Tobacco smoking and vaping in pregnancy and neonatal outcomes: results from a UK cohort study

Anthony A Laverty[1], Ian Sinha[2], Filippos T Filippidis[1], Nicholas S Hopkinson[3]

[1] School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

[2] Institute of Life Course and Medical Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

[3] National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK

Corresponding author: Dr Anthony A. Laverty, Public Health Policy Evaluation Unit, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, White City Campus office 603, 90 Wood Ln, London W12 0BZ, United Kingdom. E: a.laverty@imperial.ac.uk

Competing interests

NSH is Chair of Action on Smoking and Health and Medical Director of Asthma and Lung UK. AAL is a Trustee of Action on Smoking and Health.

Abstract

Although smoking harms during pregnancy are well established, there is less evidence on impacts of vaping. We used the UK Early Life Cohort Feasibility Study on 1,696 singleton births in 2022/23 to examine associations of smoking and vaping in pregnancy with birth outcomes. Analyses found that smoking during pregnancy was associated with reduced birthweight (-0.28kg, $p<0.001$) as was dual use (-0.30, $p=0.008$). There were no impacts of vaping alone on birthweight. Results underline the importance of quitting smoking during pregnancy and highlight that pregnant women should be advised to quit vaping, but not at the expense of reverting to smoking.

INTRODUCTION

The harms of smoking in pregnancy including stillbirth, miscarriage, and pre-term birth are long established[1-3]. More recently, the possibility that use of e-cigarettes in pregnancy may also have adverse effects has given rise to concern. Evidence from biomarkers of exposure and harm, indicate that vaping is likely substantially less harmful than smoking, though precise quantification of this difference over long periods of use remains to be established[4]. Because e-cigarettes can provide an alternative source of nicotine to combustible tobacco many people have used them to quit smoking[5,6]. However, failure to regulate the marketing and sales of these devices has led to widespread uptake among young people who have never smoked[7], which may translate into vaping during pregnancy. Some people who vape during pregnancy will also have smoked previously, and some will be dual users.

There is a need for data to study the effects of vaping on pregnancy outcomes. Birth cohorts, with prospective collection of data, provide an opportunity to address the evidence gap.

METHODS

The UK Early Life Cohort Feasibility Study: Age 9-10 months sweep[8] used computer assisted face-to-face interviews between September 2023 and September 2024 to collect data from the parents of 1976 babies. We excluded data from 82 twin births.

Our primary outcome was birthweight in kilos. We also included two secondary outcomes: Length of hospital stay (in days) and admission to a neonatal care unit (categorised as yes vs. no). For these outcomes, which were parent-reported, we excluded home births and babies still in hospital (n=55).

To assess smoking mothers were asked: ‘During your pregnancy with your baby did you smoke cigarettes at all?’ We categorised this as no (‘No’) and ever (Yes, occasionally; Yes, most days and Yes, every day).

To assess vaping mothers were asked: ‘During your pregnancy with your baby did you use e-cigarettes/vapes or waterpipes products at all?’ We categorised this as no (‘No’) and ever (Yes, occasionally; Yes, most days and Yes, every day).

This allowed a four-way exclusive categorisation of never smoking nor vaping during pregnancy; vaping but not smoking during pregnancy; smoking but not vaping during pregnancy; and dual use of both products.

We also included data on sex of babies, UK nation (England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland), Index of Multiple Deprivation in five groups, age of the mother (≤ 29 years vs. ≥ 30 years) and alcohol use during pregnancy (≥1/week vs. not).

Analyses

We compared outcomes across exposure groups and used linear regression for our primary outcome of birthweight, controlling for factors listed above. Due to the distribution of days in hospital post-partum we used negative binomial regression for these models, and logistic regression for the binary outcome of admission to a neonatal care unit. Regression models also adjusted for survey weights provided by the data collectors to control for the complex survey design and differential non-response.

RESULTS

Most mothers (90.1%) neither smoked nor vaped during pregnancy (**Table 1**). 2.6% of the sample vaped but did not smoke, 5.5% smoked but did not vape and 1.8% were dual users during pregnancy.

Mean birthweights ranged from 3.26kg where mothers neither smoked nor vaped to 3.00kg among mothers who smoked but did not vape. Mean days in hospital ranged from 2.05 where mothers vaped but did not smoke to 3.76 among dual users. The percentage of babies admitted to a neonatal unit during their stay ranged from 9.1% where mothers vaped but did not smoke, to 14.0% for those who smoked but did not vape.

Results from fully adjusted regression models found no statistically significant difference in birthweights between mothers who vaped and mothers who neither smoked nor vaped (+0.07kg, p=0.359) (**Table 2**). Birthweights were lower for babies of mothers who smoked (-0.28kg, p<0.001) and where mothers were dual users (-0.30, p=0.008).

Regression models found a greater number of days in hospital post-partum for dual users (+0.82, p=0.001) but no statistically significant associations of smoking or vaping alone (**Table 3**). Models did not identify any differences in likelihood of being admitted to a neonatal care unit across categories of smoking/vaping.

DISCUSSION

The main finding from this analysis of data from a cohort study of 1976 children born in 2022/23 is that smoking during pregnancy was associated with reduced birthweight, whereas vaping was not. There was no statistically significant association of smoking or vaping alone with days spent in hospital post-partum or with admission to the neonatal care unit.

Although many of the toxic components of cigarette smoke are either absent or present at much lower levels, e-cigarette vapour contains nicotine and a variety of chemicals produced when flavours are heated[4]. It may also contain trace quantities of metals derived from the heating element. Clearly, the optimum situation is for mother and foetus to be exposed to neither cigarette smoke nor e-cigarette vapour. However, our results provide useful information about the relative risks of smoking and vaping in pregnancy. Clinical trial data suggest that use of e-cigarettes in pregnancy leads to better outcomes than NRT[9], though this may be in part due to the former being a more effective smoking cessation agent[5].

The results are based on self-report rather than objective measures of smoke or nicotine exposure. The question about vaping included the use of waterpipe, but we disregarded this in our analysis due to the very low prevalence of waterpipe use in this population[10]. Models

were adjusted for factors including deprivation and maternal age, but there may be residual confounding from other individual characteristics related to smoking or vaping behaviour. Larger sample sizes may be needed to establish the risks of infrequent adverse outcomes.

These results underline the importance of quitting smoking completely to improve pregnancy outcomes. The precautionary principle means that people who vape and who are pregnant or planning to become pregnant should be advised to quit vaping, though not at the expense of going back to smoking which is substantially more harmful. In addition, where an individual has quit smoking by switching to vaping the importance of avoiding relapse to smoking and of avoiding dual use should be highlighted.

Statements

Contributorship

AL and NH conceived of the idea for the study. All four authors designed the study and interpreted analyses and findings. AL and NHS wrote the first draft which FF and IS edited, and all authors approved the final version. AL is guarantor.

Funding Statement

There was no specific funding for this work

Competing of Interests

NSH is Chair of Action on Smoking and Health and Medical Director of Asthma and Lung UK. AAL is a Trustee of Action on Smoking and Health.

Ethics approval

This secondary analysis of anonymised data did not require ethical approval.

Data sharing

Data available from UK Data Service https://ukdataservice.ac.uk

Table 1: birth outcomes by maternal smoking and vaping status

	Neither smoked nor vaped in pregnancy	At least occasional vaping in pregnancy but no smoking	At least occasional smoking in pregnancy but no vaping	At least occasional dual use in pregnancy
N (% of sample)	1,528 (90.1)	44 (2.6%)	93 (5.5%)	31 (1.8%)
Mean birthweight (kg)	3.31	3.38	3.00	3.06
SD	0.65	0.67	0.58	0.77
Days in hospital (mean)	2.36	2.05	2.23	3.76
SD	2.46	1.95	2.24	4.68
Neonatal unit stay (%)	12.3	9.1	14.0	13.3

Table 2: Results from linear regression model of smoking/vaping during pregnancy and birthweight (kg)

	Coefficient (kg)	p value	Lower CI	Upper CI
Neither smoking nor vaping	ref	ref	ref	ref
At least occasional vaping, no smoking	0.07	0.359	-0.08	0.22
At least occasional smoking, no vaping	-0.28	<0.001	-0.40	-0.15
At least occasional both	-0.30	0.008	-0.52	-0.08
Female	ref	ref	ref	ref
Males	-0.13	<0.001	-0.20	-0.06
England	ref	ref	ref	ref
Northern Ireland	0.23	<0.001	0.13	0.33
Scotland	0.06	0.231	-0.04	0.16
Wales	0.12	0.004	0.04	0.20
Most deprived areas	ref	ref	ref	ref
1	-0.01	0.908	-0.10	0.09
2	0.09	0.103	-0.02	0.20
3	0.06	0.264	-0.05	0.18
Least deprived areas	0.16	0.002	0.06	0.27
Maternal age<30	ref	ref	ref	ref
Maternal age30+	-0.02	0.683	-0.09	0.06
No maternal alcohol consumption	ref	ref	ref	ref
Maternal alcohol consumption ≥1/week	0.00	0.947	-0.09	0.09

Results from fully adjusted model controlled for all covariates listed here

Table 3: Results from regression models of smoking/vaping during pregnancy and days in hospital (negative binomial regression) and being cared for on neonatal unit (logistic regression)

	Coefficient (days)	p value	Lower CI	Upper CI
Days in hospital post-partum				
Neither smoking nor vaping	ref	ref	ref	ref
At least occasional vaping, no smoking	-0.11	0.405	-0.38	0.16
At least occasional smoking, no vaping	-0.06	0.618	-0.27	0.16
At least occasional both	0.82	0.001	0.32	1.32
	Odds ratio	p value	Lower CI	Upper CI
Being admitted to neonatal care unit				
Neither smoking nor vaping	ref	ref	ref	ref
At least occasional vaping, no smoking	0.67	0.477	0.22	2.05
At least occasional smoking, no vaping	1.05	0.885	0.55	2.00
At least occasional both	1.40	0.550	0.46	4.28

Results from two separate models, each controlled for baby sex, nation of UK, Index of Multiple Deprivation (in five groups), maternal age and maternal alcohol consumption (weekly vs. less/never)

REFERENCES

1 Ko T-J, Tsai L-Y, Chu L-C, et al. Parental Smoking During Pregnancy and Its Association with Low Birth Weight, Small for Gestational Age, and Preterm Birth Offspring: A Birth Cohort Study. *Pediatrics & Neonatology* 2014; **55**:20-27

2 Royal College of Physicians. Hiding in plain sight: Treating tobacco dependency in the NHS https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/hiding-plain-sight-treating-tobacco-dependency-nhs, 2018

3 Royal College of Physicians. Passive smoking and children. A report of the Tobacco Advisory Group of the Royal College of Physicians. 2010

4 McNeill A, Simonavičius E, Brose L, et al. Nicotine vaping in England: an evidence update including health risks and perceptions, 2022. *https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1107701/Nicotine-vaping-in-England-2022-report.pdf* 2022

5 Lindson N, Butler AR, McRobbie H, et al. Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2025

6 Action on Smoking and Health. Use of e-cigarettes (vapes) among adults in Great Britain. *https://ash.org.uk/resources/view/use-of-e-cigarettes-among-adults-in-great-britain* 2025

7 Action on Smoking and Health. Use of vapes (e-cigarettes) among young people in Great Britain. *https://ash.org.uk/resources/view/use-of-e-cigarettes-among-young-people-in-great-britain* 2025

8 Centre for Longitudinal Studies. Early Life Cohort Feasibility Study. . *Available at https://cls.ucl.ac.uk/cls-studies/early-life-cohort-feasibility-study/* 2025

9 Hajek P, Przulj D, Pesola F, et al. Electronic cigarettes versus nicotine patches for smoking cessation in pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. *Nature Medicine* 2022; **28**:958-964

10 Jawad M, Cheeseman H, Brose LS. Waterpipe tobacco smoking prevalence among young people in Great Britain, 2013–2016. *European Journal of Public Health* 2017; **28**:548-552