Co-Designing HPV Vaccine Programs with Girls and Caregivers: Insights from a Human-Centered Design Approach

Eliza Fishman, MPH[1]*, Nicole Castle, MSc[1], Munyaradzi Joel Chinguwa, BSc[2], Amenze Eguavoen, MBBS, MPH[3], Temitope Adebola Alfred, MPH[4], Steven Ellis, MSc[5], Yeni Indra, BSc[5], Paul Bassi Amos, MBBS, FMCPH (Nig.)[6], Brittany Iskarpatyoti, MPH[7]

1. JSI Research & Training Institute, Arlington, Virginia, United States of America

2. JSI Research & Training Institute, Harare, Zimbabwe

3. Lluvia Health Organization, Lagos, Nigeria

4. Independent Consultant, Abuja, Nigeria

5. Empatika, Jakarta, Indonesia

6. JSI Research & Training Institute, Abuja, Nigeria

7. JSI Research & Training Institute, North Carolina, United States of America

* Corresponding author

E-mail: eliza.fishman@jsi.org (EF)

Abstract

Cervical cancer is a significant global health issue, ranking as the fourth highest cause of cancer-related deaths among women globally. HPV vaccination for girls aged 9–14 offers a powerful tool for cervical cancer prevention, yet global coverage remains low, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. While research has documented parental and stakeholder perspectives, few studies center on girls' own experiences and how gender norms shape their access and decision-making. This study applied a human-centered design (HCD) approach in Nigeria and Indonesia to explore barriers to HPV vaccination and co-develop context-specific solutions with girls, caregivers, and immunization stakeholders.

Eight co-creation workshops were conducted with adolescent girls (ages 10–17) and caregivers in Nigeria (n=64) and Indonesia (n=66). Using HCD techniques—including persona development, journey mapping, and prototyping—participants identified barriers, enablers, and potential solutions. Stakeholder workshops in both countries validated and refined these community-generated ideas. Data were analyzed thematically using UNICEF's Journey to Health and Immunization framework.

Across both countries, girls and caregivers reported limited awareness and inconsistent information about HPV vaccination. Misinformation, unclear communication, and insufficient preparation were common. Gender norms strongly shaped decision-making: while mothers typically led discussions, fathers or male community leaders often held final authority, particularly in Nigeria. Access challenges included transportation costs, distance to facilities, missed opportunities during school-based delivery, stockouts, and limited guidance on managing adverse events, with out-of-school girls experiencing the greatest barriers.

Co-designed solutions emphasized improving communication through trusted messengers, strengthening coordination between schools and health facilities, increasing engagement with fathers and community leaders, and expanding flexible, community-based delivery models to reach out-of-school girls. Findings underscore the importance of centering girls in HPV program design and demonstrate the value of HCD in generating actionable, locally relevant strategies. Strengthening communication, addressing gender norms, and improving equitable service delivery are critical to increasing HPV vaccination uptake and advancing cervical cancer prevention.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is a preventable and treatable disease, yet it ranks as the fourth highest cause of cancer-related deaths among women globally, disproportionately affecting women in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) where access to primary and secondary prevention and treatment are constrained (1,2). In 2023, only 27 percent of girls globally received a single dose of HPV vaccine. There are large disparities in the distribution of national vaccination programs and vaccination coverage rates between high-income countries (HICs) and LMICs, with 88 percent of HICs having included HPV vaccination in their national immunization program, compared to 40 percent of LMICs (3,4).

There have been many challenges to introducing and increasing HPV vaccination coverage in LMICs, including supply and logistical constraints, COVID-19 pandemic disruptions, high vaccine costs, global and national funding for vaccination programs, vaccine prioritization, and health system capacity (1,4,5). Parents cited specific barriers to HPV vaccinations, such as cost,

65 transport, and time constraints; low levels of knowledge about cervical cancer and HPV
66 vaccination; and negative experiences with other vaccinations (6–11). Vaccine access and
67 coverage are also challenged by misinformation, socioeconomic constraints, and gender
68 inequities within and across countries. It is well known that gender and social norms affect
69 decision-making, access, and uptake of HPV vaccination (12–15). These barriers are particularly
70 pronounced in efforts to reach out-of-school girls, ensure completion of the vaccine series,
71 accurately estimate target populations, monitor program performance, and sustain vaccination
72 efforts (1,6). However, new opportunities are emerging to address these challenges, including the
73 entry of additional vaccine manufacturers and the WHO recommendation for alternative single
74 dose scheduling (16,17).

75 Evidence suggests that social norms related to peer approval and trust in the government and
76 health professionals affect parental acceptance of and decision-making around HPV vaccination
77 for their daughters (11,18). In many LMICs, mothers, typically the primary caregivers, often
78 need to seek permission from their husbands to vaccinate their children. Women's daily
79 responsibilities, along with financial and mobility constraints, can further limit vaccine access
80 and use (7,8,19).

81 While implementation strategies for HPV vaccination and studies on parental perspective have
82 been documented, few studies explore the role of girls themselves in identifying key challenges
83 or shaping programs designed to boost vaccine uptake (20). One study on adolescent
84 involvement in HPV vaccine decision-making found that most had little to no influence, with
85 over half remaining silent during the decision phase. This lack of influence was linked to their
86 late or absent participation, underscoring the importance of engaging adolescents earlier in the
87 decision-making process (21). Less is known about the challenges girls face and their priorities,

as well as the social and gender-related factors that influence their uptake of the HPV vaccine. These factors are often overlooked in the design and implementation of vaccination programs. Engaging girls early in HPV program planning and decision-making may deepen our understanding of the factors influencing vaccination and help design solutions that strengthen and sustain high coverage.

Country Context: Nigeria

In Nigeria, cervical cancer is the second most common cancer among women and the second most prevalent cause of cancer-related mortality among women aged 15–44 years (22). In 2022, there were more than 13,600 new cases of cervical cancer and more than 7,000 deaths from the disease (23). The Government of Nigeria introduced the HPV vaccine nationwide for girls aged 9–14 years in October 2023. The first phase of the introduction covered 16 states, and more than 4.8 million girls were vaccinated (24). In May 2024, the government implemented the second phase of the introduction for the remaining 21 states. As of December 2024, more than 12 million eligible girls have been vaccinated, bringing vaccination coverage to 71 percent (25). Following the campaign, Nigeria incorporated the HPV vaccine into its routine immunization schedule. The vaccine is delivered through school- and health facility-based programs. While the introduction campaign was successful, increasing vaccination coverage through the routine immunization system has its own set of challenges. To ensure program sustainability in Nigeria, a deeper understanding of the social and gender-related factors influencing HPV vaccine access and uptake from girls' perspectives is important for designing tailored interventions that can improve and sustain high vaccination coverage nationwide.

Country Context: Indonesia

Cervical cancer is the second most common cancer among women in Indonesia. In 2023, there were almost 37,000 new cases of cervical cancer, and more than 20,000 women died from the disease (26,27). In November of the same year, the president announced the National Plan for Cervical Cancer Elimination in Indonesia (2023–2030) (28). The Indonesian government introduced the HPV vaccine in Jakarta in 2016 to girls in school years five and six through a free, school-based program. Since its introduction in Jakarta, the government has been scaling vaccination nationwide through schools and health facilities; as of 2023, 132 municipalities are delivering the program to in-school and out-of-school girls aged 11 and 12 years (9,29,30). According to the WHO, HPV vaccination coverage reached 95.9 percent for the final dose in 2023. However, it is unclear if that data includes out-of-school girls (31). Reducing barriers to vaccination and more effectively reaching out-of-school girls with solutions that center girls in program planning will be important to maintaining high levels of coverage as the Indonesian government expands the HPV vaccination program and advances its cervical cancer elimination goals.

Study Objectives

Addressing the barriers girls and women face and increasing global HPV vaccination coverage requires the development and implementation of tailored, multi-level vaccination programs that meet the needs and preferences of girls and caregivers. This is true in both Indonesia and Nigeria as they integrate the HPV vaccine into their national immunization schedules. This study explores girls' and their caregivers' experiences, needs, and perspectives around improving HPV vaccination programs in both countries. The primary objective of this study was to explore both gender-related and non-gender-related barriers to the delivery and uptake of HPV immunization,

while identifying potential solutions to address these barriers, as proposed by girls and women themselves and vaccine program stakeholders.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This study employed a qualitative research design, using human-centered design (HCD) approaches to capture the experiences and perspectives of research participants. HCD is an iterative, solution-focused methodology that emphasizes understanding and prioritizing the needs, challenges, and experiences of end users and others directly involved in a system—in this case, girls, their caregivers, and vaccine program stakeholders (32,33). The study was approved by JSI Institutional Review Board (IRB) under the IRB reference (#24-37). The JSI IRB is registered with the Office of Human Research Protections (OHRP) as IRB00009069. JSI has a Federal-wide Assurance (FWA) on file [FWA00000218] and is a recognized IRB organization [IORG0007551]. Local ethics approval was received in both Indonesia and Nigeria. Written consent was obtained by all study participants.

Study Population and Sample Selection

The study involved girls (ages 10–17) and their caregivers from four selected communities—two in Nigeria and two in Indonesia. Communities were purposively selected to capture high- and low-rates of out-of-school girls, urban/rural dispersion, and, where possible, religious diversity. Participants included equal distribution of vaccinated and unvaccinated girls, along with their caregivers. Caregivers were predominantly mothers; however, in both Indonesia and Nigeria,

there were a few instances where non-maternal caregivers—such as grandmothers or female boarding school caretakers—were involved.

This purposive sampling approach enabled the collection of rich, relevant insights from those with direct experience of or influence over the vaccination process, including vaccinated and unvaccinated caregivers and girls, EPI managers, and representatives from implementing NGOs, multilateral agencies, and local partners. Results were shared with and refined by local vaccine program stakeholders, ensuring that the insights gained were actionable and relevant to program implementation.

Sample Size

A total of 130 participants were included across eight workshops, with eight girls and their female caregivers (16 participants) in each workshop; one workshop in Indonesia included nine girls and their caregivers. Seventy stakeholders participated in the dissemination workshops held in Nigeria and Indonesia—45 in Nigeria (across two sub-national workshops) and 25 in Indonesia (in one national workshop). See Table 1 for sample characteristics.

Table 1: Sample Characteristics

Country	**Nigeria**				**Indonesia**	
States	**Benue**		**Adamawa**		**East Java**	**West Java**
Religion	Christianity		Islam		Islam	Islam
Study Site	Gwer-West	Makurdi	Fufore	Toungo	Bekasi City	Kediri Regency

Setting		Rural	Urban	Semi-urban	Rural	Urban		Rural	
School Status Sampled		In-school	Out-of-school	In-school	Out-of-school	In-school	Out-of-school	In-school	Out-of-school
Number of girls sampled	Vaccinated	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	3
	Unvaccinated	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5
Number of caregivers sampled		8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8
Number of Stakeholders		20		25		25			

Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected at each site through two-day co-creation workshops, where participants—girls and caregivers—worked collaboratively to identify barriers to HPV vaccination and generate locally feasible solutions. Co-creation workshops are one method that can be used as part of the HCD process to conduct participatory activities to identify insights and generate solutions (34–36). During the workshops, participants developed personas, a tool used to create fictional characters that reflect user group characteristics and needs (32,37). Personas represented girls and their caregivers from their community who either had or had not been vaccinated. Participants explored girls' and caregivers' characteristics, influences, experiences with health and HPV vaccination, values and aspirations, and gender and community norms. Following this, journey maps visually documented each persona's experiences accessing and taking (or not) the

179 HPV vaccine. Journey maps are used to understand key steps an end user or other stakeholder
180 takes as they experience a product or service (32,38). UNICEF's Journey to Health and
181 Immunization was used as the journey map framework (39). It was developed to follow a
182 caregiver's journey to vaccinating a child while taking into consideration the health provider's
183 journey, and it has been used by other programs applying an HCD approach (35,36). It includes
184 six domains that represent steps on the journey: 1) knowledge and awareness, 2) intent, 3)
185 preparation, cost, and access, 4) point of service, 5) experience of care, and 6) after service (40).
186 The journey maps supported caregivers and girls to identify key barriers to HPV vaccination
187 based on their experiences at each step. After identifying key challenges, participants
188 brainstormed ideas for potential solutions and developed prototypes, a version of an idea that can
189 help communicate a concept and be tested (32).Following the community-level workshops, the
190 personas, journey maps, and solutions were summarized and shared with relevant immunization
191 stakeholders at the national (Indonesia) and sub-national (Nigeria) levels. A one-day workshop
192 (two state-level workshops in Nigeria) was conducted to review outputs from community
193 workshops, refine solutions, and develop action plans.

194 These interactive sessions served as the main method of data collection, capturing qualitative
195 insights and actionable recommendations. Data were analyzed thematically based on the domains
196 of the UNICEF Journey to Health and Immunization framework. The study lasted approximately
197 11 months, from June 2024 to March 2025, which included the planning and execution of
198 workshops, data collection, and analysis.

199 # Results

The results are organized according to the domains of the UNICEF Journey to Health and Immunization framework, exploring the barriers and enablers girls and their caregivers encountered across each stage of the vaccination journey and follows with the solutions proposed by participants and reflected upon by stakeholders to address these challenges.

Knowledge and awareness

Overall, in both countries and regardless of vaccination status, girls and their caregivers had varying but generally limited awareness and understanding of HPV vaccination. The ways they received information differed by country and vaccination status, but a similar pattern emerged: some unvaccinated caregivers in both Indonesia and Nigeria first heard about the vaccine through neighbors or social media.

Indonesia

In Indonesia, vaccinated girls reported receiving information primarily from their mothers. Most mothers received their information from formal school communications delivered through letters, WhatsApp messages, or community sessions. While these communication mechanisms afforded more systematic outreach, participants expressed that information frequently arrived late or lacked sufficient detail to meet caregivers' needs or support them to prepare their daughters for vaccination. Caregivers of vaccinated girls in Indonesia specifically ranked social media as the least trusted platform for HPV information, as it causes confusion and misunderstanding.

Nigeria

In contrast, girls in Nigeria accessed information from a wider array of sources, including peers, radio, town announcers, and health workers. Many out-of-school girls reported being exposed to a variety of informal sources that often conveyed conflicting or inconsistent information. Caregivers reported a similar pattern, drawing on diverse informal channels such as friends, daughters, religious leaders, and radio broadcasts. While this broad network enabled widespread dissemination, the compilation of information shared was described as often insufficient or unclear, limiting individuals' ability to make informed decisions. As a result, caregivers' access to accurate information varied widely, with some unaware of vaccination campaigns until after they had concluded. Girls also noted that women generally do not attend mosque and therefore miss out on important health messages that men receive there, as the messages are not further relayed.

Intent and decision-making

Across both countries, female caregivers (often mothers) consistently confirmed their active role in decisions about HPV vaccination. Mothers were viewed as the primary caregiver responsible for their daughters' health, and they typically guided vaccination choices. However, girls in both settings often had limited visibility into how decisions were made within the household, reflecting broader norms around obedience, modesty, and deference to parental authority.

Indonesia

In Indonesia, mothers overwhelmingly described themselves as the main decision-makers regarding HPV vaccination, noting that fathers usually deferred to them. Girls also tended to rely almost exclusively on their mothers for guidance, with little mention of fathers' involvement.

Despite their central role, many Indonesian mothers reported feeling under-informed and pressed for time when making vaccination decisions. They expressed concerns about misinformation and anti-vaccine narratives circulating in their communities and, in some cases, wished fathers were more engaged in discussions related to health-related decision-making processes.

Nigeria

In Nigeria, mothers were also responsible for overseeing vaccination, but decision making was more collaborative than in Indonesia. Fathers and extended family members—particularly men—played a significant role, especially for unvaccinated girls. Girls noted that male approval was often required, and mothers frequently consulted husbands, relatives, community members, and religious leaders before deciding. Among caregivers of vaccinated girls, most sought information from health professionals and supported the vaccine, though several expressed frustrations with unclear or absent consent procedures. For unvaccinated girls, the need for male approval and financial support emerged as a key barrier, and women who made decisions independently were sometimes perceived as “rebellious” or faced social backlash.

Preparation, cost, and access

Across Indonesia and Nigeria, both vaccinated and unvaccinated girls reported preparation, cost, and access as consistent barriers to HPV vaccination. Girls in both contexts described general challenges in accessing health services, including long distances to facilities, transportation-related costs, and limited availability of health workers. Caregivers in both countries echoed these issues, noting that insufficient information, unclear guidance, and logistical hurdles made it difficult for girls, particularly those out of school, to obtain the vaccine.

Indonesia

In Indonesia, unvaccinated girls showed strong interest in receiving the HPV vaccine, partly because some believed it was free, though they were often unsure about the actual cost. Their primary barrier was a lack of information on how to access the vaccine—such as cost, eligibility requirements, or necessary documentation. While parental permission was a concern, it was secondary; some out-of-school girls even noted they might be able to persuade their parents to agree. For in-school girls, a major concern was not knowing where to go if they missed school-based vaccination sessions. Caregivers of in-school girls wanted greater involvement in the school vaccination process, citing insufficient notice and unclear instructions. Caregivers of out-of-school girls highlighted potential administrative barriers, such as the need for ID cards and interactions with unwelcoming facility staff.

Nigeria

In Nigeria, girls—especially the vaccinated ones—identified barriers their peers might face, including long distances to schools where vaccination occurred and social pressures, such as losing friends who opposed the vaccine. Unvaccinated out-of-school girls emphasized two main obstacles: the distance to health facilities and the limited availability of parents, particularly mothers, to accompany them due to work, chores, fatigue, or travel. Some girls were also discouraged by peers and family members, including older sisters. For caregivers of both vaccinated and unvaccinated girls, transportation costs were a dominant barrier. Caregivers of vaccinated girls often accompanied their daughters but worried about lost income or business. Those whose daughters missed the in-school campaign were directed to health facilities but

283 encountered vague instructions, long travel distances, and inconsistent vaccine availability,
284 frequently arriving to find the vaccine unavailable or the campaign already over.

285 Point of service & Experience of Care

286 Across both Indonesia and Nigeria, girls generally viewed health workers positively, though their
287 experiences varied widely depending on the setting and the individual provider. In both
288 countries, girls and caregivers described logistical and communication challenges that affected
289 the vaccination experience, such as limited information about the vaccine, insufficient guidance
290 on managing potential adverse events, and procedural gaps during vaccination sessions.
291 Participants in both countries also faced system constraints, including long wait times, crowding,
292 and inconsistent availability of vaccines, which created barriers for girls and caregivers. For out-
293 of-school girls in both Indonesia and Nigeria, accessing services at health facilities often
294 involved delays, confusion, or stockouts, making the process more difficult than for in-school
295 girls.

296 Indonesia

297 In Indonesia, vaccination primarily occurred within school settings, which shaped most girls'
298 experiences. Girls reported a mix of positive and less positive interactions with health workers,
299 but several noted feeling shy, uncomfortable, or excluded, especially those choosing not to get
300 vaccinated. Some girls were separated from their peers during vaccination activities, which
301 created confusion and social discomfort. Communication gaps were pronounced—many girls felt
302 unable to ask questions or seek clarification during the session. The school-based model also
303 limited access for out-of-school girls, who had to visit health facilities instead. These girls

304 described long waits of up to an hour before receiving the vaccine. Caregivers in Indonesia
305 generally understood the steps involved in school-based vaccination—registration, checks, and
306 instructions for adverse event management—but felt that teachers and parents needed to provide
307 more support. Some caregivers said teachers were unprepared to answer questions, and
308 caregivers of unvaccinated girls who opted out reported being treated poorly during the process.

309 Nigeria

310 In Nigeria, girls accessed vaccination both in schools and at health facilities, giving rise to more
311 diverse experiences. While health workers were generally perceived positively, systemic issues,
312 such as wait times and vaccine shortages, and poor crowd control were common. Some girls
313 were unable to get vaccinated due to stockouts at health facilities while other in-school girls ran
314 off during the campaign out of fear. Caregivers in Nigeria described generally positive
315 vaccination experiences regardless of the delivery point. However, they noted that neither girls
316 nor caregivers were adequately informed about potential adverse events or how to manage them.
317 Echoing the girls' accounts, caregivers highlighted logistical frustrations—including long delays,
318 disrupted class schedules (for in-school girls), and the challenge of traveling to health facilities
319 where vaccines were sometimes unavailable or health workers were unwelcoming (for out-of-
320 school or unvaccinated girls).

321 Post-vaccination experience

322 Post-vaccination experiences were shared only by vaccinated girls, as unvaccinated girls had
323 little to report. Across both Indonesia and Nigeria, post-vaccination feedback from caregivers
324 was generally positive, with caregivers pleased that their daughters were vaccinated and

325 reporting few side effects. In both countries, neither girls nor caregivers described any severe
326 adverse reactions, and overall satisfaction with having completed vaccination was high.

327 Indonesia

328 In Indonesia, vaccinated girls reported minor side effects and noted a lack of guidance on post-
329 vaccination care. Some caregivers, particularly in Kediri, shared perceptions that differed from
330 the girls' experiences; they were pleased with the vaccination outcome, while girls expressed
331 frustration about returning to schoolwork immediately after vaccination and a lack of guidance
332 on post-vaccination care.

333 Nigeria

334 In Nigeria, vaccinated girls generally expressed happiness and enthusiasm, often encouraging
335 peers to get vaccinated. They reported no significant barriers or negative post-vaccination
336 experiences. Caregivers in Nigeria did raise some concerns about side effects and limited
337 information on post-vaccination care, but, like Indonesia, no severe adverse reactions were
338 reported.

339 Proposed Solutions

340 Girls and caregivers developed solutions to address the challenges they had identified. State- and
341 national-level stakeholders were engaged to reflect on the findings, share their own experiences,
342 and collaboratively identify and prioritize actionable solutions. Each group's solutions are
343 summarized in Table 2 and Table 3.

344 **Table 2: Summary of Solutions Developed by Girls, Caregivers, and Stakeholders in**

345 **Nigeria**

Subtheme	Girls	Caregivers	Stakeholders
Communication/Awareness	· School programs: Information should be delivered by the teachers or health educators during free periods and through peer-to-peer education to share information on vaccine safety, side effects, and benefits. Include motivators/incentives like provision of school supplies, etc. · Community awareness: Messages should be shared through town announcers in the mornings and evenings. Comprehensive health education should be delivered by qualified health care personnel to ensure credibility and engagement.	· Use multiple communication channels including town announcers, community leaders, radio, tv, teachers, school authorities, religious houses, traditional leaders, women groups, Facebook, etc. to inform the public about the vaccines; if one channel doesn't serve them, another will. ·Vaccine champions should be identified in the communities to share their experiences and raise awareness about the vaccine in the community.	· Use multi-channel communication strategies, including town announcers, community leaders, and structured outreach programs to enhance knowledge and acceptance.
Coordination/Outreach	· School peer to peer education: train in-school girls to train or educate other out-of-school girls.	· Men should be engaged to obtain their support and buy-in as influencers and decision makers.	· Closer collaboration with local security forces and community networks can help ensure safe delivery. · Increase targeted engagement with men.
Service Delivery	· Out-of-school peer-to-peer education enhances confidence and decision-making skills as well as increases girls'	· House-to-house vaccination should be considered because of its potential to reach	

	happiness. Peers can refer or accompany others to the health facility for vaccine uptake.	both in school and out-of-school girls.	
System Strengthening/Supply Chain		· Improve school vaccination program by including proper planning to prevent stock-outs, more vaccinators, class-to-class vaccination instead of an assembly of all eligible girls, lesson free vaccination days where possible, a system to identify missed opportunities, and bidirectional communication with health care workers.	· Improve supply chain management and real-time tracking system.

346

347 **Table 3: Summary of Solutions Developed by Girls, Caregivers, and Stakeholders in**

348 **Indonesia**

Subtheme	Girls	Caregivers	Stakeholders
Communication/Awareness	· Display a poster with information on how to search for trusted information on HPV and HPV vaccination, including how to find information about HPV vaccination on TikTok. · During in-school vaccination, use storytelling to pass the time and provide information about health and the vaccine for	·Organize educational activities at schools in coordination with puskesmas (public health clinics) to equip girls with information about HPV vaccination. · Provide one-page leaflets from doctors/nurses for girls to show their parents. · Provide socialization at schools two days before vaccination day to	· Develop engaging educational materials on HPV and the vaccine, including videos and interactive storybooks. · Increase strategic collaboration with religious leaders to disseminate accurate information and address faith-based concerns.

	those waiting to get vaccinated. · Provide a fun, informative video before vaccination.	provide more information about HPV (with video and printed materials). · Organize FAQ meetings for parents led by the health department.	· Create a comprehensive FAQ resource on HPV vaccination.
Service Delivery	· Provide education sessions at schools for girls and their parents that are facilitated by teachers and health workers. · Organize outreach led by the puskesmas in coordination with the community and pesantren (Islamic boarding schools).	· Organize community socialization about HPV vaccination in communities residing around landfills. · Teachers should include parents in WhatsApp groups to inform them of the vaccination process (at least three days before). · Establish a coordination and collaboration process for schools and health centers, including a coordination system connecting the puskesmas with parents/caregivers.	
System Strengthening/Supply Chain			· Implement standardized, child-friendly service delivery protocols.

Girls in both countries suggested solutions centered on improving awareness and knowledge. In Indonesia, proposed strategies often focused on improving individual knowledge—such as making information clearer and more accessible for girls—but also reflected group-oriented elements, including peer support among girls and a desire among caregivers for community-based information sharing and collaboration with schools. Nigerian girls, on the other hand, predominantly recommended community-level interventions involving health workers,

community leaders, and peers to improve vaccine uptake. Across both countries, girls emphasized the importance of timely, consistent information and improved access to vaccination services. Additional solutions focused on enhancing the vaccination experience and improving access.

Caregivers in Nigeria focused their suggestions on community-driven solutions, including using local leaders, town announcers, media campaigns, and home visits to raise awareness and combat misinformation. Incentives and logistical support (e.g., transportation vouchers or providing food during information sessions) were also recommended to improve access. Indonesian caregivers for in-school girls emphasized school-centered approaches and saw girls themselves as effective messengers in convincing parents about the value of vaccination. They also highlighted the need for better coordination among schools, teachers, and health workers, as well as improved communication to prepare families for vaccination. These proposed solutions closely aligned with those expressed by the girls, reinforcing the shared priorities of improved knowledge, support, and access.

Similarly, stakeholders in both Nigeria and Indonesia noted low public awareness and widespread misinformation as a critical challenge to their programming. In Nigeria, stakeholders emphasized the importance of multi-channel communication strategies, proposing the use of town announcers, community leaders, and structured outreach programs to enhance knowledge and acceptance. In Indonesia, communication between schools and parents is channeled through schools, but there is a lack of clear processes and standardized guidance on how schools should engage with caregivers, including what information to share, when to share it, and in what format. To address this, stakeholders proposed the development of educational and informational materials for both girls and their caregivers.

379 Stakeholders in both countries also focused heavily on logistical and programmatic operation
380 challenges. Operational hurdles in Nigeria—such as vaccine stock-outs, delays in distribution,
381 and incomplete data reporting—were seen as major impediments to effective rollout.
382 Stakeholders underscored the need for improved supply chain management and real-time
383 tracking systems. They also advocated for closer collaboration with local security forces and
384 community networks to ensure safe delivery in conflict-affected regions. In Indonesia, access-
385 related issues were compounded by the lack of engagement with marginalized groups and data
386 collection gaps, particularly for unregistered pesantren (Islamic boarding schools). At the point
387 of service delivery, stakeholders noted the unclear delineation of responsibilities between schools
388 and health services and weak cross-sectoral coordination.

389 Stakeholders in both Nigeria and Indonesia identified that greater engagement is needed with
390 men, as fathers, decision makers, and religious and community leaders. However, stakeholders
391 also stressed the importance of promoting gender inclusivity within HPV vaccination programs
392 to ensure that strategies are responsive to the needs of girls and their caregivers.

393 Discussion and recommendations

394 Gender Norms and Decision-Making

395 The findings underscore the significant influence of gender norms on decision-making dynamics
396 and access to HPV vaccination in both Indonesia and Nigeria. Immunization programs in LMICs
397 have traditionally focused on women as the primary caregivers for children's health (8,41).
398 However, existing literature also emphasizes men's dominant role as household decision-makers
399 (42–47). This study found this duality plays an important role in overall acceptance of the
400 vaccine. While caregivers and girls in both contexts frequently identified mothers as the primary

401 decision-makers for their daughters' health, men may hold the final say and power in
402 operationalizing that decision. In Indonesia, several mothers expressed a desire for greater
403 involvement from fathers, reflecting a perceived gap in shared responsibility. In contrast, in
404 Nigeria, fathers and male community or religious leaders often play a more overt and
405 authoritative role in decisions concerning girls' health. Some Nigerian mothers described
406 scenarios in which men held the final authority over health matters, and women who acted
407 independently risked social criticism—highlighting the persistent influence of traditional gender
408 expectations. These findings illustrate the complex and context-specific nature of gender norms,
409 particularly as they relate to intra-household and health-related power dynamics (48,49). This
410 study, in which girls and women voiced a desire for greater involvement from fathers and male
411 figures, highlights the importance of addressing gender norms and underscores the need to
412 actively engage male decision-makers in HPV program planning. Recent studies have
413 increasingly recognized that men play influential roles as decision-makers, household heads, and
414 community leaders—and that their support can significantly influence vaccine uptake (50–53).
415 Developing targeted outreach strategies to engage men as supportive partners in their daughters'
416 health and HPV vaccination efforts is essential (54). In Indonesia, this could include father-
417 focused education sessions at schools and community events. In Nigeria, outreach through
418 mosques, churches, and traditional gatherings may be more effective in increasing fathers'
419 awareness and support for vaccination.

420 Gender norms and expectations shape not only girls' and their caregivers' attitudes and access to
421 the vaccine but can influence the effectiveness of vaccination campaigns themselves. This study
422 highlights how social stigma and gender norms in Indonesia discourage girls from actively
423 seeking information. In Nigeria, concerns about vaccine side effects and persistent myths about

infertility remain major barriers, especially among unvaccinated girls and their caregivers. To effectively address these gender-related challenges, vaccination campaigns should consider the unique ways in which both girls and caregivers navigate health systems (55). For instance, stigma in Indonesia and fears of infertility in Nigeria require context-specific, culturally relevant messaging. Approaches like HCD offer value by engaging girls and their caregivers directly, ensuring that solutions are rooted in local realities and shaped by the voices of those most impacted (36). For HPV program planning specifically, HCD approaches can potentially improve uptake and sustainability (35,37,56).

Limited Awareness and Information Gaps

Our findings reveal that both adolescent girls and their caregivers lack sufficient, consistent, and accurate information about HPV vaccination. Misinformation remains a significant barrier to uptake. While this information gap has been well-documented from the perspectives of parents and stakeholders, it has rarely been examined from the perspective of girls themselves (6–8,10,11,18,57). Our study makes clear that there is a widespread desire for more information; however, the content, messenger, and mode of delivery must be better aligned with the needs of the end users—namely, adolescent girls and their caregivers. Participants across both countries emphasized this point, with all proposing solutions centered on improving knowledge and awareness of the HPV vaccine. This underscores both the urgency of the issue and the need for a shift in communication approaches. In Nigeria, participants strongly recommended peer-to-peer education as an effective way to reach girls with accurate, relatable information. In Indonesia, participants stressed the need for more structured and engaging content, along with better training for teachers to deliver key messages effectively, as teachers were considered the most

446 trusted source. The literature highlights that strengthening communication strategies to address
447 mothers' perceptions of HPV can significantly improve vaccine uptake among both mothers and
448 their daughters (58–60). Furthermore, enhancing communication about the HPV vaccine may
449 empower young people to take a more active role in their health care decisions, ultimately
450 contributing to higher vaccination coverage. These insights highlight the critical value of
451 involving end users in the planning and design of HPV communication strategies (37).
452 Ultimately, the challenge is not only about what messages are delivered but also who delivers
453 them and how (61,62). Our findings suggest that current messengers are not always reaching or
454 resonating with their intended audiences. Greater alignment between messenger and audience is
455 needed to ensure communication efforts are trusted, accessible, and impactful. Specifically,
456 strengthening vaccine education using trusted messengers (such as teachers, community leaders,
457 and health workers) who deliver accurate, culturally appropriate information tailored to diverse
458 audience needs is strongly recommended (54,56).

459 Community Influence and Social Norms

460 This study highlights important cross-country differences in how social norms and decision-
461 making structures influence HPV vaccination uptake in Indonesia and Nigeria. These findings
462 underscore the importance of tailoring vaccination strategies to the local sociocultural context
463 (63–65). In Indonesia, decision-making was primarily individualized and centered within the
464 household. Mothers and daughters were often the primary decision-makers, and concerns about
465 vaccination reflected personal or family-level considerations. This aligns with a systematic
466 review on health-seeking behavior in Indonesia, which found that individualized circumstances
467 were more influential than social factors (66). This suggests that in Indonesia, program strategies

468 should focus on empowering households—particularly mothers—as key agents of change.
469 Campaigns may be most effective when they emphasize the benefits of HPV vaccination for a
470 girl's health, future well-being, and academic or career success, using messaging that resonates at
471 the family level.

472 In contrast, in Nigeria, decision-making is more collective and embedded within broader
473 community dynamics. Religious and traditional leaders, along with peer networks, serve as
474 influential gatekeepers. Drawing on the socio-ecological model, health choices are not simply
475 personal— they are communal decisions, shaped by shared beliefs and group trust (67–69).
476 Thus, HPV programs in Nigeria should prioritize community-based approaches, leveraging
477 respected community figures to champion vaccination, combat misinformation, and foster a
478 culture of acceptance. Establishing peer-to-peer networks can also help reinforce accurate
479 knowledge and increase collective confidence in the vaccine (70,71).

480 These contrasts highlight a broader implication: understanding the social ecosystem—who
481 makes decisions, who influences them, and what values they are anchored in—is essential for
482 developing effective, context-sensitive strategies that can lead to higher and more equitable HPV
483 vaccination coverage. In more family-oriented settings, interventions should speak directly to the
484 family unit; in communal settings, they must ripple outward through social structures and trusted
485 voices. Recognizing who the reference groups are in each setting—whether it’s a mother, a
486 religious leader, or a peer—allows programs to align their approaches and messages with local
487 values and decision-making pathways.

488 Service Delivery: In-School vs. Out-of-School Girls

489 Effective HPV vaccination requires not only demand generation but also reliable and equitable
490 service delivery. Our findings point to important differences in how service delivery challenges
491 affect vaccine uptake in Indonesia and Nigeria, with distinct implications for reaching both in-
492 school and out-of-school girls.

493 In Indonesia, the school-based model has generally provided a consistent platform for vaccine
494 delivery. However, this approach leaves significant gaps for out-of-school girls, who often face
495 informational rather than logistical barriers (72). Moreover, the current model does not
496 adequately create a welcoming environment or recognize that girls may have questions and need
497 support during the vaccination process. Opportunities exist to improve the school experience by
498 reducing wait times and fostering support through storytelling activities and more engaging
499 interactions with teachers and health workers.

500 Service delivery challenges in Nigeria are more systemic, multifaceted, and align with the
501 existing literature on HPV barriers (73). Even when girls and caregivers were informed and
502 motivated to seek vaccination, they frequently encountered structural barriers: long wait times,
503 vaccine stockouts, transportation difficulties, and insufficient infrastructure all limited their
504 ability to access vaccines (1,73–75). These barriers particularly affect out-of-school girls, for
505 whom health services may be even more out of reach due to geographic, financial, or social
506 constraints (72).

507 These findings carry several important implications for program design. First, school-based
508 vaccination alone is insufficient to achieve equitable coverage (76). Out-of-school girls—who
509 are often among the most vulnerable—require alternative and flexible delivery mechanisms.
510 Mobile clinics, house-to-house outreach, and partnerships with community health centers and

511 religious institutions can help bridge this gap (72,76). In Indonesia, mobile outreach combined
512 with trusted local institutions may extend the reach of services beyond the classroom. In Nigeria,
513 these approaches are even more critical, given the breadth of logistical challenges that impede
514 access.

515 Second, there is an urgent need to strengthen health system capacity and planning. In Nigeria,
516 this includes improving supply chain management, investing in health infrastructure, and training
517 frontline workers to deliver vaccines more efficiently and sensitively. In Indonesia,
518 improvements in communication, standardization of procedures, and support and training for
519 health workers and teachers can improve the quality and consistency of school-based delivery.

520 Ultimately, the needs of in-school and out-of-school girls differ not just in terms of access points,
521 but also in the types of support they require. A flexible, equity-focused service delivery model
522 that recognizes these differences is essential to improving HPV vaccination coverage and
523 ensuring no girl is left behind.

524 Conclusion

525 This study is one of the few that places girls at the forefront of HPV vaccine program planning,
526 highlighting the value of designing interventions around the needs and lived experiences of the
527 end user. By centering girls, HPV vaccine programs can better tailor strategies that not only
528 improve uptake but also enhance long-term sustainability. Importantly, the findings point to the
529 potential of peer-to-peer education as a powerful strategy, leveraging girls' own social networks
530 to increase trust, correct misinformation, and strengthen vaccine confidence.

The findings underscore the critical need for context-specific, gender-responsive approaches to increase HPV vaccination in both Indonesia and Nigeria. In both settings, persistent misinformation and limited awareness call for targeted communication strategies delivered by trusted messengers. Reaching out-of-school girls also demands flexible, equity-focused service delivery models that extend beyond school-based platforms.

Ultimately, a one-size-fits-all approach is inadequate—HPV programs must be grounded in local decision-making structures, gender norms, and access barriers to ensure broader and more equitable vaccine coverage.

Acknowledgement

We want to acknowledge all the data collectors in both Indonesia and Nigeria who made the collection of this research possible. This material was produced by the HPV Vaccine Acceleration Program Partners Initiative (HAPPI) Consortium and may be used freely. The HAPPI Consortium, in collaboration with our esteemed partners, is managed by JSI together with Clinton Health Access Initiative (CHAI), the International Vaccine Access Center (IVAC) at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Jhpiego, and PATH. This research and the HAPPI consortium are funded by the Gates Foundation under grant number INV-057603.

References

1. Guillaume D, Waheed D e N, Schleiff M, Muralidharan KK, Vorsters A, Limaye RJ. Global perspectives of determinants influencing HPV vaccine introduction and scale-up in low- and middle-income countries. Thomas LF, editor. PLOS ONE. 2024 Jan 16;19(1):e0291990.

2. WHO. Cervical Cancer. 2024 [cited 2025 July 14]. Cervical cancer. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cervical-cancer

3. Giannone G, Giuliano AR, Bandini M, Marandino L, Raggi D, Earle W, et al. HPV vaccination and HPV-related malignancies: impact, strategies and optimizations toward global immunization coverage. Cancer Treat Rev. 2022 Dec;111:102467.

4. Malvolti S, Soble A, Bloem P, LaMontagne DS, Aggarwal R, Pitisuttithum P, et al. The Global Demand and Supply Balance of the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: Implications for the Global Strategy for the Elimination of Cervical Cancer. Vaccines. 2023 Dec 19;12(1):4.

5. Branda F, Pavia G, Ciccozzi A, Quirino A, Marascio N, Gigliotti S, et al. Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccination: Progress, Challenges, and Future Directions in Global Immunization Strategies. Vaccines. 2024 Nov 19;12(11):1293.

6. Marshall S, Fleming A, Sahm LJ, Moore AC. Identifying intervention strategies to improve HPV vaccine decision-making using behaviour change theory. Vaccine. 2023 Feb;41(7):1368–77.

7. Agha S, Bernard D, Francis S, Fareed A, Nsofor I. Determinants of Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Acceptance among Caregivers in Nigeria: A Fogg Behavior Model-Based Approach. Vaccines. 2024 Jan 13;12(1):84.

8. Balogun FM, Omotade OO. Parental intention to vaccinate adolescents with HPV vaccine in selected communities in Ibadan, Southwest Nigeria: an application of Integrated Behavioral Model. Hum Vaccines Immunother [Internet]. 2022 Nov 30 [cited 2025 July 9];18(5). Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21645515.2022.2069959

9. Wijayanti KE, Schütze H, MacPhail C. Parents' attitudes, beliefs and uptake of the school-based human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination program in Jakarta, Indonesia – A quantitative study. Prev Med Rep. 2021 Dec;24:101651.

10. Endarti D, Satibi -, Kristina SA, Farida MA, Rahmawanti Y, Andriani T. Knowledge, Perception, and Acceptance of HPV Vaccination and Screening for Cervical Cancer among Women in Yogyakarta Province, Indonesia. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev [Internet]. 2018 Apr [cited 2025 July 9];19(4). Available from: https://doi.org/10.22034/APJCP.2018.19.4.1105

11. Wijayanti K, Schutze H, Mac Phail C, Ivers R. Exploring Parents' Decisions Regarding HPV Vaccination for Their Daughters in Jakarta, Indonesia: A Qualitative Study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2023 Nov 1;24(11):3993–8.

12. Alarcão V, Zdravkova B. Attitudes and Practices towards HPV Vaccination and Its Social Processes in Europe: An Equity-Focused Scoping Review. Societies. 2022 Sept 18;12(5):131.

13. Wong LP, Wong PF, Megat Hashim MMAA, Han L, Lin Y, Hu Z, et al. Multidimensional social and cultural norms influencing HPV vaccine hesitancy in Asia. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2020 July 2;16(7):1611–22.

14. Sundaram N, Voo TC, Tam CC. Adolescent HPV vaccination: empowerment, equity and ethics. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2020 Aug 2;16(8):1835–40.

15. Sundstrom B, Smith E, Delay C, Luque JS, Davila C, Feder B, et al. A reproductive justice approach to understanding women's experiences with HPV and cervical cancer prevention. Soc Sci Med. 2019 July;232:289–97.

16. Tsu VD, LaMontagne DS, Atuhebwe P, Bloem PN, Ndiaye C. National implementation of HPV vaccination programs in low-resource countries: Lessons, challenges, and future prospects. Prev Med. 2021 Mar;144:106335.

17. WHO. World Health Organization. 2022 [cited 2025 Aug 5]. WHO updates recommendations on HPV vaccination schedule. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/20-12-2022-WHO-updates-recommendations-on-HPV-vaccination-schedule

18. Wijayanti KE, Schütze H, MacPhail C. Parents' attitudes, beliefs and uptake of the school-based human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination program in Jakarta, Indonesia – A quantitative study. Prev Med Rep. 2021 Dec;24:101651.

19. National Primary Health Care Developement Agency U. Gender Barriers and Behavioural and Social Drivers Analysis Immunisation in Nigeria. 2024 Apr.

20. Lubeya MK, Mwanahamuntu M, Chibwesha CJ, Mukosha M, Monde MW, Kawonga M. Implementation Strategies Used to Increase Human Papillomavirus Vaccination Uptake by Adolescent Girls in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Scoping Review. Vaccines. 2023 July 16;11(7):1246.

21. Fenton ATHR, Eun TJ, Clark JA, Perkins RB. Calling the Shots? Adolescents' Influence on Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Decision-Making During Clinical Encounters. J Adolesc Health. 2020 Apr;66(4):447–54.

22. ICO/IARC HPV Information Centre. Nigeria: Human Papillomavirus and Related Cancers, Fact Sheet 2023. Fact Sheet. 2023 Mar 10;

23. World Cancer Research Fund. Cervical cancer statistics [Internet]. Cervical Cancer Statistics. [cited 2025 July 14]. Available from: https://www.wcrf.org/preventing-cancer/cancer-statistics/cervical-cancer-statistics/

24. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Nigeria to vaccinate 7.7 million girls against leading cause of cervical cancer. 2023 [cited 2025 July 14]. Nigeria to vaccinate 7.7 million girls against leading cause of cervical cancer. Available from: https://www.gavi.org/news/media-room/nigeria-vaccinate-77-million-girls-against-leading-cause-cervical-cancer

623 25. Steen L. Achieving 71% HPV Vaccination Coverage in Nigeria [Internet]. Evans School
624 of Public Policy & Governance. 2025 [cited 2025 July 14]. Available from:
625 https://evans.uw.edu/achieving-71-hpv-vaccination-coverage-in-nigeria/

626 26. ICO/IARC Information Centre on HPV and Cancer. Indonesia: Human Papillomavirus
627 and Related Cancers, Fact Sheet 2023. Fact Sheet. 2023;

628 27. Ferlay E, Lam L, Colombet M, Pineros Z, Soerjomataram B. Global Cancer Observatory
629 Cancer Today. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2024.

630 28. WHO. Indonesia announces declaration on cervical cancer elimination [Internet]. 2023
631 [cited 2025 July 15]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/13-11-2023-indonesia-
632 announces-declaration-on-cervical-cancer-elimination

633 29. UNICEF Indonesia. A future without cervical cancer: Protecting Indonesia's girls
634 through HPV immunization | UNICEF Indonesia [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 July 17].
635 Available from: https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/health/stories/future-without-cervical-
636 cancer-protecting-indonesias-girls-through-hpv-immunization

637 30. Translation O of A to DCS for SD&. Health Ministry Expands Free HPV Vaccination
638 Coverage [Internet]. Sekretariat Kabinet Republik Indonesia. 2023 [cited 2025 July 17].
639 Available from: https://setkab.go.id/en/health-ministry-expands-free-hpv-vaccination-
640 coverage/

641 31. WHO. Immunization Data. 2024 [cited 2025 July 17]. WHO Immunization Data portal -
642 Detail Page. Available from: https://immunizationdata.who.int/global/wiise-detail-page

643 32. Design for Health, Gates Foundation, USAID CENTER FOR INNOVATION AND
644 IMPACT. Glossary of Design Terms.

645 33. Holeman I, Kane D. Human-centered design for global health equity. Inf Technol Dev.
646 2020 July 2;26(3):477–505.

647 34. Blynn E, Harris E, Wendland M, Chang C, Kasungami D, Ashok M, et al. Integrating
648 Human-Centered Design to Advance Global Health: Lessons From 3 Programs. Glob Health
649 Sci Pract. 2021 Nov 29;9(Supplement 2):S261–73.

650 35. Nzilibili SM, Maziku H, Araya A, Kateule R, Malamla MA, Salum S, et al. Using
651 Human-Centered Design to Bridge Zero-Dose Vaccine Gap: A Case Study of Ilala District in
652 Tanzania. Vaccines. 2025 Jan 6;13(1):38.

653 36. Shearer JC, Nava O, Prosser W, Nawaz S, Mulongo S, Mambu T, et al. Uncovering the
654 Drivers of Childhood Immunization Inequality with Caregivers, Community Members and
655 Health System Stakeholders: Results from a Human-Centered Design Study in DRC,
656 Mozambique and Nigeria. Vaccines. 2023 Mar 17;11(3):689.

657 37. Reñosa MDC, Bärnighausen K, Wachinger J, Endoma V, Landicho J, Aligato MF, et al.
658 Messy but worth it: human-centred design as applied within a successful vaccine-promotive
659 campaign. BMJ Glob Health. 2024 July;9(7):e014870.

660 38. Göttgens I, Oertelt-Prigione S. The Application of Human-Centered Design Approaches
661 in Health Research and Innovation: A Narrative Review of Current Practices. JMIR MHealth
662 UHealth. 2021 Dec 6;9(12):e28102.

663 39. UNICEF. Resources. 2023 [cited 2025 Aug 5]. Resources | UNICEF Human Centered
664 Design 4 Health. Available from: https://www.hcd4health.org/resources

665 40. UNICEF Health Section Implementation Research and Delivery Science Unit and the
666 Office of Innovation Global Innovation Centre. Demand for Health Services A Human-
667 Centred Field Guide for Investigating and Responding to Challenges [Internet]. 2018 Oct.
668 Available from: https://www.unicef.org/innovation/reports/demand-health-services

669 41. Wentzell EA, Inhorn MC. Reconceiving masculinity and 'men as partners' for ICPD
670 Beyond 2014: Insights from a Mexican HPV study. Glob Public Health. 2014 July
671 3;9(6):691–705.

672 42. Kwambai TK, Dellicour S, Desai M, Ameh CA, Person B, Achieng F, et al. Perspectives
673 of men on antenatal and delivery care service utilisation in rural western Kenya: a qualitative
674 study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2013 Dec [cited 2025 July 23];13(1). Available
675 from: https://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2393-13-134

676 43. Berenson AB, Laz ,Tabassum H, Hirth ,Jacqueline M, McGrath ,Christine J, and Rahman
677 M. Effect of the decision-making process in the family on HPV vaccination rates among
678 adolescents 9–17 years of age. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2014 July 7;10(7):1807–11.

679 44. Mboane R, Bhatta MP. Influence of a husband's healthcare decision making role on a
680 woman's intention to use contraceptives among Mozambican women. Reprod Health
681 [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2025 July 21];12(1). Available from: https://reproductive-health-
682 journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12978-015-0010-2

683 45. Ngom P, Debpuur C, Akweongo P, Adongo P, Binka FN. Gate-Keeping and Women's
684 Health Seeking Behaviour in Navrongo, Northern Ghana. Afr J Reprod Health. 2003
685 Apr;7(1):17.

686 46. Reuben Mahiti G, Mbekenga CK, Dennis Kiwara A, Hurtig AK, Goicolea I. Perceptions
687 about the cultural practices of male partners during postpartum care in rural Tanzania: a
688 qualitative study. Glob Health Action [Internet]. 2017 Jan [cited 2025 July 23];10(1).
689 Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/16549716.2017.1361184

690 47. Baland JM, Ziparo R. Intra-household Bargaining in Poor Countries. In: Towards Gender
691 Equity in Developement. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

692 48. Azad AD, Charles AG, Ding Q, Trickey AW, Wren SM. The gender gap and healthcare:
693 associations between gender roles and factors affecting healthcare access in Central Malawi,

694 June–August 2017. Arch Public Health [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2025 July 21];78(1).
695 Available from: https://archpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13690-020-
696 00497-w

697 49. Kumar N, Quisumbing A, Manohar S, Banerjee A, Gupta S, Chauhan A, et al.
698 Intrahousehold dynamics in South Asia: understanding the relationships among women's
699 empowerment, task sharing, women's decision making, and diets [Internet]. Cold Spring
700 Harbor Laboratory; 2025 [cited 2025 July 23]. Available from:
701 http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2025.01.08.25320196

702 50. Melillo S, Strachan R, O'Brien CJ, Wonodi C, Bormet M, Fountain D. Effects of local
703 faith-actor engagement in the uptake and coverage of immunization in low- and middle-
704 income countries: A literature review. Christ J Glob Health. 2022 June 20;9(1):2–32.

705 51. Miyoshi A, Takiuchi T, Kimura T. HPV vaccination in Japan: can educational
706 intervention promote a father's intention to encourage his daughter's vaccination? Int J Clin
707 Oncol. 2020 Apr 1;25(4):746–54.

708 52. Vereinte Nationen, editor. A new role for men: partners for women's empowerment. New
709 York: UNFPA; 1997. 16 p.

710 53. Baker P, Leon N, Colvin CJ, Griffith DM. Health policies must consider gender,
711 including men. Lancet Glob Health. 2023 Dec;11(12):e1847–8.

712 54. JSI Research & Training Institute, PATH. HPV Evidence Brief: Communications.

713 55. Internation Vaccine Acess Center, Gavi. Addressing Gender Inequities to Improve
714 Immunization Coverage for Zero-dose Childre. 2022 Dec.

715 56. Fisher H, Evans K, Ferrie J, Yates J, Roderick M, Audrey S. Young women's autonomy
716 and information needs in the schools-based HPV vaccination programme: a qualitative study.
717 BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2025 July 24];20(1). Available from:
718 https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-020-09815-x

719 57. Zimet GD, Rosberger Z, Fisher WA, Perez S, Stupiansky NW. Beliefs, behaviors and
720 HPV vaccine: Correcting the myths and the misinformation. Prev Med. 2013 Nov;57(5):414–
721 8.

722 58. Moradi S, Maboudi M. Enhancing human papillomavirus vaccination uptake: improving
723 communication and countering misinformation. Evid Based Nurs. 2025 Mar 12;ebnurs-2024-
724 104234.

725 59. Xu M, Choi J, Capasso A, DiClemente R. Improving HPV Vaccination Uptake Among
726 Adolescents in Low Resource Settings: Sociocultural and Socioeconomic Barriers and
727 Facilitators. Adolesc Health Med Ther. 2024 July;Volume 15:73–82.

728 60. JSI Research & Training Institute. Resource Guide for Strengthening HPV Vaccination
729 Service Delivery. 2023.

730 61. Njogu R, Deb Roy A, Bagudu Z, Borda H, Jurgensmeyer M, Muralidharan K, et al.
731 Effective communication by health care workers to promote HPV vaccination: Lessons
732 learned from Kenya, India, and Nigeria. Vaccine. 2024 July;42:S27–32.

733 62. Cartmell KB, Mzik CR, Sundstrom BL, Luque JS, White A, Young-Pierce J. HPV
734 Vaccination Communication Messages, Messengers, and Messaging Strategies. J Cancer
735 Educ. 2019 Oct;34(5):1014–23.

736 63. Crawshaw AF, Kitoko LM, Nkembi SL, Lutumba LM, Hickey C, Deal A, et al. Co-
737 designing a theory-informed, multicomponent intervention to increase vaccine uptake with
738 Congolese migrants: A qualitative, community-based participatory research study (LISOLO
739 MALAMU). Health Expect Int J Public Particip Health Care Health Policy. 2024
740 Feb;27(1):e13884.

741 64. Jalloh MF, Wilhelm E, Abad N, Prybylski D. Mobilize to vaccinate: lessons learned from
742 social mobilization for immunization in low and middle-income countries. Hum Vaccines
743 Immunother. 2020 May 3;16(5):1208–14.

744 65. Habib GL, Yousuf H, Bredius L, Bindraban NR, Winter MM, Scherder EJA, et al. The
745 importance of cultural tailoring of communicators and media outlets in an influenza
746 vaccination awareness campaign: a digital randomized trial. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2023 Feb 16
747 [cited 2025 July 24];13(1). Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-
748 27910-y

749 66. Widayanti AW, Green JA, Heydon S, Norris P. Health-Seeking Behavior of People in
750 Indonesia: A Narrative Review. J Epidemiol Glob Health. 2020;10(1):6.

751 67. Limaye RJ, Sara AB, Siddique AR, Vivas C, Malik S, Omonoju K. Interpersonal and
752 community influences affecting childhood vaccination decision-making among Nigerian
753 caregivers: Perceptions among frontline workers in Nigeria. J Child Health Care. 2019
754 Sept;23(3):403–14.

755 68. Akinyemi JO, Adedini SA, Odimegwu CO. Individual versus community-level measures
756 of women decisionmaking involvement and child survival in Nigeria. South Afr J Child
757 Health. 2017 Mar 31;11(1):26.

758 69. Osamor P, Grady C. FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE
759 DECISION-MAKING AUTONOMY: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA. J Biosoc
760 Sci. 2018 Jan;50(1):70–85.

761 70. Hoffman E, Kahan T, Auerbach E, Brody H, Abramson NN, Haiken S, et al. Peer
762 education as a strategy to promote vaccine acceptance: A randomized controlled trial within
763 New York community healthcare practices. Vaccine. 2024 Nov;42:126028.

764 71. Posavac EJ, Kattapong KR, Dew DE. Peer-Based Interventions to Influence Health-
765 Related Behaviors and Attitudes: A Meta-Analysis. Psychol Rep. 1999
766 Dec;85(3_suppl):1179–94.

767 72. Rosser EN, Wysong MD, Rosen JG, Limaye RJ, Park S. HPV Vaccine Delivery
768 Strategies to Reach Out-of-School Girls in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Narrative
769 Review. Vaccines. 2025 Apr 22;13(5):433.

770 73. JSI Research & Training Institute, PATH. HPV Evidence Brief: Pitfalls. 2025.

771 74. Etim EOE, Odiachi A, Dougherty L, Alabi M, Adetunji A, Adedimeji A. Understanding
772 caregivers' and community influencers' perspectives on the barriers to childhood
773 immunisation in Northern Nigerian States with public-private partnerships in routine
774 immunisation programme. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2025 Apr 21 [cited 2025 July
775 24];25(1). Available from:
776 https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-025-22710-7

777 75. Waheed DEN, Bolio A, Guillaume D, Sidibe A, Morgan C, Karafillakis E, et al.
778 Planning, implementation, and sustaining high coverage of human papillomavirus (HPV)
779 vaccination programs: What works in the context of low-resource countries? Front Public
780 Health [Internet]. 2023 Apr 14 [cited 2025 July 24];11. Available from:
781 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1112981/full

782 76. JSI Research & Training Institute, PATH. HPV Evidence Brief: Effective Delivery.
783 2025.

784