Burden of Emergency and Operative Conditions in India: A state-level retrospective analysis from 1981 to 2021

Short title: Operative burden in India

Riley Gerardo[1], Siddhesh Zadey[2,3,4,5], Ritika Shetty[2], Anoushka Arora[2], Uma Gupta[2], Shirish Rao[2], Aiman Perween Afsar[2], Catherine A. Staton[3,6,7], Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci[3,6,7]

1. College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca NY USA
2. Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR), Pune, Maharashtra, India
3. Global Emergency Medicine Innovation and Implementation (GEMINI) Research Center, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
4. Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York NY USA
5. Dr. D. Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India
6. Department of Emergency Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
7. Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America

Keywords: operative care; emergency care; mortality; disability-adjusted life years; India; low- and middle-income countries; global surgery

*** Corresponding author:** Siddhesh Zadey, BSMS MSc-GH; ASAR Office Address: D2 Sai Heritage, New DP Road, Aundh, Pune, Maharashtra, India 411007; Email: sidzadey@asarforindia.org

Article Type: Original Research
Word Count: 2958
No. of figures/tables: 7
References: 20

Abstract

Introduction: Disease burden is a crucial factor in determining healthcare policy and resource allocation. We analyzed the burden of emergency and operative conditions at the subnational level in India from 1981 to 2021.

Material and Methods: We extracted mortality and disability-adjusted life-year (DALY) estimates for 31 Indian states and Union Territories from 1981 to 2021 from the Global Burden of Disease 2021 Study. We used existing expert-consensus-based classifications for defining emergency (30 conditions), operative (57 conditions), and emergency-operative conditions (7 conditions). We investigated the rates per 100,000 people and proportion attributed to the above conditions out of total mortality and DALYs.

Results: In 2021, emergency (mortality rate: 345.82 per 100,000; DALY rate: 13,862 per 100,000), operative (mortality rate: 143.07 per 100,000; DALY rate: 6,448 per 100,000), and emergency-operative conditions (mortality rate: 70.69 per 100,000; DALY rate: 3,571 per 100,000) accounted for 41.65%, 17.23%, and 8.51% of all-cause mortality and 36.98%, 17.20%, and 9.53% of all-cause DALYs, respectively. Tamil Nadu, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had higher mortality and DALY rates for the three condition groups. From 1981 to 2021, mortality and DALY rates generally decreased for all conditions, with the largest decrease for emergency conditions. Mortality rates for Nagaland, Himachal Pradesh, and Punjab either decreased minimally or increased since 2001. States such as Kerala and Goa did not show substantial decreases in their DALY rates from 2011 to 2021.

Conclusion: Despite substantial improvements in healthcare nationally, the time trend of several states in India showed a consistent trend over time, reporting a persistently high burden of emergency and operative conditions. Given the relatively large share of emergency and operative conditions toward all-cause mortality, surgical care should be a high priority in national and state-level cross-sectoral policymaking.

1 Introduction

Noncommunicable diseases and injuries contribute to over 70% of global deaths [1]. A substantial portion of deaths can be prevented, cured, and managed by strengthening health systems. Previous World Health Organization (WHO) Resolutions [2,3] have codified the centricity of emergency, critical, and operative (ECO) care components in the health systems for achieving universal health coverage (UHC). To appropriately prioritize conditions in health policymaking and allocate resources in an evidence-informed manner, multiple studies have attempted to assess the disease burden of emergency and operative conditions.

As summarized in **Table 1**, previous studies have defined and measured the burden of emergency and operative conditions in different ways. Despite the differences in definitions and estimation procedures, there seems to be a consensus that both emergency and operative care play a substantial role in the overall picture of global health, making these key areas for continued research and healthcare reform. While studies of the global burden of emergency and operative conditions are popular, studies investigating national burdens are limited, and those focusing on subnational levels are missing. These data would serve as key indicators for national and local governments to work on policies to improve the burden of emergency and operative conditions. This is particularly necessary for countries with large geographies and complex multi-tier health governance structures, such as India. Additionally, almost all previous global assessments have investigated emergency and operative burdens cross-sectionally, preventing them from commenting on changes over time. Given that India contributes to 17.30% of the global mortality and 18.39% of global DALYs [1], we analyzed the burden of emergency and operative conditions subnationally in India from 1981 to 2021.

2 Methods

We extracted data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2021 database on the number of deaths, DALYs, and population counts for 1981 (the earliest year available in the GBD 2021 Database), 1991, 2001, 2011, and 2021 on a global, Indian national, and Indian subnational level for 31 states and Union Territories (UTs) [1]. GBD provided data grouped for all UTs, except Delhi. We calculated aggregated deaths and DALYs for emergency conditions, operative conditions, and emergency-operative conditions based on existing disease classification frameworks formed from a Delphi-consensus process [7,8–10].

We included 30 emergency conditions that were defined as “a condition that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often leads to serious physical or mental disability or death”. We included 57 operative conditions and defined them as “any condition that may require the expertise of a surgically trained provider”. Of these conditions, 7 fell under both the emergency and operative categories and were additionally classified as “emergency-operative conditions”. Critical conditions were excluded from the analysis due to the lack of a globally accepted definition and lack of data for specific critical illness syndromes, such as sepsis, in the GBD database. **Table 1** compares the definitions we used from Wimmer et al. (2025) [7] to other recent studies on the burden of emergency, operative, and emergency-operative conditions. **Figure 1** illustrates the conditions from the GBD 2021 study that were included in each condition group.

Data were grouped by year, location, measures (death or DALYs), and condition groups (emergency, operative, or emergency-operative). We estimated the following outcomes: the total count, rate per 100,000 people, and % of all-cause deaths/DALYs **(Table 2)**. For comparing outcomes across states/UTs for the most recent year (i.e., 2021), we excluded 'UTs except Delhi', since the group represented multiple geographic regions. Analysis was performed using R version 4.3.1. All data and code used for this study are available at the Zenodo repository [11].

3 Results

3.1 Deaths

3.1.1 Emergency Conditions

We found a total of 4,891,554 deaths in India in 2021 could be attributed to emergency conditions, which composed 41.65% of all-cause mortality. The national mortality rate of 346 deaths per 100,000 was just below the global rate of 352 deaths per 100,000. Overall, the national mortality rate decreased over time from 1981 to 2021 **(Figure 2a)**. However, among states/UTs, Goa's and Kerala's mortality rates increased over time **(Figure 2b)**. Punjab's mortality rate did decrease over time, but showed only a small decrease overall from 1981 to 2021. The mortality rate in several states, including Punjab, Nagaland, and Maharashtra, did not show a substantial decrease from 2001 to 2021. Uttar Pradesh, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, and Bihar showed large overall decreases in mortality rate between 1981 and 2021. Fifteen states had a mortality rate above the national value **(Figure 2c)**. In 2021, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, and Odisha showed some of the highest mortality rates, while Mizoram, Arunachal Pradesh, and Meghalaya had among the lowest values.

3.1.2 Operative Conditions

We found a total of 2,023,752 deaths from operative conditions in India in 2021, which accounted for 17.23% of all-cause mortality. The national mortality rate of 143 deaths per 100,000 was below the global rate of 190 deaths per 100,000. Overall, the national mortality rate decreased over time from 1981 to 2021, although it notably started much lower and decreased much less than the rate due to emergency conditions **(Figure 2a)**. Multiple states, such as Kerala, Mizoram, Goa, Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh, and all UTs except Delhi, experienced an increase in their mortality rates from 1981 to 2021 **(Figure 2d)**. Gujarat's mortality rate was the same in 2021 as it was in 1981.

Several states, like Mizoram and Himachal Pradesh, did not show a substantial decrease in their mortality rates, particularly from 2001 to 2021. Chhattisgarh's and Punjab's mortality rates tended to fluctuate between 1981 and 2021, which contrasted with the consistent decrease seen in mortality rates due to emergency conditions for these states. Of all the states/UTs, Jharkhand's, Tamil Nadu's, and Uttar Pradesh's mortality rates had large decreases over time. In 2021, 11 states had a mortality rate above the national value **(Figure 2e)**. Uttarakhand, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu had some of the highest mortality rates in 2021. Jharkhand, Delhi, and Bihar showed some of the lowest mortality rates.

3.1.3 Emergency-Operative Conditions

We found a total of 999,958 deaths due to emergency-operative conditions in India in 2021, which contributed to 8.51% of all-cause mortality. The national mortality rate of 71 deaths per 100,000 was above the global rate of 59 deaths per 100,000. Overall, the national mortality rate decreased over time from 1981 to 2021, although it notably started much lower and decreased much less than the rate due to emergency conditions in India **(Figure 2a)**. Among states/UTs, mortality rates in Goa and all UTs except Delhi increased between 1981 and 2021 **(Figure 2f)**. Of the states with a mortality rate that decreased over time, Uttarakhand, Kerala, and Jammu and Kashmir showed only minimal change from 1981 to 2021.

Some states reached their peak mortality rates after 1981. For example, Uttarakhand's mortality rate peaked in 1991, and Assam's mortality rate peaked in 2001. Several states also experienced a time period during which their mortality rate did not decrease substantially. For example, Gujarat's mortality rate increased between 1991 and 2001, while Punjab's rate increased between 2001 and 2011. Jharkhand, Bihar, and Tamil Nadu had large overall decreases between 1981 and 2021. For emergency-operative conditions in 2021, ten states had a mortality rate above the national value **(Figure 2g)**. In 2021, some of the highest mortality rates were for Uttarakhand, Tamil Nadu, and Chhattisgarh. While Meghalaya, Delhi, and Nagaland had some of the lowest rates.

3.2 DALYs

3.2.1. Emergency Conditions

We found a total of 196,082,538 DALYs in India in 2021 that were attributable to emergency conditions, which accounted for 36.98% of all-cause DALYs lost. The national DALY rate of 13,862 DALYs per 100,000 was above the global rate of 13,090 DALYs per 100,000. Overall, the national DALY rate decreased over time **(Figure 3a)**. More specifically, the DALY rates in Kerala, Goa, and all UTs except Delhi decreased only slightly over time **(Figure 3b)**. Furthermore, Kerala's and Goa's DALY rates did not show substantial decreases from 2011 to 2021, and Punjab's rate also had minimal decreases from 2001 to 2021. DALY rates for Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, and Uttar Pradesh had a large decrease between 1991 and 2021. In 2021, 8 states had DALY rates above the national value **(Figure 3c)**. In 2021, Chhattisgarh's, Uttar Pradesh's, and Madhya Pradesh's DALY rates were among the highest. Mizoram's, Arunachal Pradesh's, and Delhi's rates were among the lowest.

3.2.2 Operative Conditions

We found a total of 91,210,710 DALYs due to operative conditions in India in 2021, which contributed to 17.20% of all-cause DALYs. The national DALY rate of 6,448 DALYs per 100,000 was below the global DALY rate of 7,300 per 100,000. Overall, the national DALY rate decreased over time from 1991 to 2021; however, it did not decrease as much nor start as high as the rate due to emergency conditions **(Figure 3a)**. Notably, DALY rates for Mizoram, Kerala, and Goa had small decreases from 1991 to 2021 **(Figure 3d)**. Several states, such as Chhattisgarh, Punjab, and Mizoram, did not experience a substantial decrease in DALY rate

between 2001 and 2011. A few states also reached peak DALY rates after 1991, such as Gujarat, which peaked in 2001, and Mizoram, which peaked in 2011. Kerala's and Maharashtra's DALY rates did not show substantial decreases, particularly between 2011 and 2021. Jharkhand's, Bihar's, and Tamil Nadu's DALY rates had large decreases over time. For operative conditions in 2021, 9 states had a DALY rate above the national value **(Figure 3e)**. Of these states, Uttarakhand, Chhattisgarh, and Tamil Nadu's DALY rates were among the highest, while Jharkhand, Delhi, and Nagaland's DALY rates were among the lowest.

3.2.3 Emergency-Operative Conditions

We found a total of 50,518,152 DALYs due to emergency-operative conditions in India in 2021, which contributed to 9.53% of all-cause DALYs. The national DALY rate of 3,571 DALYs per 100,000 was above the global rate of 3,311 DALYs per 100,000. Overall, the national DALY rate decreased over time between 1991 and 2021; however, it decreased notably less and also started much lower than the rate due to emergency conditions **(Figure 3a**). Among states/UTs, DALY rates for Goa, Nagaland, and Himachal Pradesh decreased the least over time **(Figure 3f)**. Many states, such as Goa and Nagaland, did not show a substantial decrease in their DALY rates between 1991 and 2001. Other states, such as Karnataka and Punjab, did not show substantial decreases in their rates between 2001 and 2011. Jammu and Kashmir, as well as Gujarat, both reached peak DALY rates in 2001. Bihar's, Jharkhand's, and Tamil Nadu's DALY rates were notable for having large decreases over time. For emergency-operative conditions in 2021, nine states had a DALY rate above the national value **(Figure 3g)**. In 2021, Uttarakhand's, Tamil Nadu's, and Chhattisgarh's DALY rates were among the highest, while Meghalaya's, Delhi's, and Nagaland's rates were among the lowest.

4 Discussion

4.1 Summary of Findings

We demonstrated that emergency conditions (41.65% of all-cause mortality; 36.98% of all-cause DALYs) and operative conditions (17.23% of all-cause mortality; 17.20% of all-cause DALYs) contribute to a large proportion of India's disease burden. Overall, death and DALY rates for emergency conditions, operative conditions, and emergency-operative conditions decreased over time from 1981 to 2021. However, there were some exceptions to this. The mortality rates due to emergency conditions in both Goa and Kerala increased over time **(Figure 4a)**. The mortality rate due to operative conditions in Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Mizoram, Goa, and Kerala increased over time, while the mortality rate due to operative conditions in Gujarat was the same in 1981 as it was in 2021 **(Figure 4b)**. The mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in Goa increased over time **(Figure 4c)**. Our results suggest that the burden of emergency and operative conditions, and improvements in the burden of emergency and operative conditions, have not been equal across all Indian states. Tamil Nadu, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had some of the highest mortality and DALYs rates across the three condition groups **(Figure 5)**. Delhi, Meghalaya, and Nagaland had some of the lowest mortality and DALY rates across all three condition groups **(Figure 5)**. Mortality and DALY rates in some states, including Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Karnataka, and Kerala, have become stagnant or increased, particularly

over the past 20 years.

4.2 Policy Implications

Previous studies have noted issues with access to and quality of emergency and operative care in India [12,13,14,15]. A systematic review revealed low prioritization of surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anaesthesia care in Indian policies from 1946 to 2017, with policy prioritization declining notably after 2010 [16]. Although the national Indian mortality rates due to operative conditions decreased over time, this could explain the stagnancy we found in mortality rates for operative conditions in 17 Indian states and UTs over the past 20 years. Additionally, studies found that India falls well below the desired threshold for the six surgical care indicators set out by the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery (LCoGS), and that there is substantial variation in these indicators across Indian states and UTs [17,18]. These studies, in addition to our findings of a high burden of emergency and operative conditions, emphasize the need for improved policy surrounding emergency and operative care in India.

There is a clear need to scale up the healthcare system in states with a high burden of emergency and operative conditions and/or poor performance on the LCoGS indicators. Policy attention and resource allocation should be directed towards states that demonstrate high mortality rates and concerning long-term trends. States with high mortality rates due to emergency conditions, such as Tamil Nadu, Kerala, and Odisha, should be prioritized for investments in strengthening emergency care, from pre-hospital emergency medical services, standardized protocols for trauma, improved resource allocation, and the emergency care workforce. For operative conditions, Uttarakhand, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu had high mortality rates in 2021 and could benefit from expanding the surgical workforce, potentially through incentivized posting of specialists in underserved areas. Moreover, adequate resources and budget allocation must be ensured to strengthen infrastructure. Goa and Kerala, which have had an increase in death rates due to emergency conditions despite a decrease in national mortality rates from 1981 to 2021, need a thorough audit of the structural failures leading to this upward trend. Kerala, Mizoram, Goa, and Uttarakhand had an increase in death rates for operative conditions. These states should prioritize funding for surgical and anesthesia training/education and improving access to surgical centers. Furthermore, LCoGS recommends the formulation of a National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plan for LMICs to ensure equitable access to care, which India could benefit from [19]. Our study looked at population-level health outcomes that can be affected by a multitude of factors within or external to the healthcare system. Thus, we cannot assume that our findings of high burden due to emergency and operative conditions in some states are caused solely by healthcare system failures and that new healthcare policies alone would improve the burden in these states.

4.3 Limitations

As far as we are aware, this is the first comprehensive study to map the burden of emergency and operative conditions at a subnational level in India. However, there are several limitations. First, all of the data collected from the GBD 2021 study are estimates. Although

GBD estimates are rigorously tested and validated, they still may not be entirely accurate in capturing the true number of deaths and DALYs for the conditions and locations of interest. Second, a full uncertainty analysis was outside the scope of this study, but could be a helpful addition in future studies. Third, the GBD study grouped all Union Territories except Delhi, as one group. This limited our ability to compare the estimates for UTs in this group to those of other states. Fourth, the definitions for emergency conditions and operative conditions were broad and may have overestimated the burden of emergency and operative conditions. For example, operative conditions may have included conditions that are often treated surgically but could also be treated non-surgically in some cases. Future studies should consider sensitivity analyses by using different definitions or frameworks. Fifth, we did not explicitly investigate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, which caused disruptions in care, on the burden of emergency and operative conditions. However, the modelling system used in the GBD 2021 study accounts for the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mortality and DALYs, and studies have shown that other macro trends have continued to play a substantial role in health systems despite the pandemic [20]. Sixth, disaggregated estimates by age and sex groups were outside the scope of our analysis, which could be investigated in future studies. Finally, despite our interest in ECO care as a whole, we excluded critical conditions. The GBD database lacked specific data on several of the conditions, such as sepsis and mechanically ventilated patients, that are generally accepted as critical illness syndromes, making valid estimation challenging.

5 Conclusion

Emergency and operative conditions contribute substantially to the overall burden of disease in India. Although the national death and DALY rates for emergency and operative conditions in India have decreased over time, some states have experienced persistently high burden and/or stagnancy in their burden rates over the past 20 years. These findings have important implications for healthcare policy in India. The high burden of emergency and operative conditions is likely a downstream effect of poor healthcare access and limited policy focus, thus emphasizing the importance of emergency and operative care in achieving UHC.

6 References

1. GBD 2021 Causes of Death Collaborators (2024) Global burden of 288 causes of death and life expectancy decomposition in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403:2100–2132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00367-2

2. Price R, Makasa E, Hollands M (2015) World Health Assembly Resolution WHA68.15: “Strengthening Emergency and Essential Surgical Care and Anesthesia as a Component of Universal Health Coverage”—Addressing the Public Health Gaps Arising from Lack of Safe, Affordable and Accessible Surgical and Anesthetic Services. World J Surg 39:2115–2125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-015-3153-y

3. World Health Organization (2023) SEVENTY-SIXTH WORLD HEALTH ASSEMBLY

4. Stewart B, Khanduri P, McCord C, et al (2014) Global disease burden of conditions requiring emergency surgery. Br J Surg 101:e9-22. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9329

5. Shrime MG, Bickler SW, Alkire BC, et al (2015) Global burden of surgical disease: an estimation from the provider perspective. Lancet Glob Health 3 Suppl 2:S8-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70384-5

6. Debas HT, Donkor P, Gawande A, et al (2015) Essential surgery: disease control priorities, third edition (volume 1). The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Washington (DC)

7. Wimmer S, Sarabu S, Calvello Hynes E, et al (2025) Global burden of emergency and operative conditions: an analysis of Global Burden of Disease data, 2011-2019. Bull World Health Organ 103:194–203. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.24.292412

8. Razzak J, Usmani MF, Bhutta ZA (2019) Global, regional and national burden of emergency medical diseases using specific emergency disease indicators: analysis of the 2015 Global Burden of Disease Study. BMJ Glob Health 4:e000733. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000733

9. GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators (2017) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 390:1211–1259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-2

10. Chang CY, Abujaber S, Reynolds TA, et al (2016) Burden of emergency conditions and emergency care usage: new estimates from 40 countries. Emerg Med J 33:794–800. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2016-205709

11. Gerardo R, Zadey S, Shetty R, et al (2025) Burden of Emergency and Operative Conditions in India: A state-level retrospective analysis from 1981 to 2021. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17307515

12. Department of Emergency Medicine, JPNATC, AIIMS (2022) Emergency and Injury Care at District Hospitals in India

13. Kumar S (2023) From crisis to care: the spectacular evolution of emergency medicine in india. JAPI 1:1–7. https://doi.org/10.5005/njem-11015-0005

14. Zadey S, Nickenig Vissoci JR (2021) Analyzing surgical volumes, rates, and need in rural india. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.03.21265903

15. Zadey S, Sonal S, Iyer H, et al (2022) Roadblocks and solutions to planning surgical care for a billion Indians. BMJ Glob Health 7:. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-010292

16. Shetty R, Zadey S, Jindal A, et al (2023) Prioritization of surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anesthesia care in India over seven decades: A systematic analysis of policy

documents. PLOS Glob Public Health 3:e0002084. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002084

17. Zadey S, Iyer H, Nayan A, et al (2023) Evaluating the status of the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery indicators for India. Lancet Reg Health Southeast Asia 13:100178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lansea.2023.100178

18. Zadey S, Smith ER, Staton CA, et al (2024) Population-level surgical rates and unmet need in India: a retrospective analysis of districts and states from 2011 to 2019. Int J Surg 110:1884–1887. https://doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000001024

19. Sonderman KA, Citron I, Mukhopadhyay S, et al (2019) Framework for developing a national surgical, obstetric and anaesthesia plan. BJS Open 3:722–732. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs5.50190

20. Murray CJL, GBD 2021 Collaborators (2024) Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403:2259–2262. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00769-4

7 Declarations

Author Contributions:

Riley Gerardo: Formal Analysis, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing

Siddhesh Zadey: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision, Project Administration

Ritika Shetty: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing

Anoushka Arora: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing

Uma Gupta: Writing – Review and Editing

Shirish Rao: Writing – Review and Editing

Aiman Afsar: Writing – Review and Editing

Catherine A Staton: Writing – Review and Editing

Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci: Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision

Acknowledgements: None

Funding: None

Competing Interests: Siddhesh Zadey is the co-founding director of the Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR). He also represents ASAR at the G4 Alliance Permanent Council. He serves as the Chair of the Asia Working Group, the G4 Alliance, Fellow of the Lancet Commission on a Citizen-Centered Health System for India, and the Drafting Committee Member for Maharashtra State Mental Health Policy. Other authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This manuscript does not involve any human/animal subjects for research. We use publicly available aggregate data. Hence, ethics approval and consent obligations are not applicable.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: Data used and produced in the manuscript are available at the Zenodo repository [11].

AI use declaration: The authors did not use generative AI for drafting or editing the manuscript content. Grammarly was used for proofreading.

8 Tables

Table 1. Comparison of Definitions and Estimates for Emergency, Operative, and Emergency-Operative Conditions across Existing Studies.

Source	Emergency Conditions	Operative Conditions	Emergency-Operative Conditions
Wimmer et al., 2025 [7]	Definition: "A condition that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often leads to serious physical or mental disability or death." Estimated Burden: 367.18 deaths and 13,872.48 DALYs per 100,000 population	Definition: "Any condition that may require the expertise of a surgically trained provider." Estimated Burden: 233.83 deaths and 8,311.47 DALYs per 100,000 population	Definition: "A condition that was identified as both an emergency condition and an operative condition." Estimated Burden: 86.92 deaths and 4070.95 DALYs per 100,000 population
Chang et al., 2016 [10]	Definition: Conditions "that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often lead to serious physical or mental disability or death." Estimated Burden: 90% of deaths and 84% of DALYs, globally		
Debas et al., 2015 [6]		Definition: Conditions that can be "primarily or extensively treated by surgical providers", with a "large health burden", and "can be	

		successfully treated by a surgical procedure and other surgical care that is cost-effective and feasible to promote globally.”* Estimated Burden: Essential surgical conditions accounted for 4.7 million deaths and 340 million DALYs in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in 2011. Universal essential surgical coverage would avoid an estimated 1.5 million deaths and 87 million DALYs in LMICs per year.	
Stewart et al., 2014 [4]			Definition: Conditions that are most commonly an indication for emergency surgery by a general surgeon, excluding trauma, burns, obstetrics, neurosurgery, orthopedics, complex congenital surgery, and cardiothoracic surgery. Estimated Burden: 25 million DALYs and 896,000 deaths

			globally in 2010.
Shrime et al., 2015 [5]		Definition: Based on "what proportion of patients would, in an ideal setting, benefit from a surgeon in their management." Estimated Burden: 25.3% of deaths and 28.0% of DALYs globally can be attributed to operative conditions.	

* The definition from Debas et al. is specifically for essential surgical conditions and not all surgical conditions.

Table 2. Calculations for outcomes.

Outcome	Equation
Rate per 100,000 people	$Rate_{y,l,m,g} = \frac{total\ \#_{y,m,l,g}}{population_{y,l}} \times 100{,}000$
% of all-cause deaths or DALYs	$\%\ of\ all\ cause = \frac{total\ \#_{y,m,l,g}}{total\ \#\ of\ all-cause_{y,m,l}} \times 100$

y=year, l=location, m=measure (Deaths or DALYs), and g=condition group

9 Figure Captions

Figure 1: Venn Diagram of GBD condition groups based on Wimmer et al. 2025 [7].

Figure 2: A) National Mortality Rates in India over time; **B)** Heatmap of Mortality Rates Due to Emergency Conditions; **C)** Map of mortality rates due to emergency conditions across India; **D)** Heatmap of Mortality rates due to operative conditions; **E)** Map of mortality rates due to operative conditions across India; **F)** Heatmap of mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions; **G)** Map of mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions across India.

Figure 3: A) National DALY rates in India over time; **B)** Heatmap of DALY rates due to emergency conditions; **C)** Map of DALY rates due to emergency conditions across India; **D)** Heatmap of DALY rates due to operative conditions; **E)** Map of DALY rates due to operative conditions across India; **F)** Heatmap of DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions; **G)** Map of DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions across India.

Figure 4: A) Map of states with an increase over time in mortality rate due to emergency conditions vs states with a decrease over time in mortality rate due to emergency conditions; **B)** Map of states with an increase over time in mortality rate due to operative conditions vs states with a decrease over time in mortality rate due to operative conditions; **C)** Map of states with an increase over time in mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions vs states with a decrease over time in mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions.

Figure 5: Map of states with high mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups vs states with low mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups.


Emergency Conditions
Emergency-Operative Conditions
Operative Conditions
Lower respiratory infections; diarrheal diseases; typhoid fever; paratyphoid fever; malaria; dengue; yellow fever; rabies; ebola; meningitis; encephalitis; tetanus; maternal sepsis and other maternal infections; maternal hypertensive disorders; neonatal preterm birth; neonatal encephalopathy due to birth asphyxia and trauma; neonatal sepsis and other neonatal infections; hemolytic disease and other neonatal jaundice; ischemic heart disease; stroke; atrial fibrillation and flutter; endocarditis; idiopathic epilepsy; acute glomerulonephritis
Maternal hemorrhage; maternal obstructed labor and uterine rupture; maternal abortion and miscarriage; ectopic pregnancy; appendicitis; paralytic ileus and intestinal obstruction; injuries
Lip and oral cavity cancer; nasopharynx cancer; other pharynx cancer; esophageal cancer; stomach cancer; colon and rectum cancer; liver cancer; gallbladder and biliary tract cancer; pancreatic cancer; larynx cancer; tracheal, bronchus, and lung cancer; malignant skin melanoma; non-melanoma skin cancer; breast cancer; cervical cancer; uterine cancer; ovarian cancer; prostate cancer; testicular cancer, kidney cancer; bladder cancer; brain and central nervous system cancer; thyroid cancer; benign and in situ intestinal neoplasms; benign and in situ cervical and uterine neoplasms; rheumatic heart disease; non-rheumatic valvular hear disease; aortic aneurysm; lower extremity peripheral arterial disease; inguinal, femoral and abdominal hernia; vascular intestinal disorders; gallbladder and biliary diseases; pancreatitis; decubitus ulcer; glaucoma; cataract; neural tube defects; congenital heart anomalies; orofacial clefts; congenital musculoskeletal and limb anomalies; urogenital congenital anomalies; digestive congenital anomalies; urolithiasis; benign prostatic hyperplasia; uterine fibroids; endometriosis; genital prolapse; caries of deciduous teeth; caries of permanent teeth; periodontal diseases

A

Death Rates in India over time



B

Death Rate (per 100,000) Due to Emergency Conditions

Year	1981	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	774	623	473	404	379
Arunachal Pradesh	501	352	291	211	164
Assam	645	547	470	377	278
Bihar	794	579	468	384	282
Chhattisgarh	825	677	568	514	407
Delhi	408	323	273	254	220
Goa	336	320	300	324	373
Gujarat	626	477	446	366	369
Haryana	550	455	371	358	287
Himachal Pradesh	524	414	334	299	302
Jammu and Kashmir	463	358	298	254	262
Jharkhand	784	644	517	388	246
Karnataka	585	481	386	384	355
Kerala	377	345	357	380	426
Madhya Pradesh	895	699	563	456	361
Maharashtra	559	438	367	341	350
Manipur	413	328	286	278	257
Meghalaya	506	388	298	246	190
Mizoram	306	237	212	208	160
Nagaland	376	281	223	220	211
Odisha	830	659	538	477	420
Punjab	512	462	370	401	411
Rajasthan	657	512	391	340	269
Sikkim	490	305	248	244	221
Tamil Nadu	693	556	474	451	433
Telangana	731	619	505	456	402
Tripura	584	463	377	353	327
Union Territories other than Delhi	385	307	263	262	268
Uttar Pradesh	915	642	517	411	349
Uttarakhand	575	509	429	409	369
West Bengal	675	506	388	363	364

C

Death Rate Due to Emergency Conditions per 100,000



Union territories excluding Delhi had a total death rate due to emergency conditions per 100,000 people of 267.78.

D

Death Rate (per 100,000) Due To Operative Conditions

Year	1981	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	171	160	148	133	135
Arunachal Pradesh	155	145	130	118	114
Assam	165	165	176	151	130
Bihar	198	172	152	133	112
Chhattisgarh	213	196	183	193	167
Delhi	119	115	114	113	110
Goa	106	112	112	122	136
Gujarat	140	131	172	132	140
Haryana	145	141	141	146	131
Himachal Pradesh	147	147	144	145	154
Jammu and Kashmir	137	129	129	106	112
Jharkhand	181	168	157	127	93
Karnataka	183	168	153	160	154
Kerala	149	143	153	159	181
Madhya Pradesh	197	181	178	162	152
Maharashtra	141	139	134	123	136
Manipur	121	117	115	117	113
Meghalaya	121	119	113	113	114
Mizoram	120	124	127	143	151
Nagaland	125	113	106	109	118
Odisha	179	169	166	170	169
Punjab	142	156	130	138	136
Rajasthan	149	137	120	125	123
Sikkim	124	114	118	122	123
Tamil Nadu	235	218	200	191	180
Telangana	179	175	172	167	155
Tripura	148	139	135	129	124
Union Territories other than Delhi	107	115	116	118	124
Uttar Pradesh	212	176	172	155	157
Uttarakhand	174	206	196	199	200
West Bengal	157	148	135	131	134

E

Death Rate Due to Operative Conditions per 100,000



The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total death rate due to operative conditions of 124.01

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

F

Death Rate (per 100,000) Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions

Year	1981	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	102	95	88	74	69
Arunachal Pradesh	78	71	63	54	44
Assam	97	94	98	73	53
Bihar	115	100	90	74	57
Chhattisgarh	128	115	106	112	89
Delhi	63	60	58	52	40
Goa	58	61	61	62	61
Gujarat	83	77	118	76	71
Haryana	84	80	81	80	62
Himachal Pradesh	78	79	76	77	73
Jammu and Kashmir	78	75	78	55	54
Jharkhand	105	96	92	71	46
Karnataka	104	95	86	86	74
Kerala	75	72	75	71	70
Madhya Pradesh	118	106	105	92	79
Maharashtra	84	81	78	68	67
Manipur	74	70	68	66	57
Meghalaya	52	49	47	42	36
Mizoram	56	55	55	54	46
Nagaland	60	50	50	44	40
Odisha	103	94	93	90	80
Punjab	78	94	73	77	66
Rajasthan	85	77	67	68	61
Sikkim	68	60	62	59	49
Tamil Nadu	147	135	121	114	98
Telangana	108	106	105	97	83
Tripura	89	83	82	71	59
Union Territories other than Delhi	61	68	69	67	62
Uttar Pradesh	126	103	105	87	80
Uttarakhand	104	129	114	108	102
West Bengal	91	82	73	67	59

G

Death Rate per 100,000 Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions



The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total death rate of 61.76

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

A

DALY Rates in India over time



B

DALY Rates (per 100,000) Due to Emergency Conditions

Year	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	37,554	26,020	17,697	12,901
Arunachal Pradesh	23,055	18,167	11,700	8,146
Assam	34,185	27,130	20,047	12,580
Bihar	36,285	28,415	20,340	12,543
Chhattisgarh	42,232	32,449	26,091	17,479
Delhi	20,336	15,719	12,265	8,444
Goa	15,047	12,868	11,152	10,465
Gujarat	28,810	24,720	17,696	14,250
Haryana	28,308	20,920	17,485	12,010
Himachal Pradesh	22,223	15,774	13,393	10,842
Jammu and Kashmir	21,455	16,826	12,312	10,195
Jharkhand	39,010	30,031	20,696	11,319
Karnataka	27,085	19,920	17,017	13,245
Kerala	13,856	12,801	11,747	11,615
Madhya Pradesh	45,667	34,362	24,725	16,197
Maharashtra	24,293	18,104	14,105	11,832
Manipur	17,592	14,310	12,509	10,182
Meghalaya	25,983	19,202	14,304	9,335
Mizoram	15,230	12,977	11,850	7,639
Nagaland	16,303	12,887	10,789	8,259
Odisha	37,946	28,099	21,082	15,075
Punjab	24,266	17,265	16,172	13,749
Rajasthan	34,244	25,669	20,159	13,262
Sikkim	19,116	14,262	12,319	9,045
Tamil Nadu	28,346	20,998	17,624	14,413
Telangana	35,676	26,980	18,781	14,367
Tripura	25,961	19,302	15,578	11,872
Union Territories other than Delhi	16,865	13,657	12,171	9,972
Uttar Pradesh	43,580	33,332	23,362	16,994
Uttarakhand	29,367	22,212	18,356	14,546
West Bengal	28,747	19,297	15,332	12,360

C

DALY rates due to Emergency Conditions per 100,000



The Union territories excluding Delhi had a total DALYs rate due to emergency conditions of 9972.26

D

DALY Rate (per 100,000) Due to Operative Conditions

Year	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	9,544	8,337	6,579	5,750
Arunachal Pradesh	8,053	6,904	5,967	5,235
Assam	9,634	9,889	7,831	6,039
Bihar	10,238	8,968	7,133	5,316
Chhattisgarh	11,156	9,939	9,976	7,734
Delhi	6,769	6,417	5,742	4,796
Goa	6,177	5,865	5,664	5,285
Gujarat	7,856	10,058	7,012	6,412
Haryana	8,224	7,859	7,406	6,032
Himachal Pradesh	7,608	6,943	6,947	6,381
Jammu and Kashmir	7,583	7,646	5,881	5,446
Jharkhand	9,590	8,901	6,759	4,622
Karnataka	9,560	8,245	8,010	6,848
Kerala	7,103	7,025	6,540	6,511
Madhya Pradesh	10,859	10,144	8,546	7,193
Maharashtra	7,718	7,084	5,986	5,850
Manipur	6,674	6,381	6,084	5,305
Meghalaya	6,641	6,192	5,674	5,110
Mizoram	6,335	6,245	6,393	5,911
Nagaland	5,989	5,748	5,287	5,013
Odisha	9,622	9,081	8,336	7,089
Punjab	8,792	6,924	6,903	6,018
Rajasthan	8,259	7,110	6,881	5,976
Sikkim	6,397	6,358	6,098	5,287
Tamil Nadu	11,893	10,207	9,129	7,577
Telangana	9,900	9,344	7,870	6,649
Tripura	8,017	7,668	6,679	5,618
Union Territories other than Delhi	6,550	6,467	6,142	5,593
Uttar Pradesh	10,488	10,007	8,099	7,434
Uttarakhand	11,101	9,874	9,117	8,476
West Bengal	8,400	7,332	6,594	5,851

E

DALY Rate Due to Operative Conditions per 100,000



The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total DALYs rate due to operative conditions of 5592.95

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

F

DALY rates (per 100,000) due to Emergency-Operative Conditions

Year	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	5,893	5,228	3,888	3,162
Arunachal Pradesh	4,453	3,846	3,222	2,501
Assam	6,070	6,234	4,447	3,001
Bihar	6,284	5,591	4,245	2,943
Chhattisgarh	6,893	6,174	6,249	4,508
Delhi	3,861	3,678	3,066	2,125
Goa	3,649	3,518	3,197	2,665
Gujarat	4,799	7,247	4,322	3,627
Haryana	4,988	4,882	4,493	3,280
Himachal Pradesh	4,434	4,026	4,132	3,425
Jammu and Kashmir	4,767	5,088	3,533	3,124
Jharkhand	5,793	5,567	4,024	2,462
Karnataka	5,750	5,023	4,794	3,754
Kerala	3,946	3,906	3,326	2,904
Madhya Pradesh	6,885	6,540	5,366	4,214
Maharashtra	4,820	4,464	3,632	3,259
Manipur	4,290	4,174	3,850	3,032
Meghalaya	3,203	3,062	2,652	2,060
Mizoram	3,362	3,268	2,981	2,313
Nagaland	3,159	3,231	2,644	2,211
Odisha	5,834	5,628	4,996	3,806
Punjab	5,689	4,242	4,238	3,331
Rajasthan	4,926	4,212	4,047	3,294
Sikkim	3,719	3,801	3,411	2,541
Tamil Nadu	7,813	6,717	5,928	4,531
Telangana	6,186	5,993	4,868	3,869
Tripura	5,187	5,083	4,124	3,087
Union Territories other than Delhi	4,156	4,189	3,800	3,134
Uttar Pradesh	6,524	6,447	4,916	4,200
Uttarakhand	7,476	6,294	5,482	4,866
West Bengal	5,150	4,443	3,865	3,013

G

DALY Rates Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions per 100,000



The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total DALYs rate due to emergency-operative conditions of 3134.25

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

A.


Emergency Death Rate Over Time
Death rate increased
Death rate decreased
Ladakh
Jammu and Kashmir
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Bihar
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Nagaland
Meghalaya
Manipur
Tripura
Mizoram
West Bengal
Jharkhand
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Daman and Diu
Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Puducherry
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Puducherry
Puducherry
Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Lakshadweep
Kerala

B.


Operative Death Rate over time
Death rate increased
No change in death rate
Death rate decreased
Ladakh
Jammu and Kashmir
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Bihar
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Nagaland
Meghalaya
Manipur
Tripura
Mizoram
West Bengal
Jharkhand
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Daman and Diu
Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Puducherry
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Puducherry
Puducherry
Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Lakshadweep
Kerala
Created with mapchart.net

C.


Emergency-operative Death Rate over time
Death rate increased
Death rate decreased
Ladakh
Jammu and Kashmir
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Bihar
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Nagaland
Meghalaya
Manipur
Tripura
Mizoram
West Bengal
Jharkhand
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Daman and Diu
Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Puducherry
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Puducherry
Puducherry
Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Lakshadweep
Kerala
Created with mapchart.net


Low Death/DALY rates
High Death/DALY rates
Ladakh
Jammu and Kashmir
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Chandigarh
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Nagaland
Meghalaya
Bihar
West Bengal
Tripura
Manipur
Mizoram
Jharkhand
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Puducherry
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Puducherry
Puducherry
Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Lakshadweep
Kerala
Created with mapchart.net