INCREASED PREVALENCE OF AUTISM IN THE CHILDREN OF FIBROMYALGIA PATIENTS RELATIVE TO OTHER TYPES OF CHRONIC PAIN.

Hirst A[1]., Mountford, R[1]., Berwick, R[1]., Christiansen, P[1]., Sandin, S[2,3]., Yin, W[2]., Andersson, D, A[4]., Happé, F[4]., Fallon, N*[1]., Goebel, A*[1].

*Joint senior authors.

Abstract

Fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) is a chronic primary pain condition characterised by widespread pain and hypersensitivities, and symptoms of fatigue, poor sleep and impaired cognition. The current studies were prompted by clinical observations made at a tertiary pain centre, where patients with severe FMS frequently reported having biological children on the autism spectrum. Using multimodal data collection techniques, including a clinical service evaluation (N = 589), an exploratory phenotyping study (N= 59), an online patient survey (N= 710), and a clinical prospective investigation (N = 66), the present study aimed to investigate links that may point to a connection between maternal FMS and autistic offspring. For the clinical and online data collection, the studies used comparator patients with complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) and a mix of "other chronic pain conditions". Maternal factors of interest, including a familial history of neurodivergence and psychological variables, were considered in the analysis. Maternal FMS was consistently found to be associated with an increased likelihood of children with an autism diagnosis, even after accounting for established maternal factors associated with autism. Meeting and advocating for the support needs of autistic children may require enhanced care, which can lead to greater demands on patients already suffering from FMS or CRPS. The causes for the

observed association between maternal FMS and autistic children require further investigation.

Author affiliations:

1 University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

2 Karolinska Institutet, Sweden.

3 Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA.

4 Kings College London, London, UK.

Introduction

Fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) is a chronic primary pain condition characterised by symptoms of widespread musculoskeletal pain, fatigue, stiffness, and "brain fog" [11; 35]. It is frequently associated with other somatic (e.g., irritable bowel syndrome) and psychological (e.g., anxiety, depression, PTSD) comorbidities [20]. The estimated global prevalence of FMS is commonly reported at 2-3% [36], with UK estimates reaching up to 5.4% [17]. Sixty-90% of cases are women [12; 46]. The pathogenesis of FMS is currently unknown, however, accumulating evidence has suggested that immune system dysfunction could underlie characteristic symptoms [19; 24; 32]. Several features of FMS are suggestive of autoimmunity, including the female predominance and mid-age onset [12], the notable prevalence of autoimmune disease comorbidity [2; 18], gene expression profiles which are typical of autoimmune disease [14], the relationship between the onset of FMS symptoms and trauma and infection [47], and the presence of autoreactive antibodies [5; 19].

Autism spectrum disorder (hereafter referred to as autism) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterised by social interaction and communication difficulties along with a range of restricted and/or repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests, or activities [3]. Moreover, autism presents with atypical sensorimotor function and often co-occurs with chronic pain [7; 45]. The estimated prevalence of autism in the general UK population is between 1% and 3% [8; 33], however, it is known to be underdiagnosed in older adults and, historically, in women. Although the exact aetiology of autism is not yet known, a complex interplay involving genetic and environmental factors is proposed [27]. Immune system dysregulation has been suggested as a non-genetic contributing factor and has been described in autistic people and their family members [15; 40]. Previous research has also implicated the prenatal immune environment as a factor in autism development [25; 37; 38], and several

studies have demonstrated an increased likelihood of autistic children of mothers, but not fathers, with autoimmune diseases [10; 13; 48].

In clinical observations made by a consultant in pain medicine at a tertiary pain centre (AG), it was noted that patients with severe FMS frequently reported having biological children on the autism spectrum. Caring and advocating for an autistic child can place additional demands on the parent [34], which may be particularly challenging for people suffering from severe chronic pain. It was thought, therefore, that understanding any such association between FMS and autistic offspring would be relevant for practitioners in this field, enabling them to gather information pertinent to gauge support requirements.

Methods

The study used a design in which data from multiple, independent sources were compiled to investigate a potential link between maternal FMS and children with an autism diagnosis. This comprised data from a clinical pain centre service evaluation (Study A1), a previously established research study (Study A2), and two newly conducted online surveys – one using a wider geographical region in the UK (Study B) and one using a subset of patients included in the clinical service evaluation (Study C).

A1) Clinical Service Evaluation

Participants

Following initial observations about autistic children of patients with FMS at a tertiary pain centre, we systematically documented the frequency of autism diagnoses in the offspring of patients with FMS and other chronic pain conditions at the same institution (the Walton Centre, a UK neuro-care centre).

Chronic pain patients were included in a service evaluation if they had attended their appointment as a new patient at the tertiary care outpatient pain clinic with a consultant in pain medicine (AG) between 26th October 2020 and 10th May 2023. All patients for whom a clinic letter was dictated during this period were included (N=589). As part of their routine consultation, the consultant had asked new patients clinical questions, which for the majority included a question about their children's health. The consultant did not specifically enquire about neurodivergent diagnoses in children in any of the available clinic letters, and no questionnaires were used. The service evaluation was approved by the Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust (NS451).

Diagnostic categorisation

The recruiting centre provides health care in matters of pain from the north-west region of England and is also a supra-regional referral centre for persistent Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) and other uncommon, complex chronic pain conditions. Patients were categorised by their chronic pain condition: FMS, persistent CRPS, and other chronic pain conditions. In the "other chronic pain condition" group, a majority suffered from chronic widespread pain or back and leg pain conditions. A smaller group suffered from neuropathic pain conditions or other chronic pain (for details, see Supplementary Table 1; Appendix A).

Patients who reported their children as having an autism diagnosis were classified as cases in the analysis. Patients who reported their child as having *"suspected*" or "*probable"* autism were not classified as a case of offspring autism in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Penalised likelihood logistic regression analysis was conducted to test the association between FMS and CRPS, compared to other chronic pain conditions, and the likelihood of

offspring with autism diagnoses. Firth's penalised logistic regression is a method for modelling binary outcomes, used to mitigate issues that traditional logistic regression models may have when facing small sample sizes, rare events, and separation [43]. The categorical variable "chronic pain condition" had 3 levels (FMS, CRPS, and other chronic pain). Other chronic pain was chosen as the baseline category to observe any change in log-odds for FMS and CRPS, which are particularly severe chronic primary pain conditions, previously implicated as having an autoimmune mechanism [19; 23]. At present, no such evidence has emerged for the other chronic pain group. Only mothers with confirmed biological children were included in the analysis. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated, corresponding to a two-sided test on the 5% level of significance. RStudio software (Version 4.3.1; R Core Team, 2023) was used for all statistical analyses, and logistic regression models were fit using the logistf package [22].

A2) Exploratory phenotyping study

To explore phenotypic differences between FMS mothers with and without autistic children, we utilised an established research study involving detailed phenotyping of 59 patients with moderate or severe FMS who had been identified from the pain management program registry hosted by the same hospital as the service evaluation (Study A1). Study methods and phenotyping results from a subgroup have been published [30]. With few exceptions, these patients were recruited by a senior trainee in pain medicine (RB), and none of these patients' data had been part of the service evaluation. Recruitment details are summarised in the supplementary Appendix A. The trainee asked participants about the health of their offspring as part of the assessment procedure, and, like the consultant in the service evaluation, did not specifically ask about neurodivergence. If patients volunteered the

presence of a neurodivergent condition in offspring, this was documented. Details of the source of the autism diagnosis were not requested. Patients also completed an array of questionnaires and underwent mechanical quantitative sensory testing (Supplementary Materials; Appendix A).

Statistical analysis

This sample was split into 3 groups: FMS mothers with autistic children, FMS mothers with non-autistic children, and FMS females without children. To explore differences in pain phenotype between these groups, Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted, and where a statistically significant difference was found (two-sided 5% level), uncorrected post-hoc comparisons were performed. As this was an exploratory investigation, no correction for testing multiple parameters was applied.

RStudio software (Version 4.3.1; R Core Team, 2023) was used for all statistical analyses.

B) Online Survey

We conducted an online survey amongst participants of UK pain online support groups aiming to i) record the frequency of autistic children of patients with FMS and other chronic pain conditions in a wider geographical region in the UK, and ii) explore which maternal factors are associated with having an autistic child. We hypothesised that a) there would be an increased rate of autistic children of mothers with FMS compared to mothers with other chronic pain conditions; and b) this relationship would remain even after accounting for maternal or familial history of neurodivergent conditions. The survey was hosted by Qualtrics Survey Software (Qualtrics, 2024) and was advertised first between May 2023 and June 2023, and then between November 2024 and February 2025, through online chronic pain

support groups and social media platforms targeting individuals suffering from FMS and general chronic pain. This included *The Pain Relief Foundation* (https://painrelieffoundation.org.uk) and *Pain UK* (https://painuk.org). The advertisement briefly outlined that the study was investigating *neurodivergent* conditions in people with chronic pain and their families, without specifically mentioning autism.

Participants

The inclusion criteria were adults with a chronic pain diagnosis who were also parents of children of any age, based in the UK, and fluent in English. Individuals who were pregnant at the time were excluded from participation. Respondents were asked to confirm a chronic pain diagnosis from a specified clinician, although no proof of diagnosis was required. All participants were requested to provide consent and confirm eligibility before starting the online study. Upon completion, participants had the choice to anonymously enter a prize draw to win a £50 Amazon voucher (one for every 50 respondents who entered). The study obtained ethical approval from the University of Liverpool Research Ethics Committee (25th April 2023; Ref: 12195).

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was created by the researchers (AH, NF, AG). It recorded mothers' current pain and maternal/family factors that may be associated with child neurodiversity. Respondents were asked *"Do you have a child with a neurodivergent condition*?", and if yes, the participant summarised the diagnoses of their neurodiverse child/children in a free text box and provide the number of children affected. For each neurodiverse child, they were asked additional questions regarding each child's age, whether the child's other parent had a chronic pain condition at conception/during pregnancy, the mother's pain before and during

pregnancy, and the presence and nature of birth complications. For respondents with more than one neurodiverse child, a summary of the children's neurodiversity conditions was available through the free text box, however, no data was obtained allowing assignment of these diagnoses to each respective sibling (Appendix B).

Data diagnostics

The study was advertised online, therefore, a screening process was undertaken to remove fake responders from the analysis. The exclusion criteria removed: duplicate responses, bots identified by a function of the survey software, nonsensical timelines, non-English responses, and reporting diagnoses which were not related or relevant to the concept (e.g., reporting "headaches" as a neurodivergent condition, and simply "sore" as a chronic pain condition).

Respondents who reported having a child who had *suspected* autism, or was *on a waiting list* for diagnosis, were recorded but not classified as a case of autism. These cases were treated as a control in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Penalised likelihood hierarchical logistic regression analyses were conducted to quantify the association between maternal chronic pain (FMS/Other chronic pain) and autistic children, whilst accounting for the mother's neurodivergence and the incidence of neurodivergent conditions in the mother's first-degree family members. A hierarchical regression model was chosen to determine whether the variables of interest improved the model's ability to predict the binary outcome, after accounting for all other predictor variables. Maternal neurodivergence (diagnosis/no diagnosis) and family neurodivergence (yes/no) were entered into the first model, as inherited and genetic factors are known to play

a major role in the aetiology of autism [21]. A second model was created by adding the variable maternal chronic pain condition (FMS/Other chronic pain) to the first model. The association between the individual predictors and the outcome of having a child with an autism diagnosis was determined using ORs and the associated 95% CIs. The model fit was assessed by conducting a model likelihood ratio (LR) chi-square test, and Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) values are also reported.

RStudio software (Version 4.3.1; R Core Team, 2023) was used for all statistical analyses, and logistic regression models were fit using the logistf package [22].

C) Clinical Prospective Investigation

A second online study invited all patients whose clinic letters had been included in the service evaluation (Study A1) to complete an online questionnaire. Our objectives for this study were to i) expand upon initial data from Study A1 by collecting more comprehensive information on respondents with autistic children, including any maternal contributing factors, and ii) gain further information regarding children's autistic traits to supplement the parents' binary report (diagnosis/no diagnosis).

Participants

All chronic pain patients included in the clinical service evaluation (N= 589, Study A1), except those who were pregnant at the time of approach, were invited to participate in an online questionnaire. Patients had the choice to enter a prize draw to win a £75 Amazon voucher upon completing the questionnaire. The study received ethical approval from the NHS Health Research Authority Research Ethics Committee Wales REC 2 (Ref: 24/WA/0056).

Materials

An online questionnaire was created jointly with an autism specialist (FH) while incorporating Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) in its development. Chronic pain patients involved in an existing FMS PPI group at our research institution advised on the content of the survey, its clarity, and sensitivity. Several validated scales were included in the questionnaire. Internal reliability was assessed using McDonald's ω, which was calculated from the current study sample. Data were collected using Qualtrics Survey Software (Qualtrics, 2024). See the supplementary materials for the full questionnaire (Appendix C).

1. The General Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7; [42]) is a 7-item *self-report* scale which was developed to measure general anxiety disorder. This scale was included based on the consideration that higher maternal anxiety may relate to an increased desire to have clarity about their child's diagnosis, potentially increasing the probability of obtaining an autism diagnosis. Responses to all items are scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from *0 (not at all)* to *3 (nearly every day)* in reference to the previous 2 weeks. Total scores range from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating higher severity of general anxiety. A score of ≥10 is considered the cut-off for moderate anxiety. The overall scale had excellent internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.97$).
2. The Autism Spectrum Quotient Adult (AQ-10 Adult; [1]) is a 10-item *self-report* scale developed as a brief screening tool to capture autistic traits in adults. Total scores range from 0 to 10. A cut-off value of ≥6 indicates a possible case of autism. The overall scale had good internal reliability in the present sample (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.80$).
3. The Ritvo Autism Asperger Diagnostic Scale (RAADS-14; [16]) is a 14-item *self-report* scale which is designed to assess traits associated with autism in adults. The scale consists of 3 subscales including mentalising deficits, social anxiety, and

sensory reactivity. All items, except item 6, which is reversed, are scored *3 (true now and when I was young), 2 (true only now), 1 (true only when I was young),* and *0 (never true).* Total scores range from 0 to 42 with a cut-off value of ≥14 being indicative of possible autism. The overall scale had acceptable internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_h = 0.76$).

4. Parent-Report Autism Spectrum Quotient (Parent-report AQ-10; [1]) is a 10-item scale developed for parents to complete *referring to their child*. Total scores range from 0 to 10, and a cut-off value of ≥6 is indicative of possible autism. The overall scale had good internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.87$).
5. The Social Communication Questionnaire – Lifetime (SCQ; [41]) is a 40-item, parent-report measure for screening symptomology associated with autism. All items are answered dichotomously *(yes/no)*. Item 1 records whether the referred child has the ability to speak in short phrases or sentences, and items 2 – 40 are scored and summed. Total scores range from 0 to 39 with a cut-off value of ≥15 suggesting autism. The overall scale had excellent internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.91$).

Procedure

After providing consent and passing eligibility checks, patients were asked about their chronic pain history and general health, and to complete 3 standardised questionnaires referring to themselves (GAD-7, AQ-10 Adult, RAADS-14). After this, patients were asked to complete questions referring to the health of all their children, in birth order. This included the parent-report AQ10 *for each of their children*. The SCQ was then only completed for children who respondents either reported as having an autism diagnosis, or about whom they had concerns regarding their social communication, behaviour, and/or development. See

supplementary materials for details about children's AQ10 and SCQ scores (Supplementary Table 14 and 15; Appendix C).

Patients with autistic children were asked further questions regarding any complications during pregnancy, delivery or after birth, co-occurring child health conditions, child intellectual disability, and the level of any child support needs assigned by a doctor in reference.

Statistical analysis

Hierarchical penalised likelihood logistic regression analyses were conducted to test the association of maternal chronic pain condition (FMS/ Other chronic pain) whilst accounting for family history variables (autistic mothers and the incidence of neurodivergent conditions in first-degree family members) and psychological variables (GAD-7 scores). Maternal autism diagnosis status (diagnosis/ no diagnosis) and family neurodivergence (yes/no) were entered into the first model, GAD-7 scores were added into the second model, and maternal chronic pain condition was entered into the third model. The association between these individual parameters and the outcome of having a child with an autism diagnosis was determined using ORs with 95% CIs. The model fit was determined by conducting a model LR chi-square test and AIC values were reported. RStudio software (Version 4.3.1; R Core Team, 2023) was used for all statistical analyses. Logistic regression models were fit using the logistf package [22], and internal reliability was assessed using the psych package [39].

Results

A1) Clinical Service Evaluation

The cohort included 589 total patients, 398 (67.57%) females and 191 (32.43%) males. The consultant had documented an enquiry about the health of the respective patient's

offspring in 89% of cases. Among the patients with confirmed biological children, 31 (8.68%) patients had at least one child with an autism diagnosis. Thirteen (16.25%) mothers with FMS, 4 mothers with CRPS (14.81%) and 6 (4.05%) mothers with other chronic pain conditions reported having at least one autistic child. Table 1 displays the full sample by chronic pain condition.

Information about the onset of mothers' pain in relation to their children's ages/ birth was not consistently documented; of the available data, 3 mothers with FMS (30.00%) and 2 mothers with CRPS (100.00%) reported that the onset of their FMS or CRPS symptoms, respectively, occurred before childbirth. Two mothers with other chronic pain (33.3%) reported that they had pain before childbirth (Table 2). Table 2 describes further available information pertaining to children with an autism diagnosis.

Although not classified as a case of autism in the analysis, 7 patients reported that they had one child who they *suspected* was autistic, but no diagnosis (2 FMS; 1 CRPS; 4 other chronic pain).

Table 1.

The total sample of chronic pain patients by condition and the frequency of reporting children with an autism diagnosis.

Chronic pain condition	Total N of patients (females, %)	Patients with confirmed biological children (females, %)	Patients with at least one autistic child N[%][a]	Female patients with at least one autistic child N[%][b]	Male patients with at least one autistic child N [%][c]
FMS	119 (107 89.92% [d])	86 (80, 93.02% [d])	13 [15.12%]	13 [16.25%]	0 [0.00%]
CRPS	67 (42, 62.69%)	38 (26, 68.42%)	7 [18.42%]	4 [14.81%]	3 [25.00%]
Other chronic pain conditions	403 (249, 61.79%)	239 (148, 61.92%)	11 [4.60%]	6 [4.05%]	5 [5.56%]

[a] Patients with at least one autistic child as a percentage of those with confirmed biological children within the chronic pain condition category.

[b] Female patient with at least one autistic child as a percentage of females with confirmed biological children within the chronic pain condition category.

[c] Male patient with at least one autistic child as a percentage of males with confirmed biological children within the chronic pain condition category.

[d] 3 of these female patients had both FMS and CRPS (not listed in the CRPS group), 1 of whom had an autistic child.

Table 2.

Characteristics of autistic children, by parental chronic pain condition in the clinical service evaluation.

	FMS	CRPS	Other chronic pain
Characteristics of autistic children	N [%] or mean (SD)	N [%] or mean (SD)	N [%] or mean (SD)
N (of parents with that chronic pain condition)	13	7	11
Parental age at birth	31.38 (5.97)	21.50 (3.54)	26.00 (3.37)
(N available data)	*8*	*2*	*5*
Onset of mothers' chronic pain condition before childbirth	3 [30.00%]	2 [100%]	2 [18.18%]
(N available data)	*10*	*2*	*2*
More than 1 biological child with autism	1 [7.69%]	3 [42.86%]	1 [9.09%]
(N available data)	*13*	*7*	*11*
Sex of children			
Male:	10 [83.33%]	0 [0.00%]	8 [88.89%]
Female:	2 [16.67%]	3 [75.00%]	1 [11.11%]
Male and Female:	0 [0.00%]	1 [25.00%]	0 [0.00%]
(N available data)	*12*	*4*	*9*
*Birth order of autistic child:**			
1st born:	5 [38.46%]	4 [57.14%]	4 [36.36%]
2nd born:	3 [23.08%]	3 [42.86%]	1 [9.09%]
3rd born:	0 [0.00%]	2 [28.57%]	3 [27.27%]
4th born:	0 [0.00%]	1 [14.29%]	0 [0.00%]
Unknown:	5 [38.46%]	1 [14.29%]	3 [27.27%]

Note. The table is derived from data available in the clinic letters, which were incomplete in this respect. Percentages are derived from the available data for each variable.

*Some values are duplicates for patients who have more than one biological child with an autism diagnosis.

Figure 1a shows the results of the penalised logistic regression model examining the association between maternal chronic pain condition and the likelihood of children with autism diagnoses. The overall model was statistically significant $\chi^2(2) = 11.00$, p=.004, McFadden R^2 =0.01. The likelihood of autistic offspring was estimated at OR: 4.38 (95% CI: 1.70 –12.45) for maternal FMS and at OR: 4.38 (1.14 - 15.70) for maternal CRPS, compared to other chronic pain.

Figure 1.

(a) Penalised logistic regression analysis showing the association of maternal FMS and CRPS, relative to other chronic pain conditions, to children with an autism diagnosis.

(b) Forest plot showing ORs and 95% CIs.

Maternal chronic pain condition	**B**	**SE**	**OR**	**95% CIs**	***p* value**
FMS	1.48	0.50	4.38	1.70 – 12.45	**.002**
CRPS	1.48	0.65	4.38	1.14 – 15.70	**.033**



A2) Exploratory phenotyping study

Five/44 (11.36%) FMS participant mothers reported having an autistic child. In comparison to the mothers with non-autistic children, mothers of autistic children had statistically significantly lower scores on the Widespread Pain Index (i.e., their pain was less widespread, median 10.00, IQR 2.00 vs. median 15.00, IQR 5.00; $p = .027$, $\eta^2 = 0.09$ uncorrected Kruskal-Wallis test) and on the Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire *intermittent* subscale (i.e., lower reported severity of intermittent pain, median 3.40, IQR 2.02 vs. median 5.80, IQR 4.25; $p = .049$, $\eta^2 = 0.07$). There were no other significant differences between these 2 groups on any of the measured demographic, impact, pain, or sensory parameters (Supplementary Table 2; Appendix A).

B) Online Survey

This cohort of parents included 710 participants (589 FMS, 121 other chronic pain), of whom 622 were mothers (87.61%) and 80 were fathers (11.27%). Eight respondents (one of whom reported having a child with an autism diagnosis) did not provide their sex and, therefore, were not included in the analysis. One hundred and thirty-seven (25.95%) mothers with FMS and 10 (10.64%) mothers with other chronic pain self-reported at least one child with an autism diagnosis. Of these, 101 FMS mothers and 7 mothers with other chronic pain had only one autistic child, Table 4 shows the characteristics of these mothers and their children.

In the "other chronic pain" cohort, the most common pain conditions were back pain, arthritis and neck/shoulder pain; two respondents reported having pain due to an autoimmune condition (Supplementary Table 3; Appendix B).

Table 3 describes the participant characteristics of the mothers-only sample, stratified by maternal chronic pain condition and the autism diagnosis status of children. Although not

classified as a case of autism in the analysis, 7 mothers with FMS reported having a child they *suspected* was autistic or indicated that they were on a waiting list for diagnosis.

Three (5.26%) fathers with FMS and 1 (4.35%) father with other chronic pains reported having an autistic child. Additional analyses showed a statistically significant main effect of parental sex on the likelihood of autism diagnoses in children. The likelihood of autistic offspring was estimated at OR 4.82 (95% CI: 2.03 – 14.85, p <.001) for mothers compared to fathers. There was no significant interaction between parental sex and chronic pain condition (p = .341). Supplementary Table 5 shows further details about the fathers-only sample (Appendix B).

Patients also reported other neurodivergent conditions in their children. Overall, ADHD was the second most reported at a rate of 21.78% for FMS mothers and 14.89% for mothers with other chronic pain (non-significant difference, supplementary Table 6; Appendix B). Different neurodivergent conditions were rarely noted (Supplementary Table 4; Appendix B). Table 4 shows for mothers with only one child with autism their age at birth of the autistic child, the proportion with a pain diagnosis, or pain symptoms consistent with symptoms at survey completion, and their birth complications with the autistic child. Note that this information was only available for mothers with one neurodiverse child (n=101/132).

Table 3.

Characteristics of mothers with FMS or other chronic pain, stratified by the autism diagnosis status of children.

	Mothers with FMS		**Mothers with other chronic pain [a]**	
	At least one autistic child	**Non-autistic children**	**At least one autistic child**	**Non- autistic children**
Patient characteristics	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)
N	137 [25.95%]	391 [74.05%]	10 [10.64%]	84 [89.36%]
Maternal age yrs	40.8 (8.83)	42.4 (12.10)	54.6 (12.40)	44.0 (16.20)
Respondents with a diagnosed neurodivergent condition	47 [34.31%]	102 [26.09%]	6 [60.00%]	35 [41.67%]
1st degree family with diagnosed neurodivergent condition [b]	34 [23.36%]	76 [19.44%]	4 [40.00%]	27 [32.14%]
Weekly average pain score (0-10)	6.87 (1.75)	6.66 (1.75)	5.30 (2.00)	5.46 (1.99)
High lifetime-stress estimate (much more/extremely above average)	93 [67.89%]	227 [58.06%]	4 [40.00%]	27 [32.14%]

Abbreviations: M, mean; SD, standard deviation.
[a] None of these patients had CRPS.
[b] First-degree family include the respondent's parents and siblings, but not children.
Note. There was a significant interaction between chronic pain condition and autism diagnosis status of offspring on patient age (p=.004, ηp^2=0.01; 2x2 ANOVA). Mothers with other chronic pain and an autistic child were older than those without autistic children (p=.044), and FMS mothers with (p=.003) and without autistic children (p=.009).
There was a significant main effect of chronic pain condition on weekly average pain rating, with FMS mothers reporting a higher score compared to mothers with other chronic pain (p<.001, ηp^2= 0.06), however, there was no interaction between maternal chronic pain condition and autism diagnosis status of offspring on pain scores (p=.549, ηp^2= <0.01; 2x2 ANOVA).
There was a significant main effect of chronic pain condition on a high lifetime stress estimate, with FMS mothers having an increased likelihood of reporting a high lifetime stress compared to mothers with other chronic pain (OR= 2.92, 95% CIs 1.85 - 4.70, p<.001). There was no interaction between maternal chronic pain condition and autism diagnosis status of offspring on lifetime stress estimates (OR = 1.05 95% CIs 0.27 - 4.31, p=.944)

Table 4.

Characteristics of the mothers and their autistic child, stratified by maternal chronic pain condition.

	FMS	Other chronic pain
Characteristics of mother and autistic child	N [%] or mean (SD)	N [%] or mean (SD)
N of mothers with one autistic child	101	7
Maternal age at birth	26.69 (5.26)	28.00 (5.02)
Maternal (current) chronic pain diagnosis before/around childbirth	25 [24.75%]	1 [14.29%]
Onset of maternal (current) chronic pain symptoms before/around childbirth	43 [42.57%]	3 [42.86%]
If (maternal) pain during pregnancy: average pain intensity (0-10) [a]	6.14 (1.99)	4.00 (3.27)
If (maternal) pain before pregnancy: average pain intensity (0-10) [a]	5.16 (1.77)	3.00 (3.16)
Child's father had a known chronic pain condition around conception/pregnancy	22 [21.78%]	1 [14.29%]
Child with autism co-occurring with other neurodivergent conditions	7 [6.93%]	2 [28.57%]
Birth/ pregnancy complications	51 [50.50%]	1 [14.29%]
- *Gestational age <35 wks*	11 [10.89%]	0 [0.00%]
- *Low birth weight*	14 [13.86%]	0 [0.00%]
- *Birth injury/trauma*	17 [16.83%]	0 [0.00%]
- *Birth defects associated with the CNS*	4 [3.96%]	0 [0.00%]
- *Meconium aspiration syndrome*	2 [1.98%]	1 [14.29%]
- *Neonatal or epileptic encephalopathy*	1 [0.99%]	0 [0.00%]
- *Genetic disorder*	2 [1.98%]	0 [0.00%]

Note. "Current" = respondent's reported chronic pain conditions (FMS or other chronic pain) at the time of the survey. The presence of the maternal pain diagnosis or of corresponding symptoms around the time of pregnancy were calculated from the child's age and the respondent's reported year of diagnosis and of (yet undiagnosed) pain onset.

[a] For mothers with FMS, *pain before/during pregnancy* refers to the presence of widespread pain; for mothers with other chronic pain, this refers to the presence of any pain.

Figure 2a shows the results of the penalised hierarchical logistic regression model examining the association between maternal variables and the likelihood of children with autism diagnoses. The first model, including the family-history factors, maternal neurodivergence and first-degree family neurodivergence, was non-significant $\chi^2(2) = 2.93$, $p = .231$, AIC = 672.78, McFadden's $R^2 = 0.01$.

Adding maternal chronic pain condition into the second model significantly improved the model fit relative to the family-history only model $\chi^2(1) = 13.57$, $p < .001$. With this, the overall model was statistically significant $\chi^2(3) = 16.50$, $p < .001$, AIC = 672.12, McFadden's $R^2 = 0.02$. The likelihood of autistic offspring was estimated at OR 3.12 (95% CI: 1.65 – 6.50) for mothers with FMS compared to mothers with other chronic pain.

Figure 2.

(a) Penalised logistic regression analysis showing the association of maternal variables to children with an autism diagnosis. (b) Forest plot showing ORs and 95% CIs.

	Model 1:			**Model 2:**		
Maternal Predictors	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**
Step 1: Family history variables						
Maternal neurodivergence	0.27 (0.21)	1.32 (0.87-1.98)	.197	0.29 (0.21)	1.42 (0.93 – 2.15)	.106
Family neurodivergence	0.15 (0.23)	1.16 (0.73 – 1.81)	.528	0.14 (0.23)	1.24 (0.78 – 1.95)	.366
Step 2: Chronic pain variables						
FMS vs other chronic pain	-	-	-	1.14 (0.34)	3.12 (1.65 – 6.50)	**<.001**



C) Clinical Prospective Investigation

The cohort included a total of 66 patients (50 [75.76%] female, 16 [24.24%] male); the overall response rate was 11.2% (24.6% FMS, 17.7% CRPS, 6.3% other chronic pain). Nineteen of the 24 mothers with FMS (79.16%) had an FMS diagnosis during the service evaluation, all 7 mothers with CRPS (100%), and 8 out of the 11 mothers with other chronic pain (72.73%) were categorised as other chronic pain in the service evaluation.

Among the maternal sample, 8 mothers with FMS reported having an autistic child (33.33%), whereas no cases were reported among mothers with other chronic pain. Table 5 shows the characteristics of the maternal sample, stratified by the autism diagnosis status of offspring. Responding mothers with CRPS were not included in the analysis due to a small sample size (N= 7), see supplementary materials for descriptive details about CRPS mothers (Supplementary Table 10; Appendix C).

In all cases, patients reported having only one autistic child. All autistic children included in the analysis scored above the recommended cut-off values on the parent-report AQ10 (score of ≥6) and SCQ (score of ≥15). See Table 6 for further information pertaining to autistic children.

Table 5.

Characteristics of mothers with FMS and other chronic pain, stratified by the autism diagnosis status of children.

	Mothers with FMS		**Mothers with other chronic pain**
	Autistic child	**Non-autistic child**	**Non-autistic child**
Patient characteristics	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)
N	8 [33.33%]	16 [66.67%]	11 [100%]
Maternal age yrs (mean (SD)	48.8 (7.34)	49.3 (8.93)	49 (14.2)
Autistic respondent	0 [0.00%]	2 [12.5%]	0 [0.00%]
1st degree family with diagnosed neurodivergent condition [a]	3 [37.50%]	1 [6.25%]	2 [18.18%]
GAD-7 (0-21) *Scored above cutoff (≥10)*	7 (8) 3 [37.50%]	7.5 (11.2) 7 [43.75%]	13 (8.5) 7 [63.64%]
RAADS-14 Total (0-42) *Scored above cutoff (≥14)*	25 (14.5) 6 [75.00%]	20.5 (20) 10 [62.50%]	24 (16) 9 [81.82%]
AQ-10 (0-10) *Scored above cutoff (≥6)*	4.5 (1.25) 2 [25.00%]	4.5 (1.25) 4 [25.00%]	5 (1.5) 3 [27.27%]

Abbreviations: IQR, Interquartile Range; SD, standard deviation.
[a] First-degree family include the respondent's parents and siblings, but not children.
Note. There were no significant differences in age (p=.969 η2= <0.01; ANOVA), AQ-10 scores (p=.976, η^2= -0.06), or RAADS-14 scores (p=.757, η^2= -0.05; Kruskal-Wallis) between groups.

Table 6.

Characteristics of autistic children, of mothers with FMS.

	Mothers with FMS
Characteristics of autistic child	N [%] or mean (SD)
N (of mothers with FMS)	8
Maternal age at birth	25.90 (6.62)
(N available data)	*8*
AQ-10 score median (IQR)(0-10; cutoff ≥6)	8.50 (1.50)
(N available data)	*8*
SCQ score median (IQR) (0-39; cut off≥15)	24.00 (6.50)
(N available data)	*7*
Age at diagnosis yrs	14.29 (4.82)
(N available data)	*7*
Sex = male	4 [50.00%]
(N available data)	*8*
Birth order:	
1st child:	3 [37.50%]
2nd child:	2 [25.00%]
3rd child:	3 [37.50%]
(N available data)	*8*
Any maternal chronic pain during pregnancy	4 [50.00%]
(N available data)	*8*
Maternal FMS or widespread pain during pregnancy	2 [28.57%]
(N available data)	*7*
Birth/ pregnancy complications	2 [28.57%]
(N available data)	*7*
	• Respiratory distress syndrome • Low blood sugar
Co-occurring intellectual disability	3 [42.86%]
(N available data)	*7*
Level of support needs:	
Level 1 (requiring support):	0 [0.00%]
Level 2 (requiring substantial support):	3 [100.00%]
Level 3 (requiring very substantial support):	0 [0.00%]
(N available data)	*3*
Child's other co-occurring conditions	• Pulmonary stenosis • Cerebral Palsy • Asthma (x2) • ADHD

Note. Parents did not know or report answers for all the presented variables. Percentages are derived from the available data for each variable.

Figure 3a shows the results of the hierarchical penalised likelihood logistic regression model examining the association between maternal variables and the offspring with autism diagnoses. The first model, including family history variables: maternal autism diagnosis and first-degree family neurodivergence, was not statistically significant $\chi^2(2) = 3.53$, p=.171, AIC = 40.99, McFadden's R^2 = -0.03.

The model LR chi-square test indicated that adding the psychological variable, GAD-7 scores, did not significantly improve the model fit $\chi^2(1) = 0.54$ p=.539, and this second model was not statistically significant $\chi^2(3) = 3.91$, p=.271, AIC = 39.11, McFadden's R^2 = 0.12.

The third model included maternal chronic pain condition (FMS and other chronic pain). The model LR chi-square test suggested that adding these variables significantly improved the model fit $\chi^2(1) = 6.27$, p=.012. With this, the overall model was statistically significant $\chi^2(4) = 10.18$, p=.038, AIC = 42.60, McFadden's R^2 = 0.13. The likelihood of autistic offspring was estimated at OR 24.94 (95% CI: 1.76 – 6952.87) for mothers with FMS compared to mothers with other chronic pain. The likelihood for mothers with first-degree neurodivergent relatives was estimated at OR 13.55 (1.16 – 1876.57). However, the precision of these estimates is poor due to a small sample size.

Figure 3.

(a) Penalised logistic regression analysis showing the association of maternal variables to children with an autism diagnosis. (b) Forest plot showing ORs and 95% CIs.

	Model 1:			Model 2:			Model 3:		
Maternal predictors	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**
Step 1: Family history variables									
Maternal autism diagnosis	-1.39 (1.72)	0.25 (0.00 – 4.93)	.400	-1.19 (1.67)	0.30 (0.00 – 5.16)	.455	-2.68 (2.00)	0.07 (0.00 – 2.23)	.155
Family neurodivergence	1.74 (0.93)	5.70 (0.90 – 43.09)	.064	1.63 (0.91)	5.11 (0.83 – 37.26)	.078	2.61 (1.34)	13.55 (1.16 – 1876.57)	**.036**
Step 2: Psychological variables									
GAD7 score	-	-	-	-0.05 (0.06	0.96 (0.83 – 1.08)	.480	-0.02 (0.06)	0.98 (0.85 – 1.13)	.803
Step 3: Chronic pain variables									
FMS vs other chronic pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.22 (1.65)	24.94 (1.76 – 6952.87)	**.011**



Discussion

To our knowledge, no previous report has explored the occurrence of autism specifically in the children of patients suffering from FMS. We found an increased likelihood of autistic children with mothers who have FMS compared to mothers with other chronic pain conditions in each of four cohorts.

A clinical service evaluation (Study A1) included all chronic pain patients seen by a consultant at a tertiary centre over 2 years. Mothers with FMS and CRPS reported a high prevalence of autistic children (16% and 15%, respectively) versus patients presenting with other pain conditions (4%). This relationship was found to be significant, with 4.38 increased odds in mothers with FMS, and 4.38 increased odds for mothers with CRPS.

An exploratory phenotyping study (Study A2) analysed the responses of FMS patients who had also been routinely asked about their children's health. Eleven percent of mothers with FMS reported an autistic child. Together, these findings suggest a much higher prevalence of autistic children of FMS mothers compared to the UK general population estimate of 1%-3% [8; 33]. Comparison of demographic and FMS characteristics between those with and without autistic children in Study A2 revealed a lower reported widespread pain score and less intermittent pain (e.g., shooting, stabbing pain) for mothers with an autistic child. These results should be considered exploratory, however, the moderate effect sizes raise the possibility that there might be a difference in the FMS phenotype in mothers who have an autistic child.

An online survey (Study B) was distributed among members of online pain support groups to assess the frequency of autistic children in FMS mothers, beyond both tertiary healthcare settings and the geographical restriction of the Study A1/2 (NW-England). The aim was to confirm the autism incidence findings and explore mothers' personal and first-

degree family members' self-reported neurodiversity, as genetic factors play a major role in the aetiology of autism [21]. Consistent with the service evaluation, a higher incidence of autism diagnoses in offspring was reported for mothers with FMS compared to mothers with other chronic pain conditions (26% and 11%, respectively). Astonishingly, although not the primary objective of this investigation we found that 58% of all FMS mothers had at least one child with at least one neurodiversity condition. FMS in mothers remained statistically significantly associated with 3.12 increased odds of autistic children compared to mothers with other chronic pain after accounting for maternal and first-degree familial history of neurodivergence. FMS fathers reported autistic children much less frequently than FMS mothers, and at a similar prevalence across conditions (4-5%). Unexpectedly, mothers with other chronic pain reported diagnosed neurodivergent conditions in themselves and their first-degree family members more frequently than FMS mothers.

The high reported neurodivergence prevalences in responders and their relatives across groups are likely affected by a selection bias whereby those with a known occurrence of neurodivergence in themselves or their family are more likely to participate. This high prevalence is consistent with current literature suggesting an overlap between neurodivergence and chronic pain [9; 29], however, the increased prevalence in FMS mothers compared to others suggests previously-unreported variability within the chronic pain group. Biological fathers might provide a greater genetic contribution in this group – we did not assess the fathers' or paternal first-degree family members' neurodiversity in this study, but this may be unlikely given the low offspring autism rates reported by participating fathers in the same study. Alternatively, this finding might suggest a lower genetic propensity for the autistic children of FMS mothers than of mothers with other chronic pain conditions. Correspondingly, non-genetic factors, including perinatal factors such as pathogenic

autoantibodies [6; 13; 25], or post-natal factors, may be more relevant in these children of FMS mothers. Additional studies will be required to understand this area.

In this online survey, 51% of FMS mothers who had only one child with autism reported having birth/pregnancy complications with their autistic child, with birth injury or trauma (17%), low birth weight (14%), and premature birth (11%) being most reported. This can be aligned with previous research which demonstrates that such parameters are associated with autistic children [4; 28]. Moreover, FMS in pregnancy has been found to be associated with several adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, including premature birth [31]. In our study, data on birth and pregnancy outcomes were not collected for mothers without neurodivergent children, therefore, it is unclear at present whether the adverse outcomes are specific to FMS mothers with autistic children.

A final clinical prospective study (Study C) invited all patients from the initial service evaluation to complete a survey capturing detailed data on maternal factors and children's autistic traits. Mothers with FMS, and generally a first-degree family history of neurodivergence were statistically significantly associated with an increased likelihood of autistic children, the latter aligning with the well-documented role of genetics in the development of autism [21]. Previous evidence suggests that FMS patients display high health anxiety [44], which in turn could lead to a heightened awareness regarding their children's health. The observed lack of association of maternal GAD-7 scores with autism diagnoses in children does not support this factor's relevance. No significant differences were found across conditions for maternal AQ-10 and RAADS-14 autistic trait measure scores, providing again no signal for a *specific* genetic load in FMS mothers. Notably, regardless of chronic pain category, a substantial proportion of this cohort (67% FMS mothers; 82% other pain mothers) scored above the cut-off for suggested autism in the RAADS-14, but not the AQ10 (25% FMS mothers; 27% other chronic pain mothers). It is possible that certain items

on the RAADS-14 such as the second item '*some ordinary textures that do not bother others feel very offensive when they touch my skin'* may capture characteristics of chronic pain conditions. Further research will be required to understand this discrepancy.

This is the first study to demonstrate a statistically significant association between maternal FMS and autism specifically in children, when compared to other chronic pain conditions. Our results are consistent with those from Kelly [26] who reported an increased prevalence of neurodivergent conditions among the *relatives* (first- and second-degree) of 13 patients with *FMS and concomitant hypermobility*, as compared to a control group of osteoarthritis patients. Whether the mechanisms underpinning increased autism rates in children of patients with FMS are identical to those explaining increased autism rates in relatives overall is uncertain. The findings of our online survey hint at the possibility that the development of autism in children of FMS mothers might be explained, at least in part, by the perinatal environment rather than solely by genetic factors.

There were several limitations to the current study. First, self-selection bias may have influenced the high reported prevalence of diagnosed autism in Study B and C. However, this is unlikely to account for the disparity between chronic pain conditions. Second, the research relied on parental reports of autism diagnoses. Although parental confirmation of a diagnosis from a clinician was required in the prospective study, this could not be formally verified. All autistic children in the analysis exceeded the recommended cut-off values on the parent-reported AQ10 and SCQ autistic trait measures providing some reassurance about the validity of these parent reports. Third, the online survey relied on self-report of chronic pain, and diagnoses could not be independently verified. Fourth, the online survey did not allow collection of birth/pregnancy information where mothers had more than one child with neurodiversity. Fifth, we did not ask in the online survey whether FMS mothers had any pain in the perinatal period other than that which they had at the survey completion time. Sixth,

there was a small discrepancy in Study C between the self-reported chronic pain diagnosis and the clinic letter diagnosis in Study A1. This could be due to misreporting or evolving diagnoses. Finally, the clinical prospective investigation is limited by its low response rate, small sample size, and the fact that no mothers classified in the other chronic pain group had an autistic child. As a result, the confidence intervals in some of the statistical analysis models are wide, suggesting a high degree of uncertainty. To identify the magnitude and specificity of the association between FMS in mothers and children on the autism spectrum, future research with larger samples or population cohort data should be utilised to improve understanding of the effect size.

For the first time, the present study demonstrated an increased likelihood of autistic children of mothers with FMS (and CRPS) compared to mothers with other chronic pain conditions across multiple, independent cohorts. We suggest asking mothers with FMS and CRPS about autistic children in clinical practice. This may be a valuable addition to clinical routine, allowing better understanding of their home and life situation. More research is required to quantify this correlation and to understand its cause.

[1] Allison C, Auyeung B, Baron-Cohen S. Toward brief "red flags" for autism screening: The short autism spectrum quotient and the short quantitative checklist in 1,000 cases and 3,000 controls. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 2012;51(2):202-212. e207.
[2] Applbaum E, Lichtbroun A. Novel Sjögren's autoantibodies found in fibromyalgia patients with sicca and/or xerostomia. Autoimmunity Reviews 2019;18(2):199-202.
[3] Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: American psychiatric association, 2013.
[4] Banerjee N, Adak P. Birth related parameters are important contributors in autism spectrum disorders. Scientific Reports 2022;12(1):14277.
[5] Berwick RJ, Sahbaie P, Kenny G, Guo T-Z, Neiland H, Andersson DA, Clark JD, Mallon P, Goebel A. Postacute COVID-19 syndrome and fibromyalgia syndrome are associated with anti-satellite glial cell IgG serum autoantibodies but only fibromyalgia syndrome serum-IgG is pronociceptive. PAIN 2025;166(10):e397-e408.
[6] Brimberg L, Sadiq A, Gregersen P, Diamond B. Brain-reactive IgG correlates with autoimmunity in mothers of a child with an autism spectrum disorder. Molecular psychiatry 2013;18(11):1171-1177.
[7] Brown CO, Uy J, Singh KK. A mini-review: Bridging the gap between autism spectrum disorder and pain comorbidities. Canadian Journal of Pain 2020;4(4):37-44.
[8] Brugha TS, McManus S, Bankart J, Scott F, Purdon S, Smith J, Bebbington P, Jenkins R, Meltzer H. Epidemiology of autism spectrum disorders in adults in the community in England. Archives of general psychiatry 2011;68(5):459-465.
[9] Casale R, Atzeni F, Bazzichi L, Beretta G, Costantini E, Sacerdote P, Tassorelli C. Pain in women: a perspective review on a relevant clinical issue that deserves prioritization. Pain and therapy 2021;10(1):287-314.
[10] Chen S-w, Zhong X-s, Jiang L-n, Zheng X-y, Xiong Y-q, Ma S-j, Qiu M, Huo S-t, Ge J, Chen Q. Maternal autoimmune diseases and the risk of autism spectrum disorders in offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Behavioural brain research 2016;296:61-69.
[11] Clauw DJ. Fibromyalgia: a clinical review. Jama 2014;311(15):1547-1555.
[12] Cooksey R, Choy E. Exploring gender differences, medical history, and treatments used in patients with fibromyalgia in the UK using primary-care data: a retrospective, population-based, cohort study. The Lancet Rheumatology 2022;4:S20.
[13] Croen LA, Qian Y, Ashwood P, Daniels JL, Fallin D, Schendel D, Schieve LA, Singer AB, Zerbo O. Family history of immune conditions and autism spectrum and developmental disorders: Findings from the study to explore early development. Autism Research 2019;12(1):123-135.
[14] Dolcino M, Tinazzi E, Puccetti A, Lunardi C. Gene expression profiling in fibromyalgia indicates an autoimmune origin of the disease and opens new avenues for targeted therapy. Journal of clinical medicine 2020;9(6):1814.
[15] Erbescu A, Papuc SM, Budisteanu M, Arghir A, Neagu M. Re-emerging concepts of immune dysregulation in autism spectrum disorders. Frontiers in psychiatry 2022;13:1006612.
[16] Eriksson JM, Andersen LM, Bejerot S. RAADS-14 Screen: validity of a screening tool for autism spectrum disorder in an adult psychiatric population. Molecular Autism 2013;4(1):49.
[17] Fayaz A, Croft P, Langford R, Donaldson L, Jones G. Prevalence of chronic pain in the UK: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population studies. BMJ open 2016;6(6):e010364.

[18] Gau S-Y, Leong P-Y, Lin C-L, Tsou H-K, Wei JC-C. Higher risk for Sjögren's syndrome in patients with fibromyalgia: a nationwide population-based cohort study. Frontiers in Immunology 2021;12:640618.
[19] Goebel A, Krock E, Gentry C, Israel MR, Jurczak A, Urbina CM, Sandor K, Vastani N, Maurer M, Cuhadar U. Passive transfer of fibromyalgia symptoms from patients to mice. The Journal of clinical investigation 2021;131(13).
[20] Häuser W, Perrot S, Clauw DJ, Fitzcharles M-A. Unravelling fibromyalgia—steps toward individualized management. The Journal of Pain 2018;19(2):125-134.
[21] Havdahl A, Niarchou M, Starnawska A, Uddin M, van der Merwe C, Warrier V. Genetic contributions to autism spectrum disorder. Psychological medicine 2021;51(13):2260-2273.
[22] Heinze GP, Meinhard; Jiricka, Lena; Steiner, Gregor. logistf: Firth's Bias-Reduced Logistic Regression, 2023.
[23] Helyes Z, Tékus V, Szentes N, Pohóczky K, Botz B, Kiss T, Kemény Á, Környei Z, Tóth K, Lénárt N. Transfer of complex regional pain syndrome to mice via human autoantibodies is mediated by interleukin-1–induced mechanisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2019;116(26):13067-13076.
[24] Israel MR, Berwick R, Vastani N, Zheng Q, Moore W, Maurer M, Gentry C, Marshall A, Sun H, Neiland H. Aβ low threshold mechanoreceptors contribute to sensory abnormalities in fibromyalgia. Brain 2025:awaf321.
[25] Jones KL, Van de Water J. Maternal autoantibody related autism: mechanisms and pathways. Molecular psychiatry 2019;24(2):252-265.
[26] Kelly C. The association between fibromyalgia, hypermobility and neurodivergence extends to families: Brief report. International Journal of Psychiatry Research 2023;6(3):1-5.
[27] LaSalle JM. Epigenomic signatures reveal mechanistic clues and predictive markers for autism spectrum disorder. Molecular psychiatry 2023;28(5):1890-1901.
[28] Laverty C, Surtees A, O'Sullivan R, Sutherland D, Jones C, Richards C. The prevalence and profile of autism in individuals born preterm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders 2021;13(1):41.
[29] Lipsker CW, Bölte S, Hirvikoski T, Lekander M, Holmström L, Wicksell RK. Prevalence of autism traits and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in a clinical sample of children and adolescents with chronic pain. Journal of Pain Research 2018:2827-2836.
[30] MA RJB. After-Sensations and Lingering Pain Following Examination in Patients with Fibromyalgia Syndrome. 2022.
[31] Magtanong GG, Spence AR, Czuzoj-Shulman N, Abenhaim HA. Maternal and neonatal outcomes among pregnant women with fibromyalgia: a population-based study of 12 million births. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 2019;32(3):404-410.
[32] Merriwether EN, Agalave NM, Dailey DL, Rakel BA, Kolker SJ, Lenert ME, Spagnola WH, Lu Y, Geasland KM, Allen L-AH. IL-5 mediates monocyte phenotype and pain outcomes in fibromyalgia. Pain 2021;162(5):1468-1482.
[33] O'Nions E, Petersen I, Buckman JE, Charlton R, Cooper C, Corbett A, Happé F, Manthorpe J, Richards M, Saunders R. Autism in England: assessing underdiagnosis in a population-based cohort study of prospectively collected primary care data. The Lancet Regional Health–Europe 2023;29.
[34] Ooi KL, Ong YS, Jacob SA, Khan TM. A meta-synthesis on parenting a child with autism. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment 2016:745-762.

[35] Plazier M, Ost J, Stassijns G, De Ridder D, Vanneste S. Pain characteristics in fibromyalgia: understanding the multiple dimensions of pain. Clinical Rheumatology 2015;34(4):775-783.
[36] Queiroz LP. Worldwide epidemiology of fibromyalgia. Current pain and headache reports 2013;17(8):356.
[37] Ramirez-Celis A, Becker M, Nuño M, Schauer J, Aghaeepour N, Van de Water J. Risk assessment analysis for maternal autoantibody-related autism (MAR-ASD): a subtype of autism. Molecular psychiatry 2021;26(5):1551-1560.
[38] Ramirez-Celis A, Croen LA, Yoshida CK, Alexeeff SE, Schauer J, Yolken RH, Ashwood P, Van de Water J. Maternal autoantibody profiles as biomarkers for ASD and ASD with co-occurring intellectual disability. Molecular psychiatry 2022;27(9):3760-3767.
[39] Revelle W. psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality Research: Northwestern University, 2025.
[40] Robinson-Agramonte MdlA, Noris García E, Fraga Guerra J, Vega Hurtado Y, Antonucci N, Semprún-Hernández N, Schultz S, Siniscalco D. Immune dysregulation in autism spectrum disorder: what do we know about it? International journal of molecular sciences 2022;23(6):3033.
[41] Rutter M, Le Couteur A, Lord C. Autism diagnostic interview-revised. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services 2003;29(2003):30.
[42] Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of internal medicine 2006;166(10):1092-1097.
[43] Suhas S, Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Benegal V, Rao GN, Varghese M, Gururaj G. Firth's penalized logistic regression: A superior approach for analysis of data from India's National Mental Health Survey, 2016. Indian journal of psychiatry 2023;65(12):1208-1213.
[44] Uçar M, Sarp Ü, Karaaslan Ö, Gül AI, Tanik N, Arik HO. Health anxiety and depression in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. Journal of International Medical Research 2015;43(5):679-685.
[45] Whitney DG, Shapiro DN. National prevalence of pain among children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. JAMA pediatrics 2019;173(12):1203-1205.
[46] Wolfe F, Walitt B, Perrot S, Rasker JJ, Häuser W. Fibromyalgia diagnosis and biased assessment: Sex, prevalence and bias. PloS one 2018;13(9):e0203755.
[47] Yavne Y, Amital D, Watad A, Tiosano S, Amital H. A systematic review of precipitating physical and psychological traumatic events in the development of fibromyalgia, Proceedings of the Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism, Vol. 48: Elsevier, 2018. pp. 121-133.
[48] Yin W, Norrbäck M, Levine SZ, Rivera N, Buxbaum JD, Zhu H, Yip B, Reichenberg A, Askling J, Sandin S. Maternal rheumatoid arthritis and risk of autism in the offspring. Psychological medicine 2023;53(15):7300-7308.

Supplementary Materials

Appendix A: *Clinical service evaluation (Study A1)*

Supplementary Table 1.
Chronic pain conditions of patients categorised as "other chronic pain" in the clinical service evaluation (Study A1).

"Other" chronic pain condition	N [%]	N of patients with confirmed biological children [%]	N of female patients with confirmed biological children [% of pts with children]	N of female patients with confirmed biological children [% of all pts in category]
Widespread pain	134 [33.3%]	70 [52.2%]	51 [72.9%]	51 [38.1%]
Lower body pain	82 [20.3%]	55 [67.1%]	32 [58.2%]	32 [39%]
Back pain	56 [13.9%]	39 [70.0%]	25 [64.1%]	25 [45%]
Upper body pain	20 [5.0%]	15 [75.0%]	7 [46.7%]	7 [35%]
Chronic primary pain	14 [3.5%]	8 [57.1%]	2 [25%]	2 [14.3%]
Leg pain	9 [2.2%]	6 [67.0%]	3 [50%]	3 [33.3%]
Pelvic pain	9 [2.2%]	2 [22.2%]	2 [100%]	2 [22.2%]
Facial pain	5 [1.2%]	4 [80.0%]	2 [50%]	2 [40%]
Foot pain	5 [1.2%]	2 [40.0%]	2 [100%]	2 [40%]
Knee pain	5 [1.2%]	2 [40.0%]	2 [100%]	2 [40%]
Back and leg pain	4 [1.0%]	2 [50.0%]	1 [50%]	1 [25%]
Primary chronic pain	4 [1.0%]	4 [100.0%]	2 [50%]	2 [50%]
Hip pain	3 [0.7%]	2 [67.0%]	2 [100%]	2 [67%]
Leg and foot pain	3 [0.7%]	0 [0.0%]	0 [0.0%]	0 [0.0%]
Limb pain	3 [0.7%]	0 [0.0%]	0 [0.0%]	0 [0.0%
Neurological disorder	3 [0.7%]	1 [33.3%]	1 [100%]	1 [33.3%]
Other	44 [11.0%]	27 [61.4%]	14 [51.9%]	14 [31.8%]

Exploratory phenotyping study (Study A2)

Methods

Participants

FMS patients were recruited to a phenotyping study aiming to correlate clinical with immunological phenotypes, of which, select data from 51 patients has been presented

previously [6]. Fifty-nine female FMS patients were included in the current study. Subjects were identified from a registry of patients at a pain management program at the Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust, for which they had consented for their names to be entered in.

The inclusion criteria required patients with an FMS diagnosis of ACR 2010 [11] or 1990 [12] with a duration of over one year, aged above 18 years, fluent in English, and an average weekly pain intensity >4/10 on a numerical rating scale. The exclusion criteria included participants who were currently pregnancy or breastfeeding.

All participants provided written consent and were reimbursed for up to £30 for their travel expenses. The study received ethical approval from the Health and Care Research Board Wales (Ref: 18/WA/0234).

Materials

The study included several validated scales to measure impact and pain indices.

Impact scales:

1. Brief Pain Inventory (BPI [10]) is a self-report measure that asks patients to rate their current pain intensity and pain in the last 24 hours at its worst, least, and average on an 11-point numerical scale from *0 (no pain)* to *10 (pain as bad as you can imagine).* Respondents are also asked to rate the extent to which their pain interferes with 7 quality-of-life domains including: general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work (including home and housework), relations with other people, sleep, and enjoyment of life. These are rated on a similar 11-point numerical scale from *0 (does not interfere)* to *10 (completely interferes).* The scale had good internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.82$).
2. Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire-Revised (FIQR [1]) is a 21-item measure, with all questions framed in the context of the past 7 days. There are 3 domains: function,

overall impact, and symptoms. Each item is answered on an 11-point numerical scale from *0* to *10*, with 10 being the 'worst'. The overall scale had excellent internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.96$).

3. Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS [8]) is a 14-item measure with 2 subscales, Anxiety and Depression, each with 7 items. Items are scored from *0* to *3.* The overall scale had questionable internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_h = 0.66$), however the subscales Anxiety (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.81$) and Depression (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.88$) displayed good reliability.
4. Pain Catastrophising Scale (PCS [9]) is a 13-item measure of catastrophising. It has 3 subscales; rumination, magnification, and helplessness. All items are referred to a past painful experience and are scored on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from *0 (not at all)* to *4 (all the time)*. The overall scale had acceptable internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_h = 0.72$).
5. Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ [7]) is a 10-item questionnaire developed to measure the confidence those with ongoing pain have in performing activities while in pain. All items are scored on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from *0 (not at all confident)* to *10 (completely confident)*. The overall scale had excellent internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.96$).

Pain scales:

1. Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ-2 [3]) is a self-report measure consisting of 22 pain descriptors referencing pain and related symptoms in the past week. Items are scored on an 11-point numerical rating scale from *0 (none)* to *10 (worst possible).* Scores are grouped into 4 composite-categories continuous, intermittent, neuropathic, and affective. The overall scale had acceptable internal reliability (McDonald's $\omega_h = 0.74$), with composite-categories continuous

(McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.89$), intermittent (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.95$), neuropathic (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.92$), and affective (McDonald's $\omega_t = 0.85$) showing good reliability.

Procedure

The procedure has been previously described [6]. After providing consent and confirming eligibility, patients were asked questions relating to their general health and fibromyalgia symptomology. This included the question *"Are your children affected by any condition or disorder (including chronic pain)?"* and, if yes, to specify. Additionally, participants completed several standardised questionnaires. The questionnaires included the BPI [10], EQ-5D [5], the FIQR [1], the HADS [8], the SF-MPQ-2 [3], the PCS [9], and the painDETECT [4].

To test mechanical pain threshold and skin sensitivity, a QST protocol was adapted from Boehme et al., [2]. Static pressure pain threshold (PPT) was measured using a 1cm^2 rubber-tipped pressure algometer (FDN200; Wagner Instruments) positioned over the vastus lateralis on the left leg. Pressure was increased at a rate of approximately 0.5kg/s (50kPa/s) until the patient stated that the sensation shifted from pressure to pain. Patients were unable to see the pressure dial during testing. Three consecutive readings were taken, and the mean value was used as the PPT score.

Dynamic mechanical allodynia was assessed using brushstroke tests with a QST brush (SENSELab Brush-05, Somedic SenseLab AB, Norra Mellby, Sweden). Light brush strokes were applied manually along a 10 cm segment of non-glabrous skin on the supinated left forearm, moving from proximal to distal in the direction of the hair growth. Each patient received three strokes at a slow (3cm/s) and fast (30cm/s) speed. The speed of the stimulus (slow/fast) was applied alternatively with an interstimulus interval of approximately 30

seconds. Patients were asked to rate the pleasantness of the skin sensation of the brushstroke examination on a grounded 5cm numerical rating scale ranging from *-5 (very unpleasant)* to *+5 (very pleasant).*

Supplementary Table 2.

Patient characteristics of the total sample of female FMS patients. Values represent the median (interquartile range) (Study A2).

Patient characteristics	Mothers with non-autistic children	Mothers with autistic children	Females without children	p-value (η2)	Post-Hoc (unadjusted)
Demographics					
N	39	5	15		
Age (yrs)	53.0 (8.50)	49.0 (7.00)	38.0 (14.00)	**<.001 (0.26)**	Ψ<.001
BMI (kg/m²)	34.8 (8.03)	32.0 (11.00)	35.3 (11.20)	.928 (0.00)	
Years of diagnosis	8.7 (10.00)	4.9 (1.30)	3.9 (3.45)	**.010 (0.13)**	Ψ .005
Years of WSP symptoms	13.1 (12.55)	8.6 (2.30)	9.3 (6.85)	.130 (0.04)	
Impact indices					
BPI (0-10)	7.9 (2.40)	7.6 (1.40)	7.9 (2.10)	.533 (0.00)	
FIQR (0-100)	74.7 (18.67)	73.7 (5.33)	71.2 (18.42)	.315 (0.01)	
EQ-5D Health (0-100)	50.0 (28.75)	40.0 (20.00)	40.0 (15.00)	.416 (0.00)	
Lack of Energy (0-10)	9.0 (2.00)	8.0 (1.00)	9.0 (2.00)	.538 (0.00)	
HADS-A (0-22)	12.0 (5.50)	11.0 (2.00)	12.0 (6.50)	.417 (0.00)	
HADS-D (0-22)	12.0 (5.50)	13.0 (4.00)	9.0 (5.50)	.438 (0.00)	
PCS (0-52)	24.0 (19.75)	17.0 (11.00)	20.0 (15.75)	.112 (0.04)	
PSEQ (0-60)	17.5 (16.75)	22.0 (24.00)	21.0 (8.00)	.178 (0.03)	
SSS (0-12)	10.0 (2.00)	10.0 (1.00)	9.0 (2.00)	.142 (0.03)	
WPI (0-19)	15.0 (5.00)	10.0 (2.00)	14.0 (3.00)	**.027 (0.09)**	* .028
Pain Indices					
Ave Pain Resting (0-10)	8.0 (1)	7.0 (0)	7.0 (2)	.157 (0.03)	
PainDETECT (0-38)	24.0 (5.00)	25.5 (11.50)	24.0 (9.00)	.740 (0.03)	
SF-M Ave (0-10)	6.5 (3.10)	4.7 (2.27)	4.3 (3.40)	**.040 (0.08)**	Ψ .030
SF-M Neuropathic	5.8 (3.70)	4.6 (2.35)	3.8 (4.00)	.067 (0.06)	
SF-M Affective (0-10)	6.5 (3.75)	4.7(1.41)	4.3 (3.30)	.059 (0.07)	

	SF-M Intermittent (0-10)	5.8 (4.25)	3.4 (2.02)	4.0 (4.70)	**.049 (0.07)**	*.033
	SF-M Continuous (0-10)	7.3 (1.75)	4.9 (1.90)	6.6 (1.80)	.087 (0.05)	
Sensory						
	PPT left leg (kPA)	242.0 (115.00)	243.0 (55.00)	230.0 (107.00)	.974 (0.00)	
	Pleasantness Slow (-5 to 5)	0.0 (2.40)	0.7 (0.70)	0.3 (2.15)	.467 (0.00)	
	Pleasantness Fast (-5 to 5)	0.0 (1.75)	0.7 (1.4)	0.0 (0.85)	.150 (0.03)	

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; HADS- A, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale – Anxiety; HADS-D, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale – Depression; EQ-5D, EuroQol 5 Dimensions; SSS, Symptom Severity Score; SF-M Ave, Short Form McGill Average of all ratings; WSP, Widespread Pain; WPI, Widespread Pain Index.

*Significant difference between mothers with children with autism and mothers without children with autism.

$^{\Psi}$ Significant difference between mothers without children with autism and females without children.

Appendix B: *Online survey (Study B)*

Questionnaire

Your Details:

- How old are you?
- What is your sex?
- What is your marital status?
- Are you currently pregnant?
- Do you have a chronic pain condition?
- What is your chronic pain condition? (please specify in the box below)

You and your family's health

- Do you have a neurodivergent condition? (yes/no)
- Do you have genetically related first-degree family members (parents, siblings, children) with a neurodivergent condition?
  Neurodivergent conditions include: autism spectrum disorder (including Asperger's syndrome), ADHD, Sensory Processing Disorder
- If so, how many first-degree family members have a neurodivergent condition?

Your Pain

- What year did you first experience your chronic pain symptoms *(or widespread pain symptoms for FMS respondents)*?
- What year were you diagnosed with your chronic pain condition/FMS?
- Who diagnosed you with chronic pain/FMS?
  - (Rheumatologist, GP, pain specialist, other)
- What was your average pain intensity over the past week with *0 = no pain* and *10 = very severe pain?*
- What was the average intensity of fatigue over the past week with *0 = no fatigue* and *10 = very severe fatigue?*

Your Stress

- *Every person undergoes stressful events in their lifetime. Lifetime stress refers to the total amount of difficult and stressful life events that a person has experienced over his or her lifespan.* Compared to the average person, would you rate your lifetime stress as:
  - Extremely below average; considerably less than average; slightly less that average ; about average; slightly more than average ; Much more than average; Extremely above average;

Questionnaire (continued)

Your Child, Your Pregnancy and Your Pain During Pregnancy

- Do you have a child with a neurodivergent condition? If yes, please state what neurodivergent condition.
- *Neurodivergent conditions include: autism spectrum disorder (including Asperger's syndrome), ADHD, Sensory Processing Disorder.*
- If the answer is yes, please state how many of your children are affected with a neurodivergent condition.

(These questions will only be shown if the participants states they have a neurodiverse child)

THESE QUESTIONS ARE OPTIONAL.

For each child affected, please answer the following questions. However, if you do not wish to answer, then please exit the survey now.

- How old is your child now? (in years)
- Did your child's other parent have a chronic pain condition at conception/during pregnancy?
- Did you experience the following problems during pregnancy/ birth:
- Gestational age less than 35 weeks
- Low birth weight
- Birth injury or trauma
- Birth defects associated with the central nervous system (e.g. Cerebral palsy)
- Meconium aspiration syndrome
- Neonatal or epileptic encephalopathy
- Genetic disorder (e.g. Down's syndrome)

If you can recall, the following questions relate to your experience around pregnancy with this child.

- If you had **chronic pain/widespread pain before** this pregnancy, how would you rate the intensity of your pain before pregnancy with *0= no pain* and *10 = very severe pain*?

- How would you rate your intensity of your **chronic pain/widespread pain during** pregnancy with *0= no pain* and *10 = very severe pain*?

- How would you rate your level of **fatigue before** this pregnancy with *0= no fatigue* and *10 = very severe fatigue*?

- How would you rate your intensity of **fatigue during** this pregnancy with *0= no fatigue* and *10 = very severe fatigue*?

Supplementary Table 3.
Most reported chronic pain conditions among the "other chronic pain" group (Study B).

"Other" chronic pain condition	N [%]
Back pain	26 [21.49%]
Arthritis [a]	11 [9.09%]
Osteoarthritis	7 [5.79%]
Neck/shoulder pain	7 [5.79%]
Headaches/migraines	6 [4.96%]
Joint pain	6 [4.96%]
Sciatica	6 [4.96%]
Pelvic pain	4 [3.31%]
Chronic pain syndrome	3 [2.48%]
Facial pain	3 [2.48%]
Muscle pain	3 [2.48%]
Neuropathic pain	3 [2.48%]
Abdominal pain	2 [1.65%]
Back and other localised pain	2 [1.65%]
Back pain and arthritis	2 [1.65%]
Diabetes related pain	2 [1.65%]
Ehlers-Danlos syndrome	2 [1.65%]
Nerve damage	2 [1.65%]
Pain from autoimmune condition	2 [1.65%]
Other	21 [17.36%]

[a] These respondents did not specify the type of arthritis.
Note. Conditions included in "other" count were each only named once.

Supplementary Table 4.
Most reported diagnosed neurodivergent conditions among offspring, stratified by maternal chronic pain condition (Study B).

	Mothers with FMS		Mothers with other chronic pain	
Most reported diagnosed neurodivergent conditions in offspring	**N [%] Total including co-occurring conditions**	**N Mothers with only one neurodiverse child and with only a single neurodiverse condition stated**	**N [%] Total including co-occurring conditions**	**N Mothers with only one neurodiverse child and with only a single neurodiverse condition stated**

N	528		94	
Autism	137 [25.95%]		10 [10.64%]	
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder	115 [21.78%]	64 [12.12%]	14 [14.89%]	8 [8.51%]
Sensory processing disorder	23 [4.36%]	19 [3.60%]	2 [2.13%]	1 [1.06%]
Learning disability	11 [2.08%]	9 [1.70%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD)	9 [1.70%]	9 [1.70%]	1 [1.06%]	0 [0.00%]
Dyslexia	4 [0.76%]	3 [0.57%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
Dyspraxia	4 [0.76%]	2 [0.38%]	1 [1.06%]	0 [0.00%]
Tourette's syndrome	3 [0.57%]	1 [0.19%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
Semantic Pragmatic Disorder	2 [0.38%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD)	2 [0.38%]	0 [0.00%]	1 [1.06%]	0 [0.00%]

Note. Values in the total column include multiple entries per respondent due to co-occurring conditions in the same child, and as mothers may have had more than one neurodiverse child (see main text methods section).

Supplementary Table 5.

Participant characteristics of the fathers-only and the mothers-only sample (Study B).

	Fathers-only sample		**Mothers-only sample**	
	FMS	**Other chronic pain [a]**	**FMS**	**Other chronic pain [a]**
Characteristics	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)
N	57	23	528	94
Age yrs	31.89 (7.93)	38.00 (12.69)	42.00 (11.32)	45.14 (16.09)
Respondents with a diagnosed neurodivergent condition	29 [50.88%]	16 [69.57%]	149 [28.21%]	41 [43.62%]
1st degree family with diagnosed neurodivergent condition [c]	21 [36.84%]	15 [65.21%]	110 [20.83%]	31 [32.98%]
Weekly average pain score (0-10)	5.96 (1.91)	4.78 (1.65)	6.71 (1.75)	5.45 (1.98)
High lifetime-stress estimate (much more/extremely above average)	9 [15.79%]	3 [13.04%]	320 [60.61%]	31 [32.98%]

At least one autistic child	**3 [5.25%]**	**1 [4.35%]**	**137 [25.95%]**	**10 [10.64%]**
Answered "Yes" to having a neurodiverse child	13 [22.81%]	2 [8.70%]	304 [57.58%]	25 [26.60%]
Child with ADHD [b]	6 [10.53%]	1 [4.35%]	115 [21.78%]	14 [14.89%]

[b] Some may represent co-occurring ADHD with autism or other ND condition.
[c] First-degree family include the respondent's parents and siblings, but not children.

Supplementary Table 6.
Characteristics of mothers with FMS and other chronic pain, stratified by the ADHD status of children (Study B).

	Mothers with FMS		**Mothers with other chronic pain**	
	At least one ADHD child	**Non-ADHD children**	**At least one ADHD child**	**Non-ADHD children**
Characteristics	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)	N [%] or M (SD)
N	115 [21.78%]	413 [78.22%]	14 [14.89%]	80 [85.11%]
Age yrs	41.7 (10.70)	42.1 (11.50)	46.1 (13.30)	45.0 (16.6)
Respondents with a diagnosed neurodivergent condition	42 [36.52%]	107 [25.91%]	8 [57.14%]	33 [41.25%]
1st degree family with diagnosed neurodivergent condition [a]	24 [20.87%]	86 [20.82%]	3 [21.43%]	28 [35.00%]
Weekly average pain score (0-10)	7.25 (1.52)	6.56 (1.79)	6.00 (2.57)	5.35 (1.86)
High lifetime-stress estimate (much more/extremely above average)	79 [68.69%]	241 [58.35%]	5 [35.71%]	26 [32.50%]

[a] First-degree family include the respondent's parents and siblings, but not children.
Note. Some mothers reported multiple neurodiverse children and the same child with ADHD may have a co-occurring neurodivergent condition (e.g., autism). Maternal chronic pain condition was not significantly associated with ADHD in offspring (OR= 1.55, 95% CIs 0.88 – 2.92, p=.134).

Supplementary Figure 1.
Box plot showing the distribution of maternal age at the birth of children with an autism diagnosis. Online survey (Study B).



Supplementary Table 7.

Penalised logistic regression analysis showing the association of parental sex to children with an autism diagnosis.

	Model 1:			Model 2:		
Predictors	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**
Model 1						
Parental sex (mothers vs. fathers)	1.57 (0.49)	4.82 (2.03 – 14.85)	**<.001**	0.62 (0.90)	0.96 (0.40 – 17.91)	.463
Parental chronic pain condition (FMS vs. Other chronic pain)	0.99 (0.33)	2.68 (1.47 – 5.35)	**<001**	-0.04 (1.01)	1.86 (0.15 – 10.35)	.970
Model 2: Interaction						
*Parental sex * Parental chronic pain condition*	-	-	-	1.07 (1.06)	2.93 (0.25 – 21.54)	.341

Supplementary Table 8.

Penalised logistic regression analysis showing the association of a high self-reported lifetime stress estimate to children with an autism diagnosis.

	Model 1:			Model 2:		
Maternal predictors	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**	**B (SE)**	**OR (95% CIs)**	***p* value**
Model 1						
High lifetime stress estimate (much more/extremely above average)	0.41 (0.20)	1.51 (1.02 - 2.24)	**.037**	0.37 (0.66)	1.45 (0.37 – 5.19)	.576
Maternal chronic pain conditions (FMS vs. Other pain)	0.93 (0.35)	2.53 (1.34 – 5.27)	**.003**	0.87 (0.45)	2.39 (1.06 – 6.28)	**.035**
Model 2: Interaction						
*High lifetime stress * Maternal chronic pain condition*	-	-	-	0.05 (0.69)	1.05 (0.27 – 4.31)	.944

Appendix C: ***Clinical prospective investigation (Study C)***

Questionnaire

Your details

1. How old are you?
2. What is your sex?
3. What is your marital status?
4. Are you currently pregnant? If yes, exited out of questionnaire.
5. What is your diagnosed chronic pain condition/ where is your chronic pain located?
6. What year were you diagnosed with this chronic pain condition?
7. Before the development of your chronic pain condition, did you have any other chronic pain conditions? If yes, when did this start?

GAD- 7: Over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems?

1. Feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge?
2. Not being able to stop or control worrying?
3. Worrying too much about different things?
4. Trouble relaxing?
5. Being so restless that it is hard to sit still?
6. Becoming easily annoyed or irritable?
7. Feeling afraid as if something awful might happen?

AQ-10: Please respond with the answer that most accurately describes how each of the statements below applies to you.

1. I often notice small sounds when others do not.
2. When I'm reading a story, I find it difficult to work out the characters' intentions.
3. I find it easy to "read between the lines" when someone is talking to me.
4. I usually concentrate more on the whole picture, rather than the small details.
5. I know how to tell if someone listening to me is getting bored.
6. I find it easy to do more than one thing at once.
7. I find it easy to work out what someone is thinking or feeling just by looking at their face.

Questionnaire (continued)

8. If there is an interruption, I can switch back to what I was doing very quickly.

9. I like to collect information about categories of things.

10. I find it difficult to work out people's intentions.

RAADS-14: Please respond with the answer that most accurately describes how each of the statements below applies to you. For the purposes of this test, "*When I was Young*" refers to the age of 16 or younger.

1. It is difficult for me to understand how other people are feeling when they are talking.

2. Some ordinary textures that do not bother others feel very offensive when they touch my skin.

3. It is very difficult for me to work and function in groups.

4. It is difficult to figure out what other people expect of me.

5. I often don't know how to act in social situations.

6. I can chat and make small talk with people. *

7. When I feel overwhelmed by my senses I have to isolate myself to shut them down.

8. How to make friends and socialise is a mystery to me.

9. When talking to someone, I have a hard time telling when it is my turn to talk or to listen.

10. Sometimes I have to cover my ears to block out painful noises (like vacuum cleaners or people talking too much or too loudly)

11. It can be very hard to read someone's face, hand, and body movements when they are talking.

12. I focus on the details rather than overall idea

13. I take things too literally, so I often miss what people are trying to say.

14. I get extremely upset when the way I like to do things is suddenly changed.

Family neurodivergence

1. Have you been diagnosed with a neurodivergent condition?
   Neurodivergent conditions include: Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Sensory processing disorder, dyspraxia.
   - If yes, what condition?
   - Which medical professional diagnosed you with this neurodivergent condition?

Questionnaire (continued)

2. Do you have genetically related first-degree family members with a diagnosed neurodivergent condition?
   First-degree relatives include an individual's parents, siblings and offspring.
   - If yes, who has been affected?
     a) Parent(s)
     b) Sibling(s)
     c) Child(ren)
   - What condition do they have?

3. Do you have children?

Your pain, pregnancy, and children. Please complete these questions in the birth order of all your children, starting with your firstborn (oldest) and your experience during this pregnancy.

1. Did you have chronic pain at the time of this pregnancy, or in the year following this pregnancy? If yes, where was this located and what year did this start?

2. If you had chronic pain during pregnancy/in the year following, how would you rate the severity of this pain at the time of pregnancy?

3. If you can remember the severity of this pain, did the intensity of this pain change during pregnancy? (increase, decrease, or stay the same).

4. Did you smoke during pregnancy?

5. What is this child's sex?

6. How old is this child now?

Parent-report AQ-10:

1. S/he often notices small sounds when others do not.

2. S/he usually concentrates more on the whole picture, rather than the small details.

3. In a social group, s/he can easily keep track of several different people's conversations.

4. S/he finds it easy to go back and forth between activities.

5. S/he doesn't know how to keep a conversation going with his/her peers

6. S/he is good at social chit-chat

7. When s/he is reading a story, s/he finds it difficult to work out the character's intentions or feelings.

8. When s/he was in preschool, s/he used to enjoy playing games involving pretending with other children.

Questionnaire (continued)

9. S/he finds it easy to work out what someone is thinking or feeling just by looking at their face.

10. S/he finds it hard to make new friends.

The next set of questions still refer to your first-born (oldest) child.

1. Does your first-born (oldest) child have an autism spectrum disorder diagnosis?
- If yes, which medical professional diagnosed your child with autism spectrum disorder?
- How old was your child at the time of diagnosis?

2. If no, have you had concerns about your first-born (oldest) child's social or communication development, or their rigid/repetitive interests or behaviours?

SCQ:

1. Is s/he now able to talk using short phrases or sentences? **If *no* please skip to question 8.**

2. Can you have a to-and-fro "conversation" with her/him that involves taking turns or building on what you have said?

3. Has s/he ever used odd phrases or said the same thing over and over in almost exactly the same way (either phrases that s/he hears other people use of ones that s/he makes up)?

4. Has s/he ever used socially inappropriate questions or statements? For example, has s/he ever regularly asked personal questions or made personal comments at awkward times?

5. Has s/he ever got her/his pronouns mixed up? For example, saying *you* or *she/he* for *I*?

6. Has s/he ever used words that s/he seemed to have invented or made up her/himself; put things in odd, indirect ways; or use metaphorical ways of saying things? For example, saying *hot rain* for *steam?*

7. Has s/he ever said the same thing over and over in exactly the same way or insist that you say the same thing over and over again?

8. Has s/he ever had things that s/he seems to have to do in a very particular way or order or rituals that s/he insists that you go through?

9. Has her/his facial expression usually seemed appropriate to the particular situation, as far as you can tell?

10. Has s/he ever used your hand like a tool or as if it were part of her/his own body? For example, pointing with your finger or putting your hand on a doorknob to get you to open the door?

11. Has s/he ever had any interests that preoccupy her/him and might seem odd to other people? For example, traffic lights, drainpipes, timetables.

Questionnaire (continued)

12. Has s/he ever seemed to be more interested in parts of a toy or an object, rather than in using the object as it was intended? For example, spinning the wheels of a toy car.

13. Has s/he ever had any special interests that are unusual in their intensity but otherwise appropriate for her/his age and peer group? For example, trains or dinosaurs

14. Has s/he ever seemed to be unusually interested in sight, feel, sound, taste, or smell of things or things or people?

15. Has s/he ever had any mannerisms or odd ways of moving her/his hands or fingers, such as flapping or moving her/his fingers in front of her/his eyes?

16. Has s/he ever had any complicated movements of her/his whole body, such as spinning or repeatedly bouncing up and down?

17. Has s/he ever injured her/himself deliberately, such as by biting her/his arm or banging her/his head?

18. Has s/he ever had any objects (other than a soft toy or comfort blanket) that s/he has to carry around?

19. Does s/he have any particular friends or a best friend?

20. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever talk with you just to be friendly (rather than to get something)?

21. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever spontaneously copy you (or other people) or what you are doing (such as vacuuming, gardening, or mending things)?

22. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever spontaneously point at things around her/him just to show you things (not because s/he wants them)?

23. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever use gestures, other than pointing or pulling your hand, to let you know what s/he wants?

24. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he nod her/his head to indicate yes?

25. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he shake her/his head to indicate no?

26. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he usually look at you directly in the face when doing things with you or talking with you?

27. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he smile back if someone smiles at her/him?

28. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever show you things that interest her/him to engage your attention?

29. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever offer to share things other than food with you?

Questionnaire (continued)

30. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever seem to want you to join in her/his enjoyment of something?

31. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever try to comfort you if you were sad or hurt?

32. When s/he was 4 to 5, when s/he wanted something or wanted help, did s/he look at you and use gestures with sounds or words to get your attention?

33. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he show a normal range of facial expression?

34. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever spontaneously join in and try to copy the actions in social games, such as *The Mulberry Bush* or *London Bridge Is Falling Down?*

35. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he play any pretend or make-believe games?

36. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he seem interested in other children of approximately the same age whom s/he did not know?

37. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he respond positively when another child approached her/him?

38. When s/he was 4 to 5, if you came into a room and started talking to her/him without calling her/his name, did s/he usually look up and pay attention to you?

39. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he ever play imaginative games with another child in such a way that you can tell that each child understood what the other was pretending?

40. When s/he was 4 to 5, did s/he play cooperatively in games that need some form of joining in with a group of other children, such as hide-and-seek or ball games?

If your first-born (oldest) child has an autism spectrum disorder diagnosis, we would like to ask you some questions about this child's health and autism spectrum disorder.

1. Does your child have any other medical diagnoses, including genetic or medical conditions?

2. Does your child have an intellectual disability?
- If yes, and you know the "severity" of your child's intellectual disability, how would it best be described? (Mild, Moderate, Severe/profound).

3. Did your child have any complications during pregnancy, delivery, or after their birth?

4. When your child was diagnosed with autism, the doctor may have assigned a level 1, 2, or 3 to include two areas of functioning: a) social communication b) restricted, repetitive, behaviours. Do you know the level of support needs for your child?
- If yes, what is the level of support specified for your child?

5. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your child's health, or about anything else that we have asked in this survey?

6. Do you have another child?

Questions are repeated for each child.

Supplementary Table 9.
Patient characteristics of the total sample and the mothers-only sample by chronic pain condition (Study C).

	Total sample			Mothers-only sample		
	FMS	**CRPS**	**Other chronic pain**	**FMS**	**CRPS**	**Other chronic pain**
Patient characteristics	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)
N	29	11	26	24	7	11
Age (yrs) (mean (SD))	45.8 (1.17)	48.3 (1.45)	51.0 (1.10)	49.1 (8.28)	54.1 (9.87)	49 (14.20)
Sex = female	27 [93.10%]	8 [72.73%]	15 [57.69%]	-	-	-
Biological children	26 [89.66%]	9 [81.82%]	22 [84.62%]	-	-	-
Autistic child	**8 [27.59%]**	**3 [27.27%]**	**3 [11.54%]**	**8 [33.33%]**	**2 [28.57%]**	**0 [0.00%]**
Autistic respondent	3 [10.34%]	1 [9.09%]	1 [3.85%]	2 [8.33%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
1st degree family with diagnosed neurodivergent condition [a]	5 [17.24%]	3 [27.27%]	4 [15.38%]	5 [20.83%]	1 [14.29%]	2 [18.18%]
GAD-7 (0-21) *Scored above cutoff (≥10*	7 (9) 12 [41.38%]	9 (11) 5 [45.45%]	10.5 (10.8) 14 [53.85%]	7.5 (9.5) 10 [41.67%]	4 (11) 3 [42.86%]	13 (8.5) 7 [63.64%]
RAADS-14 Total (0-42) *Scored above cutoff (≥14)*	27 (21) 21 [72.41%]	23 (14) 8 [72.73%]	21.5 (23.5) 19 [73.08%]	22 (20) 16 [66.67%]	20 (22) 5 [71.43%]	24 (16) 9 [81.82%]
AQ-10 (0-10, cutoff ≥6) *Scored above cutoff (≥6)*	4 (1) 7 [24.14%]	5 (2) 5 [45.45%]	5 (2) 8 [30.77%]	4.5 (1.25) 6 [25.00%]	5 (1.5) 3 [42.86%]	5 (1.5) 3 [27.27%]

[a] First-degree family include the respondent's parents and siblings, but not children.
Abbreviations: IQR, Interquartile Range. SD, Standard Deviation.

Supplementary Table 10.
Characteristics of mothers with FMS, CRPS and other chronic pain, stratified by the autism status of children (Study C).

	Mothers with FMS		**Mothers with CRPS**		**Mothers with other chronic pain**
	Autistic child	**Non-autistic child**	**Autistic child**	**Non-autistic child**	**Non-autistic child**
Patient characteristics	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)	N [%] or median (IQR)
N	8	16	2	5	11
Age (yrs) (mean (SD)	48.8 (7.34)	49.3 (8.93)	40.5 (0.71)	59.6 (3.97)	49 (14.2)
Autistic respondent	0 [0.00%]	2 [12.5%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
1st degree family with diagnosed neurodivergent condition [a]	3 [37.50%]	1 [6.25%]	0 [0.00%]	1 [20.00%]	2 [18.18%]
GAD-7 (0-21)	7 (8)	7.5 (11.2)	16.5 (3.5)	4 (1)	13 (8.5)
Scored above cutoff (≥10)	3 [37.50%]	7 [43.75%]	2 [100.00%]	1 [20.00%]	7 [63.64%]
RAADS-14 Total (0-42)	25 (14.5)	21.5 (20)	40.5 (1.5)	18 (18)	24 (16)
Scored above cutoff (≥14)	6 [75.00%]	10 [62.50%]	2 [100.00%]	3 [60.00%]	9 [81.82%]
AQ-10 (0-10)	4.5 (1.25)	4.5 (1.25)	5.5 (0.5)	5 (2)	5 (1.5)
Scored above cutoff (≥6)	2 [25.00%]	4 [25.00%]	1 [50.00%]	2 [40.00%]	3 [27.27%]

[a] First-degree family include the respondent's parents and siblings, but not children.
Abbreviations: IQR, Interquartile Range. SD, Standard Deviation.

Supplementary Table 11.
Characteristics of autistic children by parental chronic pain condition in the clinical prospective investigation (Study C).

	FMS	CRPS	Other chronic pain
Characteristics of autistic child	N [%] or mean (SD)	N [%] or mean (SD)	N [%] or mean (SD)
N (of parents with that chronic pain condition)	8	3	3
Parental age at birth	27.12 (6.83)	28.33 (6.11)	34.67 (2.52)
AQ-10 score median (IQR)(0-10)	8.50 (1.50)	9.00 (0.50)	7.00 (2.00)
Scored above cutoff(≥6)	8 [100.00%]	3 [100.00%]	2 [66.67%]
SCQ score median (IQR) (0-39)	28.00 (6.50)	31.00 (2.50)	17.00 (6.00)
Scored above cutoff(≥15)	8 [100.00%]	3 [100.00%]	3 [100.00%]
Age at diagnosis yrs	14.29 (4.82)	5.83 (5.48)	8.67 (4.16)
Sex = male	4 [50.00%]	3 [100.00%]	2 [66.67%]
Birth order:			
1st child:	3 [37.50%]	2 [66.67%]	2 [66.67%]
2nd child:	2 [25.00%]	-	1 [33.33%]
3rd child:	3 [37.5%]	1 [33.33%]	-
Any maternal chronic pain during pregnancy	4 [50.00%]	2 [66.67%]	Unknown
Birth/ pregnancy complications	2 [25.00%] • Respiratory distress syndrome • Low blood sugar	2 [66.67%) • Low birth weight • Premature, sepsis and meningitis, necrosis of placenta	-
Co-occurring intellectual disability	3 [37.50%]	2 [66.67%]	1 [33.33%]
Level of support needs:			
Level 1:	-	-	Unknown
Level 2:	3 [37.50%]	-	-
Level 3:	-	2 [66.67%]	-
Child's other co-occurring disorders/ conditions	• Pulmonary stenosis • Cerebral Palsy • Asthma (x2) • ADHD	• ADHD, global developmental delay, asthma. • Angelman syndrome	• Global delay

Note. Parents did not know or report answers for all of the reported variables.

Supplementary Table 12.
Maternal data gathered from all pregnancies, stratified by maternal chronic pain condition and autism diagnosis status of children (Study C).

	Mothers with FMS		**Mothers with CRPS**		**Mothers with other chronic pain**
	Pregnancies of autistic children	**Pregnancies of non-autistic children**	**Pregnancies of autistic children**	**Pregnancies of non-autistic children**	**Pregnancies of non-autistic children**
Number of pregnancies (and children)	8	43	2	11	22
Reported pain during pregnancy	4 [50.00%]	20 [46.51%]	2 [100.00%]	3 [27.27%]	15 [68.18%]
	- Widespread pain (x2) - Right leg pain after DVT - Hip pain, SPD, migraines	- FMS and back pain - FMS (x11) - Back pain - Back and neck - Back, hips, legs - Arthritis in back and symphysis (x2) - CRPS (x3)	- CRPS (x2)	- CRPS (x2) - Did not specify	- Joint pain (x2) - Sciatica - Back pain x 8 -EDS (x2) - Full body pain - Knee pain
If pain, intensity of pain during pregnancy:					
Mild:	1 [12.50%]	0 [0.00%]	1 [50.00%]	0 [0.00%]	1 [4.55%]
Moderate:	1 [12.50%]	8 [18.60%]	0 [0.00%]	2 [18.18%]	6 [27.27%]
Severe:	2 [25.00%]	10 [23.26%]	1 [50.00%]	1 [9.09%]	8 [36.36%]
Unknown:*	0 [0.00%]	2 [4.65%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]
If pain, difference in pain intensity from before to during pregnancy:					
Increase:	2 [25.00%]	9 [20.93%]	1 [50.00%]	1 [9.09%]	10 [45.45%]
Stayed the same:	1 [12.50%]	3 [6.98%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]	5 [22.73%]
Decrease:	0 [0.00%]	4 [9.30%]	1 [50.00%]	2 [18.18%]	0 [0.00%]
Unknown:*	1 [12.50%]	4 [9.30%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]	0 [0.00%]

Abbreviations: CRPS, Complex Regional Pain Syndrome; DVT, Deep Vein Thrombosis; EDS, Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome; FMS, Fibromyalgia Syndrome; SPD, Symphysis Pubis Dysfunction.
Note. Reported pain during pregnancy was counted per pregnancy.
*Patients who did not know or remember the intensity or difference in intensity of pain during pregnancy.

Supplementary Table 14.
AQ-10 and SCQ total scores of all children, by parental (mothers and fathers) chronic pain condition and autism diagnosis status. Values represent median (interquartile range). (Study C).

	Parent with FMS		**Parent with CRPS**		**Parent with other chronic pain**	
	Autistic child	**Non-autistic child**	**Autistic child**	**Non-autistic child**	**Autistic child**	**Non-autistic child**
N children	8	45	3	14	3	37
AQ-10 total score (0-10)	8.50 (1.50)	3.00 (5.00)	9.00 (0.50)	1.00 (6.00)	7.00 (2.00)	4.00 (4.00)
N scored above AQ-10 cutoff(≥6)	8 [100%]	16 [35.56%]	3 [100%]	5 [35.71%]	2 [66.67%]	11 [29.73%]
SCQ total score (0-39; cut off≥15)*	24.00 (6.50)	11.50 (12.20)	31.00 (2.50)	17.00 (11.00)	17.00 (6.00)	16.00 (7.50)
N scored above SCQ cutoff(≥15)	8 [100%]	8 [17.78%]	3 [100%]	4 [28.57%]	3 [100%]	9 [24.32%]

*The SCQ was only completed by parents who had concerns about their child's development or had autism. **Regarding non-autistic children, FMS patients reported concern for the development of 20/45 (44.44%) children, CRPS 5/15 (33.33%) children, and other chronic pain 17/37 (45.95%) children.**

Supplementary Table 15.
AQ-10 and SCQ total scores of non-autistic children, by parental (mothers and fathers) chronic pain condition and whether they had an autistic sibling. Values represent median (interquartile range). (Study C).

	Parent with FMS		**Parent with CRPS**		**Parent with other chronic pain**	
	Has an autistic sibling	**No autistic siblings**	**Has an autistic sibling**	**No autistic siblings**	**Has an autistic sibling**	**No autistic siblings**
N children	13	32	5	10	3	35
AQ-10 total score (0-10; cutoff ≥6)	3.00 (5.00)	3.00 (5.25)	6.00 (7.00)	1.00 (4.75)	3.00 (0.50)	4.50 (4.75)
N scored above AQ-10 cutoff(≥6)	5 [38.46%]	11 [34.38%]	3 [60.00%]	2 [20.00%]	0 [0.00%]	11 [31.43%]
SCQ total score (0-39; cut off≥15)*	11.00 (16.00)	12.00 (9.00)	24.50 (7.50)	15.00 (8.50)	NA	16.00 (7.50)
N scored above SCQ cutoff(≥15)	3 [23.08%]	5 [15.63%]	2 [40.00%]	2 [20.00%]	NA	9 [25.71%]

*The SCQ was only completed by parents who had concerns about their child's development. **Regarding non-autistic children, FMS patients reported concern for the development of 20/45 (44.44%) children, CRPS 5/15 (33.33%) children, and other chronic pain 17/37 (45.95%) children.**

Supplementary Figure 2.
Age of autism spectrum diagnosis by sex and parental chronic pain condition. Clinical prospective investigation (Study C).



[1] Bennett RM, Friend R, Jones KD, Ward R, Han BK, Ross RL. The revised fibromyalgia impact questionnaire (FIQR): validation and psychometric properties. Arthritis research & therapy 2009;11(4):R120.
[2] Boehme R, van Ettinger-Veenstra H, Olausson H, Gerdle B, Nagi SS. Anhedonia to gentle touch in fibromyalgia: normal sensory processing but abnormal evaluation. Brain Sciences 2020;10(5):306.
[3] Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Revicki DA, Harding G, Coyne KS, Peirce-Sandner S, Bhagwat D, Everton D, Burke LB, Cowan P. Development and initial validation of an expanded and revised version of the Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ-2). Pain® 2009;144(1-2):35-42.
[4] Freynhagen R, Baron R, Gockel U, Tölle TR. Pain DETECT: a new screening questionnaire to identify neuropathic components in patients with back pain. Current medical research and opinion 2006;22(10):1911-1920.
[5] Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, Janssen M, Kind P, Parkin D, Bonsel G, Badia X. Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). Quality of life research 2011;20(10):1727-1736.
[6] MA RJB. After-Sensations and Lingering Pain Following Examination in Patients with Fibromyalgia Syndrome. 2022.
[7] Nicholas MK. The pain self-efficacy questionnaire: taking pain into account. European journal of pain 2007;11(2):153-163.
[8] Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Health and quality of life outcomes 2003;1(1):29.
[9] Sullivan MJ, Bishop SR, Pivik J. The pain catastrophizing scale: development and validation. Psychological assessment 1995;7(4):524.
[10] Tan G, Jensen MP, Thornby JI, Shanti BF. Validation of the Brief Pain Inventory for chronic nonmalignant pain. The journal of pain 2004;5(2):133-137.
[11] Wolfe F, Clauw DJ, Fitzcharles MA, Goldenberg DL, Katz RS, Mease P, Russell AS, Russell IJ, Winfield JB, Yunus MB. The American College of Rheumatology preliminary diagnostic criteria for fibromyalgia and measurement of symptom severity. Arthritis care & research 2010;62(5):600-610.
[12] Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB, Bennett RM, Bombardier C, Goldenberg DL, Tugwell P, Campbell SM, Abeles M, Clark P. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of fibromyalgia. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology 1990;33(2):160-172.