Title: Should antigen-antibody rapid diagnostic tests be used to detect acute HIV infection? A

systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic performance

Authors: Emmanuel Fajardo[1], Céline Lastrucci[1], Pascal Jolivet[1], Magdalena DiChiara[1], Carlota

Baptista da Silva[1], Busi Msimanga[1], Anita Sands[2], Cheryl Johnson[1][§]

Authors' Affiliations

[1]Global HIV, Tuberculosis, Hepatitis, and STI Department, World Health Organization, Geneva,

Switzerland

[2]Regulation and Prequalification, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

[§]**Corresponding author:**

Cheryl Johnson

Global HIV, Tuberculosis, Hepatitis, and STI Department

World Health Organization (WHO)

Avenue Appia 20

1211 Geneva

Switzerland

Phone number: (+47) 787 489 404

Email. johnsonc@who.int

E-mail addresses of authors

Emmanuel Fajardo: fajardo.emmanuel@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-7561-3368

Céline Lastrucci: lastruccic@who.int ORCID:

Pascal Jolivet: jolivetp@who.int ORCID:

Magdalena DiChiara: barrdichiaram@who.int ORCID:

Carlota Baptista da Silva: carlota.baptista.silva@gmail.com ORCID:0000-0003-2782-4184

Busi Msimanga: mmisangaradebeb@who.int ORCID:

Anita Sands: sandsa@who.int ORCID: 0000-0002-8424-754X

Cheryl Johnson: johnsonc@who.int ORCID: 0000-0001-5499-5523

Abstract

Introduction: Prompt HIV diagnosis is essential to enabling effective prevention and treatment, thereby interrupting transmission and sustain epidemic control. Strategies that could diagnose individuals during acute HIV infection (AHI), while leveraging standard systems that deliver same visit results, have potential to further enhance impact. Antibody-only (Ab) rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), which are unable to detect AHI, remain the global standard, particularly in resource-limited settings. Antigen-antibody (Ag/Ab) RDTs have been available for more than a decade, however, their use has been largely limited to high-income settings and their ability to detect AHI in resource-limited settings remains unclear.

Methods: We systematically searched six databases through November 2024 for studies evaluating Ag/Ab RDTs vs laboratory reference standards in individuals aged ≥18 months. Outcomes included diagnostic accuracy, patient-level outcomes, and implementation. Risk of bias was assessed with QUADAS-2, JBI Critical Appraisal and ROBINS-I tools. Diagnostic accuracy was meta-analyzed with a bivariate random-effect model; other outcomes were synthesized narratively.

Results: From 1,366 screened records, 53 studies from 24 countries were included. The pooled sensitivity of Ag/Ab RDTs for AHI was only 48% (95% CI: 34–62) with specificity of 97% (95% CI: 84–100). Analytical sensitivity (detection of p24 antigen) was 31% and antibody detection was 15%. Ag/Ab RDT sensitivity was higher in studies using serum/plasma specimens (66%) or in laboratory setting (54%) and compared to studies using fingerstick/capillary or venous blood (33–35%). Among oral PrEP users, Ag/Ab RDTs sensitivity was 25% (95% CI, 13–44) and specificity of 94% (95% CI, 64–99). HIV positivity varied widely across population. Uptake, feasibility and linkage were generally favourable, although challenges in resource-limited settings were noted.

Conclusions: Ag/Ab RDTs appear to have limited ability to detect AHI, missing more than half of AHI cases, especially in resource-limited-settings and when using fingerstick/whole blood samples and implemented within PrEP programmes. Programmes should focus on ensuring access to simple and affordable HIV testing services that leverage existing point-of-care service delivery pathways and available RDTs.

PROSPERO Number: CRD42024603346

1 INTRODUCTION

Prompt and accurate HIV diagnosis is fundamental to achieving global goals to end HIV as a public health threat by 2030 [1]. HIV testing remains the essential gateway to prevention and treatment, including emerging long-acting products; ensuring that testing is accessible, reliable and responsive to evolving needs is therefore critical [2–4]. Early diagnosis, particularly during acute HIV infection (AHI), could offer an opportunity to enhance both individual and public health benefits. Immediate treatment initiation during AHI could reduce transmission and improve clinical outcomes [5].

.

AHI, defined by the highly infectious phase of early infection, typically within two-weeks after HIV-exposure, and may present with nonspecific or flu-like symptoms [6]. At the individual-level, HIV diagnosis during this brief window remains challenging, with recent data showing that due to declining testing volumes, and late-presentation, that the gap between HIV acquisition and diagnosis has doubled since 2017 [7]. Technologically, antibody (Ab)-only rapid diagnostic test (RDTs)—the global standard for routine HIV testing cannot reliably detect AHI and is known to miss early cases [8]. To date, reliable detection of HIV during AHI has required nucleic acid testing (NAT) techniques, or other laboratory-based Ag/Ab assays, which are costly do not provide same visit results, and are not routinely available in many settings.

While some programmatic approaches, such as outreach testing and AHI screening tools have shown to be beneficial in research settings, their real-world effectiveness and feasibility may vary [9]. Renewed attention has been drawn to the implications of missed AHI recently with efforts to scale-up pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), including long-acting PrEP formulations, and addressing concerns about potential risks of HIV drug resistance and onward transmission.

HIV-1/2 p24 Ag/Ab RDTs (hereafter referred to Ag/Ab RDTs) that detect AHI could represent a potential innovation in programmatic significance as they are more compatible with the World Health Organization (WHO) guidance supporting decentralised, affordable and accessible testing with same visit diagnosis. This review examines the clinical utility of Ag/Ab RDTs, with a focus on diagnostic performance, client-level outcomes (including uptake, positivity, linkage, feasibility and acceptability, preferences, social harm) as well as systems-level evidence on resource implications and both facilitators and barriers to implementation. The analysis builds on a previous scoping review [5], and other systematic reviews [10,11] to assess whether Ag/Ab RDTs can strengthen service delivery and enhance public health impact.

2 METHODS

We registered the protocol in PROSPERO (CRD42024603346) and conducted the review according to PRISMA guidelines (Appendix S1) and the Cochrane Handbook for Diagnostic Test Accuracy Reviews [12,13].

2.1 Eligibility criteria

We included studies assessing Ag/Ab RDTs for AHI in populations aged ≥18 months, regardless of antiretroviral exposure or diagnostic algorithm use. Eligible outcomes included diagnostic accuracy, uptake, positivity, linkage to care, feasibility, acceptability, values and preferences, resource use, social harms and barriers and facilitators to implementation. Reviews, case reports, manufacturer documents, opinion papers, and proof-of-concept studies were excluded.

2.2 Search strategy and selection

We searched ClinicalTrials.gov, Cochrane Library, PubMed, Medline, Scopus, and Web of Science (October–November 2024) using database-specific combinations of key words and MeSH terms (e.g., "acute HIV," "antigen-antibody," "rapid diagnostic tests"). Searches were restricted to January 2010–November 2024 to reflect commercial test availability. No restrictions on language, country or setting were applied; non-English studies were translated with DeepL. Additional records were identified through reference screening. Full search strategies are provided in (Appendix S2). Two independent reviewers (EF, CL) independently screened titles/abstracts and extracted data using standardized forms. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus or third-party adjudication.

2.3 Risk of bias assessment

Diagnostic accuracy studies were appraised with QUADAS-2, evaluating the risk of bias and applicability across four domains [14]. Patient-level impact and implementation studies were assessed with the appropriate Johanna Brigg's Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Tool and ROBINS-I tool [15]. Results were summarized in tables and figures.

2.4 Data analysis

2.4.1 Diagnostic accuracy

We included studies comparing Ag/Ab RDTs to serological and/or molecular laboratory-based
assays. AHI was defined as a positive p24 antigen or HIV RNA test with seronegative or
discordant antibody results. We excluded studies using nonclinical specimens or lacking raw
data to calculate sensitivity and specificity.
Accuracy measures were pooled by reference standard, with sensitivity and specificity reported
alongside 95% CIs. Inconclusive results were excluded from pooled analysis but reported
separately. Clinical utility of AHI detection was assessed as the relative increase in case
detection when comparing Ag/Ab RDTs with antibody-only RDTs. Reference standards were
categorized as NAT-based or p24 Ag-based for subgroup analysis.
Analyses were conducted in StataNow 19.5 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Stata "metadta"
was used to produce pooled analysis and forest plots [16]. Bayesian re-analysis was performed
in R to verify robustness.
145
2.4.2 Patient-level and implementation outcomes
In addition to evaluating the clinical utility of AHI detection, we analyzed patient-level outcomes
descriptively, including test uptake, overall positivity and antigen-only positivity, linkage to
confirmatory testing, linkage to ART initiation, and turnaround time to treatment. These
outcomes are reported as percentages where applicable. Broader implementation outcomes –
feasibility, acceptability, values/preferences, social harms, resource use and barriers and
facilitators to implementation –were summarized narratively.
153
3 RESULTS
The search identified 2,288 records; after removing duplicates, 1,366 unique records were
screened, and 53 studies were included (Figure 1). Of these, 31 were diagnostic test accuracy
studies [17–47], 12 evaluated patient-level impact [43, 48, 50–52, 54, 60, 62–64, 67, 68], 14
addressed feasibility [43, 48, 50–52, 54, 59–60, 62–64, 66–68], nine explored values and
preferences [49, 51, 53–56, 61, 68, 69], three examined resource use [57, 58, 65], and 19
reported barriers and facilitators to implementation. Study categories were not mutually
exclusive. A detailed summary of outcomes reported by each study is available in (Table S1).

Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of included and excluded records.

3.1 Study characteristics

Thirty-one studies reported on diagnostic accuracy and characteristics are summarized in Table

1. The 31 studies, spanning all five WHO regions were primarily in health facilities (53%

laboratories, 33% clinics). Populations included individuals at high ongoing HIV risk (40%), those

previously diagnosed with HIV (37%), PrEP users (10%), hospital patients (7%), the general

population (3%) and women (3%). All studies evaluated adults; none assessed infants or

children. Only six studies (16%) used fingerstick or venous blood with most relying on composite

reference standards to define infection status. Across studies, a total of 7,184 specimens were

analyzed, with sample sizes ranging from 5 to 1,494; nearly two-thirds (63%) involved fewer than

100 specimens, typically in laboratory-based case-control studies. Details on RDT brands and

testing operators are provided in (Table S2).

An additional 23 studies reported on client-level and implementation outcomes [48–69], Further

details and study characteristics are provided in (Table S3).

3.2 Risk of bias assessment

Study quality was assesses using QUADAS-2 (Table S4). Most diagnostic accuracy studies were judged to have a low risk of bias for the index test, reference standard, and flow/timing domains. However, risk of bias was frequently high for patient selection, reflecting the predominance of case-control designs and non-consecutive sampling. Concerns regarding applicability were generally low across domains. See (Table S4) for full assessment.

Study quality for thirteen non-diagnostic accuracy studies was evaluated using the JBI Critical Appraisal Tool, and three studies were assessed with the ROBINS-I tool. All cross-sectional studies demonstrated a low risk of bias (Table S5). Among the three cohort studies, two had a low risk of bias, while one was judged to have a high risk due to missing data, outcome measurement issues, and selective reporting (Table S6).

Table 1. Key characteristics of diagnostic accuracy studies.

Study	Country and setting	Population	Sample size	Specimen type and reference assays
Beelaert 2010 [17]	Belgium, lab	HIV+/HIV-	239	Serum; p24 Ag
Fox 2011 [18]	UK, lab	HIV+	26	Serum; p24 Ag
Naylor 2011 [19]	UK, hospital	HIV hospital outpatients	5	Fingerstick; p24 Ag
Kilembe 2012 [20]	Rwanda, Zambia	At-risk of HIV	59	Plasma; p24 Ag
Laperche 2012 [21]	France, lab	HIV+	20	Plasma; p24 Ag
Patel 2012 [22]	USA, clinics	At-risk of HIV	33	Plasma; NAT
Rosenberg 2012 [23]	Malawi, clinics	At-risk of HIV	846	Venous blood; NAT
Faraoni 2013 [24]	Italy, hospital	At-risk of HIV	141	Serum; NAT
Brauer 2013 [25]	SA, lab	HIV+	36	Serum; p24 Ag
Kahawata 2013 [26]	Japan, lab	Hospital patients	25	Plasma; NAT, p24 Ag/Ab EIA, WB
Pilcher 2013 [27]	USA, lab	At-risk of HIV	138	Plasma; NAT
Conway 2014 [28]	Australia, clinics	At-risk of HIV	3154	Fingerstick; NAT, p24 Ag
Duong 2014 [29]	Eswatini, at-home testing	General population	12370	Fingerstick; NAT

Ottiger 2015 [30]	Switzerland, lab	HIV+	21	Serum; NAT
Stekler 2016 [31]	USA, clinic	At-risk of HIV	1494	Whole blood; NAT
Smith 2016 [32]	UK, clinic	At-risk of HIV	21	Serum; p24 Ag
Delaugerre 2017 [33]	France, clinic	PrEP users	13	Plasma; WB
Fitzgerald 2017 [34]	UK, lab	HIV+/HIV-	69	Serum; p24 Ag
Fransen et al 2017 [35]	Belgium, lab	PrEP users	64	Plasma; NAT
Livant 2017 [36]	SA, Uganda, Zim, clinics	PrEP users	215	Plasma; NAT
Masciotra 2017 [37]	USA, lab,	At-risk of HIV	112	Plasma; NAT
Stafylis 2017 [38]	USA, lab,	HIV+/HIV-	49	Serum; NAT, Ab-only EIA, p24 Ag/Ab EIA
Parker 2018 [39]	USA, lab	HIV (+)	125	Serum; NAT, Ab-only EIA, p24 Ag/Ab EIA
van Tienen 2018 [40]	Netherlands, lab	At-risk of HIV	23	Serum; p24 Ag
Chavez 2020 [41]	USA, clinic	At-risk of HIV	878	Venous blood; NAT
Wratil 2020 [42]	Germany, lab	HIV+/HIV-	21	Plasma; NAT, p24 Ag, p24 Ag/Ab EIA
Kerschberger 2021 [43]	Eswatini, outpatient centre	At-risk of HIV	731 745	Plasma, Venous blood; NAT
Sirivichayakul 2021 [44]	Thailand, lab	HIV+/HIV-	50	Plasma; NAT, p24 Ag/Ab EIA
Guiraud 2024 [45]	France, lab	Hospital patients	32	Serum; WB
Manjate 2024 [46]	Mozambique, outpatient centre	Women	762	Serum; p24 Ag
Ciglenecki 2025 [47]	Eswatini, HIV testing sites	At-risk of HIV	1163	Fingerstick; NAT

193 **Ag/Ab:** antigen/antibody**; EIA:** enzyme immunoassay; **NAT**: nucleic acid testing; **PrEP**: pre-
194 exposure prophylaxis; **SA**: South Africa; **UK**: United Kingdom; **USA**: United States of America;
195 **WB**: Western Blot; **Zim**: Zimbabwe

3.3 Diagnostic accuracy

198 A meta-analysis of 31 studies, representing 38 reports, had a pooled diagnostic sensitivity and

199 specificity of Ag/Ab RDTs for AHI of 48% (95% CI: 34–62) and 97% (95% CI: 84–100),

respectively, with considerable heterogeneity across studies (Figure 2). Pooled sensitivity and specificity for p24 antigen detection varied widely (31%, 95% CI: 19–45 to 99%, 95% CI: 97–100), with considerable heterogeneity across studies (Figure 3).

A   **B**

Figure 2. Diagnostic accuracy of Ag/Ab RDTs. (A) Forest plot of sensitivity and specificity from individual studies with 95% confidence intervals; pooled estimates are shown as red diamonds. (B). Summary receiver operating characteristics (SROC) curve with 95% confidence and prediction regions.

A   **B**

Figure 3. Diagnostic accuracy of Ag/Ab RDTs (p24 Ag detection). (A) Forest plot of sensitivity and specificity from individual studies with 95% confidence intervals; pooled estimates are shown as red diamonds. (B). Summary receiver operating characteristics (SROC) curve with 95% confidence and prediction regions.

The pooled estimates for HIV-antibody detection also ranged widely (15% sensitivity, 95% CI: 10–24 to 99% specificity, 95% CI: 96–100), with substantial heterogeneity (Figure 4).

218 **A**   **B**

219 **Figure 4. Diagnostic accuracy of Ag/Ab RDTs (antibody detection).** (A) Forest plot of
220 sensitivity and specificity from individual studies with 95% confidence intervals; pooled estimates
221 are shown as red diamonds. (B). Summary receiver operating characteristics (SROC) curve with
222 95% confidence and prediction regions.

223
224

In subgroup analysis (Table 2), sensitivity was higher in case-control studies (61%), European

countries (70%), serum-based testing (66%), laboratory settings (54%), when using p24 Ag

reference assays (58%), and among people living with HIV who had previously been diagnosed

(70%). The accuracy of Ag/Ab RDTs in capillary/fingerstick and venous whole blood specimens

was lower compared to serum and plasma, with sensitivities of 35% and 33%, respectively,

while specificities remained above 99%. Among oral PrEP users, sensitivity and specificity

dropped to 25% (95% CI, 13–44) and 94% (95% CI, 64–99).

Table 2. Subgroup analysis of pooled accuracy estimates for Ag/Ab RDTs.
234

WHO region	p24 Ag/Ab combined		p24 Ag detection		Ab detection	
	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
African	17% (5–44)	100% (99–100)	12% (8–19)	100% (99–100)	13% (6–31)	100% (99–100)
The Americas	39% (14–71)	99% (92–100)	21% (11–37)	99% (97–100)	26% (12–47)	99% (99–100)
European	70% (56–81)	98% (83–100)	61% (44–75)	95% (83–99)	14% (9–26)	95% (77–99)
South-East Asia	–	–	–	–	–	–
Western Pacific	–	–	–	–	–	–
Study setting						
Laboratory	54% (38–69)	97% (92–99)	34% (20–50)	98% (92–99)	19% (12–28)	98% (92–100)
Non-laboratory	40% (14–73)	98% (93–100)	34% (19–53)	98% (90–99)	13% (5–28)	98% (92–100)

Study design						
Case-control	61% (50–72)	97% (89–99)	42% (29–57)	95% (86–98)	21% (14–30)	96% (85–99)
Cross-sectional	9% (0–69)	100% (98–100)	17% (7–37)	100% (98–100)	10% (3–29)	100% (99–100)
Population						
HIV-infected	70% (59–79)	97% (78–100)	60% (43–74)	96% (82–99)	17% (9–29)	96% (77–99)
At-risk of HIV	29% (11–57)	99% (98–100)	18% (10–32)	99% (97–100)	18% (9–31)	100% (99–100)
PrEP users	25% (13–44)	94% (64–99)	21% (9–43)	97% (44–100)	12% (7–22)	96% (78–99)
Specimen type						
Serum	66% (51–78)	99% (87–100)	46% (26–68)	97% (85–99)	17% (8–30)	95% (82–99)
Plasma	43% (23–67)	98% (87–100)	24% (13–39)	98% (84–100)	23% (13–38)	96% (82–99)
Venous blood	33% (13–63)	99% (98–99)	17% (8–33)	99% (98–100)	19% (5–50)	99% (99–100)
Fingerstick blood	35% (1–96)	99% (93–99)	24% (4–71)	99% (95–100)	1% (0–66)	99% (99–100)
Reference standard						
p24 Ag	58% (33–79)	100% (77–100)	45% (17–77)	99% (86–100)	11% (7–16)	99% (81–100)
NAT	35% (14–64)	99% (98–100)	21% (9–42)	99% (98–100)	15% (6–31)	99% (99–100)

236 Overall, there was a high-level of variation in the total number of additional AHI cases identified

237 by the antibody component of Ag/Ab RDTs compared with Ab-only RDTs [22,27,33,35,36,45]

238 (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Relative increase in AHI cases detected by the antibody component of Ag/Ab RDTs compared with Ab-only RDTs.

3.4 Client-level impact outcomes

3.4.1 Uptake and positivity

Four observational studies assessed uptake of Ag/Ab RDTs, with high with rates reported

among vulnerable and key populations in Belgium (78%), Argentina (100%) and Spain (100%)

[52,62,67], but low initial uptake (14.3%) in primary healthcare clinics in MSM in Australia, which
improved over time [51]. Twelve studies reported wide variation in overall test positivity rates
(mostly antibody-based) ranging from 0.77% in general populations in the USA [63], 1.3% –
7.7% among at-risk individuals and key populations [43,48,50–52,54,64,67], and 8.1% in
antenatal clinics in Kenya [68], up to 19.6% among transgender women in Argentina [62] and
high rates in key populations using partner notification services in Vietnam [60]. Nine studies
documented p24 antigen-only reactivity: four reported 0% (UK, Australia, Argentina and Spain
[48,51,62,67], while positivity reached 7% in the USA [63], 10% in pregnant women in Kenya
[68], 11% among key populations in Vietnam [60], 20% in at-risk individuals in Eswatini [43], and
31% among MSM in a community-based clinic in Spain [64].

3.4.2 Linkage to confirmatory testing and treatment

Across studies linkage to confirmatory testing were generally high, as with other HIV testing
studies. Ab-reactive results (established HIV) achieved 99%–100% in seven studies [48,50–
52,54,62,67]. In four studies, all Ag-reactive cases (100%) were reportedly confirmed, either
later on site or through referrals off-site [43,60,63,64].

Turnaround times for confirmatory testing varied from 1–7 day in high-income contexts only
[55,56,63]. Treatment initiation after confirmed diagnosis was high, with rates of 86-100%
[48,50,52,54,63,64,67], primarily in high-income countries. However, in resource-limited settings,
such as Eswatini, delays were common with only 72% initiating treatment within one month, 37%
initiating within one week and 13% initiating same day [43]. In Vietnam, barriers such as patient
readiness and delays in confirmatory testing also hindered timely treatment [60].

3.4.3 Additional implementation outcomes

Fourteen studies suggested Ag/Ab RDTs could be acceptable and feasible to implement, but
there was considerable variability by setting, provider setting type, and population. While some
studies judged implementation not feasible, they provided important operational oversights,
whereas others, particularly in high-prevalence or community-based programmes, demonstrated
high feasibility, uptake, and timely linkage to care.
Reported social harms were rare, though false positives occurred, especially during early
implementation, highlighting the importance of training and quality assurance. Resource use
information was limited to high-income settings, but suggested Ag/Ab RDTs could be cost-
effective compared to alternatives, particularly in higher prevalence or high-risk populations. Key

facilitators to success and buy-in included implementation that was user-friendliness, discreet,

able to track reactive results in real time; however, barriers to implementation included

challenges due to limited training, operator inexperience, lack of interchangeability with other

products, stigma, legal and financial constraints, and confirmatory testing delays. Further details

on implementation related outcomes are provided in (Appendix S3)

4 DISCUSSION

The findings from this review, which comprehensively evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of

Ag/Ab RDTs across multiple domains, highlights that Ag/Ab RDTs have a low to moderate ability

to detect AHI (48%, 95% CI: 34–62) and that results per country, context and population may

vary widely. Performance using capillary/fingerstick or venous blood samples, and outside of

laboratory settings, had low sensitivity; 35% and 33%, respectively. Based on current data

summarized by this review, Ag/Ab RDTs have substantial limitations and variability in terms of

their ability to detect AHI within routine HIV testing in low-and middle-income countries,

particularly those offering decentralised routine testing outside laboratories [23,28,29,31,41,47].

These findings are consistent with earlier studies and reviews that compared HIV Ag/Ab RDTs

with Ab-only RDTs [22,27,33,35,36,45].

This review also highlighted that while there is interest in the role Ag/Ab RDTs could play in

PrEP implementation, test performance among PrEP users was poor, with pooled sensitivity and

specificity of 23% (95% CI: 16–33) and 87% (95% CI: 66–96), respectively. This is substantially

lower than established performance of laboratory-based Ag/Ab assays and NAT. Encouragingly,

however, in the most recent update to the WHO guidelines evidence showed that standard Ab-

only RDTs, as well as self-tests, are sufficient for PrEP and LAI-PrEP which is important to

continue access to these important prevention tools in resource-limited sites [70,71]. A recent

modelling study highlighted that existing Ab-only RDTs, as well as self-tests, with even yearly

testing for LAI-PrEP would not have a significant impact in incidence or drug resistance [72,73].

Similarly, results from Brazil's implementation of CAB-LA show self-tests performed well and

were sufficiently accurate without the need for NAT or Ag/Ab RDTs or laboratory-based testing

[74].

Despite the limitations in a routine decentralized testing service, there may be opportunities for

these tests as indicated in select studies by focusing implementation in settings with limited

access to sensitive assays for blood screening and safety [75] or as part of highly targeted case-

finding and outreach efforts. Implementation studies highlighted that given available systems

and resources, Ag/Ab RDTs, could offer value and be cost-effective if highly targeted [65]. Even
with these potential possibilities, however, efforts to place these tools and achieve impact may
be challenging as to truly benefit systems to act on the Ag-only reactive results are not available
to confirm and initiate treatment in the majority of routine testing sites. With resources, and
staffing, declining, there are challenges with introducing tests that may also increase the cost of
training and limit the ability to have flexible or interchangeable algorithms. Any country or
programme considering Ag/Ab RDTs will need to ensure they have the resources to support the
potentially higher costs, as well as transition costs, given shrinking testing capacity globally.
WHO's tool kit, low-cost diagnostic strategy and prioritization guide are important resources that
may be helpful to consider in this uncertain environment as the promote low cost and flexible
product selection based on local needs [76].
327
328 Cost-effectiveness analysis, as well as budget impact analysis, with real implementation data
329 was not available in any resource-limited setting and is a data gap that should be addressed.
330 Understanding the benefits, and costs, of adopting other assays such as multiplex tests need to
331 be considered to ensure investment is going toward interventions that have greatest value for
332 money, and which will have substantial public health impact.
333
334 This review has several strengths: it integrates pooled diagnostic accuracy estimates with
335 patient-level and implementation outcomes, drawing from 53 studies across 25 countries. Its
336 breadth enhances generalizability and relevance, while identifying implementation barriers and
337 research gaps. By breaking down evidence by geography, population and sample type
338 additional insights for real world settings can also be derived.
339
Despite these strengths, a critical limitation was the publication bias with most evidence being
classified as low certainty due to the large number of case-control studies included [77].
Additional gaps were the inability to evaluate test performance in children and the high-level of
heterogeneity in how studies defined and measured AHI. While PrEP users were included,
because of the timing of the review and the diversity of products entering the market, our results
may also not be fully representative of all forms of PrEP being implemented. While we extracted,
standardized and recalculated data as much as possible there is a risk that we included
individuals without AHI in our estimates, or missed studies due to reporting not being sufficiently
disaggregated.
349

While we discuss the importance of data on cost-effectiveness and resource use, there was

insufficient data available, specifically from resource-limited settings. This is critical moment with

substantial uncertainties on future investment and funding for global health. It remains critical

that countries and funders understand the short-, medium- and long-term cost implications of

adopting Ag/Ab RDTs without locally validated evidence.

More data is needed on the feasibility in settings with minimal resource requirements, including

client level-impact, particularly studies evaluating the impact of streamlined pathways for rapid

confirmation of AHI (including standardised case definition) and ART initiation. Economic

analysis along with values and preferences and social harms are also needed.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Prompt and accurate HIV diagnosis remains essential to ending the epidemic. Ag/Ab RDTs,

currently, remain imperfect and not able to provide reliable performance and sensitivity for

detecting AHI—particularly when using fingerstick/capillary blood specimens—which limits their

clinical utility at the point-of-care and ability to enable same visit diagnosis and care. WHO

guidance remains the same and there is no evidence suggesting Ag/Ab RDTs should be used or

prioritized as part of routine testing services. Rather, given the shortages in staff and funding it is

important countries embrace WHO's guidance on ensuring country flexibilities and work toward

adopting low-cost commodities to maintain testing coverage where it is needed most.

While Ag/Ab RDTs can improve case detection compared with antibody-only tests, their

adoption in resource-limited settings requires careful consideration. Programmes must balance

their added complexity and cost against competing priorities, including dual HIV/syphilis RDTs

and expanding access to HIV and syphilis self-testing. Most available evidence originates from

high-resource settings, leaving important gaps in low- and middle-income countries.

High-quality, real-world evaluations in high-risk populations are urgently needed to assess

diagnostic performance, confirmatory pathways, and linkage to treatment. Economic and

implementation research should accompany these studies to inform evidence-based policy and

ensure that advances in testing technologies translate into equitable and effective HIV

prevention and care.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: CJ, AS, EF, CL; Methodology: EF, CJ; Formal analysis: EF, CL; Data curation: EF; Investigation: EF, CL, CJ, AS; Writing – original draft: EF, CJ; Writing – review & editing: EF, CL, PJ, MD, CBS, BS, AS, CJ; Visualization: EF; Supervision: CJ, MD; Project administration: CJ, MD; Funding acquisition: CJ

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We sincerely thank Olanrewaju Ayodele Edun for independently validating our results by rerunning the bivariate random-effect models in R using the Bayesian approach for diagnostic accuracy meta-analysis, and for his invaluable statistical guidance throughout this study.

FUNDING

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data analysis, interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

DISCLAIMER

The opinion and views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position, policies, or decisions of the World Health Organization.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data underlying the findings of this study are included in the article and its supporting information files. Extracted datasets and analysis files are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional information may be found under the Supporting Information tab for this article.

Appendix S1. PRISMA Checklist

Appendix S2. Full search strategies

REFERENCES

1. Global health sector strategies on, respectively, HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmitted
infections for the period 2022-2030. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. Available:
https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/360348/9789240053779-eng.pdf?sequence=1

2. Consolidated guidelines on differentiated HIV testing services. Geneva: World Health
Organization; 2024. Available: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240096394

3. Guidelines on long-acting injectable cabotegravir for HIV prevention. Geneva: World Health
Organization; 2022. Available: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240054097

4. Guidelines on lenacapavir for HIV prevention and testing strategies for long-acting injectable
pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025. Available from:
https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/381892/9789240111608-eng.pdf?sequence=1

5. Rutstein SE, Ananworanich J, Fidler S, et al. Clinical and public health implications of acute
and early HIV detection and treatment: a scoping review. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017 Jun
28;20(1):21579.

6. Bottone PD, Bartlett AH. Diagnosing Acute HIV Infection. *Pediatr Ann*. 2017;46(2):e47-e50.

7. Hall M, Golubchik T, Bonsall D, Abeler-Dörner L, Limbada M, Kosloff B, et al; HPTN 071
(PopART) Phylogenetics protocol team; PANGEA consortium. Demographics of sources of
HIV-1 transmission in Zambia: a molecular epidemiology analysis in the HPTN 071 PopART
study. Lancet Microbe. 2024 Jan;5(1):e62-e71.

8. Adetunji AA, Adewumi MO, Michael OS, Fayemiwo SA, Ogunniyi A, Taiwo BO. Rapid HIV
Antigen-Antibody Assays and Detection of Acute HIV Infection in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Am J
Trop Med Hyg*. 2019;101(2):285-286.

9. Ong JJ, Coulthard K, Quinn C, Tang MJ, Huynh T, Jamil MS, Baggaley R, Johnson C. Risk-
Based Screening Tools to Optimise HIV Testing Services: a Systematic Review. Curr
HIV/AIDS Rep. 2022 Apr;19(2):154-165.

10. Lewis JM, Macpherson P, Adams ER, Ochodo E, Sands A, Taegtmeyer M. Field accuracy of
fourth-generation rapid diagnostic tests for acute HIV-1: a systematic review. *AIDS*.
2015;29(18):2465-2471.

11. Smallwood M, Vijh R, Nauche B, Lebouché B, Joseph L, Pant Pai N. Evaluation of a rapid
point of care test for detecting acute and established HIV infection and examining the role of

study quality on diagnostic accuracy: A Bayesian meta-Analysis. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(2):e0149592.

12. McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement [published correction appears in JAMA. 2019 Nov 26;322(20):2026.

13. Deeks JJ, Bossuyt PM, Leeflang MM, Takwoingi Y (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy. Version 2.0 (updated July 2023). Cochrane, 2023. Available: https://training.cochrane.org/handbook-diagnostic-test-accuracy/current.

14. Whiting PF, Rutjes AWS, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011 Oct 18; 155(8):529–36.

15. Sterne JAC, Hernan MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ. 2016 Oct 12; 355:i4919.

16. Nyaga VN, Arbyn M. Metadta: a Stata command for meta-analysis and meta-regression of diagnostic test accuracy data - a tutorial. Arch Public Health. 2022 Mar 29;80(1):95. Erratum in: Arch Public Health. 2022 Sep 27;80(1):216

17. Beelaert G, Fransen K. Evaluation of a rapid and simple fourth-generation HIV screening assay for qualitative detection of HIV p24 antigen and/or antibodies to HIV-1 and HIV-2. J Virol Methods. 2010 Sep;168(1-2):218-22.

18. Fox J, Dunn H, O'Shea S. Low rates of p24 antigen detection using a fourth-generation point of care HIV test. Sex Transm Infect. 2011 Mar;87(2):178-9.

19. Naylor E, Axten D, Makia F, Tong C, White J, Fox J. Fourth generation point of care testing for HIV: validation in an HIV-positive population. Sex Transm Infect. 2011 Jun;87(4):311.

20. Kilembe W, Keeling M, Karita E, Lakhi S, Chetty P, Price MA, Makkan H, Latka M, Likoti M, Ilukui K, Hurlston M, Allen S, Stevens G, Hunter E. Failure of a novel, rapid antigen and antibody combination test to detect antigen-positive HIV infection in African adults with early HIV infection. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e37154.

21. Laperche S, Leballais L, Ly TD, Plantier JC. Failures in the detection of HIV p24 antigen with the Determine HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo rapid test. J Infect Dis. 2012 Dec 15;206(12):1946-7; author reply 1949-50.

22. Patel P, Bennett B, Sullivan T, Parker MM, Heffelfinger JD, Sullivan PS; CDC AHI Study Group. Rapid HIV screening: missed opportunities for HIV diagnosis and prevention. J Clin Virol. 2012 May;54(1):42-7.

23. Rosenberg NE, Kamanga G, Phiri S, Nsona D, Pettifor A, Rutstein SE, Kamwendo D, Hoffman IF, Keating M, Brown LB, Ndalama B, Fiscus SA, Congdon S, Cohen MS, Miller WC. Detection of acute HIV infection: a field evaluation of the determine® HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab combo test. J Infect Dis. 2012 Feb 15;205(4):528-34.

24. Faraoni S, Rocchetti A, Gotta F, Ruggiero T, Orofino G, Bonora S, Ghisetti V. Evaluation of a rapid antigen and antibody combination test in acute HIV infection. J Clin Virol. 2013 May;57(1):84-7.

25. Brauer M, De Villiers JC, Mayaphi SH. Evaluation of the Determine™ fourth generation HIV rapid assay. J Virol Methods. 2013 Apr;189(1):180-3.

26. Kawahata T, Nagashima M, Sadamasu K, Kojima Y, Mori H. [Evaluation of an immunochromatographic fourth generation test for the rapid diagnosis of acute HIV infection]. Kansenshogaku Zasshi. 2013 Jul;87(4):431-4. Japanese

27. Pilcher CD, Louie B, Facente S, Keating S, Hackett J Jr, Vallari A, Hall C, Dowling T, Busch MP, Klausner JD, Hecht FM, Liska S, Pandori MW. Performance of rapid point-of-care and laboratory tests for acute and established HIV infection in San Francisco. PLoS One. 2013 Dec 12;8(12):e80629.

28. Conway DP, Holt M, McNulty A, Couldwell DL, Smith DE, Davies SC, Cunningham P, Keen P, Guy R; Sydney Rapid HIV Test Study. Multi-centre evaluation of the Determine HIV Combo assay when used for point of care testing in a high risk clinic-based population. PLoS One. 2014 Apr 8;9(4):e94062.

29. Duong YT, Mavengere Y, Patel H, Moore C, Manjengwa J, Sibandze D, Rasberry C, Mlambo C, Li Z, Emel L, Bock N, Moore J, Nkambule R, Justman J, Reed J, Bicego G, Ellenberger DL, Nkengasong JN, Parekh BS. Poor performance of the determine HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab combo fourth-generation rapid test for detection of acute infections in a National Household Survey in Swaziland. J Clin Microbiol. 2014 Oct;52(10):3743-8.

30. Ottiger C, Huber AR. Comparison of the new Alere HIV Combo with Alere Determine HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo in actute and primo and established HIV infections. Annals of Clinical and Laboratory Research. 2015 Vol 3 No 3:22.

31. Stekler JD, Ure G, O'Neal JD, Lane A, Swanson F, Maenza J, Stevens C, Coombs RW, Dragavon J, Swenson PD, Golden MR. Performance of Determine Combo and other point-of-care HIV tests among Seattle MSM. J Clin Virol. 2016 Mar;76:8-13.

32. Smit E, Atherton C, Osman H. Determine HIV-1/2 Ab/Ag Combo lacks sensitivity in detecting early HIV infections. European Society for Clinical Virology winter meeting. London, 13–15 January 2011.

33. Delaugerre C, Antoni G, Mahjoub N, Pialoux G, Cua E, Pasquet A, Hall N, Tremblay C, Cotte L, Capitant C, Chaix ML, Meyer L, Molina JM; IPERGAY Study Group. Assessment of HIV Screening Tests for Use in Preexposure Prophylaxis Programs. J Infect Dis. 2017 Aug 1;216(3):382-386.

34. Fitzgerald N, Cross M, O'Shea S, Fox J. Diagnosing acute HIV infection at point of care: a retrospective analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of a fourth-generation point-of-care test for detection of HIV core protein p24. Sex Transm Infect. 2017 Mar;93(2):100-101.

35. Fransen K, de Baetselier I, Rammutla E, Ahmed K, Owino F, Agingu W, Venter G, Deese J, Van Damme L, Crucitti T; FEMPrEP study group. Performance of serological and molecular tests within acute HIV infection. J Clin Virol. 2017 Aug;93:81-84.

36. Livant E, Heaps A, Kelly C, Maharaj R, Samsunder N, Nhlangulela L, Karugaba P, Panchia R, Marrazzo J, Chirenje ZM, Parikh UM; VOICE Study Team. The fourth generation Alere™ HIV Combo rapid test improves detection of acute infection in MTN-003 (VOICE) samples. J Clin Virol. 2017 Sep;94:15-21.

37. Masciotra S, Luo W, Westheimer E, Cohen SE, Gay CL, Hall L, Pan Y, Peters PJ, Owen SM. Performance evaluation of the FDA-approved Determine™ HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo assay using plasma and whole blood specimens. J Clin Virol. 2017 Jun;91:95-100.

38. Stafylis C, Klausner JD. Evaluation of two 4th generation point-of-care assays for the detection of Human Immunodeficiency Virus infection. PLoS One. 2017 Aug 28;12(8):e0183944.

39. Parker MM, Bennett SB, Sullivan TJ, Fordan S, Wesolowski LG, Wroblewski K, Gaynor AM.
Performance of the Alere Determine™ HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo Rapid Test with algorithm-
defined acute HIV-1 infection specimens. J Clin Virol. 2018 Jul;104:89-91.
40. van Tienen C, Rugebregt S, Scherbeijn S, Götz H, Geurts van Kessel C. The performance of
the Alere HIV combo point-of-care test on stored serum samples; useful for detection of early
HIV-1 infections? Sex Transm Infect. 2018 Aug;94(5):331-333.
41. Chavez PR, Bradley HM, Wesolowski LG, Violette LR, Katz DA, Niemann LA, McMahan VM,
McDougal S, Cornelius-Hudson AM, Ethridge SF, Stekler JD, Delaney KP. Performance
evaluation of four point-of-care HIV tests using unprocessed specimens. J Clin Virol. 2020
Mar;124:104282
42. Wratil PR, Rabenau HF, Eberle J, Stern M, Münchhoff M, Friedrichs I, Stürmer M, Berger A,
Kuttner-May S, Münstermann D, Lucht A, Meixenberger K, Bannert N, Keppler OT.
Comparative multi-assay evaluation of Determine™ HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo rapid diagnostic
tests in acute and chronic HIV infection. Med Microbiol Immunol. 2020 Apr;209(2):139-150.
43. Kerschberger B, Aung A, Mpala Q, Ntshalintshali N, Mamba C, Schomaker M, Tombo ML,
Maphalala G, Sibandze D, Dube L, Kashangura R, Mthethwa-Hleza S, Telnov A, Tour R,
Gonzalez A, Calmy A, Ciglenecki I. Predicting, Diagnosing, and Treating Acute and Early HIV
Infection in a Public Sector Facility in Eswatini. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2021 Dec
15;88(5):506-517.
44. Sirivichayakul S, Pankam T, Pattanachaiwit S, Phancharoen K, Barisri N, Areeyolwattana S,
Phanuphak N, Phanuphak P. Ability of Alere™ HIV Combo to diagnose acute HIV infection is
based mainly on HIV-1 p24 antigen detection. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2021 Oct 31.
45. Guiraud V, Naizet A, Khan H, Benhafoun G, Hernandez P, Piccin L, Pichon A, Leng AL,
Yousfi L, Gautheret-Dejean A. Fourth-Generation HIV Rapid Tests: Enhanced Sensitivity and
Reduced Diagnostic Window for HIV-1 Primary Infection Screening. J Med Virol. 2024
Nov;96(11):e70044.
46. Manjate A, Nilsson C, Axelsson M, Lindroth S, Sirbu D, Sacarlal J, Andersson S, Unemo M.
Laboratory-based evaluation of the 4th-generation AlereTM HIV Combo rapid point-of-care
test. PLoS One. 2024 Feb 23;19(2):e0298912.
47. Ciglenecki I, Ntshalintshali N, Mukooza E, Lekelem S, Mavimbela M, Dlamini S, Dube L,
Mabuza N, Haile M, Ellman T, Flores A, Keiser O, Matse S, de la Tour R, Calmy A,
Kerschberger B. Low sensitivity of the fourth-generation antigen/antibody HIV rapid
diagnostic test Determine™ HIV Early Detect for detection of acute HIV infection at the point
of care in rural Eswatini: a diagnostic accuracy study. J Int AIDS Soc. 2025;28(7):e26517.
48. Taegtmeyer M, MacPherson P, Jones K, Hopkins M, Moorcroft J, Lalloo DG, Chawla A.
Programmatic evaluation of a combined antigen and antibody test for rapid HIV diagnosis in
a community and sexual health clinic screening programme. PLoS One. 2011;6(11):e28019.
49. O'Neal JD, Golden MR, Branson BM, Stekler JD. HIV nucleic acid amplification testing
versus rapid testing: it is worth the wait. Testing preferences of men who have sex with men.
J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012 Aug 1;60(4):e117-20.
50. Meulbroek M, Ditzel E, Saz J, Taboada H, Pérez F, Pérez A, Carrillo A, Font G, Marazzi G,
Uya J, Cabrero J, Ingrami M, Marín R, Coll J, Pujol F. BCN Checkpoint, a community-based
centre for men who have sex with men in Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain, shows high efficiency
in HIV detection and linkage to care. HIV Med. 2013 Oct;14 Suppl 3:25-8.

51. Eu B, Roth N, Stoové M, O'Reilly M, Clarke E. Rapid HIV testing increases the rate of HIV
detection in men who have sex with men: using rapid HIV testing in a primary care clinic.
Sex Health. 2014 Mar;11(1):89-90.
52. Wouters K, Fransen K, Beelaert G, Kenyon C, Platteau T, Van Ghyseghem C, Collier I,
Buyze J, Florence E. Use of rapid HIV testing in a low threshold centre in Antwerp, Belgium,
2007-2012. Int J STD AIDS. 2014 Nov;25(13):936-42.
53. Yang M, Prestage G, Maycock B, Brown G, de Wit J, McKechnie M, Guy R, Keen P, Fairley
CK, Zablotska IB. The acceptability of different HIV testing approaches: cross-sectional study
among GMSM in Australia. Sex Transm Infect. 2014 Dec;90(8):592-5.
54. Mayer K, Tomassilli J, Gelman M, Altfeld M, Allen T. HIV self-testing among men who have
sex with men (MSM): acceptability of biweekly finger pricks to perform the alere
determineTM HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab assay; AIDS 2014 - XX International AIDS Conference; July
2014; Melbourne, Australia.
55. Conway DP, Guy R, Davies SC, Couldwell DL, McNulty A, Smith DE, Keen P, Cunningham
P, Holt M; Sydney Rapid HIV Test Study. Rapid HIV Testing Is Highly Acceptable and
Preferred among High-Risk Gay And Bisexual Men after Implementation in Sydney Sexual
Health Clinics. PLoS One. 2015 Apr 21;10(4):e0123814.
56. Conway DP, Guy R, McNulty A, Couldwell DL, Davies SC, Smith DE, Keen P, Cunningham
P, Holt M; Sydney Rapid HIV Test Study. Effect of testing experience and profession on
provider acceptability of rapid HIV testing after implementation in public sexual health clinics
in Sydney. HIV Med. 2015 May;16(5):280-7.
57. Hoenigl M, Graff-Zivin J, Little SJ. Costs per Diagnosis of Acute HIV Infection in Community-
based Screening Strategies: A Comparative Analysis of Four Screening Algorithms. Clin
Infect Dis. 2016 Feb 15;62(4):501-511.
58. Hoenigl M, Chaillon A, Mehta SR, Smith DM, Graff-Zivin J, Little SJ. Screening for acute HIV
infection in community-based settings: Cost-effectiveness and impact on transmissions. J
Infect. 2016 Nov;73(5):476-484.
59. Alibayeva KO, Saparbekov MK, Baiserkin BS, Abishev AT, Tazhibaeva GH, Kasymbekova
SZ. Study of the possibility of introduction of Kazakhstan NGO-based rapid HIV testing
procedures. HIV AIDS (Auckl). 2019 Sep 11;11:219-227.
60. Green Kimberley. 2019. Detecting acute HIV infection to accelerate epidemic control. 2019.
PATH, USAID, PEPFAR. Available at:
https://www.whitehatcom.com/Alere/K_Green_HIV_4th_gen_V2_112019.pdf
61. Mullens AB, Duyker J, Brownlow C, Lemoire J, Daken K, Gow J. Point-of-care testing
(POCT) for HIV/STI targeting MSM in regional Australia at community 'beat' locations. BMC
Health Serv Res. 2019 Feb 2;19(1):93.
62. Frola CE, Zalazar V, Cardozo N, Vázquez ML, Arístegui I, Lucas M, Gun A, Cahn P, Sued O.
Home-based HIV testing: Using different strategies among transgender women in Argentina.
PLoS One. 2020 Mar 19;15(3):e0230429.
63. Martin EG, Cornett J, Mohammed DY, Salaru G. Rapid Testing Algorithm Performance in a
Low-Prevalence Environment. Sex Transm Dis. 2020 May;47(5S Suppl 1):S35-S40.
64. Saz J, Dalmau-Bueno A, Meulbroek M, Pujol F, Coll J, Herraiz-Tomey Á, Pérez F, Marazzi G,
Taboada H, Culqui DR, Caylà JA; BCN Checkpoint Working Group. Use of fourth-generation
rapid combined antigen and antibody diagnostic tests for the detection of acute HIV infection
in a community centre for men who have sex with men, between 2016 and 2019. PLoS One.

2021 Jul 27;16(7):e0255065. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255065. Erratum in: PLoS One.
2021 Oct 11;16(10):e0258613.
65. Williams OD, Dean JA, Crothers A, Gilks CF, Gow J. Economic evaluation of alternative
testing regimes and settings to detect undiagnosed HIV in Australia. BMC Health Serv Res.
2021 Jan 7;21(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-06040-5. PMID: 33413386; PMCID:
PMC7789789.
66. Ferrer P, Bastias C, Beltrán C, Afani A. Diagnosis of HIV infection using mass community
rapid testing in Santiago, Chile. J Clin Virol Plus. 2022;2:100064.
67. Muñoz-Chimeno M, Valencia J, Rodriguez-Recio A, Cuevas G, Garcia-Lugo A, Manzano S,
Rodriguez-Paredes V, Fernandez B, Morago L, Casado C, Avellón A, Ryan P. HCV, HIV AND
HBV rapid test diagnosis in non-clinical outreach settings can be as accurate as
conventional laboratory tests. Sci Rep. 2023 May 9;13(1):7554.
68. Ochwoto M, Matiang'i M, Machuki Onchieku N, Ndoria S, Matoke L, Otinga M, Zablon J,
Mathebula E, Matoke-Muhia D. The feasibility and impact of deploying a four-tests panel at
antenatal care in primary health care facilities of a developing country, Kenya. Front Public
Health. 2024 Nov 25;12:1399612.
69. Rodriguez NM, Balian L, Kataki I, Tolliver C, Rivera-De Jesus J, Linnes JC. Stakeholder-
engaged development of a rapid test for detection of acute HIV infection. Res Sq [Preprint].
2024 Apr 19:rs.3.rs-4243639.
70. Kiptinness C, Kuo AP, Reedy AM, Johnson CC, Ngure K, Wagner AD, Ortblad KF. Examining
the Use of HIV Self-Testing to Support PrEP Delivery: a Systematic Literature Review. Curr
HIV/AIDS Rep. 2022 Oct;19(5):394-408.
71. Tieosapjaroen W, Williams E, Johnson CC, Baptista Da Silva C, Barr-DiChiara M, Ong JJ.
Web Annex D. Should HIV testing services with rapid diagnostic tests and/or self-tests be
used for initiation or continuation of injectable long-acting PrEP? In: Guidelines on
lenacapavir for HIV prevention and testing strategies for long-acting injectable pre-exposure
prophylaxis (PrEP). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025.
Available: https://doi.org/10.2471/B09479
72. van de Vijver D, van Kampen J, Wongsa A, Janamnuaysook R, Mesplede T, Matrajt L et al.
Evaluating HIV testing strategies to reduce drug resistance during CAB-LA rollout in
Thailand. A modelling study. 13th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science;
13–17 July; Kigali, Rwanda, 2025. Available: https://www.abstract-
archive.org/Abstract/Share/91175
73. Cox SN, Wu L, Wittenauer R, Clark S, Roberts DA, Nwogu IB, Vitruk O, Kuo AP, Johnson C,
Jamil MS, Sands A, Schaefer R, Kisia C, Baggaley R, Stekler JD, Akullian A, Sharma M.
Impact of HIV self-testing for oral pre-exposure prophylaxis scale-up on drug resistance and
HIV outcomes in western Kenya: a modelling study. Lancet HIV. 2024 Mar;11(3):e167-e175.
74. Oliveira Leite, L. Magno, F. Soares, D. Zeballos, L. Dezanet, O. Ferreira, M. Westin, D.
Greco, A. Grangeiro, I. Dourado, The PrEP15-19 Choices study group. Equivalent
performance of HIV Oral Fluid Self-Testing and Rapid Testing compared to Nucleic Acid
Amplification Test in Screening Adolescents for Long-Acting Injectable Cabotegravir in Brazil.
13th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science; 13–17 July; Kigali, Rwanda,
2025. Available: https://www.abstract-archive.org/Abstract/Share/92394

75. Vermeulen M, van Schalkwyk C, Jacobs G, et al. The Impact of Early Antiretroviral
Treatment (ART) for HIV on the Sensitivity of the Latest Generation of Blood Screening and
Point of Care Assays. *Viruses*. 2022;14(7):1426.
76. Toolkit to optimize HIV testing algorithms. Geneva World Health Organization; 2021.
Available: https://www.who.int/tools/optimizing-hiv-testing-algorithms-toolkit.
77. Smallwood M, Pant Pai N. Improving the Quality of Diagnostic Studies Evaluating Point of
Care Tests for Acute HIV Infections: Problems and Recommendations. *Diagnostics (Basel)*.
2017;7(1):13. Published 2017 Mar 4.