US Pediatric Drowning Trends: A System Dynamics Scoping Model Based on Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Estimates

Author(s)

1. **Brian J. Biroscak**
   Center for Community Health Integration
   Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine
   Cleveland, OH, USA
   Email: bxb467@case.edu
2. **Grace Kim**
   Division of Pediatric Hospital Medicine
   UH Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital
   Cleveland, OH, USA
   Email: Grace.Kim@uhhospitals.org
3. **Sarah Ronis**
   Division of Pediatric Hospital Medicine
   UH Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital
   Cleveland, OH, USA
   Email: Sarah.Ronis@uhhospitals.org
4. **Tracy E. McCallin**
   Division of Pediatric Hospital Medicine
   UH Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital
   Cleveland, OH, USA
   Email: Tracy.McCallin@uhhospitals.org
5. **Barbara M. Garza Ornelas**
   Department of Pediatrics
   University of Florida College of Medicine
   Gainesville, FL, USA
   Email: b.garzaornelas@ufl.edu
6. **Robinson Salazar**
   Center for Community Health Integration
   Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine

Cleveland, OH, USA
Email: rss188@case.edu

Abstract

Background Pediatric drowning remains a leading cause of unintentional injury death globally. Current prevention research primarily focuses on single interventions, often failing to account for the complex interactions and feedback mechanisms that drive population trends. This study demonstrates the utility of system dynamics (SD) modeling as a scoping tool to construct and test causal feedback hypotheses.

Methods A scoping model was developed to simulate the drivers of pediatric drowning epidemiology. Modeling was based on historical drowning morbidity and mortality estimates of one US state from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) initiative (1990 to 2021), supplemented by secondary literature. The model structure explicitly mapped key feedback loops, including hypothesized intergenerational transfer of swimming competency and the effect of risk perception on water supervision and prevention spending.

Results The model successfully replicated the non-constant decline in pediatric drowning deaths observed in the state-specific GBD data: 50.6 per 100,000 in 1990 versus 25.7 per 100,000 in 2021. Analyses identified the causal feedback loop of *Reduced Risk Perception* as a dominant structure. This loop showed that over the observed period, successful prevention (e.g., improved water competency) can inadvertently lead to lower perceived risk over time, reducing resource allocation, and slowing the overall rate of mortality decline.

Conclusion This study demonstrates that SD modeling is a powerful and accessible tool for testing aggregate, long-term hypotheses regarding unintentional drowning trends. It provides a framework for designing integrated, dynamic prevention strategies that account for the system tendency to adapt and introduce counter-intuitive outcomes.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

Pediatric drowning rates have decreased due to several hypothesized measures, including improved pool fencing, increased parental supervision awareness, swimming lessons, life jackets, and CPR training. The main scientific knowledge gap is not understanding the causal contribution of each preventive measure to the overall decline in drowning morbidity and mortality.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

Using data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) initiative and other secondary data sources, this study used system dynamics modeling to formalize several hypotheses about pediatric drowning trends.

HOW MIGHT THIS STUDY AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE, OR POLICY

System dynamics modeling allows for studying shifts in dominant causal feedback mechanisms over time. Future work to disaggregate model trends by pediatric group characteristics (e.g., race and ethnicity) is necessary to inform strategies that further prevent pediatric unintentional drowning.

Introduction

Unintentional drowning[1] remains a significant public health issue and a leading cause of injury-related morbidity and mortality, particularly among children and adolescents.[2] This phenomenon is notably prevalent in the United States (US), where drowning ranks among the top three causes of unintentional injury deaths for individuals aged 29 years and younger.[3] Although overall pediatric drowning mortality has declined in recent decades, these trends are often non-linear,[4] and significant population and geographic disparities persist.[5] Data from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) initiative[6] provide a valuable, consistent record of these complex patterns.[7]

Traditional prevention research often reflects linear causal thinking and the implementation of individual interventions, which may limit the understanding of the multifaceted nature of unintentional drowning.[8] The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) has identified five evidence-based drowning prevention strategies that are essential in addressing the issue of unintentional drowning among children: barriers, supervision, life jacket use, water competency, and CPR training. Each of these strategies plays a pivotal role in minimizing drowning risks by addressing both environmental and behavioral factors.[9] However, drowning risk is part of a complex adaptive system where the long-term impact of a single strategy can be counter-intuitive due to time delays and causal feedback loops. Successful drowning prevention strategies can significantly reduce incidence rates; however, there is concern that their implementation may lead to a diminished perception of risk among the public,[10] a perceptual shift that may subsequently result in reduced allocation of resources for

ongoing efforts such as eliminating disparities. Such causal hypotheses have not been systematically tested, pointing to a clear gap in the published literature.

System dynamics (SD) modeling serves as a powerful tool for visualizing, simulating, and understanding the causal structures inherent in complex phenomena,[11] including drowning prevention and public health interventions. By elucidating the underlying causal feedback mechanisms, SD can effectively explain the dynamics surrounding long-term trends in health outcomes, including the incidence of unintentional drowning. This paper presents a scoping model[12] to demonstrate its utility in synthesizing existing prevention knowledge and leveraging GBD estimates of pediatric drowning mortality (ages 0-19 years). The objective is to provide a framework for creating more expansive research models, which can inform design of integrated and dynamic drowning prevention strategies.

Methods

Model Conceptualization

The scoping model was developed to synthesize and test causal feedback hypotheses regarding pediatric unintentional drowning trends. The SD model is built around five primary stocks (accumulations), including *Individuals at Risk*, *Skilled Swimmers*, and *Investments in Prevention*. These stocks are regulated by dynamic flows (e.g., *Drowning Incidents*, *Skill Gains*) that govern the system's rate of change. The definitions for the key stocks and related flows are provided in **Table 1**.

The model structure formalizes two primary dynamic hypotheses. The first is a reinforcing feedback loop (R) where successful prevention is hypothesized to lead to higher water competency, creating a positive feedback cycle that drives mortality rates down. The second is a balancing loop (B), where the success of prevention is hypothesized to (inadvertently) lower the public's accepted occurrence of drowning, reducing motivation for future *Investments in Prevention* over time and slowing the overall rate of mortality decline.

Data and Implementation

The SD model was constructed to address the limitations of linear modeling approaches typically applied to public health challenges. It is grounded in the understanding that GBD estimates themselves rely on complex methodological strategies, including meta-regression and compartmental modeling.[13] The primary reference data used for model testing was the unintentional drowning mortality rate among persons aged 0–19 years in Ohio, expressed as deaths per 100,000 persons. These data were derived from the GBD initiative estimates spanning 1990–2021. The model was built using Stella Architect.[14] The primary focus of model testing was behavior reproduction, assessing the model’s capacity to replicate the non-constant historical decline observed in the GBD trend data from 1990–2021. The internal structure was confirmed by assessing the logical consistency of all equations and causal relationships against secondary literature and professional knowledge.

Results

Behavior Reproduction and Dominant Loop Identification

The calibrated system dynamics model (**Figure 1**) successfully replicated the historical trend of pediatric drowning mortality in Ohio from 1990 to 2021. The model output matched the non-constant decline observed in the Ohio-specific GBD data, decreasing from a peak of 50.6 deaths per 100,000 in 1990 to 25.7 deaths per 100,000 in 2021 (**Figure 2**). This decline was accompanied by a continuous simulated increase in *Investments in Prevention Resources* and the population of *Skilled Swimmers*. Dynamic analysis identified a single dominant negative feedback loop **(Figure 3)** that explains over 60% of the model's behavior throughout the simulation period. This loop, named the "Balancing Loop of Reduced Risk Perception", is the primary driver of the long-term decline in drowning rates.

Mechanism of the Balancing Loop (B1)

The B1 loop describes a continuous, adaptive societal response to drowning risk:

1. **Risk Adaptation:** As the *Prevalence of Drowning Incidents* declines, society's *Accepted Prevalence of Drowning Incidents* (or risk tolerance) gradually decreases with a delay, creating a powerful societal pressure for further action.
2. **Investment and Skill Gain:** This *Societal Pressure* drives sustained *Investments in Prevention Resources*. Increased investment translates directly into a higher *Skill Gain Rate* (e.g., through swimming lessons and education), increasing the pool of *Skilled Swimmers*.
3. **Mortality Reduction:** The growing population of *Skilled Swimmers* reduces the overall pool of *Individuals at Risk*, leading directly to a decline in *Drowning*

Incidences and, consequently, a reduction in the *Prevalence of Drowning Incidents*.

This self-correcting, adaptive mechanism—where a reduction in drowning stimulates further investment to achieve an even lower tolerance—explains the observed sustained long-term decline. While other feedback loops (including positive loops) are present, their influence is not strong enough to counteract the dominant, self-regulating effect of this primary balancing mechanism.

Discussion

This system dynamics (SD) scoping model provides a novel, evidence-based framework for understanding the complex, non-linear dynamics driving the long-term decline in pediatric drowning mortality, as observed in Global Burden of Disease (GBD) data. Importantly, these long-term trends have recently been disrupted, with some jurisdictions reporting notable reversals in drowning mortality following the COVID-19 pandemic, possibly due to factors like reduced access to formal swim lessons and altered supervision patterns.[15] The primary finding—i.e., the dominance of the balancing feedback loop of *Reduced Risk Perception* (B1)—offers a crucial insight that transcends the traditional evaluation of single-point interventions.

Our scoping model suggests that the sustained reduction in drowning is not simply the sum of individual prevention efforts, but rather a dynamic, self-correcting societal process. The B1 loop formalizes the concept that success breeds both new success

and new challenges. Specifically, as drowning rates decline, a powerful feedback mechanism is triggered: the reduced prevalence slowly lowers the public's accepted tolerance for risk, intensifying the call for *further* prevention investment. This investment then drives water competency acquisition, ultimately driving mortality rates down even further. This continuous, adaptive cycle explains the model's ability to replicate the observed long-term trend better than simple linear projections.

This preliminary finding carries potential implications for policy and practice in drowning prevention. First, it underscores the need for sustained, consistent funding for prevention. The model demonstrates that investment is driven by a time-delayed feedback loop, not an initial policy impulse. Programs must be designed to persist over decades to allow the B1 loop to maintain pressure on the system.

Second, the model supports a crucial behavioral hypothesis that has been explored in other risk domains: the inverse relationship between risk mitigation success and risk perception. This aligns with the Social Amplification of Risk Framework, which posits that successful risk dampening can inadvertently lead to complacency or the misinterpretation of risk signals. To counteract the inherent system tendency toward complacency, marketing and communication strategies cannot remain static. Instead, practitioners should utilize a dynamic approach that continuously adjust strategies based on the real-time decline of *Accepted Prevalence* within the system. This involves shifting from "high-urgency" awareness campaigns during periods of higher risk to "maintenance" and "adaptive" messaging that addresses more subtle risks (e.g., skill

atrophy, momentary supervision lapses) and emphasizes persistent vigilance, thereby intentionally stabilizing the B1 loop.

Limitations

As a scoping model, this study is inherently limited. The parameter estimates relied on a synthesis of secondary literature and best-fit calibration to aggregate GBD data for Ohio, which restricts generalizability. The model currently operates at an aggregate level and does not account for critical disaggregated factors such as race, socioeconomic status, or specific geographical variables that are known to drive significant disparities in drowning rates. Future research must expand this framework to include these disaggregated factors and test the model against localized data to inform targeted, equity-focused interventions.

Conclusion

This scoping model successfully demonstrated the utility of SD methodology for analyzing the long-term, non-linear decline in pediatric drowning mortality. By formally mapping the system's structure, the analysis revealed the balancing loop of *Reduced Risk Perception* as the dominant driver, providing a causal explanation for why mortality rates decline at a sustained, yet non-constant, pace. This finding argues against policy evaluations that rely on linear projections. Instead, policymakers should recognize drowning prevention as an adaptive, complex challenge driven by time-delayed feedback. We seek to engage the international research community to co-develop the SD framework by converting this foundational scoping model into validated regional

research model(s). We conclude that effective, long-term injury prevention requires designing integrated interventions that account for the system's tendency toward counter-intuitive outcomes and establishing mechanisms for sustained, consistent resource investment to prevent risk complacency.

Data availability statement

No data are available.

Ethics statements

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval

Not applicable.

Acknowledgements

The study team would like to thank the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Collaborator network for their contributions to reviewing this paper (pending). We invite GBD members and other international experts interested in applying the system dynamics methodology to specific, localized drowning data to contact the corresponding author to facilitate the conversion of this scoping model into regional research models.

References

1. van Beeck EF, Branche CM, Szpilman D, Modell JH, Bierens JJ. A new definition of drowning: towards documentation and prevention of a global public health problem. *Bull World Health Organ*. Nov 2005;83(11):853-6.

2. World Health Organization (WHO). *Global Report on Drowing: Preventing a Leading Killer*. 2014. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/global-report-on-drowning-preventing-a-leading-killer

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) [online]. Accessed March 5, 2025. www.wisqars.cdc.gov

4. Lin CY, Wang L-Y, Lu TH. Changes in Drowning Mortality Rates and Quality of Reporting From 2004–2005 to 2014–2015: A Comparative Study of 61 Countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1)doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7749-2

5. Clemens T, Moreland B, Lee R. Persistent Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Fatal Unintentional Drowning Rates Among Persons Aged ≤29 Years -- United States, 1999-2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70:869-874. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7024a1

6. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2021 (GBD 2021). Seattle, WA, USA: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME); 2025.

7. You L, Liu J, Zhong J, Fei F. National estimates of mortality of unintentional drowning in China from 1990 to 2021 and its predicted level in the next decade: results

from the global burden of disease study 2021. *Front Public Health*. 2025;13:1533173. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2025.1533173

8. Peden AE, Franklin RC, Clemens T. Can Child Drowning Be Eradicated? A Compelling Case for Continued Investment in Prevention. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2020;110(7):2126-2133. doi:10.1111/apa.15618

9. Scarr J, Jagnoor J. Identifying Opportunities for Multisectoral Action for Drowning Prevention: A Scoping Review. *Injury Prevention*. 2022;28(6):585-594. doi:10.1136/ip-2022-044712

10. Luo S, Mei Z. Moderating Role of Drowning Risk Perceptions in the Relationship Between Adolescent and Peer Risk-Taking Behaviours: Implications for Drowning Prevention. *Injury Prevention*. 2025:ip-2024-045419. doi:10.1136/ip-2024-045419

11. Richardson GP. Reflections on the foundations of system dynamics. *Syst Dynam Rev*. Jul-Sep 2011;27(3):219-243. doi:10.1002/sdr.462

12. Homer JB. Why we iterate: Scientific modeling in theory and practice. *Syst Dynam Rev*. Spr 1996;12(1):1-19.

13. Flaxman AD, Vos T, Murray CJL, eds. *An Integrative Metaregression Framework for Descriptive Epidemiology*. University of Washington Press; 2015. *Publications on Global Health, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation*.

14. *STELLA Architect*. Version Version 4.0. isee systems, inc.; 2025. https://www.iseesystems.com

15. Clemens T, Moreland B, Mack KA, Thomas K, Bergen G, Lee R. Vital Signs: Drowning Death Rates, Self-Reported Swimming Skill, Swimming Lesson Participation,

and Recreational Water Exposure - United States, 2019-2023. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. May 23 2024;73(20):467-473. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7320e1

Footnotes

Funding: This study did not receive any funding.

Competing interests: The authors have declared no competing interests.

Table 1. Scoping Model Main Variables and Descriptions

Variable Type	**Variable Name**	**Description**	**Unit**
Stock	Individuals at Risk	The population (ages 0–20) exposed to unintentional drowning risk (e.g., non-swimmers or unsupervised).	People
Stock	Skilled Swimmers	The population (ages 0–20) who possess water competency, acting as a protective factor.	People
Stock	Drowning Incidents	The accumulated population of non-fatal drowning events requiring intervention/recovery.	People
Stock	Investments in Prevention	The resources allocated over time to prevention efforts (e.g., funding for lessons, barriers).	USD/Year
Stock	Accepted Prevalence	The societal tolerance for drowning risk, a key driver of public pressure and investment.	Dimensionless
Flow	Skill Gains	The rate at which *Individuals at Risk* transition to *Skilled Swimmers* (e.g., through lessons).	People/Year
Flow	Skill Losses	The rate at which water competency is lost due to factors like atrophy or lack of practice.	People/Year
Flow	Drowning Deaths	The rate at which *Drowning Incidents* result in fatal outcomes.	People/Year



Figure 1. Scoping Model Structure of Unintentional Pediatric Drowning, Calibrated for Ohio, USA, 1990-2021





Figure 2. Scoping Model Output, Historical versus Simulated Pediatric Drowning Incidence and Mortality, Ohio, USA, 1990-2021



Figure 3. Dominant Causal Feedback Loop Structure, Pediatric Unintentional Drowning, Ohio, 1990-2021