Hygiene and attractiveness consequences of Speedos versus swimming shorts: results of a cross-over study among male academics

Wouter Graumans[1*] (0000-0003-3952-6491), Will J. R. Stone[2*] (0000-0002-6647-0166), Jordache Ramjith[1] (0000-0003-4431-7395), Chiara Andolina[3], Carla Proietti[4] (0000-0002-6290-0261), Sarah Merkling[5] (0000-0002-6823-4474), Ronald van Rij[1] (0000-0003-0221-4689), Merel J. Smit[1] (0000-0003-4629-2654), Heiman Wertheim[1] (0000-0002-5003-5565), Teun Bousema[1,2] (0000-0003-2666-094X)

1. Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; Radboudumc Community for Infectious Diseases (RCI), the Netherlands
2. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK
3. Institute for Tropical Medicine, University of Tübingen, Germany
4. Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
5. Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR2000, Insect Infection & Immunity group, Insect-Virus Interactions Unit, Paris, France

***** Share joint first authorship

Correspondance to: Wouter Graumans Department of Medical Microbiology. Radboud university medical center. PO Box 9101, 6500 HB, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. email: wouter.graumans@radboudumc.nl

Abstract

Whilst in most northern European countries swimming shorts are the norm, swimming briefs, or Speedos, are compulsory in France and parts of Italy. Hygiene is the main justification for this measure, but empirical data is lacking and consequences for psychological well-being remain unaddressed. This study aimed to empirically assess the hitherto theoretical risk to public pool hygiene posed by swimming shorts, as compared to form fitting swimming briefs (Speedos) that are required swimwear in several southern European countries.

The study design was a cross-over study and photo elicitation, involving international adult male academics and technical staff, of varying age (20-54) and BMI (17.8-25.7). Speedos or swimming shorts were worn for two hours during the normal working day to assess bodily contamination, or as swimwear in natural water bodies to assess environmental contamination. The primary outcome was the number of colony-forming units (CFU) of Gram-positive bacteria (GPB) and Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) on agar plated with 100 µL water collected from i) submersion of the swimming garments in clean tap-water (bodily contamination), or ii) residual water from natural sources (environmental contamination). The main secondary outcomes were self-perceived and externally assessed attractiveness of anonymized photos of study participants wearing both swimwear types.

GPB and GNB growth was significantly higher after incubation with tap water rinsed from submersed shorts compared to Speedos (rank-sum, GPB $p<0.0400$, GNB $p=0.0100$). Swimming in natural water resulted in considerably higher levels of GNB on Speedos (median 600 CFU/litre, interquartile-range (IQR): 600 to 31400) and shorts (median 33800, IQR: 5200 to 91000), but sample sizes were too low for formal analysis. Participants were significantly less attractive to external judges in Speedos compared to shorts ($p<0.0067$), but not when judged by themselves (Wilcoxon paired sign rank test: $p=0.3394$).

We conclude that both GPB and GNB contamination were more abundant in water exposed to swimming shorts, as compared to Speedos. Externally assessed attractiveness was lower in Speedos.

Introduction

Each year, residents of the European Union make over one billion recreational trips (1), with leisure, relaxation and cultural exploration commonly cited as motives for travel. However, some cultural practices encountered during travel can present unexpected sources of discomfort. Public swimming pools are notable for their regulatory divergence within Europe, particularly in relation to male swimwear. Whilst in most northern European countries swimming shorts are the norm, swimming briefs, also known as Speedos, are compulsory attire for access to public pools in France and parts of Italy. The justification for these regulations is typically framed around hygiene, the suggestion being that looser garments may introduce external contaminants into the pool and its environment (2). However, to the best of our knowledge, these claims have never been substantiated by empirical data. The lack of evidence supporting these regulations is noteworthy considering their potential impact on the self-esteem of travelers. We aimed to provide definitive evidence on the hygiene of differing male swimming outfits. We also investigated the attractiveness of male members of academia to a French and Italian panel of observers, directly comparing briefs and shorts.

Methods

An international group of male academics (n=21) provided informed consent to participate in a cross-over study to investigate the relative contamination risk posed by both swimming shorts and Speedos. Speedos were provided (Decathlon, size NL50/FR44) whilst shorts were self-owned. In the main study, participants were asked to wear Speedos or swimming shorts underneath normal clothes for exactly 2 hours, to test the propensity of different styles of swimming outfit to accumulate bacteria after prolonged use. Before beginning their experiments, participants were provided a computer-generated random order in which to test the garments and were instructed to conduct their experiments on back-to-back days, showering an unspecified but uniform time before donning their swimwear; defecation during this time-period was not allowed. Prior to each round of sampling, we asked our participants to wash both their shorts and Speedos according to manufacturer instructions with a standard detergent. Academic status (technical staff, PhD student, early career researcher, senior researcher [assistant, associate or full professor]) was recorded as a potential co-variate in our analysis since hygiene standards may differ. After the test garments had been washed, and post-wash bacterial contamination confirmed to be negligible (**Table S1**), participants wore their swimwear for exactly 2 hours. After wearing the outfits, participants were asked to

carefully wash their hands (>20 seconds with soap), immerse their outfits in 1000mL of tap water, and squeeze them dry. This was repeated four times. Acknowledging a potential role for variation in fabric quantity (up to 5700 cm^2 for shorts, 4-fold the size of the Speedo at 1440 cm^2), the water volume extracted was recorded, and 50mL removed and stored at 4°C for a maximum of 24 hours. Water samples (100µL) were plated on MacConkey agar (Thermo Fisher Scientific, without salt, PO0148A) using a Drigalski spatula to determine the number of colony-forming units (CFU) after 24 hours incubation at 35°C. For samples whom high levels of contaminants were suspected, serial dilutions were made prior to plating. Colonies were counted, categorized Gram-positive bacteria (GPB) or Gram-negative bacteria (GNB), and presented as total CFU per liter.

In our second set of experiments, we aimed to address the concerns of Speedo-adherent public pool-owners that swimming shorts are more likely to be worn in public spaces, harbor contaminants from less controlled swimming environments and thus carry a higher risk of contamination from external environmental sources. To assess the impact of swimwear choice on bacterial accumulation after swimming in non-chlorinated water, we asked a sub-set of participants to swim in open water in both briefs and shorts. Participants were allowed to define the location and duration of swimming *ad libitum* as long as it was identical for both outfits and the random allocation of outfit order was observed. All other methodological rules associated with the swim and sample collection were regulated rigidly.

Lastly, we examined alternative motives for Speedo use in public pools. We hypothesized that southern Europeans have a preference for the appearance of male torsos in Speedos and/or an aversion to the same torsos in swimming shorts. To test this hypothesis, we asked participants from our main pool of participants to take pictures of their torso (i.e. their trunk – groin, midriff, and chest; photographically decapitated and thereby anonymized) in both Speedos and shorts. Pictures were turned to a grayscale to avoid the unnecessary distraction of color and pattern, and to enhance focus on form. French and Italian academics of all genders were asked to judge the attractiveness of the photographed outfits. This was done using two approaches. First, pairs of pictures were shown to the panel of observers, who were asked to select the most attractive outfit for each participant. In addition, participants and observers were asked to rank attractiveness on a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the most attractive. For both approaches, sentinel pictures were included in the form of similarly anonymized pictures of notable individuals in a variety of swimming outfits. These pictures were included to confirm that panel members submitted honest scores. We also asked participants to rank their own physical attractiveness (based on (4)). The panel of observants consisted of French

academics and Italian academics. Since academics are a highly mobile populations we cannot rule out that swimwear preferences may develop during residency among swimming shorts-favoring populations, current country of residency and time since leaving areas that favour Speedos were included as interaction terms in our analyses.

Statistical methods

Analyses were conducted in R, version 3.1.12 (Team RC, 2019). The sample size for the cross-over study was defined based on paired comparisons. With 20 paired observations, we estimated we would have 91% power to detect a statistically significant difference at an alpha of 0.05 if ≥80% of paired observations showed higher CFU for swimming shorts; statistical power increased to and 99.7% if ≥90% of paired observations showed higher CFU for shorts. The number of CFU was compared between outfits with Wilcoxon paired sing-rank test. Spearman rho correlation tests were used to access correlation between self- and panel assessed attractiveness. We then ran a mixed effects linear model with all the variables (mentioned above) as fixed factors and random intercepts (using glmmLasso package in R) for individuals to account for intra-individual correlation.

Results

A total of 21 participants took part in the cross-over study on self-derived bacteria accumulation in different swimwear types. While new Speedos were provided by the study coordinators, swimming shorts were participant-owned and varied significantly, the largest being 5700cm^2 and the oldest being 12 years old. Sampling was done in the first half of July 2025, a period of unusually high temperatures in the Netherlands (min. 19.5 - max. 35.5°C), marking the first official heatwave of the year. The median body mass index (BMI, (5)) of participants was 22.6 (IQR: 20.8 to 24.4); 14% of participants were in the age range from 20-30 years, 29% between 30-40, and 57% 40 years or older; 33% was employed as technical staff, 10% PhD student, 19% early career researcher, and 38% had a senior academic status (assistant, associate or full professor). Though activities concurrent with swimwear wearing varied widely, we assumed our cross-over design accounted for these factors, and none were judged to merit exclusion from the study.

During sample preparation, it was noted that considerably more water was retained in shorts (median 150mL, IQR; 100 to 210) than in Speedos (median 65mL, IQR; 26 to 75) ($p = <0.0001$). After plating water samples on agar plates and incubating at 35°C for 24 hours, a large variation in bacterial colony formation from GPB was observed (range 700 - 181700 CFU). Expressing GPB growth as CFU per liter of water, we consistently observed higher growth after incubation with water from swimming shorts compared to Speedos in our paired analysis (rank-sum, $p<0.0400$) (**Figure 1A**). Although GNB growth was markedly lower for both outfits (**Figure 1B**, $p<0.0001$), higher counts were again consistent for swimming shorts (median 0 CFU/L versus 100 CFU/L; $p= 0.01$). There was no evidence that median CFU was associated with career/academic status.

To assess the impact of variable water quality on the accumulation of environmental bacteria on swimming outfits, water from paired samples of shorts and Speedos were collected after swimming experiments in local waterbodies by a sub-set of participants (n=5). In at least two of these experiments, local authorities had issued water-quality warnings to recreational swimmers, likely the result of a drought in Europe which led to widespread decreases in water levels and heightened pollutants. Swimming in natural water resulted in considerably higher levels of GNB on Speedos (median 600 CFU/L, IQR; 600 to 31400) and shorts (median 33800, IQR; 5200 to 91000) compared to those observed after wearing outfits underneath clothes (Speedos: median 0, IQR; 0 to 100; Shorts: median 100; IQR 0 to 850).

Anonymized pictures of 11 participants were shared with 11 French academics (7 female, 3 male, 1 non-binary) and 24 Italian academics (20 female, 4 male, 0 non-binary). There was overwhelming evidence that our participants were less attractive in Speedos compared to shorts by both the French (p=0.0049) and the Italian panel members (p=0.0067) (**Figure 1C**). The general inferiority of Speedos in terms of physical attractiveness was only partially corroborated by a self-assessment of attractiveness. Whilst the Southern-European panel had a clear preference, there was a tendency for participants scoring their own attractiveness slightly higher in shorts but no significant difference compared with Speedos was observed (Wilcoxon paired sign rank test: p=0.3394). Participants also strikingly overrated themselves, both in shorts and speedos (French vs self-rated Speedos p=0.02338; French vs self-rated shorts p=0.0234; Italians vs self-rated Speedos p=0.02938; Italians vs self-rated shorts p=0.0232).

Discussion

We aimed to provide definitive evidence on the bacterial contamination risks to public swimming facilities posed by different male swimwear options. We also investigated whether there was aesthetic preference for specific swimwear among individuals residing in locales where Speedo mandates are enforced.

We report strong evidence that both GPB and GNB are more abundant in water exposed to swimming shorts, as compared to swimming briefs (or Speedos). The Netherlands Pool Directive considers a safe threshold for bacterial contamination of up to 1 CFU/100mL of *enterococci* (GPB) and/or *pseudomonas* (GNB). To contextualize this, consider a standard sized recreational pool of 12m by 6m (1.5 m depth), containing approximately 105 thousand liters of water. Based on the average GNB bacterial load observed in our study, it would take approximately 1044 pairs of Speedos or 19 swimming shorts to raise contamination levels over safe thresholds. However, a mere 47 speedos or 1 pair of shorts from our most contaminant prone participant would suffice to convert the pool into a potential biohazard. Strict regulation and diligent chlorination are of course the best form of protection. However, though chlorination is still the mainstay of prevention, it is not a cure-all and is reliant on correct pool maintenance. We confirmed that the bacterial load released from swimwear is potentially controlled by chemicals added to the pool water but also observed that this did not annul contamination (**Table S1**).

There are several possible reasons why Speedos may associate with lower bacterial loads. It is possible that contaminant release from the gastrointestinal tract is lower in Speedos due to their elasticity exerting external pressure on the gluteal muscles, thereby reducing contact

between the rectum and the fabric. Another hypothesis associated with the loose-fitting nature of swimming shorts, is that when swimming, bacterial accumulation might be impacted by fluid dynamics. Unfortunately, the impact of pool hydrodynamic drag on fecal bacterial shedding is currently unexplored and to the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined fluid dynamics inside different types of swimwear. Whilst such studies would cast an important light on the risks posed by loose swimwear to public pools and their occupants, they are unlikely to have affected our main findings where swimwear was worn under dry conditions. Instead, we consider it plausible that bacterial survival differs between fabrics. Briefs prioritise speed and performance, utilising material blends including nylon, elastane and spandex for reduced drag. Swimming shorts, on the other hand, are designed for comfort and often use materials like polyester, nylon, or blends of these with natural fibers. In the current trial, our Speedos were made from a fabric blend of 18% elastane with 82% polyester while the shorts (pre-owned by our study participants) were a mix of synthetic materials (e.g. polyamide, polyester) and natural material (cotton, linen). Fabric surface properties like hydrophobicity and hygroscopicity influence bacterial colonization and could be modified to reduce biofilm formation (9) and may explain (part of) our findings of higher bacterial loads in water exposed to swimming shorts.

One of our study limitations was that our experiments may not fully reflect real world use, which may be heavily affected by more frequent and unconventional use of swimming shorts as outerwear. This is arguably the most intuitive reason to oppose swimming shorts in public pools. Our data collection in unchlorinated water provide supportive evidence that bacterial contamination increases markedly under these conditions. Another limitation is that, for our attractiveness study, we relied on an external panel that consisted exclusively of academics. We cannot rule out that this selection, a profession tending toward excessive criticism, may have resulted in lower attractiveness scores than would have been obtained with a more general audience.

In summary, we present evidence that the requirement for use of swimming briefs, as opposed to swimming shorts, is justified by the oft cited but hitherto unevidenced claim that shorts pose a greater contamination risk to public pools. Future studies may explore design innovations (i.e. anti-bacterial fabrics) that find a balance between contamination and comfort.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Matthew McCall, Myriam Hanskamp-Vermeeren, Mariëlle Wendling and Hester Vogelaar for providing microbiological expertise and support. The authors are immensely grateful to the participants who contributed to this work. Those that have expressed a specific wish to be acknowledged as participants here follow: Nick Proellochs, Matthew McCall, Taco Kooij, Jakko van Ingen, Mike Mientjes, Simon Koele, Gijs Overheul, Twan Klaassen, Felix Hol, Pascal Miesen, Óscar Lezcano, Paul Rutten, Tom Otter, Jeroen Bok, and Joep van Erp. Special thanks to Swimschool M. Laport for providing access to public pools in Ede and Renkum, The Netherlands.

Funding

There was no conflict of interest and financial support for this study that was - in line with the study objective - considered a leisure project and conducted outside office hours. Materials, including speedos, were paid by authors.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used in this study are available upon reasonable request.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Conceptualization by Teun Bousema; data collection by Wouter Graumans, Chiara Andolina Carla Proietti and Ronald van Rij; statistical analysis was performed by Teun Bousema, Jordache Ramjith and Will Stone; all authors wrote – reviewed & edited the initial draft; all listed authors meet authorship criteria, endorsed the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol, consent form and questionnaire were submitted to the Medical Ethics Review Committee (METC) Oost-Nederland (dossier 2024-17621). According to the Medical Ethics Review Committee (METC) Oost-Nederland, this study (dossier 2024-17621) does not fall under the scope of the Dutch Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (WMO) and therefore did not require formal ethical approval. All participants provided informed consent.

References

1. European Commission E. Key figures on Europe – 2023 edition: Publications Office of the European Union; 2023.
2. Mirror I. Irish holidaymakers told to bring speedos as swimming trunks banned in holiday hotspot 2023 [Available from: https://www.irishmirror.ie/news/irish-news/irish-holidaymakers-speedos-france-swimming-30222329.
3. Merino M, Tornero-Aguilera JF, Rubio-Zarapuz A, Villanueva-Tobaldo CV, Martin-Rodriguez A, Clemente-Suarez VJ. Body Perceptions and Psychological Well-Being: A Review of the Impact of Social Media and Physical Measurements on Self-Esteem and Mental Health with a Focus on Body Image Satisfaction and Its Relationship with Cultural and Gender Factors. Healthcare (Basel). 2024;12(14).
4. Bailey RC, Price JP. Perceived Physical Attractiveness in Married Partners of Long and Short Duration. The Journal of Psychology. 1978;99(2):155-61.
5. CDC. Adult BMI Calculator 2025 [Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/bmi/adult-calculator/index.html.
6. Manghi P, Bhosle A, Wang K, Marconi R, Selma-Royo M, Ricci L, et al. Coffee consumption is associated with intestinal Lawsonibacter asaccharolyticus abundance and prevalence across multiple cohorts. Nature microbiology. 2024;9(12):3120-34.
7. Palsson OS, Drossman DA, Jan T, Le Neve B, Quinquis L, Hassouna R, et al. Gas-Related Symptoms in the General Population: Prevalence, Impact and Associated Factors in a Survey of the United States, the United Kingdom, and Mexico. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2025:e70076.
8. Cross KP. Not can, but will college teaching be improved? New Directions for Higher Education. 1977;1977(17):1-15.
9. Liu Y, Fang N, Jin Y, Zhou M, Xu B, Wang P. Fabrication of hydrophobic and antibacterial coatings on cotton fabric surface. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 2025;721:137231.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Bacterial load and attractiveness of different types of swimming outfits. Violin plots present findings from samples of 21 participants who wore a standardized Speedo (purple) or self-owned swimming shorts (green) for two hours. The Y-axis presents the number of Colony Forming Units (CFU), expressed per liter of sample to account for differences in retained water volume ($p =<0.0001$) between shorts (median 150mL, IQR; 100 to 210) and Speedos (median 65mL, IQR; 26 to 75), for Gram Positive Bacteria (GPB; panel A) or Gram Negative Bacteria (GNB, Panel B). P-values are for Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Median CFU for GPB were 10150 CFU/L (interquartile range; IQR 5200 - 17475) for Speedos and 22350 CFU/L (IQR 5400 - 31650) for shorts; (Panel A; $p<0.0400$). Median CFU for GNB were 0 CFU/L (IQR 0 - 100) for Speedos and 100 CFU (IQR 0 - 852) for shorts; (Panel B; $p= 0.01$). The correlation plot presents attractiveness on a scale of 1-10 in Speedos (X-axis) and swimming shorts (Y-axis), as scored by study participants themselves (in green; n=11), or French (blue, n=11) and Italian (red, n=24) panelists. The dotted line reflects perfect agreement between scores; actual scores are overwhelmingly higher for shorts (panel C).

Supplementary material

Table S1: CFU/L Gram-positive and -negative bacteria after sampling of washed Speedos and trunks according to manufacturer instructions with a standard detergent.

Sample ID (Speedo/trunk)	Gram-positive bacteria (CFU/L)	Gram-negative bacteria (CFU/L)
Control 1 (Speedo)	1200	0
Control 2 (Speedo)	1600	0
Control 1 (trunk)	1100	0
Control 2 (trunk)	1700	0
Control 3 (trunk)	0	0
Control 4 (trunk)	1500	0

Table S2: Effect of chlorinated pool water on a highly contaminated pair of garments, for an undiluted (**A**) and a diluted sample (**B**).

A, undiluted: 1651000 CFU/L			B, diluted: 398000 CFU/L			Pool water control	
Dilution	H_2O*	Pool*	Dilution	H_2O*	Pool*	Undiluted	Pool*
5x	531000	232000	5x	35000	13000	100µL	0
10x	183000	98000	10x	14000	1000	100µL	0
20x	71000	1000	20x	8000	0		
50x	37000	0	50x	3000	0		
100x	28000	0	100x	2000	0		
1000x	14000	0	1000x	0	0		

* Gram-negative bacteria in CFU/L per 100µL plated water, for samples diluted in tap water (H_2O) or Chlorinated pool water (Pool).


a
Gram−positive CFU (log10)
100,000
10,000
1,000
100
10
1
p= 0.0400
Speedos
Shorts
b
Gram−negative CFU (log10)
100,000
10,000
1,000
100
10
1
p= 0.0100
Speedos
Shorts
c
French panel
Italian panel
Self−rated
Attractiveness shorts
Attractiveness speedos
0
2
4
6
8
10