The Multiple Layers of Childhood Adversity and Premature Mortality: Synthesizing Life-course Data on Individual, Family and Neighborhood Adversity in 1.2 million individuals.

Authors

Rod NH[a], Bennetsen SK[a], Elsenburg LK[a], Sabel CE[b,c], Taylor-Robinson D[d], Kovacs D[a], Zucco AG[a], Vries TR[a]

[a] Copenhagen Health Complexity Center, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

[b] School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, UK

[c] School of Geographic and Oceanographic Sciences, Nanjing University, China

[d] Public Health, Policy and Systems, Institute of Population Health, University of Liverpool, UK

Corresponding author

Naja Hulvej Rod
Copenhagen Health Complexity Center
Department of Public Health
University of Copenhagen
Øster Farimagsgade 5
1353 Copenhagen
Denmark
e-mail: nahuro@sund.ku.dk

ABSTRACT

Background: Childhood adversity is multi-layered, extending beyond the family to include broader neighborhood contexts, and individual risks such as being born preterm or childhood physical and mental health issues.

Methods: We undertook a population-based study using Danish nationwide register data on multi-layered childhood adversity (individual, family and neighborhood adversity), and premature mortality. Children who were alive and resident in Denmark until their 16th birthday were followed into young adulthood (16-42 years). Individual adversity included perinatal adversity (born preterm or small for gestational age) and mental and physical health service use. Family adversity was determined by categorizing individuals into five distinct groups using group-based multi-trajectory modelling based on 12 adversities. Neighborhood adversity was assessed using home addresses and information on deprivation in small-area zones. We evaluated the individual, interactive, and cumulative associations of these layers with premature mortality using survival analyses.

Findings: 1,225,858 individuals born between 1980 and 2001, were followed up until Dec 31, 2022, capturing 7,215 premature deaths. Children facing high family adversity were more likely to have perinatal adversity, use health services, and live in deprived neighborhoods. Each layer separately predicted premature mortality, with for example high physical health service use (HR: 1.58; 95% CI: 1.48; 1.69) and living in a deprived neighborhood (HR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.13; 1.25) being associated with higher mortality. Cross-layer interactions were most pronounced between family adversity and child mental health or perinatal adversity. The highest mortality risk was observed among those with high family adversity who also experienced individual adversity: HR: 6.48 (95% CI: 5.76; 7.29) compared to those with low adversity across all layers.

Interpretation: The clustering and interaction of childhood adversities across different layers can create highly vulnerable groups from an early age, deepening lifelong health inequalities. This underscores the importance of a comprehensive, multi-layered approach to addressing childhood adversity that targets individual vulnerabilities as well as the broader social environment.

Funding: The project is funded by the European Research Council (consolidator grant no. 101124807).

INTRODUCTION

Reducing health inequality remains a critical public health priority.[1,2] The causal drivers of inequality are multifaceted and dynamic, involving multiple factors operating and interacting across different layers (individual, family, neighborhood) over the lifecourse.[3] Childhood is a particularly sensitive period marked by physical, neurological, social, and cognitive development. Experiencing family-related adversity during childhood, such as poverty, disease or loss in the family, or growing up in a dysfunctional household, has lifelong health consequences.[4–8] While research on childhood adversity has largely focused on the family, some argue for a broader perspective that considers the child's wider environment.[9–12]

Although the complexity of a child's social environment has long been recognized,[13] recent frameworks increasingly emphasize that childhood adversity can emerge across multiple layers.[9–12] This includes adversity within broader ecological contexts, such as neighborhoods.[9,14] Living in a deprived neighborhood may restrict access to adequate health care services, healthy food environments, and safe recreational spaces. It may also induce chronic psychological stress due to a perception of threat and lack of resources, which can eventually cause adverse physiological changes and impair health.[15] It is also important to consider how family adversity interacts with individual vulnerabilities, such as biological susceptibility arising from being born preterm or small-for-gestational-age.[16] Studies show that some children face ongoing family adversity alongside poor mental and physical health throughout childhood.[4] Experiencing adversity across these multiple layers is likely a result of cascading effects, in which individual health, family social conditions, and neighborhood disadvantage act as both causes and consequences of one another throughout childhoods and across generations. Together, these multiple layers of adversity can contribute to lifelong disadvantage.[17]

Testing these cross-layer interactions requires large-scale, multilayered life course data. While several multi-level frameworks of childhood adversity exist,[9–12] they have yet to be fully operationalized and empirically validated. In this study, we investigate how multiple layers of childhood adversity relate to the risk of premature mortality in young adulthood. We hypothesize that perinatal adversity, mental and physical health adversities, and neighborhood deprivation often cluster among individuals experiencing family adversity. We further hypothesize that adversities across individual, family, and neighborhood layers interact, resulting in a higher risk of premature mortality than exposure to single types of adversity alone. To test these hypotheses, we use longitudinal, multi-layered data from a nationwide cohort of 1.2 million individuals followed from birth up to age 42.

METHODS

The Danish Life Course (DANLIFE) cohort

The current study builds on the large register-based DANLIFE cohort[18], which includes all children born in Denmark between 1980 and 2015, totaling 2,223,927 children. A unique personal identification number enables precise individual-level linkage across registries, allowing integration of adversity data across multiple layers. For this study, DANLIFE has been expanded to include additional registers, as well as linkage to small-area neighborhood zones and deprivation indexes.[19] The present analysis is restricted to individuals who can be followed for entire childhoods (birth years 1980 to 2001) with complete information on adversities across all layers (n=1,225,858), see supplementary Figure S1.

Statistics Denmark and the Danish Health Authority granted access to pseudonymized data from the Danish administrative and health registers. The extended version of DANLIFE has been approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency through the records of research projects that involve personal data at the Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen (record no 514-0641/21-3000).

Figure 1. *Overview of layers and measures of childhood adversity in the study.*

The multiple layers of childhood adversity

We conceptualize childhood adversity as occurring across two key life-course dimensions (Figure 1):

1. Time: Annual data from birth to 16 years of age
2. Layers: Individual, family and neighborhood

While it is not feasible to include every aspect of childhood adversity, we selected key indicators for each layer:

(i) **Individual layer.** We assessed three key indicators of individual adversity in childhood:

  a. *Perinatal adversity*: Defined as being born preterm (before 37 weeks of gestation) or small-for-gestational age (below the 10th percentile on age- and sex-specific growth curves[20]), based on Danish Medical Birth Register.

  b. *Physical health:* Using the National Patient Registry, we calculated total emergency and inpatient hospital contacts during childhood. High physical health service use was defined as being in the top 20% for hospital encounters accumulated from age 0-15.

  c. *Mental health:* Any mental health diagnosis before age 16, recorded in the Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register (including inpatient, outpatient, and emergency contacts).

(ii) **Family layer.** The family adversity layer in DANLIFE has previously been established, using register linkages between children, parents, and siblings to capture a range of social adversities. [5] An expert panel identified three key dimensions: material deprivation (family poverty, parental long-term unemployment), loss or threat of loss (serious illness or death of a parent or sibling), and family dynamics (maternal separation, foster care placement, parental or sibling psychiatric illness, and parental alcohol or drug abuse). Using group-based multi-trajectory modeling of annual adversity counts from ages 0–15, five family adversity trajectory groups were identified: low adversity (experiencing no or few adversities), early material deprivation (experiencing poverty or parental long-term unemployment before school age), persistent material deprivation (experiencing poverty or parental long-term unemployment during entire childhood), loss or threat of loss (experiencing serious illness or death of a parent or sibling), and high adversity (experiencing high and increasing levels of adversity across all three dimensions). Further details on these groups are available in the supplementary material (Table S1, Figure S2 and method description) and in Rod et al., 2020. [5]

(iii) **Neighborhood layer.** We built on previously generated data zones which represent small area geographic neighborhoods of approximately 2500 inhabitants across the whole of Denmark.[19] These 1,885 zones, nested within 98 municipalities, were created using residential coordinates and a clustering algorithm (see Pedersen et al., 2022 for details).[19] Neighborhood material deprivation was assessed using four annual indicators for individuals aged 25 years and above within each zone:

  a. Low income (proportion with low gross income)
  b. Basic education (proportion with only primary schooling)
  c. Unemployed (proportion unemployed)
  d. Overcrowding (proportion of households with >1 person per room)

These measures were calculated annually from 1981 to 2014 for the entire Danish population, using weighted averages from 5-year bands. Values for 1980 were set equal to those from the 1981-1984 band and values for 2015–2017 were set equal to those from the 2010-2014 band to ensure full childhood coverage under the assumption that neighborhood conditions change slowly year to year. For each individual, we calculated average exposure to each indicator across childhood. High exposure was defined as being in the top 20% for

any indicator. High neighborhood deprivation was defined as being in the top 20% for at least two indicators.

Premature mortality

All individuals were followed up from age 16 until death, emigration, or end of follow-up on December 31, 2022, with premature mortality as the outcome of interest. Individuals who emigrated during follow-up were censored at age of emigration.

Covariates

Covariates included sex assigned at birth (male/female), birth year, maternal age at time of birth (<20 years/20-30 years/>30 years). Parental country of origin was defined as Western if one or both parents were of European, North American, Australian, or New Zealand descent and non-Western if both parents are from elsewhere.

Statistical analysis

First, we characterized the patterns of adversities across the different layers. For each of the five family adversity groups, we determined the percentage of individuals who also experienced high adversity at the individual and neighborhood layers. We visualized these patterns as a combined radar plot and as bar plots for each specific type of adversity.

Our primary analysis consisted of three steps:

1. We tested associations between each layer of adversity and premature mortality separately. To do so, we fitted Cox proportional hazard models from age 16 to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

2. We assessed additive cross-layer interactions between the family layer and both individual and neighborhood layers in relation to mortality. We used Aalen's additive hazards models, which allowed for the detection of deviation from additivity of absolute effects to identify subgroups where most cases of excess mortality could potentially be prevented.[21]

3. We explored cumulative effects of adversities across the five family adversity groups and according to additional layers of either individual adversity only, neighborhood adversity only or both. We presented this as survival plots based on Kaplan-Meier estimates and 95% CI for each added layer of adversity. We also fitted both Cox proportional hazard models and Aalen's additive hazards models from age 16 to estimate HR, HD and 95% CIs of premature mortality in each of these groups.

In all models, the reference group consisted of individuals with low family adversity and no other measured adversities, focusing our analyses on the family layer and its interactions. All models were adjusted by sex assigned at birth, birth year, maternal age at birth and parental country of origin, with supplementary analyses stratified by sex.

Two sensitivity analyses were performed. First, we added parental education (≤10 years, 10–12 years, >12 years) as a covariate, as it is difficult to disentangle causes from effects in our models due to the strong correlation between parental education and material deprivation. Second, we limited the sample to individuals born between 1981 and 1998 to exclude those for whom neighborhood data were extrapolated.

All data manipulation, visualization, and statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.4.1). We used the *tidyverse* package (version 2.0.0) for data handling and visualization, the *survival* package (version 3.8.3) for Cox proportional hazards models, and the *timereg* package (version 2.0.6) for Aalen's additive hazards models.

RESULTS

Clustering of adversity across layers

The patterns of multilayered adversity are summarized in Figure 2. The results are organized around the family layer. We find a clear pattern of family adversity being related to adversities within all other layers (Panel A). The high adversity group, for example, shows the highest proportion being born small for gestational age (Panel B), the highest proportion with one or more mental health diagnoses during childhood (Panel C), the highest proportion of high users of hospital services for physical health conditions (Panel D), whereas those who experience persistent material deprivation in the family show the highest proportion living in a neighborhood with high material deprivation (Panel E). Details about the distribution of covariates can be found in supplementary Table S2.

Single-layer adversity and premature mortality

We recorded 7,215 deaths during a mean follow-up time of 14.3 years (SD = 6.4). We find that each layer of adversity is associated with premature mortality when analyzed separately (Figure 3). For the individual layer, we show that *perinatal adversity* (hazard ratio (HR): 1.35; 95% CI: 1.28; 1.42), a *mental health diagnosis* (HR: 3.10; 95% CI: 2.92; 3.30), or being a *high user of hospital services* for physical conditions (HR: 1.58; 95% CI: 1.48; 1.69) during childhood is associated with a higher risk of premature mortality.

For the family layer and in line with our previous findings[5], we show that trajectories of *family adversity* are associated with higher mortality in this extended dataset with 8 additional years of follow-up. For example, high versus low adversity within the family is associated with a HR of 4.05 (95% CI: 3.73; 4.39).

For the neighborhood layer, we show that living in neighborhoods with *high material deprivation* (HR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.13; 1.25) during childhood is associated with a higher mortality risk.

Interactions between the family and individual layer

We find cross-layer interactions between the family and individual adversity layer, meaning that more cases of premature mortality are observed than what would have been expected by the sum of adversity at each single layer (Figure 4, supplementary Table S3). We find that those who are exposed to both early *perinatal adversity* and high family adversity experience 116 additional cases of premature mortality (95% CI: 98 to 134) per 100,000 person-years (PY) compared to those with low family adversity and no perinatal adversity. Of these, 21 are due to cross-layer interaction (P=0.02). We also find that those who have both a *mental health diagnosis* and high family adversity during childhood show 184 additional cases of premature mortality (95% CI: 160 to 208) per 100,000 PY compared to those with low adversity and no mental health diagnosis. Of these, 64 are due to interaction between adversity across layers (P<.0001). In addition, we find that those who are high users of *physical health* service and experience high

family adversity show 134 additional cases of premature mortality (95% CI: 111 to 157) per 100,000 PY compared to those with low adversity at both layers. Of these, an estimated 32 cases are due to cross-layer interaction, although the interaction is not statistically significant (p=0.14).

Interactions between the family and neighborhood layer

We find no interaction between the family and neighborhood layer (Figure 4, supplementary Table S3). However, individuals exposed to both high family and neighborhood adversity have a higher risk than the reference group, with 100 additional deaths (95% CI: 83–117) per 10,000 person-years due to their independent effects.

Cumulative adversity across layers and premature mortality

Figure 5 shows the added mortality risk from the individual and neighborhood layer among individuals in each of the five family adversity groups. The individual layer is defined as having at least one of the three indicators (perinatal adversity, high physical health service use or mental health diagnosis). All analyses use the risk among those with low family adversity and no adversity across the other two layers as reference. We find that experiencing adversity across multiple layers is associated with a higher risk of premature mortality across all family adversity groups. For example, those who experience both individual adversity and neighborhood adversity despite being in the low family adversity group, still experience a markedly higher risk of premature mortality (HR: 1.85; 95% CI: 1.59; 2.14) than those with low adversity across all layers. The highest risk is, however, found among those in the high family adversity group who also experience individual layer adversity (HR: 6.48; 95% CI: 5.76; 7.29) compared to those with low adversity across all layers. This group includes 16,442 individuals (1.3% of the population). Supplementary Table S4 shows estimates across all different combinations of adversity layers.

Premature mortality was higher in men (5,051 deaths) than in women (2,164 deaths). Although relative effects were similar by sex, absolute risks linked to adversity were greatest among men (see Supplementary Tables S5–S6, Figures S3–S4). Adjusting for parental education only slightly reduced effect sizes, confirming the robustness of our results (Supplementary Table S7). Limited the sample to individuals born between 1981 and 1998 to exclude those for whom neighborhood data were extrapolated showed results very similar to the main analysis (not shown).

DISCUSSION

Leveraging multi-layer life course data, we empirically examined the clustering and interaction of childhood adversities across individual, family, and neighborhood layers, and related this to premature mortality in young adulthood. In line with our hypothesis, we demonstrated a clear clustering of adversity across layers. Individuals experiencing high family adversity were more likely to also experience perinatal adversity, to experience mental health problems and be high users of physical health care services, and to live in deprived neighborhoods. We also demonstrate that each of these layers of adversity is not only individually associated with premature mortality in young adulthood but that some of these also exhibit cross-layer interactions, where adversity at one layer accentuates the harmful effects of adversity at another layer. Such cross-layer interactions were found for the joint exposure to adversity at the individual (particularly perinatal adversity and mental health) and the family layer. These

interactions can create highly vulnerable population subgroups, starting as early as childhood, potentially constituting a strong driver of lifelong health inequality.

While scientific interest and political awareness of the importance of the breadth of the child's environment have grown substantially in recent years, the supporting empirical evidence remains limited. Most previous studies on childhood adversity and health are constrained by retrospective designs, insufficient statistical power to detect complex patterns across multiple dimensions of adversity, and susceptibility to selection bias due to attrition.[7] We contribute to this literature by comprehensively mapping the fundamental neighborhood, family, and individual drivers of health inequality from birth throughout childhood.

Our study highlights the significance of the child's intrafamilial environment in shaping their ability to cope and learn, aligning with previous research that links family-related childhood adversities to increased risks of health-harming behaviours, chronic conditions, and premature mortality in adulthood.[5-7,22] As suggested in previous studies, we find that children who suffer from individual adversity and family adversity simultaneously during childhood are at a particularly high risk of subsequent health problems. Together, our findings provide empirical support for conceptualizing adversity as a multi-layered construct that examines the complex interplay between the child and their environment.[9-12] Prior studies have recognized the multi-layered nature of adversity and tested indicators of childhood adversity across various layers.[23-25] For example, a UK study using data from 19,000 children identified latent profiles spanning individual, family, and environmental layers and linked these profiles to developmental outcomes in adolescence.[24] Consistent with our findings, this study observed that exposure to multiple forms of early adversity is associated with a higher risk of negative outcomes in adolescence, including psychosocial and behavioural challenges. We extend these findings to a much larger sample, enabling direct assessment of cross-scale interactions. Additionally, we utilize data spanning over four decades to track premature mortality into adult life.

Our findings also underscore the importance of neighborhood factors in shaping health outcomes. Although experiencing neighborhood deprivation is less strongly associated with premature mortality than adversity at other layers, the neighborhood nevertheless plays a role for premature mortality. Most children spend the majority of their time within small geographical areas, and there is growing scholarly interest in understanding how living in certain neighborhoods can negatively impact health and contribute to health inequalities.[15] A study utilizing register data on socioeconomic indicators at both the family and neighborhood levels found that individuals of low socioeconomic status living in deprived neighborhoods faced a higher risk of self-harm and violent crime compared with those in more affluent areas.[23] Building on these findings, our study demonstrates how neighborhood material deprivation clusters with adversity within families, contributing to premature and potentially preventable mortality in young adulthood. Taken together, these results highlight the need for policies aimed at addressing neighborhood deprivation in addition to other types of adversity. Such policies could include interventions targeting housing, living conditions, poverty, and crime prevention.[26]

The vulnerability we document here stems from a combination of differences in exposure, susceptibility, and most likely also capacity to respond to adversity.[27] While family adversity shows the strongest association with premature mortality, our findings indicate that all layers of adversity present elevated risk, even among children who are not exposed to family adversity but experience individual or neighborhood adversity. Preventive strategies should extend beyond

addressing only individuals at high risk and also target vulnerable subgroups experiencing disadvantages in any of the three adversity layers. This approach requires expanding services from an individual focus to delivering integrated support for entire families who face complex needs.[28] Policies should prioritize building contextual resources - such as social support, institutional responsiveness and access to services - to strengthen the ability of children, families and neighborhoods with adversity to adapt and recover, supporting multi-level interventions that increase health equity by expanding options, not just reducing exposures.[29]

Strength and limitations

The unprecedented multi-layered data infrastructure covering almost complete national life course data over four decades in more than 1.2 million individuals provided unique opportunities for exploring interactions between adversities across layers. Utilizing the linked nationwide data also mitigated selection bias. Further, the study benefited from previous Danish work on small-area level neighborhoods for a detailed characterization of neighborhoods across childhood.[25] We have focused on neighborhood material deprivation in the current study, but future studies can expand this to also include measures of e.g., social fragmentation and marginalisation in the neighborhood.

It is impossible to capture the totality of childhood adversity across multiple layers, and we had to operationalize adversity based on selected key indicators for each layer. These indicators were carefully selected based on the social ecological model and the bio-exposome model,[9,13] but we were of course limited by the availability of data in the nationwide registers. For example, information on undiagnosed health conditions, abuse in the family, housing conditions, or neighborhood physical environment were not available through Danish registries. We were also unable to include adversity measures related to other and broader ecological contexts, e.g., air pollution, racism, bullying, and economic crises. While we believe that our approach allows for a comprehensive examination of childhood adversity across relevant layers, we acknowledge that we may not have capture all important dimensions of childhood adversity.

Denmark's strong social security system may limit the generalizability of these findings to other socio-political contexts. Thus, our results likely represent a 'lower bound scenario' for the impact of multiple layers of childhood adversity on premature mortality within a setting with free, universal health care. These findings highlight the childhood origins of health inequality and emphasize the need for upstream structural interventions to prevent adversity and its health consequences across different countries.

Conclusion and implications

Addressing childhood adversity requires a comprehensive, multi-layered approach. We show that multiple adversities - across individual, family, and neighborhood layers - often intersect, trapping some children in cycles of disadvantage and widening health inequalities. Our findings highlight the need for health inequality research and interventions that address the full spectrum of adversity layers from which health inequalities emerge. Overlooking these interconnected factors across childhood carries the risk of oversimplifying complex health issues and potentially limiting intervention effectiveness. By recognizing that childhood adversity goes beyond the family context, we can design more targeted policies – including policies to reduce premature births, support disadvantaged families, promote mental health, and address broader social

determinants like poverty, education, and neighborhood characteristics - to more effectively improve outcomes for children and families in vulnerable positions.

FUNDING

The project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. [consolidator grant no. 101124807]). The Copenhagen Health Complexity center is funded by TrygFonden. TR is funded by an NIHR Research Professorship award (NIHR302438).

REFERENCES

Marmot M. Social determinants of health inequalities. *Lancet* 2005; **365**: 1099–104.
Marmot M. Health equity in England: the Marmot review 10 years on. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m693.
Roux AVD. Complex Systems Thinking and Current Impasses in Health Disparities Research. *Am J Public Health* 2011; **101**: 1627.
Rod NH, Bengtsson J, Elsenburg LK, Taylor-Robinson D, Rieckmann A. Hospitalisation patterns among children exposed to childhood adversity: a population-based cohort study of half a million children. *Lancet Public Health* 2021; **6**: e826–35.
Rod NH, Bengtsson J, Budtz-Jørgensen E, *et al.* Trajectories of childhood adversity and mortality in early adulthood: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet* 2020; **396**: 489–97.
Hughes K, Ford K, Bellis MA, Glendinning F, Harrison E, Passmore J. Health and financial costs of adverse childhood experiences in 28 European countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health* 2021; **6**: e848–57.
Hughes K, Bellis MA, Hardcastle KA, *et al.* The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health* 2017; **2**: e356–66.
Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Siegel BS, *et al.* The Lifelong Effects of Early Childhood Adversity and Toxic Stress. *Pediatrics* 2012; **129**: e232–46.
Minnis H, van Harmelen A-L, Gajwani R, *et al.* The bio-exposome: intracellular processes, stress physiology and the environment. *Nature Mental Health 2024 2:2* 2024; **2**: 132–40.
Lopez M, Ruiz MO, Rovnaghi CR, *et al.* The social ecology of childhood and early life adversity. *Pediatric Research 2021 89:2* 2021; **89**: 353–67.
Pasteuning JM, Gathier AW, Vinkers CH, Sep MSC. Resilience following childhood adversity: The need for a heuristic multilevel dynamic framework. *Neuroscience Applied* 2024; **3**: 104069.
Wertz J, Ronald A. Editorial: Beyond the usual suspects – broadening the scope of environmental influences in child and adolescent mental health research. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 2025; **66**: 609–11.
Bronfenbrenner U. The ecology of human development: Experiments by design and nature. *The Ecology of Human Development* 1979; : 85–8.
Sabel CE, Pedersen CB, Antonsen S, Webb RT, Horsdal HT. Changing Neighborhood Income Deprivation Over Time, Moving in Childhood, and Adult Risk of Depression. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2024; **81**: 919–27.
Bernard P, Charafeddine R, Frohlich KL, Daniel M, Kestens Y, Potvin L. Health inequalities and place: A theoretical conception of neighbourhood. *Soc Sci Med* 2007; **65**: 1839–52.
Van Lieshout RJ, Boyle MH, Favotto L, *et al.* Impact of extremely low-birth-weight status on risk and resilience for depression and anxiety in adulthood. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2018; **59**: 596–603.
Rod NH. The multiple layers of health inequality. *Lancet Public Health* 2023; **8**: e86–7.
Bengtsson J, Dich N, Rieckmann A, Rod NH. Cohort profile: The DANish LIFE course (DANLIFE) cohort, a prospective register-based cohort of all children born in Denmark since 1980. *BMJ Open* 2019; **9**: 27217.

Pedersen CB, Antonsen S, Timmermann A, *et al.* Urban-Rural Differences in Schizophrenia Risk: Multilevel Survival Analyses of Individual- and Neighborhood-Level Indicators, Urbanicity and Population Density in a Danish National Cohort Study. *Schizophr Bull Open* 2022; **3**. DOI:10.1093/SCHIZBULLOPEN/SGAB056.

Maršál K, Persson PH, Larsen T, Lilja H, Selbing A, Sultan B. Intrauterine growth curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. *Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics* 1996. DOI:10.1111/j.1651-2227.1996.tb14164.x.

Rod NH, Lange T, Andersen I, Marott JL, Diderichsen F. Additive interaction in survival analysis: Use of the additive hazards model. *Epidemiology* 2012; **23**. DOI:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31825fa218.

Grummitt LR, Kreski NT, Gyuri S, Platt J, Keyes KM, McLaughlin KA. Association of Childhood Adversity With Morbidity and Mortality in US Adults: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Pediatr* 2021; **175**: 1269.

Ejlskov L, Antonsen S, Wulff JN, *et al.* Multilevel interactions between family and neighbourhood socioeconomic indices in childhood and later risks of self-harm and violent criminality in Denmark: a national cohort study. *Lancet Public Health* 2023; **8**: e99.

Zhang ZZ, Bayly BL. Patterns of early childhood adversity and neighborhood deprivation predict unique challenges in adolescence: A UK birth cohort study. *Dev Psychopathol* 2024. DOI:10.1017/S0954579424001561.

Davies M, Horsdal HT, Antonsen S, *et al.* The complexities of suicide: a multilevel survival analysis examining individual, familial and neighbourhood determinants of suicide risk using Danish register-based data. *Psychol Med* 2022; : 1–10.

Lloyd CD, Norman PD, McLennan D. Deprivation in England, 1971-2020. *Appl Spat Anal Policy* 2023; **16**: 461–84.

Diderichsen F, Hallqvist J, Whitehead M. Differential vulnerability and susceptibility: how to make use of recent development in our understanding of mediation and interaction to tackle health inequalities. *Int J Epidemiol* 2019; **48**: 268–74.

Piroddi R, Astbury A, Baker W, *et al.* Identifying households with children who have complex needs: a segmentation model for integrated care systems. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2025; **25**: 1–12.

Pasteuning JM, Gathier AW, Vinkers CH, Sep MSC. Resilience following childhood adversity: The need for a heuristic multilevel dynamic framework. *Neuroscience Applied* 2024; **3**: 104069.

Figure 2. Clustering of childhood adversity across multiple layers of adversity (Panel A) and the underlying patterns of small for gestational age (Panel B), mental health diagnoses (Panel C), high physical health service use (Panel D) and neighborhood deprivation (Panel E) according to trajectory groups of family adversity.

Figure 3. Single-layer adversity and mortality. Adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) and 95% CI for premature mortality in 1,225,858 young adults (16 to 42 years) according to each single layer of childhood adversity. Adjusted for sex, maternal age at birth, parental country of origin, and birth year.

Variable		N (%)		Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Perinatal adversity	No	1010197 (82.4)		Reference
	Yes	215661 (17.6)		1.35 (1.28, 1.42)
High users of physical health services	No	1036784 (84.6)		Reference
	Yes	189074 (15.4)		1.58 (1.48, 1.69)
Mental health diagnoses	No	1127056 (91.9%		Reference
	Yes	98802 (8.1)		3.10 (2.92, 3.30)
Family adversity	Low adversity	665991 (54.3)		Reference
	Early life material deprivation	246864 (20.1)		1.32 (1.24, 1.41)
	Persistent material deprivation	160705 (13.1)		1.56 (1.46, 1.67)
	Loss or threat of loss	112957 (9.2)		1.87 (1.73, 2.02)
	High adversity	39341 (3.2)		4.05 (3.73, 4.39)
Neighborhood adversity	No	960887 (78.4)		Reference
	Yes	264971 (21.6)		1.19 (1.13, 1.25)

Figure 4. Cross-layer interactions. Adjusted hazard differences (aHD) and 95% CI for premature mortality in 1,225,858 young adults (16 to 42 years) according to interactions between family adversity and (a) perinatal adversity, (b) high user of physical health services, (c) mental health diagnosis, and (d) neighborhood material deprivation. Adjusted for sex, maternal age at birth, parental country of origin, and birth year.

Figure 5. Cumulative adversity and mortality. Survival plots and 95% CI for premature mortality across the five childhood adversity groups and according to additional layers of either individual adversity only, neighborhood adversity only or both. The individual layer is defined as having one or more of the three indicators (perinatal adversity, high physical health service use or mental health diagnosis). Adjusted for sex, maternal age at birth, parental country of origin, and birth year.