Health Risk Assessment for an Unregulated Neurotoxic Nicotine Analogue in Oral Pouch

Products

Sairam V. Jabba, PhD,[1][*][‡] Hanno C. Erythropel, PhD, [2][*] Peter Silinski, PhD,[3] Remi A.

Mellinghoff,[2] Paul T. Anastas, PhD,[4] Julie B. Zimmerman, PhD[2] and Sven E. Jordt, PhD[1]

1 Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina

2 Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Yale University, New Haven,

Connecticut

3 Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina

4 School of Public Health, Yale University School of Medicine, Hew Haven, Connecticut

* Drs Jabba and Erythropel contributed equally

‡ Corresponding author:

Sairam V. Jabba, DVM, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University School of

Medicine, 3 Genome Ct, Durham, NC 27710. Email: sairam.jabba@duke.edu

Abstract:

Background:

Oral pouch products containing nicotine analogues such as 6-methyl nicotine (6MN) were

recently introduced to evade federal and state regulations. Preclinical studies demonstrated that

6MN is more toxic than nicotine and binds to nicotinic receptors with higher potency. However,

the nicotine analogue contents of oral pouch products and the associated health risks remain

unknown.

Methods:

Twenty-five flavor varieties from three oral pouch product (OPP) brands (Aroma-King,

Hippotine-Happy Hippo, MG-Upperdeckys) marketed to contain 6MN were purchased in 2024-

25. 6MN was quantified by either GC-FID (Yale) or GC-MS (Duke) to assess the estimated

exposure dose (EED) from OPP use. Health risks associated with acute 6MN exposure from a

single pouch use were assessed by calculating (i) hazard quotient (HQ) for heart-rate increase,

and (ii) margin of exposure (MOE) for convulsions, with HQ more than 1 considered a potential

health risk and the acceptable safety threshold value of MOE for proconvulsive effects

considered 10. For comparison, both risk measures were determined for Zyn nicotine pouch

products.

Results:

6MN contents in analyzed OPPs ranged from 2.96-14.5 mg and diverged significantly from

labelled contents for some products. HQ's for 6MN OPPs for heart-rate increase ranged from

74-381, compared to 95-162 for nicotine in Zyn. MOEs for convulsion risk ranged from 0.6-2.8,

indicating elevated risk. MOEs for nicotine in Zyn products were 3.2-5.4.

Conclusions:

6MN contents in novel pouch products are higher than in popular nicotine pouch products.

Consumers using these products are exposed to 6MN levels that may exceed safety thresholds,

potentially leading to adverse health effects. Nicotine analogues should be urgently addressed

by lawmakers and regulators, and FDA should be authorized to regulate products containing

them.

Introduction

The tobacco industry is constantly evolving, introducing new products with altered designs and constituents, to appeal to consumers and circumvent regulatory restrictions. Beginning in 2023, the industry introduced electronic-cigarettes and oral pouch products (OPPs), containing 'unregulated' nicotine analogues such as 6-methyl nicotine (6MN), in otherwise banned youth-appealing flavors.[1–3] 6MN is more potent than nicotine at nicotinic receptors and in its neurotoxicity and lethality to rodents.[1–3] This suggests that products containing 6MN have higher abuse potential and an elevated risk of adverse events. This study quantified 6MN in emerging OPPs and applied risk assessment methodologies to estimate the human health risks compared to products containing nicotine.

Methods

Product identification:

"6-methyl nicotine" and variations thereof, and trade names for 6-methyl nicotine, including "Metatine", "Nixotine", "Nixodine", "Imotine", "Nonic6" and "Nonic" were chosen as web search terms to identify oral pouch products (OPPs) marketed within and outside of the US. Product labels and marketing information on vendor websites were reviewed for statements on product composition, including 6-methyl nicotine (6MN) and flavor chemicals. Product brands with tradenames Aroma King (Aroma King), Hippotine (Happy Hippo, LLC.) and MG (Upperdecky's) were identified (25 flavor varieties in total) were purchased from web merchants in 2024-25 to confirm availability and for chemical analysis.

Chemical Analysis:

Contents of 6MN OPPs were extracted using methanol. As described in detail in Erythropel et

al., 2024,[1] 6MN was quantified by gas chromatography-flame ionization (GC-FID) at Yale

University or gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) at Duke University.[1]

Risk Assessment:

Health risks of acute 6MN exposure were assessed by (i)hazard quotient (**HQ**) for heart-rate

increase, and (ii)margin of exposure (**MOE**) for convulsions, two measures widely applied by

regulatory agencies to estimate human health risk from animal and human toxicological data.

Calculated EEDs, HQs and MOEs were for a single pouch use (see Figure1A and eAppendix for

details).

Hazard Quotient (HQ): The risk assessment parameter named hazard quotient (HQ) was

calculated to assess the acute cardiovascular health risk of increased heart rate associated with

exposure to 6MN or nicotine from pouch use. HQ was determined as the ratio of exposure to

6MN (EED) to its acute reference dose for increases in heart rate, as follows:

$$HQ = \frac{\text{Estimated} - \text{Exposure} - \text{Dose (EED) from one pouch use}}{\text{Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) for 6MN or nicotine}}$$

6MN's ARfD for heart-rate increase, either in humans or preclinical animal models, is currently

unavailable, but an ARfD of 0.8μg/KgBW has been established in humans for nicotine.[4–6] This

value is the external dose and upon correction for internal exposure (correction factor of 0.44 for

oral bioavailability), an ARfD of 0.35μg/KgBW is obtained.[4,6,7] As the physiochemical parameters

of 6MN are similar to nicotine's, as determined using computational toxicity toolbox (CompTox,

EPA), a read-across approach with nicotine's ARfD was utilized to calculate the ARfD of 6MN.

Correcting for molecular weight (6MN: 176.23), the ARfD of 6MN was calculated to be

0.38μg/KgBW.

Estimated Exposure Dose (EED) is the estimated human exposure from use of a single 6MN or

nicotine pouch and was calculated as follows:

$$\text{EED} = \frac{6MN \text{ or nicotine per pouch x } release\ rate \text{ x oral mucosa absorption rate}}{Body\ weight\ (60\ kg)}$$

EED is the product of i) 6MN or nicotine bitartrate dihydrate (NBD) content per pouch, ii) their

release rate from pouch to the oral mucosa, and iii) the absorption rate into the oral mucosa.

With physicochemical properties of 6MN and nicotine being similar, release rate and absorption

in oral mucosa from human pouch use for 6MN and nicotine were considered to be equivalent.

A release rate of 60% and absorption rate through the oral mucosa of 100% was considered for

these calculations.[4,7,8] With EED's represented on a 'per Kilogram-Bodyweight (KgBW)' basis, a

body weight of 60 kg was assumed for these calculations. A HQ > 1 indicates a potential health

risk (increased heart rate).

Margin of exposure (MOE): To assess the health risk of convulsions associated with 6MN

exposure from use of 6MN containing OPPs, we calculated MOE, a risk assessment parameter

for toxic compounds widley used by regulatory agencies. For comparison with health risks from

exposure to nicotine from similar products, MOE was calculated for use of a Zyn oral nicotine

pouch (ONP; nicotine-reference products), in which nicotine bitartrate dihydrate (NBD) is the

nicotine salt. For nicotine-reference ONPs, the label nicotine strength is based on the maximum

amount of free-base nicotine released. The equivalent NBD amount added to a pouch was

determined by correcting for NBD molecular weight (498.5) and utilizing a scaling factor of

~3.07 (498.5 ÷ 162.2 = 3.07) to the amount of free-base nicotine determined in nicotine-

reference pouches. This nicotine-reference product (Zyn) was selected for comparison because

it is the most popular ONP currently in the market.

MOE was calculated using the following formula, and as described previously.[9,10]

$$MOE = \frac{\text{No Observed Adverse Effect level for 6MN or nicotine}}{\text{Estimated} - \text{Exposure} - \text{Dose (EED) from one pouch use}}$$

Here, NOAEL is the No-Observed-Adverse-Effect-Level, the highest administered dose to mice

at which the adverse outcome of convulsions wasn't observed. NOAELs of 6MN and NBD for

convulsion were 82.5 and 562 µg/KgBW, respectively, derived from published studies

determining the dose-response relationship to produce convulsions and lethality in mice.[11] EED

calculation was performed as described earlier.

The acceptable safety threshold value of MOE for proconvulsive chemicals was considered to

be 10, with an uncertainty factor of 10 accounting for intra-species (within-human) variability.

With rodent animal models (mice and rats) reported to have comparable convulsion risk as non-

human primates and with similar sensitivity to humans for neurotoxic chemicals, an additional

modifying factor for inter-species differences (rodent to human) was not applied.[12,13] Therefore,

an MOE of >10 is considered low risk, while MOEs <10 are potentially proconvulsive and

require prioritization by regulatory agencies for risk mitigation.

Results:

Pouch 6MN contents ranged from 2.96-14.48mg. For products 2-3 measured 6MN contents

were ~61-64% lower than listed on the product label while for Product 1, no 6MN quantities

were indicated on the label. Calculated HQs for heart-rate increase ranged from 74-381 for 6MN

OPPs, compared to 95-162 for nicotine-containing products. Determined MOEs for convulsion

risk ranged from 0.6-2.8 for 6MN OPPs, below the safety thresholds of 10. MOEs for nicotine-

containing product were 3.2-5.5 (see Table and Figure1B).

Discussion:

This study demonstrates that US-marketed pouches contain 6MN at amounts (2.96-14.48mg/pouch) that exceed the typical nicotine content (2-8mg/pouch) in popular ONPs. Results are also comparable to EU- and UK-marketed OPPs found to contain 3.9-19.4 mg/pouch.[14] Risk assessment based on 6MN contents and prior toxicological studies indicate that people who use just a single 6MN pouch are exposed to 6MN levels that exceed risk thresholds for adverse health effects, such as increased heart rate and convulsions.

Previous rodent toxicity studies demonstrated that 6MNs ED_{50} (110μg/KgBW) for convulsions and LD_{50} (190μg/KgBW) are ~2-3X lower than those of nicotine (ED_{50}=348μg/KgBW; LD_{50}=422μg/KgBW),[11] demonstrating that 6MN is significantly more toxic than nicotine. 6MN's higher lipophilicity and divergent metabolism[15] from nicotine may also contribute to greater bioaccumulation and toxicity.

Due to their similar physicochemical properties and pharmacological targets, the ARfD of 6MN was derived from that of nicotine, despite 6MN's greater potency for adverse outcomes. Consequently, our analysis adopted a conservative approach that plausibly underestimated 6MNs health risks. Nevertheless, for all the products analyzed, both assessed risk measures indicate that exposures were above levels of concern.

Study limitations include testing only a limited number of brands.

Based on the acute health risks associated with 6MN intake from OPPs, the FDA and state regulators should consider restricting these products and assess their safety along with other 6MN-containing product categories, including e-cigarettes.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr. Jordt provides consulting services to the Department of Justice of the State of California on matters of tobacco product regulation.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by funds from Duke University to SEJ, and funds

from Yale University to JBZ.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study;

collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or

approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily

represent the views of the funding institute.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Victor Garcia-Gallet for his assistance in

OPP extraction.

Author Contributions: Drs Jabba and Erythropel contributed equally. Drs Jabba and Jordt had

full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and

the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Jabba, Jordt

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Jabba, Erythropel, Silinski, Mellinghoff, Jordt

Drafting of the manuscript: Jabba, Jordt, Erythropel

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Jabba, Jordt,

Erythropel, Anastas, Zimmerman.

Supervision: Jordt, Zimmerman.

Obtained funding: Zimmerman, Jordt.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Jabba, Jordt, Erythropel, Anastas,

Zimmerman.

References:

1. Erythropel HC, Jabba SV, Silinski P, et al. Variability in Constituents of E-Cigarette Products
Containing Nicotine Analogues. *JAMA*. 2024;332(9):753-755. doi:10.1001/jama.2024.12408

2. Jordt SE, Jabba SV. Introduction of nicotine analogue-containing oral pouch products in the
United States. *Tob Prev Cessat*. 2024;10. doi:10.18332/tpc/195621

3. Jordt SE, Jabba SV, Zettler PJ, Berman ML. Spree Bar, a vaping system delivering a
synthetic nicotine analogue, marketed in the USA as "PMTA exempt." *Tob Control*.
2025;34(3):414-418. doi:10.1136/tc-2023-058469

4. Netherlands National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). *Assessment of*
the Nicotine Level in Nicotine Pouches Not Exceeding the Acute Reference Dose. RIVM
Accessed October 6, 2025. https://www.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2021-
11/FO_nicotinezakjes%20tox_20211101_def_anon.pdf

5. Lindgren M, Molander L, Verbaan C, Lunell E, Rosén I. Electroencephalographic effects of
intravenous nicotine – a dose-response study. *Psychopharmacology*. 1999;145(3):342-350.
doi:10.1007/s002130051067

6. Potential risks for public health due to the presence of nicotine in wild mushrooms.
doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.286r

7. Netherlands National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). Assessment of
6-methylnicotine content in nicotine pouches | RIVM. Accessed October 3, 2025.
https://www.rivm.nl/documenten/beoordeling-van-6-methylnicotinegehalte-in-nicotinezakjes

8. Lunell E, Fagerström K, Hughes J, Pendrill R. Pharmacokinetic Comparison of a Novel Non-
tobacco-Based Nicotine Pouch (ZYN) With Conventional, Tobacco-Based Swedish Snus and
American Moist Snuff. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020;22(10):1757-1763. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntaa068

9. Jabba SV, Erythropel HC, Torres DG, et al. Synthetic Cooling Agents in US-marketed E-
cigarette Refill Liquids and Popular Disposable E-cigarettes: Chemical Analysis and Risk
Assessment. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2022;24(7):1037-1046. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntac046

10. Jabba SV, Jordt SE. Risk Analysis for the Carcinogen Pulegone in Mint- and Menthol-
Flavored e-Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco Products. *JAMA Intern Med*.
2019;179(12):1721-1723. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.3649

11. hfmp0110 - REPORT A 0500 / 1216.01 AKUTE TOXIZITATSBESTIMM... - Truth Tobacco
Industry Documents. Accessed November 8, 2024.
https://industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/tobacco/docs/#id=hfmp0110

12. EPA. Guidelines for Neurotoxicity Risk Assessment. Published online May 14, 1998.
Accessed July 31, 2025. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2014-
11/documents/neuro_tox.pdf

13. Shiotani M, Seki Y, Takano M, et al. Comparative sensitivity of laboratory animals used for
preclinical convulsion risk assessment to drug-induced convulsion. *J Toxicol Sci.*
2024;49(9):409-423. doi:10.2131/jts.49.409

14. Vanhee C, Dill M, Canfyn M, Tuenter E, Barhdadi S. The Emergence of a Novel Synthetic
Nicotine Analog 6-Methyl Nicotine (6-MN) in Proclaimed Tobacco- and Nicotine-Free
Pouches Available in Europe. *Drug Test Anal.* 2025;17(8):1368-1379. doi:10.1002/dta.3841

15. Xie Z, Conklin DJ, Jin L, et al. Characterizing Oxidative Metabolites of 6-Methylnicotine
(6MN; aka metatine[TM]): Divergent Metabolism from Nicotine and Identification of Urinary
Biomarkers of Exposure. *Toxicol Sci.* Published online July 21, 2025:kfaf107.
doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfaf107

Table: Chemical Analysis and Predicted Risk Measures (HQ and MOE) for US-Marketed 6-Methyl Nicotine Containing Oral Pouch Products Tobacco.

Brand Name	Flavor Name	Label Strength	6MN or nicotine content, mean (SD) in mg/pouch, Difference from label strength [%]		Risk Assessment Parameter	
			6MN	Nicotine	HQ	MOE
Aroma King (Product 1)	Exotic Ice	Extra Strong	3.28 (0.11)		86	2.5
		Super Strong	5.71 (0.15)		150	1.4
		Mega Strong	11.53 (0.17)		303	0.7
	Cola Ice	Extra Strong	3.76 (0.01)		99	2.2
		Super Strong	6.41 (0.04)		169	1.3
		Mega Strong	12.09 (0.26)		318	0.7
	Rainbow Drops	Extra Strong	3.26 (0.09)		86	2.5
		Super Strong	7.18 (0.07)		189	1.1
		Mega Strong	13.29 (0.14)		350	0.6
	Freeze Ice*,#	Extra Strong	3.49 (0.57)		92	2.4
		Super Strong	5.91 (0.74)		156	1.4
		Mega Strong	12.25 (0.81)		322	0.7
	Peach Ice*,#	Extra Strong	3.33 (0.22)		88	2.5
		Super Strong	6.05 (0.31)		159	1.4
		Mega Strong	13.01 (0.93)		342	0.6
	Ruby Berry Ice*	Extra Strong	3.46 (0.32)		91	2.4
		Super Strong	7.84 (0.77)		206	1.1
		Mega Strong	13.88 (0.85)		365	0.6
	Double Mint	Mega Strong	14.48 (0.85)		381	0.6
MG (Product 2)	Buzzing Berry*,#	8 mg	3.16 (0.30) [-61%]		83	2.6
	Cool Mint*,#	8 mg	2.96 (0.25) [-63%]		78	2.8
	Orange Creamscicle*,#	25 mg	9.53 (0.96) [-62%]		251	0.9
	Wintergreen*,#	15 mg	5.59 (0.27) [-63%]		147	1.5
Hippotine (Product 3)	Guava Slice*,#	15 mg	5.81 (0.61) [-61%]		153	1.4
	Wintergreen*,#	25 mg	8.90 (1.14) [-64%]		234	0.9
Zyn& (nicotine-reference products)	All Flavors$	3 mg		3.32 (0.11) [11%]	95	5.5
		6 mg		5.66 (0.34) [-6%]	162	3.2

*N=5-7 for 6MN from 3 separate pouch extractions, remaining N=3 from one extraction
6MN amounts were measured at both Duke and Yale
$ Based on published data (average of all flavors)(Page et al., *Nicotine Tob Res.*, 2025)
& For MOE calculations, nicotine contents measured in Zyn reference products were converted to nicotine-bitartrate-dihydrate (NBD), the nicotine salt present in these products (3.32 and 5.66 mg nicotine is equivalent to 10.2 and 17.6 mg of NBD, respectively)(see eAppendix for details)

Figure Legend: Margin of Exposure (MOE) Calculation Method and MOE Comparison for Various 6-Methyl Nicotine Oral Pouch Products. A) Calculation of MOE for neurotoxic chemical added to oral pouch products. An MOE of 10 was set as threshold for mitigation of potential proconvulsive activity of 6MN or nicotine. B) MOEs are plotted for 6MN exposures from use of one 6MN-containing OPP. Analyzed product brand tradenames included, Aroma King (Aroma King), Hippotine (Happy Hippo, LLC.) and MG (Upperdecky's). MOEs determined for the popular nicotine-containing pouch product, Zyn, are displayed for comparison.

Figure 1

A

NOAEL (mg/KgBW) in mice	**EED For 6MN or NBD from one pouch use**	**6MN or NBD per pouch (mg) x release rate x mucosal absorption rate**
• 6MN - 0.083	=	───────────────────
• NBD - 0. 562		**Body weight (60 Kg)**

- 6MN or NBD release estimated from the pouch : 60%
- Mucosal absorption rate : 100%

B