1

Relationship between psychosocial factors and cardiovascular autonomic function among junior doctors: A cross-sectional study

Short title: Psychosocial factors and cardiovascular autonomic function among junior doctors

Kofi Tekyi Asamoah[1,2,3*], Richard Dei-Asamoa[4], Eugene Baafi Ampofo[1,2], Felix Razak Awindaogo[2], Francis Agyekum[2,5], Alfred Doku[1,2,5], Sammy Ohene[6], Eugene Amable[5]

1- National Cardiothoracic Centre, Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra, Ghana

2- Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra, Ghana

3- Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Yonsei University Health System, Seoul, South Korea

4- Department of Psychiatry, Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra

5- Department of Medicine, University of Ghana School of Medicine and Dentistry, Accra, Ghana

6- Department of Psychiatry, University of Ghana School of Medicine and Dentistry, Accra, Ghana

Corresponding author: asamoahfiifi@gmail.com (KTA)

Abstract

Background: Psychosocial factors such as stress, anxiety, and depression, are important causes of CVD by contributing to autonomic dysfunction, predisposing to endothelial dysfunction, arrhythmogenesis, among others. Doctors are at a high risk of psychosocial distress due to factors such as heavy workload and taking end-of-life care decisions. Junior doctors are at the forefront of daily medical care and are exposed regularly to this risk.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among junior doctors in the Korle-bu Teaching Hospital from April to August 2023. Data were collected using an electronic questionnaire and a treadmill stress test. The Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale -21 items (DASS-21) was incorporated into the questionnaire to assess depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms. Cardiovascular autonomic function was determined by heart rate recovery, chronotropic index and the index of resting heart rate/heart rate recovery in the 1st minute. The data collected were analysed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24, using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results: 244 junior doctors participated in the study, with a mean age of 31.46. The prevalences of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms were 28.3%, 48.8% and 48.0% respectively. These correlated significantly with one another. Chronotropic index was abnormal for 9.4% of respondents. Simple and multiple linear regression models did not show a significant association with measures of cardiovascular autonomic function.

49 **Conclusion**: Though there is a high prevalence of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms
50 among junior doctors, the overall relationship between these and cardiovascular autonomic
51 function did not achieve significance.

52 Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of morbidity and death in sub-Saharan
Africa (SSA) [1]. It accounts for up to 10% of the global disability-adjusted life year (DALY)
burden among survivors [2]. While CVD rates are declining steadily in developed countries,
low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), predominantly in SSA, have seen increased rates
of CVD by as much as 50% over the last 3 decades [1,2]. CVDs occur as sequelae of long-term
interactions between lifestyle/environmental factors and the cardiovascular system. These risk
factors, if well controlled, considerably reduce the probability of developing CVD and are
important targets in the treatment of patients with established CVD.

Psychosocial factors such as depression, anxiety and stress have emerged as important in CVD
causation [3,4]. The INTERHEART study, a global study which was conducted in 52 countries
including Benin, Cameroon and Nigeria, reported that people with psychosocial factors have
an almost 3-fold increase in risk of acute myocardial infarction compared to those without
psychosocial disturbances [5], with a population attributable risk of 32.5%. The
INTERSTROKE study also showed that those with either permanent or repeated episodes of
stress had an increased incidence of haemorrhagic and infarctive stroke, with occupational
stress giving a greater predisposition than domestic and financial stress, as well as stress related
to adverse life events [6].

Psychosocial factors lead to cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction and vascular endothelial
changes over time, which have been identified to be central to the pathophysiologic

mechanisms of CVDs [7–9]. Excessive sympathetic outflow with reduced parasympathetic output results in turbulent blood flow which favours endothelial dysfunction [4,7,10–13] and activates the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) [7,11,14]. Angiotensin II, through the angiotensin receptor type 1, mediates inflammation, fibrosis and myocardial hypertrophy, culminating in hypertension, diastolic dysfunction, and arrhythmogenesis [10,14,15]. Cardiovascular autonomic function may be assessed by various methods, including heart rate adjustments to postural changes, the treadmill stress test (TMT) and holter electrocardiography [16]. Parameters assessed with the TMT include heart rate recovery and chronotropic index, while holter electrocardiography is useful for assessing heart rate variability.

Psychosocial factors tend to be more prevalent in the younger populations [17], suggesting that junior doctors may be particularly susceptible through factors such as exposure to shift systems including night and weekend shifts, emergency and/or critical care duties, taking end-of-life decisions and relaying such decisions to patients and relatives, and having regular inpatient and outpatient services [18–20]. Few studies have reported the psychosocial factors experienced by junior doctors especially in the West African context, and even less is known about the association between these and cardiovascular autonomic function. This information is relevant for developing interventions that address the overall wellbeing of doctors, which will, in turn, impact the quality of healthcare provision. This study therefore sought to determine the association between depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms, and cardiovascular autonomic function among junior doctors at the KBTH.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, the premier teaching hospital and largest referral facility in Ghana.

A cross-sectional study was conducted from 17th of April to the 18th of August 2023. The

selected departments, based on the earlier-described contributory factors to the development of

psychosocial factors, were Internal Medicine, Surgery, Child Health, Obstetrics and

Gynaecology, Anaesthesia, Emergency Medicine, and Family Medicine. The following were

excluded from the study due to their potential effects on cardiovascular autonomic function

and/or inability to undergo a TMT:

- Pregnant doctors

- Doctors with self-declared previous or current diagnosis of hypertension, diabetes

mellitus, hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, heart failure, chronic kidney disease or

psychiatric illness.

- Doctors on beta blockers, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) blockers or

psychotropic medications

- Doctors with absolute contraindications for the TMT, e.g., inability to exercise, severe

aortic stenosis, left bundle branch block on baseline electrocardiogram, etc.

Sample size determination and sampling

The sample size was determined to be 268 using the Cochran formula [21] and adjusting for a

finite population of 864 junior doctors in the selected departments and a 10% non-response

rate. Participants were sampled using proportionate stratified sampling techniques based on

department, rank and gender. At the time of designing the study, some ranks were not

represented in the registry at the Medical Directorate. This is seen in the distribution of

symptoms as presented in Table 5.

Operational definitions

House officer – A doctor who has completed medical school and is enrolled in the mandatory

2-year internship in 4 selected core rotations prior to permanent registration with the Ghana

Medical and Dental Council.

Junior doctor – Medical doctor who has not completed fellowship training in a medical/surgical

specialty under a recognised postgraduate medical college.

Junior resident – A doctor enrolled in a postgraduate clinical training programme leading to

the award of Membership of a postgraduate medical college.

Medical officer – A doctor who has completed the mandatory 2-year housemanship

programme, is permanently licensed with the Ghana Medical and Dental Council but not

enrolled in a residency training programme with a recognised postgraduate medical college.

Senior resident: A specialist who has enrolled in a Fellowship programme with a recognised

postgraduate medical college.

Specialist – A doctor who has completed a clinical Membership programme with a recognised

postgraduate medical college. They share the same professional responsibilities as a senior

resident.

145 **Study Instruments**

Data for the study was obtained using three instruments: a semi-structured questionnaire,

anthropometric tools and the TMT. The questionnaire collected data on demographics,

professional history, and psychosocial symptoms. The DASS-21 questionnaire was

incorporated in the semi-structured questionnaire for the study. The questionnaire was pre-

tested on 10 junior doctors sampled from the various ranks using the same procedure intended

for the study.

Weight was measured with a standard scale, measuring in kilograms (kg) to the nearest 0.1kg.

A locally manufactured wooden stadiometer was used to measure height and subsequently

converted from centimetres (cm) to metres (m), measured to the nearest 0.01m.

The TSTs were done using a NEMS-Norav ECG Management System, Version 2.5.4.0

treadmill system in line with recommendations on the conduct of TMTs by Smarz et al. [22],

with a setup for resuscitation available if required. They were performed during working hours

to ensure physiologic conditions were similar to what participants experience on a regular day.

Data collection procedure

Selected participants were sent the link to the electronic questionnaire, consent form and

exclusion criteria by WhatsApp, with regular reminders till the end of the following week. All

those who met exclusion criteria were replaced by another of the same department rank and

sex by ballot.

On arrival for the TMT, exclusion criteria were re-assessed to ensure qualification to

participate. Electrocardiographic electrodes were fixed with the modified Mason-Likar

technique and the baseline ECG was studied for ECG-based absolute contraindications to the

study. A symptom-limited Bruce protocol TMT was then performed, with no post-exercise

cool-down stage. On completion of the exercise stages, participants had a 5-minute recovery

stage.

Data management

Autonomic function was assessed from the TMT using the following parameters: heart rate recovery, chronotropic index and the resting heart rate/heart rate recovery-at-1-minute index.

Heart rate recovery was determined by "heart rate at peak exercise minus heart rate after each minute of recovery up to 2 minutes", recorded as HRR_{1-2}. HRR values < 18bpm at 1 minute of recovery (HRR_1) were considered abnormal, while the cut-off value for HRR_2 was 42bpm at 2 minutes of recovery in keeping with studies that utilised TMTs without a post-exercise cool down stage [23–30]. All heart rate determinations were based on ECG tracings from the wireless electrocardiographic device.

The chronotropic index was calculated as (peak HR-resting HR) / (maximum predicted HR – resting HR). Chronotropic incompetence was defined as an index < 0.80 [31].

The resting HR/HRR_1 index was considered abnormal if above 0.72. This was calculated as (resting $HR-HRR_1$)/resting HR [31–33].

Psychosocial assessment

Scores obtained from answers to questions for depressive, anxiety and stress symptom categories from the DASS-21 scale were summed and doubled. Consequently, there were used to determine the classification of the respective symptoms as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Categorisation of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms according to the DASS-21 scale

	Depression	Anxiety	Stress

Normal	0-9	0-7	0-14
Mild	10-13	8-9	15-18
Moderate	14-20	10-14	19-25
Severe	21-27	15-19	26-33
Extremely severe	28+	20+	34+

Statistical analysis

The completed questionnaires were coded and analysed with the Statistical Package for Social

Science (SPSS) version 24. The prevalences of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms were

determined based on the percentages of junior doctors whose scores on the DASS-21 scale fell

within the range of at least mild symptoms. Subsequently, the Spearman-Rho correlational

analysis was performed to determine how these symptoms correlated with one another. Simple

and multiple linear regression analyses were then used to determine the association between

different levels of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms on heart rate recovery, chronotropic

incompetence and the resting heart rate/heart rate recovery index as measures of cardiovascular

autonomic function. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethical considerations

Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Korle-Bu Teaching

Hospital (REF No. KBTH-IRB 000154/22). Written informed consent was obtained from each

participant. Study data were completely anonymised.

Results

Demographic and treadmill stress test characteristics of respondents

268 junior doctors were invited to participate in this study, with a 91% response rate (244 respondents). Among respondents, 123 (50.4%) were male, with a mean age of 31.46.

The demographic data of the respondents is summarised in Table 2 below.

Table 2 Background information of the study participants

CHARACTERISTICS	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE (%)
Gender		
Male	123	50.4
Female	121	49.6
Age		
25 years and below	21	8.6
26-30 years	85	34.8
31-35 years	87	35.7
36-40 years	45	18.4
41-45 years	5	2.0
Above 45 years	1	0.4
Marital status		
Single	128	52.5
Married	114	46.7
Divorced	2	0.8
Years worked as a doctor		
Less than 2 years	66	27.0

2-5 years	67	27.5
5-10 years	89	36.5
More than 10 years	22	9.0
Grade/Rank		
House officer	65	26.6
Medical officer	59	24.2
Junior resident	85	34.8
Senior resident	35	14.3
Years in current rank		
Less than 2 years	162	66.4
2-5 years	74	30.3
5-10 years	7	2.9
More than 10 years	1	0.4
Department		
Anaesthesia	33	13.5
Child health	36	14.8
Emergency medicine	18	7.4
Family medicine	19	7.8
Internal medicine	60	24.6
Obstetrics and gynaecology	33	13.5
Surgery	45	18.4

All participants underwent a symptom-limited TMT using the Bruce protocol. Fatigue was the

main reason for TMT termination (68.9%), with 72 respondents (29.5%) achieving target heart

rate. Other indications included exaggerated BP response to exercise and leg cramping during

exercise. Isolated ventricular and/or supraventricular ectopic beats were observed in 25

participants (10.2%), with 4 (1.6%) experiencing frequent ectopic beats.

Cardiovascular autonomic function parameters obtained from the TMT is summarised in Table

3 below.

Table 3 Summary of cardiovascular autonomic function parameters

PARAMETER	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION
1-minute heart rate recovery (HRR$_1$) (bpm)	38.09	9.45
2-minute heart rate recovery (HRR$_2$) (bpm)	58.14	9.82
Chronotropic index	0.90	0.09
Resting HR/HRR$_1$ index	0.51	0.22

233   In assessing cardiovascular autonomic function, 7 participants (2.8%) had HRR$_1$ abnormal

234   values less than 18bpm, whereas 12 (4.9%) recorded abnormal HRR$_2$ values below 42bpm.

235   Chronotropic index was abnormal (below 0.8) for 23 study participants (9.4%) and 13 (5.3%)

236   recorded abnormal RHR/HRR$_1$ values of greater than 0.72. Abnormalities in at least 2

237   parameters were observed in 12 study participants (4.9%).

239   The prevalence and severity psychosocial factors

240   The Kaiser Meyer Olkin (KMO) and Cronbach's Alpha (α) values for DASS-21 exceeded 0.7.

241   For all the parameters, majority of respondents were found not to have any significant

242   symptoms (depressive = 175 (71.7%), anxiety = 125 (51.2%), stress = 127 (52.0%)). For those

243   with symptoms, moderate symptoms were the most reported, as seen in Table 4.

245   Table 4 Frequency and severity of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms using DASS-21

PARAMETER	SYMPTOM SEVERITY	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE (%)
Depressive symptoms	Normal	175	71.7
	Mild	19	7.8
	Moderate	32	13.1
	Severe	12	4.9
	Extremely severe	6	2.5
Anxiety symptoms	Normal	125	51.2
	Mild	14	5.7
	Moderate	50	20.5
	Severe	28	11.5
	Extremely severe	27	11.1
Stress symptoms	Normal	127	52.0
	Mild	37	15.2
	Moderate	47	19.3
	Severe	26	10.7
	Extremely severe	7	2.9

247 The distribution of the frequency of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms per department

248 and rank is shown in Table 5, whilst the correlation with one another is shown in Table 6

249 below.

251 Table 5 Distribution of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms per department and rank

Department	Junior doctor rank (n)	Depressive symptom severity			Anxiety symptom severity			Stress symptom severity		

		Mild	Mod	Sev	Ex. sev	Total (%)	Mild	Mod	Sev	Ex. sev	Total (%)	Mild	Mod	Sev	Ex. sev	Total (%)
Anaesthesia	HO (11)	1	1	2	0	**4 (36.3)**	2	0	2	3	**7 (63.6)**	3	4	0	0	**7 (63.6)**
	MO (8)	0	0	3	0	**3 (37.5)**	1	1	3	1	**6 (75.0)**	2	1	2	1	**6 (75.0)**
	JR (10)	0	2	0	0	**2 (20.0)**	0	4	0	2	**6 (60.0)**	1	4	0	0	**5 (50.0)**
	SR/S (4)	0	0	0	0	**0 (0.0)**	0	2	0	0	**2 (50.0)**	0	1	0	0	**1 (25.0)**
Child Health	HO (13)	0	3	0	0	**3 (23.1)**	0	3	1	1	**5 (38.5)**	2	2	1	0	**5 (38.5)**
	MO (9)	2	0	0	0	**2 (22.2)**	1	2	1	1	**5 (55.6)**	1	1	1	0	**3 (33.3)**
	JR (11)	2	4	0	0	**6 (54.5)**	0	4	0	1	**5 (45.5)**	2	2	3	0	**7 (63.6)**
	SR/S (3)	0	1	1	0	**2 (66.7)**	0	0	1	1	**2 (66.7)**	1	2	0	0	**3 (100)**
Emergency Medicine	MO (17)	2	3	0	1	**6 (35.3)**	2	3	2	1	**8 (47.1)**	3	2	1	0	**6 (35.3)**
	SR/S (1)	0	1	0	0	**1 (100.0)**	0	0	1	0	**1 (100.0)**	0	1	0	0	**1 (100)**
Family Medicine	MO (5)	0	1	1	0	**2 (40.0)**	1	2	0	1	**4 (80.0)**	1	1	1	0	**3 (60.0)**
	JR (12)	0	0	0	0	**0 (0.0)**	0	2	1	1	**4 (33.3)**	2	2	1	0	**5 (41.7)**
	SR/S (2)	0	1	0	0	**1 (50.0)**	0	0	0	1	**1 (50.0)**	0	0	0	1	**1 (50.0)**
Internal Medicine	HO (17)	1	2	3	1	**7 (41.1)**	0	1	4	2	**6 (35.3)**	2	2	4	0	**8 (47.1)**
	MO (12)	0	1	0	1	**2 (16.7)**	1	1	1	1	**4 (33.3)**	1	3	2	0	**6 (50.0)**
	JR (21)	3	1	1	0	**5 (23.8)**	2	3	4	3	**12 (57.1)**	6	4	0	1	**11 (52.4)**
	SR/S(10)	2	1	0	0	**3 (30.0)**	0	2	0	0	**2 (20.0)**	1	1	0	1	**3 (30.0)**
Obstetrics & Gynaecology	HO (14)	0	3	2	0	**5 (35.7)**	0	4	0	2	**6 (42.8)**	1	2	2	0	**5 (35.7)**
	JR (13)	1	1	1	1	**4 (30.8)**	0	2	1	4	**7 (53.8)**	1	2	4	2	**9 (69.2)**
	SR/S (7)	0	0	0	0	**0 (0.0)**	0	1	0	0	**1 (14.2)**	0	0	1	0	**1 (14.3)**
Surgery	HO (11)	1	1	0	0	**2 (18.2)**	1	3	1	0	**5 (45.5)**	1	2	1	0	**4 (36.4)**
	MO (8)	1	0	0	0	**1 (12.5)**	1	2	1	0	**4 (50.0)**	2	0	0	0	**2 (25.0)**
	JR (18)	2	3	0	0	**5 (27.8)**	1	5	2	1	**9 (50.0)**	4	5	2	0	**11 (61.1)**
	SR/S (8)	2	1	0	0	**3 (37.5)**	1	3	2	0	**6 (75.0)**	0	3	0	1	**4 (50.0)**

252   Legend: Ex sev – extremely severe, HO – house officer, JR – junior resident, MO – medical

253   officer, Mod – moderate, Sev – severe, SR/S – senior resident/specialist

255 Table 6 Spearman rho correlation analysis of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms

	Stress symptoms	Depressive symptoms	Anxiety symptoms
Stress symptoms	.	0.609[**]	0.551[**]
Depressive symptoms		.	0.568[**]
Anxiety symptoms			.

256 **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Regression analysis

Simple (based on the various severity classes for each psychosocial factor) and multiple linear

regression analyses were performed to determine how severity of stress, anxiety and depressive

symptoms related to HRR_{1-2}, CI and RHR/HRR_1. These did not show any significant

relationships with the measures of cardiovascular autonomic function. The multiple linear

regression results are shown in Table 7 below.

Table 7 Multiple linear regression model assessing the association between SADs on

cardiovascular autonomic function.

HRR_1 ($R^2 = 0.012$)				
Model	**B**	**Standard error**	**T**	**Significance level**
Depressive symptoms	-0.228	0.218	-1.047	0.297
Anxiety symptoms	-0.003	0.253	0.012	0.990
Stress symptoms	0.250	0.336	0.744	0.458
HRR_2 ($R^2 = 0.011$)				
Depressive symptoms	0.082	0.240	0.341	0.734

Anxiety symptoms	-0.290	0.278	-1.041	0.300
Stress symptoms	0.250	0.370	0.675	0.501
Chronotropic index ($R^2 = 0.033$)				
Depressive symptoms	0.004	0.002	1.698	0.092
Anxiety symptoms	-0.004	0.003	-1.527	0.130
Stress symptoms	0.000	0.004	-0.140	0.889
Resting Heart Rate/HRR_1 index ($R^2 = 0.015$)				
Depressive symptoms	0.008	0.007	1.146	0.254
Anxiety symptoms	-0.004	0.008	-0.557	0.579
Stress symptoms	0.003	0.010	0.340	0.735

Discussion

The study was conducted among junior doctors at the KBTH, with a mean age of 31.46 years. Most mental health data among physicians come from high-income countries [34], highlighting the relevance of this study. It revealed a high prevalence of psychosocial factors among participants. This, however, did not significantly impair cardiovascular autonomic function.

The Cronbach's alpha and KMO of greater than 0.7 assures adequate sampling and internal consistency of the DASS-21 tool. It was found that 28.3%, 48.8% and 48% of participants had symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress respectively (Table 4). The prevalence of depressive symptoms is similar to that of a systematic review reported by Mata et al., which reported a pooled prevalence of 28.8% [34]. Whereas Birhanu et al. [18] reported a 68.2% prevalence of stress among health workers in a single centre study in Northwest Ethiopia, a prevalence of 48% was reported by Gebeyehu and Zeleke [35] following a multicentre study across various health worker cadres within the same jurisdiction, though different stress

assessment scales were employed in these studies. Similarly, Abdulghani et al. [36] identified that 73% of interns (house officers) in three Saudi hospitals were stressed. Overall burnout rates, which represents end-stage stress, may reach as high as 80.5% according to a systematic review and meta-analysis by Rotenstein et al. [37].

Participants with moderate psychosocial symptoms or higher across all assessed factors represented two-thirds of those who reported symptoms, which is a worrying statistic. This is consistent with findings from Saudi Arabia which show a 34.9% prevalence of severe stress among interns (house officers) [36]. Other health worker cadres are similar, with a study assessing stress among nurses in Northern Ghana quoting a 21% prevalence of high to extreme levels of stress [38], while 58% of maternity workers sampled purposively in another similar Northern Ghanaian setting were found to have moderate to high stress [39]. This study's findings corroborate the observations in the studies conducted in Northern Ghana and represents a significant number of junior doctors who have stress-related symptoms that can interfere with the quality of their work.

Among nurses, Bambi et al. [40] identified that earlier stages of professional development are associated with higher rates of lateral violence, bullying and incivility, which translate to higher rates of depression, anxiety and stress. Considering the mean age of about 31 years and the fact that most respondents were in early stages of career development (Table 1), this study seems to propose a similar phenomenon among junior doctors. Zhou et al. however did not find a significant relationship between stress among trainee physicians and young age [41]. Long term exposure to stress may progress to burnout if unmitigated as suggested by Odonkor and Frimpong in their study among Ghanaian health workers [19], Ezenwaji et al. [42] in their study among Nigerian nurses, and others [20,40,43], and potentially lead to other deleterious

cardiovascular and psychological/psychiatric effects [18,40,44]. Khamisa et al. identified that

severe stress leading to burnout tended to have a high association with severe depressive and

anxiety symptoms [20], as did Ryan et al. [45]. While the frequency of moderate to severe

anxiety symptoms was higher than observed for stress (43.1% of all respondents), depressive

symptoms of similar ranks of severity were seen in about one-fifth of study participants

(20.5%). The presence of these symptoms increases the risk of unforced work-related errors

which may harm patients inadvertently and may also result in the adoption of risky lifestyle

behaviours that may endanger both themselves and the patients under their care. The Spearman

rho correlation (r_s) analysis in Table 6 shows that participants with one set of symptoms had a

significantly high likelihood of having others, in consonance with other studies in the body of

literature [4,20,45,46]. This underscores the intricate relationship among the depressive,

anxiety and stress symptoms, and the need to address each one promptly to prevent

multimorbidity.

In the West African subregion, Ene et al. [47] and Vesel et al. [48], in separate studies among

Nigerian lecturers and Sierra Leonean health workers respectively, demonstrated that social

and cognitive interventions were successful in reducing stress scores, though they assessed

stress using different tools. A similar observation was made by Onyechi et al. in assessing the

effectiveness of cognitive interventions in managing depression among patients with type II

diabetes mellitus [49]. Such interventions may be useful in improving the overall mental health

of junior doctors at the KBTH. It will be prudent to institute support programmes for

recognising and managing depression, stress and anxiety, while establishing a stigma-free

system for staff to openly access these programmes.

For all the measures of cardiovascular autonomic function assessed in this study, univariate linear regression models independently evaluating the influence of all grades of depressive, anxiety and stress symptom severities showed no significant relationships. Multiple linear regression models combining the assessed symptoms showed a similar trend to the univariate analyses (Table 7).

The HRR progressed by approximately 50% from the 1st to the 2nd minutes post-exercise (mean HRR_1 = 38.09 bpm, mean HRR_2 = 58.14 bpm). This is in keeping with the expected spike in parasympathetic activity within the 1st few minutes of exercise cessation [16,50,51] and was unsurprising considering the young population of the study sample [50]. This trend supports the findings of the regression analysis by corroborating that cardiovascular parasympathetic function was preserved among the study population.

CI, which is regarded a more sensitive measure of cardiovascular autonomic function [31,52], also showed no significant association with occupational stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms. Myers et al. [52] described CI as a better predictor of cardiovascular mortality, with a four-fold increase if both CI and HRR are deranged. The results of this study therefore show that there is no significant increase in cardiovascular morbidity risk currently, among junior doctors with symptoms. Similar observations were made for RHR/HRR_1.

In measuring cardiovascular autonomic function however, many other authors have utilised HRV as their preferred parameter [39,53–55], while a meta-analysis on the relationship between HRV and occupational stress conducted by Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio and Tarvainen [56] analysed 10 studies that had assessed this association. Nutor et al. [39] reported high levels of occupational stress among maternity care providers in Northern Ghana with no

significant impairment in cardiovascular autonomic function measured using HRV. On the

other hand, HRR was studied and found to be deranged among Southeast Nigerians with

chronic kidney disease and autonomic dysfunction before dialysis [55]. Carreira et al. [31] also

found CI and HRR to be useful in assessing autonomic function among patients on

haemodialysis and suggested that aberrations in more than 1 measure of autonomic function

was more indicative of dysautonomia. There is however a paucity of data on the use of HRR

in a junior doctor study sample, adding to the novelty of this study. Despite having various

modes of assessing autonomic function, there remains a diagnostic challenge as there is a poor

correlation among the various methods [16,50].

The trend of results suggests that the study participants do not have significant impairments in

cardiovascular autonomic function, which may be attributable to their relatively young ages

(mean age = 31.46 years) and presumably shorter exposure to psychosocial stressors, or other

factors not explored in this study. The difficulty in assessment of psychosocial factors [16] also

poses limitations in the clinical application of these measures of cardiovascular autonomic

function [51].

372 **Limitations**

The assessment of stress uses tools which are subject to recall bias [10]. This may result in an

over- or under-estimation of the true burden of stress. The duration of exposure to the

psychosocial factors may not qualify as chronic, depending on the time over which this has

happened and coping mechanisms. The definition of acute versus chronic stress is

individualised based on each person's threshold determined by one's perception of situations

and coping mechanisms. This could influence results as the longstanding haemodynamic

changes from autonomic dysfunction are due to chronic stress, rather than exposure to stress

acutely.

The findings of this study cannot be generalised due to the single-centre cross-sectional design.

383 Conclusion

There are high prevalences of stress and anxiety symptoms among junior doctors at the KBTH,

with a lower (albeit significant) prevalence of depressive symptoms. These correlate

significantly with one another. This however did not have a significant association with

cardiovascular autonomic function.

Despite the non-significant relationship between the assessed psychosocial factors and

cardiovascular autonomic function, the high prevalence requires that conscious efforts to

reduce the incidence of psychosocial factors through both individual and organisational means.

393 Acknowledgements

394 The efforts of Dorcas Baiden-Amissah, Angelina Martin, Raheal Osman, Gloria Dzata and

395 Georgina Banahene, who were all staff of the National Cardiothoracic Centre, are

396 acknowledged for their assistance with the data collection process.

398 References

1.   Yuyun MF, Sliwa K, Kengne AP, Mocumbi AO, Bukhman G. Cardiovascular diseases

in sub-saharan Africa compared to high-income countries: An epidemiological

perspective. Glob Heart. 2020;15(1):1–18.

2. Boateng D, Wekesah F, Browne JL, Agyemang C, Agyei-Baffour P, De-Graft Aikins

A, et al. Knowledge and awareness of and perception towards cardiovascular disease

risk in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. PLoS One. 2017;12(12):1–21.

3. Bueno H, Deaton C, Farrero M, Forsyth F, Braunschweig F, Buccheri S, et al. 2025

ESC Clinical Consensus Statement on mental health and cardiovascular disease:

developed under the auspices of the ESC Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee. Eur

Heart J. 2025

4. Kronenberg G, Schöner J, Nolte C, Heinz A, Endres M, Gertz K. Charting the perfect

storm: emerging biological interfaces between stress and stroke. Eur Arch Psychiatry

Clin Neurosci. 2017;267(6):487–94.

5. Yusuf PS, Hawken S, Ôunpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, et al. Effect of

potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52

countries (the INTERHEART study): Case-control study. Lancet. 2004 Sep

11;364(9438):937–52.

6. Reddin C, Murphy R, Hankey GJ, Judge C, Xavier D, Rosengren A, et al. Association

of Psychosocial Stress with Risk of Acute Stroke. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Dec

9;5(12):E2244836.

7. Golbidi S, Frisbee JC, Laher IX. Chronic stress impacts the cardiovascular system:

Animal models and clinical outcomes. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.

2015;308(12):H1476–98.

8. Majidinia M, Rasmi Y, Ansaria MHK, Seyed-Mohammadzad M, Saboory E, Shirpoor

A. Metoprolol improves endothelial function in patients with cardiac syndrome X.

Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2016;15(3):561–6.

9. Ezhumalai B, Ananthakrishnapillai A, Selvaraj RJ, Satheesh S, Jayaraman B. Cardiac

syndrome X: Clinical characteristics revisited. Indian Heart J. 2015;67(4):328–31.

10.   Fishta A, Backé EM. Psychosocial stress at work and cardiovascular diseases: An

overview of systematic reviews. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2015;88(8):997–

1014.

11.   Hinterdobler J, Schunkert H, Kessler T, Sager HB. Impact of Acute and Chronic

Psychosocial Stress on Vascular Inflammation. Antioxid Redox Signal.

2021;35(18):1531–50.

12.   Lederbogen F, Ulshöfer E, Peifer A, Fehlner P, Bilek E, Streit F, et al. No association

between cardiometabolic risk and neural reactivity to acute psychosocial stress.

Neuroimage Clin. 2018;20:1115–22.

13.   Taouk Y, Spittal MJ, Lamontagne AD, Milner AJ. Psychosocial work stressors and

risk of all-cause and coronary heart disease mortality: A systematic review and meta-

analysis. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2020;46(1):19–31.

14.   Brudey C, Park J, Wiaderkiewicz J, Kobayashi I, Mellman T, Marvar PJ. Autonomic

and inflammatory consequences of posttraumatic stress disorder and the link to

cardiovascular disease. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2015;309(4):R315–

21.

15.   Messerli FH, Bangalore S, Bavishi C, Rimoldi SF. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

Inhibitors in Hypertension: To Use or Not to Use? J Am Coll Cardiol.

2018;71(13):1474–82.

16.   Goldberger JJ, Arora R, Buckley U, Shivkumar K. Autonomic Nervous System

Dysfunction: JACC Focus Seminar. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(10):1189–206.

17.   Harvey SB, Epstein RM, Glozier N, Petrie K, Strudwick J, Gayed A, et al. Mental

illness and suicide among physicians. Lancet. 2021;398:920–30.

18. Birhanu M, Gebrekidan B, Tesefa G, Tareke M. Workload Determines Workplace

Stress among Health Professionals Working in Felege-Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir

Dar, Northwest Ethiopia. J Environ Public Health. 2018;2018.

19. Odonkor ST, Frimpong K. Burnout among Healthcare Professionals in Ghana: A

Critical Assessment. Biomed Res Int. 2020;2020.

20. Khamisa N, Oldenburg B, Peltzer K, Ilic D. Work related stress, burnout, job

satisfaction and general health of nurses. Int J Environ Res Public Health.

2015;12(1):652–66.

21. Bolarinwa OA. Sample Size Estimation for Health and Social Science Researchers:

The Principles and Considerations for Different Study Designs. Niger Postgrad Med J.

2020;27:67–75.

22. Smarż K, Jaxa-Chamiec T, Bednarczyk T, Bednarz B, Eysymontt Z, Gałaszek M, et al.

Electrocardiographic exercise testing in adults: Performance and interpretation. An

expert opinion of the Polish Cardiac Society Working Group on Cardiac Rehabilitation

and Exercise Physiology. Kardiol Pol. 2019;77(3):399–408.

23. Vivekananthan DP, Blackstone EH, Pothier CE, Lauer MS. Heart rate recovery after

exercise is a predictor of mortality, independent of the angiographic severity of

coronary disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;42(5):831–8.

24. Shishehbor MH, Litaker D, Pothier CE, Lauer MS. Association of Socioeconomic

Status with Functional Capacity, Heart Rate Recovery, and All-Cause Mortality.

JAMA. 2006;295(7):784–92.

25. Watanabe J, Thamilarasan M, Blackstone EH, Thomas JD, Lauer MS. Heart Rate

Recovery Immediately After Treadmill Exercise and Left Ventricular Systolic

Dysfunction as Predictors of Mortality - The Case of Stress Echocardiography.

Circulation. 2001;104:1911–6.

26.   Zaim S, Schesser J, Hirsch LS, Rockland R. Influence of the Maximum Heart Rate

Attained during Exercise Testing on Subsequent Heart Rate Recovery. Vol. 15, Ann

Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2010.

27.   Cole CR, Foody JM, Blackstone EH, Lauer MS. Heart Rate Recovery after

Submaximal Exercise Testing as a Predictor of Mortality in a Cardiovascularly

Healthy Cohort. Ann Intern Med. 2000;132(7):552–5.

28.   Okutucu S, Karakulak UN, Aytemir K, Oto A. Heart rate recovery: A practical clinical

indicator of abnormal cardiac autonomic function. Vol. 9, Expert Review of

Cardiovascular Therapy. 2011. p. 1417–30.

29.   Carnethon MR, Jacobs DR, Sidney S, Liu K. Influence of Autonomic Nervous System

Dysfunction on the Development of Type 2 Diabetes The CARDIA study. Diabetes

Care. 2003;26(11):3035–41.

30.   Jae SY, Bunsawat K, Fadel PJ, Fernhall B, Choi YH, Park JB, et al. Attenuated Heart

Rate Recovery After Exercise Testing and Risk of Incident Hypertension in Men. Am

J Hypertens. 2016 Sep 1;29(9):1103–8.

31.   Carreira MAMQ, Nogueira AB, Pena FM, Kiuchi MG, Rodrigues RC, Rodrigues RR,

et al. Detection of autonomic dysfunction in hemodialysis patients using the exercise

treadmill test: The role of the chronotropic index, heart rate recovery, and R-R

variability. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):1–11.

32.   Kuyumcu MS, Ozeke O, Cay S, Ozcan F, Bayraktar MF, Kara M, et al. The short-term

impact of the catheter ablation on noninvasive autonomic nervous system parameters

in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology.

2017 Nov 1;40(11):1193–9.

33.   Yaşar H, Büyüklü M. Investigation of the Cardiac Autonomic Functions in Patients

with Essential Tremor. Koşuyolu Heart J. 2022;25(2):170–6.

34.   Mata DA, Ramos MA, Bansal N, Khan R, Guille C, Di Angelantonio E, et al.

Prevalence of depression and depressive symptoms among resident physicians a

systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2015;314(22):2373–83.

35.   Gebeyehu S, Zeleke B. Workplace stress and associated factors among healthcare

professionals working in public health care facilities in Bahir Dar City, Northwest

Ethiopia, 2017. BMC Res Notes. 2019;12(1):249.

36.   Abdulghani HM, Irshad M, Al Zunitan MA, Al Sulihem AA, Al Dehaim MA, Al

Esefir WA, et al. Prevalence of stress in junior doctors during their internship training:

A cross-sectional study of three Saudi medical colleges' hospitals. Neuropsychiatr Dis

Treat. 2014 Sep 25;10:1879–86.

37.   Rotenstein LS, Torre M, Ramos MA, Rosales RC, Guille C, Sen S, et al. Prevalence of

burnout among physicians a systematic review. JAMA. 2018;320(11):1131–50.

38.   Kaburi BB, Bio FY, Kubio C, Ameme DK, Kenu E, Sackey SO, et al. Psychological

working conditions and predictors of occupational stress among nurses, Salaga

Government Hospital, Ghana, 2016. Pan Afr Med J. 2019;33.

39.   Nutor JJ, Aborigo RA, Okiring J, Kuwolamo I, Dorzie JBK, Getahun M, et al.

Individual and situational predictors of psychological and physiological stress and

burnout among maternity providers in Northern Ghana. PLoS One. 2022;17.

40.   Bambi S, Foà C, De Felippis C, Lucchini A, Guazzini A, Rasero L. Workplace

incivility, lateral violence and bullying among nurses. A review about their prevalence

and related factors. Acta Biomedica. 2018;89(6):51–79.

41.   Zhou AY, Panagioti M, Esmail A, Agius R, Van Tongeren M, Bower P. Factors

Associated with Burnout and Stress in Trainee Physicians: A Systematic Review and

Meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(8).

42.   Ezenwaji IO, Eseadi C, Okide CC, Nwosu NC, Ugwoke SC, Ololo KO, et al. Work-

related stress, burnout, and related sociodemographic factors among nurses. Medicine

(United States). 2019;98(3).

43.   de Vente W, Van Amsterdam JGC, Olff M, Kamphuis JH, Emmelkamp PMG.

Burnout Is Associated with Reduced Parasympathetic Activity and Reduced HPA Axis

Responsiveness, Predominantly in Males. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015.

44.   Abdelall ES, Eagle Z, Finseth T, Mumani AA, Wang Z, Dorneich MC, et al. The

Interaction Between Physical and Psychosocial Stressors. Front Behav Neurosci.

2020;14:1–15.

45.   Ryan E, Hore K, Power J, Jackson T. The relationship between physician burnout and

depression, anxiety, suicidality and substance abuse: A mixed methods systematic

review. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1133484

46.   Kerr JI, Naegelin M, Weibel RP, Ferrario A, La Marca R, von Wangenheim F, et al.

The effects of acute work stress and appraisal on psychobiological stress responses in a

group office environment. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2020;121:104837.

47.   Ene CU, Ugwuanyi CS, Ejimonye JC, Ani MI, Eneogu ND, Ikeh FE, et al. Effects of

rational emotive occupational health coaching on work stress among academic staff of

science and social science education in Nigerian universities: A randomised trial

evaluation. Medicine. 2021;100(34):e26963.

48.   Vesel L, Waller K, Dowden J, Fotso JC. Psychosocial support and resilience building

among health workers in Sierra Leone: Interrelations between coping skills, stress

levels, and interpersonal relationships. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015;15(Suppl 1):1–8.

49.   Onyechi KCN, Eseadi C, Okere AU, Onuigbo LN, Umoke PCI, Anyaegbunam NJ, et

al. Effects of cognitive behavioral coaching on depressive symptoms in a sample of

type 2 diabetic inpatients in Nigeria. Medicine (United States). 2016;95(31).

50.   Antelmi I, Chuang EY, Grupi CJ, Latorre MDRDDO, Mansur AJ. Heart rate recovery

after treadmill electrocardiographic exercise stress test and 24-hour heart rate

variability in healthy individuals. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2008;90(6):413–8.

51.   Grad C, Zdrenghea D. Heart rate recovery in patients with ischemic heart disease - risk

factors. Med Pharm Rep. 2014;87(4):220–5.

52.   Myers J, Tan SY, Abella J, Aleti V, Froelicher VF. Comparison of the chronotropic

response to exercise and heart rate recovery in predicting cardiovascular mortality.

European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. 2007;14(2):215–

21.

53.   Mohammadi A, Emamgoli A, Shirinkalam M, Meftahi GH, Yagoobi K, Hatef B. The

persistent effect of acute psychosocial stress on heart rate variability. Egypt Heart J.

2019;71(1).

54.   Borchini R, Veronesi G, Bonzini M, Gianfagna F, Dashi O, Ferrario MM. Heart rate

variability frequency domain alterations among healthy nurses exposed to prolonged

work stress. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018 Jan 11;15(1).

55.   Onodugo O, Arodiwe E, Okoye J, Ezeala B, Onodugo N, Ulasi I, et al. Prevalence of

autonomic dysfunction among pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease patients in a tertiary

hospital, South-East Nigeria. Afr Health Sci. 2018;18(4):950–7.

56.   Järvelin-Pasanen S, Sinikallio S, Tarvainen MP. Heart rate variability and occupational

stress-systematic review. Ind Health. 2018;56:500–11.