PREPRINT

This version of the manuscript is the author preprint, is non-peer-reviewed, and is subject to change.

Psychometric evaluation of the Danish Near-Death Experience Content (NDE-C) scale in a sample of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors

Tobias Anker Stripp[1,2 *], MD, PhD & Niels Christian Hvidt[3], dr. theol.

[1]Centre for Science and Faith, Faculty of Theology and Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[2]Research Unit for General Practice, Department of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

[3]User Perspectives and Community-based Interventions, Department of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

***Correspondence:** Tobias Anker Stripp, Karen Blixensvej 16, 2300 Copenhagen, Denmark. tas@teol.ku.dk

Keywords: Near-death experience (NDE); recalled experience of death (RED); psychometry; validation; scale; near-death experience content scale (NDE-C);

ABSTRACT

Background: Near-death experiences (NDEs) are profound phenomena reported after life-threatening events such as cardiac arrest. The Near-Death Experience Content (NDE-C) scale was developed to improve psychometric assessment of NDE phenomenology, but no validated Danish version exists.

Objective: To evaluate the psychometric properties of the Danish NDE-C scale among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) survivors.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted among OHCA survivors identified through the Danish Cardiac Arrest Register (2016–2020). Participants reporting memories from their cardiac arrest completed the 20-item Danish NDE-C. Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's α, and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) tested the original five-factor structure.

Results: Of 2,262 invited survivors, 855 responded (37.8%), and 738 were included in analyses; 226 completed the NDE-C. The scale demonstrated excellent internal consistency (Cronbach's α = 0.92). CFA supported the five-factor structure conceptually, but global fit indices were mixed (CFI = 0.858; TLI = 0.831; RMSEA = 0.093; SRMR = 0.062). Factor loadings and discriminant validity were satisfactory.

Conclusions: The Danish NDE-C scale shows strong internal consistency and broadly acceptable factor structure, indicating promise as a tool for assessing NDE content in Danish clinical and research contexts. Further validation in larger and more diverse samples is necessary.

INTRODUCTION

The Near-Death Experience (NDE) represents a profound subjective phenomenon reported by individuals who have approached actual or perceived death. NDEs have been systematically studied for more than 50 years, and historical documents show that multiple cultures around the world have known, described, and used NDEs as epistemic tools for millennia (Holden et al., 2009). Also NDEs have been central experiences in the development of cultural and religious ideas of the afterlife(Shushan, 2025b).

These reports are often characterized by a consistent pattern of features, including sensations of leaving the body (out-of-body experiences) with perceptions from an external vantage point; traveling through darkness and emerging into light, often by way of a tunnel; witnessing another realm; meeting deceased loved ones; encountering the divine; experiencing a life review; deciding to return or being sent back to the body and earthly life (Greyson, 1985). Prospective studies report varying prevalences of NDEs for in-hospital (6.3–39.3%) versus out-of-hospital (18.9–21.2%) cardiac arrest (Kovoor et al., 2024). Core NDE features appear to be universal, although some content exhibits slight variation, probably because of interpretations through individual cultural and societal lenses (Shushan, 2025a). Further, although primarily reported and researched as positive experiences, scholarly work within the last decades shows that some NDErs also report negative or disturbing content during their experience (reports estimate 14% of NDEs as 'negative') (Cassol et al., 2019). Whether these negative experiences are happening during the NDE itself or are because of events surrounding the NDE, such as misinterpretation of medical intervention or emergence from coma, remains debated (Martial et al., 2022; Parnia et al., 2022). In summary, NDEs hold immediate significance for both clinical medicine and metaphysical thinking at the borders of science and faith. Clinically, NDEs are associated with lasting aftereffects necessitating specialized, informed care for experiencers. Metaphysically, they challenge reductionist and materialist theories of consciousness by suggesting the potential for organized non-local subjective experience during periods of impaired cerebral function.

Reaching a definitive classification consensus on NDEs appears to remain a challenge despite efforts to provide a guideline (Martial et al., 2022; Parnia et al., 2022). A central debate concerns whether the phenomenon intrinsically requires a physiological crisis (such as cardiac arrest) – which is a recent recommendation for classifying an experience as an "authentic NDE" / recalled experience of death (RED) (Parnia et al., 2022). We support this understanding, although we are aware of experiences with similar phenomenology (but not necessarily aftereffects) induced by non-life-threatening events (e.g., prayer, meditation, recreational drug use) (Martial et al., 2022). The overarching term often used to describe these related experiences is "spiritually transformative experiences" (STE) (Rominger, 2013).

The Greyson Near-Death Experience Scale has, since 1983, been the standard for studying NDEs (Greyson, 1983). Originally, it comprised 16 items across four content dimensions: affective, cognitive, paranormal, and transcendent (Greyson, 1983). Recently, researchers reconfigured the Greyson NDE scale as the 20-item Near-Death Experience Content Scale (NDE-C) to improve psychometric properties and include an item on distressing/negative content (Martial et al., 2020). This new scale probed "fear and non-existence" and

confirmatory factor analyses showed that a five-dimensional structure provided the best model fit: beyond the usual, insight, border, harmony, and gateway (Martial et al., 2020).

There is currently no validated psychometric instrument in Danish to systematically capture and quantify NDEs in a Danish context. This absence severely limits local prevalence studies, cross-cultural comparative analysis, and implementation of evidence-based psychological support for near-death experiencers in Denmark.

The objectives of this exploratory cross-sectional survey study among out-of-hospital (OH) cardiac arrest (CA) survivors, were to provide an initial psychometric evaluation of the Near-Death Experience Content scale (NDE-C) by estimating Cronbach's alpha and performing a confirmatory factor analysis based on the original factor structure.

METHOD

This study is based on survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) between 2016-2020 (n=2,785) identified through the Danish Cardiac Arrest Register, participating in the EXICODE study (a national survey linked to administrative health register data) (Stripp et al., 2022). Survivors were invited via secure digital mail to the EXICODE survey including a unique question about having any memories from a life-threatening situation such as their cardiac arrest: "A life-threatening situation or illness (such as an accident, cardiac arrest, or cancer) can cause some people to lose or experience an altered sense of consciousness. Some may subsequently recount memories from the time when they had lost, or experienced an altered state of, consciousness, whereas others cannot remember anything. Do you have any memories from such an experience?" (Stripp et al., 2023). If responding "yes" or "don't know", they were presented with a question panel including the NDE-C. Data were collected between the 1st of November and the 13th of December 2021 with a single reminder. Participants were excluded from the main analyses if they had not responded to the EXICODE question probing memories during their cardiac arrest.

Measures

The primary outcome was the intensity of near-death experiences, measured using the 20-item NDE-C scale (Martial et al., 2020). The translation of the NDE-C into Danish and its qualitative testing are reported elsewhere (Stripp et al., 2023). Original validation suggested a five-factor structure covering different phenomenological aspects of the NDE: Temporality, Harmony, Beyond the Senses, Gateway, and Insight. The scale is a Likert-type instrument with response options "0 - not at all; none", "1 - slightly", "2 - moderately", "3 - strongly; equivalent in degree to any other strong experience", and "4 - extremely; more than any other time in my life and stronger than 3". The score is the sum across all items (range 0-80). In the development study, the authors reported an overall Cronbach's Alpha of 0.85 and suggested a cutoff for having an NDE at ≥27 points (Martial et al., 2020).

Age and sex were determined from the unique 10-digit personal identity number that all Danish citizens have (CPR). Age was categorised into the following groups: 18-35, 36-45, 46-55, 56-65, 66-75, and ≥75. Sex was considered binary as either male (0) or female (1). Demographic register data were drawn from 2019. Education was measured in years and categorised as 7-11, 12-14, and 15+ years. Income was based on household income and coded in tertiles (low, middle, high) relative to age in decile groups, allowing for income groups relative to people of similar age. Cohabitation was categorised as living with someone (partner) versus living alone. Work status was categorised as either working, receiving benefit (student, public) or pension (private, public). Civil status was classified as married, divorced, or unmarried. Chronic disease burden was operationalised as having none, one, or more than one of eight common chronic diseases (asthma, dementia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), arthritis, osteoporosis, schizophrenia, type 1-diabetes, type 2-diabetes).

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were presented and tested by Pearson's chi-squared for categorical or t-test for continuous variables. The psychometric properties of the NDE-C were tested by Cronbach's alpha and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) in a structural equation model framework testing both four- and five-factor models guided by the original validation article, using only full NDE-C datasets. Good model fit should meet the following levels: root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA): <0.06; standardized root-mean squared residual (SRMR): <0.08; Tucker-Lewis index (TLI): >0.95; comparative fit index (CFI): >0.95 (Martial et al., 2020). Only register variables had missing values (0-1.8%). Missing categorical variables were imputed to the majority category. Missing values for continuous variables (0-2%) were set to the overall mean level. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA 18 (α=0.05).

Ethics

The project was registered at SDU Research & Innovation Organisation (RIO) (journal number: 10.367), the Danish Regional Scientific Ethics Committee (journal number: 20202000-116), and approved by SDU Research Ethics Committee (REC) (journal number: 20/39546). Participants were informed of the content and purpose of the study before participating. Participation was voluntary based on the information provided.

RESULTS

In total, 2,262 individuals received the invitation (n=523 did not receive the invitation for different reasons). Of these, 855 OHCA survivors responded (response rate: 37.8%), with 738 individuals included in final analyses (n=117 had missing data on the question of memory during life-threatening illness). The mean age was 63.6 years (SD: 10.7), with 80% responders being male. The mean overall score of the NDE-C was 14.7 (SD: 15.3) with the mean score for those with overall scores ≥27 being 40.3 (SD:12.3). The distribution of responses can be seen in Table 1, which also includes both Danish and English item versions.

Table 1: The Danish version of the Near-Death Experience Content scale (NDE-C) (English version in *italics*) and the distribution of responses (n=226).

Question (Danish // English)	0	1	2	3	4
Din tidsopfattelse blev ændret // Your perception of time was altered	101 (44.7 %)	36 (15.9 %)	42 (18.6 %)	24 (10.6 %)	23 (10.2 %)
Dine tanker blev hurtigere // Your thoughts speeded up	118 (52.2 %)	35 (15.5 %)	37 (16.4 %)	30 (13.3 %)	6 (2.7%)
Du hørte en eller flere stemmer, som ikke kom fra en fysisk krop // You heard one or several voices which did not have any material incarnation	178 (78.8 %)	14 (6.2%)	14 (6.2%)	14 (6.2%)	6 (2.7%)
Du havde opfattelsen af pludseligt at forstå dig selv, andre og/eller universet fuldstændigt // You had the feeling of suddenly understanding everything about yourself, the others and/or the universe	154 (68.1 %)	29 (12.8 %)	26 (11.5 %)	12 (5.3%)	5 (2.2%)
Du havde en følelse af fred og/eller velvære // You had a feeling of peace and/or well-being	105 (46.5 %)	29 (12.8 %)	34 (15.0 %)	27 (11.9 %)	31 (13.7 %)
Du havde en følelse af harmoni eller samhørighed, som om du var en del af et hele // You felt a sense of harmony or unity, as if you belonged to a larger whole	125 (55.3 %)	32 (14.2 %)	26 (11.5 %)	25 (11.1 %)	18 (8.0%)
Du så eller var omgivet af et skinnende lys uden et bestemt fysisk udgangspunkt // You saw or felt surrounded by a bright light without any determined material origin	166 (73.5 %)	23 (10.2 %)	11 (4.9%)	11 (4.9%)	15 (6.6%)
Du havde usædvanlige sanseoplevelser (se, høre, lugte, føle og/eller smage) // You experienced unusual sensations (sight, hearing, smell, touch and/or taste)	146 (64.6 %)	26 (11.5 %)	22 (9.7%)	17 (7.5%)	15 (6.6%)
Du var bevidst om ting udover, hvad dine sanser sædvanligvis kan opfatte // You were aware of things beyond what your senses can usually perceive	144 (63.7 %)	32 (14.2 %)	18 (8.0%)	19 (8.4%)	13 (5.8%)
Du opnåede indsigt i fremtiden // You gained insightful knowledge about the future	175 (77.4 %)	19 (8.4%)	19 (8.4%)	9 (4.0%)	4 (1.8%)
Du havde en følelse af at være ”udenfor” eller adskilt fra din krop // You had the impression of being outside of, or separated from your own body	156 (69.0 %)	24 (10.6 %)	16 (7.1%)	14 (6.2%)	16 (7.1%)

Du havde en oplevelse af at forlade den jordiske verden eller at gå ind i en ny dimension og/eller nyt miljø // You had the sensation of leaving the earthly world or of entering a new dimension and/or environment	165 (73.0 %)	18 (8.0%)	17 (7.5%)	14 (6.2%)	12 (5.3%)
Du genså eller genoplevede én eller flere begivenheder fra din fortid // You saw or relieved events from your past	176 (77.9 %)	20 (8.8%)	15 (6.6%)	7 (3.1%)	8 (3.5%)
Du mødte en tilstedeværelse og/eller et væsen (fx en afdød person) // You encountered a presence and/or an entity (who might be deceased)	187 (82.7 %)	6 (2.7%)	14 (6.2%)	11 (4.9%)	8 (3.5%)
Du havde en følelse af ikke-eksistens, af fuldstændig tomhed og/eller frygt // You had a feeling of non-existence, of being in a total void, and/or of fear	161 (71.2 %)	28 (12.4 %)	24 (10.6 %)	4 (1.8%)	9 (4.0%)
Du havde oplevelsen af en grænse og/eller et punkt, hvorfra du ikke ville kunne komme tilbage igen // You came close to a border and/or point of no return	180 (79.6 %)	17 (7.5%)	18 (8.0%)	5 (2.2%)	6 (2.7%)
Du tog beslutningen om eller var tvunget til at komme tilbage fra oplevelsen // You made the decision, or were forced, to come back from the experience	157 (69.5 %)	26 (11.5 %)	19 (8.4%)	7 (3.1%)	17 (7.5%)
Du fik indtryk af at dø og/eller at være død // You had the feeling of dying and/or being dead	144 (63.7 %)	24 (10.6 %)	17 (7.5%)	22 (9.7%)	19 (8.4%)
Du så eller kom ind i et overgangsområde (fx en tunnel eller en port) // You saw or entered a gateway (for instance a tunnel or a door)	180 (79.6 %)	15 (6.6%)	11 (4.9%)	10 (4.4%)	10 (4.4%)
Du oplever, at du ikke besidder de rette ord til at beskrive din oplevelse // You sense that the experience cannot be described adequately in words	120 (53.1 %)	33 (14.6 %)	29 (12.8 %)	19 (8.4%)	25 (11.1 %)

*Categories: “0 – not at all; none”, “1 – slightly”, “2 – moderately”, “3 – strongly; equivalent in degree to any other strong experience”, and “4 – extremely; more than any other time in my life and stronger than a typical ‘3’ response.

Psychometric analyses (n=226) of the NDE-C estimated an overall Cronbach’s α = 0.92. The CFA indicated that a five-factor model was fit better than a four-factor model in terms of goodness of fit, although the overall model fit for the five-factor solution was mixed. However, the CFA for the five-factor NDE-C scale yielded mixed results regarding model fit. The global fit indices were generally marginal, with the CFI at 0.858 and the TLI at 0.831, both falling below the preset threshold of >0.95. Furthermore, the RMSEA of 0.093 suggests the model is not a close fit to the data. Conversely, the SRMR of 0.062 is well within the acceptable range, indicating that the model successfully reproduces the observed correlation matrix. Locally, the model exhibits excellent convergent validity, as demonstrated by the overwhelmingly strong factor loadings between observed and latent factors throughout (Figure 1). For instance, item nde2 (“Your thoughts speeded up”) loads at 0.97 on the *Beyond the usual* latent factor, indicating that it is an exceptional measure of its construct. Discriminant validity is also satisfactory with in-between factor correlations of 0.41-0.73, the largest found between *Border* and *Gateway*. While the relationship between these factors is strong, it allows the two factors to remain conceptually distinct and statistically distinguishable.

Figure 1: Confirmatory factor analysis model including factor loadings of the Danish Near-Death Experience Content scale (NDE-C) (n=226).



DISCUSSION

The initial psychometric analyses of the Danish Near-Death Experience Content (NDE-C) scale suggest that the instrument is a promising tool for studying near-death experiences in Denmark. The scale demonstrated excellent internal consistency and a factor structure broadly consistent with the original validation, although further research is needed to confirm its dimensionality and generalizability. Theoretical concerns and ambiguities are discussed.

Interpretation

The psychometric evaluation of the Danish NDE-C scale indicates that it may be a valid tool for measuring the phenomenology of NDEs among Danish OHCA survivors, albeit with some important caveats. The overall Cronbach's α of 0.92 indicates excellent internal consistency, comparable to or slightly higher than that reported in the original development study. This suggests that the items coherently capture a common underlying construct related to NDE content. However, this should also be interpreted with caution with the scale being multidimensional.

The CFA indicated that a five-factor model provided a better representation of the data than a four-factor model, aligning with the original conceptualization of the scale. However, the global goodness-of-fit indices were mixed. While the SRMR fell within the acceptable range, the CFI, TLI, and RMSEA did not meet conventional thresholds for a close fit. A likely explanation is the relatively low number of respondents (n=226) in relation to the complexity of the model and number of parameters, which can adversely affect fit indices and model stability. In that light, the model fit may be considered acceptable but preliminary, supporting the overall structure while underlining the need for replication in larger samples. In addition, prior qualitative interviews with NDErs using the Danish version of the items indicated satisfactory content validity and acceptability in a secular cultural context (Stripp et al., 2023).

Near-death experiences and recalled experiences of death

Recent work has highlighted conceptual and terminological challenges associated with the term "near-death experience" and proposed the use of RED as an alternative umbrella term (Parnia et al., 2022). REDs are defined as specific cognitive and emotional experiences occurring during a period of loss of consciousness in the context of a life-threatening event, such as cardiac arrest (Parnia et al., 2022). The term "loss-of-consciousness" in relation to NDE in this definition is perhaps not sufficiently clear as the NDE is experienced by a consciousness and thus it cannot happen during loss-of-consciousness (Martial et al., 2022) but rather, and more precisely, during a period of unresponsiveness with no visible external

signs of consciousness. The ambiguity in terminology reflects the complexity of the matter, and the central issue here is perhaps the requirement of a physiological crisis.

From this perspective, the Danish NDE-C scale can be viewed as a tool for systematically capturing the content of REDs among OHCA survivors if paired with either qualitative or clinical data providing insight into the context so that physiological crisis may be (plausibly) confirmed. The scale's ability to reflect a wide range of cognitive, emotional, perceptual, and existential phenomena—including both harmonizing and distressing elements—positions it well within this emerging conceptual framework although . Future studies may use the NDE-C in combination with RED-oriented criteria to explore how specific content dimensions relate to clinical variables, neurological status, or long-term psychological and existential outcomes.

A theoretical concern to the NDE-C that seem reasonable to raise is whether the negative aspects of the NDE occurs during the "NDE proper" or whether they are effects of delusional interpretations of actual medical interventions, emergence from coma etc. which prominent authors suggest (Parnia et al., 2022). If that is the case, having an item on negative experiences contributing to the overall NDE score, such as seen as an improvement with the NDE-C, would negatively influence the content validity and the cut-off criteria. This would never render probing of negative content during the entirety of the experience void – but perhaps such questions should not be included in formal scoring of the RED itself. As the field develops, future research and a better definitional consensus must elucidate these nuances.

Perspectives

Having a validated Danish measure of NDE content is a key step toward systematically investigating these experiences in a national context. NDEs and REDs occupy an important but underexplored intersection between clinical medicine, neuroscience, psychology, and existential or spiritual care. In Denmark, as in many other countries, the field has received limited research attention and minimal dedicated funding, despite growing evidence that NDEs can profoundly affect individuals' beliefs, values, and mental health.

A valid content scale such as the Danish NDE-C can contribute in several ways. First, it enables more robust prevalence and phenomenological studies of NDEs/REDs in Danish patient populations, particularly among OHCA survivors. Second, it facilitates cross-cultural comparisons with existing international data, contributing to ongoing debates about the universality versus cultural variability of NDE phenomenology. Third, the tool may inform clinical practice by helping clinicians identify patients who have had such experiences and may benefit from tailored psychological or existential support. In the long term, systematic use of validated instruments could help integrate NDE- and RED-related care into broader patient-centered and existential care frameworks in cardiology, intensive care, and rehabilitation settings (Stripp & Joshi, 2023).

Limitations

This study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings. A key strength is that the sampling frame comprised the full population of OHCA survivors in Denmark from 2016–2020, identified through a nationwide cardiac arrest register.

The number of respondents who completed the NDE-C (n=226) is relatively small for a complex CFA model, which may have contributed to the marginal global fit indices and limited the precision of parameter estimates. The present findings should therefore be considered exploratory, and replication in larger samples is warranted before drawing firm conclusions about the factor structure.

Recall bias is an inherent concern in retrospective observational studies, particularly when events occurred several years earlier. However, prior research has shown that memories of NDEs tend to be unusually vivid and stable over time, often even more so than memories of ordinary life events (Holden et al., 2009). While this does not eliminate the possibility of bias, it suggests that NDE reports may be relatively robust even with longer recall intervals. Finally, the sample was restricted to OHCA survivors; thus, the applicability of the Danish NDE-C to other life-threatening conditions (e.g. in-hospital cardiac arrest, severe trauma, critical illness) remains to be tested.

Conclusion

The psychometric evaluation of the Danish Near-Death Experience Content (NDE-C) scale in a sample of OHCA survivors indicates that the instrument has excellent internal consistency and a broadly acceptable, though not definitive, factor structure aligned with the original validation. The content validity seems good although theoretical questions of whether negative aspects of the NDE relate to surrounding events such as misinterpretations of real medical interventions or emergence from coma rather than the NDE itself could have implications that needs to be further explored. These findings provide an initial foundation for using the NDE-C in Danish research and clinical contexts to systematically assess the phenomenology of NDEs and REDs. Further studies with larger and more diverse samples are needed to refine the factor structure, confirm measurement invariance across settings, and explore how specific content dimensions relate to clinical outcomes and existential or spiritual care needs.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the study participants who willingly contributed their stories and data to enhance care for cardiac arrest survivors and science at large. Additionally, the authors thank Dr. Sonja Wehberg, University of Southern Denmark, for statistical support and help in designing the study, and Prof. Jens Søndergaard, University of Southern Denmark, for help in designing the study.

Author contributions

TAS: first draft, design, idea, data collection, data curation, statistical analysis, revision. NCH: design, idea, data collection, revision. All authors accepted the final version.

Funding

This work was supported by the University of Southern Denmark, the University of Copenhagen, and Samfonden, Denmark.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare to have no conflicts of interest.

Data sharing statement

The data are not freely available due to legal and ethical requirements.

Generative AI

Large language models were used for rephrasing and adjusting grammar.

References

Cassol, H., Martial, C., Annen, J., Martens, G., Charland-Verville, V., Majerus, S., & Laureys, S. (2019). A systematic analysis of distressing near-death experience accounts. *Memory (Hove, England)*, *27*(8), 1122-1129. https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2019.1626438

Greyson, B. (1983). The near-death experience scale. Construction, reliability, and validity. *J Nerv Ment Dis*, *171*(6), 369-375. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005053-198306000-00007

Greyson, B. (1985). A typology of near-death experiences. *Am J Psychiatry*, *142*(8), 967-969. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.142.8.967

Holden, J. M., Greyson, B., & James, D. (2009). *The handbook of near-death experiences: 30 years of investigation*. Praeger Publishers.

Kovoor, J. G., Santhosh, S., Stretton, B., Tan, S., Gouldooz, H., Moorthy, S., Pietris, J., Hannemann, C., Yu, L. K., Johnson, R., Reddi, B. A., Gupta, A. K., Wagner, M., Page, G. J., Kovoor, P., Bastiampillai, T., Maddocks, I., Perry, S. W., Wong, M. L.,…Bacchi, S. (2024). Near-death experiences after cardiac arrest: a scoping review. *Discov Ment Health*, *4*(1), 19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44192-024-00072-7

Martial, C., Gosseries, O., Cassol, H., & Kondziella, D. (2022). Studying death and near-death experiences requires neuroscientific expertise. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, *1517*(1), 11-14. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14888

Martial, C., Simon, J., Puttaert, N., Gosseries, O., Charland-Verville, V., Nyssen, A. S., Greyson, B., Laureys, S., & Cassol, H. (2020). The Near-Death Experience Content (NDE-C) scale: Development and psychometric validation. *Conscious Cogn*, *86*, 103049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2020.103049

Parnia, S., Post, S. G., Lee, M. T., Lyubomirsky, S., Aufderheide, T. P., Deakin, C. D., Greyson, B., Long, J., Gonzales, A. M., Huppert, E. L., Dickinson, A., Mayer, S., Locicero, B., Levin, J., Bossis, A., Worthington, E., Fenwick, P., & Shirazi, T. K. (2022). Guidelines and standards for the study of death and recalled experiences of death--a multidisciplinary consensus statement and proposed future directions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, *1511*(1), 5-21. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14740

Rominger, R. (2013). Integration of Spiritually Transformative Experiences: Models, Methods, and Research. *Journal of Near-Death Studies*, *31*(3).

Shushan, G. (2025a). Diversity and similarity of near-death experiences across cultures and history: implications for the survival hypothesis. *Int Rev Psychiatry*, *37*(2), 95-101. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540261.2024.2402429

Shushan, G. (2025b). *Near-Death Experience in Ancient Civilizations: The Origins of the World's Afterlife Beliefs*. Inner Traditions.

Stripp, T. A., Viftrup, D. T., Nissen, R. D., Wehberg, S., Sondergaard, J., & Hvidt, N. C. (2023). Testing the acceptability and comprehensibility of a questionnaire on existential and spiritual constructs in a secular culture through cognitive interviews. *Survey Research Methods*, *17*(1), 75-89. https://doi.org/10.18148/srm/2023.v17i1.7971

Stripp, T. K., & Joshi, V. L. (2023). [A review of existential concerns of cardiac arrest survivors and implications for rehabilitation: do we need a new tool in the toolbox?].

Tidsskrift for Forskning i Sygdom og Samfund, *20*(38), 25–48. https://doi.org/10.7146/tfss.v20i38.131489

Stripp, T. K., Wehberg, S., Büssing, A., Andersen-Ranberg, K., Jensen, L. H., Henriksen, F. L., Laursen, C. B., Søndergaard, J., & Hvidt, N. C. (2022). Protocol for EXICODE: the EXIstential health COhort DEnmark—a register and survey study of adult Danes. *BMJ Open*, *12*(6), e058257. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058257