Title: Establishment and Quality Assessment of a Hospital-Associated Disability Database in Japan

Author names and affiliations:

Shinsuke Hori 1, Wakabayashi Hidetaka 2, Shinta Nishioka 3, Nagai Takako 2, Eiji Kose 4, Hashida Nao 5, Kenta Ushida 1, Ryo Momosaki 1,6,7

Corresponding author**:** Ryo Momosaki.

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Tsu, Mie, Japan.

Tel: +81-59-232-1111; Fax: +81-59-231-5012; Email: momosaki@med.mie-u.ac.jp

1 Department of Rehabilitation, Mie University Hospital, Mie, Japan

2 Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Tokyo Women's Medical University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

3 Graduate School of Regional Design and Creation, University of Nagasaki, Nagasaki, Japan

4 Department of Pharmacy, Juntendo University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

5 Swallowing Center, The University of Osaka Hospital, Osaka, Japan.

6 Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Mie, Japan

7 Scientific Research WorkS Peer Support Group (SRWS-PSG)

Abstract

Background

Hospital-Associated Disability (HAD) refers to a condition in which Activities of Daily Living (ADL) decline during hospitalization and is associated with longer hospital stays as well as increased mortality and readmission prevalence among older adults. Many existing registry databases do not adequately capture preadmission ADL information, complicating the accurate identification of HAD. The purpose of this study was to develop and evaluate the quality of a multicenter registry database on HAD that includes detailed information on nutrition, medication use, swallowing, and walking ability.

Method

The research was carried out as a prospective multicenter study involving nine institutions across Japan, with Mie University Hospital designated as the leading institution. The participants were patients aged ≥70 years old who were admitted to a general ward for rehabilitation and were independent in ADL (Katz Index: 6 points) before admission. Data were collected using the REDCap system on admission and discharge. Assessment items included age, gender, Katz Index, Functional Comorbidity Index, Clinical Frailty Scale, malnutrition based on the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) criteria, Food Intake LEVEL Scale (FILS), Functional Ambulation Categories, etc. A decrease in the Katz Index during hospitalization was defined as HAD, and a decrease in the FILS was defined as hospital-associated dysphagia.

Results

A total of 209 patients with a mean age of 80.1 ± 6.5 years were enrolled, and 60.8% were male. The leading causes of hospitalization included malignancies (39.7%),

cardiovascular diseases (29.7%), and respiratory diseases (15.3%). HAD occurred in 29.1% of patients, hospital-associated dysphagia was observed in 16.7%, and weight loss during hospitalization occurred in 75.4%. Walking ability declined in 15.9% of patients during their hospital stay. The median length of stay was 19 days [IQR: 13–34]; 82.3% were discharged home, and 2.9% died during hospitalization. Moderate or severe malnutrition on admission according to the GLIM criteria was present in 40.4% of patients. Missing data were few, <5%, except for items such as cancer stage and preadmission weight, and the quality of the data was high. All facilities had a weekend and holiday rehabilitation system, with more than half of the facilities having a dedicated ward dietitian and dental specialist.

Discussion

In this study, we established a multicenter database on HAD in older hospitalized patients and were able to collect high-quality data, including nutritional status, swallowing ability, and rehabilitation information. The incidence of HAD was generally consistent with that reported in previous studies. In the future, this registry is expected to contribute to the identification of risk factors, the development of predictive models for HAD, and the design of early intervention programs for high-risk patients.

Introduction

Hospital-Associated Disability (HAD) refers to decline in Activities of Daily Living (ADL) that occurs during hospitalization [1]. Once patients develop HAD, they often fail to regain their pre-hospitalization level of function after discharge [2]. Decreases in ADL associated with hospitalization include mobility impairments and limitations in ADL, such as bathing, dressing, eating, and toileting [3]. Another functional impairment associated with hospitalization is hospital-associated dysphagia [4,5]. Hospital-associated dysphagia occurs as the patient's general condition deteriorates during hospitalization, leading to a decline in swallowing ability and an increased risk of aspiration pneumonia [6]. HAD is particularly prevalent in older and frail patients and is associated with longer hospital stays, higher readmission prevalence and increased short- and long-term mortality [7,8]. In addition, the onset of HAD increases medical costs and the burden of care, posing a significant challenge to patients and to society as a whole [9]. Therefore, the need for HAD prevention and appropriate intervention is heightened.

Major risk factors for HAD include age, low activity during hospitalization, frailty, delirium, multiple medications, malnutrition, and prolonged hospitalization [10,11]. Reduced activity during hospitalization results in loss of muscle strength and physical fitness, making it challenging to perform ADL. Delirium is linked to reduced cognitive function and ADL, and it is known to negatively impact long-term prognosis. Moreover, polypharmacy increases the risk of adverse drug interactions and may contribute to the development of HAD. Furthermore, malnutrition after hospitalization can cause muscle

weakness and inhibit recovery after discharge. Consequently, a variety of risks associated with HAD can be expected, and further research is necessary.

HAD, in a broad sense, refers to a decline in ADL and related to hospitalization regardless of ADL status before hospitalization. In contrast, HAD, in a narrow sense, occurs in patients who were independent in ADL before hospitalization. The most critical point in conducting strict HAD research is accurately assessing the ADL status before hospitalization. However, previous databases often lack sufficient information on preadmission ADL, making it difficult to accurately identify HAD. Even prospectively collected databases have not accumulated detailed data on nutrition and medication use, ambulation, cognitive function, and oral intake ability [12]. The purpose of this study was to develop and evaluate the quality of a multicenter registry database on HAD, including detailed information on nutrition and medications, ambulation, and oral intake ability.

Materials and Methods

Mie University Hospital served as the leading research institute, and partner facilities were recruited from the Japanese Society of Rehabilitation Nutrition. A total of nine facilities participated and prospectively constructed the database. The database employs a web-based registration system and was built using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap), software developed by Vanderbilt University [13]. The data registration period was from February 1, 2023, to March 31, 2025.

Patients included in the database were ≥70 years old, admitted to a general ward, and

underwent rehabilitation. Eligible patients were required to have a diagnosis of a respiratory, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, infectious, or malignant disease and a hospital stay of at least 48 hours. In addition, independence in ADL before admission was required, as assessed using the 6-item Katz Index [14]. The Katz Index is a tool used to evaluate the degree of independence in ADL among older adults or persons with disabilities, assessing six basic activities as either “independent (1 point)” or “dependent (0 points).” A total score of 6 points was considered indicative of independence in ADL.

To ensure data accuracy, manuals were provided to data entry personnel at each facility for entering patient data on admission and discharge. If the Katz Index on discharge was less than six points, HAD was considered to have developed. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mie University Hospital (No. H2023-015), and informed consent was obtained from all participants before registration.

Assessment Items on Admission

Assessment items on admission include age, sex, date of rehabilitation initiation, name of the disease that led to admission, type of admission (emergency admission or scheduled admission), whether admitted by ambulance, stage of cancer modified Early Warning Score (MEWS) [15], use of respiratory support on admission, use of vasopressor drugs, oxygen dosage, consciousness status (Japan Coma Scale: JCS) [16], surgeries requiring general anesthesia, history of falls, and smoking status, as well as the Clinical Frailty Scale [17]. The MEWS is evaluated based on respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, level of consciousness, and temperature, with higher scores indicating greater severity. The JCS is a scoring system used to evaluate arousal status across four categories. Level 0 indicates full arousal, level 1 signifies arousal without

stimulation, level 2 represents arousal with some stimulation, and level 3 denotes an inability to achieve arousal even with strong stimulation. The Clinical Frailty Scale is an index for evaluating frailty in older adults, consisting of nine levels ranging from 1 (very healthy) to 9 (terminal stage). It is based on a comprehensive evaluation of physical and cognitive functions. Additionally, Functional Comorbidity Index [18], cognitive function score [19], place of residence before admission (facility, hospital, home, other), medications used on admission (antipsychotics, anxiolytics, antidepressants, hypnotic–sedatives, antidementia medications, and number of medications), and laboratory values (albumin [Alb], C-reactive protein [CRP], hemoglobin [Hb]) on admission were recorded. The Functional Comorbidity Index is an index developed to assess comorbidities that affect physical function. It scores the presence of 13 chronic conditions, such as arthritis, osteoporosis, and depression, with higher total scores indicating a higher risk of physical decline. The cognitive function score assesses the level of independence among older adults with dementia. It features a ranking system from 0 to 4, where 0 indicates complete independence and 4 signifies significant mental symptoms and problematic behaviors that require specialized medical care. This index helps to reflect the need for assistance in daily living activities and the stability of the individual's behavior. Additionally, the following information was recorded on admission: the Katz Index, the Food Intake LEVEL Scale (FILS) [20], Functional Ambulation Categories (FAC) [21], and Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) criteria [22]. Other recorded factors included height, weight, and weight from 3 to 6 months prior as well as the need for infection control in rehabilitation implementation, bed rest orders, urinary catheter placement, and the use of physical restraints. The FILS is a rating scale for eating and swallowing abilities,

consisting of 10 steps from 1 (unable to eat food orally) to 10 (able to eat normal food), which indicates the current status of oral intake. The FAC is a walking ability rating scale that categorizes walking capability on a 6-point scale from 0 (unable to walk) to 5 (independent walking), based on the level of assistance required for walking. The GLIM criteria are internationally standardized criteria for diagnosing malnutrition. The diagnosis using the GLIM criteria is a two-step process. First, patients are identified using a validated screening tool. To be diagnosed as malnourished, they must meet at least one criterion from the phenotypic category, which includes weight loss, low body mass index (BMI), and muscle mass loss, and the etiologic category, which includes factors such as inflammation, disease burden, and insufficient intake. Cases diagnosed as malnourished were considered severely malnourished if any one of the three phenotypic criteria exceeds the threshold for severe malnutrition; otherwise, they are categorized as moderately malnourished.

Discharge Assessment Items

Items evaluated on discharge include the length of stay and the discharge destination, which can be a general ward, convalescent ward, long-term care ward, home, facility or death. Additionally, the assessment covers any complications experienced during hospitalization, such as pneumonia, falls, depression, or delirium. It also includes whether physical therapy, occupational therapy, or speech therapy was provided, along with the total number of therapy units administered (1 unit = 20 minutes). Additionally, the FILS score on discharge, the rehabilitation start date, and start location (bedside or training room), as well as the Katz Index and FAC on discharge, were also recorded. The presence or dental treatments, whether the patient is edentulous, denture use,

weight on discharge, length of nothing by mouth period after admission, infectious diseases during hospitalization, presence of parenteral nutrition, presence of enteral nutrition, medications and number of medication types administered on discharge were also recorded. HAD was defined as a decrease in ADL at the time of hospital discharge. Hospital-associated dysphagia was defined as a decrease in FILS after admission.

Additionally, facility Information: the number of general hospital beds, hospital type, total number of therapists, number of patients receiving rehabilitation, whether weekend rehabilitation was offered, and the availability of dentists and dental hygienists, number of full-time dietitians and ward dietitians at each facility was also recorded.

IBM SPSS ver. 26 (IBM Corporation; Armonk, New York, US) was used for statistical analysis. Nominal data were expressed as numbers and percentages (%), whereas continuous data were reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for parametric values and as median (interquartile range [IQR]: 25th–75th percentile) for nonparametric values assessed via histogram. The number of missing values was also recorded.

Results

Among the nine hospitals involved in the HAD database, the total number of registered patients was 209. Table 1 displays the data recorded on admission. Variables with missing values exceeding 5% included cancer stage (13.3%), BMI on admission (5.0%), malnutrition on admission (20.6%), weight 3–6 months before admission (41.6%), and average energy intake within 48 hours after admission (5.0%). The average age of patients in the study was 80.1 (±6.5) years, with 60.8% of participants being men. Cancers accounted for 39.7% of hospitalizations, followed by cardiovascular diseases at

29.7% and respiratory diseases at 15.3%. In terms of hospitalization type, 52.2% were scheduled admissions, 47.8% were emergency admissions, and 28% involved ambulance transports. Among patients with cancer, 28.9% were Stage 3 and 20.5% were Stage 4.

On admission, the clinical status included a median MEWS of 0.0 (range 0.0–2.0), a JCS score of 0 for the majority (94.3%), use of a ventilator in 9.6% of cases, and administration of vasopressors in 2.4% of patients. Moreover, 30.6% had received surgery under general anesthesia, 9.1% had a history of falls, and 15.8% of the patients had a history of smoking. Regarding preadmission frailty indicators, 70.6% of patients had a Clinical Frailty Scale score of 3 or higher. The Functional Comorbidity Index had the highest score of 5 in 47.1% of patients. During hospitalization, 12.9% of patients used hypnotic–sedatives, 3.8% used antidementia drugs, and <3% used antipsychotics, anxiolytics, or antidepressants. The median Katz Index on admission was 6 (range 5–6). Among the patients, 65.2% had normal oral intake, whereas 12.1% were considered difficult to feed orally (FILS 1–6). The largest proportion of patients (47.1%) had a FAC score of 5, indicating independent walking, whereas 12.5% of patients were classified as FAC 0, meaning they were unable to walk. On admission, nutrition-related indices indicated a BMI of 22.1 ± 3.6 and an average weight of 56.2 ± 14.5 kg over the previous 3–6 months. The average energy intake during the 48 hours following admission was 1,186.5 ± 556.0 kcal. According to the GLIM criteria for diagnosing malnutrition, 59.6% of patients were not malnourished, 22.3% had moderate malnutrition, and 18.1% had severe malnutrition. Additionally, 16.9% were on bed rest, 17.5% had urinary catheters, 3.4% underwent physical restraint, and 1.9% required infection control during rehabilitation.

Table 2 presents the data recorded on discharge. The three variables with missing values that exceeded 5% were BMI on discharge (15.3%), the length of nothing by mouth period (9.1%), and weight loss after admission (14.3%). The prevalence of HAD was 29.1%, whereas the prevalence of hospital-associated dysphagia was 16.7%. During hospitalization, 75.4% of patients experienced weight loss, and 15.9% decreased FAC score. The median length of hospital stay was 19.0 days (range 13.0–34.0). The most common discharge destination was home (82.3%), followed by general wards (6.2%) and convalescent wards (5.3%). During hospitalization, adverse events included pneumonia (8.6%), falls (6.3%), depression (1.0%), and delirium (9.6%). Physical therapist intervention was present in 97.6% of cases, whereas occupational therapists were involved in 25.8%, and speech therapists in 14.4%. The median total number of rehabilitation units was 16.5 (range 8.0–31.0). Swallowing ability on discharge based on FILS was as follows: 6.7% without oral intake, 4.3% with oral intake plus alternative nutrition, 28.2% with oral intake only, and 59.8% normal. Rehabilitation was initiated at the bedside in 72.7% of cases and in the training room in 27.3%. The average Katz Index on discharge was 5.1 ± 1.7. For walking ability (FAC), 47.6% scored 5 and 26.9% scored 4. Cognitive function score was 63.8% for rank 0, 22.7% for rank 1, and 8.7% for rank 2, indicating that most patients maintained relatively high levels of independence. During hospitalization, 17.9% of patients received dental interventions. Of the patients, 8.8% were edentulous, 46.1% used dentures. The median BMI on discharge was 21.9 (range 19.7–24.5), with a missing data rate of 15.3%. The median length of nothing by mouth period after admission was 0 days (range 0–1). Dietitians were involved in 50.7% of cases. Total parenteral nutrition was administered to 16.3% of patients, whereas enteral nutrition was given to 12.0%. The median number of drug

types on discharge was 6 (range 3–8). Usage of medications were as follows: antipsychotics 2.4%, anxiolytics 3.8%, antidepressants 2.4%, hypnotic–sedatives 20.2%, and antidementia drugs 2.9%.

Table 3 contains information about the participating facilities. University hospitals made up 44.4% of the total. The average number of general beds was 472.3 (±218.5), and the median number of therapists was 41 (range 24–61). All facilities had systems for holiday rehabilitation. The median number of ward dietitians was 1.0 (range 0.5–7.5).

Discussion

We established a multicenter prospective database on HAD and collected detailed clinical and functional data on 209 hospitalized older adults. In this database, HAD occurred in 29.1% of patients. Hospital-associated dysphagia occurred in 16.7% of patients, and 75.4% of hospitalized patients experienced weight loss after admission. Additionally, the FAC score decreased in 15.9% of patients during hospitalization. There were few missing data points related to important variables, and the overall quality of the database was considered high.

The incidence of HAD in this study was 29.1%, which was consistent to that reported in previous studies. The reported incidence of HAD varied among studies, likely due to differences in patients' baseline functional levels, timing of assessment, and HAD definitions. Zaslavsky et al. [23] and Brown et al. [24] reported higher incidence in older adults hospitalized in acute care settings. They assessed ADL at multiple time points, from before admission to 1 month after discharge. Using the Modified Barthel Index, Zaslavsky et al. defined HAD as failure to regain pre-hospital functional status

after discharge, reporting an incidence of approximately 44%. In a randomized controlled trial of cognitively intact older adults, Brown et al. reported a 43% incidence of HAD in the usual care group. However, unlike our study, these studies did not exclusively include patients who were independent in ADL before hospitalization, which may have influenced the observed incidence of HAD. Differences in assessment timing, whether pre- and post-hospitalization data were available; baseline ADL; age and cognitive function; length of stay; and whether rehabilitation was provided could all contribute to variations in the reported incidence of HAD.

In this study, hospital-associated dysphagia was observed in 16.7% of the patients. In the previous study by Maeda et al. [25], hospital-associated dysphagia was reported in 4.3% of patients, which is lower than the incidence observed in the present study. Nagano et al. [26] reported that 5.6% of patients who already had dysphagia on admission experienced a further decline in their swallowing ability during hospitalization. In that study, the group with decreased swallowing ability showed significantly higher rates of malnutrition, insufficient energy intake, and longer nothing per mouth durations. The difference in definition of hospital-associated dysphagia may account for the discrepancy in incidence between our study and previous research. In our study, we defined hospital-associated dysphagia as a decrease in the FILS, whereas the previous study defined it based on a change in diet form. Our study also differed from those by Maeda et al. and Nagano et al. in patient characteristics, including age and reasons for hospitalization, which may have influenced the outcomes. In the report by Nagano et al., the Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS) was used to assess swallowing function, and decreased swallowing ability was defined as FOIS ≤ 5. Although the FOIS and FILS are assessment tools that evaluate actual feeding

conditions, the FILS provides a more detailed assessment. This difference may also explain the variation in the incidence of hospital-associated dysphagia between our study and previous research. Swallowing ability is closely associated with overall muscle strength, as represented by the concept manuscript of sarcopenic dysphagia [27]. Reduced physical activity and malnutrition during hospitalization can lead to deterioration of general health and impaired swallowing function. In our study, a high incidence of weight loss (75.4%) was also observed, suggesting that the presence or progression of sarcopenia may have contributed to the decline in swallowing ability. Unlike previous studies, our study included patients who were undergoing rehabilitation. Patients requiring rehabilitation may be more susceptible to sarcopenic effects, making them more prone to developing hospital-associated dysphagia.

In this study, 75.4% of the patients experienced weight loss during their hospitalization. In previous studies, 41.2%–45.5% of patients were reported to have lost weight during hospitalization [28,29], which was lower than in the present study. Zannidi et al. [30] reported that 19% of patients lost 10% or more of their weight during their hospital stay, and the longer the stay, the higher the risk of weight loss. The average age of the patients in this study was higher, and the average length of hospital stay was longer than that of previous studies. Additionally, many patients in this study had cardiovascular disease (29.7%) and may have experienced edema on admission, which could have contributed to weight loss as the edema improved during hospitalization.

In this study, 15.9% of patients experienced a decline in walking ability during hospitalization. Valiani et al. [31] evaluated activity using the Braden Scale and reported that 20.6% of patients showed a decline in walking ability. Compared with the previous

study, the patients in our study differed in several characteristics, including being originally independent in ADL and undergoing rehabilitation during hospitalization. The previous study reported a median hospital stay of 4 days, whereas in the present study it was 19 days. These differences may have contributed to the variation in the incidence of hospital-associated walking ability decline.

Some variables in this study showed missing values >5%. This may have resulted from difficulties in evaluation and limitations in information collection in the clinical setting. For instance, older adults and those with cognitive impairment might have found it challenging to self-report their preadmission weight. This difficulty may have been compounded by the limited information available from family members and previous medical institutions. Furthermore, the need for multiple parameters to diagnose malnutrition according to the GLIM criteria may have increased the assessment burden and contributed to incomplete documentation in clinical practice. Nevertheless, we constructed a database with minimal missing data for key variables, making it suitable for various future clinical studies.

This study has several limitations. First, the number of participating facilities was limited, which may affect the representativeness of the patient population. In addition, some evaluation indicators were based on simple screening tools and did not include detailed clinical diagnoses. Nevertheless, this study, which gathered data prospectively from multiple centers, is significant for understanding the current status of HAD in clinical practice and for laying the groundwork for future analytical research.

This study elucidates the realities of HAD and its associated functional decline in an older adult inpatient population, providing a descriptive analysis of its characteristics, incidence, and background factors. In this study, 29.1% of patients experienced HAD,

whereas 16.7% developed hospital-associated dysphagia. These findings are important for understanding the functional decline and risks experienced by older patients during hospitalization. The dataset obtained in this study may be utilized in future analyses to identify predictors of HAD and to develop early intervention programs for high-risk patients. By extension, this study may represent an important step toward developing evidence-based targeted interventions to prevent the onset of HAD in hospitalized older adults.

Funding Statement

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (grant number 22K19669).

References

1. Covinsky KE, Pierluissi E, Johnston CB: Hospitalization-associated disability: “She was probably able to ambulate, but I’m not sure.” JAMA. 2011, 306:1782–93. 10.1001/jama.2011.1556

2. Covinsky KE, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, et al.: Loss of independence in activities of daily living in older adults hospitalized with medical illnesses: increased vulnerability with age. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003, 51:451–8. 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51152.x

3. Jo S-J, Lee S-H, Min H-J, Kim H-J, Kong H-H: Mortality outcomes and contributing risk factors in patients with hospital-associated disability. J Clin Med. 2024, 13:4798. 10.3390/jcm13164798

4. Chen Y, Almirall-Sánchez A, Mockler D, Adrion E, Domínguez-Vivero C, Romero-Ortuño R: Hospital-associated deconditioning: not only physical, but also cognitive. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2022, 37:. 10.1002/gps.5687

5. Mathews SB, Arnold SE, Epperson CN: Hospitalization and cognitive decline: can the nature of the relationship be deciphered? Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014, 22:465–80. 10.1016/j.jagp.2012.08.012

6. Matsuo H, Yoshimura Y, Maeno Y, Tanaka S: Possible sarcopenia and its association with hospital-associated dysphagia and decline in physical function: findings from a heart failure patients prospective cohort study. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2024, 63:364–70. 10.1016/j.clnesp.2024.06.060

7. Brown CJ, Friedkin RJ, Inouye SK: Prevalence and outcomes of low mobility in hospitalized older patients: prevalence and outcomes of low mobility. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004, 52:1263–70. 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52354.x

8. Fortinsky RH, Covinsky KE, Palmer RM, Landefeld CS: Effects of functional status changes before and during hospitalization on nursing home admission of older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1999, 54:M521-6. 10.1093/gerona/54.10.m521

9. Loyd C, Markland AD, Zhang Y, et al.: Prevalence of hospital-associated disability in older adults: a meta-analysis. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2020, 21:455-461.e5. 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.09.015

10. Hao X, Zhang H, Zhao X, Peng X, Li K: Risk factors for hospitalization-associated disability among older patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev. 2024, 101:102516. 10.1016/j.arr.2024.102516

11. Gillick MR, Serrell NA, Gillick LS: Adverse consequences of hospitalization in the elderly. Soc Sci Med. 1982, 16:1033–8. 10.1016/0277-9536(82)90175-7

12. Reichardt LA, Aarden JJ, van Seben R, et al.: Unravelling the potential mechanisms behind hospitalization-associated disability in older patients; the Hospital-Associated Disability and impact on daily Life (Hospital-ADL) cohort study protocol. BMC Geriatr. 2016, 16:59. 10.1186/s12877-016-0232-3

13. Van Bulck L, Wampers M, Moons P: Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap): tackling data collection, management, storage, and privacy challenges. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2022, 21:85–91. 10.1093/eurjcn/zvab104

14. Laan W, Zuithoff NPA, Drubbel I, Bleijenberg N, Numans ME, De Wit NJ, Schuurmans MJ: Validity and reliability of the Katz-15 scale to measure unfavorable health outcomes in community-dwelling older people. J Nutr Health Aging. 2014, 18:848–54. 10.1007/s12603-014-0558-5

15. Kaho Umeki Megumi Ishibashi Misako Takahashi and Megumi Kamitani: Utilize MEWS and underlying diseases of emergency inpatients for prediction of sudden change. Med J Shimane Prefect Cent Hosp. 2022, 46:15–8.

16. Okada Y, Kiguchi T, Iiduka R, Ishii W, Iwami T, Koike K: Association between the Japan Coma Scale scores at the scene of injury and in-hospital outcomes in trauma patients: an analysis from the nationwide trauma database in Japan. BMJ Open. 2019, 9:e029706. 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029706

17. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, Mitnitski A: A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ. 2005, 173:489–95. 10.1503/cmaj.050051

18. Groll DL, To T, Bombardier C, Wright JG: The development of a comorbidity index with physical function as the outcome. J Clin Epidemiol. 2005;58:595–602. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.10.018

19. Tago M, Katsuki NE, Yaita S, Nakatani E, Yamashita S, Oda Y, Yamashita S-I: High inter-rater reliability of Japanese bedriddenness ranks and cognitive function scores: a hospital-based prospective observational study. BMC Geriatr. 2021, 21:168. 10.1186/s12877-021-02108-x

20. Kunieda K, Ohno T, Fujishima I, Hojo K, Morita T: Reliability and validity of a tool to measure the severity of dysphagia: the Food Intake LEVEL Scale. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2013, 46:201–6. 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.07.020

21. Holden MK, Gill KM, Magliozzi MR, Nathan J, Piehl-Baker L: Clinical gait assessment in the neurologically impaired. Reliability and meaningfulness. Phys Ther. 1984, 64:35–40. 10.1093/ptj/64.1.35

22. Cederholm T, Jensen GL, Correia MITD, et al.: GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition - A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. Clin Nutr. 2019, 38:1–9. 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.08.002

23. Zaslavsky O, Zisberg A, Shadmi E: Impact of functional change before and during hospitalization on functional recovery 1 month following hospitalization. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2015, 70:381–6. 10.1093/gerona/glu168

24. Brown CJ, Foley KT, Lowman JD Jr, et al.: Comparison of posthospitalization function and community mobility in hospital mobility program and usual care patients: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2016, 176:921–7. 10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.1870

25. Maeda K, Ishida Y, Nonogaki T, et al.: Development and predictors of sarcopenic dysphagia during hospitalization of older adults. Nutrients. 2019, 12:70. 10.3390/nu12010070

26. Nagano A, Onaka M, Maeda K, et al.: Prevalence and characteristics of the course of dysphagia in hospitalized older adults. Nutrients. 2023, 15:4371. 10.3390/nu15204371

27. Nagai T, Wakabayashi H, Nishioka S, Momosaki R: Functional prognosis in patients with sarcopenic dysphagia: an observational cohort study from the Japanese sarcopenic dysphagia database. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2022, 22:839–45. 10.1111/ggi.14466

28. Leandro-Merhi VA, Srebernich SM, Gonçalves GMS, de Aquino JLB: In-hospital weight loss, prescribed diet and food acceptance. Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2015, 28:8–12. 10.1590/S0102-67202015000100003

29. Merhi VNAL, Teles MV, Aquino JL, Mendon JA, Mendes ET: Do more overweight patients on admission lose weight during hospitalization. 2020. 10.22541/au.159355933.38613731

30. Zannidi D, Patel PS, Leventea E, et al.: Factors associated with significant weight loss in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study in a large teaching hospital. Nutrients. 2022, 14:4195. 10.3390/nu14194195

31. Valiani V, Gao S, Chen Z, et al.: In-hospital mobility variations across primary diagnoses among older adults. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016, 17:465.e1-465.e8. 10.1016/j.jamda.2016.02.003

Table. 1 Admission data (n=209)

	Number of missing (%)	n (%), mean ± SD, median [IQR]
Age, years	0	79.0 [75–85]
Sex, Male	0	127 (60.8)
Primary disease leading to hospitalization	0	
Malignant tumor		83 (39.7)
Circulatory disease		62 (29.7)
Gastrointestinal disease		13 (6.2)
Infectious disease		19 (9.1)
Respiratory disease		32 (15.3)
Hospitalization type	0	
Scheduled hospitalization		109 (52.2)
Emergency hospitalization		100 (47.8)
Stage of Cancer	11 (13.3)	83 (39.7)
0		3 (3.6)
1		14 (16.9)
2		14 (16.9)
3		24 (28.9)
4		17 (20.5)
Noncancer Patients		126 (60.3)
Admission via ambulance	2 (1)	58 (28)
Modified early warning score on admission	0	0.0 [0.0–2.0]

Japan Coma Scale on admission	0	
0		197 (94.3)
1		8 (3.8)
2		3 (1.4)
3		1 (0.5)
Vasopressors use on admission	2 (1)	5 (2.4)
Respirator use on admission	0	20 (9.6)
Surgery with general anesthesia	0	64 (30.6)
History of falls in the year before admission	0	19 (9.1)
Smoking habits in the year before admission	1 (0.5)	33 (15.8)
Cognitive function score before hospitalization	0	
0		148 (70.8)
1		42 (20.1)
2		15 (7.2)
3		3 (1.4)
4		1 (0.5)
Preadmission Clinical Frailty Scale	1 (0.5)	
1		27 (13)
2		34 (16.3)
3		61 (29.3)
4		40 (19.2)
5		46 (22.1)
Functional comorbidity index on admission	1 (0.5)	

0		26 (12.5)
1		7 (3.4)
2		6 (2.9)
3		22 (10.6)
4		49 (23.6)
5		98 (47.1)
Antipsychotics on admission	1 (0.5)	5 (2.4)
Antianxiety medications on admission	1 (0.5)	7 (3.3)
Antidepressants on admission	1 (0.5)	5 (2.4)
Hypnotic–sedatives on admission	1 (0.5)	27 (12.9)
Antidementia medications on admission	1 (0.5)	8 (3.8)
Alb on admission, g/dL	5 (2.4)	3.5 [3.1–3.9]
CRP on admission, mg/dL	8 (3.8)	0.9 [0.1–6.1]
Hb on admission, g/dL	3 (1.4)	11.6 [10.2–12.9]
Katz Index on admission	0	6 [5–6]
Food Intake LEVEL Scale on admission	2 (1)	
No oral intake (1–3)		17 (8.2)
Oral intake and alternative nutrition (4–6)		8 (3.9)
Oral intake only (7–9)		47 (22.7)
Normal (10)		135 (65.2)
Functional Ambulation Categories on admission	1 (0.5)	
0		26 (12.5)
1		7 (3.4)

2		6 (2.9)
3		22 (10.6)
4		49 (23.6)
5		98 (47.1)
Diagnosis of malnutrition on admission (GLIM criteria)	43 (20.6)	
No malnutrition		99 (59.6)
Moderate malnutrition		37 (22.3)
Severe malnutrition		30 (18.1)
Body mass index on admission	10 (5)	21.9 [19.7–24.5]
Weight 3–6 months before admission, kg	87 (41.6)	57.0 [48.0–65.0]
Average energy intake within 48 hours of admission, kcal	10 (5)	1400 [800–1600]
Bed rest order on admission	2 (1)	35 (16.9)
Need for infection control in rehabilitation implementation	1 (0.5)	4 (1.9)
Urinary catheter placement on admission	3 (1.4)	36 (17.5)
Physical restraint on admission	2 (1)	7 (3.4)

Table. 2 Discharge Data (n=209)

	Number of missing (%)	n (%), mean ± SD, median [IQR]
Hospital-associated disability	3 (1.4)	60 (29.1)
Hospital-associated dysphagia	4 (1.9)	35 (16.7)
Weight loss during hospitalization	30 (14.3)	135 (75.4)
Decrease in Functional Ambulation Categories during hospitalization	2 (1)	33 (15.9)
Length of hospital stay, days	0	19 [13–34]
Place of discharge	0	
General ward		13 (6.2)
Convalescent ward		11 (5.3)
Long-term care ward		2 (1)
Home		172 (82.3)
Facility		5 (2.4)
Death		6 (2.9)
Pneumonia (in hospital)	0	18 (8.6)
Falls (in hospital)	1 (0.5)	13 (6.3)
Depression (in hospital)	1 (0.5)	2 (1.0)
Delirium (in hospital)	1 (0.5)	20 (9.6)
Physical Therapist involvement	0	204 (97.6)
Occupational Therapist involvement	0	54 (25.8)

Speech Therapist involvement	1 (0.5)	30 (14.4)
Total rehabilitation units	3 (1.4)	16.5 [8.0–31.0]
Food Intake LEVEL Scale on discharge	2 (1)	
No oral intake (1–3)		14 (6.7)
Oral intake and alternative nutrition (4–6)		9 (4.3)
Oral intake only (7–9)		59 (28.2)
Normal (10)		125 (59.8)
Rehabilitation start location	4 (1.9)	
Bedside		149 (72.7)
Training room		56 (27.3)
Katz Index on discharge	3 (1.4)	5.1 (6.0)
Functional Ambulation Categories on discharge	1 (0.5)	
0		12 (5.8)
1		10 (4.8)
2		14 (6.7)
3		17 (8.2)
4		56 (26.9)
5		99 (47.6)
Cognitive function score on discharge	2 (1)	
0		132 (63.8)
1		47 (22.7)
2		18 (8.7)
3		4 (1.9)

4		2 (1)
5		4 (1.9)
Dental professionals' intervention during hospitalization	2 (1)	37 (17.9)
Edentulous	5 (2.4)	18 (8.8)
Denture use	3 (1.4)	95 (46.1)
Weight on discharge	32 (15.3)	21.0 [18.8–23.5]
Length of nothing by mouth period after admission	19 (9.1)	0 (0–1)
Dietitian involvement	4 (1.9)	104 (50.7)
Total parenteral nutrition during hospitalization	0	34 (16.3)
Enteral nutrition during hospitalization	0	25 (12.0)
Number of drug types on discharge	1 (0.5)	6 (3–8)
Antipsychotics on discharge	0	5 (2.4)
Antianxiety medications on discharge	0	8 (3.8)
Antidepressants on discharge	1 (0.5)	5 (2.4)
Hypnotic–sedatives on discharge	1 (0.5)	42 (20.2)
Antidementia medications on discharge	2 (1)	6 (2.9)

Table. 3 Facility Information (n=9)

	Number of missing (%)	n (%), mean ± SD, median [IQR]
University hospital	0	3 (44.4)
Number of general hospital beds	0	472.3 ± 218.5
Total number of therapists	0	41 [24–61]
Weekend rehabilitation	0	9 (100)
Dentists	0	5 (55.5)
Dental hygienists	0	5 (55.5)
Number of ward dietitians	0	1 [0.5–7.5]