Burden of Emergency and Operative Conditions in India: A state-level retrospective analysis from 1980 to 2023

Short title: Operative burden in India

Riley Gerardo[1], Siddhesh Zadey[2,3,4,5], Ritika Shetty[2], Anoushka Arora[2], Uma Gupta[2], Shirish Rao[2], Aiman Perween Afsar[2], Catherine A. Staton[3,6,7], Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci[3,6,7]

1. College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca NY USA
2. Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR), Pune, Maharashtra, India
3. Global Emergency Medicine Innovation and Implementation (GEMINI) Research Center, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
4. Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York NY USA
5. Dr. D. Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India
6. Department of Emergency Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
7. Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America

Keywords: operative care; emergency care; mortality; disability-adjusted life years; India; low- and middle-income countries; global surgery

*** Corresponding author:** Siddhesh Zadey, BSMS MSc-GH; ASAR Office Address: D2 Sai Heritage, New DP Road, Aundh, Pune, Maharashtra, India 411007; Email: sidzadey@asarforindia.org

Article Type: Original Research
Word Count: 3878
No. of figures: 5
References: 20

Abstract

Introduction: Disease burden is a crucial factor in determining healthcare policy and resource allocation. We analyzed the burden of emergency and operative conditions at the subnational level in India from 1980 to 2023.

Material and Methods: We extracted mortality and disability-adjusted life-year (DALY) estimates for 31 Indian states and Union Territories from 1980 to 2023 from the Global Burden of Disease 2023 Study. We used existing expert-consensus-based classifications for defining emergency (30 conditions), operative (57 conditions), and emergency-operative conditions (7 conditions). We investigated rates per 100,000 people and proportions attributable to the above conditions, expressed as percentages of total mortality and DALYs.

Results: In 2023, emergency (mortality rate: 339 deaths per 100,000; DALY rate: 13,699 DALYs per 100,000), operative (mortality rate: 158 deaths per 100,000; DALY rate: 7,259 DALYs per 100,000), and emergency-operative conditions (mortality rate: 70 deaths per 100,000; DALY rate: 3,823 DALYs per 100,000) accounted for 49.75%, 23.11%, and 10.31% of all-cause mortality and 40.82%, 21.63%, and 11.39% of all-cause DALYs, respectively. Telangana, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had high mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups. From 1980 to 2023, mortality and DALY rates generally decreased across all conditions, with the largest decreases in emergency conditions. States such as Kerala and Goa did not show significant decreases in their mortality and DALY rates between 1980 and 2023.

Conclusion: Despite significant improvements in healthcare nationwide, several states in India have shown a consistent trend over time, reporting a persistently high burden of emergency, operative, and emergency-operative conditions. Given the relatively large share of emergency and operative conditions toward all-cause mortality, surgical care should be a high priority in national and state-level cross-sectoral policymaking.

1 Introduction

Globally, noncommunicable diseases and injuries contribute to over 70% of the mortality burden [1]. A significant portion of this burden can be prevented, cured, and managed by adequately strengthening health systems. Previous World Health Organization (WHO) Resolutions [2,3] have codified the centricity of emergency, critical, and operative (ECO) care components within health systems to improve population-level health outcomes and achieve universal health coverage. To accurately measure the problem magnitude, prioritize conditions in health policymaking, and allocate resources in an evidence-informed manner, multiple studies have attempted to assess the disease burden of emergency and operative conditions.

GBD data found that emergency-operative conditions contributed 25 million DALYs and 896,000 deaths globally in 2010 [4]. Another study, which surveyed healthcare practitioners across the globe, found that 25.3% and 28.0% of the global burden of disease is accounted for by deaths and DALYs due to operative conditions [5]. Another study found that essential surgical conditions accounted for 4.7 million deaths and 340 million DALYs in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in 2011, and that universal essential surgery coverage would avoid an estimated 1.5 million deaths and 87 million DALYs in LMICs per year [6]. A recent ad hoc analysis using the Global Burden of Disease 2019 Study estimated the global burden of emergency conditions to be 367.18 deaths and 13,872.48 DALYs per 100,000 population, compared with the global burden of non-emergency conditions of 368.93 deaths and 17,811.71 DALYs per 100,000 population [7]. They also found a global burden of operative conditions of 233.83 deaths and 8,311.47 DALYs per 100,000 population, as compared to the global burden of non-operative conditions, which was 502.28 deaths and 23,372.68 DALYs per 100,000 population. However, these studies use varying definitions for emergency and operative conditions. For example, Stewart et al. (2014) [4] defined emergency surgical conditions as those most commonly requiring emergency surgery by a general surgeon, excluding causes such as trauma, obstetrics, and orthopedics. In contrast, the DCP3 framework defines essential operative conditions as those primarily or extensively treated by surgical providers, with a large health burden, and that can be effectively treated by surgical procedures/care in a globally cost-effective and feasible manner [6]. Despite the effects of differing definitions on estimates of burden, there is consensus that both emergency and operative care play a significant role in the broader picture of global health, making these areas key for continued research and healthcare reform.

Despite many global studies on the burden of emergency, operative, and emergency-operative conditions, studies investigating national burdens are limited, and those focusing on subnational levels are missing. These data would serve as key indicators for national and local governments to develop policies to reduce the burden of emergency and operative conditions. This is particularly necessary for countries with large geographies and complex multi-tier health governance structures, such as India. Additionally, almost all previous global assessments have investigated emergency and operative burdens cross-sectionally, preventing them from commenting on changes over time. Given that India accounts for 17.30% of global mortality and 18.39% of global DALYs [1], we analyzed the burden of emergency, operative,

and emergency-operative conditions at the subnational level in India from 1980 to 2023.

2 Methods

We extracted data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2023 database on the number of deaths, DALYs, and population counts for 1980 (the earliest year available in the GBD 2023 Database) through 2023 on a global, Indian national, and Indian subnational level for 31 states and Union territories [1]. We calculated aggregated deaths and DALYs for emergency conditions, operative conditions, and emergency-operative conditions using existing disease classification frameworks derived from a Delphi consensus process [7,8–10].

We included 30 emergency conditions that were defined as "a condition that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often leads to serious physical or mental disability or death". We included 57 operative conditions and defined them as "any condition that may require the expertise of a surgically trained provider". Of these conditions, 7 fell under both the emergency and operative categories and were additionally classified as "emergency-operative conditions". Critical conditions were excluded from the analysis due to the lack of a globally accepted definition and insufficient data on specific critical illness syndromes, such as sepsis, in the GBD database. **Table 1** compares the definitions we used from Wimmer et al. (2025) [7] with those of other recent papers studying the burden of emergency, operative, and emergency-operative conditions. **Figure 1** illustrates the conditions from the GBD 2023 study that were included in each condition group.

Data were grouped by year, location, measures (death or DALYs), and condition groups (emergency, operative, or emergency-operative). We estimated the following outcomes: the total count, rate per 100,000 people, and % of all-cause deaths/DALYs **(Table 2)**. Furthermore, we recalculated these 3 outcomes for each condition group as part of a sensitivity analysis. A leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was performed on the emergency-operative condition group. For both emergency and operative conditions, knowledgeable, clinically experienced co-authors were asked for their opinions on the included conditions in these groups. Only those conditions for which all co-authors agreed on the classification were included in the calculations for our sensitivity analysis. This resulted in the exclusion of lower respiratory infections, diarrheal disease, and ischemic heart disease from the sensitivity analysis of emergency conditions, and exclusion of stomach cancer, colon and rectum cancer, pancreatic cancer, vascular intestinal disorders, gallbladder and biliary diseases, pancreatitis, decubitus ulcer, glaucoma, benign prostatic hyperplasia, uterine fibroids, endometriosis, genital prolapse, caries of deciduous teeth, and caries of permanent teeth from the sensitivity analysis of operative conditions. Analysis was performed using R version 4.3.1. All data and code used for this study are available at the Zenodo repository [11].

3 Results

3.1 Deaths

3.1.1 Emergency Conditions

We found a total of 4,897,567 deaths in India in 2023 were attributed to emergency conditions, which composed 49.75% of all-cause mortality in India in 2023. For emergency

conditions, India had a mortality rate of 339 deaths per 100,000 people in 2023, which was just below the global rate of 345 deaths per 100,000. Overall, the national mortality rate in India decreased over time from 1980 to 2023 **(Figure 2A)**. In contrast, the mortality rates in Goa and Kerala increased over time **(Figure 2B)**. The mortality rate in several states, including Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, and Chhattisgarh, did not show a significant decrease from 2003 to 2023. Among all locations, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, and Bihar showed large overall decreases in mortality rates between 1980 and 2023. In 2023, 12 states in India had a mortality rate above the national average, whereas 18 states had a rate below it **(Figure 2C)**. In 2023, the states with high mortality rates were Telangana, Goa, and Andhra Pradesh. In comparison, Mizoram, Arunachal Pradesh, and Meghalaya had low mortality rates due to emergency conditions in 2023.

3.1.2 Operative Conditions

We found a total of 2,275,276 deaths from operative conditions in India in 2023, which accounted for 23.11% of all-cause mortality in 2023. India had a national mortality rate of 158 deaths per 100,000 in 2023, which is below the global rate of 201 deaths per 100,000 in 2023. Overall, the mortality rate due to operative conditions in India decreased over time from 1980 to 2023, although it started much lower and decreased much less than the mortality rate due to emergency conditions **(Figure 2A)**. In contrast, 20 states, including Telangana, Goa, and Kerala, experienced an overall increase in mortality rates from 1980 to 2023 **(Figure 2D)**. Furthermore, several states, such as Tamil Nadu, Assam, and West Bengal, did not experience significant decreases in mortality rates from 1980 to 2023. The states with large overall decreases in mortality over time were Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, and Uttar Pradesh. In 2023, 13 states had a mortality rate above the national average, and 17 had a rate below it **(Figure 2E)**. Telangana, Uttarakhand, and Andhra Pradesh had high mortality rates in 2023. In comparison, Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh, Delhi, and Meghalaya had low mortality rates in 2023.

3.1.3 Emergency-Operative Conditions

We found a total of 1,015,184 deaths due to emergency-operative conditions in India in 2023, which contributed to 10.31% of all-cause mortality in 2023. For emergency-operative conditions, India had a national mortality rate of 70 deaths per 100,000 in 2023, which was slightly above the global rate of 65 deaths per 100,000 in 2023. Overall, the mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in India decreased from 1980 to 2023, although it started much lower and decreased much less than the mortality rate due to emergency conditions in India **(Figure 2A)**. In contrast, the mortality rate in Kerala, Telangana, Goa, Sikkim, and Uttarakhand increased between 1980 and 2023 **(Figure 2F)**.

Some states reached their peak mortality rates after 1980. For example, Gujarat had a peak mortality rate in 2001. Several states also experienced a period during which their mortality rates did not decrease significantly. For example, the mortality rate in several states, including Jharkhand, Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh, did not show a significant decrease from 2013 to 2023. Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, and Uttar Pradesh experienced large overall decreases in mortality rates between 1980 and 2023. In 2023, 13 states had a mortality rate

above the national average, and 17 had a rate below it **(Figure 2G)**. Telangana, Uttarakhand, and Chhattisgarh had high mortality rates in 2023, while Mizoram, Delhi, and Meghalaya had low mortality rates.

3.2 DALYs

3.2.1. Emergency Conditions

We found a total of 197,732,200 DALYs in India in 2023 attributable to emergency conditions, accounting for 40.82% of all-cause DALYs lost in India that year. In 2023, India had a national DALY rate of 13,699 DALYs per 100,000 people, slightly above the global rate of 13,689 DALYs per 100,000 people. Overall, the national DALY rate for India decreased over time **(Figure 3A)**. More specifically, the DALY rates in Kerala and Goa decreased only slightly over time **(Figure 3B)**. In contrast, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, and Uttar Pradesh had large decreases in DALY rates between 1990 and 2023. In 2023, 20 states had DALY rates below the national average, while only 10 had DALY rates above it **(Figure 3C)**. Telangana, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had high DALY rates in India in 2023. On the contrary, Mizoram, Arunachal Pradesh, and Delhi had low DALY rates in 2023.

3.2.2 Operative Conditions

We found a total of 104,782,187 DALYs due to operative conditions in India in 2023, which accounted for 21.63% of all-cause DALYs. For operative conditions, India had a national DALY rate of 7,259 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2023, which was below the global DALY rate of 8,325 per 100,000 people in 2023. Overall, the DALY rate due to operative conditions in India decreased from 1990 to 2023; however, it did not decrease as much, nor start as high, as the DALY rate due to emergency conditions in India **(Figure 3A)**. Notably, the DALY rate in Uttarakhand increased slightly between 1990 and 2023, whereas those of Kerala and Goa decreased only slightly over the same period **(Figure 3D)**. Several states, such as Odisha, Haryana, Jharkhand, and Madhya Pradesh, did not experience a significant decrease in DALY rate between 2013 and 2023. A few states also reached peak DALY rates after 1990, including Gujarat, which peaked in 2001, and Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh, which peaked in 2000. Madhya Pradesh and Bihar had large overall decreases in DALY rates over time. In 2023, 17 states had a DALY rate below the national average **(Figure 3E)**. Of these states, Uttarakhand, Chhattisgarh, and Telangana had high DALY rates, while Delhi, Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh, and Maharashtra had low DALY rates.

3.2.3 Emergency-Operative Conditions

We found a total of 55,178,971 DALYs due to emergency-operative conditions in India in 2023, accounting for 11.39% of all-cause DALYs. India's national DALY rate of 3,823 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2023 was slightly below the global rate of 4,098 DALYs per 100,000 people. Overall, the national DALY rate due to emergency-operative conditions in India decreased over time between 1990 and 2023; however, it decreased notably less than the DALY rate due to emergency conditions and also started much lower than the DALY rate due to emergency conditions **(Figure 3A**). Within India, the DALY rates in Meghalaya, Kerala, Sikkim, and Uttarakhand decreased the least over time **(Figure 3F)**. Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh reached a peak DALY rate in 2000, and Gujarat reached a peak DALY

rate in 2001. Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Assam, and Tamil Nadu were notable for having large overall decreases in DALY rates over time. In 2023, 18 states in India had a DALY rate below the national average **(Figure 3G)**. Uttarakhand, Telangana, and Chhattisgarh had high DALY rates in 2023, while Mizoram, Delhi, and Nagaland had low DALY rates.

3.3 Sensitivity Analysis

Based on our sensitivity analysis of emergency and operative conditions, we found a total of 2,558,314 deaths due to emergency conditions (25.99% of all-cause mortality; 177 deaths per 100,000) and 2,071,369 deaths due to operative conditions (21.04% of all-cause mortality; 144 deaths per 100,000) in India in 2023. We also found a total of 127,173,739 DALYs due to emergency conditions (26.26% of all-cause DALYs; 8,811 DALYs per 100,000) and 97,264,226 DALYs due to operative conditions (20.08% of all-cause DALYs; 6,739 DALYs per 100,000) in India in 2023. The mortality and DALY rates due to emergency conditions and operative conditions both decreased from 1980 to 2023 during the sensitivity analysis, and the decrease was larger for the emergency conditions group than for the operative group, following the same trend as in our initial data. Our sensitivity analysis of emergency-operative conditions demonstrated a change of less than 5% in the number of deaths and DALYs for leave-one-out analysis across all conditions, except injuries. Leave-one-out analysis of injuries resulted in a 94% decrease in the number of deaths and a 95% decrease in the number of DALYs due to emergency-operative conditions. Otherwise, the deaths and DALYs calculated from the sensitivity analysis of emergency-operative conditions remained very similar to the national burden and national trends observed over time in our normal analysis.

4 Discussion

4.1 Summary of Findings

We demonstrated that emergency conditions (49.75% of all-cause mortality; 40.82% of all-cause DALYs) and operative conditions (23.11% of all-cause mortality; 21.63% of all-cause DALYs) contribute to a large proportion of deaths and DALYs in India. Overall, mortality and DALY rates for emergency, operative, and emergency-operative conditions decreased from 1980 to 2023 in India. However, there were some exceptions to this. The mortality rates due to emergency conditions in both Goa and Kerala increased over time **(Figure 2B)**. The mortality rate due to operative conditions in 20 states, including Telangana, Goa, and Kerala, increased over time **(Figure 2D)**. The mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in Kerala, Telangana, Goa, Sikkim, and Uttarakhand increased over time **(Figure 2F)**. Our results suggest that the burden of emergency and operative conditions, and improvements in that burden, have not been equal across all Indian states. Telangana, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had some of the highest mortality and DALYs rates across all 3 condition groups **(Figure 4)**. Delhi, Meghalaya, and Mizoram had some of the lowest mortality and DALY rates across all 3 condition groups **(Figure 4)**.

Our sensitivity analysis of operative and emergency-operative conditions revealed results similar to those presented above. However, our sensitivity analysis of emergency conditions revealed only a 26% mortality and DALY burden compared to the nearly 50% mortality

burden and 40% DALY burden discovered in our normal analysis. We believe that this significant reduction in burden in the sensitivity analysis can be primarily attributed to the removal of ischemic heart disease from our analysis, which accounted for nearly 30% of the total burden of emergency conditions (**Figure 5**).

We believe that our initial findings are still justified, as ischemic heart disease is known to contribute significantly to emergent deaths via myocardial infarction, and GBD does not allow us to distinguish these from deaths caused by chronic ischemic heart disease. Furthermore, a 26% mortality rate burden for emergency conditions is still quite high; thus, despite the significant reduction seen from excluding ischemic heart disease, we still conclude that emergency conditions contribute significantly to public health in India.

4.2 Policy Implications

Several studies have found that emergency and operative care in India are lacking in accessibility and quality [12,13,14,15]. A systematic review of Indian policies and programmatic guidelines from 1946 to 2017 revealed low prioritization of surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anaesthesia care, with a notable decline in policy prioritization after 2010 [16]. Additionally, several studies have found that India falls well below the desired threshold for the six surgical care indicators set out by the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery (LCoGS), and that there is significant variation in these indicators across Indian states and Union Territories [17,18]. These studies, in addition to our findings of a high burden of emergency and operative conditions, emphasize the need for improved policy surrounding emergency and operative care in India.

There is a clear need to scale up the healthcare system to address emergency and operative care needs in India, with particular emphasis on states with a high burden of emergency and operative conditions and/or poor performance on the LCoGS indicators. Policy and resource allocation should be directed towards states that demonstrate high mortality rates and concerning long-term trends. States with high mortality rates due to emergency conditions, such as Telangana, Goa, and Andhra Pradesh, should be prioritized for investments in strengthening emergency care, from pre-hospital emergency medical services, standardized protocols for trauma, improved resource allocation, and the emergency care workforce. For operative conditions, Telangana, Uttarakhand, and Andhra Pradesh had high mortality rates in 2023, indicating failure in either perioperative, surgical, or postoperative care. Policies in these states must prioritize expanding the surgical workforce, potentially through incentivized postings of specialists in underserved areas. Moreover, adequate resources and budget allocation must be ensured to strengthen infrastructure. Goa and Kerala, which have seen increases in mortality rates for emergency conditions despite a decline in national mortality rates from 1980 to 2023, need a thorough audit of the systemic challenges driving this upward trend. Similarly, states like Telangana, Goa, and Kerala saw increases in mortality rates for operative conditions. These states should prioritize funding for emergency medicine training/education, mapping of emergency centers, and determining accessibility to these centers. Furthermore, the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery (LCoGS) recommends the formulation of a National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plan (NSOAP) for LMICs to

ensure equitable access to SOTA care [19]. While several countries in Africa and South Asia have developed NSOAPs, India has yet to prepare one. With this in mind, our study examined population-level health outcomes influenced by a range of factors within and outside the healthcare system. Thus, we cannot assume that our findings of high burden due to emergency and operative conditions in some states are caused solely by healthcare system failures, or that new healthcare policies alone would reduce the burden in these states. Future studies could explore how specific policies and socioeconomic trends have driven the patterns we identified in the burden of emergency and operative conditions over time.

4.3 Strengths and Limitations

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to map the burden of emergency and operative conditions at the subnational level in India; however, it has several limitations. Firstly, all of the data collected from the GBD 2023 study are estimates. Although GBD estimates are rigorously tested and validated, they may still not accurately capture the true numbers of deaths and DALYs for the conditions we were interested in or for our locations of interest. A full uncertainty analysis was outside the scope of this study, but could be a helpful addition in future studies. Furthermore, the GBD study grouped all Union Territories, excluding Delhi, into a single location, and grouped Ladakh with Jammu and Kashmir. This limited our ability to compare the estimates for Union Territories to those of other states. Additionally, the definitions we used to classify emergency and operative conditions were very broad and likely overestimated their burden, as demonstrated by our sensitivity analysis of emergency conditions. For example, our definition of operative care included conditions that were often treated surgically but could also be treated non-surgically in some cases. We chose to use the definitions provided by Wimmer et al. because it was the most recent study on the burden of emergency and operative conditions, but a similar analysis using different definitions or frameworks could be a helpful addition to our findings. We did not include an analysis of the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the burden of emergency and operative conditions, but we do know that the pandemic caused a brief disruption of healthcare systems worldwide, including challenges to both emergency and operative care. However, the modelling system used in the GBD 2021 study accounts for the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mortality and DALYs, and studies have shown that other macro trends have continued to play a significant role in health systems despite the pandemic [20]. Disaggregated data by age and sex groups were outside the scope of our analysis, which could be investigated in future studies. Finally, despite our interest in emergency, critical, and operative (ECO) care as a whole, we did not include an estimate of the burden of critical conditions in this study. The GBD database lacked specific data on several of the conditions, such as sepsis and mechanically ventilated patients, that are generally accepted as critical illness syndromes. Thus, we could not accurately capture the burden of critical conditions and therefore excluded it from this analysis.

5 Conclusion

Emergency and operative conditions contribute significantly to the overall burden of disease in India. Although the national morbidity and DALY rates for emergency and operative conditions in India have decreased over time, some states have experienced persistently high

burden and/or stagnancy in their morbidity and mortality rates. These findings have important implications for healthcare policy in India. The high burden of emergency and operative conditions is most likely a downstream effect of poor emergency and operative care, underscoring the importance of these services in global health.

6 References

1. GBD 2021 Causes of Death Collaborators (2024) Global burden of 288 causes of death and life expectancy decomposition in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403:2100–2132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00367-2
2. Price R, Makasa E, Hollands M (2015) World Health Assembly Resolution WHA68.15: "Strengthening Emergency and Essential Surgical Care and Anesthesia as a Component of Universal Health Coverage"—Addressing the Public Health Gaps Arising from Lack of Safe, Affordable and Accessible Surgical and Anesthetic Services. World J Surg 39:2115–2125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-015-3153-y
3. World Health Organization (2023) SEVENTY-SIXTH WORLD HEALTH ASSEMBLY
4. Stewart B, Khanduri P, McCord C, et al (2014) Global disease burden of conditions requiring emergency surgery. Br J Surg 101:e9-22. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9329
5. Shrime M. G., Bickler S. W., Alkire B. C., & Mock C. (2015). Global burden of surgical disease: an estimation from the provider perspective. The Lancet. Global Health, 3 Suppl 2, S8-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70384-5
6. Debas HT, Donkor P, Gawande A, et al (2015) Essential surgery: disease control priorities, third edition (volume 1). The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Washington (DC)
7. Wimmer S, Sarabu S, Calvello Hynes E, et al (2025) Global burden of emergency and operative conditions: an analysis of Global Burden of Disease data, 2011-2019. Bull World Health Organ 103:194–203. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.24.292412
8. Razzak J, Usmani MF, Bhutta ZA (2019) Global, regional and national burden of emergency medical diseases using specific emergency disease indicators: analysis of the 2015 Global Burden of Disease Study. BMJ Glob Health 4:e000733. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000733
9. GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators (2017) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 390:1211–1259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-2
10. Chang CY, Abujaber S, Reynolds TA, et al (2016) Burden of emergency conditions and emergency care usage: new estimates from 40 countries. Emerg Med J 33:794–

800. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2016-205709

11. Gerardo R., Zadey S., Shetty R., Arora A., Gupta U., Rao S., Afsar A., Staton C. A., & Nickenig Vissoci J. R. (2026). Burden of Emergency and Operative Conditions in India: A state-level retrospective analysis from 1980 to 2023. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18295195

12. Department of Emergency Medicine, JPNATC, AIIMS (2022) Emergency and Injury Care at District Hospitals in India

13. Kumar S (2023) From crisis to care: the spectacular evolution of emergency medicine in india. JAPI 1:1–7. https://doi.org/10.5005/njem-11015-0005

14. Zadey S, Nickenig Vissoci JR (2021) Analyzing surgical volumes, rates, and need in rural india. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.03.21265903

15. Zadey S, Sonal S, Iyer H, et al (2022) Roadblocks and solutions to planning surgical care for a billion Indians. BMJ Glob Health 7:. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-010292

16. Shetty R, Zadey S, Jindal A, et al (2023) Prioritization of surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anesthesia care in India over seven decades: A systematic analysis of policy documents. PLOS Glob Public Health 3:e0002084. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002084

17. Zadey S, Iyer H, Nayan A, et al (2023) Evaluating the status of the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery indicators for India. Lancet Reg Health Southeast Asia 13:100178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lansea.2023.100178

18. Zadey S, Smith ER, Staton CA, et al (2024) Population-level surgical rates and unmet need in India: a retrospective analysis of districts and states from 2011 to 2019. Int J Surg 110:1884–1887. https://doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000001024

19. Sonderman KA, Citron I, Mukhopadhyay S, et al (2019) Framework for developing a national surgical, obstetric and anaesthesia plan. BJS Open 3:722–732. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs5.50190

20. Murray CJL, GBD 2021 Collaborators (2024) Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403:2259–2262. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00769-4

7 Declarations

Author Contributions:
Riley Gerardo: Formal Analysis, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing
Siddhesh Zadey: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision, Project Administration
Ritika Shetty: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing
Anoushka Arora: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing
Uma Gupta: Writing – Review and Editing
Shirish Rao: Writing – Review and Editing
Aiman Afsar: Writing – Review and Editing
Catherine A Staton: Writing – Review and Editing
Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci: Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision

Acknowledgements: None

Funding: None

Competing Interests: Siddhesh Zadey is the co-founding director of the Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR). He also represents ASAR at the G4 Alliance Permanent Council. He serves as the Chair of the Asia Working Group, the G4 Alliance, Fellow of the Lancet Commission on a Citizen-Centered Health System for India, and the Drafting Committee Member for Maharashtra State Mental Health Policy. Other authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This manuscript does not involve any human/animal subjects for research. We use publicly available aggregate data. Hence, ethics approval and consent obligations are not applicable.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: Data used and produced in the manuscript are available at the Zenodo repository [11].

AI use declaration: The authors did not use generative AI to draft or edit the manuscript. Grammarly was used for proofreading.

8 Tables

Table 1: Comparison of Definitions of Emergency, Operative, and Emergency-Operative Conditions.

Reference	Definition of Emergency Conditions	Definition of Operative Conditions	Definition of Emergency-Operative Conditions
Wimmer et al., 2025 [7]	"a condition that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often leads to serious physical or mental disability or death"	"any condition that may require the expertise of a surgically trained provider"	"A condition that was identified as both an emergency condition and an operative condition."
Chang et al., 2016 [10]	Conditions "that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often lead to serious physical or mental disability or death"		
Debas et al., 2015 [6]		Conditions "primarily or extensively treated by surgical providers", with a "large health burden", and "can be successfully treated by a surgical procedure and other surgical care that is cost-effective and feasible to promote globally"*	
Stewart et al., 2014 [4]			Conditions that are most commonly an indication for

			emergency surgery by a general surgeon, excluding trauma, burns, obstetrics, neurosurgery, orthopedics, complex congenital surgery, and cardiothoracic surgery

* The definition from Debas et al. is for essential surgical conditions, not surgical conditions as a whole.

Table 2: Calculations for outcomes.

Outcome	Equation
Rate per 100,000 people	$Rate_{y,l,m,g} = \frac{total\ \#_{y,m,l,g}}{population_{y,l}} \times 100{,}000$
% of all-cause deaths or DALYs	$\%\ of\ all\ cause = \frac{total\ \#_{y,m,l,g}}{total\ \#\ of\ all-cause_{y,m,l}} \times 100$

y=year, l=location, m=measure (Deaths or DALYs), and g=condition group

9 Figure Captions

Figure 1: Venn diagram of GBD condition groups based on Wimmer et al. 2025 [7]

Figure 2: A) National Mortality Rates in India over time. B) Heatmap of mortality rates due to emergency conditions. C) Map of mortality rates due to emergency conditions across India in 2023. D) Heatmap of mortality rates due to operative conditions. E) Map of mortality rates due to operative conditions across India in 2023. F) Heatmap of mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions. G) Map of mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions across India in 2023.

Figure 3: A) National DALY Rates in India over time. B) Heatmap of DALY rates due to emergency conditions. C) Map of DALY rates due to emergency conditions across India in 2023. D) Heatmap of DALY rates due to operative conditions. E) Map of DALY rates due to operative conditions across India in 2023. F) Heatmap of DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions. G) Map of DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions across India in 2023.

Figure 4: Map of states with high mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups vs states with low mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups.

Figure 5: Percentage contribution of conditions to the national mortality burden of emergency conditions in 2023.


Emergency Conditions
Emergency-Operative Conditions
Operative Conditions
Lower respiratory infections; diarrheal diseases; typhoid fever; paratyphoid fever; malaria; dengue; yellow fever; rabies; ebola; meningitis; encephalitis; tetanus; maternal sepsis and other maternal infections; maternal hypertensive disorders; neonatal preterm birth; neonatal encephalopathy due to birth asphyxia and trauma; neonatal sepsis and other neonatal infections; hemolytic disease and other neonatal jaundice; ischemic heart disease; stroke; atrial fibrillation and flutter; endocarditis; idiopathic epilepsy; acute glomerulonephritis
Maternal hemorrhage; maternal obstructed labor and uterine rupture; maternal abortion and miscarriage; ectopic pregnancy; appendicitis; paralytic ileus and intestinal obstruction; injuries
Lip and oral cavity cancer; nasopharynx cancer; other pharynx cancer; esophageal cancer; stomach cancer; colon and rectum cancer; liver cancer; gallbladder and biliary tract cancer; pancreatic cancer; larynx cancer; tracheal, bronchus, and lung cancer; malignant skin melanoma; non-melanoma skin cancer; breast cancer; cervical cancer; uterine cancer; ovarian cancer; prostate cancer; testicular cancer, kidney cancer; bladder cancer; brain and central nervous system cancer; thyroid cancer; benign and in situ intestinal neoplasms; benign and in situ cervical and uterine neoplasms; rheumatic heart disease; non-rheumatic valvular hear disease; aortic aneurysm; lower extremity peripheral arterial disease; inguinal, femoral and abdominal hernia; vascular intestinal disorders; gallbladder and biliary diseases; pancreatitis; decubitus ulcer; glaucoma; cataract; neural tube defects; congenital heart anomalies; orofacial clefts; congenital musculoskeletal and limb anomalies; urogenital congenital anomalies; digestive congenital anomalies; urolithiasis; benign prostatic hyperplasia; uterine fibroids; endometriosis; genital prolapse; caries of deciduous teeth; caries of permanent teeth; periodontal diseases

Figure 1

Figure 2

A


Mortality Rates in India Over Time
Mortality Rate (per 100,000 people)
800
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
1980
1985
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020
2023
Year
Emergency Conditions
Operative Conditions
Emergency-Operative Conditions

B


Mortality Rate Due to Emergency Conditions
135.12
1,082.18
Year
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023
Andhra Pradesh
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Bihar
Chhattisgarh
Delhi
Goa
Gujarat
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Jharkhand
Karnataka
Kerala
Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Manipur
Meghalaya
Mizoram
Nagaland
Odisha
Other UTs
Punjab
Rajasthan
Sikkim
Tamil Nadu
Telangana
Tripura
Uttar Pradesh
Uttarakhand
West Bengal
Created with Datawrapper

Figure 2

C


Mortality Rate Due to Emergency Conditions in 2023
142.01
339.31
679.76
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Meghalaya
Nagaland
Manipur
Mizoram
Tripura
Bihar
Jharkhand
West Bengal
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Kerala

D


Mortality Rate Due to Operative Conditions
92.69
265.49
Year
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023
Andhra Pradesh
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Bihar
Chhattisgarh
Delhi
Goa
Gujarat
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Jharkhand
Karnataka
Kerala
Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Manipur
Meghalaya
Mizoram
Nagaland
Odisha
Other UTs
Punjab
Rajasthan
Sikkim
Tamil Nadu
Telangana
Tripura
Uttar Pradesh
Uttarakhand
West Bengal
Created with Datawrapper

Figure 2

E


Mortality Rate Due to Operative Conditions in 2023
118.47
157.63
265.49
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Meghalaya
Nagaland
Manipur
Mizoram
Tripura
Bihar
Jharkhand
West Bengal
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Kerala

F


Mortality Rate Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions
32.46
165.56
Year
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023
Andhra Pradesh
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Bihar
Chhattisgarh
Delhi
Goa
Gujarat
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Jharkhand
Karnataka
Kerala
Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Manipur
Meghalaya
Mizoram
Nagaland
Odisha
Other UTs
Punjab
Rajasthan
Sikkim
Tamil Nadu
Telangana
Tripura
Uttar Pradesh
Uttarakhand
West Bengal
Created with Datawrapper

G

Mortality Rate Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions in 2023

Figure 2



Figure 3

A


DALY Rates in India Over Time
DALY Rate (per 100,000 people)
35,000
30,000
25,000
20,000
15,000
10,000
5,000
0
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020
2023
Year
Emergency Conditions
Operative Conditions
Emergency-Operative Conditions

B


DALY Rate Due to Emergency Conditions
6,527.78
55,669.72
Year
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023
Andhra Pradesh
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Bihar
Chhattisgarh
Delhi
Goa
Gujarat
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Jharkhand
Karnataka
Kerala
Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Manipur
Meghalaya
Mizoram
Nagaland
Odisha
Other UTs
Punjab
Rajasthan
Sikkim
Tamil Nadu
Telangana
Tripura
Uttar Pradesh
Uttarakhand
West Bengal
Created with Datawrapper

Figure 3

C


DALY Rate Due to Emergency Conditions in 2023
6,558.17
13,699.2
21,450.64
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Bihar
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Meghalaya
Nagaland
Manipur
Tripura
Mizoram
Jharkhand
West Bengal
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Kerala

D


DALY Rate Due to Operative Conditions
4,577.39
12,508.47
Year
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
Andhra Pradesh
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Bihar
Chhattisgarh
Delhi
Goa
Gujarat
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Jharkhand
Karnataka
Kerala
Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Manipur
Meghalaya
Mizoram
Nagaland
Odisha
Other UTs
Punjab
Rajasthan
Sikkim
Tamil Nadu
Telangana
Tripura
Uttar Pradesh
Uttarakhand
West Bengal
Created with Datawrapper

Figure 3

E


DALY Rate Due to Operative Conditions in 2023
5,059.51
7,259.48
10,653.4
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Meghalaya
Nagaland
Manipur
Mizoram
Tripura
Bihar
Jharkhand
West Bengal
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Kerala

F


DALY Rate Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions
2,374.58
9,422.48
Year
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023
Andhra Pradesh
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Bihar
Chhattisgarh
Delhi
Goa
Gujarat
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Jharkhand
Karnataka
Kerala
Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Manipur
Meghalaya
Mizoram
Nagaland
Odisha
Other UTs
Punjab
Rajasthan
Sikkim
Tamil Nadu
Telangana
Tripura
Uttar Pradesh
Uttarakhand
West Bengal
Created with Datawrapper

G

Figure 3


DALY Rate Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions in 2023
2,118.94
3,822.89
5,837.23
Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Meghalaya
Nagaland
Bihar
Jharkhand
West Bengal
Manipur
Tripura
Mizoram
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Goa
Karnataka
Tamil Nadu
Kerala

Figure 4


High Death/DALY rates
Low Death/DALY rates
Ladakh
Jammu and Kashmir
Himachal Pradesh
Punjab
Chandigarh
Uttarakhand
Haryana
Delhi
Rajasthan
Uttar Pradesh
Sikkim
Arunachal Pradesh
Assam
Nagaland
Meghalaya
Bihar
Jharkhand
West Bengal
Tripura
Manipur
Mizoram
Gujarat
Madhya Pradesh
Chhattisgarh
Odisha
Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu
Maharashtra
Telangana
Andhra Pradesh
Puducherry
Goa
Karnataka
Puducherry
Puducherry
Tamil Nadu
Lakshadweep
Kerala
Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Created with mapchart.net

Figure 5

Percent of National Mortality Burden of Emergency Conditions in 2023



Created with Datawrapper