Burden of Emergency and Operative Conditions in India: A state-level retrospective analysis from 1981 to 2021

Short title: Operative burden in India

Riley Gerardo[1], Siddhesh Zadey[2,3,4,5], Ritika Shetty[2], Anoushka Arora[2], Uma Gupta[2], Shirish Rao[2], Aiman Perween Afsar[2], Catherine A. Staton[3,6,7], Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci[3,6,7]

1. College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca NY USA
2. Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR), Pune, Maharashtra, India
3. Global Emergency Medicine Innovation and Implementation (GEMINI) Research Center, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
4. Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York NY USA
5. Dr. D. Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India
6. Department of Emergency Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
7. Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America

Keywords: operative care; emergency care; mortality; disability-adjusted life years; India; low- and middle-income countries; global surgery

* Corresponding author: Siddhesh Zadey, BSMS MSc-GH; ASAR Office Address: D2 Sai Heritage, New DP Road, Aundh, Pune, Maharashtra, India 411007; Email: sidzadey@asarforindia.org

Article Type: Original Research
Word Count: 3828
No. of figures: 7
References: 20

Abstract

Introduction: Disease burden is a crucial factor in determining healthcare policy and resource allocation. We analyzed the burden of emergency and operative conditions at the subnational level in India from 1981 to 2021.

Material and Methods: We extracted mortality and disability-adjusted life-year (DALY) estimates for 31 Indian states and Union Territories from 1981 to 2021 from the Global Burden of Disease 2021 Study. We used existing expert-consensus-based classifications for defining emergency (30 conditions), operative (57 conditions), and emergency-operative conditions (7 conditions). We investigated the rates per 100,000 people and proportion attributed to the above conditions out of total mortality and DALYs.

Results: In 2021, emergency (mortality rate: 345.82 per 100,000; DALY rate: 13,862 per 100,000), operative (mortality rate: 143.07 per 100,000; DALY rate: 6,448 per 100,000), and emergency-operative conditions (mortality rate: 70.69 per 100,000; DALY rate: 3,571 per 100,000) accounted for 41.65%, 17.23%, and 8.51% of all-cause mortality and 36.98%, 17.20%, and 9.53% of all-cause DALYs, respectively. Tamil Nadu, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had higher mortality and DALY rates for the three condition groups. From 1981 to 2021, mortality and DALY rates generally decreased for all conditions, with the largest decrease for emergency conditions. Mortality rates for Nagaland, Himachal Pradesh, and Punjab either decreased minimally or increased since 2001. States such as Kerala and Goa did not show significant decreases in their DALY rates from 2011 to 2021.

Conclusion: Despite significant improvements in healthcare nationally, the time trend of several states in India showed a consistent trend over time, reporting a persistently high burden of emergency and operative conditions. Given the relatively large share of emergency and operative conditions toward all-cause mortality, surgical care should be a high priority in national and state-level cross-sectoral policymaking.

1 Introduction

Globally, noncommunicable diseases and injuries contribute to over 70% of the mortality burden [1]. A significant portion of this burden can be prevented, cured, and managed by adequately strengthening health systems. Previous World Health Organization (WHO) Resolutions [2,3] have codified the centricity of emergency, critical, and operative (ECO) care components in the health systems for improving population-level health outcomes and achieving universal health coverage. To accurately measure the problem magnitude, prioritize conditions in health policymaking, and allocate resources in an evidence-informed manner, multiple studies have attempted to assess the disease burden of emergency and operative conditions.

GBD data found that emergency operative conditions contributed 25 million DALYs and 896,000 deaths globally in 2010 [4]. Another study, which surveyed healthcare practitioners across the globe, found that 25.3% and 28.0% of the global burden of disease is accounted for by deaths and DALYs due to operative conditions [5]. Another study found that essential surgical conditions accounted for 4.7 million deaths and 340 million DALYs in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in 2011, and that universal essential surgery coverage would avoid an estimated 1.5 million deaths and 87 million DALYs in LMICs per year [6]. A recent ad-hoc analysis using the Global Burden of Disease 2019 Study estimated the global burden of emergency conditions to be 367.18 deaths and 13,872.48 DALYs per 100,000 population, as compared to the global burden of non-emergency conditions of 368.93 deaths and 17,811.71 DALYs per 100,000 population [7]. They also found a global burden of operative conditions of 233.83 deaths and 8,311.47 DALYs per 100,000 population, as compared to the global burden of non-operative conditions, which was 502.28 deaths and 23,372.68 DALYs per 100,000 population. However, these studies use varying definitions for emergency and operative conditions. For example, Stewart et al. (2014) [4] defined emergency surgical conditions as those most commonly requiring emergency surgery by a general surgeon, excluding causes such as trauma, obstetrics, and orthopedics. In contrast, the DCP3 framework defines essential operative conditions as those primarily or extensively treated by surgical providers, with a large health burden, and that can be effectively treated by surgical procedures/care in a globally cost-effective and feasible manner [6]. Despite the effects of differing definitions on estimates of burden, there seems to be a consensus that both emergency and operative care play a significant role in the overall picture of global health, making these key areas for continued research and healthcare reform.

Despite the many global studies of the burden of emergency and operative conditions, studies investigating national burdens are limited, while those focusing on subnational levels are missing. These data would serve as key indicators for national and local governments to work on policies to improve the burden of emergency and operative conditions. This is particularly necessary for countries with large geographies and complex multi-tier health governance structures, such as India. Additionally, almost all previous global assessments have investigated emergency and operative burdens cross-sectionally, preventing them from commenting on changes over time. Given that India contributes to 17.30% of the global mortality and 18.39% of global DALYs [1], we analyzed the burden of emergency and

operative conditions subnationally in India from 1981 to 2021.

2 Methods

We extracted data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2021 database on the number of deaths, DALYs, and population counts for 1981 (the earliest year available in the GBD 2021 Database), 1991, 2001, 2011, and 2021 on a global, Indian national, and Indian subnational level for 31 states and Union territories [1]. We calculated aggregated deaths and DALYs for emergency conditions, operative conditions, and emergency-operative conditions based on existing disease classification frameworks formed from a delphi-consensus process [7,8–10].

We included 30 emergency conditions that were defined as "a condition that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often leads to serious physical or mental disability or death". We included 57 operative conditions and defined them as "any condition that may require the expertise of a surgically trained provider". Of these conditions, 7 fell under both the emergency and operative categories and were additionally classified as "emergency-operative conditions". Critical conditions were excluded from the analysis due to the lack of a globally accepted definition and lack of data for specific critical illness syndromes, such as sepsis, in the GBD database. **Table 1** compares the definitions we utilized from Wimmer et al. (2025) [7] to other recent papers studying the burden of emergency, operative, and emergency-operative conditions. **Figure 1** illustrates the conditions from the GBD 2021 study that were included in each condition group.

Data were grouped by year, location, measures (death or DALYs), and condition groups (emergency, operative, or emergency-operative). We estimated the following outcomes: the total count, rate per 100,000 people, and % of all-cause deaths/DALYs **(Table 2)**. Analysis was performed using R version 4.3.1. All data and code used for this study are available at the Zenodo repository [11].

3 Results
3.1 Deaths
3.1.1 Emergency Conditions

We found a total of 4,891,554 deaths in India in 2021 were attributed to emergency conditions, which composed 41.65% of all-cause mortality in India in 2021. For emergency conditions, India had a mortality rate of 346 deaths per 100,000 people in 2021, which was just below the global rate of 352 deaths per 100,000. Overall, the national mortality rate due to emergency conditions in India decreased over time from 1981 to 2021 **(Figure 2a)**. In contrast, the death rates due to emergency conditions in Goa and Kerala increased over time **(Figure 2b)**. The mortality rate due to emergency conditions in Punjab did decrease over time, but showed only a small decrease overall from 1981 to 2021. The mortality rate due to emergency conditions in several states, including Punjab, Nagaland, and Maharashtra, did not show a significant decrease from 2001 to 2021. Of all the locations, Uttar Pradesh, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, and Bihar showed large overall decreases in mortality rate due to emergency conditions between 1981 and 2021. For emergency conditions in 2021, 15 states in India had a mortality rate above the national average, whereas 15 states had a

mortality rate below the national average **(Figure 2c)**. In 2021, the states with high mortality rates due to emergency conditions were Tamil Nadu, Kerala, and Odisha. In comparison, Mizoram, Arunachal Pradesh, and Meghalaya had low mortality rates due to emergency conditions in 2021.

3.1.2 Operative Conditions
We found a total of 2,023,752 deaths from operative conditions in India in 2021, which accounted for 17.23% of all-cause mortality in 2021. India had a national mortality rate due to operative conditions of 143 deaths per 100,000 in 2021, which is below the global rate of 190 deaths due to operative conditions per 100,000 in 2021. Overall, the mortality rate due to operative conditions in India decreased over time from 1981 to 2021, although it notably started much lower and decreased much less than the mortality rate due to emergency conditions in India **(Figure 2a)**. In contrast, multiple states, such as Kerala, Mizoram, Goa, Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh, and the Union territories excluding Delhi, experienced an overall increase in their mortality rate due to operative conditions from 1981 to 2021 **(Figure 2d)**. Furthermore, Gujarat had the same mortality rate due to operative conditions in 2021 as it had in 1981.

Several states, like Mizoram and Himachal Pradesh, also did not show a significant decrease in their mortality rates due to operative conditions, particularly between 2001 and 2021. The mortality rate due to operative conditions in several states, like Chhattisgarh and Punjab, also had a tendency to increase and decrease several times between 1981 and 2021, which contrasted with the consistent decreasing patterns seen in mortality rates due to emergency conditions. The states with large overall decreases in mortality due to operative conditions over time were Jharkhand, Tamil Nadu, and Uttar Pradesh. For operative conditions in 2021, 11 states had a mortality rate above the national average, and 19 states had a mortality rate below the national average **(Figure 2e)**. Uttarakhand, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu had high mortality rates due to operative conditions in 2021. In comparison, Jharkhand, Delhi, and Bihar had low mortality rates due to operative conditions in 2021.

3.1.3 Emergency-Operative Conditions
We found a total of 999,958 deaths due to emergency-operative conditions in India in 2021, which contributed to 8.51% of all-cause mortality in 2021. For emergency-operative conditions, India had a national mortality rate of 71 deaths per 100,000 in 2021, which was slightly above the global rate of 59 deaths per 100,000 in 2021. Overall, the mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in India decreased over time from 1981 to 2021, although it notably started much lower and decreased much less than the mortality rate due to emergency conditions in India **(Figure 2a)**. In contrast, the mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in Goa and the Union territories excluding Delhi increased between 1981 and 2021 **(Figure 2f)**. Of the states with a mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions that decreased over time, Uttarakhand, Kerala, and Jammu and Kashmir showed only minimal decreases over time from 1981 to 2021.

Some states reached their peak mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions after

1981. For example, Uttarakhand had a peak mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in 1991, and Assam had a peak mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in 2001. Several states also experienced a period of time where their mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions did not decrease significantly. For example, the mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in Gujarat increased between 1991 and 2001, and the mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in Punjab increased between 2001 and 2011. Jharkhand, Bihar, and Tamil Nadu had large overall decreases in mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions between 1981 and 2021. For emergency-operative conditions in 2021, ten states had a mortality rate above the national average, and 20 states had a mortality rate below the national average **(Figure 2g)**. Uttarakhand, Tamil Nadu, and Chhattisgarh had high mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions in 2021, while Meghalaya, Delhi, and Nagaland had low mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions in 2021.

3.2 DALYs
3.2.1. Emergency Conditions

We found a total of 196,082,538 DALYs in India in 2021 that were attributable to emergency conditions, which accounted for 36.98% of all-cause DALYs lost in India in 2021. For emergency conditions, India had a national DALY rate of 13,862 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2021, which was above the global rate of 13,090 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2021. Overall, the national DALY rate due to emergency conditions for India decreased over time **(Figure 3a)**. More specifically, the DALY rates due to emergency conditions in Kerala, Goa, and the Union territories excluding Delhi decreased only slightly over time **(Figure 3b)**. Furthermore, Kerala and Goa did not show significant decreases in their DALY rates due to emergency conditions from 2011 to 2021, and Punjab also had minimal decreases in its DALY rate due to emergency conditions from 2001 to 2021. In contrast, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, and Uttar Pradesh had large decreases in DALY rates due to emergency conditions between 1991 and 2021. For emergency conditions in 2021, 22 states had DALY rates that fell below the national average, while only 8 states had a DALY rate above the national average **(Figure 3c)**. Chhattisgarh, Uttar Pradesh, and Madhya Pradesh had high DALY rates due to emergency conditions in India in 2021. On the contrary, Mizoram, Arunachal Pradesh, and Delhi had low DALY rates due to emergency conditions in 2021.

3.2.2 Operative Conditions

We found a total of 91,210,710 DALYs due to operative conditions in India in 2021, which composed 17.20% of all-cause DALYs in India in 2021. For operative conditions, India had a national DALY rate of 6,448 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2021, which was below the global DALY rate of 7,300 per 100,000 people in 2021. Overall, the DALY rate due to operative conditions in India decreased over time from 1991 to 2021; however, it did not decrease as much nor start as high as the DALY rate due to emergency conditions in India **(Figure 3a)**. Notably, Mizoram, Kerala, and Goa had small overall decreases in DALY rates due to operative conditions from 1991 to 2021 **(Figure 3d)**. Several states, such as Chhattisgarh, Punjab, and Mizoram, did not experience a significant decrease in DALY rate due to operative conditions between 2001 and 2011. A few states also reached a peak DALY

rate due to operative conditions after 1991, such as Gujarat, which peaked in 2001, and Mizoram, which peaked in 2011. Kerala and Maharashtra both did not show significant decreases in their DALY rate due to operative conditions, particularly between 2011 and 2021. Jharkhand, Bihar, and Tamil Nadu had large overall decreases in DALY rates due to operative conditions over time. For operative conditions in 2021, 21 states had a DALY rate below the national average DALY rate, while 9 states had a DALY rate above the national average (**Figure 3e**). Of these states, Uttarakhand, Chhattisgarh, and Tamil Nadu had high DALY rates due to operative conditions, while Jharkhand, Delhi, and Nagaland had low DALY rates due to operative conditions.

3.2.3 Emergency-Operative Conditions
We found a total of 50,518,152 DALYs due to emergency-operative conditions in India in 2021, which contributed to 9.53% of all-cause DALYs in India in 2021. For emergency-operative conditions, India had a national DALY rate of 3,571 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2021, which was slightly above the global rate of 3,311 DALYs per 100,000 people in 2021. Overall, the national DALY rate due to emergency-operative conditions in India decreased over time between 1991 and 2021; however, it decreased notably less than the DALY rate due to emergency conditions and also started much lower than the DALY rate due to emergency conditions (**Figure 3a**). Within India, the DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions in Goa, Nagaland, and Himachal Pradesh decreased the least over time (**Figure 3f**). Many states, such as Goa and Nagaland, did not show a significant decrease in their DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions between 1991 and 2001. Other states, such as Karnataka and Punjab, did not show significant decreases in their DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions between 2001 and 2011. Jammu and Kashmir, as well as Gujarat, both reached a peak DALY rate due to emergency-operative conditions in 2001. Bihar, Jharkhand, and Tamil Nadu were notable for having large overall decreases in DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions over time. For emergency-operative conditions in 2021, 21 states in India had a DALY rate that fell below the national average, while 9 states had a DALY rate above the national average DALY rate (**Figure 3g**). Uttarakhand, Tamil Nadu, and Chhattisgarh had high DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions in 2021, while Meghalaya, Delhi, and Nagaland had low DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions in 2021.

4 Discussion
4.1 Summary of Findings
We demonstrated that emergency conditions (41.65% of all-cause mortality; 36.98% of all-cause DALYs) and operative conditions (17.23% of all-cause mortality; 17.20% of all-cause DALYs) contribute to a large proportion of deaths and DALYs in India. Overall, death and DALY rates for emergency conditions, operative conditions, and emergency-operative conditions decreased over time from 1981 to 2021 in India. However, there were some exceptions to this. The mortality rates due to emergency conditions in both Goa and Kerala increased over time (**Figure 4a**). The mortality rate due to operative conditions in Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Mizoram, Goa, and Kerala increased over time, while the mortality rate due to operative conditions in Gujarat was the same in 1981 as it was in 2021 (**Figure**

4b). The mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions in Goa increased over time **(Figure 4c)**. Our results suggest that the burden of emergency and operative conditions, and improvements in the burden of emergency and operative conditions, have not been equal across all Indian states. Tamil Nadu, Chhattisgarh, and Uttarakhand had some of the highest mortality and DALYs rates across all 3 condition groups **(Figure 5)**. Delhi, Meghalaya, and Nagaland had some of the lowest mortality and DALY rates across all 3 condition groups **(Figure 5)**. Mortality and DALY rates in some states, including Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Karnataka, and Kerala, have become stagnant or increased, particularly over the past 20 years.

4.2 Policy Implications

Several studies have found emergency and operative care in India to be lacking in accessibility and quality [12,13,14,15]. A systematic review of Indian policies and programmatic guidelines from 1946 to 2017 revealed low prioritization of surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anaesthesia care, with a notable decline in policy prioritization after 2010 [16]. Although the national mortality rates due to operative conditions in India decreased over time, this could explain the stagnancy we found in mortality rates for operative conditions in 17 of the Indian states and Union Territories over the past 20 years. Additionally, several studies have found that India falls well below the desired threshold for the six surgical care indicators set out by the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery (LCoGS), and that there is significant variation in these indicators across Indian states and Union Territories [17,18]. These studies, in addition to our findings of a high burden of emergency and operative conditions, emphasize the need for improved policy surrounding emergency and operative care in India.

There is a clear need to scale up the healthcare system to address emergency and operative care needs in India, with particular emphasis in states that have shown a high burden of emergency and operative conditions and/or poor performance on the LCoGS indicators. Policy and resource allocation should be directed towards states that demonstrate high mortality rates and concerning long-term trends. States with high mortality rates due to emergency conditions, such as Tamil Nadu, Kerala, and Odisha, should be prioritized for investments in strengthening emergency care, from pre-hospital emergency medical services, standardized protocols for trauma, improved resource allocation, and the emergency care workforce. For operative conditions, Uttarakhand, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu had high mortality rates in 2021, indicating failure in either perioperative, surgical, or postoperative care. Policies in these states must prioritize expansion of the surgical workforce, potentially through incentivized posting of specialists in underserved areas. Moreover, adequate resources and budget allocation must be ensured to strengthen infrastructure. Goa and Kerala, which have had an increase in death rates due to emergency conditions despite a decrease in national mortality rates from 1981 to 2021, need a thorough audit of the structural failures leading to this upward trend. Similarly, states like Kerala, Mizoram, Goa, and Uttarakhand had an increase in death rates for operative conditions. These states should prioritize funding for emergency medicine training/education, mapping of emergency centers, and determining accessibility to these centers. Furthermore, the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery

(LCoGS) recommends the formulation of a National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plan (NSOAP) for LMICs to ensure equitable access to SOTA care [19]. While several countries in Africa and South Asia have developed NSOAPs for their countries, India has yet to prepare one. With this in mind, our study looked at population-level health outcomes that can be affected by a multitude of factors within or external to the healthcare system. Thus, we cannot assume that our findings of high burden due to emergency and operative conditions in some states are caused solely by healthcare system failures and that new healthcare policies alone would improve the burden in these states.

4.3 Strengths and Limitations

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to map the burden of emergency and operative conditions on a subnational level in India; however, there are several limitations. Firstly, all of the data collected from the GBD 2021 study are estimates. Although GBD estimates are rigorously tested and validated, they still may not be entirely accurate in capturing the true number of deaths and DALYs for the conditions we were interested in, or for our locations of interest. A full uncertainty analysis was outside the scope of this study, but could be a helpful addition in future studies. Furthermore, the GBD study grouped all Union Territories, excluding Delhi, as one location. This limited our ability to compare the estimates for Union Territories to those of other states. Additionally, the definitions we used for classifying emergency conditions and operative conditions were very broad and likely overestimated the burden of emergency conditions and operative conditions. For example, our definition of operative care included conditions that were often treated surgically but could also be treated non-surgically in some cases. We chose to utilize the definitions provided by Wimmer et al. because it was the most recent study of the burden of emergency and operative conditions, but performing a similar analysis utilizing different definitions or frameworks could be a helpful future addition to our findings. We did not include an analysis of the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the burden of emergency and operative conditions, but we do know that the pandemic caused a brief disruption in healthcare systems on a global scale, including challenges to both emergency and operative care. However, the modelling system used in the GBD 2021 study accounts for the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mortality and DALYs, and studies have shown that other macro trends have continued to play a significant role in health systems despite the pandemic [20]. Disaggregated data by age and sex groups, were outside the scope of our analysis, which could be investigated in future studies. Finally, despite our interest in emergency, critical, and operative (ECO) care as a whole, we did not include an estimate of the burden of critical conditions in this study. The GBD database lacked specific data on several of the conditions, such as sepsis and mechanically ventilated patients, that are generally accepted as critical illness syndromes. Thus, we felt we would not be able to accurately capture the burden of critical conditions and chose to exclude it from this analysis.

5 Conclusion

Emergency and operative conditions contribute significantly to the overall burden of disease in India. Although the national morbidity and DALY rates for emergency and operative conditions in India have decreased over time, some states have experienced persistently high

burden and/or stagnancy in their morbidity and mortality rates over the past 20 years. These findings have important implications for healthcare policy in India. The high burden of emergency and operative conditions is most likely a downstream effect of poor emergency and operative care, thus emphasizing the importance of emergency and operative care in the field of global health.

6 References

1. GBD 2021 Causes of Death Collaborators (2024) Global burden of 288 causes of death and life expectancy decomposition in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403:2100–2132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00367-2

2. Price R, Makasa E, Hollands M (2015) World Health Assembly Resolution WHA68.15: "Strengthening Emergency and Essential Surgical Care and Anesthesia as a Component of Universal Health Coverage"—Addressing the Public Health Gaps Arising from Lack of Safe, Affordable and Accessible Surgical and Anesthetic Services. World J Surg 39:2115–2125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-015-3153-y

3. World Health Organization (2023) SEVENTY-SIXTH WORLD HEALTH ASSEMBLY

4. Stewart B, Khanduri P, McCord C, et al (2014) Global disease burden of conditions requiring emergency surgery. Br J Surg 101:e9-22. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9329

5. Shrime MG, Dare AJ, Alkire BC, et al (2015) Catastrophic expenditure to pay for surgery worldwide: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Health 3 Suppl 2:S38-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)70085-9

6. Debas HT, Donkor P, Gawande A, et al (2015) Essential surgery: disease control priorities, third edition (volume 1). The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Washington (DC)

7. Wimmer S, Sarabu S, Calvello Hynes E, et al (2025) Global burden of emergency and operative conditions: an analysis of Global Burden of Disease data, 2011-2019. Bull World Health Organ 103:194–203. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.24.292412

8. Razzak J, Usmani MF, Bhutta ZA (2019) Global, regional and national burden of emergency medical diseases using specific emergency disease indicators: analysis of the 2015 Global Burden of Disease Study. BMJ Glob Health 4:e000733. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000733

9. GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators (2017) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 390:1211–1259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-2

10. Chang CY, Abujaber S, Reynolds TA, et al (2016) Burden of emergency conditions

and emergency care usage: new estimates from 40 countries. Emerg Med J 33:794–800. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2016-205709

11. Gerardo R, Zadey S, Shetty R, et al (2025) Burden of Emergency and Operative Conditions in India: A state-level retrospective analysis from 1981 to 2021. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17307515

12. Department of Emergency Medicine, JPNATC, AIIMS (2022) Emergency and Injury Care at District Hospitals in India

13. Kumar S (2023) From crisis to care: the spectacular evolution of emergency medicine in india. JAPI 1:1–7. https://doi.org/10.5005/njem-11015-0005

14. Zadey S, Nickenig Vissoci JR (2021) Analyzing surgical volumes, rates, and need in rural india. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.03.21265903

15. Zadey S, Sonal S, Iyer H, et al (2022) Roadblocks and solutions to planning surgical care for a billion Indians. BMJ Glob Health 7:. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-010292

16. Shetty R, Zadey S, Jindal A, et al (2023) Prioritization of surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anesthesia care in India over seven decades: A systematic analysis of policy documents. PLOS Glob Public Health 3:e0002084. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002084

17. Zadey S, Iyer H, Nayan A, et al (2023) Evaluating the status of the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery indicators for India. Lancet Reg Health Southeast Asia 13:100178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lansea.2023.100178

18. Zadey S, Smith ER, Staton CA, et al (2024) Population-level surgical rates and unmet need in India: a retrospective analysis of districts and states from 2011 to 2019. Int J Surg 110:1884–1887. https://doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000001024

19. Sonderman KA, Citron I, Mukhopadhyay S, et al (2019) Framework for developing a national surgical, obstetric and anaesthesia plan. BJS Open 3:722–732. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs5.50190

20. Murray CJL, GBD 2021 Collaborators (2024) Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403:2259–2262. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00769-4

7 Declarations

Author Contributions:
Riley Gerardo: Formal Analysis, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing
Siddhesh Zadey: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision, Project Administration
Ritika Shetty: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing
Anoushka Arora: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and Editing
Uma Gupta: Writing – Review and Editing
Shirish Rao: Writing – Review and Editing
Aiman Afsar: Writing – Review and Editing
Catherine A Staton: Writing – Review and Editing
Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci: Writing – Review and Editing, Supervision

Acknowledgements: None

Funding: None

Competing Interests: Siddhesh Zadey is the co-founding director of the Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR). He also represents ASAR at the G4 Alliance Permanent Council. He serves as the Chair of the Asia Working Group, the G4 Alliance, Fellow of the Lancet Commission on a Citizen-Centered Health System for India, and the Drafting Committee Member for Maharashtra State Mental Health Policy. Other authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This manuscript does not involve any human/animal subjects for research. We use publicly available aggregate data. Hence, ethics approval and consent obligations are not applicable.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: Data used and produced in the manuscript are available at the Zenodo repository [11].

AI use declaration: The authors did not use generative AI for drafting or editing the manuscript content. Grammarly was used for proofreading.

8 Tables

Table 1. Comparison of Definitions of Emergency, Operative, and Emergency-Operative Conditions.

Paper	Definition of Emergency Conditions	Definition of Operative Conditions	Definition of Emergency-Operative Conditions
Wimmer et al., 2025 [7]	"a condition that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often leads to serious physical or mental disability or death"	"any condition that may require the expertise of a surgically trained provider"	"A condition that was identified as both an emergency condition and an operative condition."
Chang et al., 2016 [10]	Conditions "that, if not diagnosed and treated within hours to days of onset, often lead to serious physical or mental disability or death"		
Debas et al., 2015 [6]		Conditions "primarily or extensively treated by surgical providers", with a "large health burden", and "can be successfully treated by a surgical procedure and other surgical care that is cost-effective and feasible to promote globally"*	
Stewart et al., 2014 [4]			Conditions that are most commonly an indication for emergency surgery

			by a general surgeon, excluding trauma, burns, obstetrics, neurosurgery, orthopedics, complex congenital surgery, and cardiothoracic surgery

* The definition from Debas et al. is for essential surgical conditions, not surgical conditions as a whole.

Table 2. Calculations for outcomes.

Outcome	Equation
Rate per 100,000 people	$$Rate_{y,l,m,g} = \frac{total\ \#_{y,m,l,g}}{population_{y,l}} \times 100{,}000$$
% of all-cause deaths or DALYs	$$\%\ of\ all\ cause = \frac{total\ \#_{y,m,l,g}}{total\ \#\ of\ all-cause_{y,m,l}} \times 100$$

y=year, l=location, m=measure (Deaths or DALYs), and g=condition group

9 Figure Captions

Figure 1: Venn Diagram of GBD condition groups based on Wimmer et al. 2025 [7].

Figure 2: A) National Mortality Rates in India over time; **B)** Heatmap of Mortality Rates Due to Emergency Conditions; **C)** Map of mortality rates due to emergency conditions across India; **D)** Heatmap of Mortality rates due to operative conditions; **E)** Map of mortality rates due to operative conditions across India; **F)** Heatmap of mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions; **G)** Map of mortality rates due to emergency-operative conditions across India.

Figure 3: A) National DALY rates in India over time; **B)** Heatmap of DALY rates due to emergency conditions; **C)** Map of DALY rates due to emergency conditions across India; **D)** Heatmap of DALY rates due to operative conditions; **E)** Map of DALY rates due to operative conditions across India; **F)** Heatmap of DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions; **G)** Map of DALY rates due to emergency-operative conditions across India.

Figure 4: A) Map of states with an increase over time in mortality rate due to emergency conditions vs states with a decrease over time in mortality rate due to emergency conditions; **B)** Map of states with an increase over time in mortality rate due to operative conditions vs states with a decrease over time in mortality rate due to operative conditions; **C)** Map of states with an increase over time in mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions vs states with a decrease over time in mortality rate due to emergency-operative conditions.

Figure 5: Map of states with high mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups vs states with low mortality and DALY rates for all three condition groups.

Emergency Conditions

Emergency-Operative Conditions

Operative Conditions

Lower respiratory infections; diarrheal diseases; typhoid fever; paratyphoid fever; malaria; dengue; yellow fever; rabies; ebola; meningitis; encephalitis; tetanus; maternal sepsis and other maternal infections; maternal hypertensive disorders; neonatal preterm birth; neonatal encephalopathy due to birth asphyxia and trauma; neonatal sepsis and other neonatal infections; hemolytic disease and other neonatal jaundice; ischemic heart disease; stroke; atrial fibrillation and flutter; endocarditis; idiopathic epilepsy; acute glomerulonephritis

Maternal hemorrhage; maternal obstructed labor and uterine rupture; maternal abortion and miscarriage; ectopic pregnancy; appendicitis; paralytic ileus and intestinal obstruction; injuries

Lip and oral cavity cancer; nasopharynx cancer; other pharynx cancer; esophageal cancer; stomach cancer; colon and rectum cancer; liver cancer; gallbladder and biliary tract cancer; pancreatic cancer; larynx cancer; tracheal, bronchus, and lung cancer; malignant skin melanoma; non-melanoma skin cancer; breast cancer; cervical cancer; uterine cancer; ovarian cancer; prostate cancer; testicular cancer, kidney cancer; bladder cancer; brain and central nervous system cancer; thyroid cancer; benign and in situ intestinal neoplasms; benign and in situ cervical and uterine neoplasms; rheumatic heart disease; non-rheumatic valvular heart disease; aortic aneurysm; lower extremity peripheral arterial disease; inguinal, femoral and abdominal hernia; vascular intestinal disorders; gallbladder and biliary diseases; pancreatitis; decubitus ulcer; glaucoma; cataract; neural tube defects; congenital heart anomalies; orofacial clefts; congenital musculoskeletal and limb anomalies; urogenital congenital anomalies; digestive congenital anomalies; urolithiasis; benign prostatic hyperplasia; uterine fibroids; endometriosis; genital prolapse; caries of deciduous teeth; caries of permanent teeth; periodontal diseases

A. Death Rates in India over time

Emergency Conditions / Operative Conditions / Emergency-Operative Conditions

B. Death Rate (per 100,000) Due to Emergency Conditions

Year	1981	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	774	623	473	404	379
Arunachal Pradesh	501	352	291	211	164
Assam	645	547	470	377	278
Bihar	794	579	468	384	282
Chhattisgarh	825	677	568	514	407
Delhi	408	323	273	254	220
Goa	336	320	300	324	373
Gujarat	626	477	446	366	369
Haryana	550	455	371	358	287
Himachal Pradesh	524	414	334	299	302
Jammu and Kashmir	463	358	298	254	262
Jharkhand	784	644	517	388	246
Karnataka	585	481	386	384	355
Kerala	377	345	357	380	426
Madhya Pradesh	895	699	563	456	361
Maharashtra	559	438	367	341	350
Manipur	413	328	286	278	257
Meghalaya	506	388	298	246	190
Mizoram	306	237	212	208	160
Nagaland	376	281	223	220	211
Odisha	830	659	538	477	420
Punjab	512	462	370	401	411
Rajasthan	657	512	391	340	269
Sikkim	490	305	248	244	221
Tamil Nadu	693	556	474	451	433
Telangana	731	619	505	456	402
Tripura	584	463	377	353	327
Union Territories other than Delhi	385	307	263	262	268
Uttar Pradesh	915	642	517	411	349
Uttarakhand	575	509	429	409	369
West Bengal	675	506	388	363	364

Created with Datawrapper

C. Death Rate Due to Emergency Conditions per 100,000

Death Rate Per 100,000
160.19   345.82   432.7

Union territories excluding Delhi had a total death rate due to emergency conditions per 100,000 people of 267.78.

D Death Rate (per 100,000) Due To Operative Conditions

Year	1981	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	171	160	148	133	135
Arunachal Pradesh	155	145	130	118	114
Assam	165	165	176	151	130
Bihar	198	172	152	133	112
Chhattisgarh	213	196	183	193	167
Delhi	119	115	114	113	110
Goa	106	112	112	122	136
Gujarat	140	131	172	132	140
Haryana	145	141	141	146	131
Himachal Pradesh	147	147	144	145	154
Jammu and Kashmir	137	129	129	106	112
Jharkhand	181	168	157	127	93
Karnataka	183	168	153	160	154
Kerala	149	143	153	159	181
Madhya Pradesh	197	181	178	162	152
Maharashtra	141	139	134	123	136
Manipur	121	117	115	117	113
Meghalaya	121	119	113	113	114
Mizoram	120	124	127	143	151
Nagaland	125	113	106	109	118
Odisha	179	169	166	170	169
Punjab	142	156	130	138	136
Rajasthan	149	137	120	125	123
Sikkim	124	114	118	122	123
Tamil Nadu	235	218	200	191	180
Telangana	179	175	172	167	155
Tripura	148	139	135	129	124
Union Territories other than Delhi	107	115	116	118	124
Uttar Pradesh	212	176	172	155	157
Uttarakhand	174	206	196	199	200
West Bengal	157	148	135	131	134

E

Death Rate Due to Operative Conditions per 100,000

Rate per 100,000

93.49   143.07   199.94

The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total death rate due to operative conditions of 124.01

Map data: © OSM · Created with Datawrapper

Death Rate (per 100,000) Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions

Year	1981	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	102	95	88	74	69
Arunachal Pradesh	78	71	63	54	44
Assam	97	94	98	73	53
Bihar	115	100	90	74	57
Chhattisgarh	128	115	106	112	89
Delhi	63	60	58	52	40
Goa	58	61	61	62	61
Gujarat	83	77	118	76	71
Haryana	84	80	81	80	62
Himachal Pradesh	78	79	76	77	73
Jammu and Kashmir	78	75	78	55	54
Jharkhand	105	96	92	71	46
Karnataka	104	95	86	86	74
Kerala	75	72	75	71	70
Madhya Pradesh	118	106	105	92	79
Maharashtra	84	81	78	68	67
Manipur	74	70	68	66	57
Meghalaya	52	49	47	42	36
Mizoram	56	55	55	54	46
Nagaland	60	50	50	44	40
Odisha	103	94	93	90	80
Punjab	78	94	73	77	66
Rajasthan	85	77	67	68	61
Sikkim	68	60	62	59	49
Tamil Nadu	147	135	121	114	98
Telangana	108	106	105	97	83
Tripura	89	83	82	71	59
Union Territories other than Delhi	61	68	69	67	62
Uttar Pradesh	126	103	105	87	80
Uttarakhand	104	129	114	108	102
West Bengal	91	82	73	67	59

Death Rate per 100,000 Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions

Rate per 100,000

35.69   70.69   101.82

The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total death rate of 61.76

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

A DALY Rates in India over time

Emergency Conditions
Operative Conditions
Emergency-Operative Conditions

B DALY Rates (per 100,000) Due to Emergency Conditions

Year	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	37,554	26,020	17,697	12,901
Arunachal Pradesh	23,055	18,167	11,700	8,146
Assam	34,185	27,130	20,047	12,580
Bihar	36,285	28,415	20,340	12,543
Chhattisgarh	42,232	32,449	26,091	17,479
Delhi	20,336	15,719	12,265	8,444
Goa	15,047	12,868	11,152	10,465
Gujarat	28,810	24,720	17,696	14,250
Haryana	28,308	20,920	17,485	12,010
Himachal Pradesh	22,223	15,774	13,393	10,842
Jammu and Kashmir	21,455	16,826	12,312	10,195
Jharkhand	39,010	30,031	20,696	11,319
Karnataka	27,085	19,920	17,017	13,245
Kerala	13,856	12,801	11,747	11,615
Madhya Pradesh	45,667	34,362	24,725	16,197
Maharashtra	24,293	18,104	14,105	11,832
Manipur	17,592	14,310	12,509	10,182
Meghalaya	25,983	19,202	14,304	9,335
Mizoram	15,230	12,977	11,850	7,639
Nagaland	16,303	12,887	10,789	8,259
Odisha	37,946	28,099	21,082	15,075
Punjab	24,266	17,265	16,172	13,749
Rajasthan	34,244	25,669	20,159	13,262
Sikkim	19,116	14,262	12,319	9,045
Tamil Nadu	28,346	20,998	17,624	14,413
Telangana	35,676	26,980	18,781	14,367
Tripura	25,961	19,302	15,578	11,872
Union Territories other than Delhi	16,865	13,657	12,171	9,972
Uttar Pradesh	43,580	33,332	23,362	16,994
Uttarakhand	29,367	22,212	18,356	14,546
West Bengal	28,747	19,297	15,332	12,360

C DALY rates due to Emergency Conditions per 100,000

Death Rate per 100,000

7,639.14   13,862.37   17,478.69

The Union territories excluding Delhi had a total DALYs rate due to emergency conditions of 9972.26

D DALY Rate (per 100,000) Due to Operative Conditions

Year	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	9,544	8,337	6,579	5,750
Arunachal Pradesh	8,053	6,904	5,967	5,235
Assam	9,634	9,889	7,831	6,039
Bihar	10,238	8,968	7,133	5,316
Chhattisgarh	11,156	9,939	9,976	7,734
Delhi	6,769	6,417	5,742	4,796
Goa	6,177	5,865	5,664	5,285
Gujarat	7,856	10,058	7,012	6,412
Haryana	8,224	7,859	7,406	6,032
Himachal Pradesh	7,608	6,943	6,947	6,381
Jammu and Kashmir	7,583	7,646	5,881	5,446
Jharkhand	9,590	8,901	6,759	4,622
Karnataka	9,560	8,245	8,010	6,848
Kerala	7,103	7,025	6,540	6,511
Madhya Pradesh	10,859	10,144	8,546	7,193
Maharashtra	7,718	7,084	5,986	5,850
Manipur	6,674	6,381	6,084	5,305
Meghalaya	6,641	6,192	5,674	5,110
Mizoram	6,335	6,245	6,393	5,911
Nagaland	5,989	5,748	5,287	5,013
Odisha	9,622	9,081	8,336	7,089
Punjab	8,792	6,924	6,903	6,018
Rajasthan	8,259	7,110	6,881	5,976
Sikkim	6,397	6,358	6,098	5,287
Tamil Nadu	11,893	10,207	9,129	7,577
Telangana	9,900	9,344	7,870	6,649
Tripura	8,017	7,668	6,679	5,618
Union Territories other than Delhi	6,550	6,467	6,142	5,593
Uttar Pradesh	10,488	10,007	8,099	7,434
Uttarakhand	11,101	9,874	9,117	8,476
West Bengal	8,400	7,332	6,594	5,851

E

DALY Rate Due to Operative Conditions per 100,000

Rate per 100,000

4,621.84   6,448.29   8,475.62

The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total DALYs rate due to operative conditions of 5592.95

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

F **DALY rates (per 100,000) due to Emergency-Operative Conditions**

Year	1991	2001	2011	2021
Andhra Pradesh	5,893	5,228	3,888	3,162
Arunachal Pradesh	4,453	3,846	3,222	2,501
Assam	6,070	6,234	4,447	3,001
Bihar	6,284	5,591	4,245	2,943
Chhattisgarh	6,893	6,174	6,249	4,508
Delhi	3,861	3,678	3,066	2,125
Goa	3,649	3,518	3,197	2,665
Gujarat	4,799	7,247	4,322	3,627
Haryana	4,988	4,882	4,493	3,280
Himachal Pradesh	4,434	4,026	4,132	3,425
Jammu and Kashmir	4,767	5,088	3,533	3,124
Jharkhand	5,793	5,567	4,024	2,462
Karnataka	5,750	5,023	4,794	3,754
Kerala	3,946	3,906	3,326	2,904
Madhya Pradesh	6,885	6,540	5,366	4,214
Maharashtra	4,820	4,464	3,632	3,259
Manipur	4,290	4,174	3,850	3,032
Meghalaya	3,203	3,062	2,652	2,060
Mizoram	3,362	3,268	2,981	2,313
Nagaland	3,159	3,231	2,644	2,211
Odisha	5,834	5,628	4,996	3,806
Punjab	5,689	4,242	4,238	3,331
Rajasthan	4,926	4,212	4,047	3,294
Sikkim	3,719	3,801	3,411	2,541
Tamil Nadu	7,813	6,717	5,928	4,531
Telangana	6,186	5,993	4,868	3,869
Tripura	5,187	5,083	4,124	3,087
Union Territories other than Delhi	4,156	4,189	3,800	3,134
Uttar Pradesh	6,524	6,447	4,916	4,200
Uttarakhand	7,476	6,294	5,482	4,866
West Bengal	5,150	4,443	3,865	3,013

G

DALY Rates Due to Emergency-Operative Conditions per 100,000

Rate per 100,000

2,059.77   3,571.46   4,865.83

The Union Territories excluding Delhi had a total DALYs rate due to emergency-operative conditions of 3134.25

Map data: © OSM • Created with Datawrapper

A. **Emergency Death Rate Over Time**

■ Death rate increased
■ Death rate decreased

B. **Operative Death Rate over time**

■ Death rate increased
■ No change in death rate
■ Death rate decreased

Created with mapchart.net

C. **Emergency-operative Death Rate over time**

■ Death rate increased
■ Death rate decreased

Created with mapchart.net