Impact of a one-year interruption to vector control on Bioko Island,
Equatorial Guinea

David S. Galick[1*], Dianna E.B. Hergott[2], Norberto Bosepa Cubacuba[1,3], Teresa Ayingono
Ondo Mifumu[1], Jordan M. Smith[4], Matilde Riloha Rivas[3], Wonder P. Phiri[1], David L. Smith[2],
Carlos A. Guerra[4] & Guillermo A. García[4*]

[1] MCD Global Health, Malabo, Equatorial Guinea

[2] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[3] Ministry of Health and Social Welfare, Malabo, Equatorial Guinea

[4] MCD Global Health, Silver Spring, MD, USA

[*] Correspondence to: dgalick@mcd.org, ggarcia@mcd.org

Abstract

Over the last 20 years, malaria transmission on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea has declined dramatically thanks to the implementation of robust malaria control activities, centered around island-wide indoor residual spraying (IRS). In 2024 Bioko Island experienced a lapse in malaria control funding, and as a result vector control activities (including IRS) were interrupted. However, agreements with funders allowed for both a previously planned malaria indicator survey (MIS) and the subsequent implementation of indoor residual spraying (IRS) in late 2024. This study analyses routine case data from public health facilities from 2019-2024 and annual cross-sectional MIS data from 2019-2024 using interrupted time series methods to quantify the impact of the interruption and reestablishment of control activities on Bioko Island. In 2024, the number of confirmed cases reported was 41% higher than the 2021-2023 average, and the *Plasmodium falciparum* prevalence rate (*Pf*PR) rose by three percentage points. Statistical modeling estimated that 25.3% (95% CI 12.0-36.3%) of 2024 cases were avertable if control activities had been maintained, and that the interruption was associated with an increased *Pf*PR in 2024, above previous trends (adjusted OR 1.16, 95% CI 1.05-1.29). Moreover, the reintroduction in IRS in late 2024 was found to have averted an estimated additional 7.0% (95% CI 3.0-10.2%) increase in confirmed cases. In just one year with interruptions to control, malaria transmission and burden quickly resurged on Bioko Island. However, Bioko's experience demonstrates that the reestablishment of control activities can equally rapidly contain, and reverse resurgence associated with control interruptions.

Introduction

Since 2000, a renewed commitment to malaria control has substantially reduced the number of cases and deaths caused by malaria worldwide, and especially in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. These gains were achieved primarily through intensive, widespread vector control activities, including both the distribution of long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) and administration of indoor residual spraying (IRS) [2]. However, since 2015, progress has stalled, in part due to funding gaps, challenges to the effectiveness of existing tools, and a lack of new, scalable tools currently available for programmatic use [3,4]. These challenges serve as a reminder of the vulnerability of progress already made, especially in the face of interruptions to control activities.

The impacts of malaria control interruptions have long been clear. Historically, funding disruptions have been the most common cause of malaria resurgence across world regions [5]. In recent years, re-allocation of funding and external shocks such as the COVID-19 pandemic have demonstrated again the fragility of reductions in malaria transmission and burden to even partial disruptions of control activities [6–8]. Multiple modeling exercises have also explored and estimated the enormous additional burden that large interruptions in malaria control activities could cause [9–11].

Despite the overwhelming evidence that funding reductions on malaria control in areas with ongoing transmission will result in significant resurgence, the funding environment remains difficult due to political, economic, and other concerns. Given this situation, it is crucial to measure and document the effects of funding reductions when they occur, where possible. The results from such a funding disruption on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea in 2024 are reported here. Thanks to the continued reporting of routine data in the National Health Information System, and re-establishment of funding in late 2024 in time to conduct a malaria indicator survey (MIS) as previously planned, quantification of the impact of the interruption using interrupted time-series methods on both malaria cases and prevalence was possible.

Methods

Study area

Bioko Island is the largest island of Equatorial Guinea, located in the Bight of Biafra off the coast of Cameroon. The island's population is approximately 270,000, predominantly concentrated in the capital city, Malabo [12,13]. Bioko has historically high and perennial malaria transmission, but the implementation of a robust malaria control project based on island-wide indoor residual spraying (IRS) in 2004 dramatically reduced malaria transmission and burden [14,15]. Since 2015, vector control strategies have changed: from 2015-2020 IRS was targeted to only high transmission areas, supported by island-wide long-lasting insecticide treated net (LLIN) mass distribution campaigns in 2015 and 2018, while since 2021 LLIN distribution has been conducted primarily via continuous distribution channels and IRS re-expanded to target the entire island at varied coverage levels [16,17]. In 2022-2023, the vector control portfolio also incorporated increasingly larger scale larval source management (LSM) activities, particularly in the greater Malabo area. These vector contol interventions have been supported by case management

activities (including providing free diagnosis and treatment of malaria in public health
facilities) and social behavioral change communication activities.

In 2024, because of delays in funding negotiations, there was an interruption of most
malaria control activities. Planned activities, such as the implementation of IRS and
operation of continuous LLIN distribution points were delayed or canceled. Only routine
activities, such as the diagnosis and treatment of cases, and distribution of LLINs to
pregnant women during antenatal care, were able to continue. This interruption continued
until July, when an agreement was reached with funders to support the implementation of
key malaria prevention activities, including both IRS and LLIN continuous distribution.
Funders also agreed to support implementation of the annual malaria indicator survey
(MIS) as originally planned, in August-September, in order to better quantify the impact of
the interruption. As a result, in August, implementation of the MIS began, while IRS was
conducted from late September through December. Due to a shortage of insecticide on
hand, not all areas of the island could be targeted, so some with historically low
transmission and high housing quality were excluded. In all targeted communities, IRS
implementation followed the completion of MIS data collection.

Routine health data

Public health facilities on Bioko report routine indicators, including confirmed malaria
cases (confirmed by RDT or microscopy), to the national malaria control program (NMCP),
which are subsequently digitized into a DHIS2 system. For data reported prior to October
2023, individual-level records were digitized using a Tracker module, but due to the large
workload of data digitization, the NMCP implemented an aggregate data collection module
starting in October 2023, which enabled much more rapid data entry while still providing
key indicators. Here, aggregated monthly reported confirmed malaria case data for each of
the four districts on Bioko Island (Malabo, Baney, Luba, and Riaba) from January 2021 to
December 2024 were extracted for analysis on 12 June 2025. These data include reported
cases from all public health facilities on Bioko Island active during the corresponding
month and did not contain any identifiable information. While malaria-attributable
hospitalizations and deaths are also collected, these data were not analyzed due to the
relatively low number reported and potential changes in definitions used during the study
period.

An important change in the health system on Bioko Island occurred during the study
period: a new public hospital, the General Hospital of Sampaka, opened for services in
January 2024. During the first six months of 2024, the number of patients attended in
Sampaka slowly grew but remained relatively small. In the second half of the year,
however, the volume of patients significantly increased after pediatric services were
transferred from the Regional Hospital of Malabo (the main public tertiary facility on
Bioko) to be provided instead in Sampaka.

Malaria indicator surveys

The methodology of the annual Bioko Island MIS has been published elsewhere [18]. In
brief, the questionnaire was adapted from the RBM MIS toolkit [19], and a stratified cluster
sampling design based on 109 primary sampling units (PSUs) covering all inhabited areas

of Bioko was used. Strata were defined according to the population density and residual
local transmission as modeled in 2018 [20], and target sample sizes were set at 25% of
inhabited households for PSUs in the rural/high transmission stratum, and 5% of inhabited
households for PSUs in the urban/low transmission stratum for each year. The sampling
frame was updated each year based on a household census conducted during an annual
round of IRS (conducted between February and July), and survey interviews were
conducted from August to early October each year. All consenting household members
(regardless of age) were tested for malaria using a rapid diagnostic test (STANDARD Q
Malaria Pf/Pan RDT, SD BIOSENSOR). Covariates used from the MIS in this analysis
included self-reported LLIN use and time of house entry on the night preceding the survey
interview, history of travel outside Bioko Island in the 8 weeks preceding the survey
interview, and socioeconomic status (SES) based on principal components analysis of
household possessions, grouped into quintiles. Note that LLIN use and house entry time
questions were asked only for participants who reported sleeping at home the night
preceding the survey interview, and this was the main cause of missing data in this study.

Statistical analysis

Interrupted time series methods were used for the analysis of both routine health system
and survey data. Analyses were conducted in a manner to allow for the possibility that the
impacts in each district could be distinct. District-level estimates were then aggregated to
compute island-wide estimates and confidence intervals.

For analysis of reported confirmed cases, a negative binomial generalized additive model
with log link was fit to case data, incorporating a cyclic seasonality term and long-term
trend as splines in addition to a linear term for the interruption of services in 2024. To
assess the possible impact of the reestablishment of indoor residual spraying (IRS) in late
2024, cumulative achieved 2024 IRS coverage was also included as a covariate, calculated
as the proportion of inhabited houses sprayed by the beginning of each month. This
covariate was extracted from IRS campaign data at district level and overall, leveraging the
underlying spatial decision support system which has been described in detail elsewhere
[21]. All effects and the intercept were modeled as interactions with district to allow for
variation in pre-interruption trends, the impacts of the interruption and re-establishment
of control. Fitted models were used to estimate the number of confirmed cases under two
counterfactual scenarios: 1) that there was no interruption of services in 2024 (CF1), and
2) that services were interrupted in 2024 and IRS was not reestablished (CF2). These
scenarios were then used to estimate avertable cases occurring in 2024 (i.e. averted in CF1)
and cases averted by the reintroduction of IRS (i.e. cases averted with respect to CF2).
Confidence intervals of scenarios and avertable cases were calculated as the 95% credible
interval based on a multivariate normal approximation of the posterior distribution of
model coefficients [22]. No statistical adjustment for possible confounders was made, given
the difficulty of merging such data with monthly routinely reported data.

Analysis of survey data followed a method which has been previously used on Bioko MIS
data to analyze the impact of travel [23]. This consisted of fitting an interrupted time series
logistic regression model to *Plasmodium falciparum* prevalence (*Pf*PR) data from 2019-
2024, where the impact of the interruption of services was defined as the change observed

in relation to the linear 2019-2023 trend. Results are reported as adjusted and unadjusted
odds ratios (OR), where variables used in adjustment were recent history of travel (in the
past 8 weeks), LLIN use, early house entry (before 7PM) and SES quintile, modeled as
categorical covariates (binary in all cases except SES quintile). Individuals with missing
covariates were excluded for both the adjusted and unadjusted analysis. The impact of
interruptions, temporal trend and intercept were fit via interaction terms to allow variation
by district (for both adjusted and unadjusted estimates), given the likelihood of differing
trends and effects. Throughout, survey sampling weights were used to ensure estimates are
representative of Bioko Island.

Ethics approval

The protocol for the annual Malaria Indicator Survey was approved by the Technical and
Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health and Social Welfare of Equatorial Guinea and the
Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (approval
number 5556). All adult survey participants provided written informed consent for
themselves and, where relevant, on behalf of children under 18 years of age in their
household.

Results

Changes in confirmed cases

Health facilities on Bioko reported a total of 15,121 confirmed malaria cases in 2024, which
comprised an increase of 41% compared to the 2021-2023 average (10,761 per year).
There was also a precipitous decline in cases in the final months of 2024, corresponding to
the period after the reintroduction of IRS. Statistical modeling of counterfactual scenarios
showed that the observed increase in cases in 2024 was unexplained by previous trends,
while the decline in cases from October 2024 onwards was associated with achieved IRS
coverage (**Figure 1**). Comparison of the number of predicted cases across scenarios
showed that overall, 25.3% (95% CI 12.0-36.3%) of cases observed in 2024 were avertable,
while the reestablishment of IRS in late 2024 averted an additional increase of 7.0% (95%
CI 3.8-10.2%) (**Figure 2**).

Notably, the impact of the interruption of malaria control and reintroduction of IRS varied
across districts. Given its high population, estimates for Malabo were highly similar to
those overall, with 29.8% of 2024 cases avertable (95% CI 13.6-43.3%) and the
reintroduction of IRS averting a further increase of 7.9% (95% CI 3.8-11.7%). In Baney,
while the increase in cases observed was not found to be statistically significant,
reintroducing IRS in late 2024 was estimated to have averted an additional increase in
cases of 5.9% (95% CI 3.0-8.4%). In Luba and Riaba, no statistically significant impact of
the interruption or reestablishment of control activities was identified, although the mean
estimates of avertable cases in Riaba (24.1% of cases reported in 2024) and cases averted
by reestablishment of control in Luba (4.2% further increase in cases averted) were
comparable in magnitude to higher population districts with statistically significant
findings (**Figure 2**).

Changes in prevalence

In the six years of MIS included in this analysis, 29,902 household surveys were conducted
with 77,774 RDTs administered, and all covariates used in adjustment were available for
the vast majority of these individuals (**Table 1**). Overall, there was a three percentage-
point increase in *Pf*PR from 12.9% in 2023 to 15.9% in 2024, primarily driven by an
increase in Malabo district (**Figure 3**). The overall increase corresponded to an adjusted
odds ratio of 1.16 above the 2019-2023 trend (95% CI 1.05-1.29, unadjusted OR 1.19, 95%
CI 1.12-1.25). However, at a district level the effect of service interruptions was statistically
significant only in Malabo, where the increase mirrored the overall results (adjusted OR
1.27, 95% CI 1.13-1.42) (**Figure 4**). In Luba no significant increase beyond previous trends
was identified (adjusted OR 1.05, 95% CI 0.69-1.59). Counterintuitively, Baney and Riaba
registered lower *Pf*PR than expected from previous trends, although these were (narrowly)
not statistically significant (adjusted OR 0.75 95% 0.56-1.01 and 0.72, 95% CI 0.51-1.03,
respectively).

Discussion

The results reported here demonstrate the speed with which gains from malaria control
can be lost, but also that reintroduction of control can regain lost ground just as quickly. In
a period of only one year without IRS on Bioko, cases rose by nearly 50%, while prevalence
returned to levels last seen around 10 years ago [24]. Unsurprisingly, these changes were
significant departures from the pre-interruption trends, adding to the already large body of
evidence another example of resurgence caused by interruption to control operations [5].
Even on Bioko, this is not the first documented impact of funding interruptions. In 2019, an
outbreak was registered in Riaba, at least partially caused by a delay in IRS implementation
due to a lapse in funding [25]. However, the 2024 funding disruption was substantially
longer and appears to have had more widespread effects, including in the urban portion of
the island (principally, in Malabo district). More importantly, the data presented here
demonstrate that while resurgences are rapid, they can also be quickly contained by the
reintroduction of control activities. Despite substantial resurgence in reported cases, by the
end of 2024 the reestablishment of control reduced monthly case counts to a level
comparable to what would have been expected with no interruption.

Interestingly, analyses of cases and prevalence data did not entirely agree. Both analyses
found a higher than otherwise expected burden in 2024 overall and for Malabo district, and
no statistically significant change in Luba or Riaba. However, meaningful (although not
statistically significant) decreases in prevalence compared to previous trends were found
in Baney and Riaba, while analysis of confirmed cases showed a statistically significant
decrease related to the re-establishment of IRS in Baney and a sizeable but non-significant
increase attributable to the interruption in Riaba. In the case of Riaba, this apparent
inconsistency was likely caused by a decreasing interannual trend identified in case data
starting from 2023, which was not apparent in MIS data in large part due to their temporal
sparsity. Similarly, the inconsistency in findings for Baney may stem from different long-
term (interannual) trends identified: an increasing trend from MIS data, and a stable trend
in case data.

It is also important to emphasize that the increase in burden reported here is not reflective
of a full interruption of malaria control activities. Since the disruption lasted only one year,

the impact on LLIN use was limited (**Table 1**). Similarly, temporary agreements with
funders limited the impact that the disruption had on routine case management, for
example avoiding stockouts of diagnostic tools and antimalarial treatments. Thus, the
effects observed are primarily due to an interruption of IRS and would almost certainly be
larger for a longer lasting or more complete interruption. A similarly rapid resurgence of
cases following a cessation of IRS was observed in Uganda, although the interruption was
longer and the effect was larger than that observed here [6]. The impact of such disruptions
on prevalence is less well-studied, mostly due to the difficulty of collecting prevalence data
during funding lapses. However, the overall increase in *Pf*PR reported here is broadly
consistent with the effect size of IRS estimated from a historical analysis of Bioko Island
MIS data [26], further supporting the interpretation that these changes are caused
primarily by the interruption to IRS. More broadly, the effect sizes observed here may be
particular to the context of Bioko, with its long history of intense control (principally, IRS).

The main weakness of this study is the assumption that, when extrapolated to 2024,
observed pre-interruption trends provide a reasonable estimate of what would have
occurred had activities not been interrupted. In particular, the opening of a new public
hospital in 2024 is potentially problematic for the attribution of changes in reported cases
to control interruptions. However, the rapid decline in cases after the reestablishment of
IRS in late 2024, including those reported from the General Hospital of Sampaka, suggests
that increases in confirmed cases are more likely to have been caused by the lack of IRS
than changes in the health system. Similarly, the use of linear temporal trends for MIS
analyses could obscure important pre-interruption variation, although this does not appear
to be a major issue on visual inspection (**Figure 3**). Additionally, the small populations and
of Luba and Riaba limit the interpretation of results for these districts. Despite these
weaknesses, the overall consistency of results of case and prevalence analyses, and
especially the impact on cases seen after the reestablishment of IRS in late 2024 provide
relatively strong evidence that the increase in burden observed was caused primarily by
the interruption of IRS.

Conclusion

This study provides a clear and well-documented case of the impacts that interruptions to
malaria control and reintroductions of control can have. Substantial increases in confirmed
malaria cases and malaria prevalence were observed after less than one year with limited
control. While this resurgence was rapid, it was also quickly contained and reversed by the
reintroduction of control activities. These are important considerations for funders when
taking decisions on reducing or re-allocating malaria control funding, as well as when
responding to subsequent resurgences.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of public health facilities on Bioko Island, data digitizers, and fieldworkers and participants of the annual Malaria Indicator Survey (MIS) from 2019-2024, without whom this analysis would not have been possible.

Funding

This study was funded by a public-private partnership between a private sector consortium led by Marathon Oil Corporation (a ConocoPhillips company) and the Government of Equatorial Guinea, under their general support of malaria control on Bioko Island. D.E.B.H. and D.L.S. were supported by a grant from the National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases (R01 AI163398).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

All data and code used in this study are available at the GitHub repository https://github.com/galickda/bioko_interruption_2024

Author contributions

DSG, DEHB, CAG and GAG conceived and designed the study. NBC and JS maintained and oversaw data collection in routine reporting systems (DHIS2). DSG and TAOM designed and oversaw data collection in the MIS. DSG and DEHB analyzed data and prepared figures. DLS, CAG and GAG provided technical and scientific guidance on study design, implementation and data analysis. MRR and WPP supervised implementation in Equatorial Guinea.

References

1. World Health Organization. World malaria report 2024: addressing inequity in the
global malaria response. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024.

2. Bhatt S, Weiss DJ, Cameron E, Bisanzio D, Mappin B, Dalrymple U, et al. The effect of
malaria control on Plasmodium falciparum in Africa between 2000 and 2015. Nature.
2015 Oct;526(7572):207–11.

3. Feachem RGA, Chen I, Akbari O, Bertozzi-Villa A, Bhatt S, Binka F, et al. Malaria
eradication within a generation: ambitious, achievable, and necessary. The Lancet.
2019 Sept;394(10203):1056–112.

4. Lawal L, Buhari AO, Jaji TA, Alatare AS, Adeyemo AO, Olumoh AO, et al. Lingering
challenges in malaria elimination efforts in sub-Saharan Africa: Insights and potential
solutions. Health Sci Rep. 2024;7(6):e2122.

5. Cohen JM, Smith DL, Cotter C, Ward A, Yamey G, Sabot OJ, et al. Malaria resurgence: a
systematic review and assessment of its causes. Malar J. 2012 Apr 24;11:122.

6. Namuganga JF, Epstein A, Nankabirwa JI, Mpimbaza A, Kiggundu M, Sserwanga A, et al.
The impact of stopping and starting indoor residual spraying on malaria burden in
Uganda. Nat Commun. 2021 May 11;12(1):2635.

7. Janko MM, Recalde-Coronel GC, Damasceno CP, Salmón-Mulanovich G, Barbieri AF,
Lescano AG, et al. The impact of sustained malaria control in the Loreto region of Peru:
a retrospective, observational, spatially-varying interrupted time series analysis of the
PAMAFRO program. Lancet Reg Health – Am. 2023 Apr 1;20.

8. Dzianach PA, Rumisha SF, Lubinda J, Saddler A, van den Berg M, Gelaw YA, et al.
Evaluating COVID-19-Related Disruptions to Effective Malaria Case Management in
2020–2021 and Its Potential Effects on Malaria Burden in Sub-Saharan Africa. Trop
Med Infect Dis. 2023 Apr;8(4):216.

9. Winskill P, Slater HC, Griffin JT, Ghani AC, Walker PGT. The US President's Malaria
Initiative, Plasmodium falciparum transmission and mortality: A modelling study.
PLOS Med. 2017 Nov 21;14(11):e1002448.

10. Yukich JO, Chitnis N. Modelling the implications of stopping vector control for malaria
control and elimination. Malar J. 2017 Oct 13;16(1):411.

11. Sherrard-Smith E, Hogan AB, Hamlet A, Watson OJ, Whittaker C, Winskill P, et al. The
potential public health consequences of COVID-19 on malaria in Africa. Nat Med. 2020
Sept;26(9):1411–6.

12. García GA, Hergott DEB, Phiri WP, Perry M, Smith J, Osa Nfumu JO, et al. Mapping and
enumerating houses and households to support malaria control interventions on
Bioko Island. Malar J. 2019 Aug 22;18(1):283.

13. Fries B, Guerra CA, García GA, Wu SL, Smith JM, Oyono JNM, et al. Measuring the
accuracy of gridded human population density surfaces: A case study in Bioko Island,
Equatorial Guinea. PLOS ONE. 2021 Sept 1;16(9):e0248646.

14. Kleinschmidt I, Schwabe C, Benavente L, Torrez M, Ridl FC, Segura JL, et al. Marked
Increase in Child Survival after Four Years of Intensive Malaria Control. Am J Trop Med
Hyg. 2009 June;80(6):882–8.

15. Overgaard HJ, Reddy VP, Abaga S, Matias A, Reddy MR, Kulkarni V, et al. Malaria
transmission after five years of vector control on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea.
Parasit Vectors. 2012 Nov 12;5(1):253.

16. García GA, Galick DS, Smith JM, Iyanga MM, Rivas MR, Eyono JNM, et al. The challenge
of improving long-lasting insecticidal nets coverage on Bioko Island: using data to
adapt distribution strategies. Malar J. 2024 Oct 29;23(1):324.

17. García GA, Hergott DEB, Galick DS, Donfack OT, Motobe Vaz L, Nze Nchama LO, et al.
Testing indoor residual spraying coverage targets for malaria control, Bioko,
Equatorial Guinea. Bull World Health Organ. 2025 June 1;103(6):392–402.

18. Cook J, Hergott D, Phiri W, Rivas MR, Bradley J, Segura L, et al. Trends in parasite
prevalence following 13 years of malaria interventions on Bioko island, Equatorial
Guinea: 2004–2016. Malar J. 2018 Feb 5;17(1):62.

19. Roll Back Malaria. Malaria Indicator Survey Toolkit [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.malariasurveys.org/toolkit.cfm

20. Citron DT, Guerra CA, García GA, Wu SL, Battle KE, Gibson HS, et al. Quantifying
malaria acquired during travel and its role in malaria elimination on Bioko Island.
Malar J. 2021 Aug 30;20(1):359.

21. García GA, Atkinson B, Donfack OT, Hilton ER, Smith JM, Eyono JNM, et al. Real-time,
spatial decision support to optimize malaria vector control: The case of indoor
residual spraying on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea. PLOS Digit Health. 2022 May
12;1(5):e0000025.

22. Wood SN. Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R. 2nd ed. Chapman and
Hall/CRC; 2017.

23. Hergott DEB. Impact of six-month COVID-19 travel moratorium on Plasmodium
falciparum prevalence on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea. Nat Commun. 2024;

24. National Malaria Control Program of Equatorial Guinea, MCD Global Health. Bioko
Island Malaria Indicator Survey 2024. 2025.

25.   Guerra CA, Fuseini G, Donfack OT, Smith JM, Ondo Mifumu TA, Akadiri G, et al. Malaria
outbreak in Riaba district, Bioko Island: lessons learned. Malar J. 2020 Aug
3;19(1):277.

26.   Galick DS, Vaz LM, Ondo L, Iyanga MM, Bikie FEE, Avue RMN, et al. Reconsidering
indoor residual spraying coverage targets: A retrospective analysis of high-resolution
programmatic malaria control data. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2025 Apr
22;122(16):e2421531122.

Figure 1: Monthly confirmed cases, fitted model and counterfactual scenarios. Points indicate the number of confirmed cases reported, while the black, blue and orange lines show the fitted model, and counterfactual scenarios CF1 (no interruption of services in 2024) and CF2 (no reestablishment of IRS in 2024), respectively. 95% confidence intervals are shown as shaded bands around lines.

Figure 2: Estimated avertable cases and cases averted by reintroduction of IRS, by district. Avertable cases were calculated as the number of cases in 2024 which could have been averted if malaria control activities had not been interrupted, and further cases averted were calculated as the number of cases which would have occurred during the study period had IRS not been reestablished in late 2024. In both cases, these numbers are standardized as the percentage of predicted 2024 cases. Points show mean and whiskers indicate the 95% confidence interval.

Figure 3: Estimated and observed *Pf*PR overall and by district from 2019-2024. Solid line
and ribbon indicate model fit and 95% CI, and the dashed line corresponds the 2019-2023
trend extrapolated to 2024. Points and whiskers indicate observed *Pf*PR.

Figure 4: Adjusted and unadjusted odds ratios (OR) of *Pf*PR attributed to the interruption of malaria control activities in 2024, compared to 2019-2023 trend, by district, stratum and overall. Covariates used in adjustment were LLIN use, recent travel history, early house entry and SES quintile. Points show estimates, whiskers indicate the 95% CI, and the vertical dotted line corresponds to no effect (OR=1).

Table 1: Characteristics of MIS participants and covariates. Columns labelled "Analytical Dataset" correspond to observations used in final (adjusted and unadjusted) analysis, with non-missing values for adjustment covariates. Note that individuals and households with unknown age or undefined SES quintile are excluded from the age and SES quintile breakdowns, respectively.

	All Data			Analytical Dataset					
	Households surveyed	Individuals tested	*Pf*PR	Households surveyed	Individuals tested	*Pf*PR	LLIN use	Early house entry	Travel history
Year									
2019	5,074	13,918	13.4 %	4,402	12,639	13.1 %	38.4 %	28.4 %	10.7 %
2020	4,963	12,738	12.1 %	3,971	11,236	11.7 %	37.2 %	22.2 %	1.9 %
2021	4,928	12,515	13.8 %	4,064	11,018	13.5 %	34.5 %	27.9 %	2.9 %
2022	5,026	12,337	14.3 %	4,141	10,769	13.9 %	36.1 %	32.3 %	6.3 %
2023	4,998	13,300	12.9 %	4,170	11,490	12.4 %	34.2 %	32.8 %	6.6 %
2024	4,913	12,966	15.9 %	4,105	11,381	15.2 %	29.0 %	29.3 %	5.1 %
District									
Malabo	22,690	59,342	13.6 %	18,556	52,189	13.2 %	35.2 %	28.0 %	5.9 %
Baney	3,709	10,260	12.9 %	3,178	9,053	12.6 %	31.3 %	34.6 %	5.2 %
Luba	2,150	4,671	16.4 %	1,904	4,202	16.0 %	47.8 %	23.1 %	2.5 %
Riaba	1,353	3,501	21.8 %	1,215	3,089	21.5 %	36.4 %	25.8 %	2.9 %
SES quintile									
Lowest	7,400	12,817	16.6 %	5,961	11,274	16.3 %	39.0 %	21.6 %	3.2 %
Second	6,017	14,848	16.0 %	5,053	13,115	15.5 %	42.5 %	25.8 %	4.0 %
Middle	5,495	15,537	14.6 %	4,662	13,683	14.1 %	39.9 %	27.1 %	4.8 %
Fourth	5,544	16,839	13.0 %	4,727	14,892	12.4 %	36.7 %	30.7 %	5.8 %
Highest	5,421	17,686	10.4 %	4,450	15,569	10.2 %	21.3 %	34.5 %	9.0 %
Age									
<5		10,777	8.2 %		9,643	8.0 %	41.2 %	44.1 %	3.1 %
5-14		23,214	17.1 %		20,220	16.7 %	35.6 %	33.7 %	3.0 %
15+		43,342	13.3 %		38,261	12.9 %	32.8 %	22.0 %	7.8 %
Overall	**29,902**	**77,774**	**13.7 %**	**24,853**	**68,533**	**13.3 %**	**34.9 %**	**28.8 %**	**5.7 %**

Bioko Island

Malabo

Baney

Luba

Riaba

Model fit to observed data

CF1: No service interruption

CF2: No IRS in 2024

Figure 1

Avertable cases

Percent of 2024 cases

Further cases averted by restablishment of IRS

Percent of 2024 cases

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4