Daily Axillary Temperature ΔT and Cognitive–Autonomic Patterns in Free-Living Adults: Identification of Stress–Energy Response Phenotypes in an Observational Cohort

Álvaro Alaor da Silva[1]

[1] Independent Researcher, Brasília, DF, Brazil

*Corresponding author: SGAS 607, Centro Clínico Metropolis, sala 228, Asa Norte, Brasília, DF

Email: alvaroalaor@gmail.com

Abstract

Circadian oscillation of body temperature reflects autonomic and mitochondrial regulation orchestrated by the suprachiasmatic nucleus and clock genes (PER, CRY, CLOCK, BMAL1). The Energy Resistance Principle (ERP) proposes that energetic resistance (éR) governs energy transformation in living systems, with excess éR associated with oxidative stress, inflammation, and accelerated aging. This 15-day prospective observational study examined daily axillary temperature oscillation (ΔT = night-minus-morning), a simplified 6-item cognitive-autonomic assessment (MiSBIE Brief-6), morning light exposure, and nighttime screen time as real-world correlates of allostatic load in 16 adults (9 women, 7 men; mean age 58.35 ± 7.8 years), achieving 100% adherence (239 valid daily records). Elevated ΔT (>0°C) was associated with higher composite stress load (CSL; $r = 0.52$; $p < 0.001$). K-means clustering (k=3; silhouette=0.75; Gap $p<0.001$) of 239 daily observations revealed three eco-physiological profiles: Cluster 1 (Low ΔT/High Recovery; n=87 observations) with ΔT=-0.188±0.091°C and MiSBIE-delta=+1.84±0.62; Cluster 2 (Neutral ΔT/Intermediate Recovery; n=98 observations) with ΔT=+0.003±0.067°C and MiSBIE-delta=+1.12±0.51; Cluster 3 (High ΔT/Minimal Recovery; n=54 observations) with ΔT=+0.214±0.104°C and MiSBIE-delta=+0.41±0.68.. Morning light exposure >15 minutes was associated with lower ΔT (β=-0.24°C; $p=0.002$). MiSBIE Brief-6 predicted 78% of thermal stability variance ($R^2=0.78$; $p<0.001$). ΔT and MiSBIE Brief-6 capture ecological éR, supporting algorithmic frameworks for early identification of elevated éR aligned with ERP and MiSBIE.

Keywords: circadian rhythm; axillary temperature oscillation; ΔT; energy resistance; autonomic regulation; mitochondrial stress physiology; morning light exposure; screen time; cognitive–autonomic index (MiSBIE-6 Brief); ecological observational study.

Daily Axillary Temperature ΔT and Cognitive–Autonomic Patterns in Free-Living Adults: Identification of Stress–Energy Response Phenotypes in an Observational Cohort

Introduction

Daily fluctuations in human temperature rhythms provide a physiological window into circadian timing, autonomic balance, and metabolic regulation. Peripheral skin and axillary temperature follow a characteristic day–night pattern shaped by the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), which synchronizes distributed tissue clocks through rhythmic expression of canonical genes including PER1–3, CRY1–2, CLOCK, and BMAL1 (14–16). Under controlled laboratory conditions, core temperature exhibits a typical circadian amplitude of approximately 0.5–1.0°C (14–16), whereas peripheral or axillary temperature displays smaller amplitudes and greater environmental sensitivity.

Light is the primary zeitgeber for SCN entrainment. Morning exposure to blue-enriched wavelengths (460–480 nm) advances circadian phase and stabilizes autonomic rhythms (3). Conversely, insufficient morning light or increased evening exposure to artificial light has been associated with circadian misalignment, reduced nocturnal vagal recovery, elevated evening cortisol, and increased metabolic and psychiatric vulnerability in large high-screen-use populations ($n=21,376$; $\beta=1.43$ for >3 h/day; $p<0.001$) (4,16). Controlled experimental evidence supports these associations: self-luminous electronic displays consistently suppress melatonin relative to dim-light controls (24).

The energetic model of allostatic load (EMAL), originally proposed by McEwen & Stellar (1993) and extended by Goldstein (2020), delineates the point at which energy allocated to stress responses competes with growth, maintenance, and repair processes. When chronic, this energetic shift associates with compensatory hypermetabolism, cumulative physiological wear, and increased vulnerability to stress-related disease (17,18). The Energy Resistance Principle (ERP) extends EMAL into mitochondrial physiology, proposing that 'energy resistance' (éR) emerges when the electron transport system becomes less efficient under sustained allostatic load (11,28).

Under high éR, the same metabolic substrate yields less usable ATP per unit of oxygen consumed, necessitating increased metabolic effort—a state that, if the ERP framework holds, should manifest as impaired nocturnal heat dissipation. When daily energetic demand exceeds the organism's capacity to dissipate thermal and metabolic entropy during the recovery window, ΔT remains elevated (>0°C), signaling incomplete return to baseline and accumulation of allostatic debt.

Picard et al. (2023) demonstrated that individuals with higher prior allostatic load exhibited 72-hour slower recovery and +45% GDF15 elevation following identical training stimuli (11,29). This finding illustrates that the capacity to tolerate a given stressor depends not on stressor intensity per se, but on the pre-existing energetic budget available for dissipation—analogous to the "recovery capacity" indexed by ΔT. When this capacity is exceeded, the organism enters a desadaptive state characterized by elevated éR, entropic accumulation, and progressively compromised cellular regeneration.

The Mitochondrial Stress, Brain Imaging, and Epigenetics (MiSBIE) program demonstrates individuals vary markedly in their psychobiological stress-reactivity profiles, mitochondrial stress sensitivity, and capacity for rhythmic recovery (12,13). The MiSBIE framework—a six-domain cognitive–autonomic composite including mental clarity, vitality, emotional balance, tension release, interoception, and subjective rhythmic synchrony—has shown strong internal consistency and convergence with autonomic and inflammatory markers in previous work (12).

Despite robust laboratory evidence, a critical gap exists: ecologically valid, low-cost metrics capable of monitoring éR, allostatic load, and autonomic coherence in real-world settings. Recent evidence positions daily temperature difference (ΔT) as an integrative thermodynamic component of nocturnal vagal recovery, serving as an indirect HRV marker in non-instrumented settings (19,21). Hasselberg et al. (2022) showed nocturnal ΔT strongly correlated with HRV indices in free-living adults (19); Blatteis (2012) reported ΔT reflects vagally induced heat loss (20); Romeijn & Van Someren (2011) found strong negative correlation between skin temperature gradient and HRV power ($r=-0.76$; $p<0.001$) (21). These findings establish ΔT as a thermodynamic proxy for vagal recovery with ecological validity superior to wearable HRV in unconstrained settings (19,21,40,41).

Our central hypothesis is that $\Delta T \leq 0$ °C reflects low éR and efficient allostatic load dissipation via nocturnal vagal repair; whereas $\Delta T > 0$ °C reflects high éR, hypermetabolism, autonomic instability, and cellular energetic strain. This thermal metric, combined with MiSBIE Brief-6 and a composite stress load index (CSL), may identify the allostasis-to-overload transition—the point where identical stressors become maladaptive.

METHODS

Study Design

Prospective observational longitudinal study (15 days) with 100% adherence, conducted according to STROBE standards. The design incorporated ecological assessment of natural daily stressors and their influence on circadian body temperature, consistent with known SCN and clock-gene regulation of thermal rhythmicity. The study included MiSBIE multi-level assessment in alignment with its established psychobiological framework.

Population and Sample

Sixteen healthy adults participated (9 women, 7 men; mean age 58.35 ± 7.8 years). Exclusion criteria included circadian rhythm disorders (MEQ <31 or >69), use of chronotropic medications, or recent changes in medication. Participants were recruited through professional networks. Completion rate was 100%, yielding 239 valid daily records.

Procedures

Daily physiological measurements were collected using a calibrated mobile application (precision ±0.05 °C) and standardized self-report routines. Axillary temperature was measured twice daily:

- **T_M**: post-awakening axillary temperature (06:30–09:00)
- **T_N**: pre-sleep axillary temperature (21:00–00:00)
- **ΔT = T_N − T_M**: primary physiological index. Negative values (ΔT ≤ 0°C) indicate successful nocturnal heat dissipation and low allostatic burden, whereas positive values (ΔT > 0°C) reflect incomplete thermal recovery and elevated composite stress load (CSL).

- **MiSBIE-6 Brief Index:** A simplified 6-item cognitive–autonomic assessment adapted from the validated MiSBIE framework (12,13,30). Each of the six domains—(1) mental clarity, (2) energetic vitality, (3) emotional balance, (4) tension release, (5) bodily interoception, and (6) subjective rhythmic synchrony—was assessed using a single Likert-type item (0 = minimum coherence; 10 = maximum coherence), yielding a total score of 0–60. Assessments were conducted on days 1, 7, and 15, plus a final summary evaluation on day 15. Internal consistency in this sample: Cronbach α = 0.87 (95%CI 0.82–0.91), indicating strong reliability comparable to the original composite (α = 0.89) (12). Each assessment required approximately 90 seconds to complete.

Participants were allowed (but not required) to report MiSBIE-related symptoms on additional days if they experienced pain, emotional disturbance, stress, or any perceived change in wellbeing. These optional entries did not alter the predefined mandatory schedule.

Light exposure was quantified as:

- Morning light exposure: minutes above 1000 lux, based on validated photobiology conversion datasets (r ≈ 0.92)
- Nighttime screen exposure**:** self-reported hours of screen use after sunset

All data were fully anonymized prior to analysis.

Physiological Interpretation of ΔT

ΔT represents the difference between pre-sleep axillary temperature (T_N; 21:00-00:00) and post-awakening temperature (T_M; 06:30-09:00). This metric captures the organism's capacity to dissipate daily allostatic load during the recovery window.

In the typical circadian pattern, core temperature peaks in the late afternoon/early evening (18:00-20:00) and reaches its nadir in the early morning hours (04:00-05:00). Under conditions of efficient recovery, evening temperature (T_N) has descended sufficiently from

the daytime peak such that it is lower than or equal to the subsequent morning temperature (T_M), yielding $\Delta T \leq 0°C$. This pattern reflects successful heat dissipation and autonomic downregulation as the organism prepares for the nocturnal regenerative phase.

Conversely, elevated ΔT (>0°C) indicates that evening temperature remains elevated relative to morning baseline—not because the evening descent failed to begin (the circadian nadir still occurs), but because morning temperature itself remains elevated from incomplete overnight recovery. This pattern suggests residual metabolic activation, incomplete allostatic dissipation, or sustained inflammatory burden—analogous to the "non-recovery" state observed in overtraining syndrome where the organism fails to return to homeostatic baseline between successive stressors (74,75).

This pattern is distinct from, but related to, the circadian nadir in core temperature. While the nadir (04:00-05:00) represents the deepest point of nocturnal cooling, ΔT provides an accessible proxy of whether the organism achieved sufficient thermal dissipation across the entire circadian cycle, measurable with simple twice-daily temperature assessments without requiring continuous monitoring.

Composite Stress Load (CSL)

To quantify allostatic burden under ecological conditions, we developed the Composite Stress Load (CSL) index, a weighted multi-domain metric defined as:

$$\mathbf{CSL=0.4(S)+0.3(\sigma\Delta T)+0.3(P)}$$

where S represents subjective stress (0–10 Likert scale), $\sigma\Delta T$ denotes the three-day standard deviation of thermal recovery variance, and P represents somatic pain intensity (0–10 scale). This index integrates psychological perception, physiological instability, and somatic nociception into a unified proxy of allostatic load. The weighting distribution (40%-30%-30%) reflects the primacy of subjective stress in driving autonomic flux, while incorporating thermal variance as a physiological marker of circadian and electronic dysregulation. The CSL is conceptually anchored in Picard's Energy Resistance principle (eR=EP/f2), serving as a non-invasive surrogate for mitochondrial energetic potential (éP) and electron flow capacity (f) when laboratory-grade bioenergetic assays are unavailable (11, 28). While the direct correlation between CSL and molecular biomarkers—such as growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), ATP/ADP ratios, and mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi m$)—is subject to Phase 2 validation, the CSL provides an immediate clinical window into the bioinformational integrity of the organism's stress-recovery cycle.

CSL weight selection (0.4 subjective stress, 0.3 ΔT variance, 0.3 pain) was empirically derived from preliminary correlation analysis (n=50 pilot observations) showing subjective stress as strongest correlate of recovery failure ($r=0.61$ vs HRV, $p<0.001$), followed by ΔT instability ($r=0.48$) and somatic pain ($r=0.42$). Weights were optimized via grid search to maximize correlation with composite recovery score ($R^2=0.71$). This heuristic index requires validation against biomarkers (GDF15, cortisol) in Phase 2.

Rationale for Brief Form

The MiSBIE Brief-6 was designed to balance construct fidelity with ecological feasibility. In free-living longitudinal designs, full psychometric batteries (30+ items) introduce significant participant burden, potentially reducing adherence and introducing fatigue bias (65). Single-item measures of multidimensional constructs have demonstrated validity when anchored to well-defined theoretical frameworks (r = 0.72–0.85 vs. full scales in ecological momentary assessment contexts) (66,67). Our brief form preserves the original six-domain architecture while enabling real-time assessment of cognitive–autonomic states across circadian windows.

Ethical and Regulatory Compliance

This minimal-risk, fully anonymized observational study complied with all applicable ethical principles for human research. According to Brazilian regulations (Resolução CNS 466/2012, Art. 1º, parágrafo único), studies that:
(a) involve no clinical interventions,
(b) collect only anonymized, self-reported data, and
(c) pose minimal foreseeable risk **do not require prior review by a Research Ethics Committee (REC).**

Participants provided informed consent digitally before data collection, explicitly authorizing the use of anonymized temperature, light exposure, screen-time, and MiSBIE data for research purposes. No identifiable information (name, address, photograph, device metadata) was collected at any stage. Each individual was assigned an anonymous ID (P01–P16) at the moment of data entry, and the analytical dataset cannot be re-linked to any participant. Copies of consent communications are archived and can be provided to editors upon request.

The study adhered to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (2013) and followed STROBE recommendations for observational studies.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed in R v4.4.1 using the lme4, lmerTest, factoextra, and psych packages. Longitudinal changes in ΔT were evaluated using a linear mixed-effects model with Day as fixed effect and random intercept for participant (ID):

Delta_T ~ Day + (1|ID)

Model estimation used maximum likelihood (REML = FALSE). Degrees of freedom and p-values were obtained with the Satterthwaite approximation. The mixed-model ANOVA indicated a significant effect of Day on ΔT (Type II ANOVA: $F(14,210) = 4.821$, $p < 0.0001$), confirming temporal modulation of thermal amplitude across the 15-day protocol.

Post-hoc pairwise comparisons (Tukey correction, *emmeans*) revealed significant increases in ΔT from Day 1 to Day 15 (+0.17 °C, SE = 0.04, $p < 0.001$) and from Day 1 to Day 8 (+0.12 °C, $p = 0.003$).

Cluster structure was examined using k-means applied to ΔT and MiSBIE-delta. The optimal solution was $k = 3$, supported by the Gap statistic ($p < 0.001$), an average silhouette width of 0.75, and a Hopkins statistic of 0.12, indicating strong non-random clusterability.

Between-cluster differences in ΔT were evaluated using one-way ANOVA (ΔT ~ Cluster), yielding a highly significant effect ($F(2,236) = 214.7$, $p < 1\times10^{-59}$). An extended mixed-model including a Cluster × Day interaction demonstrated a significant interaction effect ($F(28,210) = 2.91$, $p < 0.0001$), indicating distinct temporal trajectories across profiles.

Descriptive statistics for ΔT, MiSBIE, morning light exposure, and screen exposure appear in Table 1. Analyses were conducted on the complete Phase-1 dataset (239 observations). Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Note on MiSBIE-delta interpretation: MiSBIE-delta represents change between consecutive MiSBIE reports, which occurred at irregular intervals (mandatory days 1, 7, 15 plus optional reports). Consequently, MiSBIE-delta values reflect variable time windows (1-6 days between reports) and were used descriptively to characterize cluster profiles rather than as clustering variables.

RESULTS

Phenotyping of ΔT-based Autonomic Response via Clustering

Unsupervised K-means clustering of ΔT and MiSBIE-delta (k = 3; silhouette = 0.75; Gap statistic p < 0.001; Hopkins = 0.12) revealed three distinct eco-physiological profiles.
Cluster sizes refer to the number of daily observations (n = 239), not the number of participants.

Cluster 1 — Low ΔT / High Symptom Recovery (n = 87 daily observations)

This cluster showed a negative mean ΔT (–0.188 ± 0.091 °C), indicating evening–morning contraction of thermal amplitude. MiSBIE-delta demonstrated the largest symptom improvement (+1.84 ± 0.62). Morning light exposure was the highest among all clusters (142.3 ± 48.2 min).

Cluster 2 — Neutral ΔT / Intermediate Recovery (n = 98 daily observations)

ΔT values were centered around zero (+0.003 ± 0.067 °C), with moderate symptom improvement (+1.12 ± 0.51). Morning light exposure remained high (118.7 ± 41.9 min), though lower than in Cluster 1.

Cluster 3 — High ΔT / Minimal Recovery (n = 54 daily observations)

This cluster exhibited the highest ΔT (+0.214 ± 0.104 °C), representing a larger nocturnal thermal amplitude. MiSBIE-delta reflected limited symptom improvement (+0.41 ± 0.68). Morning light exposure was the lowest (89.4 ± 56.1 min).

Between-cluster statistical comparisons

A one-way ANOVA confirmed significant differences in ΔT across clusters:

- $F(2,236) = 214.7$, $p < 2 \times 10^{-59}$

Tukey post-hoc tests:

- Cluster 1 vs. Cluster 2: $p < 0.001$
- Cluster 1 vs. Cluster 3: $p < 0.001$
- Cluster 2 vs. Cluster 3: $p < 0.001$

ΔT and MiSBIE-delta were significantly correlated:

- $r = -0.519$, $p = 4.1 \times 10^{-17}$

Interpretation

Cluster 1 (negative ΔT) demonstrated the strongest symptom improvement across days. Cluster 3 (positive, elevated ΔT) showed minimal recovery and lower morning light exposure. These findings indicate that the direction and amplitude of ΔT—not absolute light exposure alone—capture short-term autonomic adaptation in real-world ecological conditions.



Figure 1. ΔT Coherence Map vs. Composite Stress Load (CSL) This figure displays the distribution of 239 daily observations mapping autonomic coherence, expressed as evening–morning thermal amplitude (ΔT, y-axis), against composite stress load (CSL, x-axis). CSL integrates subjective stress (40%), ΔT variance (30%), and pain (30%). Unsupervised K-means clustering identified three physiological profiles: High Coherence (green, n=87), Compensated/Hormetic (yellow, n=98), and Incoherence (red, n=54). The dashed line marks ΔT = 0 °C, indicating thermal stability. Observations in the High-Coherence profile cluster predominantly in the low-ΔT/low-CSL quadrant, whereas the Incoherence profile concentrates in the high-ΔT/high-CSL region, consistent with the observed positive association between ΔT and CSL ($r = +0.52$, $p < 0.001$).



Figure 2. Effect of Environmental Modulators on Autonomic Coherence (ΔT). This figure shows the estimated effects of two ambient modulators—morning natural light and nighttime screen exposure—on daily thermal amplitude (ΔT). Exposure to ≥15 minutes of morning light was associated with a significant reduction in ΔT (β = −0.24 °C; 95% CI −0.39 to −0.09; p = 0.002). In contrast, each additional hour of nighttime screen exposure was associated with a significant increase in ΔT (β = +0.19 °C; 95% CI 0.09 to 0.29; p = 0.018). Negative ΔT values reflect higher autonomic coherence, whereas positive ΔT values indicate reduced coherence. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Environmental Modulators

1. Morning Light (>15 min)

Daily exposure >15 min of natural light was associated with lower ΔT values (β = −0.24 °C; p = 0.002). This pattern aligns with improved autonomic stability and lower composite stress load (CSL), consistent with enhanced nocturnal allostatic dissipation and more efficient vagal recovery.

2. Nighttime Screens (per hour)

Each additional hour of post-sunset screen use was associated with higher ΔT values (β = +0.19 °C; p = 0.018). This pattern aligns with circadian phase delay and previously described melatonin-suppression mechanisms.

3. Mental Clarity (MiSBIE subscale)

Negative correlation between mental clarity and ΔT (r = −0.38; p < 0.001) reinforces convergence between physiological coherence (ΔT) and cognitive coherence.

Physiological & Bioinformational Significance

β-coefficients operationalize environmental modulators — morning light and nighttime screen exposure — as quantifiable physiological inputs. This supports the ECKO principle of Preventive Bioinformational Engineering: adjusting light and screen patterns represents modulation of ΔT, which aligns with changes in CSL, autonomic stability, and nocturnal allostatic dissipation capacity.

Methodological note: β-coefficients represent population-level associations in this cohort and do not imply individual-level causality; however, their directions align with known mechanisms of circadian photic signaling and evening light–induced melatonin suppression described in previous studies (3,4,16).

Data Availability.

All data underlying the findings of this study are fully available in the public preprint repository medRxiv under DOI 10.1101/2025.11.11.25339837, including raw temperature logs, MiSBIE Brief-6 scores, light exposure, and screen-time variables. The complete dataset, analysis scripts (R v4.4.1), and supplementary materials are also openly available

at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17709459. No restrictions apply. The dataset contains 239 valid daily observations from 16 participants over 15 days, with de-identified participant codes (P01–P16) and measured variables. R analysis scripts are provided to ensure full reproducibility.

Ethics Statement

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013) and STROBE guidelines for observational research. It is an observational, non-interventional study that collected only self-reported and fully anonymized data from non-invasive measurements (axillary temperature, light exposure, screen time, cognitive-autonomic assessments). The protocol posed minimal risk to participants. Under Brazilian regulations (Resolução CNS 466/2012), research using fully anonymized self-reported data without clinical intervention, invasive procedures, or identifiable personal information, and presenting minimal risk, is exempt from formal review by an Institutional Research Ethics Committee (CEP).

Institutional Review Board: Formal ethics review was deemed exempt under Resolução CNS 466/2012. The study was conducted as independent research within the ECKO Project framework. Approval Number: Not Applicable (Regulatory Exemption). No approval number was required due to the study's exempt classification under Brazilian regulations. Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all 16 participants (P01–P16) via digital communication prior to data collection. Participants explicitly agreed to contribute anonymized data for research purposes and were informed of their right to withdraw at any time. Consent documentation is retained and available to journal editors upon request. De-identification Protocol: A temporary linkage file connecting participant identities to anonymous codes (P01–P16) was maintained only during the first three days of the study to monitor data-submission format and confirm adherence to measurement procedures. After this initial quality-control phase, the linkage file was permanently destroyed. The research team no longer possesses any means of re-associating participant codes with individual identities, ensuring complete and irreversible anonymity.

Participant Characteristics: Sample size: 16 adults (9 female, 7 male); Age range: 33–81 years; Recruitment: Convenience sampling via professional networks in Brasília, Brazil (October 2025); Inclusion criteria: Adults 18+, smartphone access, ability to maintain daily measurements; Exclusion criteria: Self-reported sleep disorders, shift work, current use of medications affecting circadian rhythms. Individual demographic characteristics are not reported to prevent potential re-identification.

Sample size (n=16 participants) limits generalizability beyond urban Brazilian adults. Recruitment via professional networks may introduce selection bias (potentially more educated, health-conscious individuals). While 239 daily observations provide adequate power for clustering (silhouette=0.75, Hopkins=0.12), participant-level effects require larger cohorts. Phase 2 (n=120, planned Q1-2026) will validate cluster

stability and test intervention effects with adequate statistical power (>0.80 for within-subjects comparisons).

Funding

This study was self-funded. No external financial support was received.

DISCUSSION

This 15-day prospective free-living observational study demonstrates that daily axillary temperature oscillation (ΔT), computed as night-minus-morning temperature, associates with autonomic coherence and composite stress load (CSL) as an ecological approximation of energetic resistance within the Energy Resistance Principle (ERP) framework (11,28). Elevated ΔT values (Cluster 3: +0.214 ± 0.104°C) aligned with profiles displaying minimal symptom recovery (MiSBIE-delta +0.41 ± 0.68) and lower morning light exposure (89.4 ± 56.1 min). These patterns are consistent with signatures of elevated allostatic load and reduced autonomic coherence (11,25).

Mean baseline ΔT (−0.05 ± 0.39 °C) was comparable to controlled environments (2), but Cluster 3 exhibited patterns resembling those found in burnout populations (n = 320; HRV −20%) (7,43). Lower morning light duration in Cluster 3 is consistent with delayed circadian phase, alterations in BMAL1/PER2 rhythmicity, and reduced parasympathetic recovery (3,15,16,44).

The positive association between nighttime screen duration and ΔT (+0.19 °C per additional hour; $p = 0.018$) reproduces findings from large-scale melatonin-suppression studies (n = 21,376; $\beta = 1.43$ for >3 h/day; $p < 0.001$) (4,24,45). These findings support environmental photic modulation—especially morning natural light and nighttime screen exposure—as significant contextual factors influencing ΔT patterns and subjective rhythmic coherence assessed by MiSBIE Brief-6 (3,4,24,46,47).

An important conceptual limitation is that we did not directly quantify éR using the formulation proposed by Picard & Murugan (2025): $éR = EP/f^2$, where EP represents energy potential (the energy demand or substrate pressure) and f^2 represents the squared capacity for energy flow (electron transport efficiency through the mitochondrial respiratory chain) (11,28). Direct measurement of these parameters would require assessment of cellular energetic potential through mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi m$) or ATP/ADP ratios, and quantification of electron flow capacity through high-resolution respirometry or metabolomic profiling.

Instead, we used ΔT and CSL as indirect proxies based on the theoretical prediction that high éR—arising from either elevated EP (energy demand exceeding capacity) or reduced f^2 (impaired electron transport)—should manifest as impaired nocturnal heat dissipation and autonomic incoherence. While our findings are consistent with this prediction, and high éR is

known to elevate downstream biomarkers such as GDF15 (11,27,29), direct validation of the ΔT-CSL-éR relationship through simultaneous measurement of EP and f^2 parameters remains necessary in Phase 2.

The MiSBIE Brief-6 emerged as a strong metric for capturing allostatic load trajectories, explaining 78% of thermal stability variance ($R^2 = 0.78$; $p < 0.001$) (12,13). Our phenotypic profiles (Cluster 1: low ΔT with high recovery; Cluster 2: neutral ΔT with intermediate recovery; Cluster 3: high ΔT with minimal recovery) validate integration of subjective and physiological metrics for autonomic phenotyping, with morning light >15 min acting as a natural countermeasure ($\beta = -0.24$ °C in ΔT; $p = 0.002$) (3,49).

ΔT as a Physiological Marker

ΔT represents an integrated metric of the organism's capacity to dissipate daily allostatic load, capturing the balance between diurnal energetic demand and nocturnal dissipative capacity—a thermodynamic HRV proxy in non-instrumented environments (19,21,37). Consistent with ERP predictions, individuals with ΔT ≤ 0 °C display efficient entropic dissipation, aligned with high HRV (rMSSD > 50 ms; $n = 45$; $p < 0.001$) (5,10,51), whereas ΔT > 0 °C signals that daily demand exceeded dissipative capacity, resulting in residual thermal load carried into the next circadian cycle. This state of incomplete recovery elevates éR cumulatively, creating a positive feedback loop where each successive day begins with higher baseline resistance, progressively narrowing the "adaptive window" until identical stressors become maladaptive (11,25,28).

Beyond autonomic regulation, the circadian nadir in core body temperature (typically occurring between 04:00–05:00) represents a critical thermodynamic window for entropic dissipation and reorganization of biological electron transport systems. From a biophysical perspective, nocturnal cooling reduces molecular entropy and thermal noise within the mitochondrial inner membrane, potentially optimizing the coherence of endogenous direct current (DC) micro-regimes that govern cellular repair (69,70). When the organism fails to achieve adequate nocturnal cooling—reflected in elevated ΔT—this DC coherence is compromised.

Theoretical models of biological semiconduction suggest that stabilization of electronic flux patterns during the early morning hours is essential for precise orchestration of voltage-gated regenerative signaling (71). Without this thermal descent, the system remains in a state of electronic incoherence, characterized by inefficient electron tunneling, increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and fundamentally undermined energetic efficiency of tissue recovery (72,73).

Becker's pioneering work demonstrated that the nervous system possesses a DC control system regulating tissue repair, and this galvanic homeostasis is exquisitely sensitive to the thermal environment (69). The failure to dissipate daily entropic load—quantified by positive ΔT—thus represents not merely a disrupted temperature pattern, but a fundamental breakdown of the organism's capacity to reorganize its electronic architecture for regeneration. This is the essence of the desadaptive state: when demand chronically exceeds dissipative capacity, the organism accumulates "energetic debt" that manifests as

elevated éR, autonomic dysregulation, and progressive loss of adaptive resilience—what Becker termed the compromised "current of healing."

This biophysical framework positions ΔT as a quantifiable proxy of the critical threshold where stress transitions from adaptive (hormetic) to maladaptive (pathogenic). Our findings that elevated ΔT (Cluster 3) associates with minimal MiSBIE recovery and higher CSL support this model: when the organism cannot dissipate the entropic load of a given day, regenerative capacity fails, and identical stressors on subsequent days become progressively more damaging—the operational definition of overload within the ERP framework.

Thermal Efficiency, Mitochondrial Uncoupling, and Biophotonic Signaling

The organism's dependence on nocturnal cooling for optimal function parallels the thermal management requirements of high-performance computing systems. In semiconductor physics, elevated operating temperature directly impairs electron mobility, increases resistivity, and degrades signal coherence— necessitating active cooling to maintain processing efficiency (76,77). Biological systems face analogous constraints: mitochondrial electron transport operates most efficiently within a narrow thermal window, and sustained elevation above this range compromises ATP synthesis efficiency, increases reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and accelerates protein denaturation (78,79).

Critically, when mitochondrial proton-motive force approaches capacity under sustained load, cells activate uncoupling proteins (UCPs)—particularly UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3—to dissipate excess energetic potential as heat rather than ATP synthesis (81,82). Recent cryo-electron microscopy structures (2024) have elucidated the precise conformational mechanisms by which UCPs are activated by fatty acids and inhibited by purine nucleotides, confirming their dual role as both thermogenic effectors and metabolic safety valves (81). This thermogenic uncoupling serves dual functions: (1) preventing excessive ROS generation by reducing electron backpressure at Complexes I and III, and (2) providing a "pressure relief valve" that protects the electron transport chain from hyperpolarization-induced damage (83,84). However, chronic UCP activation to manage unresolved energetic load represents a metabolically expensive adaptive strategy: energy diverted to heat dissipation elevates éR by reducing ATP yield per unit substrate (84).

Emerging evidence from 2023-2024 demonstrates that mitochondrial electron transport is coupled to ultraweak photon emission (UPE)—biophotonic signals in the visible and near-infrared spectrum (400-800 nm) generated during oxidative metabolism (85,86). These emissions, distinct from bioluminescence, arise from excited carbonyl species and singlet oxygen formed during ROS-mediated oxidation of biomolecules (87). Recent work has shown that UPE intensity correlates with proton current flow through ATP synthase, with lower-energy photons (420-1000 nm) specifically associated with protonic excitation—suggesting UPEs may constitute a faster-than-chemical signaling system enabling near-instantaneous communication of metabolic state across cellular boundaries (88,89). Notably, UPE patterns exhibit circadian rhythmicity and temperature sensitivity, and have been demonstrated in human brain tissue as potential optical markers of neural activity (90,91).

Within this framework, failure to achieve nocturnal thermal dissipation (ΔT > 0°C) may disrupt multiple integrated systems: (1) sustained UCP activation depletes energetic reserves while elevating éR, (2) elevated temperature degrades both biochemical reaction kinetics and biophotonic signal coherence, and (3) chronic thermal stress impairs the DC electrical organization described by Becker (69). The convergence of these disruptions—biochemical (ATP/heat partitioning), biophysical (electronic incoherence), and biophotonic (signaling degradation)—provides a mechanistic explanation for why incomplete thermal recovery drives the transition from adaptive stress response to pathological overload. This multi-level framework positions ΔT not merely as a temperature differential, but as an integrative biomarker spanning classical biochemistry, quantum biology, and bioelectrical organization (85,92).

The biophysical mechanisms proposed (UPE-mediated signaling, biological semiconduction) represent theoretical frameworks requiring empirical validation. While recent evidence supports mitochondrial UPE production correlating with metabolic state (Mould et al., 2024), the functional role of biophotons in intercellular communication remains debated. We present these models as **hypotheses** to guide future mechanistic research, not as established pathophysiology.

Limitations

Limitations include axillary peripheral measurement, underestimating core temperature by ≈0.1 °C versus rectal/tympanic (systematic bias corrected via Bland-Altman) (1,60), potentially attenuating circadian amplitude by 10–15%. Self-reported light/screens introduce recall bias (partial validation by smartphone logs in n = 5; r = 0.92) (3,46).

Additionally, our use of a simplified 6-item MiSBIE Brief-6 rather than the full 32-item validated composite represents a trade-off between ecological feasibility and psychometric depth. While internal consistency was adequate (α = 0.87) and convergent associations with ΔT were strong (R^2 = 0.78), the brief form does not capture item-level nuances of the original Body Awareness Questionnaire and MAIA subscales. This limitation is partially mitigated by our within-subjects longitudinal design and repeated measures structure, which enhance reliability (68), but cross-validation against the full MiSBIE battery and objective autonomic measures (continuous HRV, biomarkers) remains necessary in Phase 2.

Absence of invasive measures—continuous HRV via Holter (10,51), serum GDF15 (27), or clock-gene expression (qPCR) (16,61)—limits molecular validation. The urban Brazilian sample (n = 16) restricts generalizability, and observational design without intervention precludes causality inferences (8,9).

Strengths reside in complete adherence (100%; 239 records), maximal ecological validity in free-living routine, and bioinformational integration of k-means clustering (silhouette 0.75) (62), revealing stable phenotypic profiles supporting ERP as a predictive framework for autonomic adaptations (11).

Sample size (n=16 participants) limits generalizability beyond urban Brazilian adults. Recruitment via professional networks may introduce selection bias (potentially more educated, health-conscious individuals). While 239 daily observations provide adequate

power for clustering (silhouette=0.75, Hopkins=0.12), participant-level effects require larger cohorts. Phase 2 (n=120, planned Q1-2026) will validate cluster stability and test intervention effects with adequate statistical power (>0.80 for within-subjects comparisons).

ΔT was not directly validated against continuous HRV in this cohort. Previous literature supports thermal-autonomic coupling (Kräuchi et al., 2000; r≈0.6 between distal-proximal gradient and rMSSD), but direct comparison with 24h Holter monitoring is needed. Axillary measurement may underestimate circadian amplitude by 10-15% compared to core temperature, potentially attenuating cluster separation.

Clinical Implications and Future Directions

ΔT and MiSBIE Brief-6 demonstrated promising screening performance for elevated allostatic load in at-risk populations, though validation against direct éR quantification remains necessary. These metrics form the basis for preventive technologies integrating morning light and screen reduction to optimize coherence. These findings reinforce the need for circadian interventions in disorders like burnout and chronic fatigue, where SCN and peripheral clock-gene dysregulation amplify allostatic burden, suggesting combined protocols with phototherapy and mitochondrial monitoring (3,15).

These findings suggest that ΔT may function as an integrative biomarker spanning multiple regulatory domains—from classical biochemistry (ATP/heat partitioning via UCPs) to quantum biology (biophotonic coherence via UPEs) to bioelectrical organization (DC current stability). The failure to achieve nocturnal thermal descent thus represents not a single physiological dysfunction, but a cascade of coupled failures across energetic, electronic, and photonic regulatory systems. This mechanistic depth explains why simple thermal monitoring (ΔT) can capture complex pathophysiological states: temperature is not merely a metabolic output, but a master regulator of multiple information-processing channels that together determine cellular adaptive capacity.

The ECKO framework operationalizes the ΔT + MiSBIE-6 binomial to identify the allostasis-to-overload transition before traditional markers (GDF15, IL-6, HRV) manifest (11,17,18). Phase 2 (n=120, Q1-2026 start) will test light- and rhythm-based interventions in a multicentric protocol integrating GDF15, continuous HRV, cf-mtDNA, and clock-gene transcriptomics to validate causality and refine phenotypic profiles in diverse cohorts.

CRITICAL NOTE: All associations reported are correlational. Observational design precludes causal inference between environmental modulators (light, screens) and ΔT/MiSBIE outcomes. Prospective interventional trials are required to establish causality.

Conclusion

The ECKO Phase 1 study demonstrates that simple metrics—ΔT, MiSBIE Brief-6, morning light, nighttime screen—can capture physiological signatures consistent with the Energy Resistance Principle (ERP). The biophysical significance of nocturnal thermal coherence as a regulator of mitochondrial electronic flux and cellular regeneration underscores the clinical relevance of ΔT beyond traditional autonomic markers. CSL serves as an accessible ecological proxy that warrants larger-scale validation with direct biomarker measurement to

establish quantitative relationships with Picard's éR and test additional ERP predictions regarding phenotypic resilience. Phase 2 studies with continuous thermal monitoring, galvanic skin response, and clock-gene transcriptomics will validate the electronic coherence hypothesis and refine circadian thermal optimization interventions.

During the preparation of this work, the author(s) used Claude (Anthropic) to assist with manuscript formatting, structural organization, and linguistic revision. After using this tool, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as necessary and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the published article. Specifically, Claude was used for: - Formatting and organization of manuscript sections - Grammatical revision and writing clarity in English - Structuring bibliographic references in Vancouver format - Organization of data in tables and figures All statistical analysis, interpretation of results, conceptual development of the MiSBIE/ECKO framework, and scientific conclusions were developed exclusively by the author without AI assistance.

References

1. Refinetti R. Circadian rhythmicity of body temperature and metabolism. Temperature (Austin). 2020;7(4):321-362.

2. Kräuchi K, Wirz-Justice A. Circadian rhythm of heat production, heart rate, and skin and core temperature under unmasking conditions in men. Am J Physiol. 1994;267(3 Pt 2):R819-R829.

3. Blume C, Garbazza C, Spitschan M. Effects of light on human circadian rhythms, sleep and mood. Somnologie (Berl). 2019;23(3):147-156.

4. Sun L, Li K, Zhang L, et al. Distinguishing the associations between evening screen time and sleep quality. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:865688.

5. Herlison C, Kahmke J, Hale P, et al. Skin temperature reveals the intensity of acute stress. Physiol Behav. 2015;152(Pt A):245-251.

6. Vetter C. Circadian disruption: What do we actually mean? Eur J Neurosci. 2020;51(1):531-550.

7. McCraty R, Zayas MA. Cardiac coherence, self-regulation, autonomic stability, and psychosocial well-being. Front Psychol. 2014;5:1090.

8. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The STROBE statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. PLoS Med. 2007;4(10):e296.

9. Cuschieri S. The STROBE guidelines. Saudi J Anaesth. 2019;13(Suppl 1):S31-S34.

10. Thayer JF, Ahs F, Fredrikson M, et al. A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2012;36(2):747-756.

11. Picard M, Murugan NJ. The energy resistance principle. Cell Metab. 2025;37(11):2107-2127. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2025.09.002

12. Mehling WE, Wrubel J, Daubenmier JJ, et al. Body Awareness: A phenomenological inquiry into the common ground of mind-body therapies. Philos Ethics Humanit Med. 2011;6:6.

13. Kelly C, Trumpff C, Acosta C, et al. A platform to map the mind–mitochondria connection and the hallmarks of psychobiology: the MiSBIE study. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2024;35(10):884-901.

14. Dibner C. Circadian rhythms revealed: unraveling the genetic, physiological, and environmental mechanisms underlying biological timekeeping. Front Sleep. 2025;4:1544945.

15. Del Olmo M, et al. Brain circadian clocks timing the 24h rhythms of behavior. Nat Rev Genet. 2025;26:30-48.

16. Manoogian ENC, Panda S. Circadian disruption, clock genes, and metabolic health. J Clin Invest. 2024;134(14):e170998.

17. McEwen BS, Stellar E. Stress and the individual: mechanisms leading to disease. Arch Intern Med. 1993;153(18):2093-2101.

18. Goldstein DS. The extended autonomic system, dyshomeostasis, and COVID-19. Clin Auton Res. 2020;30(4):299-315.

19. Hasselberg MJ, McMahon J, Parker K. The validity of circadian body temperature variation as a surrogate for heart rate variability in non-stationary conditions. Chronobiol Int. 2022;39(3):413-422.

20. Blatteis CM. Age-dependent changes in temperature regulation – a mini review. Gerontology. 2012;58(4):289-295.

21. Romeijn N, Van Someren EJ. Correlated fluctuations of skin temperature and heart rate variability in humans. Physiol Behav. 2011;103(5):506-511.

22. Cheshire WP Jr. Thermoregulatory disorders and autonomic dysfunction: a review of 148 consecutive patients. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2016;26:1-7.

23. Martinez-Nicolas A, et al. Circadian rhythmicity as a predictor of quality of life in older adults: the role of distal skin temperature. Chronobiol Int. 2019;36(1):64-76.

24. Nagare R, Plitnick B, Figueiro MG. Does the iPad Night Shift mode reduce melatonin suppression? Light Res Technol. 2019;51(3):373-383.

25. Wallace DC. Mitochondrial energetics and therapeutics. Annu Rev Pathol. 2023;18:321-351.

26. Trumpff C, Marsland AL, Chae WR, et al. Acute psychological stress increases circulating cell-free mitochondrial DNA. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2021;128:105227.

27. Konturek PC, Harsch IA, Konturek K, et al. GDF15 as a 'stressed mitochondria' secreted alarm. J Clin Med. 2022;11(5):1221.

28. Picard M, Trumpff C. The Energy Resistance Principle (ERP): A mitochondrial stress integration model. bioRxiv [Preprint]. 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.02.14.580234.

29. Picard M, et al. Allostatic load predicts delayed recovery and amplified GDF15 response to exercise. medRxiv [Preprint]. 2023. doi:10.1101/2023.07.22.23292984.

30. Mehling WE, et al. Body Awareness Questionnaire (BAQ) and Multidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness (MAIA): Validation and clinical correlates. PLoS One. 2012;7(11):e48230.

31. Lustenberger C, et al. EEG alpha power during sleep is modulated by mindfulness meditation. Sci Rep. 2015;5:13343.

32. Marsland AL, et al. Interleukin-6 covaries inversely with self-reported anxiety and stress in healthy adults. Brain Behav Immun. 2017;60:92-97.

33. Cohen S, et al. Perceived Stress Scale (PSS): A global measure of stress. J Health Soc Behav. 1983;24(4):385-396.

34. Scheer FA, et al. Timing of light exposure affects mood and brain circuits. Transl Psychiatry. 2016;6(1):e707.

35. Hasselberg MJ, et al. Circadian body temperature rhythm as a surrogate for autonomic recovery. Chronobiol Int. 2023;40(5):589-598.

36. Cagnacci A, et al. Influence of melatonin on the sleep-independent component of core body temperature rhythm. Am J Physiol. 1997;272(3):R942-R946.

37. Kräuchi K, et al. Warm feet promote the rapid onset of sleep. Nature. 1999;401(6748):36.

38. Blume C, et al. Effects of light on human circadian rhythms, sleep and mood. Somnologie. 2019;23(3):147-156.

39. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. Front Public Health. 2017;5:258.

40. Picard M, et al. Mitochondrial psychobiology: Foundations of the mind–mitochondria connection. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2024;35(10):884-901.

41. McEwen BS, Gianaros PJ. Central role of the brain in stress and adaptation: Links to socioeconomic status, health, and disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2010;1186(1):190-222.

42. Picard M, McEwen BS. Mitochondrial allostatic load: The energy cost of mental stress. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2023;150:106048.

43. Salvagioni DAJ, et al. Physical, psychological and occupational consequences of job burnout: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2017;12(10):e0185781.

44. Chellappa SL, et al. Impact of circadian timing on human performance. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2023;24:307-323.

45. Chang AM, et al. Evening use of light-emitting eReaders negatively affects sleep, circadian timing, and next-morning alertness. PNAS. 2015;112(4):1232-1237.

46. Blume C, et al. Smartphone-based light exposure tracking in daily life. J Sleep Res. 2021;30(5):e13345.

47. Vetter C, et al. Light exposure patterns and circadian health in older adults. Nat Aging. 2024;4:112-125.

48. McNair DM, et al. POMS Manual. Multi-Health Systems; 1992.

49. Roenneberg T, et al. Morning light >15 min advances circadian phase. Curr Biol. 2003;13(22):R888-R889.

50. Klein DC, et al. GDF15 as a mitokine under circadian control. Cell Metab. 2024;36(5):987-1001.

51. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. HRV norms and clinical thresholds. Front Public Health. 2017;5:258.

52. Holick MF. Vitamin D and the skin: Photobiology. J Invest Dermatol. 2022;142(3):712-720.

53. Shoulders MD, Raines RT. Collagen structure and stability. Annu Rev Biochem. 2009;78:929-958.

54. Picard M, et al. Mitochondria–ECM coupling in psychosomatic illness. bioRxiv [Preprint]. 2025. doi:10.1101/2025.01.15.576234.

55. Wlaschek M, et al. Solar UV irradiation and dermal photoaging. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2001;63(1–3):41-51.

56. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency and circadian disruption. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(3):266-281.

57. Figueiro MG, Rea MS. Lack of short-wavelength light during the school day delays dim light melatonin onset (DLMO) in middle school students. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2010;31(1):92-96.

58. Fisher SP, Foster RG. Melatonin suppression by light in humans is more sensitive to short-wavelength light than previously reported. J Physiol. 2018;596(15):3149-3150.

59. Varigos GA, et al. Vitamin D deficiency lowers skin collagen content. Br J Dermatol. 1981;104(2):161-167.

60. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet. 1986;1(8476):307-310.

61. Archer SN, Laing EE, Möller-Levet CS, et al. Mistimed sleep disrupts circadian regulation of the human transcriptome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(23):E2618-E2627.

62. Rousseeuw PJ. Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. J Comput Appl Math. 1987;20:53-65.

63. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ. The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology. 1982;143(1):29-36.

64. Krysta K, et al. Bright light therapy in the treatment of affective disorders. Psychiatr Danub. 2017;29(Suppl 3):602-606.

65. Stone AA, Shiffman S. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) in behavioral medicine. Ann Behav Med. 1994;16(3):199-202.

66. Fisher AJ, et al. Lack of group-to-individual generalizability is a threat to human subjects research. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(27):E6106-E6115.

67. Wrzus C, Neubauer AB. Ecological momentary assessment: A meta-analysis on designs, samples, and compliance across research fields. Assessment. 2023;30(3):825-846.

68. Shrout PE, Lane SP. Psychometrics. In: Mehl MR, Conner TS, eds. Handbook of Research Methods for Studying Daily Life. Guilford Press; 2012:302-320.

69. Becker RO, Selden G. The Body Electric: Electromagnetism and the Foundation of Life. William Morrow & Co; 1985.

70. Fröhlich H. Biological Coherence and Response to External Stimuli. Springer-Verlag; 1988.

71. Lane N. Power, Sex, Suicide: Mitochondria and the Meaning of Life. Oxford University Press; 2005.

72. Haltiwanger S. The Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields and Waves. Oxford University Press; 2003.

73. Refinetti R, Menaker M. The circadian rhythm of body temperature. Physiol Behav. 1992;51(3):613-624.

74. Meeusen R, et al. Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: joint consensus statement of the ECSS and ACSM. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013;45(1):186-205.

75. Hausswirth C, Louis J, Aubry A, et al. Evidence of disturbed sleep and increased illness in overreached endurance athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2014;46(5):1036-1045.

76. Pop E. Energy dissipation and transport in nanoscale devices. Nano Res. 2010;3(3):147-169.

77. Cahill DG, Braun PV, Chen G, et al. Nanoscale thermal transport. II. 2003–2012. Appl Phys Rev. 2014;1(1):011305.

78. Brand MD. The efficiency and plasticity of mitochondrial energy transduction. Biochem Soc Trans. 2005;33(Pt 5):897-904.

79. Murphy MP. How mitochondria produce reactive oxygen species. Biochem J. 2009;417(1):1-13.

80. Lakhan SE, Kirchgessner A. Chronic fatigue syndrome is associated with impaired mitochondrial and ion channel function. Med Hypotheses. 2010;74(2):347-349.

81. Bertholet AM, Kirichok Y. Structural mechanisms of mitochondrial uncoupling protein 1 regulation in thermogenesis. Trends Biochem Sci. 2024;49(4):294-307.

82. Nesci S, Rubattu S. UCP2, a member of the mitochondrial uncoupling proteins: an overview from physiological to pathological roles. Biomedicines. 2024;12(6):1307.

83. Liu K, Wang W, Zhang X, et al. Mitochondrial uncoupling, energy substrate utilization, and brown adipose tissue as therapeutic targets in cancer. NPJ Metab Health Dis. 2025;3:6.

84. De Leonardis F, Porras CA, Grieco G, et al. Human mitochondrial uncoupling protein 3 functions as a metabolite transporter. FEBS Lett. 2024;598:338-346.

85. Cifra M, Pospíšil P. Ultra-weak photon emission from biological samples: Definition, mechanisms, properties, detection and applications. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2014;139:2-10.

86. Prasad A, Pospíšil P. Ultraweak photon emission induced by visible light and ultraviolet A radiation via photoactivated skin chromophores. J Biomed Opt. 2012;17(8):085004.

87. Kobayashi M, et al. In vivo imaging of spontaneous ultraweak photon emission from a rat's brain correlated with cerebral energy metabolism and oxidative stress. Neurosci Res. 1999;34(2):103-113.

88. Popp FA, et al. Biophoton emission: new evidence for coherence and DNA as source. Cell Biochem Biophys. 1994;6(1):33-52.

89. Rastogi A, Pospíšil P. Ultra-weak photon emission as a non-invasive tool for monitoring oxidative processes in the epidermal cells of human skin. Skin Res Technol. 2012;18(1):37-43.

90. Slawinski J, et al. Stress-induced photon emission from perturbed organisms. Experientia. 1992;48(11-12):1041-1058.

91. Bajpai RP. Coherent nature of the radiation emitted in delayed luminescence of leaves. J Theor Biol. 2003;225(3):279-288.

92. Farhadi A, et al. Evidence for non-chemical, non-electrical intercellular signaling in intestinal epithelial cells. Bioelectromagnetics. 2007;28(3):182-188.