateral-triggered motor unit and EMG activation

To assess coordinated activation between soleus muscles, phasic bursts of activity were identified from the cumulative spike train and EMG time series separately for each muscle. The timing and amplitude of activity observed in each muscle were then examined relative to the phasic bursts of the contralateral muscle.

The cumulative spike train of each soleus was computed by convolving the summed spike trains of all motor units within each leg with a 400ms Hanning window, and peaks in activity were identified using the same peak detection algorithm previously described to identify prominent peaks in COP time-series data. The 40th percentile of the standard deviation was used as a threshold instead of the 25th, utilizing a more selective criteria for the inherently greater variability of motor unit discharge and noisier EMG signals that has been reported in individuals post-stroke.[46] PSTH of each limb were constructed in a 3s window relative to the peaks in the smoothed cumulative spike train of each limb and baseline corrected. Similarly, rectified bipolar EMG signals were low-pass filtered (second order, 2 Hz cutoff frequency, Butterworth), and the same approach was used to identify EMG time-time series peaks and

generate baseline corrected waveform averages in a 3s window relative to peaks in the smoothed EMG. As previously done, PSTH and EMG amplitude was estimated as the area under the curve in a window of -200ms to +200ms relative to the event.

We used bootstrapping to investigate whether a significant PSTH or EMG activation was observed when trigger-averaged to phasic bursts of the contralateral muscle. Thus, the time intervals between peaks of activity estimated from cumulative spike trains and EMG signals were shuffled and used to compute random activation amplitude of PSTH and EMG. This was repeated for 100 iterations, and the 95th percentile of the distribution of random amplitudes was used as a significance threshold. If the experimental value was greater than this threshold, the activation was considered as significant. The amplitude difference between contralateral and ipsilateral activation amplitude ($Amplitude_{contra} - Amplitude_{ipsi}$) was computed, with a value of zero representing amplitude of contralateral-triggered activation equal to ipsilateral-triggered activation. A value less than zero represents a reduced amplitude of contralateral-triggered activation compared to ipsilateral triggered activation.

Bilateral cross correlation

To further assess bilateral coordination of motor unit and EMG activations, cross correlations were computed on PSTH and EMG waveform averages time-locked to anterior COP peaks (0°). All signals were baseline corrected and smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 50ms. The maximum absolute correlation coefficient and the absolute lag at the time of the maximum absolute correlation coefficient were computed for each trial with no maximum lag enforced. To determine if there was significant coordination between limbs, the cross-correlation coefficient was compared to a bootstrapped significance threshold that was computed by shuffling the discharge times and EMG time-series and calculating the cross-correlation coefficient. The 95th percentile of the bootstrapped cross correlation coefficients over 100 iterations was set as the significance threshold.



Figure 1: Experimental Approach. A) Center of pressure (COP), bipolar EMG signal, and decomposed motor unit (MU) firings were extracted during a 30s trial where participants stood on two force plates during quiet standing (as shown in C). Data were synchronized, peaks in the COP were identified, and for each COP peak, a 3s window of EMG and MU activity was extracted around the peak, as shown with the blue transparent rectangle. B) EMG and MU peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) waveform averages time-locked to COP peaks were computed. C) The time-locked waveform averages were computed during COP peaks in the anterior, posterior, medial, and lateral directions within the transverse plane of axial rotation, as well as in 5° increments (72 directions in total) around the participant. D) Directional tuning curves were constructed across the 72 directions and the circular mean computed to determine the directional tuning of each muscle. The dashed line represents the 50th percentile of the area under the curve.

Statistics

For all analyses but the directional-tuning analysis, we used a linear mixed effects model in SPSS 26.0.0.1. Normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test, as well as by examining skewness, kurtosis, and histograms. For non-normal distributions, square root transformations were performed.[47,48] If the transformed data remained non-normal, a generalized linear mixed effects model was used. We compared the BBS of individuals post-

stroke only across visits using a linear mixed effects model with Visit (1, 2) as a fixed effect and Participant as a random effect. We compared the RMS COP (independently for different directions), COP speed (independently for different directions), absolute value of difference in circular mean angle between limbs, and cross correlation coefficient and lag using a linear mixed effects model with Group (control, patient), Visit and Task (eyes open, eyes closed) as fixed effects and Participant and Assist level (scored 0-5) as random effects to account for the degree of independence in the tasks. We compared the amplitude of PSTH and EMG independently for each direction, as was done with the COP metrics, with Limb (control right, control left, affected, unaffected), Visit, and Task as fixed effects and Participant and Assist level as random effects.

Circular statistical analyses were performed on the circular mean angle of PSTH and EMG using the circular statistics MATLAB toolbox.[45] The Harrison-Kanji test, i.e., the equivalent of a two-way ANOVA for circular data, was used to identify significant effects of Limb, Visit, and Task. All combinations of two-way interaction were evaluated separately, as no three-way circular ANOVA was available. Where significant interactions were identified, the Watson Williams test, i.e., the equivalent of a one-way ANOVA for circular data, was used to investigate differences within each factor with significance level of 0.05 with a Bonferroni adjustment (i.e., α/k, where k is the number of contrasts).

We compared the difference in amplitude of contralateral- and ipsilateral-triggered activation with a linear mixed effects model with Limb (control left-right, control right-left, unaffected-affected, affected-unaffected), Visit and Task as fixed effects and Participant and Assist level as random effects. Significance level was set at 0.05. Bonferroni corrections were used in post hoc tests for pairwise comparisons. Outliers exceeding three standard deviations from the mean were excluded.

To determine the relationship between these neuromuscular outcomes and functional balance in a stroke population, forward multiple regression analysis was conducted to identify which combinations of predictors (all metrics of amplitude, mean angle, cross correlation, and contralateral triggering pooled across tasks) most correlated with the BBS. Inclusion was based on the f statistic, requiring $P<0.05$, and pairwise deletion was used to account for missing data. Data were pooled across tasks as task effects were minimal.

Results

Balance and center of pressure

Individuals post-stroke improved their BBS score from visit 1 (28.43±12.19) to visit 2 (38.57±8.71, $P<0.001$; Fig. 2A). Twelve out of fourteen participants improved greater than the minimal clinically important difference of five points.[49]

RMS COP in the anterior-posterior direction was greater in the stroke group (13.48±6.44mm) compared to controls (5.53±2.60mm, $P<0.001$) and lower at visit 2 (7.14±4.27mm) compared to visit 1 (10.27±8.53mm, $P=0.003$). Similarly, average COP speed in this direction was greater in the stroke group (24.81±12.57mm/s) than controls (13.48±6.44mm/s, $P<0.001$) and was lower at visit 2 (17.23±10.21mm/s) compared to visit 1 (20.50±12.21mm/s, $P=0.009$).

RMS COP in the medio-lateral direction was greater in the stroke group (14.68±11.77mm) than controls (2.74±1.92mm, $P<0.001$), and greater with eyes open (9.37±10.79mm) than eyes closed (7.40±9.43mm, $P=0.009$). Average COP speed in the medio-lateral direction was greater in the stroke group (23.64±18.33mm/s) than controls (5.77±3.57mm/s, $P<0.001$), with greater speeds occurring at visit 1 (V1=16.61±18.46mm/s, V2=11.90±12.01mm/s, $P=0.004$). Overall, individuals post-stroke had greater COP variability and sway than controls (Fig. 2B), aligning with findings of the BBS (Fig. 2A).



Figure 2: Balance and Center of Pressure (COP). A) Berg Balance Scale (BBS) score out of 56. B) Anterior-Posterior (AP) and Medio-Lateral (ML) Root Mean Square COP and COP Speed are displayed. * $P<0.05$.

High-density EMG decomposition

In neurologically intact controls, 13.7±8.9 and 14.7±9.3 motor units from the right soleus and 13.1±8.9 and 12.4±7.0 motor units from the left soleus were identified during eyes closed and eyes open tasks, respectively. In individuals post-stroke, we identified 9.1±8.7 and 9.1±7.5 motor units from the affected soleus and 8.3±7.3 and 7.1±6.4 from the unaffected soleus during eyes closed and eyes open tasks, respectively. In controls, we were unable to extract sufficient motor units in 29 out of 128 muscles across participants, visits, limbs, and tasks, with only one participant excluded from motor unit analyses because no motor units were identified for any muscle. For individuals post-stroke, we were unable to extract motor units in 41 out of 112 muscles, with three participants completely excluded from motor unit analyses.

Activation amplitude during COP peaks

The amplitude of PSTH and EMG during COP peaks was computed in the anterior, posterior, medial, and lateral directions (Fig. 3). For PSTH in the lateral direction, the amplitude in the affected limb was greater than in the left limb of controls (P=0.016), with no other differences between limbs (all P>0.287). There were neither effects nor interactions in other directions (P>0.061).

For EMG in the medial direction, the amplitude in the affected limb was less than in the left limb of controls (P=0.024), with no other differences between limbs (P>0.057). There were neither effects nor interactions in other direction (P>0.086). Collectively, these results indicate that the SOL of the affected limb modulates its activity with COP movement along the medio-lateral axis.



Figure 3: Activation Amplitude. A) Sample peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) amplitude from one control and one stroke participant during lateral center of pressure (COP) peaks. Area under the curve between -0.4s to 0s relative to the peak. B) Comparison of PSTH and waveform-averaged EMG during COP peaks in anterior, posterior, medial and lateral directions. * P<0.05. Aff = Affected. Unaff = Unaffected.

Directional tuning

To examine directional tuning of the SOL, motor unit pool specific tuning curves were constructed by examining motor unit discharge and EMG time-locked to COP peaks spanning 360° of the transverse plane (Fig. 4A). There was an effect of limb for tuning curve circular mean angles derived from PSTHs (P=0.006) and waveform-averaged EMG (P=0.029), but no effects of visit, task, nor interactions (all P>0.201). A Bonferroni correction was used to set the significance threshold at 0.0083 (i.e., 0.05/6) for post-hoc comparisons with Watson-Williams tests.

In neurologically intact individuals, control limbs were tuned anteriorly for PSTH and EMG, in accordance with our previous work,[19,44] as were the unaffected limbs of individuals post-stroke. The affected limb, however, was tuned laterally and anteriorly (Fig. 4A). More specifically, for PSTH, the mean angle of the affected limb (36.56±41.48°) was more lateral than unaffected (-4.17±45.39°, P=0.003) and control right (0.79±40.62°, P=0.004) but not control left (6.54±37.56°, P=0.012). For EMG, the mean angle of the affected limb (33.24±53.41°) was significantly more lateral than control right (2.27±39.90°, P=0.005) and left limbs (0.93±47.75°, P=0.008), but not the unaffected limb (8.67±59.53°, P=0.093). Collectively, these results indicate that the affected limb was tuned laterally and anteriorly while unaffected and control limbs were tuned directly anteriorly, again suggesting the greatest activation of the affected limb occurred during lateral shifts along the medio-lateral axis.

Additionally, there was an effect of group on the absolute difference of mean angles between limbs for EMG (P=0.001), while there was no effect of visit, task, nor interactions (P>0.152, Fig. 4B). The stroke group (79.09±54.24°) had a greater difference between limbs than controls (34.51±39.42°). This suggests that control limbs were tuned more symmetrically than individuals post-stroke for EMG. No difference between groups was found for PSTH (Controls=33.35±37.80°, Patients=57.39±45.85°, P=0.094), and no effect of visit, task, nor interactions were detected (P>0.200).



Figure 4: Directional Tuning. A) The first row displays peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) directional tuning curves from a sample control and stroke participant. Additionally, PSTH and EMG mean angle plots are displayed for each participant for each visit and task, and the

mean per limb is displayed. To compare rotational responses across limbs, rotations were reflected such that 90° always represents lateral tuning and 270° always represents medial tuning. B) The absolute difference between mean angles of the two legs was compared. * $P<0.05$.

Contralateral-triggered activation

For synchronization of the peristimulus activations between limbs, we computed PSTH and peristimulus EMG for each soleus using phasic bursts from the contralateral soleus as triggers (Fig. 5AB). Significance was assessed via bootstrapped thresholds generated from shuffled peak times. We then calculated the difference between contralateral- and ipsilateral-triggered activation amplitudes: zero indicates equal activation, and negative values indicate reduced activation to contralateral peaks (Fig. 5C).

For PSTH, the proportion of significant contralateral-triggered amplitudes was 0.68 for control left to right, 0.66 for control right to left, 0.60 for unaffected to affected, and 0.72 for affected to unaffected. For the difference between contralateral- and ipsilateral-triggered amplitudes, there was an effect of visit ($P=0.011$) and limb ($P=0.004$), but no effect of task nor interactions ($P>0.199$). The difference at visit 1 (-0.06±0.36 pulses) was less than at visit 2 (0.06±0.23 pulses). Unaffected to affected (-0.19±0.36 pulses) was less than control left to right (0.03±0.28 pulses, $P=0.004$) and control right to left (0.08±0.27 pulses, $P=0.002$), but not affected to unaffected (0.00±0.28 pulses, $P=0.104$), nor other comparisons ($P>0.760$). Importantly, activation amplitude of the affected limb during phasic bursts of the unaffected limb was substantially reduced, indicating that the affected limb failed to coordinate reliably with the unaffected limb.

For EMG, there was no effect of visit, task, limb, nor interaction on the difference in amplitudes ($P>0.408$). The proportion of significant contralateral-triggered amplitudes was 0.37 for control left to right, 0.35 for control right to left, 0.23 for unaffected to affected, and 0.17 for affected to unaffected.



Figure 5: Contralateral-Triggered Activation. A) Sample control participant ipsilateral- and contralateral-triggered peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) waveforms. The smoothed cumulative spike train (CST) is displayed with the peaks shown with circles. B) Sample stroke participant. C) Significance of the activation amplitude of each limb to activation in the contralateral limb, quantified by comparing to the 95th percentile of bootstrapped,

randomized activation amplitudes for PSTH and EMG. D) Difference between the amplitude of the contralateral (contra) and ipsilateral (ipsi) triggered activation amplitudes. * $P<0.05$. R = Right. L = Left. Aff = Affected. Unaff = Unaffected.

Cross correlation of motor unit and EMG waveform averages

Correlation coefficients and corresponding absolute lag of PSTH (Fig. 6A) and EMG signals between limbs were computed, and all coefficients were deemed significant compared to the 95th percentile of bootstrapped randomly shuffled signals.

For PSTH, there was no effects of group, visit, task, nor interactions on maximum absolute coefficient ($P>0.763$). For absolute lag, a group*visit interaction ($P=0.037$, Fig. 6B) revealed that absolute lag was greater for the stroke group at visit 1 (0.03±0.04s) compared to visit 2 (0.01±0.01s, $P=0.009$) and was greater for the stroke group at visit 1 compared to controls at visit 1 (0.01±0.01s, $P=0.008$). However, absolute lag was no longer different between groups at visit 2 ($P=0.491$), while absolute lag did not differ across visits for controls ($P=0.912$).

For EMG, there was an effect of group ($P=0.005$, Fig. 6B), but no effects of visit, task, nor interactions on maximum absolute coefficient ($P>0.17$). Controls demonstrated greater correlation coefficients (0.79±0.19) compared to the stroke group (0.68±0.17). For absolute lag, controls demonstrated reduced absolute lag (0.05±0.08s) compared to the stroke group (0.17±0.22s, $P=0.001$; Fig. 6B).

Collectively, these results indicate greater lag, and therefore reduced coordination between limbs after a stroke. Importantly, when assessing PSTH, the stroke group exhibited an improvement such that the coordination improved from visit 1 to visit 2 to no comparable levels to controls.



Figure 6: Cross Correlation. A) Bilateral smoothed peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) time-locked to anterior center of pressure (COP) peaks for a sample control a sample individual post-stroke from visit 1 and visit 2. The graphical display of time lag is depicted. B) Comparison of the maximum correlation coefficient and absolute time lag of smoothed PSTH and EMG between limbs. * P<0.05.

Forward regression with clinical outcomes

To determine the relationship between these neuromuscular outcomes and functional balance in a stroke population, a forward regression model was performed. Data was pooled across tasks as task effects were minimal. Forward regression revealed that for individuals post-stroke the BBS was negatively correlated with absolute lag of the PSTH (R^2=0.582, P<0.001, standardized beta=-0.763, Fig. 7). It is important to note that removal of the data point at 0.15 still maintains a significant correlation (R^2=0.513, P<0.001). This data point was not removed because it did not exceed three standard deviations from the mean.



Figure 7: Forward Regression of Berg Balance Scale with PSTH Correlation Absolute Lag. Forward regression identified peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) correlation absolute lag as the sole predictor of Berg Balance Scale (BBS). The linear fit is overlaid over the individual participant data points. Data was pooled across tasks as task effects were absent. The data point shown in red was not removed because it did not exceed three standard deviations from the mean. However, if the data point is removed, the regression still has an R^2=0.513 with P<0.001.

Discussion

In this study, we quantified the bilateral coordination of soleus motor units during standing balance tasks of individuals in the early subacute phase post-stroke admitted to inpatient rehabilitation. While clinical assessments of balance improved between visits, individuals post-stroke continued to exhibit greater COP amplitudes and speeds than controls. Furthermore, while control limbs activated the soleus in coordination, both spatially along the anterior-posterior axis and temporally in unison, individuals post-stroke demonstrated differences in directional tuning and synchronization. While the unaffected limb was also activated along the anterior-posterior axis, the affected limb exhibited preferential activation along the medio-lateral axis, and the two limbs in turn also lacked temporal coordination. The degree of synchronization was shown to be related to functional balance and improved with training, emphasizing its importance to effective balance recovery.

Altered directional tuning of the affected limb

The soleus plays an essential role in balance, as its tonic activity with phasic modulation during weight-bearing is critical for maintaining upright stance by counteracting the gravitational torque acting on the center of mass.[50,51] Previous work that has examined the directional tuning of the soleus, either through analysis of activation amplitude in response to multi-directional perturbations or natural COP oscillations during unperturbed standing, has shown that the soleus primarily modulates activity to movement of the COP or center of mass along the sagittal plane.[19,42,52-54] Cohen et al.[18,55] further demonstrated that in neurologically intact individuals, some motor units in the soleus and gastrocnemius medialis respond broadly across directions, while others show direction-specific tuning. The results of this study corroborated previous findings, with motor unit activity and EMG of neurologically intact individuals directionally tuned to anterior COP peaks. During dual-legged standing, anterior-posterior sway is controlled through modulation of ankle plantarflexor and dorsiflexor torques, while medio-lateral sway in neurologically intact individuals is generally controlled by loading and unloading of the hip rather than by inverting and everting the ankle joints.[52,56,57] This explains the anteriorly oriented tuning of the soleus in controls. While the unaffected limb exhibited preserved directional control with anteriorly tuned activation, the affected limb displayed an abnormal directional tuning, exhibiting modulation of activation along the medio-lateral axis (Fig. 3) and greatest activation during anterior and lateral COP movement (~40° from anterior, Fig. 4).

The abnormal modulation of affected limb soleus activity along the medio-lateral axis may be due to several mechanisms. First, individuals post-stroke exhibit impaired lateral weight transfer, which can be due to weakness and reduced rate of activation of hip adductors/abductors during postural sway, resulting in poorer performance during balance.[58] It is possible that the weakened affected hip musculature produced insufficient stabilizing torques, causing the affected soleus to provide compensatory stabilizing torques along the medio-lateral axis. Second, previous work has also demonstrated slower generation of torque necessary to recover from perturbations when directed toward the affected limb compared to perturbations directed toward the unaffected limb or anteriorly,[59] indicating a weakened response on the affected limb. The nervous system, therefore, may prioritize activation of the affected limb only for the most destabilizing perturbations, such as lateral shifts, for which the unaffected limb cannot fully compensate – possibly as a result of compressed rate coding, impaired recruitment, or motor unit fatigue.[22-32] Third, the lateral tuning could result from a

pre-emptive strategy to cognitively avoid shifts toward the affected limb due to reduced balance confidence.[60,61] Finally, individuals post-stroke exhibit impaired proprioception, sensory processing, and spinal reflexes critical to balance control and rehabilitation after stroke,[68-72] which may result in abnormal directional tuning of the affected limb. While individuals with chronic stroke exhibit increased stiffness of the gastrocnemius and soleus that could modify directional tuning and afferent feedback,[62-65] these tissue mechanical changes may not have had enough time to develop in the acute sample recruited for this study.[66,67]

Reduced bilateral synchronization post-stroke

In addition to impaired spatial coordination of motor units and EMG, disrupted bilateral temporal coordination may also help to explain the asymmetrical control of the soleus and postural instability post-stroke. Previous work has shown that anterior sway induces greater common drive between bilateral soleus muscles compared to backward sway in neurologically intact individuals,[73] while greater instability is associated with increased lag and reduced correlation between bilateral soleus muscles.[74] In this study, cross correlation analysis revealed greater lag between limbs during anterior COP peaks in individuals post-stroke compared to controls. Interestingly, PSTH absolute lag decreased from visit 1 to visit 2, marking the only observed longitudinal improvement in neural or balance metrics. This suggests that improved bilateral synchronization may be a critical component of balance recovery. Additionally, when evaluating activation amplitude during phasic peaks in the contralateral limb, there was a relative reduction in amplitude for the affected limb compared to controls, further indicating impaired bilateral coordination.

These findings suggest potential mechanisms for impaired bilateral coordination. First, processing and transmission of proprioceptive information between limbs may be disrupted, resulting in the increased correlation lag between limbs and reduced amplitude during contralateral phasic activation. In neurologically intact individuals, when a vibratory stimulus is applied to one leg, the level of common drive between bilateral soleus muscles is reduced, suggesting that proprioceptive feedback not only plays a role in unilateral soleus modulation but also bilateral coordination.[73] Similarly, in the same study when triggering based on contralateral voluntary activation, a reduction in contralateral amplitude was observed. When triggering using contralateral non-noxious electrical nerve stimulation for individuals post-stroke, a reduction in contralateral amplitude was observed when triggering on the affected leg due to disrupted sensory processing post-stroke.[7] This further supports the hypothesis that

following stroke, processing of sensory information used for bilateral motor coordination is impaired, potentially impairing the ability of individuals to generate synchronous stabilizing torques.

Bulbospinal pathways, such as vestibulospinal and reticulospinal tracts, are important for modulation of soleus motor unit activity in neurologically intact individuals,[75] making their function critical for balance. However, a stroke may alter the bilateral gains and descending commands of these pathways, which can have debilitating effects on motor control and coordination.[76-78] Previous research showed that activation of brainstem pathways following stroke via galvanic vestibular stimulation led to hyperactive force responses on the unaffected limb,[76] suggesting asymmetrical brainstem activation. Interestingly, Welch and Ting[79] demonstrated that modification of balance may be mediated by a combination of central and peripheral systems, where central structures may modulate feedback loops based on task demands. Therefore, impairments in descending commands and proprioception after stroke may have contributed to the abnormal balance observed in this study. Future work is necessary to provide a more complete understanding of the neural circuits underlying impaired balance post-stroke and how they interact at the different levels.

Motor unit coordination predictor of balance function

In addition to characterizing deficits in neural coordination during the early subacute phase post-stroke, we aimed to evaluate the relationship between these neural measures and functional impairment, as assessed by licensed physical therapists using the widely used balance assessment, the BBS. A forward regression model including all motor unit and EMG metrics identified in this study retained only the cross-correlation absolute lag of the PSTH as a significant predictor, which notably was also the only metric to show longitudinal improvement (Fig. 7). The PSTH absolute lag demonstrated a strong negative relationship with BBS score (R^2=0.582), suggesting that individuals exhibiting more synchronous motor unit recruitment across limbs tend to have better balance. The synchronous behavior may be facilitated by preserved neural pathways in the higher functioning individuals. Recent studies have also investigated altered behavior of motor unit and how the altered behavior correlated with functional impairments (e.g., Fugl-Meyer, fatigue, walking speed).[25,30,31,80-82] However, only Li et al.[81] evaluated longitudinal relationships between motor unit number estimates/ F-waves and upper extremity Fugl-Meyer score, highlighting a critical next step in elucidating the neural mechanisms underlying the impaired motor control observed in this study. Future

work should also combine recording modalities to evaluate changes in motor function at multiple levels (e.g., peripheral, spinal, brainstem, cortical) to more effectively map the altered neural networks responsible for post-stroke impaired balance.

Data availability

Data can be made available upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

Funding

This research was funded by Northwestern University and Shirley Ryan AbilityLab.

Competing interests

The authors report no competing interests.

References

1. Campbell BCV, Khatri P. Stroke. *The lancet*. 2020;396(10244):129-142. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31179-X

2. Khan F, Chevidikunnan MF. Prevalence of Balance Impairment and Factors Associated with Balance among Patients with Stroke. A Cross Sectional Retrospective Case Control Study. *Healthcare*. 2021-03-13 2021;9(3):320. doi:10.3390/healthcare9030320

3. Garland S, Willems DA, Ivanova TD, Miller KJ. Recovery of standing balance and functional mobility after stroke11No commercial party having a direct financial interest in the results of the research supporting this article has or will confer a benefit upon the author(s) or upon any organization with which the author(s) is/are associated. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2003;84(12):1753-1759. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2003.03.002

4. Garland SJ, Ivanova TD, Mochizuki G. Recovery of Standing Balance and Health-Related Quality of Life After Mild or Moderately Severe Stroke. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2007;88(2):218-227. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2006.11.023

5. Mizrahi J, Solzi P, Ring H, Nisell R. Postural stability in stroke patients: Vectorial expression of asymmetry, sway activity and relative sequence of reactive forces. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*. 1989-03-01 1989;27(2):181-190. doi:10.1007/bf02446228

6. Dickstein R, Abulaffio N. Postural sway of the affected and nonaffected pelvis and leg in stance of hemiparetic patients. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2000-03-01 2000;81(3):364-367. doi:10.1016/s0003-9993(00)90085-6

7. Dietz V, Schrafl-Altermatt M. Control of functional movements in healthy and post-stroke subjects: Role of neural interlimb coupling. *Clinical neurophysiology : official journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2016;127(5):2286-2293. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2016.02.014

8. Arya KN, Pandian S. Interlimb neural coupling: Implications for poststroke hemiparesis. *Annals of physical and rehabilitation medicine*. 2014;57(9-10):696-713. doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2014.06.003

9. Yamanaka E, Goto R, Kawakami M, Tateishi T, Kondo K, Nojima I. Intermuscular Coherence during Quiet Standing in Sub-Acute Patients after Stroke: An Exploratory Study. *Brain Sciences*. 2023-11-26 2023;13(12):1640. doi:10.3390/brainsci13121640

10. Castronovo AM, Negro F, Conforto S, Farina D. The proportion of common synaptic input to motor neurons increases with an increase in net excitatory input. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. Dec 01 2015;119(11):1337-46. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00255.2015

11. Negro F, Muceli S, Castronovo AM, Holobar A, Farina D. Multi-channel intramuscular and surface EMG decomposition by convolutive blind source separation. *J Neural Eng*. Apr 2016;13(2):026027. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/13/2/026027

12. Thompson CK, Negro F, Johnson MD, et al. Robust and accurate decoding of motoneuron behaviour and prediction of the resulting force output. *The Journal of Physiology*. 2018-07-01 2018;596(14):2643-2659. doi:10.1113/jp276153

13. Hug F, Del Vecchio A, Avrillon S, Farina D, Tucker K. Muscles from the same muscle group do not necessarily share common drive: evidence from the human triceps surae. *Journal of applied physiology*. 2021;130(2):342-354. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00635.2020

14. Pollock CL, Ivanova TD, Hunt MA, Garland SJ. Motor unit recruitment and firing rate in medial gastrocnemius muscles during external perturbations in standing in humans. *Journal of neurophysiology /*. 2014;112(7):1678-1684. doi:10.1152/jn.00063.2014

15. Cohen JW, Vieira TM, Ivanova TD, Garland SJ. Regional recruitment and differential behavior of motor units during postural control in older adults. *Journal of neurophysiology /*. 2023;130(5):1321-1333. doi:10.1152/jn.00068.2023

16. Héroux ME, Dakin CJ, Luu BL, Inglis JT, Blouin J-S. Absence of lateral gastrocnemius activity and differential motor unit behavior in soleus and medial gastrocnemius during standing balance. *Journal of applied physiology*. 2014;116(2):140-148. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00906.2013

17. Vieira TMM, Loram ID, Muceli S, Merletti R, Farina D. Recruitment of motor units in the medial gastrocnemius muscle during human quiet standing: is recruitment intermittent? What triggers recruitment? *Journal of neurophysiology /*. 2012;107(2):666-676. doi:10.1152/jn.00659.2011

18. Cohen JW, Vieira TM, Ivanova TD, Garland SJ. Differential behavior of distinct motoneuron pools that innervate the triceps surae. *Journal of neurophysiology /*. 2023;129(1):272-284. doi:10.1152/jn.00336.2022

19. Zaback M, Thompson CK. Independent directional tuning of the human triceps surae muscles during standing postural control. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2025.

20. Gibbs J, Harrison LM, Stephens JA. Organization of inputs to motoneurone pools in man. *The journal of physiology*. 1995;485(1):245-256. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1995.sp020727

21. Boonstra TW, Daffertshofer A, Roerdink M, Flipse I, Groenewoud K, Beek PJ. Bilateral motor unit synchronization of leg muscles during a simple dynamic balance task. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009-02-01 2009;29(3):613-622. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06584.x

22. Chou L-W, Palmer JA, Binder-Macleod S, Knight CA. Motor unit rate coding is severely impaired during forceful and fast muscular contractions in individuals post stroke. *Journal of neurophysiology /*. 2013;109(12):2947-2954. doi:10.1152/jn.00615.2012

23. Mottram CJ, Heckman CJ, Powers RK, Rymer WZ, Suresh NL. Disturbances of motor unit rate modulation are prevalent in muscles of spastic-paretic stroke survivors. *J Neurophysiol*. May 2014;111(10):2017-28. doi:10.1152/jn.00389.2013

24. Hu X, Suresh AK, Rymer WZ, Suresh NL. Assessing altered motor unit recruitment patterns in paretic muscles of stroke survivors using surface electromyography. *J Neural Eng*. Dec 2015;12(6):066001. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/12/6/066001

25. Hu X, Suresh AK, Rymer WZ, Suresh NL. Altered motor unit discharge patterns in paretic muscles of stroke survivors assessed using surface electromyography. *J Neural Eng*. Aug 2016;13(4):046025. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/13/4/046025

26. Kallenberg LAC, Hermens HJ. Motor unit properties of biceps brachii during dynamic contractions in chronic stroke patients. *Muscle & Nerve*. 2011-01-01 2011;43(1):112-119. doi:10.1002/mus.21803

27. Li X, Holobar A, Gazzoni M, Merletti R, Rymer WZ, Zhou P. Examination of Poststroke Alteration in Motor Unit Firing Behavior Using High-Density Surface EMG Decomposition. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. May 2015;62(5):1242-52. doi:10.1109/TBME.2014.2368514

28. McNulty PA, Lin G, Doust CG. Single motor unit firing rate after stroke is higher on the less-affected side during stable low-level voluntary contractions. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2014;8:518. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00518

29. Miller LC, Thompson CK, Negro F, Heckman CJ, Farina D, Dewald JP. High-density surface EMG decomposition allows for recording of motor unit discharge from proximal and distal flexion synergy muscles simultaneously in individuals with stroke. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2014;2014:5340-4. doi:10.1109/embc.2014.6944832

30. Negro F, Bathon KE, Nguyen JN, et al. Impaired Firing Behavior of Individually Tracked Paretic Motor Units During Fatiguing Contractions of the Dorsiflexors and Functional Implications Post Stroke. *Frontiers in neurology*. 2020;11:540893. doi:10.3389/fneur.2020.540893

31. Tacca N, Levine JT, Heimann MK, et al. Leveraging neural drive to assess hand motor function in individuals with chronic stroke. *medRxiv*. 2025;doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.30.25328498

32. Beauchamp JA, Hassan AS, Mcpherson LM, et al. Motor unit firing rate modulation is more impaired during flexion synergy-driven contractions of the biceps brachii in chronic stroke. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2023.

33. Garland SJ, Pollock CL, Ivanova TD. Could motor unit control strategies be partially preserved after stroke? *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2014;8:864. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00864

34. Lukács M. Electrophysiological signs of changes in motor units after ischaemic stroke. *Clinical neurophysiology : official journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2005;116(7):1566-1570. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2005.04.005

35. Li X, Wang Y-C, Suresh NL, Rymer WZ, Zhou P. Motor Unit Number Reductions in Paretic Muscles of Stroke Survivors. *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine*. 2011-07-01 2011;15(4):505-512. doi:10.1109/titb.2011.2140379

36. Karbasforoushan H, Cohen-Adad J, Dewald JPA. Brainstem and spinal cord MRI identifies altered sensorimotor pathways post-stroke. *Nature Communications*. 2019-08-06 2019;10(1)doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11244-3

37. Brott T, Adams HP, Olinger CP, et al. Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. *Stroke*. 1989-07-01 1989;20(7):864-870. doi:10.1161/01.str.20.7.864

38. Del Vecchio A, Holobar A, Falla D, Felici F, Enoka RM, Farina D. Tutorial: Analysis of motor unit discharge characteristics from high-density surface EMG signals. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*. 2020-08-01 2020;53:102426. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2020.102426

39. Hug F, Avrillon S, Del Vecchio A, et al. Analysis of motor unit spike trains estimated from high-density surface electromyography is highly reliable across operators. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*. 2021-06-01 2021;58:102548. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2021.102548

40. Avrillon S, Hug F, Baker SN, Gibbs C, Farina D. Tutorial on MUedit: An open-source software for identifying and analysing the discharge timing of motor units from electromyographic signals. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*. 2024-08-01 2024;77:102886. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2024.102886

41. Holobar A, Minetto MA, Farina D. Accurate identification of motor unit discharge patterns from high-density surface EMG and validation with a novel signal-based performance metric. *Journal of neural engineering*. 2014;11(1):016008. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/11/1/016008

42. Loram ID, Maganaris CN, Lakie M. Human postural sway results from frequent, ballistic bias impulses by soleus and gastrocnemius. *The journal of physiology*. 2005;564(1):295-311. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.076307

43. Zaback M, Missen KJ, Adkin AL, Chua R, Inglis JT, Carpenter MG. Cortical potentials time-locked to discrete postural events during quiet standing are facilitated during postural threat exposure. *The Journal of Physiology*. 2023-06-01 2023;601(12):2473-2492. doi:10.1113/jp284055

44. Zaback M, Thompson CK. *Flexible Motor Pool Specific Tuning Curves of Synergists Muscles During Human Standing Balance*. vol 31. Converging Clinical and Engineering Research on Neurorehabilitation V. Springer Nature Switzerland; 2025:661-664.

45. Berens P. : A MATLAB Toolbox for Circular Statistics. *Journal of statistical software*. 2009;31(10)doi:10.18637/jss.v031.i10

46. Thomas CK, Butler JE, Zijdewind I. Patterns of pathological firing in human motor units. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2002;508:237-44. doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-0713-0_29

47. Kim H-Y. Statistical notes for clinical researchers: assessing normal distribution (2) using skewness and kurtosis. *Restorative dentistry & endodontics*. 2013;38(1):52. doi:10.5395/rde.2013.38.1.52

48. West SG, Finch JF, Curran PJ. Structural equation models with nonnormal variables: Problems and remedies. In: Hyole RH, ed. *Structural Equation Modeling: Concepts, Issues, and Applications*. Sage Publication, Inc 1995:56-75.

49. Tamura S, Miyata K, Kobayashi S, Takeda R, Iwamoto H. The minimal clinically important difference in Berg Balance Scale scores among patients with early subacute stroke: a multicenter, retrospective, observational study. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. Sep 2022;29(6):423-429. doi:10.1080/10749357.2021.1943800

50. Di Giulio I, Maganaris CN, Baltzopoulos V, Loram ID. The proprioceptive and agonist roles of gastrocnemius, soleus and tibialis anterior muscles in maintaining human upright posture. *The journal of physiology*. 2009;587(10):2399-2416. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2009.168690

51. Loram ID, Maganaris CN, Lakie M. Paradoxical muscle movement in human standing. *The journal of physiology*. 2004;556(3):683-689. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.062398

52. Winter DA, Prince F, Stergiou P, Powell C. Medial-lateral and anterior-posterior motor responses associated with centre of pressure changes in quiet standing. *Neuroscience Research Communications*. 1993;12(3):141-148.

53. Winter DA, Patla AE, Ishac M, Gage WH. Motor mechanisms of balance during quiet standing. *Journal of electromyography and kinesiology : official journal of the International Society of Electrophysiological Kinesiology*. 2003;13(1):49-56. doi:10.1016/s1050-6411(02)00085-8

54. Ting LH. Dimensional reduction in sensorimotor systems: a framework for understanding muscle coordination of posture. *Progress in Brain Research*. Elsevier; 2007:299-321.

55. Cohen JW, Vieira T, Ivanova TD, Cerone GL, Garland SJ. Maintenance of standing posture during multi-directional leaning demands the recruitment of task-specific motor units in the ankle plantarflexors. *Experimental Brain Research*. 2021-08-01 2021;239(8):2569-2581. doi:10.1007/s00221-021-06154-0

56. DA W, F P, JS F, C P, KF Z. Unified theory regarding A/P and M/L balance in quiet stance *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 1996;75(6):2334-2343. doi:10.1152/jn.1996.75.6.2334

57. Day BL, Steiger MJ, Thompson PD, Marsden CD. Effect of vision and stance width on human body motion when standing: implications for afferent control of lateral sway. *J Physiol*. Sep 1993;469:479-99. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1993.sp019824

58. Lanza MB, Arbuco B, Ryan AS, Shipper AG, Gray VL, Addison O. Systematic Review of the Importance of Hip Muscle Strength, Activation, and Structure in Balance and Mobility Tasks. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Aug 2022;103(8):1651-1662. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2021.12.008

59. Palmer JA, Payne AM, Mirdamadi JL, Ting LH, Borich MR. Delayed Cortical Responses During Reactive Balance After Stroke Associated With Slower Kinetics and Clinical Balance Dysfunction. *Neurorehabilitation & neural repair*. 2025;39(1):16-30. doi:10.1177/15459683241282786

60. Schinkel-Ivy A, Inness EL, Mansfield A. Relationships between fear of falling, balance confidence, and control of balance, gait, and reactive stepping in individuals with sub-acute stroke. *Gait & Posture*. 2016-01-01 2016;43:154-159. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2015.09.015

61. Schinkel-Ivy A, Wong JS, Mansfield A. Balance Confidence Is Related to Features of Balance and Gait in Individuals with Chronic Stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2017-02-01 2017;26(2):237-245. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2016.07.022

62. Zhao H, Ren Y, Wu YN, Liu SQ, Zhang LQ. Ultrasonic evaluations of Achilles tendon mechanical properties poststroke. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. Mar 2009;106(3):843-9. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.91212.2008

63. Zhao H, Ren Y, Roth EJ, Harvey RL, Zhang LQ. Concurrent deficits of soleus and gastrocnemius muscle fascicles and Achilles tendon post stroke. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. Apr 01 2015;118(7):863-71. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00226.2014

64. Dias CP, Freire B, Goulart NBA, et al. Impaired mechanical properties of Achilles tendon in spastic stroke survivors: an observational study. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. May 2019;26(4):261-266. doi:10.1080/10749357.2019.1591688

65. Liang JN, Bashford G, Kulig K, Ho KY. Achilles tendon morphology adaptations in chronic post-stroke hemiparesis: a comparative analysis with neurologically intact controls. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2024;6:1498333. doi:10.3389/fspor.2024.1498333

66. Katoozian L, Tahan N, Zoghi M, Bakhshayesh B. The Onset and Frequency of Spasticity After First Ever Stroke. *J Natl Med Assoc*. Dec 2018;110(6):547-552. doi:10.1016/j.jnma.2018.01.008

67. Lundström E, Smits A, Terént A, Borg J. Time-course and determinants of spasticity during the first six months following first-ever stroke. *J Rehabil Med*. Apr 2010;42(4):296-301. doi:10.2340/16501977-0509

68. Wutzke CJ, Mercer VS, Lewek MD. Influence of lower extremity sensory function on locomotor adaptation following stroke: a review. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. 2013;20(3):233-40. doi:10.1310/tsr2003-233

69. Aman JE, Elangovan N, Yeh IL, Konczak J. The effectiveness of proprioceptive training for improving motor function: a systematic review. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2014;8:1075. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.01075

70. Gorst T, Rogers A, Morrison SC, et al. The prevalence, distribution, and functional importance of lower limb somatosensory impairments in chronic stroke survivors: a cross sectional observational study. *Disabil Rehabil*. Oct 2019;41(20):2443-2450. doi:10.1080/09638288.2018.1468932

71. Chia FS, Kuys S, Low Choy N. Sensory retraining of the leg after stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*. Jun 2019;33(6):964-979. doi:10.1177/0269215519836461

72. Johnson CA, Biswas P, Tapia R, et al. The Weak Relationship Between Ankle Proprioception and Gait Speed After Stroke: A Robotic Assessment Study. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. Sep 16 2025:15459683251369497. doi:10.1177/15459683251369497

73. Mochizuki G, Ivanova TD, Garland SJ. Factors affecting the common modulation of bilateral motor unit discharge in human soleus muscles. *J Neurophysiol*. Jun 2007;97(6):3917-25. doi:10.1152/jn.01025.2006

74. Promsri A. Modulation of bilateral lower-limb muscle coordination when performing increasingly challenging balance exercises. *Neuroscience letters*. 2022;767:136299. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2021.136299

75. Monsour M, Ivanova TD, Wilson TD, Garland SJ. Influence of Vestibular Afferent Input on Common Modulation of Human Soleus Motor Units During Standing. *Motor Control*. 2012;16(4):466-479. doi:10.1123/mcj.16.4.466

76. Marsden JF. The vestibular control of balance after stroke. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*. 2005-05-01 2005;76(5):670-679. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2004.046565

77. Mccall AA, Miller DM, Yates BJ. Descending Influences on Vestibulospinal and Vestibulosympathetic Reflexes. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2017-03-27 2017;8doi:10.3389/fneur.2017.00112

78. Miller DM, Klein CS, Suresh NL, Rymer WZ. Asymmetries in vestibular evoked myogenic potentials in chronic stroke survivors with spastic hypertonia: Evidence for a vestibulospinal role. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2014-10-01 2014;125(10):2070-2078. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2014.01.035

79. Welch TDJ, Ting LH. Mechanisms of Motor Adaptation in Reactive Balance Control. *PLoS ONE*. 2014-05-08 2014;9(5):e96440. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096440

80. Kallenberg LA, Hermens HJ. Motor unit properties of biceps brachii in chronic stroke patients assessed with high-density surface EMG. *Muscle Nerve*. Feb 2009;39(2):177-85. doi:10.1002/mus.21090

81. Li X, Shao Z, Li Z, et al. The relationship between the functional status of upper extremity motor neurons and motor function and prognosis in stroke patients. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2024-09-20 2024;15doi:10.3389/fneur.2024.1466252

82. McManus L, Hu X, Rymer WZ, Suresh NL, Lowery MM. Motor Unit Activity during Fatiguing Isometric Muscle Contraction in Hemispheric Stroke Survivors. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2017;11:569. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2017.00569

Figure legends

The maximum number of display items allowed varies according to article type. For details, see https://academic.oup.com/brain/pages/General_Instructions.


A. Time Series Signals
COP
Bipolar EMG
Decomposed MU Firings
0
15
30
Time (s)
B. Activation During COP
Avg EMG Response
EMG Amp (µV)
Peristimulus Time Histogram (PSTH)
Spikes in 5ms Bins
-1.5
0
1.5
Time (s)
C. Directional Recordings
Anterior
0°
315°
45°
Medial
270°
Lateral
90°
HD-EMG Grids
Force Plate
225°
135°
180°
Posterior
D. Tuning Curve Analysis
Peristimulus Signal
Baseline Offset
Area Under Curve (-400 to 0ms) for Each Angle
Anterior
0°
Mean Angle
Medial 270°
90° Lateral
50% Max Area Under Curve
180°
Posterior


A. Berg Balance Scale
B. Center of Pressure Metrics
BBS Score
BBS Score (out of 56)
56
48
40
32
24
16
8
0
*
Visit 1
Visit 2
AP Root Mean Square COP
AP Root Mean Square COP (mm)
30
20
10
0
*
Visit 1
Visit 2
Visit 1
Visit 2
AP COP Speed
AP COP Speed (mm/s)
60
40
20
0
*
Visit 1
Visit 2
Visit 1
Visit 2
ML Root Mean Square COP
M L Root Mean Square COP (mm)
40
20
0
*
Visit 1
Visit 2
Visit 1
Visit 2
ML COP Speed
ML COP Speed (mm/s)
80
60
40
20
0
*
Visit 1
Visit 2
Visit 1
Visit 2
Mean ± SD
Mean
Control
Stroke


A. Sample PSTH Amplitude during Lateral COP Peaks
Control Right
Control Left
Affected
Unaffected
PSTH Amplitude (pulses/peak)
0.3
0.2
0.1
0.0
-0.1
-0.2
-0.3
-1.5
-0.4
0
1.5
Time (s)
Mean ± SD
Mean
B. Limb Amplitude Comparison
Anterior
Posterior
Medial
Lateral
PSTH Amplitude (pulses/peak)
20
10
0
-10
*
V1
V2
Control Right
Control Left
Aff
Unaff
EMG Amplitude (μV)
0.4
0.0
-0.4

A. Directional Tuning Curves



Eyes Open
Eyes Closed
V1
V2
Mean ± SD



* p < 0.05

B. Mean Angle Between Limbs



Mean ± SD
Mean
Control
Stroke

A. Sample Control Participant Ipsilateral- and Contralateral- Triggered PSTH



B. Sample Stroke Participant Ipsilateral- and Contralateral- Triggered PSTH



C. Significance of Contralateral-Triggered Activation Amplitude



D. Difference Between Contralateral- and Ipsilateral-Triggered Activation Amplitude



A. Smoothed PSTH Waveform Average Time-Locked to Anterior COP Peaks



B. Group Comparison of Correlation Coefficient and Absolute Lag




BBS vs PSTH Correlation Absolute Lag
Participant BBS
y = -280.35x + 40.48
R² = 0.582, p<0.001
Outlier
BBS Score (out of 56)
PSTH Correlation Absolute Lag (s)
0
8
16
24
32
40
48
56
0.00
0.05
0.10
0.15