Network-based analysis of genome-wide biobank data boosts discovery of genetic associations in psoriasis

Giann Karlo Aguirre-Samboní[1,2,3*†], Gwenaëlle Lemoine[1,2,3*†], Julio Molineros[4†], Florian Massip[1,2,3†], Chloé-Agathe Azencott[1,2,3*†]

[1*]CBIO-Centre for Computational Biology, Mines Paris, PSL University, 60 boulevard Saint-Michel, Paris, F-75006, France.
[2*]Institut Curie, PSL Research University, 26 rue d'Ulm, Paris, F-75005, France.
[3*]INSERM, U1331, 26 rue d'Ulm, Paris, F-75005, France.
[4*]Johnson and Johnson Innovative Medicine, 1400 McKean Rd, Spring House, Pennsylvania, 19002, United States of America.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): giann-karlo.aguirre_samboni@minesparis.psl.eu; lemoine.gwenaelle@gmail.com; chloe-agathe.azencott@minesparis.psl.eu;
Contributing authors: jmoline1@its.jnj.com; florian.massip@minesparis.psl.eu;
†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Psoriasis is a common autoimmune disease with a strong genetic component. Targeting the *IL-23/IL-17* pathways for the treatment of moderate-severe psoriasis has proven successful, which makes it a good benchmark for other drug discovery approaches. Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) identify genetic loci associated with a phenotype (e.g. disease). However, using identified loci to understand the disease mechanisms remains challenging. In response, gene networks methods that consider gene-gene relationships have been developed. In a previous study, we showed that the combination of network methods improved the power, reproducibility, and interpretability of those approaches on a small cohort of breast cancer patients. The goal of the present study is to benchmark the performance of the same combination of network methods on a psoriasis

cohort from the UK biobank (with a particular interest on the *IL-23/IL-17* pathways). By applying this method, we identified well known psoriasis genes, demonstrating the viability of our approach. Our method further identified a small set of genes of the MRPL family robustly associated with psoriasis, demonstrating that this approach can provide insights into the potential disease mechanisms. Our study also shows that our method is applicable to large-scale biobank data to produce novel findings, even in well-studied diseases with strong genetic signal.

Keywords: Network-based methods, psoriasis, biological networks, GWAS

1 Introduction

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) analyze thousands of genotypes to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are associated with complex traits across different phenotypes. GWAS output groups of correlated SNPs that each demonstrate a statistically significant association with the trait being studied. Results from GWAS can be applied in various ways, including enhancing our understanding of the biology underlying specific phenotypes, making clinical risk predictions (Uffelmann et al. 2021), and identifying potential therapeutic targets (Buniello et al. 2019). While GWAS have successfully uncovered thousands of genetic variants associated with common diseases, they face challenges due to the high dimensionality of genomic data. First, the number of variants vastly exceeds the number of samples, which limits statistical power and restricts detection to variants with relatively large effect sizes. Second, most phenotypes are highly polygenic, influenced by thousands of SNPs with small, additive effects, making it difficult to distinguish true signals from background noise (Yang et al. 2010). Third, GWAS typically explain only a fraction of trait heritability, partly due to rare variants, epistatic interactions, and gene-environment effects not captured by standard models (Manolio et al. 2009; Zuk et al. 2012). Thus, despite the promise of large-scale initiatives such as biobanks, fully harnessing GWAS data requires analytical strategies capable of addressing these statistical and biological complexities.

One approach is to integrate GWAS results with biological networks, particularly gene interaction networks. These networks represent genes as nodes and biological relationships — such as co-expression, protein-protein interaction, or shared pathways — as edges. This interconnected structure effectively models the underlying biological mechanisms arising from gene interactions. From this perspective, complex traits and diseases emerge not from individual genes acting alone but from the interrelated action of gene networks (Silverman et al. 2020; Zitnik et al. 2024).

Biological network models help address GWAS limitations in several ways. First, they provide a framework for aggregating weak signals across genes that participate in shared functions, thereby improving power to detect relevant loci. Second, they incorporate prior biological knowledge that can guide interpretation of GWAS hits. Third, they support the local hypothesis—that genes contributing to the same phenotype

tend to cluster in the network and form disease modules (Barabási et al. 2011; Furlong 2013). Fourth, they align with both the infinitesimal model of polygenicity (Barton et al. 2017) and the omnigenic model, which posits that core trait-associated genes are influenced by a broader network of peripheral genes through regulatory interactions (Boyle et al. 2017).

Many methods have been proposed over the years to apply network biology principles to GWAS data. Starting from a gene interaction network in which genes have been assigned scores according to their relevance to a phenotype of interest, their goal is to identify modules (*i.e.* group of connected genes) with a large proportion of high scoring nodes (Leiserson et al. 2015; Dittrich et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2015; Gwinner et al. 2017). Network-based GWAS approaches compute the score of a gene from the p-values of association with the phenotype of SNPs that are mapped to this gene, typically through their proximity to the gene on the DNA sequence (Jia and Zhao 2014; Visonà et al. 2024). These methods rely on "guilt-by-association" (Farber 2013; Lee et al. 2011) to "rescue" genes with a non-significant p-value through their connection with other significant genes (Chimusa et al. 2019; Azencott 2016). However, because they formalize this question differently, different methods yield different solutions, raising the question of the reproducibility and reliability of the obtained results. To address this issue, Climente-González et al. (2021) proposed a strategy to combine such methods into a consensus network and successfully applied it to a familial breast cancer GWAS data set. While a classical GWAS had very little power on this data set, yielding only one or two significant genes, they showed that this consensus network approach significantly improved the power to discover genes associated with a disease. This suggests that the approach is a good way to compensate for lack of power.

Here, we study whether such an approach can be useful in the context of a much better powered GWAS dataset. To this end, we applied this approach to a GWAS on psoriasis – a disease with a strong polygenic basis – from the UK Biobank (UKB) (Bycroft et al. 2018). Psoriasis is a chronic disease characterized by excessive proliferation of keratinocytes and infiltration of immune cells in the dermis and epidermis (Greb et al. 2016). Our dataset integrates genotype data from about 500 000 patients stored in the UKB, along with clinical information. We show that the consensus network obtained by the combination of five different tools, namely HotNet2 (Leiserson et al. 2015), SigMod (Liu et al. 2017), Heinz (Dittrich et al. 2008), dmGWAS (Jia et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2015) and LEAN (Gwinner et al. 2017) with a protein-protein interaction network obtained from BioGRID (Stark et al. 2006; Oughtred et al. 2021), recovers both the psoriasis-related genes typically identified through GWAS, such as *IL-17*, *IL-23* and *TNF* pathways, and genes that have been previously related to psoriasis but not through GWAS, such as *MRPL* genes involved in mitochondrial translation. Overall, this work confirms the usefulness of network-based GWAS to offer a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic basis of complex traits than classical GWAS, even for relatively well-powered datasets.

2 Material and methods

2.1 UK Biobank cohort

The UK Biobank (UKB) is a large-scale, population-based study that collected detailed information from approximately half a million participants in the United Kingdom. The data collected includes biological samples as well as comprehensive health-related and demographic measures (Bycroft et al. 2018).

2.2 Phenotype definition

Our study used samples from 193 608 patients that labeled as having white British ancestry. We defined 10 105 psoriasis cases using the hospital episode statistic ICD-10 codes (L40.x codes) and primary care data codes that included the terms "psoriasis" or "psoriatic" (see full list in table S11). The remaining 183 503 samples were used as controls.

2.2.1 UKB SNP microarray dataset

Genotypic data was assayed on the UK Biobank Axiom Array, an Affymetrix array designed to represent British and European genetic diversity (Bycroft et al. 2018; Affymetrix 2020). The array assayed 784 256 single nucleotide coding variants (SNVs). Our quality control filtered out SNPs with:

- Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) lower than 1%,
- Minor Allele Count (MAC) lower than 100,
- Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p-value $<$ 1e-15), and
- genotype missingness on more than 10% of the samples.

We excluded patients who requested that their data be withdrawn. We reduced spurious associations due to potential population stratification by focusing only on white British ancestry. The final dataset contained 593 068 SNPs.

2.3 SNP-level and gene-level analysis

To investigate the association between genotype and psoriasis, we conducted a per-SNP allelic test with 1 degree of freedom using the χ^2 statistic, as implemented in PLINK version 1.9 (Chang et al. 2015).

Then, we used MAGMA (de Leeuw et al. 2015) to derive gene-level association scores from the p-values of the SNPs mapped to their corresponding genes. We decided to use MAGMA over VEGAS, as done in (Climente-González et al. 2021) mainly by two considerations: 1) VEGAS exhibited significant computational inefficiency, rendering it impractical for large-scale analyses; 2) VEGAS imposes a truncation threshold on p-values at 1×10^{-6}. This means that any p-values smaller than this threshold are arbitrarily set to 1×10^{-6} (Nakka et al. 2016). This p-value truncation presents a critical impediment for network-based analytical approaches, which frequently require the discrimination of highly significant associations with p-values well below the 1×10^{-6} threshold. The inability to accurately quantify these extreme p-values compromises

the statistical power necessary for downstream network analyses, potentially leading to the loss of biologically relevant signals and reduced sensitivity in identifying gene-gene interactions or pathway-level associations.

We mapped SNPs to genes (referred to as the annotation step in the MAGMA version 1.10 documentation (CTGLAB 2015)) using GRCh37 genomic coordinates as reference. Specifically, we mapped SNPs to a gene if they were located within a 50 kb interval of the gene's boundaries. Subsequently, we used the MAGMA to compute gene p-values, which aggregated SNPs to the genes level, and tested the joint association of all SNPs in the gene with the phenotype. MAGMA's gene analysis employs a multiple regression approach to account for linkage disequilibrium between SNPs and to detect multi-marker effects (de Leeuw et al. 2015).

2.4 Network methods

The network methods described hereafter use undirected simple graphs to connect nodes and display subnetworks (or modules). Then, a *graph* (or *network*) is a tuple $G = \langle V, E \rangle$, where V is a finite set of *vertices* (or *nodes*), and $E \subseteq \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in V \wedge x \neq y\}$ is the *relation* among vertices whose elements are called *edges*. A *subnetwork* (or *subgraph*) of G is a tuple $S_G = \langle V_S, E_S \rangle$, where $V_S \subseteq V$ and $E_S \subseteq E$ (where we drop the reference to G if no confusion can arise).

2.4.1 Protein-Protein Interaction Network

Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) describe crucial relations for the realization of molecular processes in the human body, serving as a key source of therapeutic interventions against diseases (Gao et al. 2023). PPIs can be mathematically represented as *graphs* (or networks), where proteins are nodes and interactions are edges connecting them. These interactions depict specific physical contacts between proteins within cells, which occur at defined binding regions and have distinct biological meanings, thereby serving specific functions (De-Las-Rivas and Fontanillo 2010). Additionally, proteins may be linked if they collaborate towards a common objective in a metabolic or signaling pathway, regulate one another through intermediaries, or collectively contribute to a shared cellular structure (Szklarczyk et al. 2022).

We assume that a gene interacts with another if its corresponding encoded protein exhibits an interaction within the PPI Network (PPIN). We utilized a PPI dataset from BioGRID (Stark et al. 2006; Oughtred et al. 2021; the BioGRID Team 2025) as input. We filtered the PPIN by taxonomy ID 9606 to include only interactions involving *Homo sapiens*. We then leveraged the filtered PPIN to apply various subnetwork-search algorithms to display potential gene modules related to psoriasis.

2.4.2 Subnetwork-search algorithms

Genes that contribute to the same biological function in a PPIN tend to be topographically proximate and can be related in different manners, such as densely interconnected modules, nodes surrounding a hub, through a linear path, and so forth (Yook et al. 2004; Gursoy et al. 2008). When designing a network-based method, several factors must be considered, including the scoring of nodes, number of connections per

node, constitution of many connected components or singletons, and computational efficiency. Numerous approaches have been proposed in literature for subnetworks detection within biological networks. In this work, we use five subnetwork-detection algorithms, all of them exploiting the PPIN. We selected open-source methods for which implementation and documentation were available. For these methods, we employed the default parameter values or those recommended by their respective authors.

Network-based methods for identifying disease-associated gene modules each employ unique strategies to balance connectivity, association strength, and computational efficiency: SigMod (Liu et al. 2017) and Heinz (Dittrich et al. 2008) target globally optimal or densely connected subnetworks—SigMod via a graph min-cut approach that tunes the trade-off between signal strength and interconnectivity (using parameter λ), and Heinz through integer-linear programming to solve a Prize-Collecting Steiner Tree (PCST) problem, maximizing node scores while preserving connectivity. dmGWAS (Wang et al. 2015; Jia et al. 2011) and LEAN are more heuristic and GWAS-focused, with dmGWAS using a seed-and-extend approach to iteratively build modules from GWAS-significant seeds, often producing overlapping regions, and LEAN (Gwinner et al. 2017) detecting "star-shaped" subnetworks by testing for p-value enrichment among a gene's immediate neighbors, offering simplicity and speed. HotNet2 (Leiserson et al. 2015), distinctively, uses a diffusion-based algorithm to distinguish "hot" (high-scoring) from "cold" genes, propagating node scores (e.g., p-values) through the network via an insulated heat diffusion process to uncover both known and novel subnetworks, particularly in cancer. While SigMod and Heinz prioritize dense or high-scoring modules, dmGWAS and LEAN provide scalable, GWAS-tailored alternatives, and HotNet2 bridges local and global perspectives by leveraging diffusion to reveal less obvious but biologically relevant subnetworks, often missed by purely connectivity-driven or seed-based methods.

2.4.3 Consensus network

We condensed all the different gene networks yielded by the methods into a *consensus network* that includes only genes selected by at least three of the five methods, as depicted on Fig. 1. The consensus network addresses the inherent heterogeneity of all methods. Different assumptions are taken for conceiving how a subnetwork is identified; thus we filtered genes so they would only remain in the consensus network if they were selected by three different methods. The stringency of this filter is higher than that of Climente-González et al. (2021) where they keep only genes selected by two out of six methods.

2.4.4 Stable consensus network

Following Climente-González et al. (2021), we built a *stable consensus network* to address the inherent instability of the network methods. Indeed, iterations of the pipeline on different subsets of the input data yield different gene lists. So as to establish a more robust network, we aggregate the genes most frequently selected across 25 distinct solutions, generated by applying the five network-based methods to five different subsets (5-fold subsampling setting) of 80% of the samples (see Fig. S3 for



Fig. 1: General workflow for constructing the consensus network.

an illustration of this pipeline). This stable consensus network aims to identify subnetworks that are frequently altered, thereby enhancing its resilience to noise and instability. Additionally, the stable consensus approach still accounts for the heterogeneity of each method by evaluating their results across various datasets. We followed the criterion established in Climente-González et al. (2021), where the choice of keeping genes selected at least 7 times out of 30 resulted in 68 genes. In our case, we have a total of 25 solutions, and keeping genes selected at least 7 times retained 258 genes, which is approximately 13% of all genes selected at least once, see Fig. S4.

2.5 Pathway enrichment analysis

We searched for potentially enriched pathways across modules and the consensus network. To do this, we included modules of interest in separate queries. The background gene set was chosen as the intersection of the gene-analysis outcome (with corresponding p-values, see Section 2.3) and the PPIN (the BioGRID Team 2025). We used Enrichr and Enrichr-KG online tools (Chen et al. 2013; Kuleshov et al. 2016; Xie et al. 2021). From the report given by the online tool, we downloaded the table for pathways enriched in the Reactome database (Milacic et al. 2023) and kept only significantly enriched pathways with two or more genes in overlap (> 1), and adjusted p-value < 0.05.

2.6 Code and data availability

We implemented a computational pipeline to facilitate the execution of steps in the GWAS analyses, including the gene annotation of SNPs, computation of gene scores, and the application of the five distinct network methods. Our pipeline is designed to be versatile and can be applied to any GWAS dataset, enabling the efficient execution of these processes, https://github.com/giannkas/gwas-bionets2/. We have no authorization nor agreements to share genotype data publicly for confidentiality and privacy reasons.

3 Results

3.1 SNP-level and gene-level analyses

We identified 2 708 significantly psoriasis-associated SNPs ($P < 5{\times}10^{-8}$) using PLINK 1.9 for 593 068 SNPs after quality control (see Methods, Section 2.2.1). 2 584 significant SNPs (95.42% of 2 708) mapped to at least one gene, and we annotated 240 genes (1.24% of 19 427 genes in GRCh37/hg19 (Church et al. 2011; Consortium 2009)), with at least one significant SNP mapped to each of them. All 240 genes are labeled as *cGWAS* in Fig. 4.

Moreover, we used all 593 068 SNP p-values after the allelic association tests to annotate and compute gene p-values. We annotated 18 399 genes (94.70% of 19 427 genes in GRCh37/hg19 (Church et al. 2011; Consortium 2009)), meaning that at least one SNP was mapped to each of them. A large majority of SNPs in the array (401 659, 67.73% of 593 068) mapped to at least one gene.

Gene-wise analysis with MAGMA yielded 18 086 genes, of which 434 passed the gene-wise significance thresholds of $P < 0.05$ and $FDR < 1.19{\times}10^{-3}$. We found 11 837 genes in the BioGRID PPI network. Among these, 10 327 (or 87.24%) of the human genes are in common between the PPI network and the MAGMA output. MAGMA p-values were used as the initial scores for the network methods (see Fig. 1). Fig. 2 shows a Manhattan plot of the p-values of these genes. All 434 genes are labeled as *MAGMABH* in Fig. 4.



Fig. 2: Manhattan plot of genes; in color and with labels some of the genes in the consensus network (128 genes or green data points in total). The red line indicates the Benjamini-Hochberg threshold (1.19×10^{-3} for a significance threshold of 0.05). The names of some genes connected in the consensus network are bold, although not all are significant and are dispersed throughout the genome.

3.2 Subnetwork identification

The algorithms rely on gene p-values to identify interesting subnetworks (or subgraphs, or modules) of the PPI network. The number of genes selected by each method varied from 22 to 693 (Heinz: 693, HotNet2: 454, SigMod: 204, dmGWAS: 147, LEAN: 22). Fig. 3 compares all the genes selected by the five methods, with genes that passed Benjamini-Hochberg correction (MAGMABH), and the annotated genes from significant SNPs through a classical GWAS approach (cGWAS). Note that because network approaches exclude isolated significant genes (272 genes, including only MAGMABH or cGWAS fields), none of the different methods selected one of them as part of the consensus. Additionally, network-methods allows selection of non-significant genes (840 genes, excluding only MAGMABH or cGWAS fields), missed by GWAS and MAGMA.

While Heinz (Dittrich et al. 2008) sought the highest-scored subnetwork and LEAN (Gwinner et al. 2017) searched "star-shaped" subnetworks with one central gene, HotNet2 (Leiserson et al. 2015), SigMod (Liu et al. 2017), and dmGWAS (Wang et al. 2015) identified strongly connected subnetworks or modules within the PPI network likely associated with psoriasis (Fig. S1 shows the topology produced by the five different network-based methods). In contrast, most significant genes in cGWAS and MAGMABH appeared alone, which complicates functional interpretation and justifies the use of network methods.

3.3 Consensus network

We computed a consensus among the five methods by selecting only those genes identified by at least three methods (Fig. 4). The resulting consensus network contains 128 genes, 44 (34.4% of all selected genes) of which were exclusively selected by network-based methods. These genes allowed us to identify modules of psoriasis-related genes that would not have been detected otherwise. The consensus network contains three modules, the largest one containing 43.7% of all selected genes, including *TNF*, *NFκB* and *HLA* genes. The *NFκB-HLA* module connects highly interconnected genes (such as *ERBB3* associated genes) with multiple cGWAS or MAGMABH genes. This module only appears as such because network methods have added non-significant genes through which to connect signifcant genes. For example, the genes *CTSB, CLEC16A, S100A10, RIPK3, INSRR, ERLIN1*, and *GRB7* — which connect to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genes such as *TAP2*, *HSPA1A*, and *HLA*, as well as *TNF* —, were selected exclusively by the network methods.

Additionally, our results highlight the role of a module of mitochondrial ribosomal proteins (*MRPL* and *MRPS*, Fig. 4). Although some of these genes were already identified as significant by MAGMABH (*MRPS18B, CS, VARS2, MRPL38* and *MRPL4*, see Fig. 2), MRPL-family genes identified in the consensus network are connected together because *MRPL24* was selected by three network-based methods (Heinz, HotNet2 and SigMod, see 4). Nevertheless, there are 61 genes that do not belong to modules with more than four connected nodes (Fig. 4), suggesting they are consensus genes in distinct modules estimated by different network methods.

We also evaluated how well the consensus network recovered known psoriasis genes (Fig. 5). Genes associated with psoriasis in previous meta-GWAS (Tsoi et al. 2017;



Fig. 3: UpSet plot for representing all the common genes across different intersections of gene sets produced by the network-based methods. MAGAMBH corresponds to gene p-values outputted by MAGMA after applying the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, cGWAS corresponds to gene p-values mapped from SNP p-values after the association test computed using PLINK v1.9.

Ran et al. 2019; Dand et al. 2025; Zhang et al. 2025), as well as pathways involving *IL-17*, *IL-23*, *TNF*, *IL-1*, *IFN-*γ, *IFN*-mediated, *IL-22*, *IL-6*, *IL-9*, *NF-*κ*B*, *JAK-STAT*, *MAPK*, *PI3K-AKT* and *WNT* genes (Tsoi et al. 2012; Belinky et al. 2015; Girolomoni et al. 2019; Nair et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2023) were present in the consensus network. The consensus network included genes in the *IL-23*/*IL-17* axis (central to psoriasis pathogenesis). These pathways drive Th17 cell activation, neutrophil recruitment, and keratinocyte hyperproliferation (Dhillon-LaBrooy et al. 2024; Liu et al. 2020). Tumor Necrosis Factor (*TNF*) amplifies inflammation by inducing pro-inflammatory mediators (e.g., growth factors, adhesion molecules, and chemokines), which promote immune cell infiltration into the skin and synergize with *IL-17*/*IL-23* signaling (Scarpa et al. 2006; Baliwag et al. 2015). Together, these cytokines create a feed-forward loop that sustains chronic inflammation and epidermal hyperplasia, contributing to the



Fig. 4: Consensus network built by choosing those genes that were selected in at least 3 of the 5 methods. The network contains 128 nodes corresponding to genes. Methods and genes are highlighted to depict which method (Methods, pie chart) selected one particular gene, and if a gene (Gene source, colored labels) was also found significant in classical GWAS (cGWAS), or by MAGMA analysis after Benjamini-Hochberg correction (MAGMABH).

cytokine-rich milieu characteristic of psoriatic lesions (Liu et al. 2020; Menter et al. 2021).

3.4 Stable consensus network

We evaluated the robustness of each method to data perturbation. To this end, we built a stable consensus network using a similar approach to (Climente-González et al. 2021). We kept genes selected in at least 7 out of 25 solutions (as in (Climente-González et al. 2021)) as shown in the resulting stable consensus network (Fig. S4) made of 258 genes. Of the total of 128 genes selected in the consensus network, 119 genes (92.96%) are also present in the stable consensus network, indicating that the majority of these



(a) Highlighting otherwise known psoriasis genes

(b) Highlighting *IL-17*/*IL-23*/*TNF*/*IL-1*/*IFN-γ*/*IFN*-mediated/*IL-22*/*IL-6*/*NF-κB*/*JAK-STAT*/*MAPK*/*PI3K-AKT*/*Wnt* pathways.

Fig. 5: Consensus network comprising 128 genes built by choosing those genes selected in at least 3 methods out of 5. The first network (a) highlights genes in common with known gene lists from Ran et al. (2019)(■), Tsoi et al. (2017) (■), Dand et al. (2025) (■) and Zhang et al. (2025) (■). The second network (b) highlights genes in common with *IL-17* (■), *IL-23* (■), *TNF* (■), *IL-1* (■), *IFN-γ* (■), *IFN*-mediated (■), *IL-22* (■), *IL-6* (■), *NF-κB* (■), *JAK-STAT* (■), *MAPK* (■), *PI3K-AKT* (■) and *WNT* (■) pathways.

genes are stable. Fig. S2 shows the stable consensus network, overlaid with sets of genes with strong evidence for involvement in the pathogenesis of psoriasis.

Many of these psoriatic genes were identified in the stable consensus network (81 genes), and in the consensus network (44 genes). We found 43 psoriatic genes in common between the consensus network and the stable consensus network: *MFN2, HLA-G, IFIH1, ERBB3, FAS, CDC37, HSPA1A, NOS2, NFKBIA, TNF, PSMB8, PSMA6, ETS1, REL, RPS26, FGF2, DNMT3B, STAT2, RPS6KA4, IRF1, ELL, HLA-A, HLA-C, CAMK2G, ITPR3, LTA, UBD, LTB, RUNX3, PTPN2, FBXO45, TYK2, PSMB9, PSMB10, HLA-B, HSPA1B, COG6, HSPA1L, LPP, TRIM65, B3GNT2, IFNLR1, CLEC16A* (Tsoi et al. 2012; Dand et al. 2025; Girolomoni et al. 2019; Nair et al. 2009; Ran et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2025). The *GRB7* gene was only present in the consensus network and is part of *IL-23* pathway. The remaining 38 genes

in the stable consensus network belonged to the same pathways and meta-GWAS-psoriatic genes shared by both networks. *IL-9* pathway did not appear in either of the two networks.

We detected no relevant modules beyond those already identified in the consensus network, considering the level of stringency and module detection in the stable consensus network (genes present in at least 7 solutions; see Fig. S3). The consensus network effectively detected significant associated subnetworks within the larger module in the stable consensus network. Therefore, we will focus on the consensus network (see Fig. 4) for the remainder of the paper unless otherwise specified.

3.5 Gene set enrichment analysis

We performed gene set enrichment analyses using Reactome Pathways (Milacic et al. 2023) for each of the 3 modules of the consensus network (containing at least five genes), as well as for the whole consensus network. As expected, the whole consensus network shows strong enrichment in pathways involving genes in the 6p21 and 6p22 regions such as *HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, HLA-G, HSPA1A, HSPA1B, HSPA1L, PSMB8, PSMB9, TAP1, TAP2, TAPBP, NCR3* and *TRIM31* confirming expected associations between these pathways and psoriasis etiology (Greb et al. 2016) (see Tab. S6 and Tab. S5).

One of the small modules containing five genes (*NELFE, SNAI2, FBXO45, IFNLR1*, and *NOS2*) presents no enrichment meeting our criteria (refer to Methods, Section 2.5). The second module, made of six genes, namely *PSMB10, PSMB9, PSMB8, PSMA6, UBD* and *PSMG2*, is enriched for the following pathways: Proteasome Assembly, Post-translational Protein Modification and Metabolism of Proteins (see Tab. S2 and Tab. S1 for further details).

The largest module in the consensus network contains 56 genes, and is enriched for several pathways in common (22 in total) with the full consensus network (see Tab. S4 and Tab. S3). However, 16 significant pathways were detected exclusively in the 56-gene module, while 14 significant pathways were identified only in the full consensus network. Among the 16 pathways found solely in the 56-gene module, four do not include genes from the MHC: ERBB2 Activates PTK6 Signaling, ERBB2 Regulates Cell Motility, PI3K Events in ERBB2 Signaling and SHC1 Events in ERBB2 Signaling — all identified by the same two genes: *ERBB3* and *NRG1*. The remaining 12 pathways are composed either of a majority of genes located in the 6p21 or 6p22 cytogenic bands (HSF1 Activation, Class I MHC Mediated Antigen Processing & Presentation, Neutrophil Degranulation, HSP90 Chaperone Cycle for Steroid Hormone Receptors (SHR) in the Presence of Ligand, Mitochondrial Unfolded Protein Response (UPRmt), ABC-family Proteins Mediated Transport, PKR-mediated Signaling, RHOBTB2 GTPase Cycle), or of interactions involving a greater number of genes outside these regions. For instance, the genes *MRPL4* (19p13.2), *MRPL24* (1q23.1), *MRPL38* (17q25.1), and *MRPS18B* (6p21.33) (Safran et al. 2021) had strong enrichment in pathways related to mitochondrial function (Table S3 and Table S4), more specifically, in mitochondrial translation. To our knowledge, the association

between these pathways and psoriasis has never been proposed. Nevertheless, the association is consistent with previous studies that have identified a relationship between mitochondrial function, mitoribosome genes, and psoriasis (Therianou et al. 2019; Alwehaidah et al. 2022; Dhillon-LaBrooy et al. 2024; Huo et al. 2025; Gu et al. 2015). These studies utilized complementary approaches, including gene expression analysis, mitochondrial protein quantification, measurement of circulating mitochondrial DNA — as a biomarker for psoriasis —, functional inhibition of mitochondrial translation, and Mendelian-randomization studies.

Furthermore, we also performed gene set enrichment analysis for the biggest module in the stable consensus network and the full network itself (see Tab. S7, Tab. S8, Tab. S9, Tab. S10). In particular, both analyses yielded the same list of pathways enriched in either the 215-gene module or the full network, suggesting that the isolated genes added by the full stable consensus network provide no further biological interpretation. We conducted exhaustive comparisons between the 56-gene module, the consensus network, and the stable consensus network to assess shared and non-shared pathways, including those involving genes in the MHC region as well as genes outside this region (see Tab. S12 - Tab. S19). The comparison between the consensus network and the stable consensus network identified 23 pathways in common, while 13 and 33 pathways were exclusively detected in each network, respectively. Although the 56-gene module did not share as many pathways with the stable consensus network as it did with the consensus network, it still demonstrated overlap, with 14 pathways shared between the two, while 24 and 42 pathways were enriched individually in each network, respectively.

4 Discussion

The ability of GWAS to uncover the underlying mechanisms of complex diseases has been subject to discussion (Boyle et al. 2017). A key challenge is linked to the *omnigenic model*, which posits that gene functions are intricately connected in a dense network. This model suggests that as GWAS sample sizes increase, they will inevitably identify widespread pleiotropy, which may not provide meaningful insights. On the other hand, the traditional statistical framework of GWAS can be overly conservative and underpowered, hindering the discovery of novel associations. To address these limitations, several network-based methods have been developed. They leverage both association scores and pre-established interaction networks to contextualize the biological relationships between genes and SNPs. The network methods we employ are gene-centric and can be applied to various types of biological networks, reflecting the inherent biological functions that arise from interactions among biomolecules. In this study, we applied five of those methods to SNP array data from the UK Biobank, focusing on psoriasis as a phenotype.

We evaluated the effectiveness of a consensus network approach in analyzing a large dataset with substantial genetic signals. In other words, we determined whether combining different network-methods for identifying gene modules in a protein interaction network can enhance the identification of genes associated with psoriasis, compared to classical GWAS.

All five methods—Heinz, HotNet2, SigMod, dmGWAS, and LEAN—produced compelling results (see Fig. S1), allowing for the identification of gene modules or subnetworks. In some cases, the consensus of these methods revealed genes associated with biological pathways that had no prior connection to psoriasis. In particular, as shown in Fig. 4, the connection of seven genes *DDX28, MRPL38, MRPL4, MRPL24, CS, VARS2*, and *MRPS18B* was selected by three methods. Notably, 5 out of 7 genes in this subnetwork were identified as significant after applying the Benjamini-Hochberg correction to MAGMA gene p-values. However, *DDX28* and *MRPL24* were exclusively identified by these methods that enables the connections with all others five, underscoring the valuable contribution of a network-based approach to genotype-phenotype associations in well-powered datasets with strong genetic signals. As previously detailed (see Section 3.5), *MRPL4, MRPS18B, MRPL38* and *MRPL24* are enriched in biological pathways related to mitochondrial functions, including Mitochondrial Translation Initiation, Mitochondrial Translation Elongation, Mitochondrial Translation Termination, and overall Mitochondrial Translation using the Reactome database (Milacic et al. 2023).

While previous psoriasis research has characterized individual ribosomal proteins (e.g. *RPL9, RPL3, RPS8, RPL11, RPL22, RPS3A, RPL7A, RPS5, RPS27, EEF1A1*) (Liu et al. 2024; Swindell et al. 2015; Zeng et al. 2021) as well as ribosomal biogenesis (Liu et al. 2025) as likely contributing to psoriasis progression, the coordinated association of mitochondrial ribosomal proteins *MRPL4, MRPS18B, MRPL38* and *MRPL24* as a functional gene set specifically related to the mitochondrial translation machinery represents a novel finding.

These are not entirely unprecedented: the UK Biobank PheWeb analyses (Gagliano et al. 2020) suggest that *MRPL4* and *MRPS18B* lie in a region nominally associated with psoriasis. Additionally, mitochondrial dysfunction (Huo et al. 2025), mitochondrial translation signaling in $V\gamma4+T$ cells (Dhillon-LaBrooy et al. 2024) together with mtDNA secretion (Therianou et al. 2019) have been suggested as potential causal factors for the increased risk of psoriasis. However, these four-mitoribosome genes have never been collectively associated with the pathogenesis of psoriasis.

Moreover, DEAD-Box Helicase 28 (*DDX28*) has been shown to be downregulated (compared to healthy controls) in psoriatic arthritis, a complication of psoriasis (Stoeckman et al. 2006). *DDX28* is part of a family implicated in immune signaling (Rao and Mahmoudi 2022) and plays an essential role in early stages of mitoribosome large subunit biogenesis (Tu and Barrientos 2015).

Additionally, *VARS2* has been demonstrated to be associated with psoriasis in a study applying Mendelian-randomization on a population of European ancestry (Li et al. 2025), and in another research following a GWAS in the Taiwanese population (Yang et al. 2024). *VARS2* encodes mitochondrial valyl-tRNA synthetase, a key enzyme in mitochondrial protein synthesis that catalyzes the attachment of the amino acid valine to its corresponding transfer RNA for mitochondrial translation (Uhlén et al. 2015).

Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, previous research has found no direct association between psoriasis and citrate synthase (*CS*). We propose that any potential association may arise from altered citrate and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle

metabolite pools in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, such as reduced citrate levels in the urine of patients with higher disease activity in psoriatic arthritis (Alonso et al. 2016; Koussiouris et al. 2021). Additionally, perturbation of the citrate-acetyl-CoA axis (via ATP-citrate lyase, *ACLY*) may link mitochondrial citrate to lipid synthesis (Rodriguez et al. 2012) and histone acetylation (Lozoya et al. 2019), both of which are processes modulated by keratinocyte hyperproliferation and *IL-17*–driven inflammation (Kao et al. 2025; Kulkoviene et al. 2025).

We identified various meta-GWAS studies providing a list of genes associated with psoriasis, and signaling pathways driving psoriasis pathogenesis. The number of gene lists and pathways constituted a total of 18 sources to validate our findings. In the consensus network, we found 44 of 128 genes included in one or several of those psoriatic-validated sources. From the 44 genes, (see Fig. 5) some remained separated from the larger central subnetwork and were worth enriching — they contained at least five connected nodes. For example, we performed gene set enrichment analysis on the *NELFE-SNAI2-FBXO45-NOS2-IFNLR1*, but the result did not meet our criteria. However, *FBXO45* is included in the list of genes identified in (Dand et al. 2025), *IFNLR1* is noted in (Tsoi et al. 2017) and is implicated in the interferon-mediated pathway, which is crucial in the inflammatory cascade associated with the pathogenesis of psoriasis (Guo et al. 2023). *NOS2* is identified in two studies (Tsoi et al. 2017; Ran et al. 2019), and included in three pathways: *IL-23*, *IL-1* and *PI3K-AKT*.

NELFE (Negative Elongation Factor Complex Member E) is a subunit of the NELF complex located in the MHC region at 6p21.33 (Safran et al. 2021). This complex is essential for macrophage activation and inflammatory responses. A study indicates that NELF deficiency diminishes *IL-10* production and attenuates pro-inflammatory cytokines (Yu et al. 2020), suggesting a plausible link to psoriasis. *SNAI2* (Snail Family Transcriptional Repressor 2, also known as *SLUG*) plays a critical role in keratinocyte function relevant to skin inflammatory disorders, regulating epidermal progenitor cell states and differentiation (Mistry et al. 2014). *SNAI2* is induced through the *TLR3-SLUG-VDR* axis following skin injury, enhancing wound healing by modulating *IL-36*γ expression, which is significant in psoriasis pathogenesis (Tortola et al. 2012; Jiang et al. 2017; Guo et al. 2023). Despite being primarily studied in wound healing, *SNAI2* influences inflammatory responses by regulating *IL-36* family members, which are elevated in psoriatic skin (Jiang et al. 2017).

Another module comprising previously identified psoriatic genes, yet too small for enrichment analysis, includes *TRIM40, IFIH1, TRIM65*, and *USP3*. Meta-GWAS studies support associations between *TRIM65* (Tsoi et al. 2017) and *IFIH1* (Ran et al. 2019; Tsoi et al. 2017). Conversely, *TRIM40* is linked with the risk of guttate psoriasis (Wang et al. 2025) and functions as an inhibitor of *NF*κ*B* activation (Yang and Xia 2021). Notably, the *TRIM40* variant *rs757262* exhibits varying risk profiles across autoimmune diseases (Cagliani et al. 2011). *USP3* (Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 3) is notable for its deubiquitinase and histone binding activities (Bethesda MD). Deubiquitinases play vital roles in modulating inflammatory responses (Li et al. 2021); *USP3* reduces *IFN-I* responses (Cui et al. 2013), and the *IL-36/IFN-I* axis is implicated in extracutaneous inflammation in psoriasis (Catapano et al. 2020).

In this study, we worked solely on SNP array data. However, the UK Biobank also contains whole-exome sequencing data (Backman et al. 2021; Szustakowski et al. 2021), and whole-genome sequencing (Carss et al. 2025), including a large number of— rare— variants. Therefore, an interesting direction for future work would be to test robustness of the workflow with a greater number of variants and incorporate rare variants to the pipeline. A large number of studies has already been published about incorporating rare variants in GWAS, see for example (Lee et al. 2014; Goswami et al. 2021). We would expect that the inclusion of rare variants would enhance subnetwork identification within a biological network and provide more meaningful gene pathways worth analyzing.

Moreover, network-based methods formalize the "guilt-by-association" assumption differently, leading to distinct but valid outcomes. Therefore, findings from our work may also be influenced by the choice of these methods. Since many network-based methods for GWAS have been proposed in recent years (Zhu et al. 2021; Muzio et al. 2023; Yilmaz et al. 2021; Carlin et al. 2019; Reyna et al. 2018; Visonà et al. 2024), they could be integrated into our pipeline to enhance the analysis.

The choice of PPIN can significantly impact the final output, as different databases may compile distinct interactions. In this study, we opted to use interactions from the BioGRID (Stark et al. 2006; Oughtred et al. 2021; the BioGRID Team 2025) database. Nevertheless, choosing a different PPIN source could lead to different insights, as suggested by Huang et al. (2018) where larger networks were more useful on identifying disease-related genes than smaller networks. On the other hand, potentially smaller but more specific networks, such as tissue-specific interactions, for example from IID (Kotlyar et al. 2015), could also be more appropriate. In addition, one could also use other type of networks, such as tissue-specific co-expression networks (Sabik et al. 2021). A comprehensive evaluation of the use of multiple interactomes could be the subject of future work.

Overall, this study confirms the usefulness of network-based GWAS in providing a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic basis of complex traits than classical GWAS, even in relatively well-powered data sets.

Fundings

This work was supported in part by the French government under management of Agence Nationale de la Recherche as part of the "Investissements d'avenir" program, reference ANR-19-P3IA-0001 (PRAIRIE 3IA Institute), and by funding from Janssen Research & Development.

References

Affymetrix (2020) Affymetrix axiom® uk biobank. URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GPL28377, accessed: 2024-10-25

Alonso A, Julià A, Vinaixa M, et al (2016) Urine metabolome profiling of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. BMC Medicine 14(133). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-016-0681-8

Alwehaidah MS, AlFadhli S, Al-Kafaji G (2022) Leukocyte mitochondrial dna copy number is a potential non-invasive biomarker for psoriasis. PLOS ONE 17(6):e0270714. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270714

Azencott CA (2016) Network-guided biomarker discovery. In: Holzinger A (ed) Machine Learning for Health Informatics: State-of-the-Art and Future Challenges. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 319–336, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-50478-0_16

Backman JD, Li AH, Marcketta A, et al (2021) Exome sequencing and analysis of 454,787 uk biobank participants. Nature 599(7886):628–634. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04103-z

Baliwag J, Barnes D, Johnston A (2015) Cytokines in psoriasis. Cytokine 73(2):342–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2014.12.014

Barabási AL, Gulbahce N, Loscalzo J (2011) Network medicine: a network-based approach to human disease. Nature Reviews Genetics 12(1):56–68. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2918

Barton N, Etheridge A, Véber A (2017) The infinitesimal model: Definition, derivation, and implications. Theoretical Population Biology 118:50–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2017.06.001

Belinky F, Nativ N, Stelzer G, et al (2015) Pathcards: multi-source consolidation of human biological pathways. Database: the journal of biological databases and curation, 2015 2015(bav006):1–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bav006

the BioGRID Team (2025) Physical multi-validated interactions for the entire biogrid dataset. URL https://downloads.thebiogrid.org/File/BioGRID/Release-Archive/BIOGRID-4.4.249/BIOGRID-MV-Physical-4.4.249.tab2.zip, accessed: 2025-08-25

Boyle E, Li Y, Pritchard J (2017) An expanded view of complex traits: from polygenic to omnigenic. Cell 169(7):1177–1186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.038

Buniello A, MacArthur J, Cerezo M, et al (2019) The nhgri-ebi gwas catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic acids research 47(D1):D1005–D1012. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1120

Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al (2018) The uk biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 562(7726):203–209. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z

Cagliani R, Riva S, Pozzoli U, et al (2011) Balancing selection is common in the extended mhc region but most alleles with opposite risk profile for autoimmune diseases are neutrally evolving. BMC Ecology and Evolution 11(171). https://doi.

org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-171

Carlin DE, Fong SH, Qin Y, et al (2019) A fast and flexible framework for Network-Assisted Genomic Association. iScience 16:155–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.05.025

Carss K, Halldorsson BV, Hou L, et al (2025) Whole-genome sequencing of 490,640 uk biobank participants. Nature 645(8081):692–701. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-09272-9

Catapano M, Vergnano M, Romano M, et al (2020) Il-36 promotes systemic ifn-i responses in severe forms of psoriasis. Journal of Investigative Dermatology 140(4):816–826.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2019.08.444

Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, et al (2015) Second-generation plink: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience 4(1):s13742–015–0047–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8

Chen E, Tan C, Kou Y, et al (2013) Enrichr: interactive and collaborative html5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. BMC Bioinformatics 14(1):128. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-128

Chimusa E, Dalvie S, Dandara C, et al (2019) Post genome-wide association analysis: dissecting computational pathway/network-based approaches. Briefings in bioinformatics 20(2):690–700. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bby035

Church D, Schneider V, Graves T, et al (2011) Modernizing reference genome assemblies. PLoS biology 9(7):e1001091. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001091

Climente-González H, Lonjou C, Lesueur F, et al (2021) Boosting gwas using biological networks: A study on susceptibility to familial breast cancer. PLoS Computational Biology 17(3):e1008819. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008819

Consortium GR (2009) Genome assembly grch37. URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000001405.13/, accessed: 2024-10-25

CTGLAB (2015) Magma. URL https://cncr.nl/research/magma/, accessed: 2024-10-25

Cui J, Song Y, Li Y, et al (2013) Usp3 inhibits type i interferon signaling by deubiquitinating rig-i-like receptors. Cell Research 24(4):400–416. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2013.170

Dand N, Stuart P, Bowes J, et al (2025) Gwas meta-analysis of psoriasis identifies new susceptibility alleles impacting disease mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Nature Communications 16(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-56719-8

De-Las-Rivas J, Fontanillo C (2010) Protein–protein interactions essentials: Key concepts to building and analyzing interactome networks. PLOS Computational Biology 6(6):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000807

Dhillon-LaBrooy A, Braband KL, Tantawy E, et al (2024) Inhibition of Mitochondrial Translation Ameliorates Imiquimod-Induced Psoriasis-Like Skin Inflammation by Targeting Vγ4+ $\gamma\delta$ T Cells. The Journal of Investigative Dermatology 144(4):844–854.e2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2023.09.275

Dittrich M, Klau G, Rosenwald A, et al (2008) Identifying functional modules in protein-protein interaction networks: an integrated exact approach. Bioinformatics 24(13):i223–i231. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn161

Farber C (2013) Systems-level analysis of genome-wide association data. G3 Genes—Genomes—Genetics 3(1):119–129. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.004788

Furlong L (2013) Human diseases through the lens of network biology. Trends in Genetics 29(3):150–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2012.11.004

Gagliano S, VandeHaar P, Boughton A, et al (2020) Exploring and visualizing large-scale genetic associations by using pheweb. Nature Genetics 52(6):550–552. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0622-5

Gao Z, Jiang C, Zhang J, et al (2023) Hierarchical graph learning for protein–protein interaction. Nature Communications 14(1):1093. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36736-1

Girolomoni G, Strohal R, Puig L, et al (2019) The role of il-23 and the il-23/t(h) 17 immune axis in the pathogenesis and treatment of psoriasis. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV 31(10):1616–1626. https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.14433

Goswami C, Chattopadhyay A, Chuang EY (2021) Rare variants: data types and analysis strategies. Annals of Translational Medicine 9(12). https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-1635, URL https://atm.amegroups.org/article/view/69229

Greb J, Goldminz A, Elder J, et al (2016) Psoriasis. Nature Reviews Disease Primers 2(16082). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2016.82

Gu X, Nylander E, Coates P, et al (2015) Oxidation reduction is a key process for successful treatment of psoriasis by narrow-band uvb phototherapy. Acta dermatovenereologica 95(2):140–146. https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-1905

Guo J, Zhang H, Lin W, et al (2023) Signaling pathways and targeted therapies for psoriasis. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy 8(437). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01655-6

Gursoy A, Keskin O, Nussinov R (2008) Topological properties of protein interaction networks from a structural perspective. Biochemical Society Transactions 36(6):1398–1403. https://doi.org/10.1042/bst0361398

Gwinner F, Boulday G, Vandiedonck C, et al (2017) Network-based analysis of omics data: the lean method. Bioinformatics 33(5):701–709. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw676

Huang J, Carlin D, Yu M, et al (2018) Systematic evaluation of molecular networks for discovery of disease genes. Cell Systems 6(4):484–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2018.03.001

Huo YP, Chang CJ, Chen Y, et al (2025) Causal effect of mitochondrial function on psoriasis: A mendelian randomization study. Dermatologica Sinica https://doi.org/10.4103/ds.DS-D-25-00013

Jia P, Zhao Z (2014) Network-assisted analysis to prioritize gwas results: principles, methods and perspectives. Human Genetics 133(2):125–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-013-1377-1

Jia P, Zheng S, Long J, et al (2011) dmgwas: dense module searching for genome-wide association studies in protein–protein interaction networks. Bioinformatics 27(1):95–102. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq615

Jiang Z, Liu Y, Li C, et al (2017) Il-36γ induced by the tlr3-slug-vdr axis promotes wound healing via reg3a. Journal of Investigative Dermatology 137(12):2620–2629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.07.820

Kao YS, Lauterbach M, Lopez A, et al (2025) Metabolic reprogramming of interleukin-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ t cells promotes acc1-mediated de novo lipogenesis under psoriatic conditions. Nature metabolism 7(5):966–984. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-025-01276-z

Kotlyar M, Pastrello C, Sheahan N, et al (2015) Integrated interactions database: tissue-specific view of the human and model organism interactomes. Nucleic Acids Research 44(D1):D536–D541. URL https://iid.ophid.utoronto.ca/

Koussiouris J, Looby N, Anderson M, et al (2021) Metabolomics studies in psoriatic disease: A review. Metabolites 11(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo11060375

Kuleshov M, Jones M, Rouillard A, et al (2016) Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. Nucleic acids research 44(W1):W90–W97. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw377

Kulkoviene G, Uldukyte M, Haluts S, et al (2025) Psoriasis-like inflammation induces structural and functional changes in mitochondria. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.05.611357

Lee I, Blom UM, Wang P, et al (2011) Prioritizing candidate disease genes by network-based boosting of genome-wide association data. Genome research 21(7):1109–1121. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.118992.110

Lee S, Abecasis GR, Boehnke M, et al (2014) Rare-variant association analysis: Study designs and statistical tests. The American Journal of Human Genetics 95(1):5–23. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.06.009, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002929714002717

de Leeuw C, Mooji J, Heskes T, et al (2015) Magma: Generalized gene-set analysis of gwas data. PLoS Computational Biology 11(4):e1004219. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004219

Leiserson M, Vandim F, Wu HT, et al (2015) Pan-cancer network analysis identifies combinations of rare somatic mutations across pathways and protein complexes. Nature Genetics 47:106–114. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3168

Li Y, Luo J, Tian D, et al (2025) Hla-dob: A key "coordinator" between cutaneous melanoma and psoriasis. Journal of Cancer 16(11):3415–3424. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.110306

Li ZQ, Chen X, Wang Y (2021) Small molecules targeting ubiquitination to control inflammatory diseases. Drug Discovery Today 26(10):2414–2422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2021.04.029

Liu J, Luo N, Ma W, et al (2025) Novel ribosome biogenesis-related biomarkers and therapeutic targets identified in psoriasis. Scientific Reports 15(1):18525. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-03833-8

Liu S, Zhuang Z, Liu F, et al (2024) Identification of potential biomarkers and infiltrating immune cells from scalp psoriasis. Gene 893:147918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2023.147918

Liu T, Li S, Ying S, et al (2020) The il-23/il-17 pathway in inflammatory skin diseases: From bench to bedside. Frontiers in Immunology 11(594735). https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.594735

Liu Y, Brossard M, Roqueiro D, et al (2017) Sigmod: an exact and efficient method to identify a strongly interconnected disease-associated module in a gene network. Bioinformatics 33(10):1536–1544. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx004

Lozoya O, Wang T, Grenet D, et al (2019) Mitochondrial acetyl-coa reversibly regulates locus-specific histone acetylation and gene expression. Life Science Alliance 2(1):e201800228. https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.201800228

Manolio T, Collins F, Cox N, et al (2009) Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. Nature 461(7265):747–753. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08494

Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US) NCfBI (2004) Usp3 ubiquitin specific peptidase 3 (gene id: 9960). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/9960, accessed: 2025-10-21

Menter A, Krueger G, Paek Y, et al (2021) Interleukin-17 and interleukin-23: A narrative review of mechanisms of action in psoriasis and associated comorbidities. Dermatology and Therapy 11(2):385–400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13555-021-00483-2

Milacic M, Beavers D, Conley P, et al (2023) The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2024. Nucleic Acids Research 52(D1):D672–D678. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad1025

Mistry D, Chen Y, Wang Y, et al (2014) Snai2 controls the undifferentiated state of human epidermal progenitor cells. Stem Cells 32(12):3209–3218. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1809

Muzio G, O'Bray L, Meng-Papaxanthos L, et al (2023) networkGWAS: a network-based approach to discover genetic associations. Bioinformatics 39(6):btad370. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btad370

Nair R, Duffin K, Helms C, et al (2009) Genome-wide scan reveals association of psoriasis with il-23 and nf-kappab pathways. Nature genetics 41(2):199–204. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.311

Nakka P, Raphael B, Ramachandran S (2016) Gene and network analysis of common variants reveals novel associations in multiple complex diseases. Genetics 204(2):783–798. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.188391

Oughtred R, Rust J, Chang C, et al (2021) The biogrid database: A comprehensive biomedical resource of curated protein, genetic, and chemical interactions. Protein Science 30(1):187–200. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3978

Ran D, Cai M, Zhang X (2019) Genetics of psoriasis: a basis for precision medicine. Precision clinical medicine 2(2):120–130

Rao S, Mahmoudi T (2022) Dead-ly affairs: The roles of dead-box proteins on hiv-1 viral rna metabolism. Frontiers in cell and developmental biology 10:917599. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.917599

Reyna MA, Leiserson MDM, Raphael BJ (2018) Hierarchical HotNet: identifying hierarchies of altered subnetworks. Bioinformatics 34(17):i972–i980. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty613

Rodriguez CO, Kolukula V, Tomita Y, et al (2012) The mitochondrial citrate transporter, cic, is essential for mitochondrial homeostasis. Oncotarget 3(10):1220–1235. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.714

Sabik OL, Ackert-Bicknell CL, Farber CR (2021) A computational approach for identification of core modules from a co-expression network and gwas data. STAR Protocols 2(3):100768. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2021.100768

Safran M, Rosen N, Twik M, et al (2021) The genecards suite. In: Abugessaisa I, Kasukawa T (eds) Practical Guide to Life Science Databases. Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore, pp 27–56, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-5812-9_2, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-5812-9_2

Scarpa R, Ayala F, Caporaso N, et al (2006) Psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, or psoriatic disease? The Journal of Rheumatology 33(2):210–212. URL https://www.jrheum.org/content/33/2/210

Silverman E, Schmidt H, Anastasiadou E, et al (2020) Molecular networks in network medicine: Development and applications. WIREs Systems Biology and Medicine 12(6):e1489. https://doi.org/10.1002/wsbm.1489

Stark C, Breitkreutz BJ, Reguly T, et al (2006) Biogrid: a general repository for interaction datasets. Nucleic acids research 34(Database issue):D535–539. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj109

Stoeckman A, Baechler E, Ortmann W, et al (2006) A distinct inflammatory gene expression profile in patients with psoriatic arthritis. Genes & Immunity 7(7):583–591. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gene.6364334

Swindell W, Remmer H, Sarkar M, et al (2015) Proteogenomic analysis of psoriasis reveals discordant and concordant changes in mrna and protein abundance. Genome medicine 7(1):86. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-015-0208-5

Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, et al (2022) The string database in 2023: protein–protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Research 51(D1):D638–D646. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1000

Szustakowski JD, Balasubramanian S, Kvikstad E, et al (2021) Advancing human genetics research and drug discovery through exome sequencing of the uk biobank. Nature Genetics 53(7):942–948. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00885-0

Therianou A, Vasiadi M, Delivanis D, et al (2019) Mitochondrial dysfunction in affected skin and increased mitochondrial dna in serum from patients with psoriasis. Experimental Dermatology 28(1):72–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/exd.13831

Tortola L, Rosenwald E, Abel B, et al (2012) Psoriasiform dermatitis is driven by il-36–mediated dc-keratinocyte crosstalk. Journal of Clinical Investigation 122(11):3965–3976. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci63451

Tsoi L, Spain S, Knight J, et al (2012) Identification of fifteen new psoriasis susceptibility loci highlights the role of innate immunity. Nature genetics 44(12):1341–1348. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2467

Tsoi LC, Stuart PE, Tian C, et al (2017) Large scale meta-analysis characterizes genetic architecture for common psoriasis associated variants. Nature communications 8(1):15382

Tu YT, Barrientos A (2015) The human mitochondrial dead-box protein ddx28 resides in rna granules and functions in mitoribosome assembly. Cell reports 10(6):854–864. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.01.033

Uffelmann E, Huang Q, Syntia N, et al (2021) Genome-wide association studies. Nature Reviews Methods Primers 1(59). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00056-9

Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, et al (2015) Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science 347(6220):1260419. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419, URL https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000137411-VARS2/summary/gene

Visonà G, Bouzigon E, Demenais F, et al (2024) Network propagation for GWAS analysis: a practical guide to leveraging molecular networks for disease gene discovery. Briefings in Bioinformatics 25(2):bbae014. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbae014

Wang H, Wang C, Qin R, et al (2025) Integrative analysis of plasma proteomics and transcriptomics reveals potential therapeutic targets for psoriasis. Biomedicines 13(6):1380. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines13061380

Wang Q, Yu H, Zhao Z, et al (2015) Ew_dmgwas: edge-weighted dense module search for genome-wide association studies and gene expression profiles. Bioinformatics 31(15):2591–2594. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv150

Xie Z, Bailey A, Kuleshov M, et al (2021) Gene set knowledge discovery with enrichr. Current Protocols 1(3):e90. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpz1.90

Yang J, Benyamin B, McEvoy B, et al (2010) Common snps explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. Nature Genetics 42(7):565–569. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.608

Yang JS, Liu TY, Lu HF, et al (2024) Genome-wide association study and polygenic risk scores predict psoriasis and its shared phenotypes in taiwan. Molecular Medicine Reports 30(1):115. https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2024.13239

Yang L, Xia H (2021) Trim proteins in inflammation: from expression to emerging regulatory mechanisms. Inflammation 44(3):811–820. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-020-01394-8

Yilmaz S, Tastan O, Cicek AE (2021) SPADIS: An algorithm for Selecting Predictive And Diverse SNPs in GWAS. IEEE/ACM transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics 18(3):1208–1216. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCBB.2019.2935437

Yook S, Oltvai ZN, Barabási A (2004) Functional and topological characterization of protein interaction networks. Proteomics 4(4):928–942. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200300636

Yu L, Zhang B, Deochand D, et al (2020) Negative elongation factor complex enables macrophage inflammatory responses by controlling anti-inflammatory gene expression. Nature Communications 11(1):2286. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16209-5

Zeng J, Zhang Y, Zhang H, et al (2021) Rpl22 overexpression promotes psoriasis-like lesion by inducing keratinocytes abnormal biological behavior. Frontiers in Immunology 12:699900. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.699900

Zhang M, Su W, Deng J, et al (2025) Multi-ancestry genome-wide meta-analysis with 472,819 individuals identifies 32 novel risk loci for psoriasis. Journal of Translational Medicine 23(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-024-06015-8

Zhu X, Duren Z, Wong W (2021) Modeling regulatory network topology improves genome-wide analyses of complex human traits. Nature Communications 12(1):2851. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22588-0

Zitnik M, Li M, Wells A, et al (2024) Current and future directions in network biology. Bioinformatics Advances 4(1):vbae099. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioadv/vbae099

Zuk O, Hechter E, Sunyaev S, et al (2012) The mystery of missing heritability: Genetic interactions create phantom heritability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109(4):1193–1198. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1119675109