Shared genetic architecture between anorexia nervosa and metabolomic biomarkers suggest underlying causal pathways

Carolina Makowski[1*], Alexey Shadrin[2,3], Anders M. Dale[4], Ole A. Andreassen[2,3], Dennis van der Meer[2*]

[1]Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego
[2]Centre for Precision Psychiatry, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
[3]K.G. Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, University of Oslo and Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
[4]Center for Multimodal Imaging and Genetics, J Craig Venter Institute, La Jolla, CA

*Correspondence to: cmakowski@health.ucsd.edu, dennisva@uio.no

Abstract Word Count. 297.
Body Word Count (excluding figure legends and references). 4187.
Figures. 4. Supplementary Tables. 12.

ABSTRACT

Background. Anorexia Nervosa (AN) has a high mortality rate and often a chronic illness course, yet few existing treatments are effective. AN is heritable and shares genetic architecture with cardiometabolic traits, while the relationship to metabolomic markers is unknown.

Methods. We analyzed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of 249 circulating plasma metabolomic biomarkers and AN to map their shared genetic architecture and compare with related mental health, anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits. We leveraged multiple methods to estimate genetic overlap, including global genetic correlations with linkage disequilibrium score regression, conjunctional false discovery rate, and bivariate Gaussian mixture modeling. We mapped shared genetic variants to genes and biological pathways, as well as gene expression enrichment across body and brain tissue. We also explored causal relationships between AN, body mass index (BMI), and metabolomic biomarkers.

Results. Significant genetic correlations were found between AN and 142 circulating metabolomic biomarkers, which were opposite in direction to metabolic traits such as BMI and type 2 diabetes (r_s<-0.94), and stronger than correlations with anxiety. Shared variants between AN and metabolomic biomarkers, particularly lipid-based metabolites, exhibited a mixture of positive and negative effects. These were mapped to genes involved in lipid-related cell signals, developmental growth, inflammation and canonical cellular processes, and were widely expressed in the brain, most internal organs and female reproductive organs. Finally, causal bidirectional influences between AN and metabolomic biomarkers were found to act through their respective influence on BMI.

Conclusions. Our findings point to strong associations between AN and metabolomic markers, opposite in direction to anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits. Our results suggest a mediating role for BMI and implicate developmental and lipid-based biological processes with a bidirectional causal relationship. The findings offer a novel perspective on the etiology of AN and suggest opportunities for targeting specific metabolomic biomarkers in weight restoration approaches.

INTRODUCTION

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a severe psychiatric disorder marked by extreme food restriction, an intense fear of weight gain, and a distorted perception of body image. AN has a strong cardiometabolic component, with emerging evidence from both genetic and phenotypic levels of investigation urging the field to revisit this aspect of the disorder [1–4]. However, there is a need to elucidate the relationship between AN and systemic metabolism (e.g., through circulating metabolomic biomarkers) that cannot be captured with cardiometabolic traits alone. Given limited treatment efficacy and high mortality rates [5–7], a better understanding of the shared biological mechanisms between AN and metabolic markers may offer new insights into the etiology of this complex disorder.

Genetic studies indicate that AN has a substantial heritable component, with twin-based heritability estimates ranging from 48-74%, underscoring the importance of genetic factors in its etiology. AN is often comorbid with other psychiatric conditions, particularly anxiety disorders [8,9], with two-thirds of individuals with AN reporting one or more lifetime anxiety disorders [8]. Recent efforts to map the genetic architecture of AN through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have highlighted significant overlap not only with other psychiatric traits, but also with metabolic traits, including anthropometric traits such as body mass index (BMI), and other cardiometabolic factors including insulin sensitivity, lipids, glycemic-based traits [1,10–12] and metabolism-related biological pathways [13]. Altogether, these discoveries have led to a re-conceptualization of AN as a metabo-psychiatric disorder [4].

BMI in particular has garnered attention in understanding the genetically-informed etiology of AN [14]. A strong relationship exists between these two traits both phenotypically (e.g., low BMI is a diagnostic criterion for AN) and genetically, with high genetic correlation and putative bi-directional causal associations [1]. However, previous GWAS have found that many of the discovered genetic variants associated with AN are independent of the effects of BMI [1]. It is still unclear how the biological factors shaping BMI may increase risk for AN, and how AN's impact on BMI may influence metabolism. Recent genetically-driven evidence indicates that BMI causally influences metabolite concentrations, with biological processes related to lipid homeostasis [15]. It is now possible to determine the role of circulating metabolomic biomarkers in relation to BMI with novel screening technology [16]. Understanding these relationships is imperative to improve weight restoration during AN treatment [14].

Circulating metabolomic biomarkers represent a novel opportunity to better understand the biological pathways underlying the close relationship between cardiometabolic and anthropometric factors and AN. These metabolomic biomarkers encompass lipoproteins, ketone bodies, fatty acids and amino acids that are key factors of systemic metabolism and indicators and predictors of human health [15,17]. While prior work has elucidated key links between AN and broad cardiometabolic and anthropometric traits, the relationship between AN and individual circulating metabolomic biomarkers is unknown. Altered metabolomic marker levels have been reported in children prior to an AN diagnosis in adolescence, particularly in high- and very-low density lipoproteins (HDLs, VLDLs), docosahexaenoic acid, poly- and mono-unsaturated fatty acids, and triglycerides [2], providing evidence for a biological predisposition to AN. A better

understanding of the shared biology between AN and circulating metabolomic biomarkers could inform treatment targets in patients with AN. Assessing metabolite levels as a measure of treatment efficacy are engrained into medical care and could provide powerful new leads for the treatment of AN.

Here, we examine the shared genetic architecture between AN and metabolomic markers by leveraging the largest published GWASs to date of AN and 249 circulating metabolomic biomarkers. We compare global genetic overlap between metabolomic markers and AN to commonly comorbid psychiatric conditions (e.g., anxiety) and anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits (e.g., BMI, type 2 diabetes, coronary artery disease). We leveraged cross-trait genetics to identify shared genetic variants between AN and circulating metabolomic biomarkers to pinpoint shared underlying biological pathways. Finally, we aimed to better understand the putative bidirectional causal relationships between BMI and AN, through integration of circulating metabolomic biomarkers in mendelian randomization analyses. This approach could offer fruitful avenues for future investigations and development of novel treatment alternatives.

METHODS

GWAS summary statistics: Metabolomic markers.
For metabolic markers, GWAS summary statistics of 249 circulating metabolomic biomarkers from the Nightingale NMR metabolomics panel [16] were included, generated recently by our group [15]. See Supplementary Table 1 for a full list. These markers were generated using Nightingale Health's high-throughput Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) metabolomics platform (Helsinki, Finland), which applies high-field ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy to native serum or EDTA plasma samples to quantify 249 metabolic measures from a single assay. A proprietary software suite deconvolves the spectral data to determine the absolute concentrations of lipoprotein subclass particles, their lipid components, fatty acids, and various low-molecular-weight metabolites such as amino acids and glycolysis-related markers. While many of these markers represent derived ratios and thus may not be fully independent metabolic measures, we opted to include the full Nightingale panel in this analysis in order to maximize our ability to capture key biological processes that may not be captured by individual metabolites alone. GWAS of metabolomic markers were meta-analyzed across 207,841 participants from the UK Biobank and 92,645 participants from the Estonian biobank.

GWAS summary statistics: Psychiatric, anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits.
Summary statistics for AN were taken from the latest published AN GWAS of 16,992 individuals with AN and 55,525 controls [1]. Additional summary statistics were included for anthropometric (i.e. BMI [18]), cardiometabolic (i.e. Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) [20], and Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) [21]), and psychiatric (i.e. Anxiety (ANX) [19]) traits. See additional details in Supplementary Table 2. Note, anxiety included a wide array of diagnoses, including generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, phobias (social phobia, agoraphobia, specific phobia, phobic disorder), acute/chronic stress disorders, posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, mixed anxiety and depressive disorder, and anxiety, dissociative and somatoform disorders [19].

All summary statistics were processed through a standardized pipeline to ensure consistency (https://github.com/precimed/python_convert/blob/master/sumstats.py). Given that the original GWAS had already accounted for population stratification using robust methods (e.g., linear mixed models or the inclusion of principal components of genetic ancestry as covariates in the regression models), no further genomic control correction was applied to the summary statistics. We excluded all variants within the extended MHC region (chr6:25–35 Mb).

Bivariate LDSC.
We applied cross-trait LD score regression (LDSC; v1.0.0; https://github.com/bulik/ldsc) [22] to estimate genetic correlations between metabolomic markers, AN, and our included psychiatric (ANX), anthropometric (BMI), and cardiometabolic traits (T2D, CAD). GWAS summary statistics were formatted in accordance with recommendations, including standardization, quality control, and removing variants in the extended major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region (chr6:25–35 Mb). Correction for multiple comparisons across the 249 circulating metabolomic markers were carried out using the Benjamini and Hochberg method, with adjusted significance thresholds set at $\alpha=.05$.

Conjunctional FDR to assess AN-metabolomics overlap.
We applied a conditional/conjunctional false discovery rate (condFDR/conjFDR) framework to identify shared genetic variants between AN and 249 metabolomic markers. This framework is a model-free strategy to assess summary statistics from GWAS and can capture both agonistic and antagonistic directional effects of genetic variants associated with two traits [23–25]. Notably, condFDR and conjFDR have substantially contributed to our understanding of genetic overlap between complex traits in many applications related to human health and psychology [23]. First we applied CondFDR, which conditions the GWAS summary statistics for each pair of traits onto each other in both directions. We then applied conjFDR to extract the maximum of the two mutual condFDR for a specific SNP. CondFDR/conjFDR was carried out using the pleioFDR using default settings (https://github.com/precimed/pleiofdr), where we set an FDR threshold of 0.05 as whole-genome significance.

Bivariate MiXeR.
To estimate the proportion of shared causal genetic variants between AN and metabolomic markers, we applied a bivariate Gaussian mixture model, MiXeR [26,27]. We prioritized metabolic markers that had a strong positive or negative genetic correlation with AN as determined through LDSC, as well as a high number of overlapping genetic variants as defined by conjFDR analyses. MiXeR leverages GWAS summary statistics to model additive genetic effects as a mixture of four components: null SNPs in both traits (π_0); SNPs with a specific effect on the first (π_1) and on the second (π_2) trait; and SNPs with a non-zero effect on both traits (π_{12}). Methodological details have been described in previous work [26,27]. Quality checks included inclusion of a positive AIC value for each tested model, compared to a baseline infinitesimal model, which indicates good model fit.

Gene Mapping of overlapping AN-metabolomics variants.
We used the Variant-to-Gene pipeline from Open Targets Genetics to map lead variants from the above-mentioned cFDR analyses to genes. This pipeline maps genes based on the strongest

evidence obtained from quantitative trait loci (QTL) experiments, chromatin interaction experiments, in silico functional prediction, and proximity of each variant to the canonical transcription start site of genes [28].

Gene set enrichment analyses.
We carried out gene set enrichment analyses on the mapped genes, investigating terms that are part of the Gene Ontology biological processes subset (n=7522), as listed in the Molecular Signatures Database (MsigdB; c5.bp.v7.1). We ran Fisher exact tests on the 249 sets of mapped genes between each metabolomic marker and AN, leveraging the enrichR R package. We restricted the output to those terms that had more than 4 overlapping genes and that were smaller than 1000 genes in total, with a q-value <.05. We further removed terms from the list of significant enrichments if more than 80% of their overlapping genes were among other more significant terms. Running the enrichment analyses on individual cFDR sets of genes, with the above settings, was chosen to ensure that we identified more biologically specific terms than when running across aggregated gene lists and included larger pathways.

Tissue enrichment.
We leveraged tissue-specific gene expression databases to better understand the gene expression patterns of uncovered shared genetic variants and their mapped genes to different organ systems. Specifically, we investigated the overlap between above-mentioned mapped genes from cFDR analysis across all AN-metabolic marker pairs, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in 30 general tissue types available in the GTEXv8 database. DEGs are defined as genes with log2 transformed, normalized expression values (Read Per Kilobase per Million, zero-mean) with P-value ≤ 0.05 after Bonferroni correction and absolute log fold change ≥ 0.58 in a given tissue. Given the strong neurobiological underpinnings of AN, we further investigated overlap between our mapped variants/genes and brain-based gene expression by incorporating mRNA distribution data from the Allen Human Brain Atlas [29]. In cases where multiple probes were available for a specific mRNA, the probe with the highest differential stability was selected [30]. Brain data were summarized with the Desikan-Killiany atlas, mapped with the Python toolbox Abagen [31]. Data normalization was performed using the default scaled robust sigmoid method [30]. To assess the difference in gene expression between the list of identified genes and all other genes, a Wilcoxon rank-sum test was conducted for each brain region.

Mendelian randomization.
We ran bidirectional Mendelian Randomization (MR), investigating the causal relationships between AN, BMI, and the 249 circulating metabolomic markers. The TwoSampleMR R package was applied to GWAS summary statistics. We selected only genome-wide significant variants for the analysis, clumped using PLINK with clump_p = 1, clump_r2 = 0.001, clump_kb = 10000 against the 1000 Genomes Phase3 503 EUR samples keeping other settings default. We calculated MR regression coefficients using the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method and further applied the weighted median method to ensure robustness of findings [32]. Specifically, we defined significant relationships based on meeting an FDR-corrected significance of q<0.05 for both the IVW and weighted median approach.

RESULTS

Genetic correlations between AN and metabolomic markers.

Global genetic correlation was assessed with LDSC applied to GWAS summary statistics for AN and 249 circulating metabolomic biomarkers. AN showed substantial overlap across the Nightingale panel of circulating metabolomic biomarkers, with 142 markers showing a significant genetic correlation after correction for multiple comparisons (max $|r_g|$=0.35, p-adjusted<0.05) (Figure 1A, Figure 1B). Genetic correlations between AN and metabolomic markers were opposite in direction to associations found with anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits, and the comorbid psychiatric trait of anxiety (Figure 1B). Significant overlap was highest for lipoprotein subclasses, relative lipoprotein lipid concentrations and amino acids, with a relatively equal number of significant positive (68) and negative (74) genetic correlations (Supplementary Table 3). Correlation strengths between the AN-metabolomic marker profile were strongest with the cardiometabolic traits (r_s=-0.91 to -0.95), and negatively correlated with anxiety (r_s=-0.89) (Figure 1C). However, some metabolomic associations emerged with AN that were not found for anxiety, particularly for amino acids (e.g., leucine, valine). Cross-trait metabolomic profile correlations with AN were stronger than the genetic correlation strengths between the traits themselves (Figure 1C), and even in the opposite direction as was the case for AN and anxiety (positive r_g=0.35, p=0.034).



Figure 1. **Genetic correlations between metabolomic markers and AN, and comparison to other traits**. A: Volcano plot summarizing genetic correlations between AN and all 249 circulating metabolomic biomarkers, with significant correlations depicted in color, corresponding to the legend in panel B. See Supplementary Table 1 for full

names of selected annotated markers. B: Heatmap of genetic correlation profiles of AN and four other psychiatric, anthropometric, and cardiometabolic traits (x-axis), with the 249 metabolomic markers along the y-axis. The y-axis is sorted by hierarchical clustering, with the legend indicating metabolic categories. C: The upper triangle of the correlation matrix depicts Spearman's correlations of genetic correlation profiles (shown in Panel B) between the five traits and metabolomic markers. The lower triangle depicts genetic correlations between the five traits. Abbreviations: AN. Anorexia Nervosa; ANX. Anxiety; T2D. Type 2 Diabetes; BMI. Body Mass Index; CAD. Coronary Artery Disease; r_g. genetic correlation; r_s. Spearman's correlation.

Shared genetic variants between AN and metabolomic markers.

We leveraged conjunctional FDR to identify specific shared genetic variants between AN and metabolomic markers for further downstream biological interpretation. We found that the majority of metabolomic markers (240 of the 249) had at least one shared variant with AN, up to 16 shared variants for two of the metabolomic markers (Figure 2A, Supplementary Table 4). These two markers were cholesteryl esters in high density lipoproteins (HDL-CE) and triglycerides to total lipids in small HDL percentage (S-HDL-TG pct). Thus, leveraging a cross-trait genetic overlap approach effectively doubled the number of discovered variants compared to the 8 variants uncovered in the AN GWAS [1].

A larger proportion of shared variants (57.9%) had discordant effects (i.e. acting in opposite directions) between AN and metabolomic markers than concordant effects ($\chi^2(1)=31.47$, p=2.02e-8) (Supplementary Table 5). Given this mix of direction of effects, alongside the polygenic nature of these traits [33], we leveraged bivariate Gaussian mixture modeling with MiXeR [26] to quantify the extent of genetic overlap between AN and two select metabolic markers regardless of effect directions: HDL-CE and diameter or particle size of very-low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) (Figure 2B, Figure 2C). These markers were prioritized given their strong genetic correlations with AN, both positive (HDL-CE: r_g=0.22, p=6.37e-11) and negative (VLDL Particle Size: r_g=-0.22, p=1.7e-9), with a high number of overlapping genetic variants as determined by cFDR (16 and 15 overlapping variants for HDL-CE and VLDL Particle Size, respectively) (Figure 2B). Analysis with MiXeR revealed substantial genetic overlap for both HDL-CE and VLDL Particle size (Figure 2C) (Supplementary Table 6). Concordance rates with MiXeR demonstrated a mixture of positive and negative effects, where 81% and 7% of the shared variants were estimated to have concordant effects between AN and HDL-CE/VLDL Particle Size, respectively (Figure 2C).



Figure 2. **Degree of genetic overlap between AN and metabolomic markers.** A. Circos plot depicting the number of significant genetic variants or SNPs overlapping between AN and each of the 249 circulating metabolomic biomarkers determined through conditional/conjunctional FDR analysis, with the height of the bar corresponding to the number of overlapping variants ranging from 0-16, colored by metabolic marker. The dotted line reflects 8 overlapping SNPs, denoting the number of genome-wide significant SNPs found in the original AN GWAS, whereby cFDR analyses with many metabolic markers significantly boosted discovery of genetic variants associated with AN. Two select metabolic markers are labeled in A and further depicted in panel B: Manhattan plots of genome-wide significant SNPs associated with both AN and 2 select metabolic markers, HDL-CE and VLDL Particle Size, with 16 and 15 overlapping SNPs, respectively. Accompanying Venn Diagrams illustrate the genetic overlap between AN and these two markers after bivariate mixture modeling. The estimated number of unique and shared variants are indicated in thousands, with standard deviations in brackets. Bars below the Venn diagrams indicate the concordance rates ("cr") in direction of effects on the pair of traits, with their genetic correlation ("rg") listed as a reference. Abbreviations: AN. Anorexia Nervosa; SNPs. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms; HDL-CE. Cholesteryl esters in high-density lipoproteins; VLDL. Very-low-density lipoproteins.

Shared biological pathways and tissue enrichment.

We further assigned the uncovered shared genetic variants between AN and metabolomic markers to genes using Open Targets Genetics (Supplementary Table 5). Across all AN-metabolomics pairs, 80 unique genes were found. In turn, these genes were tested for enrichment of biological processes using Gene Ontology (GO) analyses (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 7). We found 13 significant GO terms of shared biological processes across AN and 7 metabolomic markers. Notable pathways involved biological processes related to lipid-related cell signals, developmental growth, inflammation (e.g., cytokine production) and canonical cellular processes (e.g., tyrosine kinase signaling) ($q<0.05$) (Figure 3A).

We then investigated the relevance of uncovered variants and mapped genes to specific body tissues by investigating their gene expression patterns across the brain and other organs. We found significant enrichment of overlapping AN-metabolomic genes and gene expression patterns across 10 of the 20 examined organs, including brain, pancreas, liver, heart, kidneys, skeletal muscle, leukocyte and female reproductive organs ($q<0.05$) (Figure 3B) (Supplementary

Table 8). Nominally significant associations emerged with enrichment for gene expression of overlapping AN-metabolomic marker genes in right lateral occipital and pericalcarine regions (unadjusted p<0.05), but did not survive correction for multiple comparisons (Figure 3B; Supplementary Table 9).



Figure 3. **Biological pathways and tissue-specific gene expression enrichment of shared AN-metabolomic genes.** A. Significantly enriched gene ontology GO terms for mapped shared genes between AN and metabolomic markers, indicated by different colors. B. Anatogram depicting enrichment of shared genes between AN and metabolomic markers across 20 different tissue types from the GTEx database. C: Brain maps showing statistics of enrichment tests across cortical (Desikan-Killiany atlas) and subcortical (Freesurfer aseg atlas) regions, based on expression data from the Allen Human Brain Atlas; note no brain regions survive correction for multiple comparisons (where nominal p=0.05 corresponds to -log10(p)=1.30). Panels B and C depict -log10(p)-values on the same scale, as indicated by the color bar.

Causal relationships between AN, BMI and metabolomic markers.

Finally, we investigated potential causal associations between AN and metabolomic markers using Mendelian randomization (MR), and potential interrelationships with BMI, given its inherent phenotypic relationship with AN and strong negative genetic correlation (r_g=-0.31) compared to other cardiometabolic conditions (r_g<-0.19 for T2D and CAD). No evidence was found for a causal association between AN and metabolomic markers in either direction. However bi-directional associations were found between AN and BMI (Figure 4A) (Supplementary Table 10), confirming previously reported bi-directional relationships [1], with a stronger effect size, for the presence of AN putatively causing variation in BMI (inverse weighted variance method, β=-0.44, p=1.06e-19) compared to BMI causing AN (β=-0.06, p=4.26e-4). Significant bi-directional associations were also found between BMI and various metabolomic markers (Figure 4A) (Supplementary Tables 11, 12), although the majority of effects suggested a causal direction from BMI to metabolomic markers, with 6 markers showing both a putative causal impact on BMI, as well as being shaped by BMI (Figure 4B). Thus, metabolomic markers may causally influence AN through their effect on BMI, and vice versa.



Figure 4. **Bidirectional causal relationships between AN, BMI, and metabolomic markers.** A: Schematic summarizing results from Mendelian Randomization (MR) analyses, whereby AN and metabolomic markers may be linked indirectly through shared causal mechanisms with BMI. Top half of panel A includes labels with statistics derived from the inverse weighted variance method, and labels next to arrows on the bottom half indicate the number of metabolic markers that contribute to the putative causal relationship between BMI ←→ Metabolomic markers. B: MR coefficients derived from the inverse weighted variance method depicted for both BMI ←→ AN, and the six metabolomic markers that bidirectionally associated with BMI. Abbreviations: AN. Anorexia Nervosa; BMI. Body Mass Index; TGs. Triglycerides; XL. Extra Large; VLDL. Very-low-density lipoprotein; HDL. High-density lipoprotein; LDL. Low-density lipoprotein; IDL. Intermediate-density lipoprotein.

DISCUSSION

This work demonstrated strong genetic overlap and identified key genes and biological pathways shared across AN and metabolomic markers. The observed associations between AN and metabolomic markers showed a striking pattern that was similar in strength but opposite in direction compared to anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits. Our results suggest that developmental and lipid-based biological processes, as well as a mediating role of BMI, may be underlying the relationship between AN and systemic metabolism.

Our results from conjunctional FDR and bivariate gaussian mixture modeling mapped the shared genetic architecture between AN and metabolomic markers in finer detail, and uncovered both positive and negative associations between AN and various metabolomic markers. This mixture of effects would otherwise be obscured with global genetic correlations with broader metabolic traits. Our cross-trait genetics approach boosted the number of genome-wide significant loci associated with AN, up to two-fold when leveraging some AN-metabolic

marker pairs. Our data-driven gene ontology analyses provided insights into biological pathways that are shared between AN and metabolomic markers, including developmental growth, inflammation and lipid-related processes, and canonical cell pathways. Shared genes between AN and metabolomic markers had notable gene expression enrichment across multiple tissues, corroborating the idea that AN's etiology extends beyond just psychiatric and brain-based origins, but impacts many bodily systems, including the pancreas, liver, ovaries, skeletal muscle, and kidneys. Finally, we showed evidence that the associations between AN and metabolomic markers may act through their influence on BMI, offering an important genetically-informed framework that could help future research better understand the biological factors contributing to fluctuations in weight restoration, a cornerstone of AN treatment.

Our results show a unique profile of associations between AN and metabolomic markers compared to anxiety, a psychiatric disorder that is highly comorbid with AN and is positively genetically correlated but had a negative genetic association when comparing metabolomic profiles. In contrast to the negative associations between the AN-metabolic marker profile and cardiometabolic traits, the anxiety-metabolic marker profile showed weaker and positive genetic associations with T2D, BMI and CAD. Several amino acids, including valine and leucine, only showed a significant genetic correlation with AN and not anxiety. These findings are intriguing as amino acid deficiencies have been reported in AN patients [34,35], as well as in animal studies of eating behaviors. For example, valine-deficient diets in mice result in the cessation of eating behaviors prior to reaching satiety [36]. These amino acid deficiencies in turn have impacts on plasma triglyceride concentrations and insulin resistance [37], traits that were shown to be genetically correlated with AN in our work (e.g., genetic overlap and shared biological pathways with triglyceride-derived markers, negative correlation with type 2 diabetes), which ultimately can impact appetite, food intake, and growth. A better understanding of an optimal balance of amino acid intake in AN could offer important insights for future treatment directions to improve weight restoration and precision nutrition efforts in patients.

Our work identified shared biological pathways between AN and metabolomic markers, which could further offer new leads for treatment targets. One lipid-based marker that consistently showed a strong genetic overlap with AN through multiple lines of evidence (global positive genetic correlation, high number of genetic overlap through conjFDR and MiXeR, shared biological pathways) was cholesteryl-ester in high-density lipoproteins, or HDL-CE. This marker shared 4 biological pathways in AN through a gene ontology analysis, highlighting pathways related to developmental growth, protein modification by small protein conjugation, and biosynthetic processes related to carbohydrate derivatives. This analysis also revealed that HDL-CE and other HDL-related metabolic markers shared several key genes with AN and multiple metabolic markers across various enriched biological annotations, notably *CTNNB1* or "*Beta-catenin"* on chromosome 3, part of the canonical *Wnt* signaling pathways important for cell differentiation and proliferation early in brain development [38,39], and has been directly implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders [39,40]. *ARIH2* and *CTC1,* on chromosome 3 and 17, respectively, were also identified as shared across some HDL and triglyceride metabolic markers and AN, with roles in protein degradation processes. While these genes were identified by Watson et al., [1] in their investigation of AN genetic architecture, our results lend further support for prioritization of these genes for future biological follow-up given their links to

specific metabolomic markers genetically associated with AN and their implications for understanding the neurobiology of the disorder.

Our work also aimed to understand the relationship between BMI and AN, through integration of circulating metabolomic biomarkers in mendelian randomization analyses. We did not find a significant direct causal relationship between AN and metabolomic markers, in line with previous findings with polyunsaturated fatty acids or PUFAs [41]. However, bidirectional influences between AN and metabolomic markers may act through their respective influence on BMI. This is not surprising as BMI is an inherent part of the clinical picture of AN, both in terms of diagnosis and defining treatment milestones through weight restoration. Although concerns of AN genetics being confounded by BMI have been raised [14], previous and ongoing efforts to map the genetic architecture of AN have shown that the genetics of AN cannot be explained solely by the genetics of BMI [1,42]. Our MR results linking AN, BMI and metabolic markers, alongside evidence from our GO analysis implicating developmental growth paths, offer a new perspective for future work to consider the developmental trajectories and dynamic interplay between these traits to better pinpoint developmental time periods that may confer greatest risk for AN. AN tends to have a circumscribed age of onset in adolescence [43], often coinciding with pubertal development and disproportionately impacting females [44–46]. Metabolic and endocrine changes around puberty influence sex-specific profiles of body fat deposition, and time-varying and sex-stratified genetic effects around this time period on both BMI and eating behaviors may better elucidate the etiology of AN.

Understanding the biological origins of AN in relation to systemic metabolism could form the foundation for developing metabolic treatments. Metabolism-driven risk reduction strategies have been successfully applied in other fields of medicine; for instance, monitoring total and LDL cholesterol has found success for prediction and mitigation of coronary heart disease risk [47]. A wide array of lipid-altering treatments are approved and routinely used for the treatment of cardiometabolic diseases, including lifestyle changes and medications such as statins, PCSK9 inhibitors, ezetimibe and fibrates [48]. Extending these insights to AN are in line with what has been proposed for adolescent-onset psychiatric risk more broadly [49]. In our findings, negative correlations between AN and other cardiometabolic traits through their metabolomic profiles emerged, suggesting that future investigations of potential pharmacological agents for treatment of AN should include medications for cardiometabolic disorders, but with opposite action of effects (e.g., lipid-increasing rather than lipid-lowering). This may also offer an important and needed line of investigation to explain why existing off-label medications for AN with lipid-altering effects, such as the antipsychotic aripiprazole, may be helping not only with psychiatric symptoms but perhaps with weight restoration and other aspects of AN treatment that have not been clearly elucidated or described to date.

This research needs to be interpreted in the context of limitations. The Nightingale panel from which the 249 metabolomic markers were derived is biased towards lipid-based markers, which may have in turn biased downstream analysis which pinpointed shared lipid-related biological processes between AN and various metabolomic markers. It is also unclear how well these findings would generalize to individuals with atypical AN, i.e., meeting diagnostic criteria for AN in the absence of low BMI. Caution should also be exercised when interpreting results from MR analyses, as elucidated relationships do not always point to direct causal effects. The

sample size of the included AN GWAS may have been underpowered, which may have led to non-significant findings between AN and metabolomic markers directly in our MR analysis, as well as the weak gene expression patterns we found with specific brain regions with the Allen Brain Atlas, despite strong gene enrichment for the brain overall with the GTEx database.

Our findings point to strong associations between AN and metabolomic markers, opposite in direction to anthropometric and cardiometabolic traits. Our results also suggest a mediating role for BMI and implicate developmental and lipid-based homeostatic processes shared between AN and metabolomic markers. The shared biology between AN and circulating metabolomic biomarkers provides compelling evidence for investments in metabolism-informed research of this disorder to better understand both the etiology of AN and offer evidence-based treatments based on easily obtained and objectively measured metabolic markers.

DATA AVAILABILITY

This study used openly available summary statistics from previously published genome-wide association studies. Anorexia nervosa summary statistics are available through the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, located at https://pgc.unc.edu/for-researchers/download-results/. Metabolomic marker GWAS summary statistics are located at https://zenodo.org/records/15420219.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS/FUNDING

This work was in part performed on the TSD (Tjeneste for Sensitive Data) facilities, owned by the University of Oslo, operated and developed by the TSD service group at the University of Oslo, IT-Department (USIT) (tsd-drift@usit.uio.no). C.M. is supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (R00MH132886) and the Brain Behavior Research Foundation (#31876). D. M. is supported by the Research Council of Norway (#351751). O.A.A. is supported by the Research Council of Norway (#273291, #273446, #296030, #324252, #324499, #326813), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (#847776 CoMorMent, #964874 RealMent). A.M.D. is supported by the National Institutes of Health (U24DA041123, R01AG076838, U24DA055330, OT2HL161847).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A.M.D. is Founding Director, holds equity in CorTechs Labs, Inc. (DBA Cortechs.ai), and serves on its Board of Directors. He is President of the J Craig Venter Institute, and serves on its Board of Trustees. O.A.A. has received speaker's honoraria from Lundbeck, Lillyh, BMS, Sunovion, Takeda and Janssen and is a consultant for Precision Health and Cortechs.ai. All other authors report no potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Watson HJ, Yilmaz Z, Thornton LM, Hübel C, Coleman JRI, Gaspar HA, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies eight risk loci and implicates metabo-psychiatric origins for anorexia nervosa. Nat Genet. 2019;51: 1207–1214.

2. Santos Ferreira DL, Hübel C, Herle M, Abdulkadir M, Loos RJF, Bryant-Waugh R, et al. Associations between blood metabolic profile at 7 years old and eating disorders in adolescence: Findings from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. Metabolites. 2019;9: 191.

3. Duriez P, Ramoz N, Gorwood P, Viltart O, Tolle V. A Metabolic Perspective on Reward Abnormalities in Anorexia Nervosa. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2019;30: 915–928.

4. Bulik CM, Carroll IM, Mehler P. Reframing anorexia nervosa as a metabo-psychiatric disorder. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2021;32: 752–761.

5. Huckins LM, Brennand K, Bulik CM. Dissecting the biology of feeding and eating disorders. Trends Mol Med. 2024;30: 380–391.

6. Chesney E, Goodwin GM, Fazel S. Risks of all-cause and suicide mortality in mental disorders: a meta-review. World Psychiatry. 2014;13: 153–160.

7. Wonderlich SA, Bulik CM, Schmidt U, Steiger H, Hoek HW. Severe and enduring anorexia nervosa: Update and observations about the current clinical reality. Int J Eat Disord. 2020;53: 1303–1312.

8. Kaye WH, Bulik CM, Thornton L, Barbarich N, Masters K. Comorbidity of anxiety disorders with anorexia and bulimia nervosa. Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161: 2215–2221.

9. Godart NT, Flament MF, Perdereau F, Jeammet P. Comorbidity between eating disorders and anxiety disorders: a review. Int J Eat Disord. 2002;32: 253–270.

10. Bulik CM, Coleman JRI, Hardaway JA, Breithaupt L, Watson HJ, Bryant CD, et al. Genetics and neurobiology of eating disorders. Nat Neurosci. 2022;25: 543–554.

11. Duncan L, Yilmaz Z, Gaspar H, Walters R, Goldstein J, Anttila V, et al. Significant locus and metabolic genetic correlations revealed in genome-wide association study of anorexia nervosa. Am J Psychiatry. 2017;174: 850–858.

12. Hübel C, Gaspar HA, Coleman JRI, Hanscombe KB, Purves K, Prokopenko I, et al. Genetic correlations of psychiatric traits with body composition and glycemic traits are sex- and age-dependent. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 5765.

13. Xu J, Johnson JS, Liu C, García-González J, Choi SW, Eating Disorders Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-ED), et al. Polygenicity at the pathway level for anorexia nervosa. medRxiv. 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.10.08.25337623

14. Reay WR, Pursey KM, Thorp JG. Does the Influence of Low Body Mass Index on Diagnosis Complicate Genetic Studies of the Role of Cardiometabolic Traits in Liability to Anorexia Nervosa? Biol Psychiatry. 2025.

15. van der Meer D, Rahman Z, Ottas A, Parekh P, Kutrolli G, Stinson SE, et al. Pleiotropic and sex-specific genetic mechanisms of circulating metabolic markers. Nat Commun. 2025;16: 4961.

16. Julkunen H, Cichońska A, Tiainen M, Koskela H, Nybo K, Mäkelä V, et al. Atlas of plasma NMR biomarkers for health and disease in 118,461 individuals from the UK Biobank. Nat Commun. 2023;14: 604.

17. Nightingale Health Biobank Collaborative Group. Metabolomic and genomic prediction of common diseases in 700,217 participants in three national biobanks. Nat Commun. 2024;15: 10092.

18. Yengo L, Sidorenko J, Kemper KE, Zheng Z, Wood AR, Weedon MN, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in ∼700000 individuals of European ancestry. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27: 3641–3649.

19. Friligkou E, Løkhammer S, Cabrera-Mendoza B, Shen J, He J, Deiana G, et al. Gene discovery and biological insights into anxiety disorders from a large-scale multi-ancestry genome-wide association study. Nat Genet. 2024;56: 2036–2045.

20. Suzuki K, Hatzikotoulas K, Southam L, Taylor HJ, Yin X, Lorenz KM, et al. Genetic drivers of heterogeneity in type 2 diabetes pathophysiology. Nature. 2024;627: 347–357.

21. Tcheandjieu C, Zhu X, Hilliard AT, Clarke SL, Napolioni V, Ma S, et al. Large-scale genome-wide association study of coronary artery disease in genetically diverse populations. Nat Med. 2022;28: 1679–1692.

22. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh P-R, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet. 2015;47: 1236–1241.

23. Smeland OB, Frei O, Shadrin A, O'Connell K, Fan C-C, Bahrami S, et al. Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false discovery rate approach. Hum Genet. 2020;139: 85–94.

24. Andreassen OA, Thompson WK, Dale AM. Boosting the power of schizophrenia genetics by leveraging new statistical tools. Schizophr Bull. 2014;40: 13–17.

25. Schork AJ, Wang Y, Thompson WK, Dale AM, Andreassen OA. New statistical approaches exploit the polygenic architecture of schizophrenia--implications for the underlying neurobiology. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2016;36: 89–98.

26. Frei O, Holland D, Smeland OB, Shadrin AA, Fan CC, Maeland S, et al. Bivariate causal mixture model quantifies polygenic overlap between complex traits beyond genetic correlation. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 2417.

27. Holland D, Frei O, Desikan R, Fan C-C, Shadrin AA, Smeland OB, et al. Beyond SNP heritability: Polygenicity and discoverability of phenotypes estimated with a univariate Gaussian mixture model. PLoS Genet. 2020;16: e1008612.

28. Mountjoy E, Schmidt EM, Carmona M, Schwartzentruber J, Peat G, Miranda A, et al. An open approach to systematically prioritize causal variants and genes at all published human GWAS trait-associated loci. Nat Genet. 2021;53: 1527–1533.

29. Hawrylycz MJ, Lein ES, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, Shen EH, Ng L, Miller JA, et al. An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. Nature. 2012;489: 391–399.

30. Arnatkeviciute A, Fulcher BD, Fornito A. A practical guide to linking brain-wide gene expression and neuroimaging data. Neuroimage. 2019;189: 353–367.

31. Markello RD, Arnatkeviciute A, Poline J-B, Fulcher BD, Fornito A, Misic B. Standardizing workflows in imaging transcriptomics with the abagen toolbox. Elife. 2021;10.

32. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016;40: 304–314.

33. Hindley G, Frei O, Shadrin AA, Cheng W, O'Connell KS, Icick R, et al. Charting the Landscape of Genetic Overlap Between Mental Disorders and Related Traits Beyond Genetic Correlation. Am J Psychiatry. 2022;179: 833–843.

34. Palova S, Charvat J, Masopust J, Klapkova E, Kvapil M. Changes in the plasma amino acid profile in anorexia nervosa. J Int Med Res. 2007;35: 389–394.

35. Perakakis N, Funk AM, Kolb T, Jonas S, Hellerhoff I, Tam FI, et al. Perturbations in plasma amino acid and lipoprotein subfraction profiles in anorexia nervosa before and after refeeding: A metabolomic cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis. Clin Nutr. 2025;46: 107–116.

36. Nakahara K, Takata S, Ishii A, Nagao K, Bannai M, Takahashi M, et al. Somatostatin is involved in anorexia in mice fed a valine-deficient diet. Amino Acids. 2012;42: 1397–1404.

37. Piras C, Noto A, Ibba L, Deidda M, Fanos V, Muntoni S, et al. Contribution of Metabolomics to the Understanding of NAFLD and NASH Syndromes: A Systematic Review. Metabolites. 2021;11.

38. Veeraval L, O'Leary CJ, Cooper HM. Adherens junctions: Guardians of cortical development. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8: 6.

39. Zhuang W, Ye T, Wang W, Song W, Tan T. CTNNB1 in neurodevelopmental disorders. Front Psychiatry. 2023;14: 1143328.

40. Sudnawa KK, Garber A, Cohen R, Calamia S, Kanner CH, Montes J, et al. Clinical

phenotypic spectrum of CTNNB1 neurodevelopmental disorder. Clin Genet. 2024;105: 523–532.

41. Nomura M, Tanaka K, Banno Y, Hara R, Asami M, Otsuka T, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk of anorexia nervosa: A Mendelian randomization study. J Affect Disord. 2023;330: 245–248.

42. Termorshuizen JD, Davies HL, Lee S-H, Dennis JK, Hübel C, Johnson JS, et al. Genome-wide association studies of binge eating behaviour and anorexia nervosa yield insights into the unique and shared biology of eating disorder phenotypes. medRxiv. 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.01.31.25321397

43. Marín O. Developmental timing and critical windows for the treatment of psychiatric disorders. Nat Med. 2016;22: 1229–1238.

44. Klump KL. Puberty as a critical risk period for eating disorders: a review of human and animal studies. Horm Behav. 2013;64: 399–410.

45. Klump KL, Culbert KM, Slane JD, Burt SA, Sisk CL, Nigg JT. The effects of puberty on genetic risk for disordered eating: evidence for a sex difference. Psychol Med. 2012;42: 627–637.

46. Klump KL, Culbert KM, O'Connor S, Fowler N, Burt SA. The significant effects of puberty on the genetic diathesis of binge eating in girls. Int J Eat Disord. 2017;50: 984–989.

47. Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Belanger AM, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB. Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. Circulation. 1998;97: 1837–1847.

48. Preiss D, Tobert JA, Hovingh GK, Reith C. Lipid-modifying agents, from statins to PCSK9 inhibitors: JACC focus seminar. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75: 1945–1955.

49. Hill ED, Kashyap P, Raffanello E, Wang Y, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, et al. Prediction of mental health risk in adolescents. Nat Med. 2025;31: 1840–1846.