Title: Timing matters: Unveiling the distinct effects of early- versus late-onset pre-eclampsia on mothers and newborns in a tertiary hospital in Bangladesh

Authors: Taukir Tanjim[1], Saleh Haider[1], Hafsa Hossain[2], Dilruba Zeba[3]

Author Affiliations:

1. International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Dhaka, Bangladesh.
2. Diabetic Association Medical College, Faridpur, Bangladesh.
3. Faridpur Medical College, Faridpur, Bangladesh.

Corresponding author info:

Taukir Tanjim, MBBS, MPH, Project Research Physician, Infectious Diseases Division, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Email: taukir.tanjim@bsmmc.edu.bd, taukir.tanjim@icddrb.org.

ABSTRACT

Background: Pre-eclampsia (PE) is a significant cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality worldwide. The clinical course and severity of PE can vary depending on the gestational age at onset. However, limited data from developing countries including Bangladesh stratify outcomes by onset timing, hindering context-specific management. This study aimed to differentiate maternal and perinatal outcomes associated with early-onset PE (EO-PE, <34 weeks) versus late-onset PE (LO-PE, ≥34 weeks) in a tertiary care setting in Bangladesh.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted at Faridpur Medical College Hospital, Faridpur, Bangladesh, from March to August 2023. Data were collected from hospital records and through pre-structured telephone questionnaires for 255 mothers diagnosed with PE (EO-PE: n=121; LO-PE: n=134). Maternal, fetal and neonatal outcomes were compared between the EO-PE and LO-PE groups.

Results: The prevalence of PE was 11.28%. EO-PE was associated with more adverse perinatal outcomes compared to LO-PE, including higher rates of prematurity, low birth weight, and increased need for neonatal hospital admission. Maternal complications such as eclampsia (31% vs 19%) and placental abruption (20% vs 10%) were more frequent in the EO-PE group. In multivariable regression, EO-PE was not independently associated with maternal adverse outcomes but showed significantly higher odds of stillbirth (aOR: 17.7, 95% CI: 6.15-66.7, $p<0.001$) and neonatal adverse outcomes (aOR: 5.33, 95% CI: 2.96-9.83, $p<0.001$).

Conclusions: Early-onset PE is associated with more severe adverse perinatal outcomes compared to late-onset PE. Onset-based classification, early screening, and targeted management strategies are recommended to reduce the burden of pre-eclampsia.

Keywords: Developing Countries, Perinatal Death, Pre-eclampsia, Pregnancy Complications, Pregnancy Outcome.

MAIN TEXT

1. Introduction

Pregnancy, while a transformative phase in a woman's life, can be complicated by conditions that pose significant risks to both mother and child [1]. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, particularly pre-eclampsia (PE), are major contributors to maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality globally [2]. PE is typically characterized by new-onset hypertension after 20 weeks of gestation accompanied by proteinuria or other evidence of maternal end-organ or uteroplacental dysfunction [3]. It affects an estimated 2% to 10% of pregnancies worldwide, with 20% of the total global PE patients belong to the underdeveloped nations [2, 4]. The burden of PE is disproportionately high in developing countries, which may range from 2% to 17% due to challenges in healthcare infrastructure and management of complications [5, 6].

Bangladesh has made significant progress in reducing overall maternal mortality in recent decades. However, the prevalence of PE is 14.4% in Bangladesh which is much higher compared to the global percentage [7]. According to Bangladesh Maternal Mortality and Health Care Surveys (BMMSs) 2016, the preeclampsia/eclampsia-specific mortality ratio was 46 per 100,000 live births, [8]. Moreover, 9% of stillbirths and 7% of early neonatal deaths in Bangladesh was attributed to eclampsia, the severe progression of PE [9]. PE remains a formidable challenge, accounting for nearly one-fourth of all maternal deaths and ranking as the second most common direct cause of maternal mortality in Bangladesh [8]. The classification of PE into early-onset (EO-PE, typically occurring <34 weeks of gestation) and late-onset (LO-PE, occurring ≥34 weeks of gestation) has gained prominence due to its significant prognostic implications [10, 11]. EO-PE is often

associated with more severe underlying placental pathology, leading to more severe clinical manifestations and poorer outcomes for both mother and fetus compared to LO-PE [12, 13]. Understanding these distinctions is critical for risk stratification, targeted interventions, and optimizing resource allocation.

Despite the recognized importance of this classification, there is a relative scarcity of studies directly comparing maternal and fetal outcomes between EO-PE and LO-PE groups within the context of Bangladesh and other developing countries. Existing local data do not stratify by onset timing, limiting the ability to tailor management strategies effectively. A better understanding of PE, including its varying clinical presentations and outcomes based on the timing of onset, is essential for improving maternal and perinatal health. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the maternal, fetal, and neonatal outcomes of early versus late-onset PE among women managed at a tertiary referral hospital in Faridpur, Bangladesh.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Design and Setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faridpur Medical College Hospital (FMCH) in Faridpur, Bangladesh. FMCH is a tertiary level hospital serving as a major referral center for public and private hospitals in Faridpur and adjacent districts including Rajbari, Gopalganj, Magura, and Madaripur.

2.2 Study Population and Sampling

We reviewed hospital admission records of all pregnant women admitted and delivered at FMCH between March 2023 and August 2023. Women diagnosed with PE according to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) guidelines were considered eligible [3].

Inclusion criteria for participants were: women of reproductive age ranging between 18-45 years, a complete hospital record (including pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal details) and availability of contact information for follow-up. Patients whose pregnancy with PE was terminated before the age of fetal viability (defined as <28 weeks of gestation) and those without significant hospital record data or unavailable contact information were excluded. PE was categorized as early-onset (EO-PE) if diagnosed before 34 completed weeks of gestation and late-onset (LO-PE) if diagnosed at or after 34 completed weeks of gestation [10].

2.3 Data Collection

Socio-demographic information (age, education, occupation, residence, religion) and other clinical history such (gravida, family history of hypertension, number of ANC visit) were collected through telephone interviews. Obstetric information such as patent history, pregnancy complications and delivery outcomes were primarily extracted from hospital medical records using a standardized data abstraction form. Neonatal outcomes such as prematurity, low birth weight (LBW), Intrauterine Growth Restriction (IUGR), congenital anomalies, Apgar scores, need for hospital admission, respiratory support, neonatal death were also collected from the records. The data collection was conducted using a structured questionnaire.

2.4 Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). Categorical data were presented as frequencies and percentages, and comparisons between EO-PE and LO-PE groups were made using the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test where appropriate. Continuous data were summarized as mean ± standard deviation and compared using independent samples t-test. Logistic regression analyses were used to assess the association between pre-eclampsia onset and maternal, fetal, and neonatal adverse outcomes. Adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were estimated after controlling for maternal age, living area, religion, education, and occupation. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.5 Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Faridpur Medical College Hospital, Faridpur. Informed verbal consent was obtained from participants prior to conducting the telephone interviews. All data collected were anonymized prior to analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Participant Recruitment and Baseline Characteristics

During the six-month study period (March to August 2023), a total of 3785 pregnant women were delivered at the Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, FMCH, Faridpur. Among them, 427 (11%) mothers were diagnosed with PE. 89 cases were excluded due to the unavailability of significant clinical records, and an additional 41

cases were excluded as our data collectors were unable to contact them. From the eligible cases, 255 women with PE agreed to participate and provide further information. This final sample comprised 121 women in the EO-PE group and 134 women in the LO-PE group. (Figure-1)



Figure-1: Flowchart of participant selection from admitted pre-eclamptic patients

The mean age of the patients was 26.4 ± 6 years, with women in the EO-PE group being older in age compared to the LO-PE group (27.8 ± 5.6 years vs 25.1 ± 5.9 years, $p<0.001$). While the majority of participants had attained only up to primary level education, the overall educational level was significantly higher in the LO-PE group ($p=0.016$). (Table-1)

Table-1: Comparison of socio-demographic factors between EO-PE & LO-PE groups

Characteristic	Early-onset PE N = 121[1]	Late-onset PE N = 134[1]	Overall N = 255[1]	p-value[2]
Age	27.86 ± 5.64	25.11 ± 5.99	26.42 ± 5.98	<0.001
Living Area				0.840
Rural	88 (72.73%)	100 (74.63%)	188 (73.73%)	
Urban	33 (27.27%)	34 (25.37%)	67 (26.27%)	
Education				0.016
Illiterate	11 (9.09%)	9 (6.72%)	20 (7.84%)	
Able to read/write	18 (14.88%)	6 (4.48%)	24 (9.41%)	
Primary	45 (37.19%)	44 (32.84%)	89 (34.90%)	
Secondary	16 (13.22%)	30 (22.39%)	46 (18.04%)	
Undergraduate	23 (19.01%)	27 (20.15%)	50 (19.61%)	
Graduate & above	8 (6.61%)	18 (13.43%)	26 (10.20%)	
Occupation				0.030
Homemaker	101 (83.47%)	105 (78.36%)	206 (80.78%)	
Business	8 (6.61%)	2 (1.49%)	10 (3.92%)	
Student	10 (8.26%)	21 (15.67%)	31 (12.16%)	
Service holder	2 (1.65%)	6 (4.48%)	8 (3.14%)	
Religion				0.637
Muslim	113 (93.39%)	128 (95.52%)	241 (94.51%)	
Hindu	8 (6.61%)	6 (4.48%)	14 (5.49%)	

[1]Mean ± SD; n (%)

[2]Welch Two Sample t-test; Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test

More than 80% of participants were homemakers. However, being a student was significantly more common in the LO-PE group compared to the EO-PE group (15.67% vs. 8.26%, p=0.03). About 95% of participants were Muslim, and approximately two-thirds resided in rural areas, with no significant difference between groups.

3.2 Maternal Clinical History and ANC

A significantly higher proportion of the EO-PE women were primigravid compared to the LO-PE group (76.03% vs. 47.76%, p<0.001). Pre-existing chronic hypertension (14.88% vs 5.97%, p=0.032) and hypothyroidism (14.05% vs. 1.49%, p<0.001) had significantly higher prevalence in the EO-PE group as well. There were no significant

differences between groups in the prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus or a family history of hypertension. Similarly, no significant difference was observed in the history of PE in previous pregnancies among multigravida women (17.39% vs. 12.50%, p=0.544). (Table-2)

Table-2: Comparison of clinical characteristics between the EO-PE and LO-PE groups

Characteristic	**Early-onset PE** N = 121[1]	**Late-onset PE** N = 134[1]	**Overall** N = 255[1]	**p-value**[2]
Primigravida	29 (23.97%)	70 (52.24%)	99 (38.82%)	<0.001
Gestational Diabetes Mellitus	8 (6.61%)	8 (5.97%)	16 (6.27%)	>0.999
Hypothyroidism	17 (14.05%)	2 (1.49%)	19 (7.45%)	<0.001
Chronic Hypertension	18 (14.88%)	8 (5.97%)	26 (10.20%)	0.032
Family History of Hypertension	48 (39.67%)	51 (38.06%)	99 (38.82%)	0.893
History of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension	16 (17.39%)	8 (12.50%)	24 (15.38%)	0.544
4 or more ANC visit	43 (35.54%)	60 (44.78%)	103 (40.39%)	0.170

[1]n (%)

[2]Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test

Regarding ANC, more than half of all participants did not complete the minimum recommended four ANC visits. There was no significant difference in achieving four or more ANC visits between the LO-PE group and the EO-PE group (44.78% vs. 35.54%, p=0.170).

3.3 Maternal Outcomes and Complications

Maternal mortality did not differ significantly between the groups (3.31% in EO-PE vs. 1.49% in LO-PE, p=0.427). However, specific maternal complications were more frequent in the EO-PE group. PE progressed to eclampsia in 31.40% of EO-PE cases compared to 18.66% in LO-PE cases (p=0.027). Placental abruption was also more common in the EO-PE group (19.83% vs 9.70%, p=0.034). (Table-3)

Table-3: Comparison of maternal outcomes between the EO-PE and LO-PE groups

Characteristic	**Early-onset PE** N = 121[1]	**Late-onset PE** N = 134[1]	**Overall** N = 255[1]	**p-value**[2]
Maternal Mortality	4 (3.31%)	2 (1.49%)	6 (2.35%)	0.427
Eclampsia	38 (31.40%)	25 (18.66%)	63 (24.71%)	0.027
Abruptio Placenta	24 (19.83%)	13 (9.70%)	37 (14.51%)	0.034
HELLP Syndrome	10 (8.26%)	10 (7.46%)	20 (7.84%)	0.996
Premature Rupture of Membrane	5 (4.13%)	13 (9.70%)	18 (7.06%)	0.136
Acute Kidney Injury	4 (3.31%)	2 (1.49%)	6 (2.35%)	0.427
Pulmonary Edema	8 (6.61%)	4 (2.99%)	12 (4.71%)	0.285
Cerebrovascular Accidents	8 (6.61%)	8 (5.97%)	16 (6.27%)	>0.999
Maternal Adverse Outcome	57 (47.11%)	60 (44.78%)	117 (45.88%)	0.805

[1]n (%)

[2]Fisher's exact test; Pearson's Chi-squared test

The occurrence of other severe maternal complications such as HELLP syndrome, postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), acute kidney injury (AKI), cerebrovascular accidents or pulmonary edema had no significant differences between the groups. The overall prevalence of adverse maternal outcome (defined as one or more maternal complications or maternal death) was slightly higher in the EO-PE group (47.11%) compared to the LO-PE group (44.78%, p=0.805).

3.4 Fetal Outcomes

The EO-PE group experienced significantly poorer fetal outcomes. Fetal survival was markedly lower in the EO-PE group (72%; 87 livebirths out of 121 cases) compared to the LO-PE group (97%; 130 livebirths out of 134 cases, p<0.001). Interestingly, women in the LO-PE group had a significantly higher rate of cesarean sections (C/S) compared to those in the EO-PE group (84.33% vs 51.24%, p<0.001). There was no significant difference in sex of the baby between the two groups. (Table-4)

Table 4: Comparison of fetal outcomes between the EO-PE and LO-PE groups

Characteristic	Early-onset PE N = 121[1]	Late-onset PE N = 134[1]	Overall N = 255[1]	p-value[2]
Mode of Delivery				<0.001
Caesarean Section	62 (51.24%)	113 (84.33%)	175 (68.63%)	
Vaginal Delivery	59 (48.76%)	21 (15.67%)	80 (31.37%)	
Birth Outcome				<0.001
Stillbirth	34 (28.10%)	4 (2.99%)	38 (14.90%)	
Livebirth	87 (71.90%)	130 (97.01%)	217 (85.10%)	
Sex of Baby				0.247
Female	63 (52.07%)	59 (44.03%)	122 (47.84%)	
Male	58 (47.93%)	75 (55.97%)	133 (52.16%)	

[1]n (%)

[2]Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test

3.5 Neonatal Outcomes

In terms of neonatal outcomes, those born to mothers with EO-PE had substantially worse outcomes. Neonatal death occurred significantly more often in the EO-PE group (11 deaths, 12.64%) compared to the LO-PE group (2 deaths, 1.54%, p=0.002). (Table-5)

Table-5: Comparison of neonatal outcomes between the EO-PE and LO-PE groups

Characteristic	Early-onset PE N = 121[1]	Late-onset PE N = 134[1]	Overall N = 255[1]	p-value[2]
Neonatal Mortality	11 (12.64%)	2 (1.54%)	13 (5.99%)	0.002
Prematurity	66 (75.86%)	2 (1.54%)	68 (31.34%)	<0.001
Low Birth Weight	64 (73.56%)	23 (17.69%)	87 (40.09%)	<0.001
Intra Uterine Growth Retardation	26 (29.89%)	19 (14.62%)	45 (20.74%)	0.011
Congenital Anomaly	0 (0.00%)	4 (3.08%)	4 (1.84%)	0.151
APGAR score <7 at 5 min	12 (13.79%)	10 (7.69%)	22 (10.14%)	0.219
Hospital Admission	47 (54.02%)	27 (20.77%)	74 (34.10%)	<0.001
Neonatal Adverse Outcome	78 (64.46%)	33 (24.63%)	111 (43.53%)	<0.001

[1]n (%)

[2]Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test

Women in the EO-PE group (75.86%) had five times more premature (<37 weeks) deliveries compared to the LO-PE group (13.07%, p<0.001). Similarly, low birth weight babies (<2500g) were about four times more frequent in the EO-PE group (73.56%) than in the LO-PE group (17.69%, p<0.001). Intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) was also significantly more common in neonates from the EO-PE group (29.89% vs 14.62%, p=0.011). The difference in the proportion of neonates with a 5-minute Apgar score and the number of cases of congenital anomalies between the EO-PE and LO-PE groups was not significant. The need for neonatal hospital admission was significantly higher for neonates in the EO-PE group compared to the LO-PE group (54.02% vs. 20.77%, p<0.001). Overall, the neonatal adverse outcome (defined as the occurrence of at least one or more neonatal complications or neonatal death) was alarmingly higher in the EO-PE group compared to the LO-PE group (89.66% vs. 25.38%, p<0.001).

3.6 Association between Timing of Pre-eclampsia Onset and Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes

In simple logistic regression analyses, EO-PE was not associated with maternal adverse outcomes compared with LO-PE group (Odds Ratio [OR]: 1.10, 95% Confidence Interval [95% CI]: 0.67–1.80; p=0.7), but was strongly associated with adverse fetal and neonatal outcomes. EO-PE was associated with a markedly increased odds of adverse fetal outcomes (OR: 12.7, 95% CI: 4.85–43.7; p<0.001) and adverse neonatal outcomes (OR: 5.55, 95% CI: 3.26–9.65; p<0.001).

These associations persisted even after adjusting for potential confounders such as maternal age, living area, religion, education, and occupation. In the multiple logistic

regression model, EO-PE remained unassociated with maternal adverse outcomes. However, the odds of adverse fetal outcomes were substantially higher (aOR: 17.7, 95% CI: 6.15–66.7; p<0.001) for the EO-PE group. The odds of adverse neonatal outcomes remained higher (aOR: 5.33, 95% CI: 2.96–9.83; p<0.001) in the EO-PE group. (Figure-2)

Figure-2: Association between timing of pre-eclampsia onset and maternal, fetal and neonatal adverse outcomes (Adjusted Odds Ratio with 95% Confidence Interval)



4. Discussion

This study showed that early-onset PE was associated with more severe maternal complications such as eclampsia and placental abruption, and substantially worse fetal and neonatal adverse outcomes including higher rates of stillbirth, prematurity,

low birth weight and neonatal mortality. Our findings align with previous research suggesting that early-onset PE (EO-PE) represents a more severe form of the disorder [12-15].

The observed prevalence of PE (11.28%) among admitted pregnant women in our study is consistent with reports from some other studies in the region. Recent facility-based studies in Nepal and Bangladesh reported the prevalence of PE as 12% and 14% respectively [16, 17]. This high prevalence in tertiary centers is likely indicative of referral bias, where complicated cases are disproportionately represented. Such tertiary centers should be adequately resourced with specialized personnel and infrastructure to handle the high volume of these patients.

Studies have consistently shown that women with EO-PE have a higher incidence of severe maternal complications [18-20]. In our study, EO-PE was associated with significantly higher rates of eclampsia and placental abruption. Similarly, a study in India found higher rates of eclampsia (43% vs. 28%) and abruptio placentae (14.4% vs. 12%) in the EO-PE group compared to the LO-PE group [21] The underlying cause of these poor outcomes lies in the defective placentation that occurs early in pregnancy, leading to inadequate spiral artery remodeling, uteroplacental ischemia, and a surge of anti-angiogenic factors into the maternal bloodstream [13, 22]. These factors contribute to widespread endothelial dysfunction. Given its severity, EO-PE should be treated as a high-risk condition that requires close monitoring and care in specialized settings. Improving early screening and educating women about warning signs during pregnancy could help reduce serious maternal complications.

Interestingly, our study found a significantly higher rate of Cesarean sections in the LO-PE group. This contrasts with some studies that show no difference or higher rates in EO-PE due to urgency [17, 19]. The difference observed in our study may be influenced by local clinical practices and the specific thresholds used for obstetric interventions, such as decisions around the mode and timing of delivery. This highlights the need for clear institutional guidelines for the timing and mode of delivery in both PE phenotypes.

This study reported that EO-PE was associated with poorer fetal survival and increased rates of prematurity, low birth weight, hospital admission and neonatal death. Our multivariable analysis demonstrated seventeen-fold and five-fold increase in the odds of adverse fetal and neonatal outcomes among EO-PE cases, independent of maternal sociodemographic characteristics. These adverse perinatal outcomes reflect the severe uteroplacental insufficiency characteristic of EO-PE, where the placenta fails to develop properly early in pregnancy [13]. As a result, it cannot provide adequate oxygen and nutrients to the fetus [23]. This dysfunctional placenta poses serious risks to both the baby and the mother. To protect the mother's health, doctors often need to deliver the baby prematurely through iatrogenic preterm birth. While this can be life-saving for the mother, it results in neonatal prematurity and its associated complications such as breathing difficulties, feeding problems, and long-term disability [24, 25]. This pathophysiology justifies the higher rate of hospitalization and neonatal mortality among children born to mothers with EO-PE, which was also observed in our study. Management of these cases must occur exclusively in integrated tertiary centers with a Neonatal Intensive Care

Unit (NICU). Additionally, specialized training should be facilitated for healthcare providers for a better maternal and neonatal outcome.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study from Bangladesh to compare pregnancy outcomes based on the timing of pre-eclampsia onset. An additional strength lies in the comprehensive evaluation of maternal, fetal, and neonatal outcomes, enabling a multidimensional understanding of the clinical burden associated with PE. However, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, being a single facility based study, the findings may be subject to referral bias and may not be generalizable to the broader pregnant population in Bangladesh. Second, the sample size may have been insufficient to detect significant differences for less common maternal complications. Third, the collection of socio-demographic and family history data via phone interview introduces potential for recall bias, although a pre-structured questionnaire was used to standardize this process. Future research should include larger, multi-center prospective studies to further delineate these differences and explore underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. Longitudinal studies are also needed to understand the long-term health consequences of EO-PE and LO-PE for both mothers and their children.

5. Conclusion

This study showed that the timing of PE onset has distinct implications for both maternal and perinatal outcomes in a tertiary level hospital setting in Bangladesh. EO-PE showed more adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes. This group is highly vulnerable and requires close monitoring in well-equipped facilities. Focus should be

given on early screening, health education, and training of the healthcare providers. Further longitudinal research should be carried out in order to gain a better understanding of the differential impact of early versus late PE.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology at Faridpur Medical College Hospital (FMCH) for their invaluable support in providing patient records for this study.

REFERENCES

[1] Poon LC, Shennan A, Hyett JA*, et al.* The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) initiative on preeclampsia (PE): a pragmatic guide for first trimester screening and prevention. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2019; **145**(Suppl 1): 1.

[2] Duckitt K, Harrington D. Risk factors for pre-eclampsia at antenatal booking: systematic review of controlled studies. *BMJ*. 2005; **330**(7491): 565.

[3] Obstetricians ACo, Gynecologists. Hypertension in pregnancy. Report of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' task force on hypertension in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013; **122**: 1122.

[4] Kharaghani R, Cheraghi Z, Esfahani BO, Mohammadian Z, Nooreldinc RS. Prevalence of preeclampsia and eclampsia in Iran. *Arch Iran Med*. 2016; **19**(1): 0-.

[5] Basso O, Rasmussen S, Weinberg CR, Wilcox AJ, Irgens LM, Skjaerven R. Trends in fetal and infant survival following preeclampsia. *JAMA*. 2006; **296**(11): 1357-62.

[6] Osungbade KO, Ige OK. Public health perspectives of preeclampsia in developing countries: implication for health system strengthening. *Journal of pregnancy*. 2011; **2011**(1): 481095.

[7] Ali A, Islam J, Paul R*, et al.* Geographic inequalities and determinants of anaemia among preeclamptic women: a cross-sectional sample-based study in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health*. 2024; **24**(1): 1650.

[8] Khan S, Siddique AB, Jabeen S*, et al.* Preeclampsia and eclampsia-specific maternal mortality in Bangladesh: Levels, trends, timing, and care-seeking practices. *Journal of Global Health*. 2023; **13**: 07003.

[9] Rahman S, Begum N, Mahmud A. Evaluation of Morbidity and Mortality of Eclampsia at Brahmanbaria Medical College and Hospital. *Journal of Rangpur Medical College*. 2024; **9**(1): 39-43.

[10] Von Dadelszen P, Magee LA, Roberts JM. Subclassification of preeclampsia. *Hypertens Pregnancy*. 2003; **22**(2): 143-8.

[11] Roberts CL, Ford JB, Algert CS*, et al.* Population-based trends in pregnancy hypertension and pre-eclampsia: an international comparative study. *BMJ Open*. 2011; **1**(1): e000101.

[12] Raymond D, Peterson E. A critical review of early-onset and late-onset preeclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol Surv*. 2011; **66**(8): 497-506.

[13] Redman C, Sargent I. Placental stress and pre-eclampsia: a revised view. *Placenta*. 2009; **30**: 38-42.

[14] Valensise H, Vasapollo B, Gagliardi G, Novelli GP. Early and late preeclampsia: two different maternal hemodynamic states in the latent phase of the disease. *Hypertension*. 2008; **52**(5): 873-80.

[15] LaMarca BD, Gilbert J, Granger JP. Recent progress toward the understanding of the pathophysiology of hypertension during preeclampsia. *Hypertension*. 2008; **51**(4): 982-8.

[16] Shrestha J, Subedi A, Gauchan E, Shrestha A, Pandey C. Pregnancy outcome in early versus late onset preeclampsia. *Nepal Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2021; **16**(2): 53-9.

[17] Mou AD, Barman Z, Hasan M*, et al.* Prevalence of preeclampsia and the associated risk factors among pregnant women in Bangladesh. *Sci Rep*. 2021; **11**(1): 21339.

[18] Wojtowicz A, Zembala-Szczerba M, Babczyk D, Kołodziejczyk-Pietruszka M, Lewaczyńska O, Huras H. Early-and late-onset preeclampsia: a comprehensive cohort study of laboratory and clinical findings according to the new ISHHP criteria. *Int J Hypertens*. 2019; **2019**(1): 4108271.

[19] Wadhwani P, Saha PK, Kalra JK, Gainder S, Sundaram V. A study to compare maternal and perinatal outcome in early vs. late onset preeclampsia. *Obstetrics & Gynecology Science*. 2020; **63**(3): 270.

[20] Lisonkova S, Joseph K. Incidence of preeclampsia: risk factors and outcomes associated with early-versus late-onset disease. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013; **209**(6): 544. e1-. e12.

[21] Geeta Sri LK, Lakshmi CS. Early and Late Onset Pre-eclampsia – Maternal and Perinatal Outcome in Tertiary Care Hospital. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2021; **10**(8): 156-63.

[22] Rana S, Lemoine E, Granger JP, Karumanchi SA. Preeclampsia: pathophysiology, challenges, and perspectives. *Circ Res*. 2019; **124**(7): 1094-112.

[23] Burton GJ, Redman CW, Roberts JM, Moffett A. Pre-eclampsia: pathophysiology and clinical implications. *BMJ*. 2019; **366**.

[24] Madazli R, Yuksel MA, Imamoglu M*, et al.* Comparison of clinical and perinatal outcomes in early-and late-onset preeclampsia. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2014; **290**: 53-7.

[25] Schneider H. Placental dysfunction as a key element in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. *Journal of Mother and Child*. 2017; **21**(4): 309-16.